

# Linear relations among holomorphic quadratic differentials and induced Siegel's metric on $\mathcal{M}_g$

Marco Matone and Roberto Volpato

Dipartimento di Fisica “G. Galilei” and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare  
Università di Padova, Via Marzolo, 8 – 35131 Padova, Italy

We derive the  $(g-2)(g-3)/2$  linearly independent relations among the products of pairs in a basis of holomorphic abelian differentials in the case of compact non-hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces of genus  $g \geq 4$ . By the Kodaira-Spencer map this leads to the modular invariant metric on the moduli space induced by the Siegel metric.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact non-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 4$  and  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$  a basis of  $H^0(K, \Sigma)$ , with  $K$  the canonical line bundle of  $\Sigma$ . We denote by  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  the corresponding locus in the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  of compact Riemann surfaces. Each element of  $H^0(K^2, \Sigma)$  can be written as a linear combination of the  $M := g(g+1)/2$  elements in

$$\mathcal{S} := \{\omega_i \omega_j | i \leq j = 1, \dots, g\} .$$

Since  $N := h^0(K^2, \Sigma) = 3g - 3$ , there are  $M - N = (g-2)(g-3)/2$  independent linear relations among the bilinears  $\omega_i \omega_j$ . In this paper we explicitly express such relations.

Let  $p_1, \dots, p_g$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_{2g-2}$  be two sets of arbitrary points on  $\Sigma$ . Set

$$a_{ij,r} := \det \omega(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1}, q_r, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_g) \det \omega(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}, q_r, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_g) ,$$

where  $\det \omega(x_1, \dots, x_g) := \det \omega_i(x_j)$ . Let us define the matrix

$$A(k, l) := \begin{pmatrix} a_{12,1} & \dots & a_{1g,1} & a_{23,1} & \dots & a_{2g,1} & a_{kl,1} \\ a_{12,2} & \dots & a_{1g,2} & a_{23,2} & \dots & a_{2g,2} & a_{kl,2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{12,2g-2} & \dots & a_{1g,2g-2} & a_{23,2g-2} & \dots & a_{2g,2g-2} & a_{kl,2g-2} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

$$3 \leq k < l \leq g, g \geq 4.$$

**Theorem 1.**

$$\det A(k, l) = 0 ,$$

$$3 \leq k < l \leq g.$$

Let  $\Delta_{i, 2g-2}$  be the minor associated to  $A(k, l)_{i, 2g-2}$ .

**Corollary.** *Setting  $q_i = z$ , for any  $i$ , the equations*

$$\frac{\det A(k, l)}{\Delta_{i, 2g-2}} = 0 ,$$

*$3 \leq k < l \leq g$ , provide  $(g-2)(g-3)/2$  linearly independent conditions on  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

In particular, these relations hold for the standard basis of the holomorphic abelian differentials.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_g$  be a set of points in  $\Sigma$  such that

$$\det \omega(p_1, \dots, p_g) \neq 0 .$$

Then

$$\sigma_i(z) := \frac{\det \omega(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1}, z, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_g)}{\det \omega(p_1, \dots, p_g)} , \quad (1)$$

$i = 1, \dots, g$ , is a basis of  $H^0(K, \Sigma)$  which does not depend on the choice of the basis  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$ .

*Proof.* The matrix  $[\omega]_{ij} := \omega_i(p_j)$  is non-singular. Then

$$\sigma_i(z) = \sum_j [\omega]_{ij}^{-1} \omega_j(z) ,$$

$i = 1, \dots, g$  is a basis of  $H^0(K, \Sigma)$ .

**Remarks.**

1. Let  $\Omega$  be the Riemann period matrix of  $\Sigma$ . Theorem 2 implies, in particular, that each  $\sigma_i$  is invariant under the  $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$  modular transformations. That is

$$\sigma_i \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_i = \sigma_i , \quad (2)$$

under

$$\Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega} = (A\Omega + B) \cdot (C\Omega + D)^{-1} .$$

2. The  $\sigma_i$  satisfy the following properties

$$\sigma_i(p_j) = \delta_{ij} ,$$

$$\det \sigma_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}, z, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_g) = \sigma_j(z) .$$

*Petri basis.* Let us assume that the points  $p_1, \dots, p_g$  are in “general position” and denote by  $\eta_i$  the holomorphic 1-differential vanishing at  $p_1, \dots, \check{p}_i, \dots, p_g$ . By Riemann-Roch theorem the set  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_g\}$  is a basis of 1-differentials. Moreover, we assume that the divisors  $(\eta_i)$  consist of distinct points. The quadratic differentials

$$\eta_1^2 , \quad \eta_1 \eta_2 , \quad \eta_2^2 , \quad \eta_1 \eta_i , \quad \eta_2 \eta_i , \quad \eta_i^2 ,$$

$i = 3, \dots, g$  introduced by Petri [1], provide a basis of  $H^0(K^2, \Sigma)$ .

Let us introduce the  $M$ -dimensional vector  $v$

$$v_k := \begin{cases} \sigma_k^2, & k = 1, \dots, g, \\ \sigma_{i+j}\sigma_j, & k = i + j(2g - j + 1)/2, \end{cases}$$

where  $j = 1, \dots, g - 1, i = 1, \dots, g - j$ .

**Proposition.**  $\{v_j\} := \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$  is a modular invariant basis of  $H^0(K^2, \Sigma)$ .

*Proof.* Setting  $\omega_i = \eta_i$  in (1), we have

$$[\omega] = \text{diag}(\eta_1(p_1), \dots, \eta_g(p_g)) .$$

Modular invariance follows by (2).

Let  $W(P)$  be the Wronskian  $W(v_1, \dots, v_N)(P)$  of the basis  $\{v_j\}$  at a generic point  $P \in \Sigma$  and  $\hat{W}_{kl}(P)$  the Wronskian  $W(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_l, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N)(P)$  at  $P$ .

**Lemma.** The  $(g - 2)(g - 3)/2$  linearly independent relations

$$v_l(z)W(P) = \sum_{k=1}^N v_k(z)\hat{W}_{kl}(P) , \quad (3)$$

$l = N + 1, \dots, M, k = 1, \dots, N$ , hold  $\forall z \in \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Consider the  $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$  matrix obtained by adding to the matrix associated to  $W(P)$  the column  $(v_1(z), \dots, v_N(z))^t$  and the row  $(v_l(P), \dots, \partial^{N-1}v_l(P), v_l(z))$ . Expanding  $v_l$  over the basis  $\{v_j\}$ , we get

$$(v_l(P), \dots, \partial^{N-1}v_l(P), v_l(z)) = \sum_{j=1}^N C_{lj}(v_j(P), \dots, \partial^{N-1}v_j(P), v_j(z)) .$$

Then the determinant of this matrix vanishes, which is the content of the Lemma.

**Remarks.**

1. The ratio

$$\frac{\hat{W}_{kl}(P)}{W(P)} ,$$

does not depend on  $P$ .

2. Since for  $i = 1, \dots, g$

$$\begin{aligned} v_j(p_i) &= \delta_{ji} , & j &= 1, \dots, g , \\ v_j(p_i) &= 0 , & j &= g+1, \dots, M , \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

it follows that setting  $z = p_i$  in (3) we obtain

$$\hat{W}_{il}(P) = 0 ,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, g$  and  $l = N+1, \dots, M$ .

3. The Wronskians in the expansion (3) can be replaced by the corresponding determinant  $\det v_j(x_i)$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_{3g-3}$  are arbitrary points on  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Assume that  $\det \omega(p_1, \dots, p_g) \neq 0$ . Let us define

$$x_j := \begin{cases} p_j , & j = 1, \dots, g , \\ q_{j-g} , & j = g+1, \dots, N+1 . \end{cases}$$

Fix  $k, l$  with  $3 \leq k < l \leq g$  and consider the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1(x_1) & \dots & v_N(x_1) & \sigma_k(x_1)\sigma_l(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_1(x_{N+1}) & \dots & v_N(x_{N+1}) & \sigma_k(x_{N+1})\sigma_l(x_{N+1}) \end{pmatrix} .$$

By (4), this matrix has  $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1)$  in the  $g \times g$  upper left corner, 0 in the  $g \times (2g-2)$  upper right corner and  $(\det \omega(p_1, \dots, p_g))^{-2} A(k, l)$  in the  $(2g-2) \times (2g-2)$  lower right corner. On the other hand, by the lemma and the last remark the determinant of this matrix vanishes. Since such relations hold for  $(p_1, \dots, p_g)$  in a dense subset in  $\Sigma^g$ , they hold  $\forall (p_1, \dots, p_g) \in \Sigma^g$  and the theorem follows.

We express the  $v_k$  in the matrix form

$$v_k = \sigma^t \cdot C_k \cdot \sigma ,$$

where

$$(C_k)_{ij} := \begin{cases} \delta_{ik}\delta_{jk} , & k = 1, \dots, g , \\ \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{im}\delta_{j(l+m)} + \delta_{i(l+m)}\delta_{jm}) , & \begin{aligned} k &= l + m(2g - m + 1)/2 , \\ m &= 1, \dots, g-1 , \quad l = 1, \dots, g-m . \end{aligned} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\hat{W}_{k,ij}(P)$  be the Wronskian  $W(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, \omega_i \omega_j, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N)(P)$  at  $P$  and set

$$(ij|lm) := \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{W}_{k,ij}}{W} ([\omega]^{-1^t} \cdot C_k \cdot [\omega])_{lm} - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{il}\delta_{jm} + \delta_{im}\delta_{jl}) .$$

**Theorem 3.** *The following relations*

$$\sum_{l,m=1}^g (ij|lm) \omega_l(z) \omega_m(z) = 0 , \quad (5)$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, g, \forall z \in \Sigma$ , hold. Furthermore, if the basis  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$  depends only on the marking of the Riemann surface, then the coefficients  $(ij|lm)$  depend only on the Riemann period matrix.

*Proof.* Eq.(5) is equivalent to the relations

$$\omega_i(z) \omega_j(z) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{W}_{k,ij}}{W} v_k(z) , \quad (6)$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, M, \forall z \in \Sigma$ , which follow by the lemma.

*The induced measure.* The line element  $ds$  on the Siegel upper half-space

$$ds^2 := \text{Tr} [(\text{Im } \Omega)^{-1} d\Omega (\text{Im } \Omega)^{-1} d\bar{\Omega}] ,$$

defines the volume element

$$\frac{|\bigwedge_{i \leq j}^g d\Omega_{ij}|^2}{(\det \text{Im } \Omega)^{g+1}} .$$

Let  $k$  be the Kodaira-Spencer map identifying the quadratic differentials on  $\Sigma$  with the fiber of the cotangent of the Teichmüller space at the point representing  $\Sigma$ . We have

$$k(\omega_i \omega_j) = (2\pi i)^{-1} d\Omega_{ij} ,$$

where  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$  is the standard basis of the holomorphic abelian differentials. By (5) we have

$$\sum_{l,m=1}^g (ij|lm) d\Omega_{lm} = 0 ,$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, g$ . Let us set

$$d\Xi_i := 2\pi i k(v_i) , \quad i = 1, \dots, N .$$

By Eq.(6) we have

$$d\Omega_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{W}_{k,ij}}{W} d\Xi_k , \quad (7)$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, g$ .

**Theorem 4.** *The line element  $ds|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}_g}$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  induced by the Siegel metric is*

$$ds^2|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}_g} := \sum_{i,j=1}^N g_{ij} d\Xi_i d\bar{\Xi}_j ,$$

where

$$g_{ij} := |W|^{-2} \text{Tr} [(\text{Im } \Omega)^{-1} \hat{W}_i (\text{Im } \Omega)^{-1} \bar{\hat{W}}_j] .$$

It follows that the Siegel induced modular invariant volume form on  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is

$$d\nu := |\det g|^{\frac{1}{2}} d\Xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{\Xi}_N .$$

**Remarks.**

1. The relations among the bilinears  $\omega_i \omega_j$  can be derived also in the hyperelliptic case by introducing a suitable set of holomorphic quadratic differentials.
2. Relations between the  $\Omega_{ij}$  follow by integrating Eq.(7). Such relations should appear in studying the structure of the spectrum of the Laplacian acting on degree zero bundles. We may expect that in the case of period matrices of branched covering of the torus, considered in [2], which in turn correspond to Jacobians with a particular CM, the derived relations lead to identities of number theoretical interest.
3. The relationships for the quadratic differentials lead to  $\theta$ -identities that will be considered in a forthcoming publication. We expect that the constraints on the Riemann period matrix naturally lead to the construction of modular functions.
4. The measure  $d\nu$  and permutations of products of determinants of abelian differentials appear in the superstring amplitudes [3].

**Acknowledgements.** Work partially supported by the European Community's Human Potential Programme under contract MRTN-CT-2004-005104 "Constituents, Fundamental Forces and Symmetries of the Universe".

## References

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths and J. Harris, “Geometry of Algebraic Curves,” Vol.1. Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York, Tokyo, 1985.
- [2] M. Matone, “Eigenfunctions of the Laplacian Acting on Degree Zero Bundles over Special Riemann Surfaces,” Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **356**, 2989 (2004) [arXiv:math.ag/0105051].
- [3] M. Matone and R. Volpato, “Higher genus superstring amplitudes from the geometry of moduli space,” arXiv:hep-th/0506231