

## ON THE KASHIWARA-VERGNE CONJECTURE

A. ALEKSEEV AND E. MEINRENKEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group, with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In 1977, Duflo constructed a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $\text{Duf}: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ , which restricts to an algebra isomorphism on invariants. Kashiwara and Vergne (1978) proposed a conjecture on the Campbell-Hausdorff series, which (among other things) extends the Duflo theorem to germs of bi-invariant distributions on the Lie group  $G$ .

The main results of the present paper are as follows. (1) Using a recent result of Torossian (2002), we establish the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture for any Lie group  $G$ . (2) We give a reformulation of the Kashiwara-Vergne property in terms of Lie algebra cohomology. As a direct corollary, one obtains the algebra isomorphism  $H(\mathfrak{g}, S(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow H(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ , as well as a more general statement for distributions.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Review of the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture	3
3. Torossian's theorem implies the KV conjecture	9
4. Lie algebra cohomology and the KV conjecture	13
Appendix A. The zero curvature equation	16
References	17

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group, with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the universal enveloping algebra, and  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  the symmetric algebra. The Duflo map is the isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules,

$$(1) \quad \text{Duf}: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}),$$

obtained by precomposing the symmetrization map with an infinite-order differential operator  $\widehat{J^{1/2}}$  on the symmetric algebra (viewed as the space of polynomials on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ). Here  $J \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$  is the analytic function

$$(2) \quad J(x) = \det_{\mathfrak{g}} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\text{ad}_x}}{\text{ad}_x} \right),$$

and  $\widehat{J^{1/2}}: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g})$  is obtained from the Taylor series expansion of  $J^{1/2}$  by replacing the variables  $x$  with derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}$ . Duflo's theorem [5] states that the map  $\text{Duf}$  restricts

---

*Date:* March 29, 2019.

to an *algebra* isomorphism on invariants,  $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . In [7] Kashiwara and Vergne proposed a conjecture regarding the Campbell-Hausdorff series, which among other things generalizes the Duflo theorem to germs of invariant distributions on the Lie group  $G$ . Here  $S(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g})$  is identified with the subalgebra (under convolution) of distributions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  supported at 0, while  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is identified with the subalgebra (under convolution) of distributions on  $G$  supported at  $e$ . This generalization of Duflo's theorem was proved later in a series of papers [2, 3, 4] using the diagrammatic technique of Kontsevich [8]. The KV conjecture itself was established for  $\mathfrak{g}$  solvable [7], for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  in [11], and in the case of  $\mathfrak{g}$  quadratic (that is,  $\mathfrak{g}$  carries an invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form) in [14] (see also [1]).

There are several equivalent formulations of the KV conjecture, one of which is as follows (see Section 2 for details). Let  $\mathfrak{g}_t$  denote the family of Lie algebras, obtained from  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}$  by rescaling the Lie bracket as  $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = t[\cdot, \cdot]$ , and let  $m_t$  denote the family of products on  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ , induced from the products on  $U(\mathfrak{g}_t)$  by the Duflo isomorphisms  $\text{Duf}_t: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}_t)$ . Thus,  $m_t$  interpolates between the usual commutative product  $m_0$  on  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  and the non-commutative product coming from  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . The product maps  $m_t$  have a natural extension to the space of distributions on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with compact support in a sufficiently small open neighborhood  $O$  of 0,

$$(3) \quad m_t : \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Let  $e_i$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^2 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $L(e_i)$  be the Lie derivatives relative to the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}^2$  on  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2)$ . The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture asserts the existence of an analytic map  $\beta = \sum \beta^i e_i : O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$ , vanishing at the origin, such that

$$(4) \quad \frac{dm_t}{dt} = -m_t \circ \sum_i \beta_t^i L(e_i),$$

where  $\beta_t^i(x, y) = t^{-1}\beta^i(tx, ty)$ . Equation (4) implies that the family of products  $m_t$  is independent of  $t$  when restricted to germs of invariant distributions.

In a recent paper, Torossian [13] came very close to a proof of the KV conjecture, again using Kontsevich diagram techniques. In more detail, Torossian proved an analogue of Equation (4), for a certain family of maps  $\tilde{m}_t : \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $\tilde{m}_0 = m_0, \tilde{m}_1 = m_1$ . However,  $\tilde{m}_t \neq m_t$ , and indeed the products defined by  $\tilde{m}_t$  are not associative.

Our first main result shows that one obtains a solution  $\beta_t$  of the KV equation (4) from a solution  $\tilde{\beta}_t$  of Torossian's modified KV property, by solving an interesting 'zero curvature' equation. This establishes the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture in full generality.

Our second result relates the KV property to Lie algebra cohomology, as follows. For any  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $X$ , let  $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, X)$  denote the Lie algebra cohomology of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $X$ . By functoriality, the Duflo map (1) induces a homomorphism of graded vector spaces,

$$(5) \quad H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, S(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})).$$

In [12], Shoikhet announced a joint result with Kontsevich that the linear map (5) is an isomorphism of graded algebras. Shortly later, a proof was published by Pevzner-Torossian [10]. In his paper, Shoikhet raises the question [12, Remark 3.2] whether this

result would follow from the KV conjecture. We show that this is indeed the case: Let

$$(6) \quad M_t: \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2) \otimes \wedge(\mathfrak{g}^2)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

denote the family of products on Chevalley-Eilenberg complexes, induced by the maps  $m_t$  (3). We prove that  $\beta: O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$  solves the KV conjecture if and only if

$$(7) \quad \frac{dM_t}{dt} = -[d, M_t \circ \sum_i \beta_t^i \otimes \iota(e_i)].$$

Here  $d$  is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential, and  $\iota(e_i)$  are contraction operators. Equation (7) implies that the product maps  $M_t$  are chain homotopic. Hence, the induced map in cohomology is independent of  $t$ .

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give three different formulations I,II,III of the KV conjecture. The algebraic formulation III is the main version of the conjecture, as stated in [7, page 250]. The other two versions are more geometric. They are implicit in [7, Section 3], although we add some details to show that they are indeed equivalent to III. In Section 3 we show that Torossian's theorem [13] implies the KV conjecture. Section 4 gives yet another reformulation IV of the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture, as a homotopy formula for Chevalley-Eilenberg complexes. In this context, we formulate a new conjecture extending the Kashiwara-Vergne approach to higher homotopies.

**Notational conventions.** For any manifold  $M$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  the Lie algebra of vector fields (viewed as derivations of  $C^\infty(M)$ ), and by  $\Omega^\bullet(M)$  the algebra of differential forms. We follow the convention that the flow  $F_t$  of a time dependent vector field  $X_t$  is given by  $(X_t f)(F_t^{-1}(x)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(F_t^{-1}(x))$ . The Lie derivative on  $\Omega(M)$  is thus given by  $F_t^* \circ L_{X_t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_t^*$ . A family of forms  $\alpha_t$  is intertwined by the flow if  $F_t^* \alpha_t$  is independent of  $t$ ; in terms of the Lie derivative this means  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L(X_t))\alpha_t = 0$ .

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank C. Torossian for explaining his work [13]. We are grateful to H. Maire and to E. Petracci for helpful discussions. The research of A.A. was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

## 2. REVIEW OF THE KASHIWARA-VERGNE CONJECTURE

**2.1. Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper,  $r_t^V$  will denote scalar multiplication by a real parameter  $t$  on some vector space  $V$ . We omit the superscript if  $V$  is clear from the context. We will frequently use the following elementary fact. Suppose  $R_t: W \rightarrow W$ ,  $0 < t \leq 1$  are automorphisms of a topological vector space, with  $R_{t_1 t_2} = R_{t_1} \circ R_{t_2}$ . Assume that  $w \in W$  be a smooth vector, i.e.  $w_t = R_t(w)$  is differentiable in  $t$ . Then the derivative  $\dot{w}_t = \frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t}$  satisfies

$$(8) \quad \dot{w}_t = t^{-1} R_t(\dot{w}_1).$$

For example, suppose  $O$  is a star-shaped open subset of a vector space  $V$ , and take  $W$  to be the space of  $k$ -forms on  $O$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(O)$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the derivative of  $\alpha_t := t^a r_t^* \alpha$  scales according to  $\dot{\alpha}_t = t^{a-1} r_t^* \dot{\alpha}_1$ .

**2.2. The Duflo map on distributions.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra and  $G$  the corresponding connected, simply connected Lie group. Let  $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$  denote the exponential map. The Jacobian  $J \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$  of the exponential map  $\exp$  (using left trivialization of the tangent bundle of  $G$ ) is given by formula (2). Let  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the commutative algebra of compactly supported distributions on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with product given by convolution. The symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is identified with the subalgebra of distributions supported at 0. Similarly, let  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(G)$  denote the non-commutative convolutions algebra of distributions on  $G$ , and identify  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  with distributions supported at the group unit  $e \in G$ . Assume temporarily that  $J$  admits a global square root  $J^{1/2}$ , equal to 1 at the origin. (This is not the case for all  $G$ , but holds true, for instance, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits an invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form.) Then the Duflo map  $\text{Duf}: S\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U\mathfrak{g}$  extends to a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules,

$$(9) \quad \text{Duf} = \exp_* \circ J^{1/2}: \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(G),$$

and again this is an algebra homomorphism on invariants. For a general non-compact Lie group, this extension may not be very interesting since there may be very few invariant distributions of compact support. However, one obtains an interesting generalization by working instead with *germs* of invariant distributions. Let  $\mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A)$  denote the space of germs (at the origin  $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ) of distributions on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with asymptotic support<sup>1</sup> in the closed cone  $A \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}'(G, A)$  be the space of germs of distributions at  $e \in G$ , given as the image of  $\mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A)$  under  $\exp_*$ . For closed cones  $A_1, A_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  with  $A_1 \cap -A_2 = \{0\}$ , there are well-defined convolution products

$$(10) \quad \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_1) \otimes \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_1 + A_2),$$

and

$$(11) \quad \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_1) \otimes \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_1 + A_2).$$

For instance, these products are well-defined if one of the cones  $A_1, A_2$  is trivial. The natural extension of Duflo's theorem asserts that the Duflo map intertwines (10) (restricted to  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants), with (11) (restricted to  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants). This extension of Duflo's theorem was put forward by Kashiwara-Vergne [7] in their paper, and was proved many years later in [2, 3, 4]. In their original paper, Kashiwara-Vergne formulated a conjecture, which would imply the Duflo theorem for distributions.

**2.3. The Kashiwara-Vergne approach.** The Kashiwara-Vergne approach is based on the idea that the Duflo theorem should follow from a general property of the Campbell-Hausdorff series

$$(12) \quad \Phi(x, y) := \log(\exp(x)\exp(y)) = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \cdots$$

Recall that the function  $\Phi(x, y)$  is well-defined and analytic for  $x, y$  in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin. To be specific, let  $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a star-shaped neighborhood of the origin such that the exponential map is a diffeomorphism over  $U$ , and let  $O \subset U$  be a smaller star-shaped open neighborhood such that  $\exp(x)\exp(y) \in \exp(U)$  for all  $x, y \in O$ .

<sup>1</sup>Let  $x$  be a manifold, and  $u$  the germ of a distribution at  $x \in M$ . The (*asymptotic*) *support* of  $u$  is the closed subset  $\text{supp}_x(u) \subset T_x M$ , defined as follows: Let  $\gamma(t)$  denote a smooth curve with  $\gamma(0) = x$ . Then the tangent vector  $\dot{\gamma}(0) \notin \text{supp}_x(u)$  if and only if  $\gamma(t) \notin \text{supp}(u)$  for  $t > 0$  sufficiently small.

Then  $\Phi: O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is well-defined and takes values in  $U$ . Since  $J > 0$  on  $U$ , the square root  $J^{1/2}$  is a well-defined analytic function on  $U$ . Under the Duflo map, the convolution product on  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(G)$  gives rise to a non-commutative product on distributions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with support in  $O$ . In terms of the map  $\Phi$  this product can be written,

$$(13) \quad m = \Phi_* \circ \kappa: \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g})$$

where  $\kappa \in C^\infty(O^2)$  is the function,

$$(14) \quad \kappa(x, y) = \frac{J^{1/2}(x)J^{1/2}(y)}{J^{1/2}(\Phi(x, y))}.$$

Denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_t$  the Lie algebra, obtained from  $\mathfrak{g}$  by rescaling the Lie bracket,

$$(15) \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_t = t[\cdot, \cdot].$$

Let  $G_t$  denote the connected, simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_t$ . For  $t \neq 0$ , the map  $r_t: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  defines a Lie algebra isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_t \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ , while  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the zero bracket. The exponential map  $\exp_t: \mathfrak{g}_t \rightarrow G_t$  is a diffeomorphism over  $U_t = r_{t^{-1}}U$ , and the counterpart  $\Phi_t: O_t \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  of the map  $\Phi$  is well-defined for  $O_t = r_{t^{-1}}O$ . One has  $\Phi_t = t^{-1}r_t^*\Phi$  for  $t \neq 0$ , i.e.

$$(16) \quad \Phi_t(x, y) = x + y + \frac{t}{2}[x, y] + \cdots,$$

while  $\Phi_0(x, y) = x + y$ . The Jacobian of  $\exp_t$  is  $J_t = r_t^*J$ . From the family of Lie brackets  $[\cdot, \cdot]_t$ , we obtain a family of multiplication maps  $m_t = (\Phi_t)_* \circ \kappa_t$  with  $\kappa_t = r_t^*\kappa$ . For  $t \neq 0$ ,

$$(17) \quad m_t = (r_{t^{-1}})_* \circ m_1 \circ (r_t)_*.$$

The map  $m_0$  is the commutative product given by convolution on the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The  $t$ -derivative  $\dot{m}_t = \frac{\partial m_t}{\partial t}$  scales according to

$$(18) \quad \dot{m}_t = t^{-1}(r_{t^{-1}})_* \circ \dot{m}_1 \circ (r_t)_*.$$

The generalized Duflo theorem is equivalent to the statement that the derivative  $\dot{m}_t$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}, A_1 \times A_2)^{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}$ , where  $A_1 \cap (-A_2) = \{0\}$ . Kashiwara-Vergne formulated the following stronger property of  $m_t$ .

**2.4. The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture.** Let  $e_i$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^2$ , and let  $L_i = L(e_i)$  be the Lie derivatives for the  $\mathfrak{g}^2$ -action on  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2)$ .

**Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture, version I:** *Taking  $O$  smaller if necessary, there exists a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant smooth function  $\beta = \sum_i \beta^i e_i: O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$ , with  $\beta(0, 0) = 0$ , such that  $\beta_t = t^{-1}r_t^*\beta$  satisfies,*

$$(19) \quad \frac{\partial m_t}{\partial t} = -m_t \circ \sum_i \beta_t^i L_i.$$

Duflo's theorem, as well as its extension to germs of invariant distributions, are a direct consequence of (19) since the right hand side vanishes on invariants.

*Remark 2.1.* It is not difficult to show that  $\dot{m}_t$  can be written in the form

$$(20) \quad \dot{m}_t = m_t \circ P_t$$

where  $P_t$  are first order differential operators on  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2)$ . In light of Duflo's theorem, the idea that one can take  $P_t$  of the form  $\sum_i \beta_t^i L_i$  may therefore appear rather natural. Note also that the operator  $\sum_i \beta_t^i L_i$  on  $\mathcal{D}'(O^2)$  is different, in general, from the Lie derivative  $L(X^{\beta_t})$  in the direction of the vector field  $X^{\beta_t}$  generated by  $\beta_t$ , since on distributions  $L(X^{\beta_t}) = \sum_i L_i \circ \beta_t^i$ .

Another version of the conjecture states the KV property in terms of the derivatives of the function  $\Phi_t$  and  $\kappa_t$ .

**Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture, version II:** *Taking  $O$  smaller if necessary, there exists a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant smooth function  $\beta: O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$ , with  $\beta(0,0) = 0$ , such that  $\beta_t = t^{-1}r_t^* \beta$  satisfies the following two equations:*

$$(21) \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_t}{\partial t} - L(X^{\beta_t})\Phi_t = 0,$$

$$(22) \quad \frac{\partial \kappa_t}{\partial t} - \left( \sum_i L_i \circ \beta_t^i \right) \kappa_t = 0.$$

*Remark 2.2.* The geometric interpretation of the first equation is that the vector field  $X^{\beta_t}$  intertwines the product maps,  $\Phi_t$ . To interpret the second equation, choose a translation invariant volume form  $\Gamma_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^2$ , and consider the family of volume forms  $\Gamma_t = \kappa_t \Gamma_0$ . Then the second equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Gamma}_t &= L(X^{\beta_t})\Gamma_t - \sum_i \beta_t^i \kappa_t L_i \Gamma_0 \\ &= L(X^{\beta_t})\Gamma_t - \sum_i \beta_t^i \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}(e_i) \Gamma_t \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that  $L(X^{\beta_t}) = \sum_i L_i \circ \beta_t^i$  on volume forms. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *unimodular* (i.e.,  $\text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}(x)) = 0$  for all  $x$ ), the equation says that the vector field  $X^{\beta_t}$  intertwines the volume forms  $\Gamma_t$ , while in the general case  $\Gamma_t$  is a relative invariant for the unimodular character.

The third version of the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture is more algebraic, and no longer involves the variable  $t$ . This is the ‘main’ version of the conjecture, as formulated in [7].

**Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture, version III:** *Taking  $O$  smaller if necessary, there exist smooth  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant functions  $\beta^1, \beta^2: O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , vanishing at  $(0,0)$ , such that the following two equations are satisfied:*

$$(23) \quad (1 - e^{-\text{ad}_x})\beta^1(x, y) + (e^{\text{ad}_y} - 1)\beta^2(x, y) = x + y - \log(\exp(y)\exp(x)),$$

$$(24) \quad -\operatorname{tr} \left( \operatorname{ad}_x \circ \partial_x \beta^1(x, y) + \operatorname{ad}_y \circ \partial_y \beta^2(x, y) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( \frac{\operatorname{ad}_x}{e^{\operatorname{ad}_x} - 1} + \frac{\operatorname{ad}_y}{e^{\operatorname{ad}_y} - 1} - \frac{\operatorname{ad}_z}{e^{\operatorname{ad}_z} - 1} - 1 \right),$$

with  $z = \log(\exp(x) \exp(y))$ . Here  $\operatorname{tr}$  denotes the trace in the adjoint representation,  $\partial_x \beta^1(x, y) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the linear map

$$(25) \quad \xi \mapsto \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right|_{u=0} \beta^1(x + u\xi, y),$$

and similarly for  $\partial_y \beta^2(x, y)$ .

*Remark 2.3.* A *Lie polynomial* in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_l$  is an element of the free Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}(x_1, \dots, x_l)$  with generators  $x_i$ . Recall that the free Lie algebra has a grading  $\mathfrak{l} = \bigoplus_k \mathfrak{l}^k$ , where the degree  $k$  component consists of linear combinations of *Lie monomials*  $[z_{i_1}, [z_{i_2}, \dots, [z_{i_{k-1}}, z_{i_k}], \dots]]$  where  $z_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ . A *Lie series* is an element of the degree completion  $\widehat{\mathfrak{l}} = \prod_k \mathfrak{l}^k$ . If  $x_i$  are elements of a given Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , one obtains a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  taking  $x_i \in \mathfrak{l}$  to  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

The Campbell-Hausdorff theorem (see e.g. [6, Chapter I.3]) states that if  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$ , the function  $\Phi(x, y) = \log(\exp(x) \exp(y))$  is a Lie series in  $x, y$ . Dynkin's formula gives this series explicitly as a *universal* element in  $\widehat{\mathfrak{l}}(x, y)$  (i.e. not depending on the Lie algebra). It is hence natural to require that similarly,  $\beta^1, \beta^2$  are analytic functions in  $x, y$  given as universal Lie series. (Notice however that the second equation (24) does not make sense in  $\widehat{\mathfrak{l}}$ , since it involves the trace in the adjoint representation.)

**2.5. Equivalence of versions I-III of the KV conjecture.** The implication  $III \Rightarrow II \Rightarrow I$  was shown in [7, Section 3]. Closer examination of their argument shows that the three statements are in fact equivalent:

**2.5.1. Equivalence  $I \Leftrightarrow II$ .** We have to show that  $\dot{m}_t = m_t \circ \sum_i \beta_t^i L_i$  if and only if  $\beta_t$  solves the pair of equations (21),(22). In fact, this equivalence does not involve the particular form of  $\Phi_t, \kappa_t$ . Thus let  $0 \in O \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be an open neighborhood of the origin, and let  $\Phi_t : O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \kappa_t : O^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be *arbitrary* families of smooth functions. Define a family of maps  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g})$  by  $m_t = (\Phi_t)_* \circ \kappa_t$ . Let  $\beta_t : O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$  be a smooth family of functions.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The equation  $\dot{m}_t = -m_t \circ \sum_i \beta_t^i L_i$  holds if and only if*

$$(26) \quad \dot{\Phi}_t - L(X^{\beta_t})\Phi_t = 0, \quad \dot{\kappa}_t - \left( \sum_i L_i \circ \beta_t^i \right) \kappa_t = 0.$$

*Proof.* Recall that linear combinations of delta-distributions are dense in the space of distributions. Hence  $\dot{m}_t = -m_t \circ \sum_i \beta_t^i L_i$  holds if and only if it holds on  $\delta_{(q,p)}$ , for all  $(q, p) \in O^2$ . Let us work out the resulting identities. Taking the  $t$ -derivative of

$$(27) \quad m_t(\delta_{(q,p)}) = \kappa_t(q, p) \delta_{\Phi_t(q,p)},$$

we obtain

$$(28) \quad \dot{m}_t(\delta_{(q,p)}) = \dot{\kappa}_t(q, p) \delta_{\Phi_t(q,p)} - \kappa_t(q, p) \sum_a \dot{\Phi}_t^a(q, p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \delta_{\Phi_t(q,p)}.$$

Here  $e_a$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $x^a$  are the corresponding coordinates. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_t \circ \sum_i \beta_t^i L_i)(\delta_{(q,p)}) &= (\Phi_t)_* \left( \sum_i \kappa_t \beta_t^i L_i \delta_{(q,p)} \right) \\
&= (\Phi_t)_* \left( - \sum_i (L_i(\kappa_t \beta_t^i)) \delta_{(q,p)} + \sum_i (\kappa_t \beta_t^i)(q,p) L_i \delta_{(q,p)} \right) \\
&= - \sum_i L_i(\kappa_t \beta_t^i)(q,p) \delta_{\Phi_t(q,p)} + \sum_i (\kappa_t \beta_t^i)(q,p) (\Phi_t)_*(L_i \delta_{(q,p)}) \\
&= - \sum_i L_i(\kappa_t \beta_t^i)(q,p) \delta_{\Phi_t(q,p)} + \sum_{ia} (\kappa_t \beta_t^i L_i(\Phi_t^a))(q,p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \delta_{\Phi_t(q,p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $\delta_{\Phi_t(q,p)}$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \delta_{\Phi_t(q,p)}$  with those in Equation (28), we find that  $\dot{m}_t = -m_t \circ \sum_i \beta_t^i L_i$  is equivalent to

$$(29) \quad \dot{\Phi}_t^a = \sum_i \beta_t^i L_i(\Phi_t^a), \quad \dot{\kappa}_t = \sum_i L_i(\kappa_t \beta_t^i),$$

which is (26). □

**2.5.2. Equivalence II  $\Leftrightarrow$  III.** Let  $A(x,y), A'(x,y)$  denote the left-, right-hand side of (23), and write  $A_t = t^{-1} r_t^* A$  and  $A'_t = t^{-1} r_t^* A'$ . In the proof of [7, Lemma 2.3], Kashiwara-Vergne compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Phi}_t(x,y) &= \frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \log \left( e^{tx} e^{\epsilon A'_t(x,y)} e^{ty} \right) \\
-(L(X^{\beta_t}) \Phi_t)(x,y) &= \frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \log \left( e^{tx} e^{\epsilon A_t(x,y)} e^{ty} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the first condition (21) of version II is equivalent to  $A_t = A'_t$ , hence to  $A = A'$  which is the first condition (23) of version III.

Assuming (21), we now show that (22) is equivalent to (24). Let  $B(x,y), B'(x,y)$  denote the left-, right-hand side of Equation (24), and let  $B_t = t^{-1} r_t^* B$ ,  $B'_t = t^{-1} r_t^* B'$ . Then

$$(30) \quad B_t = - \sum_i (L_i \beta_t^i),$$

and Equation (22) may be written in the form

$$(31) \quad 0 = \dot{\kappa}_t - L(X^{\beta_t}) \kappa_t + \sum_i (L_i \beta_t^i) \kappa_t = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L(X^{\beta_t}) \right) \kappa_t - B_t \kappa_t.$$

From (21), we have

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L(X^{\beta_t}) \right) J_t(\Phi_t(x,y)) = \dot{J}_t(\Phi_t(x,y)).$$



On the other hand,  $L(X^{\beta_t})J_t(x) = L(X^{\beta_t})J_t(y) = 0$  since  $J$  is ad-invariant. Recalling the formula [7, Lemma 3.3]

$$(32) \quad J_t(z)^{-1} \dot{J}_t(z) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}} \left( \frac{t \operatorname{ad}_z}{e^{t \operatorname{ad}_z} - 1} - 1 \right), \quad z \in \mathfrak{g}$$

this gives,

$$(33) \quad \dot{\kappa}_t - L(X^{\beta_t})\kappa_t = B'_t \kappa_t.$$

We thus obtain  $B_t = B'_t$ , i.e.  $B = B'$ .

### 3. TOROSSIAN'S THEOREM IMPLIES THE KV CONJECTURE

**3.1. Torossian's theorem.** In his paper, Torossian [13, page 601] proves the following statement, similar to version II of the KV conjecture.

**Theorem 3.1** (Torossian). *There are families of smooth functions*

$$(34) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_s: O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_s: O^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{\beta}_s: O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2, \quad s \in [0, 1]$$

with the following properties:

- (a) *The Taylor expansion of  $\tilde{\Phi}_s(x, y)$  at the origin  $(0, 0)$  is of the form  $\tilde{\Phi}_s(x, y) = x + y + \dots$ . Furthermore,  $\tilde{\kappa}_s(0, 0) = 1$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_s(0, 0) = 0$ ,*
- (b) *For  $s = 0$ ,*

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0(x, y) = x + y, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_0(x, y) = 1,$$

while for  $s = 1$ ,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1(x, y) = \Phi(x, y), \quad \tilde{\kappa}_1(x, y) = \kappa(x, y).$$

- (c) *The following two equations are satisfied:*

$$\dot{\tilde{\Phi}}_s - L(X^{\tilde{\beta}_s})\tilde{\Phi}_s = 0, \quad \dot{\tilde{\kappa}}_s - \left( \sum_i L_i \circ \tilde{\beta}_s^i \right) \tilde{\kappa}_s = 0.$$

The functions  $\tilde{\Phi}_s$ ,  $\tilde{\kappa}_s$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_s$  are constructed using the diagrammatic technique of Kontsevich [8]. See [13] for details.

Using  $\tilde{\Phi}_s$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}_s$  one can define maps  $\tilde{m}_s = (\tilde{\Phi}_s)_* \circ \tilde{\kappa}_s$  as before. However,  $\tilde{m}_s \neq m_s$ , and in fact the 'products'  $\tilde{m}_s$  are not associative, in general. Nonetheless, according Lemma 2.4 one still has

$$(35) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{m}_s}{\partial s} = -\tilde{m}_s \circ \sum_i \tilde{\beta}_s^i L_i,$$

which as before implies the Duflo theorem and its generalization to germs of invariant distributions.

**3.2. Torossian  $\Rightarrow$  KV.** We will now show how to obtain a solution  $\beta_t$  of the original KV problem from Torossian's function  $\tilde{\beta}_s$ . Let us first state the relevant argument in a more general setting. Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be a Lie algebra, acting on a manifold  $M$ . The vector fields defining this action are a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $\xi \mapsto X^\xi$ . For  $\beta \in \mathfrak{k}_M := C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{k})$  define  $X^\beta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  by  $X^\beta(x) = X^{\beta(x)}(x)$ . Then

$$(36) \quad \mathfrak{k}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad \beta \mapsto X^\beta$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism for the following Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{k}_M$ ,

$$(37) \quad [\beta, \gamma](x) = (L(X^\beta)\gamma)(x) - (L(X^\gamma)\beta)(x) + [\beta(x), \gamma(x)].$$

The following Lemma will be proved in the appendix.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose  $\gamma_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{k}_M$  is a given smooth 2-parameter family, with  $\gamma_{s,0}(x_0) = 0$  for a given point  $x_0 \in M$ . Replacing  $M$  by a smaller neighborhood of  $x_0$  if necessary, there exists a unique 2-parameter family  $\beta_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{k}_M$  with  $\beta_{0,t}(x_0) = 0$ , such that the following zero curvature equation is satisfied:*

$$(38) \quad \frac{\partial \beta_{s,t}}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial \gamma_{s,t}}{\partial t} + [\beta_{s,t}, \gamma_{s,t}] = 0.$$

Let us describe another general feature of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}_M$ . For  $\beta \in \mathfrak{k}_M$ , consider the first order differential operator on  $\mathcal{D}'(M)$  (cf. (22))

$$(39) \quad V(\beta) = \sum_i \beta^i \circ L(e_i)$$

The operator  $V(\beta)$  is different from the Lie derivative  $L(X^\beta)$ , which equals  $\sum_i L_i \circ \beta^i$  on distributions. However, one verifies that the difference  $L(X^\beta) - V(\beta) = \tau(\beta)$ , where

$$(40) \quad \tau: \mathfrak{k}_M \rightarrow C^\infty(M), \quad \beta \mapsto \sum_i (L_i \beta^i),$$

is a Lie algebra cocycle:  $\tau([\beta, \gamma]) = L(X^\beta)\tau(\gamma) - L(X^\gamma)\tau(\beta)$ . This gives

$$(41) \quad V([\beta, \gamma]) = [V(\beta), V(\gamma)].$$

(Note that for the function  $\beta$  from the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture (version III), the cocycle  $\tau(\beta)$  appears as the left hand side of (24) (see also (30)).)

**Theorem 3.3.** *Any solution  $\tilde{\beta}_s$  of Torossian's modified KV problem (cf. Theorem 3.1) determines a solution  $\beta_t$  of the KV problem.*

*Proof.* Introduce a 2-parameter family of maps  $\tilde{\beta}_{s,t} = r_t^* \tilde{\beta}_s$ ,  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $\tilde{\beta}_0 = 0$ , we have  $\tilde{\beta}_{0,t} = 0$  for all  $t$ . Similarly, rescale  $\tilde{\Phi}_{s,t} = t^{-1} r_t^* \tilde{\Phi}_s$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}_{s,t} = r_t^* \tilde{\kappa}_s$ . For each  $s, t$  the 'product'  $\tilde{m}_{s,t} = (\tilde{\Phi}_{s,t})_* \circ \tilde{\kappa}_{s,t}$  satisfies the equation,

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{m}_{s,t}}{\partial s} = -\tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ \sum_i \tilde{\beta}_{s,t}^i L_i.$$

Now let  $\beta_{s,t}$  be the 2-parameter family of maps  $\beta_{s,t} : O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$  obtained by solving the zero curvature equation. We compute,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{m}_{s,t}}{\partial t} + \tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ V(\beta_{s,t}) \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \tilde{m}_{s,t}}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \tilde{m}_{s,t}}{\partial s} \circ V(\beta_{s,t}) + \tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ V \left( \frac{\partial \beta_{s,t}}{\partial s} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ V(\tilde{\beta}_{s,t}) \right) - \tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ V(\tilde{\beta}_{s,t}) \circ V(\beta_{s,t}) + \tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ V \left( \frac{\partial \beta_{s,t}}{\partial s} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\partial \tilde{m}_{s,t}}{\partial t} \circ V(\tilde{\beta}_{s,t}) - \tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ \left( V \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\beta}_{s,t}}{\partial t} \right) - V \left( \frac{\partial \beta_{s,t}}{\partial s} \right) + V(\tilde{\beta}_{s,t}) \circ V(\beta_{s,t}) \right) \\
&= -\left( \frac{\partial \tilde{m}_{s,t}}{\partial t} + \tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ V(\beta_{s,t}) \right) \circ V(\tilde{\beta}_{s,t})
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (38) with  $\gamma_{s,t} = \tilde{\beta}_{s,t}$ . Since  $\tilde{m}_{0,t} = m_0$  and  $\beta_{0,t} = 0$ , the map

$$(42) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{m}_{s,t}}{\partial t} + \tilde{m}_{s,t} \circ V(\beta_{s,t})$$

vanishes for  $s = 0$ . Hence, by uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations (42) must vanish identically. In particular, setting  $s = 1$ , we get the desired equation

$$\frac{\partial m_t}{\partial t} + m_t \circ V(\beta_t) = 0.$$

□

**3.3. Analyticity.** As pointed out in Remark 2.3, it is natural to impose the additional condition on the KV solution  $\beta$ , that its components  $\beta^1, \beta^2$  are given by (universal) analytic Lie series. We will now verify that the solution  $\beta$  constructed in the last Section does indeed have this property.

We introduce the following terminology. Let  $\mathfrak{l} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{l}^n$  be the free Lie algebra on generators  $x, y$ , and  $\hat{\mathfrak{l}}$  its degree completion. An element  $l \in \mathfrak{l}^n$  will be called a *Lie word of length  $n$*  if it is obtained by bracketing  $n$  elements  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} \in \{x, y\}$ , in any order. For instance,  $[y, [[x, y], x]]$  is a Lie word of length 4.

*Definition 3.4.* Given a Lie series  $l = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l_n \in \hat{\mathfrak{l}}$ , let  $C_n(l)$  denote the smallest possible  $\sum_i |c_{n,i}|$  for presentations

$$(43) \quad l_n = \sum_i c_{n,i} l_{n,i}$$

where  $l_{n,i}$  are Lie words of length  $n$ . The Lie series  $l$  is called *strongly convergent* if  $C_n(l) \leq D^n$  for some  $D > 0$ .

The Campbell-Hausdorff series is an example of a strongly convergent Lie series. For any finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , a strongly convergent Lie series  $l$  defines an analytic function  $l(x, y)$  with values in  $\mathfrak{g}$  on some neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ . Strongly convergent Lie series form a Lie subalgebra of  $\hat{\mathfrak{l}}$ .

Motivated by (37), we introduce a new bracket on  $\hat{\mathfrak{l}} \times \hat{\mathfrak{l}}$ , as follows. For any  $\beta = (\beta^1, \beta^2)$ , let  $L_\beta$  denote the derivation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{l}}$  given on generators by  $L_\beta x = [\beta^1, x]$ ,  $L_\beta y = [\beta^2, y]$ . If  $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \gamma^2)$  is a pair of Lie series, we set  $L_\beta \gamma = (L_\beta \gamma^1, L_\beta \gamma^2)$ . Now put

$$(44) \quad [\beta, \gamma] = L_\beta \gamma - L_\gamma \beta + [\beta, \gamma]_0.$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$  denotes the original (componentwise) bracket. The Jacobi identity for the new bracket is a simple corollary of the derivation property for  $L_\beta$ .

Let us write  $\text{ad}_\beta = [\beta, \cdot]$ , and denote by  $C_n(\beta)$  the maximum of  $C_n(\beta^1)$  and  $C_n(\beta^2)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose  $\beta, \gamma \in \hat{\mathfrak{l}} \times \hat{\mathfrak{l}}$  with  $C_n(\beta), C_n(\gamma) \leq D^n$  for some  $D > 0$ . Then  $C_n([\beta, \gamma]) \leq n^2 D^n$ . More generally, If  $C_n(\beta_i), C_n(\gamma) \leq D^n$  then*

$$(45) \quad C_n(\text{ad}_{\beta_k} \cdots \text{ad}_{\beta_1} \gamma) \leq \frac{(2n^2)^k}{(2k-1)!!} D^n.$$

Here  $(2k-1)!! = (2k-1)(2k-3) \cdots 1$  for all  $k > 0$ .

*Proof.* Note that if  $\beta, \gamma$  are homogeneous of degree  $n_1, n_2$ , then  $[\beta, \gamma]$  is homogeneous of degree  $n = n_1 + n_2$ , and

$$C_n([\beta, \gamma]) = (n+1)C_{n_1}(\beta)C_{n_2}(\gamma).$$

This follows since  $C_n(L_\beta \gamma) \leq n_2 C_{n_1}(\beta)C_{n_2}(\gamma)$ , and similarly  $C_n(L_\gamma \beta) \leq n_1 C_{n_1}(\beta)C_{n_2}(\gamma)$ , while  $C_n([\beta, \gamma]_0) \leq C_{n_1}(\beta)C_{n_2}(\gamma)$ . We now obtain (45) by induction on  $k$ . The case  $k = 0$  is trivial, and for  $k > 0$  we find, using the induction hypothesis for  $k-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(\text{ad}_{\beta_k} \cdots \text{ad}_{\beta_1} \gamma) &\leq (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} C_{n-j}(\beta_k) C_j(\text{ad}_{\beta_{k-1}} \cdots \text{ad}_{\beta_1} \gamma) \\ &\leq (n+1) \frac{1}{(2k-3)!!} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (2j^2)^{(k-1)} D^n \\ &\leq 2^{k-1} (n+1) \frac{n^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!!} D^n \leq \frac{2^k n^{2k}}{(2k-1)!!} D^n \end{aligned}$$

(In the last line, we used  $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^{2k-2} \leq \int_1^n j^{2k-2} dj$ .) □

We will need one more remark. Suppose  $l = \sum_{n=1}^\infty l_n \in \hat{\mathfrak{l}}$  is a strongly convergent Lie series. Then  $l_t = r_t^* l = \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^n l_n$  is strongly convergent for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and since  $t^n \leq 1$  one has the uniform estimate  $C_n(l_t) \leq C_n(l) \leq D^n$ . Similarly,  $\dot{l}_t = \frac{\partial l}{\partial t}$  is strongly convergent, with a uniform estimate on  $C_n(\dot{l}_t)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\gamma_s \in \hat{\mathfrak{l}} \times \hat{\mathfrak{l}}$ , with coefficients depending continuously on  $s \in [0, 1]$ , and such that  $C_n(\gamma_s) \leq D^n$ . Let  $\gamma_{s,t} = r_t^* \gamma_s$ . Then the formal sum*

$$(46) \quad \beta_{s,t} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{0 \leq s_0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_k \leq s} ds_0 \cdots ds_k \left( \text{ad}_{\gamma_{s_k,t}} \cdots \text{ad}_{\gamma_{s_1,t}} \frac{\partial \gamma_{s_0,t}}{\partial t} \right)$$

defines a strongly convergent Lie series for  $(s, t) \in [0, 1]^2$ . Moreover,  $\beta_{s,t} = t^{-1}r_t^*\beta_s$  and the following zero curvature equation holds true.

$$(47) \quad \partial_s \beta_{s,t} - \partial_t \gamma_{s,t} + [\beta_{s,t}, \gamma_{s,t}] = 0.$$

*Proof.* Note first of all that the right hand side of (46) defines a Lie series, since only indices  $k \leq n$  contribute to the term of degree  $n$  in  $\beta_{s,t}$ . The flatness condition (47) is satisfied as an equality of formal Lie series. To show that the series  $\beta_{s,t}$  is strongly convergent, choose  $D > 0$  with  $C_n(\gamma_{s,t}), C_n(\dot{\gamma}_{s,t}) \leq D^n$ . Using the estimate (45), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(\beta_{s,t}) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0 \leq s_0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq s} ds_0 \dots ds_k C_n(\text{ad}_{\gamma_{s_k,t}} \dots \text{ad}_{\gamma_{s_1,t}} \frac{\partial \gamma_{s_0,t}}{\partial t}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{k!} \frac{2^k n^{2k}}{(2k-1)!!} D^n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2k} n^{2k}}{(2k)!} D^n \leq e^{2n} D^n. \end{aligned}$$

The scaling property  $\beta_{s,t} = t^{-1}r_t^*\beta_s$  holds since it is satisfied by each term in the sum (46).  $\square$

We now apply these results to the Torossian solution,  $\gamma_s = \tilde{\beta}_s$ . The diagrammatic technique from Torossian's paper [13] gives  $\tilde{\beta}_s$  directly as a universal Lie series. (The family of maps  $\tilde{\beta}_s$  is however not canonical, since it depends on the choice of a suitable path in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{2,0}^+$ , the compactified configuration space of two points in the upper half plane.) The estimates of [3] (see also Remark after Theorem 4.2 in [13]) show that this Lie series is strongly convergent (with coefficients depending on the parameter  $s$ ), and with  $C(\tilde{\beta}_s)$  uniformly bounded for  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Define  $\beta_{s,t}$  by the Lie series (46), and identify  $\beta_{s,t}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_{s,t}$  with the corresponding analytic functions on  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$  (defined near the origin). By the uniqueness property for solutions of the zero curvature equation (cf. Lemma 3.2), the resulting function  $\beta_t = t^{-1}r_t^*\beta$  coincides with the KV solution from Theorem 3.3. We have hence shown that our KV solution is given by a universal, strongly convergent Lie series.

#### 4. LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY AND THE KV CONJECTURE

In this Section, we will give yet another version of the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture. This reformulation depends on a general fact in Lie algebra cohomology, stated in Proposition 4.1 below.

**4.1. Lie algebra cohomology.** For any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we denote by  $\iota^\wedge(x)$  the derivation of  $\wedge \mathfrak{g}^*$  given by contraction. For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  we denote by  $\epsilon(\mu)$  the operator of exterior multiplication on  $\wedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

For any  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $L^\mathcal{M}(x) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{M})$  denote the action of  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . The Lie algebra cohomology  $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{M})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{M}$  is the cohomology of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex

$$(48) \quad C^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{M} \otimes \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad d = 1 \otimes d^\wedge + \sum_a L^\mathcal{M}(e_a) \otimes \epsilon(e^a).$$

Here  $e_a \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $e^a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  are dual bases, and  $d^\wedge = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \epsilon(e^a) L^\wedge(e_a)$  is the Lie algebra differential on  $\wedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{k}$  is a another Lie algebra, with basis  $e_i$ , and that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $\mathfrak{k}$ -module. Consider the linear map

$$(49) \quad V: \text{End}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{N}), \quad R = \sum_i R^i \otimes e_i \mapsto \sum_i R^i \circ L^\mathcal{N}(e_i).$$

If  $\psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism and  $R \in \text{End}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathfrak{k}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant, then  $V(R) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{N})$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant. Hence it defines a chain map,  $V(R) \otimes \psi^*: C(\mathfrak{k}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{N})$ . We will need the following fact:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $\mathfrak{k}$ -module, and  $\psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$  a Lie algebra homomorphism. Suppose  $R \in \text{End}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathfrak{k}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant. Then the chain map  $V(R) \otimes \psi^*$  is homotopic to the trivial map. In fact,*

$$(50) \quad V(R) \otimes \psi^* = (1 \otimes \psi^*) \circ [d, \iota(R)]$$

with  $\iota(R) = \sum_i R^i \otimes \iota(e_i)$ .

*Proof.* We have to show that  $1 \otimes \psi^*: \mathcal{N} \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{g}^*$  annihilates the expression,  $[d, \iota(R)] - V(R) \otimes 1$ . Let  $f^i \in \mathfrak{k}^*$  be the dual basis to  $f_i \in \mathfrak{k}$ . We compute,

$$\begin{aligned} [d, \iota(R)] - V(R) \otimes 1 &= [1 \otimes d^\wedge + \sum_j L^\mathcal{N}(f_j) \otimes \epsilon(f^j), \sum_i R^i \otimes \iota(f_i)] - V(R) \otimes 1 \\ &= \sum_i R^i \otimes L^\wedge(f_i) + \sum_{ij} L^\mathcal{N}(f_j)(R^i) \otimes (\epsilon(f^j) \circ \iota(f_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_j (\psi^* f^j) \otimes f_j = \sum_a e^a \otimes \psi(e_a)$ , we have the following equalities of maps  $\wedge \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \wedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* \circ L^\wedge(f_i) &= \sum_j \psi^* \circ \epsilon(f^j) \circ \iota([f_j, f_i]_\mathfrak{k}) \\ &= \sum_j \epsilon(\psi^* f^j) \circ \psi^* \circ \iota([f_j, f_i]_\mathfrak{k}) \\ &= \sum_a \epsilon(e^a) \circ \psi^* \circ \iota([\psi(e_a), f_i]_\mathfrak{k}) \end{aligned}$$

One the other hand, using the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariance of  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \psi^*) \circ \left( \sum_{ij} L^\mathcal{N}(f_j)(R^i) \otimes \epsilon(f^j) \circ \iota(f_i) \right) &= \sum_{ia} L^\mathcal{N}(\psi(e_a))(R^i) \otimes \epsilon(e^a) \circ \psi^* \circ \iota(f_i) \\ &= - \sum_{ia} R^i \otimes (\epsilon(e^a) \circ \psi^* \circ \iota([\psi(e_a), f_i]_\mathfrak{k})). \end{aligned}$$

□

**4.2. Reformulation of the KV conjecture.** We will apply this Proposition to the diagonal embedding  $\psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$ . The map  $\psi^*: \wedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{g}^* = \wedge(\mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \wedge \mathfrak{g}^*$  is just the product map in the exterior algebra. View  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2)$  as a  $\mathfrak{g}^2$ -module, and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g})$  as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. We obtain a family of chain maps,

$$(51) \quad M_t = m_t \otimes \psi^*: C(\mathfrak{g}^2, \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2)) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Version I of the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture says

$$(52) \quad \frac{\partial m_t}{\partial t} = -m_t \circ V(\beta_t),$$

where  $\beta_t \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{k})$ . (The components  $\beta_t^i$  are identified with the corresponding operators of multiplication on  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^2)$ .) Tensoring with  $\psi^*: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \wedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ , and using Proposition 4.1, we obtain the following equivalent reformulation of the KV conjecture:

**Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture, version IV:** *Taking  $O$  smaller if necessary, there exists a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant function  $\beta: O^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$ , with  $\beta(0,0) = 0$ , such that  $\beta_t = t^{-1}r_t^*\beta$  satisfies*

$$(53) \quad \frac{\partial M_t}{\partial t} = -M_t \circ [d, \iota(\beta_t)]$$

The algebra isomorphism  $H(\mathfrak{g}, S\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H(\mathfrak{g}, U\mathfrak{g})$  is an immediate consequence of this conjecture. More generally, one obtains a similar statement for convolution of germs of distributions. Let  $A_1, A_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be closed cones with  $A_1 \cap -A_2 = \{0\}$ , and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_1)) \times C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_1)) & \longrightarrow & C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_1 + A_2)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_1)) \times C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_1)) & \longrightarrow & C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_1 + A_2)), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are product maps, and the vertical maps are induced by the Duflo maps. Version IV of the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture implies that this diagram commutes up to a chain homotopy. In particular, passing to cohomology one obtains a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_1)) \otimes H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_2)) & \longrightarrow & H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(\mathfrak{g}, A_1 + A_2)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_1)) \otimes H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_2)) & \longrightarrow & H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{D}'(G, A_1 + A_2)) \end{array}$$

**4.3. Higher homotopies.** Version IV of the KV conjecture shows that each map  $\text{Duf}_t \otimes \text{id}: S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{g}^*$  is an algebra isomorphism up to homotopy. Solutions of the KV problem provide infinitesimal homotopies for family of products  $M_t$ . One can ask whether in fact  $\text{Duf}_t \otimes \text{id}$  extend to an  $A_\infty$ -morphisms (for a definition see [9]) and to construct infinitesimal homotopies for its higher components. Indeed, let

$$(54) \quad M_t^{(3)} = M_t \circ (M_t \otimes 1): C(\mathfrak{g}^3, \mathcal{D}'_{\text{comp}}(O^3)) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{comp}}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

denote the triple product map (defined for  $O$  sufficiently small). Let  $\beta_t$  denote a solution of the KV-problem. Taking the  $t$ -derivative of  $M_t^{(3)} = M_t \circ (M_t \otimes 1)$ , we obtain  $\dot{M}_t^{(3)} = -[d, h_t^{(3)}]$  where  $h_t^{(3)}$  is the homotopy operator

$$(55) \quad h_t = M_t^{(3)} \circ (\iota(\beta_t) \otimes 1) + M_t \circ \iota(\beta_t) \circ (M_t \otimes 1).$$

On the other hand, starting with  $M_t^{(3)} = M_t \circ (1 \otimes M_t)$ , we get another homotopy operator

$$(56) \quad \tilde{h}_t = M_t^{(3)} \circ (1 \otimes \iota(\beta_t)) + M_t \circ \iota(\beta_t) \circ (1 \otimes M_t).$$

The differences  $\tilde{h}_t - h_t$  are cochain maps of degree -1. In the spirit of version *IV* of the KV conjecture, one can formulate the following new conjecture: *For  $O \subset \mathfrak{g}$  a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin, there exists a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant map  $\beta^{(3)}: O^3 \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^3$ , with  $\beta^{(3)}(0) = 0$ , such that  $\beta_t^{(3)} = t^{-1} r_t^* \beta^{(3)}$  satisfies*

$$(57) \quad \tilde{h}_t - h_t = -M_t^{(3)} \circ [d, \iota(\beta_t^{(3)})].$$

In a similar fashion, one can conjecture the existence of higher homotopies given by equivariant functions  $\beta^{(n)}: O^n \rightarrow \wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g}^n$ .

#### APPENDIX A. THE ZERO CURVATURE EQUATION

In this appendix we prove Lemma 3.2, the zero curvature equation for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}_M$ . Let us first recall the more general zero curvature equation for vector fields on a manifold  $M$ . Let  $Y_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  a given 2-parameter family of vector fields, depending smoothly on  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $F_{s,t}$  denote the flow of  $Y_{s,t}$ , viewed as an  $s$ -dependent vector field depending on  $t$  as a parameter. That is, in terms of the action on functions,

$$(58) \quad (Y_{s,t} f) \circ F_{s,t}^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (f \circ F_{s,t}^{-1}); \quad F_{0,t} = \text{id}.$$

Define a vector field  $X_{s,t}$  by

$$(59) \quad (X_{s,t} f) \circ F_{s,t}^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f \circ F_{s,t}^{-1}).$$

Subtracting the  $s$ -derivative of (59) from the  $t$ -derivative of (58) one finds that  $X, Y$  are related by the *zero curvature equation*,

$$(60) \quad \frac{\partial X_{s,t}}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial Y_{s,t}}{\partial t} + [X_{s,t}, Y_{s,t}] = 0.$$

Our derivation of (60) is only valid for those values of  $s, t$  and open subset  $U \subset M$ , such that the flow  $F_{s,t}(x)$  is defined for  $x \in U$ . Suppose that the vector field  $Y_{s,0}$  vanishes at some point  $x_0 \in M$ , for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ . For a sufficiently small open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$ , the flow  $F_{s,t}(x)$  is defined for  $x \in U$  and  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ , and hence (60) holds true in this range.

Lemma 3.2 (the zero curvature equation in  $\mathfrak{k}_M$ ) may be viewed as a special case of (60). Indeed,  $\mathfrak{k}_M$  may be realized as a Lie algebra of vector fields on  $K \times M$ , where  $K$  is a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ . To see this, lift the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ -action on  $M$  to an action on  $K \times M$  by  $\xi \mapsto \hat{X}^\xi = (\xi^L, X^\xi)$  where  $\xi^L \in \mathfrak{X}(K)$  is the left-invariant vector field generated by  $\xi$ . Accordingly, the homomorphism  $\mathfrak{k}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  lifts to a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$(61) \quad \mathfrak{k}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(K \times M), \quad \beta \mapsto \hat{X}^\beta.$$

It is clear that the map (61) is injective. Its image consists of vector fields on  $K \times M$  that are invariant under the  $K$ -action by left-multiplication on the first factor, and are



tangent to the foliation defined by the  $\mathfrak{k}$ -action  $\xi \mapsto \hat{X}^\xi$  on  $K \times M$ . (Equivalently, the flow of such vector fields is  $K$ -equivariant, and preserves the leaves of the  $\mathfrak{k}$ -action.) Using (61) to identify elements of  $\mathfrak{k}_M$  with vector fields, Lemma 3.2 is now a direct consequence of (60).

## REFERENCES

1. ———, *Poisson geometry and the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **335** (2002), no. 9, 723–728.
2. M. Andler, A. Dvorsky, and S. Sahi, *Deformation quantization and invariant distributions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **330** (2000), no. 2, 115–120, arXiv:math.QA/9905065.
3. ———, *Kontsevich quantization and invariant distributions on Lie groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 3, 371–390.
4. M. Andler, S. Sahi, and C. Torossian, *Convolution of invariant distributions: Proof of the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture*, Lett. Math. Phys. **69** (2004), 177–203.
5. M. Duflo, *Opérateurs différentiels bi-invariants sur un groupe de Lie*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **10** (1977), 265–288.
6. V. V. Gorbatsevich, A. L. Onishchik, and E. B. Vinberg, *Foundations of Lie theory and Lie transformation groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
7. M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne, *The Campbell-Hausdorff formula and invariant hyperfunctions*, Invent. Math. **47** (1978), 249–272.
8. M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003), no. 3, 157–216.
9. M. Markl, *Homotopy algebras are homotopy algebras*, Forum Math. **16** (2004), no. 1, 129–160.
10. M. Pevzner and Ch. Torossian, *Isomorphisme de Duflo et la cohomologie tangentielle*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **51** (2004), no. 4, 486–505.
11. F. Rouvière, *Démonstration de la conjecture de Kashiwara-Vergne pour l’algèbre  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **292** (1981), no. 14, 657–660.
12. B. Shoikhet, *Tsygan formality and Duflo formula*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), no. 5-6, 763–775.
13. C. Torossian, *Sur la conjecture combinatoire de Kashiwara-Vergne*, J. Lie Theory **12** (2002), no. 2, 597–616.
14. M. Vergne, *Le centre de l’algèbre enveloppante et la formule de Campbell-Hausdorff*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **329** (1999), no. 9, 767–772.

UNIVERSITY OF GENEVA, SECTION OF MATHEMATICS, 2-4 RUE DU LIÈVRE, C.P. 64, 1211 GENÈVE 4, SWITZERLAND

*E-mail address:* `alekseev@math.unige.ch`

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 100 ST GEORGE STREET, TORONTO, ONTARIO M5S3G3, CANADA

*E-mail address:* `mein@math.toronto.edu`