

# ADMISSIBLE SEMI-LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS

M.ROVINSKY

**ABSTRACT.** The category of admissible (in the appropriately modified sense of representation theory of totally disconnected groups) semi-linear representations of the automorphism group of an algebraically closed extension of infinite transcendence degree of the field of algebraic complex numbers is described.

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero containing all  $\ell$ -primary roots of unity for a prime  $\ell$ ,  $F$  be a universal domain over  $k$ , i.e., an algebraically closed extension of  $k$  of countable transcendence degree, and  $G_{F/k}$  be the field automorphism group of  $F$  over  $k$ . We consider  $G_{F/k}$  as a topological group with the base of open subgroups generated by  $\{G_{F/k(x)} \mid x \in F\}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the category of smooth (with open stabilizers)  $F$ -semi-linear representations of  $G_{F/k}$ , i.e.,  $F$ -vector spaces  $V$  endowed with an additive semi-linear  $(g(fv) = gf \cdot gv$  for any  $f \in F$ ,  $g \in G_{F/k}$  and  $v \in V)$  action  $G_{F/k} \times V \rightarrow V$  of  $G_{F/k}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the full sub-category of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose objects  $V$  are *admissible*:  $\dim_{F^U} V^U < \infty$  for any open subgroup  $U \subseteq G_{F/k}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an additive category and it is shown in [R] that it is a tensor (but not rigid) category. In the present paper one proves that the category  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian (Theorem 3.6), and  $F$  is its projective object (Proposition 3.4).

Let the ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset F \otimes_{k_0} F$  be the kernel of the multiplication map  $F \otimes_{k_0} F \xrightarrow{\times} F$ , where  $k_0 = k \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is the number subfield of  $k$ . Consider the powers  $\mathfrak{m}^s \subseteq F \otimes_{k_0} F$  of the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  for all  $s \geq 0$  as objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  with the  $F$ -multiplication via  $F \otimes_{k_0} k_0$ .

In this paper we study the category  $\mathcal{A}$  and describe it if  $k$  is a number field. Namely, in the case  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  we prove the following:

- The sum of the images of the  $F$ -tensor powers  $\bigotimes_F^{\geq \bullet} \mathfrak{m}$  under all morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$  defines a decreasing filtration  $W^\bullet$  on the objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that its graded quotients  $gr_W^q$  are finite direct sums of direct summands of  $\bigotimes_F^q \Omega_F^1$  (cf. §4.1, p.17 and Theorem 4.10). This filtration is evidently functorial and multiplicative:  $(W^p V_1) \otimes_F (W^q V_2) \subseteq W^{p+q}(V_1 \otimes_F V_2)$  for any  $p, q \geq 0$  and any  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to the direct sum of the category of finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces and its abelian full subcategory  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  with objects  $V$  such that  $V^{G_{F/k}} = 0$  (Lemma 4.13).
- Any object  $V$  of  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  is a quotient of a direct sum of objects (of finite length) of type  $\bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^s)$  for some  $q, s \geq 1$  (Theorem 4.10).
- If  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  is of finite type then it is of finite length and  $\dim_k \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^j(V, V') < \infty$  for any  $j \geq 0$  and any  $V' \in \mathcal{A}$ ; if  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  is irreducible and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^q, V) \neq 0$  for some  $q \geq 2$  then  $V \cong \text{Sym}_F^q \Omega_F^1$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^q, V) \cong k$  (Corollary 4.17).
- $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  has no projective objects (Corollary 4.14), but  $\bigotimes_F^q \mathfrak{m}$  are its “projective progenerators”: the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bigotimes_F^q \mathfrak{m}, -)$  is exact on  $\mathcal{A}$  for any  $q$  (Corollary 4.16).

To describe the objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ , one studies first their “restrictions” to projective groups ( $\cong \mathrm{PGL}_m k$ ), considered as subquotients of  $G_{F/k}$ . It is known ([R]) that such semi-linear representations are related to homogeneous vector bundles on projective spaces.

Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer,  $K_n = k(\mathbb{P}_k^n)$  be the function field of an  $n$ -dimensional projective  $k$ -space  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  and  $G_n = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_k^n/k)$  be its automorphism group.

Fix a  $k$ -field embedding  $K_n \hookrightarrow F$ . We show, in particular, that if  $V$  is an admissible  $F$ -semi-linear representation of  $G_{F/k}$  with no sub-objects isomorphic to  $F$  then any irreducible subquotient of the  $K_n$ -semi-linear representation  $V^{G_{F/K_n}}$  of  $G_n$  is a direct summand of  $\bigotimes_{K_n}^{\geq 1} \Omega_{K_n/k}^1$  (this is Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 3.4 below).

**0.1. Some motivation.** The study of semi-linear representations comes from the study of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear representations of  $G_{F/k}$ , that are related to geometry, cf. [R].

Let  $\mathcal{S}m_G$  be the category of smooth representations of  $G_{F/k}$  over  $k$ . Extending of co-efficients to  $F$  gives a faithful functor  $F \otimes_k : \mathcal{S}m_G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . It is not full: if  $U \subset G_{F/k}$  is an open subgroup and  $\bar{f} \in (F^\times/k^\times)^U - \{1\}$  then  $[\sigma] \mapsto \sigma f \cdot [\sigma]$  defines an element of  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(F[G_{F/k}/U])$  which is not in  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{S}m_G}(k[G_{F/k}/U])$ . However, its restriction to the subcategory  $\mathcal{I}_G \otimes k$  of “homotopy invariant” representations<sup>1</sup> is.

**Lemma 0.1.** *If  $k = \bar{k}$  then the functor  $\mathcal{I}_G \otimes k \xrightarrow{F \otimes_k} \mathcal{C}$  is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* More generally, let us show that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}m_G}(W, W') = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F \otimes_k W, F \otimes_k W')$  for any  $W \in \mathcal{I}_G \otimes k$  and any  $W' \in \mathcal{S}m_G$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(W, F \otimes_k W')$  and  $\varphi(w) = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \otimes w_j$  for some  $w \in W$ ,  $w_j \in W'$ ,  $f_j \in F$  and minimal possible  $N \geq 1$ . We have to show that  $f_j \in k$ .

Choose a smooth proper model  $X$  of  $k(f_1, \dots, f_N)$  over  $k$ . If it is not a point, choose a generically finite rational dominant map  $\pi$  to a projective space  $Y$  over  $k$  which is

- well-defined at the generic points of the irreducible components  $D_\alpha$  of the divisors of poles of  $f_1, \dots, f_N$ ,
- induces on each  $D_\alpha$  a birational map and
- separates  $D_\alpha$ .

Then the trace  $\pi_* \varphi(w)$  has poles. On the other hand,  $\pi_* \varphi(w)$  is in the image of  $W^{G_{F/k(Y)}} = W^{G_{F/k}}$ , so  $\pi_* \varphi(w) \in (F \otimes_k W')^{G_{F/k}} = k \otimes_k (W')^{G_{F/k}}$  by Lemma 7.5 of [R]. This contradiction implies that  $f_j \in k$ , and therefore,  $\varphi(W) \subseteq k \otimes_k W' \subseteq F \otimes_k W'$ .  $\square$

The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear representations of  $G_{F/k}$  of particular interest are admissible representations, forming a full subcategory in  $\mathcal{I}_G \otimes k$ . Though tensoring with  $F$  does not transform them to admissible semi-linear representations,<sup>2</sup> there exists, at least if  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , a similar faithful functor in the opposite direction.

Namely, it is explained in Corollary 5.2 that, for any object  $V$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and any smooth  $k$ -variety  $Y$ , embedding of the generic points of  $Y$  into  $F$  determines a locally free coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{V}_Y$  on  $Y$ . Any dominant morphism  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$  of smooth  $k$ -varieties induces an injection of coherent sheaves  $\pi^* \mathcal{V}_Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_X$ , which is an isomorphism if  $\pi$  is étale.

This gives an equivalence  $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{“coherent” sheaves in the smooth topology}\}, V \mapsto (Y \mapsto \mathcal{V}_Y(Y))$ . More generally, the “coherent” sheaves are contained in the category  $\mathcal{F}l$  of the flat “quasi-coherent” sheaves in the smooth topology, cf. §5, p.18. For any flat

<sup>1</sup>i.e. such that  $W^{G_{F/L}} = W^{G_{F/L'}}$  for any purely transcendental extension  $L'/L$  of subfields in  $F$

<sup>2</sup>and moreover, there are irreducible objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  outside of  $\mathcal{A}$  (Corollary 3.5).

“quasi-coherent” sheaf  $\mathcal{V}$  in the smooth topology the space  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{V}_Y)$  is a birational invariant of a proper  $Y$  (Lemma 5.3). Then we get a left exact functor  $\mathcal{F}l \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{S}m_G$  given by  $V \mapsto \varinjlim \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{V}_Y)$ , where  $Y$  runs over the smooth proper models of subfields in  $F$  of finite type over  $k$ .

The functor  $\Gamma \circ \mathcal{S}$  is faithful, since  $\Gamma(Y', \mathcal{V}_{Y'})$  generates the (generic fibre of the) sheaf  $\mathcal{V}_{Y'}$  for appropriate finite covers  $Y'$  of  $Y$  (Lemma 5.3), if  $\mathcal{V}$  is “coherent”. But it is not full, and the objects in its image are highly reducible. If  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{V}_Y)$  has the Galois descent property then  $\Gamma(V)$  is admissible. However, there is no Galois descent property in general.

**0.2. Notation.** Let  $k, F$  and  $G_{F/k}$  be as above. For a subfield  $L$  of  $F$  we denote by  $\bar{L}$  its algebraic closure in  $F$ . We fix a transcendence basis  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$  of  $F$  over  $k$ .

For each  $n \geq 1$  set  $Y_n = \mathbf{Spec}k[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n = \mathbf{Spec}k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n = \mathbf{Proj}k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  with  $x_j = X_j/X_0$ ,  $K_n = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $G_n = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_k^n/k)$ .

Let  $\text{Aff}_n = G_n \cap \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^n/k)$  be the affine subgroup, and  $(\text{Aff}_n)_u$  be its unipotent radical, i.e., the translation subgroup. Let  $H_n = \text{Aff}_n \cap \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^n/\mathbb{A}_k^{n-1})$  be the subgroup fixing the coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  on  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ . Let  $T_n \subset G_n$  be the maximal torus acting freely on  $Y_n$ .

Denote by  $T_n^{\text{tors}}$  the torsion subgroup in  $T_n$ .

For a field extension  $L/K$  we denote by  $\text{Der}(L/K)$  the Lie  $K$ -algebra of derivations of  $L$  over  $K$ . For an integer  $\ell \geq 2$ , the group of  $\ell$ -th roots of unity in  $\bar{k}$  is denoted by  $\mu_\ell$ , and the corresponding cyclotomic number subfield in  $\bar{k}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ .

**0.3. Structure of the paper.** As it is mentioned above, we consider the projective groups  $G_n$  as subquotients of  $G_{F/k}$ . In §1 we identify irreducible subquotients of “restrictions” of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $G_n$  with the generic fibres of the  $G_n$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ . Main ingredients there come from [BT] and [R]. In §2 we exclude some cases, thus showing that these irreducible subquotients are direct summands of  $\bigotimes_{K_n}^\bullet \Omega_{K_n/k}^1$ . In §3 we show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian and calculate  $\text{Ext}^1$ -groups between the irreducible objects of a tannakian category  $\mathfrak{SL}_u^n$  (defined at the beginning of §1, p.3) of semi-linear representations of  $G_n$ , containing “restrictions” of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $G_n$ . The latter part uses [LR]. After showing principal structural results on  $\mathcal{A}$  (in §4) we identify (in §5)  $\mathcal{A}$  with the category of “coherent” sheaves in smooth topology. Finally (in §6), we define a descending filtration  $\mathcal{A}_{>\bullet}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  by Serre “ideal” subcategories. Then we localize the quotients  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  for each  $m \geq 0$  to get a tannakian subcategory of finite-dimensional semi-linear representations of  $G_{F'/k}$  over  $F'$  for an algebraically closed extension  $F'$  of  $k$  in  $F$  of transcendence degree  $m$ .

## 1. EQUIVARIANTNESS OF IRREDUCIBLE $PGL$ -SHEAVES

Let  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  be the category of finite-dimensional semi-linear representations of  $G_n$  over  $K_n$  whose restrictions to the maximal torus  $T_n$  in  $G_n$  are of type  $K_n \otimes_k W$  for unipotent representations  $W$  of  $T_n$  (where  $T_n$  is considered as a discrete group).

Note that  $V = V^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \otimes_k K_n$  for any  $V \in \mathfrak{SL}_n^u$ .

In [R], for  $n > 1$ , a fully faithful functor  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \{\text{coherent } G_n\text{-sheaves on } \mathbb{P}_k^n\}$  is constructed. (A  $G_n$ -sheaf is  $G_n$ -equivariant sheaf if  $G_n$  is considered as a discrete group. In other words,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a  $G_n$ -sheaf if it is endowed with a collection of isomorphisms  $\alpha_g : \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} g^*\mathcal{V}$  for each  $g \in G_n$  satisfying the chain rule:  $\alpha_{hg} = g^*\alpha_h \circ \alpha_g$  for any  $g, h \in G_n$ . The term “ $G_n$ -equivariant” is reserved for  $G_n$ -vector bundles with algebraic  $G_n$ -action on their total

spaces.) The composition of  $\mathcal{S}$  with the generic fibre functor is the identical full embedding of  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  into the category of finite-dimensional  $K_n$ -semi-linear  $G_n$ -representations.

In this section we show that the category  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  is abelian and its irreducible objects are generic fibres of irreducible coherent  $G_n$ -equivariant sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , i.e., direct summands of  $\text{Hom}_{K_n}((\Omega_{K_n/k}^n)^{\otimes M}, \bigotimes_{K_n}^\bullet \Omega_{K_n/k}^1)$  for appropriate integer  $M \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *The category  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  is closed under taking  $K_n$ -semi-linear subquotients.*

*Proof.* Let  $V \in \mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  and  $0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\pi} V_2 \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of semi-linear representations of  $G_n$  over  $K_n$ . As the  $k$ -vector space  $V^{T_n^{\text{tors}}}$  (of the elements in  $V$  fixed by the torsion subgroup  $T_n^{\text{tors}}$  in  $T_n$ ) spans the  $K_n$ -vector space  $V$ , the  $k$ -vector space  $\pi(V^{T_n^{\text{tors}}}) \subseteq V_2^{T_n^{\text{tors}}}$  spans the  $K_n$ -vector space  $V_2$ .

This means that  $V_2 = V_2^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \otimes_k K_n$  and  $\pi(V^{T_n^{\text{tors}}}) = V_2^{T_n^{\text{tors}}}$ .

In other words, the sequence of  $T_n^{\text{tors}}$ -invariants  $0 \rightarrow V_1^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \rightarrow V^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \rightarrow V_2^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \rightarrow 0$  is exact, and extending its coefficients to  $K_n$  gives the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow V_1^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \otimes_k K_n \rightarrow V = V^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \otimes_k K_n \xrightarrow{\pi'} V_2 = V_2^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \otimes_k K_n \rightarrow 0$ . As  $\pi$  coincides with  $\pi'$ , we get  $V_1 = V_1^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} \otimes_k K_n$ .

Clearly, any subquotient of a unipotent representation of  $T_n$  is again unipotent, and thus,  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{SL}_n^u$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $E$  be the total space of a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ ,  $\text{Aut}_{\text{lin}}(E)$  be the group of automorphisms of  $E$  over  $k$  inducing linear transforms between the fibres, and  $\tau : G_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{lin}}(E)$  be an irreducible  $G_n$ -structure on  $E$ , i.e., a discrete group homomorphism splitting the projection  $\text{Aut}_{\text{lin}}(E) \rightarrow G_n$ . Then the Zariski closure  $\overline{\tau(G_n)}$  is reductive.*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Aut}_\tau$  be the kernel of the projection  $\overline{\tau(G_n)} \xrightarrow{\pi} G_n$ .

For each point  $p \in \mathbb{P}_k^n$  let  $\rho_p : R_p := \pi^{-1}(\text{Stab}_p) \rightarrow \text{GL}(E_p)$  be the natural representation.

As we suppose that  $E$  is an irreducible  $G_n$ -bundle,  $\rho_p$  is irreducible, since otherwise  $B := \overline{\tau(G_n)}B_p \subset E$  is a  $G_n$ -subbundle for any proper  $R_p$ -invariant  $k$ -subspace  $B_p \subset E_p$ .

In particular,  $\rho_p$  is trivial on the unipotent radical of  $R_p$ . The unipotent radical of any algebraic group contains the unipotent radical of its arbitrary normal subgroup, so  $\rho_p$  is trivial on the unipotent radical of  $\text{Aut}_\tau$ . As the action of  $\text{Aut}_\tau$  on  $E$  is faithful,  $\bigcap_p \ker \rho_p|_{\text{Aut}_\tau} = \{1\}$ , i.e.,  $\text{Aut}_\tau$  is reductive. As  $G_n$  is also reductive, so is  $\overline{\tau(G_n)}$ .  $\square$

For a commutative finite  $k$ -algebra  $A$  denote by  $R_{A/k}$  the Weil functor of restriction of scalars on  $A$ -schemes, cf. [DG], I, §1, 6.6.

We need the following particular case of Théorème 8.16 of [BT].

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a simply connected absolutely almost simple  $k$ -group, and  $G'$  be a reductive  $k$ -group. Let  $\tau : G(k) \rightarrow G'(k)$  be a homomorphism with Zariski dense image. Let  $G'_1, \dots, G'_m$  be the almost simple normal subgroups of  $G'$ .*

*Then there exist finite field extensions  $k_i/k$ , field embeddings  $\varphi_i : k \rightarrow k_i$ , a special isogeny  $\beta : \prod_{i=1}^m R_{k_i/k}^{\varphi_i} G \rightarrow G'$  (here  ${}^{\varphi_i} G := G \times_{k, \varphi_i} k_i$ ) and a homomorphism  $\mu : G(k) \rightarrow Z_{G'}(k)$  such that  $\beta(R_{k_i/k}^{\varphi_i} G) = G'_i$  and  $\tau(h) = \mu(h) \cdot \beta(\prod_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^\circ(h))$  for any  $h \in G(k)$  (here  $\varphi_i^\circ : G(k) \rightarrow (R_{k_i/k}^{\varphi_i} G)(k)$  is the canonical homomorphism).*  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *Under assumptions of Theorem 1.3, for any torus  $T \subset G$  the Zariski closure of  $\tau(T(k))$  is a torus in  $G'$ .*  $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.** *If  $n \geq 2$  then any irreducible object of  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Hom}_{K_n}((\Omega_{K_n/k}^n)^{\otimes M}, \bigotimes_{K_n}^\bullet \Omega_{K_n/k}^1)$  for an appropriate  $M$ .*

*Proof.* The functor  $\mathcal{S}$ , mentioned in the beginning of this §, associates to an irreducible object  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  a coherent  $G_n$ -sheaf  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  with generic fibre  $V$ .

Let, as before,  $T_n$  be a maximal torus in  $G_n$  and  $Y_n \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional  $T_n$ -orbit. As  $V^{T_n^{\text{tors}}} = \Gamma(Y_n, \mathcal{V})^{T_n^{\text{tors}}}$ , cf. [R], is a unipotent representation of  $T_n$ , Lemma 1.2 and Corollary 1.4 imply that  $\Gamma(Y_n, \mathcal{V})^{T_n^{\text{tors}}}$  is a trivial representation of  $T_n$ .

In a  $k$ -basis of  $V^{T_n^{\text{tors}}}$  the  $G_n$ -action on  $V$  determines a 1-cocycle  $(g_\sigma) \in Z^1(G_n, \text{GL}_M K_n)$ , where  $M = \dim_{K_n} V$ . There is an integer  $N > n + 2$  and elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in G_n$  such that the morphism  $(T_n)^N \xrightarrow{\pi} G_n$ , given by  $(h_1, \dots, h_N) \mapsto \alpha_1 h_1 \alpha_1^{-1} \cdots \alpha_N h_N \alpha_N^{-1}$ , is surjective. Namely, using the Gauß elimination algorithm, one shows that any element of  $G_n$  is a product of  $\leq (n+1)^2$  elementary matrices and an element of  $T_n$ . On the other hand, it follows from the identity  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b-a & b \end{pmatrix}$  that for any elementary matrix  $\alpha$  the product  $T_n \cdot \alpha T_n \alpha^{-1} \cdot T_n$  contains all elementary matrices of the same type as  $\alpha$ . This gives a surjection  $(T_n \times \prod_{i \neq j} \alpha_{ij} T_n \alpha_{ij}^{-1})^{(n+1)^2} \times T_n \xrightarrow{\times} G_n$ , where  $\alpha_{ij}$  is the elementary matrix with 1 in the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column.

Then

$$g_{\pi(h_1, \dots, h_N)} = g_1(x) g'_1(\alpha_1 h_1(x)) g_2(\alpha_1 h_1 \alpha_1^{-1}(x)) g'_2(\alpha_1 h_1 \alpha_1^{-1} h_2(x)) \cdots \\ g_N(\alpha_1 h_1 \alpha_1^{-1} \cdots \alpha_{N-1} h_{N-1} \alpha_{N-1}^{-1}(x)) g'_N(\alpha_1 h_1 \alpha_1^{-1} \cdots \alpha_{N-1} h_{N-1} \alpha_{N-1}^{-1} \alpha_N h_N(x)),$$

where  $g_j(x) := g_{\alpha_j}$  and  $g'_j(x) := g_{\alpha_j^{-1}}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . In other words, the lifting of the  $G_n$ -action on  $\text{tot}(\mathcal{V})$  to  $(T_n)^N$ -“coupling” via  $\pi$  determines a rational map  $(T_n)^N \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \dashrightarrow \text{GL}_M k$ . Clearly, it corresponds to a regular morphism  $(T_n)^N \times \text{tot}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{tot}(\mathcal{V})$  and factors through a regular morphism  $G_n \times \text{tot}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{tot}(\mathcal{V})$  of  $k$ -varieties, i.e., we see that  $\mathcal{V}$  is equivariant.

The generic fibres of irreducible  $G_n$ -equivariant sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  are exactly of the desired type.  $\square$

REMARK. There can exist, a priori, non-equivariant irreducible coherent  $G_n$ -sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , e.g. the extension of coefficients to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}$  of a non-rational representation of  $G_n$  is seemingly of this type.

## 2. “POSITIVITY”

In this section we show that for any admissible  $F$ -semi-linear representation  $V$  of  $G_{F/k}$  any irreducible subquotient of the  $K_n$ -semi-linear representation  $V^{G_{F/K_n}}$  of  $G$  is a direct summand of  $\bigotimes_{K_n}^\bullet \Omega_{K_n/k}^1$ .

It is shown in [R] that any finite-dimensional  $K_n$ -semi-linear  $G_n$ -representation extendable to  $\text{End}(K_n/k)$ , e.g.  $V^{G_{F/K_n}}$ , is an object of  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$ . By Proposition 1.5, we only need to eliminate the negative twists by  $\Omega_{K_n/k}^n$  in irreducible subquotients of  $V^{G_{F/K_n}}$ .

To do that we show first that the generic fibres of irreducible coherent  $G_n$ -equivariant sheaves are determined by their restrictions to the subgroup  $\text{Aff}_n = G_n \cap \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^n/k)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\text{Aff}_n$  be the group of affine transformations of an affine space  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  with the function field  $K_n$ . Then the natural morphism*

$$(1) \quad \{\text{rational } k\text{-linear } \text{Aff}_n\text{-representations}\} \xrightarrow{\otimes_k K_n} \{K_n\text{-semi-linear } \text{Aff}_n\text{-representations}\}$$

transforms isomorphism classes of irreducible  $k$ -representations of  $\text{Aff}_n$  to isomorphism classes of irreducible  $K_n$ -semi-linear representations of  $\text{Aff}_n^{(1)}\mathbb{Q}$ , the subgroup of  $\text{Aff}_n$  consisting of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -affine substitutions of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with Jacobian equal to 1.

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $k$ -representations of  $\text{Aff}_n$ , and  $U \subset W \otimes_k K_n$  a non-zero  $K_n$ -semi-linear subrepresentation of  $\text{Aff}_n^{(1)}\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^N w_j \alpha_j \in U$  be a non-zero element with minimal possible  $N$ , where  $w_j \in W$  and  $\alpha_j \in K_n$ . Multiplying  $\alpha$  by an element of  $K_n$  we may assume that all  $\alpha_j$  are polynomials:  $\alpha = \sum_I w'_I x^I$ . Since  $W$  is irreducible, the elements of the unipotent radical  $(\text{Aff}_n)_u$  of  $\text{Aff}_n$ , i.e.,  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma z - z \in k$  for any linear function  $z$  on  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ , act trivially on  $W$ .

Applying an appropriate composition of difference operators  $\sigma - \tau$  for some  $\sigma, \tau$  in the unipotent radical of  $\text{Aff}_n^{(1)}\mathbb{Q}$  to  $\alpha$ , we can lower the degrees of the polynomials  $\alpha_j$  and eventually get a non-zero element of  $W$ . As  $W = W_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k$  for an irreducible representation  $W_0$  of  $\text{Aff}_n^{(1)}\mathbb{Q}$ , any non-zero element of  $W$  generates  $W \otimes_k K_n$ , which means that  $U = W \otimes_k K_n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\text{Aff}_n$ ,  $(\text{Aff}_n)_u$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  and  $K_n$  be as in Lemma 2.1. Then  $V \mapsto V^{(\text{Aff}_n)_u}$  gives a natural bijection*

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isomorphism classes of} \\ \text{irreducible } G_n\text{-subrepresentations in} \\ \bigoplus_M \text{Hom}_K((\Omega_{K_n/k}^n)^{\otimes M}, \bigotimes_{K_n}^{\bullet} \Omega_{K_n/k}^1) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isomorphism classes of} \\ \text{irreducible rational } k\text{-linear} \\ \text{Aff}_n\text{-representations} \end{array} \right\}$$

such that its composition with the morphism (1) is the inclusion map.  $\square$

Let  $W$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional  $k$ -vector space,  $L \subset W$  be a one-dimensional subspace, and  $H_{\text{lin}} = \ker[\text{GL}(W, L) \rightarrow \text{GL}(W/L)] \cong k^\times \ltimes \text{Hom}(W/L, L)$  be the group preserving  $L$  and inducing the identity automorphism of  $W/L$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any Young diagram  $\lambda$  with no columns of height  $\geq n+1$  one has*

$$(S^\lambda W^\vee \otimes_k (\det W)^{\otimes s})^{H_{\text{lin}}} = \begin{cases} S^\lambda(W/L)^\vee & \text{if } s = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $X = AF(W) \cong \text{GL}(W)/R_u(B)$ , the variety of complete affine flags in  $W$ . An affine flag is a filtration  $W_\bullet = (0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \dots \subset W_{n+1} = W)$  with  $\dim_k W_j = j$  and a collection of  $l_j \in W_j/W_{j-1} - \{0\}$ .

Let  $Y \cong \text{GL}(W)/B$  be the variety of complete linear flags in  $W$ . Then the natural projection  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$  is a principal  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{n+1}$ -bundle, and there is a decomposition  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \bigoplus_{\mu} \mathcal{M}(\mu)$  into a direct sum of invertible sheaves on  $Y$ , where  $\mu$  runs over the group  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  of characters of  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{n+1}$ , so  $\mathcal{O}(X) = \bigoplus_{\mu} \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{M}(\mu))$ .

Set  $X^\circ = \{(V_\bullet, l_\bullet) \in X \mid V_n \cap L = 0 \Leftrightarrow "l_{n+1} \in L"\}$ . Then reduction modulo  $L$  defines a principal  $L^{\oplus n} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -bundle  $X^\circ \rightarrow AF(W/L)$ ,  $(l_1, \dots, l_{n+1}) \mapsto (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ .

Let  $X^\circ \xrightarrow{"l_{n+1}"} L - \{0\}$  be the natural  $H$ - (or  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -) equivariant map, and  $\bar{l}_{n+1}$  be the composition of  $"l_{n+1}"$  with a fixed isomorphism  $L - \{0\} \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ .

Set  $SH := H_{\text{lin}} \cap \text{SL}(W) = \text{Hom}(W/L, L)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(X)^{SH} = \mathcal{O}(X) \cap \mathcal{O}(X^\circ)^{SH} = \mathcal{O}(X) \cap \mathcal{O}(AF(W/L))[\bar{l}_{n+1}, \bar{l}_{n+1}^{-1}]$ , so  $\mathcal{O}(X)(\mu)^{SH} = \mathcal{O}(X) \cap \mathcal{O}(AF(W/L))(\mu') \bar{l}_{n+1}^{\mu_{n+1}'}$ , where  $\mu' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to the first  $n$  multiples of  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{n+1}$ .

For any  $\mu$  this is an irreducible representation of  $\text{GL}(W/L)$ , and thus,  $\mathcal{O}(X)(\mu)^{SH} = \mathcal{O}(AF(W/L))(\mu') \bar{l}_{n+1}^{\mu_{n+1}'}$  if  $\mathcal{O}(X)(\mu) \neq 0$ .

As any irreducible representation of  $\mathrm{SL}(W)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{O}(X)(\mu)$  for some  $\mu$ , this implies that  $(S^\lambda W^\vee)^{SH} = S^\lambda(W/L)^\vee$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *For any  $F$ -semi-linear  $G_{F/k}$ -representation  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  any irreducible subquotient of the  $K_n$ -semi-linear  $G_n$ -representation  $V^{G_{F/K_n}}$  is a direct summand of  $\bigotimes_{K_n}^\bullet \Omega_{K_n/k}^1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W = \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1}$  be the vector space with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ , so  $k(W) = K_{n+1}$ . By Proposition 1.5 and Corollary 2.2, the restrictions to  $\mathrm{Aff}_{n+1} = \mathrm{Aff}(W)$  of irreducible subquotients of the  $K_{n+1}$ -semi-linear  $G_{n+1}$ -representation  $V^{G_{F/K_{n+1}}}$  are of type  $(S^\lambda W^\vee \otimes (\det W)^{\otimes s}) \otimes_k K_{n+1}$  for a Young diagram  $\lambda$  with no columns of height  $n+1$  and some integer  $s$ , where  $\mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}$  acts on  $W$  via its reductive quotient  $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ .

Let  $H \subset \mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}$  be the subgroup fixing the functionals  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $W^\vee$  vanishing on  $L$ . Let  $\mathrm{Aff}_u$  be the unipotent radical of  $\mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}$ , i.e. the group of translations of  $W$ .

Then the restrictions to  $\mathrm{Aff}_n$  of the irreducible subquotients of the  $K_n$ -semi-linear  $G_n$ -representation  $V^{G_{F/K_n}}$  are contained in  $((S^\lambda W^\vee \otimes (\det W)^{\otimes s}) \otimes_k K_{n+1})^H$ . As  $H \cap \mathrm{Aff}_u = \langle 1 \rangle_k \cong k$ , we get  $((S^\lambda W^\vee \otimes (\det W)^{\otimes s}) \otimes_k K_{n+1})^{H \cap \mathrm{Aff}_u} = (S^\lambda W^\vee \otimes (\det W)^{\otimes s}) \otimes_k K_n$ , so  $((S^\lambda W^\vee \otimes (\det W)^{\otimes s}) \otimes_k K_{n+1})^H = (S^\lambda W^\vee \otimes (\det W)^{\otimes s})^H \otimes_k K_n$ .

By Lemma 2.3,  $(S^\lambda W^\vee \otimes (\det W)^{\otimes s})^H$  coincides with  $S^\lambda(W/L)^\vee$  if  $s = 0$ , and vanishes otherwise. This means that any representation of  $\mathrm{Aff}_n$  obtained this way is a direct summand of the tensor algebra of the representation  $(W/L)^\vee = (\Omega_{K_n/k}^1)^{\{\text{translations}\}}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n k$ . As any irreducible subquotient  $U$  of the  $K_n$ -semi-linear  $G_n$ -representation  $V^{G_{F/K_n}}$  is determined by its restriction  $U|_{\mathrm{Aff}_n}$  to  $\mathrm{Aff}_n$  and  $U|_{\mathrm{Aff}_n}$  is a direct summand of  $\bigotimes_{K_n}^\bullet \Omega_{K_n/k}^1$ , the same holds for  $U$ .  $\square$

### 3. EXTENSIONS IN $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$ AND IN $\mathcal{A}$

For an integer  $\ell \geq 2$  such that  $\mu_\ell \subset k$  (see §0.2), denote by  $\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$  the subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aff}_n$  consisting of the  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ -affine substitutions of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with Jacobian in  $\mu_\ell$ :  $x_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j + b_i$ , where  $a_{ij}, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell) \subset k$  and  $\det(a_{ij}) \in \mu_\ell$ ; and by  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$  the subgroup of index  $\ell$  consisting of elements with Jacobian equal to 1:  $\det(a_{ij}) = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $n, \ell \geq 2$  be integers. Assume that  $\mu_\ell \subset k$ . Let  $U_0$  be the unipotent radical of  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$ . Then for any object  $V \in \mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  there is a rational representation  $W$  of the reductive quotient  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell) = \mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}/U_0$  of  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$ , and an isomorphism of semi-linear  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$ -modules  $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)} K_n \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ .*

*Irreducible rational representations of  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$  with coefficients extended to  $K_n$  are irreducible semi-linear representations of  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$  over  $K_n$ . In particular, any extension in  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  splits as an extension of  $K_n$ -semi-linear representations of  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* It is shown in Lemma 6.3 (1) of [R] that  $H^0(U_0, -)$  is a fibre functor on  $\mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  independent of  $\ell$ , so  $V = V^{U_0} \otimes_k K_n$ , i.e. the restriction of  $V$  to  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $k$ -linear representation  $V^{U_0}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$  with coefficients extended to  $K_n$ , for any  $V \in \mathfrak{SL}_n^u$ .

As it follows from Proposition 1.5, the irreducible subquotients  $V_\alpha$  of  $V$  restricted to  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$  are of the form  $W_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)} K_n$ , where  $W_\alpha$  are rational irreducible representations of  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ . Then the irreducible subquotients of  $V^{U_0}$  are  $V_\alpha^{U_0} = W_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)} k$ , and  $V_\alpha$  are irreducible semi-linear representations of  $\mathrm{SAff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$  by Lemma 2.1.

If  $V^{U_0}$  is not semi-simple then it admits a non-semi-simple subquotient  $W$  of length 2. Let in Theorem 3.9  $\kappa = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ ,  $K = k$ ,  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Zariski closure of the

image of  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_k(W)$  and let  $\tau$  be given by the  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ -action on  $W$ . Then the unipotent radical of  $\mathcal{G}$  is commutative. As the derivations of  $\kappa = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$  are zero, we see that the  $k$ -linear representation  $W$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$  is semi-simple.  $\square$

REMARKS. 1. Using Theorems 1.3 and 3.9 it is not hard to show that any representation of  $\mathrm{SL}_n K$  over any field of characteristic zero is semi-simple for any number field  $K$ .

2. Let  $V = \Omega_{K_n}^1/\Lambda \otimes_k K_n$ , where  $\Lambda \subset \Omega_k^1$  is a proper  $k$ -subspace. Let the extension  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow 0$  be given by the cocycle  $(\omega_\sigma = d \log \frac{\sigma\omega}{\omega}) \in Z^1(G_n, V)$ , where  $\omega = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \in \Omega_{K_n/k}^n$ . Then the restriction of  $(\omega_\sigma)$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_n k$  is non-trivial.

3. The convolution with the Euler vector field  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \partial/\partial x_j$  defines a  $\mathrm{GL}_n k$ -equivariant morphism  $\Omega_{K_n/k}^1 \rightarrow K_n$  given by  $dx_j \mapsto x_j$ . It is non-split for  $n \geq 3$ , since  $(\Omega_{K_n/k}^1)^{\mathrm{SL}_n \mathbb{Q}} = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $n, \ell \geq 2$  and  $s$  be some integers, and  $\lambda$  be a Young diagram with columns of height  $< n$  such that  $\ell$  does not divide  $s + \frac{|\lambda|}{n-1}$ , if  $\lambda$  is rectangular of height  $n-1$  and non-empty. Let  $V = S_{K_n}^\lambda \Omega_{K_n/k}^1 \otimes_{K_n} (\Omega_{K_n/k}^n)^{\otimes s}$ .*

*Then  $(V^{\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}})^{\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}} = V^{\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)} := G_{K_n/K_{n-1}} \cap \mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be the  $k$ -span of  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$  in  $\Omega_{K_n/k}^1$ . Then  $S_{K_n}^\lambda \Omega_{K_n/k}^1 = S_k^\lambda W \otimes_k K_n$  and  $\Omega_{K_n/k}^n = \det_k W \otimes_k K_n$ . Set  $S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)} \cap S\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)} \cong \mu_\ell \ltimes S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}$ , and therefore,  $V^{\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}} = (V^{S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}})^{\mu_\ell}$ .

One has  $V^{S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}} = (S_k^\lambda W \otimes_k K_n)^{S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}} \otimes_k (\det_k W)^{\otimes s}$ . As the intersection of the unipotent radical of  $\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}$  with  $S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}$  (i.e. the  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ -translations of  $x_n$ ) acts trivially on  $S_k^\lambda W$  and fixes exactly  $K_{n-1}$  in  $K_n$ , if  $n \geq 1$ , we get

$$V^{S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}} = (S_k^\lambda W)^{S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}} \otimes_k (\det_k W)^{\otimes s} \otimes_k K_{n-1} = S_k^\lambda (W^{S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}}) \otimes_k (\det_k W)^{\otimes s} \otimes_k K_{n-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}} &= S_k^\lambda (W^{S\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}}) \otimes_k ((\det_k W)^{\otimes s})^{\mu_\ell} \otimes_k K_{n-1} \\ &= \begin{cases} S_{K_{n-1}}^\lambda \Omega_{K_{n-1}/k}^1 \otimes_{K_{n-1}} (\Omega_{K_{n-1}/k}^{n-1})^{\otimes s} & \text{if } \ell | s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $V^{\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}} = (S_k^\lambda W \otimes_k (\det_k W)^{\otimes s} \otimes_k K_n)^{\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}}$  coincides with  $(S_k^\lambda W \otimes_k (\det_k W)^{\otimes s})^{\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}}$ , since the unipotent radical of  $\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}$  acts trivially on  $S_k^\lambda W \otimes_k (\det_k W)^{\otimes s}$  and fixes exactly  $k$  in  $K_n$ . Thus, for  $n \geq 1$ , we get  $V^{\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \ell | s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$  This

implies that  $(V^{\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}})^{\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q}} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is rectangular of height } n-1 \text{ and } \ell | (s + \frac{|\lambda|}{n-1}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  for  $n \geq 2$  (assuming that empty  $\lambda$  is  $(0 \times (n-1))$ -rectangular).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** ([R], Lemma 7.1). *Let  $n > m \geq 0$  be integers and  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G_{F/k}$  preserving  $K_n$  and projecting onto a subgroup of  $G_{K_n/k}$  containing the permutation group of the set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then the subgroup in  $G_{F/k}$  generated by  $G_{F/K_m}$  and  $H$  is dense.  $\square$*

We note that  $\mathrm{Aff}_n^{(\ell)} \mathbb{Q} \subset G_n \subset G_{K_n/k}$  does indeed contain the permutation group of the set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  for any even  $\ell \geq 2$ .



For any  $U \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \geq 0$  set  $U_m = U^{G_F/K_m}$ . Using smooth cochains, one defines the smooth cohomology  $H_{\text{smooth}}^j(G_{F/k}, -) := \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{S}m_{G_F/k}}^j(\mathbb{Q}, -)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $U \in \mathcal{A}$  and there is a subquotient of  $U_n \in \mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  isomorphic to  $K_n$  then there is an embedding  $F \hookrightarrow U$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . One has  $H_{\text{smooth}}^1(G_{F/k}, V) = 0$  for any  $V \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3,  $U^{G_F/k} = U_{n+1}^{\text{Aff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}} \cap U_n$  for any even  $\ell \geq 2$ . By Theorem 2.4, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2,  $(U_{n+1}^{\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}})^{\text{Aff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}} = U_{n+1}^{\text{Aff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}}$  for any  $n \geq 1$  and any sufficiently big  $\ell$  (where  $\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}$  is defined in Lemma 3.2). Then, as  $U_n \subseteq U_{n+1}^{\mathcal{H}_n^{(\ell)}}$ , one has  $U^{G_F/k} = U_n^{\text{Aff}_n^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}}$  for any sufficiently big even  $\ell$ , and thus,  $U^{G_F/k} \neq 0$  if there is a subquotient of  $U_n \in \mathfrak{SL}_n^u$  isomorphic to  $K_n$ .

Clearly,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{S}m_{G_F/k}}^j(\mathbb{Q}, -) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^j(F, -)$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  for any  $j \geq 0$ ,<sup>3</sup> so we have to show that any smooth  $F$ -semi-linear extension  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$  splits.

Fix  $u \in U$  in the preimage of  $1 \in F$ . The stabilizer of  $u$  contains a subgroup of type  $G_{F/L}$  such that the elements of  $L$  are algebraic over  $K_m$  for some  $m > 1$ . Then the normalized trace  $\text{tr}_{/K_m} u \in U_m$  belongs again to the preimage of  $1 \in K_m$ , so  $U_m$  surjects onto  $K_m$ .

By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.1 the semi-linear representation  $U_m$  of  $\text{Aff}_m^{(\ell)}\mathbb{Q}$  over  $K_m$  splits as  $K_m \oplus V_m$ , and thus,  $U^{G_F/k}$  projects onto  $k$ . Then sending  $1 \in k \subset F$  to one of its preimages in  $U^{G_F/k}$  extends to a splitting of  $U \rightarrow F$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *For an integer  $n \geq 1$  let  $H \subseteq G_{F/k}$  be a subgroup containing  $G_{F/K_n}$  such that  $G_{F/\overline{K_n}}$  is a normal subgroup in  $H$ . Consider  $H/G_{F/K_n}$  as a subset in the set  $\{K_n \xrightarrow{/k} \overline{K_n}\}$  of field embeddings of  $K_n$  into its algebraic closure in  $F$  over  $k$ . Suppose that  $H/G_{F/K_n}$  contains  $\text{Aff}_n$ . Let  $V = F[G_{F/k}/H]^\circ \in \mathcal{C}$  consist of formal degree-zero  $F$ -linear combinations of elements in  $G_{F/k}/H$ .<sup>4</sup> Then any quotient of  $V$  which lies in  $\mathcal{A}$  is zero.*

*Proof.*  $V$  is generated by  $\alpha = [1] - [\sigma] \in V_{2n}^{(\langle \text{Aff}_{2n} \rangle_u, T_{2n})}$ , where  $\sigma$  sends  $x_j$  to  $x_{2n+1-j}$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ . Any admissible semi-linear quotient of  $V$  is generated by the image of  $\alpha$ , which is, by Propositions 1.5 and 3.4, fixed by the whole  $G_{F/k}$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma\alpha = -\alpha$ , so any admissible semi-linear quotient of  $V$  is zero.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *The category  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian. The functor  $H^0(G_{F/L}, -)$  is exact on  $\mathcal{A}$  for any subfield  $L$  in  $F$  containing  $k$ .*

*Proof.* We have to check that  $\mathcal{A}$  is stable under taking quotients. Let  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $V \xrightarrow{\pi} V'$  be a surjection of  $F$ -semi-linear representations of  $G_{F/k}$ . By Proposition 3.4, for any  $K \subset F$  of finite type over  $k$  and any  $v \in (V')^{G_{F/K}} - \{0\}$ , the extension  $0 \rightarrow \ker \pi \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(F \cdot v) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$  of  $F$ -semi-linear representations of  $G_{F/K}$  splits. This implies that

<sup>3</sup>Any class in  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^j(F, V)$  represented by  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_j \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$  is sent to the class of  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_j \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \times_F \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{S}m_{G_F/k}}^j(\mathbb{Q}, V)$ . Conversely, the class of  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow U_j \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{S}m_{G_F/k}}^j(\mathbb{Q}, V)$  is sent to the class of  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow (U_j \otimes F)/K \rightarrow U_{j-1} \otimes F \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow U_1 \otimes F \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ , where  $K$  is the kernel of the surjection  $\text{forget}(V) \otimes F \rightarrow V$  and  $\text{forget} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}m_{G_F/k}$  is the forgetful functor.

<sup>4</sup>In particular, if  $H = G_{\{F, \overline{K_n}\}/k}$  then  $V \cong F[\{L \subset F \mid L \cong \overline{K_n}\}]^\circ$  consists of formal degree-zero  $F$ -linear combinations of algebraically closed subfields in  $F$  of transcendence degree  $n$  over  $k$ .

the natural projection  $V^{G_{F/K}} \xrightarrow{\pi_K} (V')^{G_{F/K}}$  is surjective, and thus,  $V'$  is also an admissible semi-linear representation.

The functor  $H^0(G_{F/L}, -)$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is the composition of the forgetful functor  $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_L$ , the functor  $H^0(G_{F/\overline{L}}, -)$  on  $\mathcal{C}_L$  and the exact functor  $H^0(G_{\overline{L}/L}, -)$  on  $\mathcal{S}m_{G_{\overline{L}/L}}$ . If  $L$  is of finite transcendence degree over  $k$  then the forgetful functor  $\Phi$  factors through  $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{L}}$ , so the composition  $H^0(G_{F/\overline{L}}, -) \circ \Phi$  is exact. If  $L$  is of infinite transcendence degree over  $k$  then  $H^0(G_{F/\overline{L}}, -)$  induces an equivalence of categories  $\mathcal{S}m_{G_{F/k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}m_{G_{\overline{L}/k}}$ , so  $H^0(G_{F/\overline{L}}, -)$  is also exact.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.**  $H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{closed}}^\bullet) = H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{exact}}^\bullet) = 0$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.4,  $H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k}^\bullet) = 0$ . Then a piece of the long cohomological sequence of the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{F/k, \text{closed}}^q \rightarrow \Omega_{F/k}^q \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{F/k, \text{exact}}^{q+1} \rightarrow 0$  looks as  $H^0(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{exact}}^{q+1}) \rightarrow H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{closed}}^q) \rightarrow H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k}^q) = 0$ . Evidently,  $H^0(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{exact}}^{q+1}) = 0$ , so  $H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{closed}}^q) = 0$ .

Clearly,  $H^0(G_{F/k}, H_{\text{dR}/k}^q(F)) = 0$ .<sup>5</sup> A piece of the long cohomological sequence of short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{F/k, \text{exact}}^q \rightarrow \Omega_{F/k, \text{closed}}^q \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}/k}^q(F) \rightarrow 0$  looks as

$$H^0(G_{F/k}, H_{\text{dR}/k}^q(F)) \rightarrow H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{exact}}^q) \rightarrow H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{closed}}^q) = 0,$$

so  $H^1_{\text{smooth}}(G_{F/k}, \Omega_{F/k, \text{exact}}^\bullet) = 0$ .  $\square$

**3.1. Extensions in  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{L}_n^u$ .** Now we need the following particular case of Bott's theorem.

**Theorem 3.8** ([B], cf. also [D]). *If  $\mathcal{V}$  is an irreducible  $G_n$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  then there exists at most one  $j \geq 0$  such that  $H^j(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{V}) \neq 0$ . If  $H^j(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{V})^{G_n} \neq 0$  then  $\mathcal{V} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_k^n/k}^j$ .*  $\square$

We also need the following explicit description of the homomorphisms in the case of commutative unipotent radicals of the target groups. It confirms general expectations, sketched in Remark 8.19 of [BT] and in [T], §5.1.

**Theorem 3.9** ([LR], Theorem 3). *Let  $G$  be a simple simply connected Chevalley group over a field  $\kappa$  of characteristic zero. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a connected algebraic group over a field extension  $K$  of  $\kappa$ . Let  $\tau : G(\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K)$  be a homomorphism with Zariski dense image. Assume that the unipotent radical  $\mathcal{G}_u$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is commutative and the composition  $G(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{G}(K) \rightarrow G'(K)$ , where  $G' = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_u$ , is induced by a rational  $K$ -homomorphism  $\lambda : G \times_\kappa K \rightarrow G'$ .*

*Then  $\mathcal{G}_u$  splits over a finite field extension  $L/K$  into a direct sum of  $r$  copies of the adjoint representation of  $G'$ , so  $r = \dim \mathcal{G}_u / \dim G'$ .*

*Let  $A = \kappa[\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r]/(\varepsilon_1^2, \dots, \varepsilon_r^2)$  and  $\mathcal{H} = R_{A/\kappa}(G \times_\kappa A) \cong G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus r}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  is the adjoint representation of  $G$ .*

*Then there exist derivations  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r : \kappa \rightarrow L$  and an  $L$ -isogeny  $\mu : \mathcal{H} \times_\kappa L \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times_\kappa L$  such that  $\tau = \mu \circ \eta_\delta$ , where  $\eta_\delta : G(\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(L)$  is induced by the ring embedding  $\text{id} + \sum_{j=1}^r \varepsilon_j \delta_j : \kappa \rightarrow A \otimes_\kappa L$ .*  $\square$

<sup>5</sup>Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{A/k}^q \subset \Omega_{F/k}^q$  represent a  $G_{F/k}$ -fixed element for a smooth finitely generated  $k$ -subalgebra  $A \subset F$ . Fix  $\sigma \in G_{F/k}$  such that  $A$  and  $\sigma(A)$  are algebraically independent over  $k$ . Then  $\omega - \sigma\omega = d\eta$  for some  $\eta \in \Omega_{B/k}^{q-1}$ , where  $B \subset F$  is a smooth finitely generated  $(A \otimes_k \sigma(A))$ -subalgebra. Fix a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : \sigma(A) \rightarrow \overline{k} \subset F$  and extend  $\text{id} \cdot \varphi : A \otimes_k \sigma(A) \rightarrow A \otimes_k \overline{k} \subset F$  to  $\psi : B \rightarrow F$ . Then  $\psi$  induces a morphism of differential graded  $k$ -algebras  $\psi_* : \Omega_{B/k}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{F/k}^\bullet$  identical on  $\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$ , so  $\omega = d\psi_*(\eta)$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{S}_n^u}^1(K_n, V_\circ) \neq 0$  for some irreducible object  $V_\circ$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n^u$ . Then either  $V_\circ \cong \Omega_{K_n/k}^1$ , or  $V_\circ \cong \text{Der}(K_n/k)$ . One has  $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{S}_n^u}^1(K_n, \Omega_{K_n/k}^1) = k$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{S}_n^u}^1(K_n, \text{Der}(K_n/k)) = \text{Der}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}(V_\circ)$  be the irreducible coherent  $G_n$ -equivariant sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}(Q) = \mathbb{P}_k^n$  with the generic fibre  $V_\circ$ , and let  $0 \rightarrow V_\circ \rightarrow V \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow 0$  be an extension in  $\mathfrak{S}_n^u$ .

Suppose that the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$  of coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}(Q)$  splits. Let  $E$  be the total space of  $\mathcal{S}(V) \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ . Then, as  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}(V), \mathcal{V}) \cong (\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \ltimes \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{V})$ , the  $G_n$ -structure on  $V$  corresponds to a splitting of the sequence

$$(3) \quad 1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \ltimes \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(Q), \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{lin}}(E, \text{tot}(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow 1.$$

As  $H^1(G_n, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) = 1$ , Theorem 3.9 (with  $G = \text{SL}_{n+1}k$ ,  $G' = G_n$  and  $\mathcal{G}_u \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{V})$ ) implies that a non-standard splitting of (3) can exist only if  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{V})$  is isomorphic to the adjoint representation of  $G_n$ , i.e., if  $\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n/k}^\vee$ . The identity  $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{S}_n^u}^1(K_n, \text{Der}(K_n/k)) = \text{Der}(k)$  follows also from Theorem 3.9.

If  $\mathcal{V} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_k^n/k}^1$  then the target of the homomorphism  $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{S}_n^u}^1(K_n, \mathcal{V}_\circ) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}, \mathcal{V}) = k$  induced by the functor  $\mathcal{S}$  is generated by the class of the Euler extension  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(Q)/k}^1 \rightarrow Q^\vee \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(Q)}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(Q)} \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $E$  be the total space of the vector bundle with the sheaf of sections  $Q^\vee \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(-1)$ . Any  $G_n$ -structure on the middle term of this extension corresponding to an element of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{S}_n^u}^1(K_n, \Omega_{K_n/k}^1)$  is a splitting of the short exact sequence

$$(4) \quad 1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Q^\vee \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(-1), \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(Q)/k}^1) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{lin}}(E, \text{tot}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(Q)/k}^1)) \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow 1.$$

As any point of  $\mathbb{P}(Q)$  determines a hyperplane in  $Q^\vee$ , the group  $\text{Aut}(Q^\vee \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(-1), \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(Q)}^1)$  coincides with the subgroup of  $\text{GL}(Q)$  stabilizing all hyperplanes in  $Q^\vee$ , i.e., with the centre  $\mathbb{G}_m$  of  $\text{GL}(Q)$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_{\text{lin}}(E, \text{tot}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(Q)/k}^1))$  is a central  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -extension of  $G_n$ , so the splitting of (4) is unique and corresponds to the usual  $G_n$ -equivariant structure.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *If  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  then  $\mathfrak{S}_n^u$  is equivalent to the category of  $G_n$ -equivariant vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ .*

*Proof.* The category of  $G_n$ -equivariant vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  is a full sub-category of  $\mathfrak{S}_n^u$  with the same irreducible objects. As it is mentioned at the beginning of §1, p.3, the objects of  $\mathfrak{S}_n^u$  are generic fibres of coherent  $G_n$ -sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ . Suppose that  $V \in \mathfrak{S}_n^u$  is the generic fibre of a non-equivariant vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  of minimal possible rank. Then it fits into an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow V \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ , where  $A, B$  are the generic fibres of  $G_n$ -equivariant vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  and  $A$  is irreducible. Let  $0 \neq C \subseteq B$  be an irreducible sub-object and  $D = B/C$ . Then the rows in the following commutative diagram are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \text{Hom}_u(A, D) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_u^1(A, C) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_u^1(A, B) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_u^1(A, D) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_u^2(A, C) \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel \text{Lemma 3.10} & & \cup & & \parallel \text{minimality of } V \uparrow \xi \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{eq}}(A, D) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{eq}}^1(A, C) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{eq}}^1(A, B) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{eq}}^1(A, D) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{eq}}^2(A, C) \end{array}$$

where subscript  $u$  refers to the category  $\mathfrak{S}_n^u$  and eq refers to the category of equivariant vector bundles.

Let us show that  $\xi$  is injective for any irreducible  $A$  and  $C$ . As  $C \otimes_{K_n} A^\vee$  is semi-simple (as it follows from Proposition 1.5) and  $\text{Ext}_{\text{eq}}^2(A, C) = \text{Ext}_{\text{eq}}^2(K_n, C \otimes_{K_n} A^\vee)$ , where  $? = u$  or eq, we may assume that  $A = K_n$  and  $C$  is still irreducible. By Bott's Theorem 3.8,  $\dim_k \text{Ext}_{\text{eq}}^2(K_n, C) \leq 1$  with equality only if  $C \cong \Omega_{K_n/k}^2$ , so we assume further that

$C = \Omega_{K_n/k}^2$ . The forgetful functor from  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{L}_n^u$  to the category of coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  induces a homomorphism  $\text{Ext}_u^2(A, C) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_k^n/k}^2)$ . Clearly, its composition with  $\xi$  is an isomorphism.

Then the 5-lemma implies that  $\text{Ext}_u^1(A, B) = \text{Ext}_{\text{eq}}^1(A, B)$ , and thus,  $V$  is equivariant.  $\square$

#### 4. THE CATEGORY $\mathcal{A}$ IN THE CASE $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$

In this section we determine (in Theorem 4.10) the structure of the objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the case  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the field of algebraic numbers. The objects  $V$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are quotients of sums of representations of  $G$  over  $k$  induced by rational representations of  $\text{GL}_m k$ 's (considered as subquotients of  $G$ ) with coefficients extended to  $F$  (cf. Lemma 4.1). Then we find (in Lemma 4.2) a supply of elements in the induced representations vanishing in  $V$ , and use them in Lemmas 4.3–4.7 to show that the objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  are sums of quotients of  $\bigotimes_F^\bullet \mathfrak{m}$ . In §4.1 we study extensions in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \geq 0$  be such that  $V_m \neq 0$ . Then there is a non-zero morphism  $F[G_{F/k}/G_{F/K_m}] \otimes_{K_m} [\text{PGL}_{m+1} k] V_m \rightarrow V$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The object  $V_m$  of  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{L}_m^u$  admits an irreducible sub-object  $A \neq 0$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $A \cong S_{K_m}^\lambda \Omega_{K_m/k}^1$  for a Young diagram  $\lambda$ . Then  $F[G_{F/k}/G_{F/K_m}] \otimes_{K_m} [\text{PGL}_{m+1} k] A \rightarrow V$  is also non-zero. Clearly,  $A = B \otimes_k K_m$ , where  $B := A^{(\text{Aff}_m)_u} \cong (S_{K_m}^\lambda \Omega_{K_m/k}^1)^{(\text{Aff}_m)_u} \cong S_k^\lambda(k^m)$  is a rational irreducible representation of  $\text{GL}_m k := \text{Aff}_m/(\text{Aff}_m)_u$ .

This implies that there is a non-zero morphism  $U := F[W^\circ] \otimes_{k[\text{GL}_m k]} B \xrightarrow{\varphi} V$  and a surjection  $U \rightarrow S_F^\lambda \Omega_{F/k}^1$ , where  $W^\circ := \{K_m \xrightarrow{/k} F\}/(\text{Aff}_m)_u$  is considered as a  $G_{F/k}$ -set.

As any embedding  $K_m \xrightarrow{/k} F$  is determined by the images of  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ , one can consider  $W^\circ$  as a subset of  $(F/k)^m$  consisting of  $m$ -tuples with entries algebraically independent over  $k$ . More invariantly, let  $W := \text{Hom}_k((K_m/k)^{(\text{Aff}_m)_u}, F/k) \cong (F/k)^m$  be the group (a  $k$ -vector space) generated by  $W^\circ$ . The isomorphism is given by restriction of the homomorphisms to the basis  $\{\overline{x}_1, \dots, \overline{x}_m\}$  of  $(K_m/k)^{(\text{Aff}_m)_u}$ . Define a homogeneous map  $\varkappa : W \rightarrow \Omega_{F/k}^m \otimes_k \det_k \text{Hom}_G(F/k, W)$  of degree  $m$  by inverting the first isomorphism in the sequence  $W \xleftarrow{\sim} (F/k) \otimes_k \text{Hom}_G(F/k, W) \xrightarrow{d \otimes \text{id}} \Omega_{F/k}^1 \otimes_k \text{Hom}_G(F/k, W) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_F^m(\Omega_{F/k}^1 \otimes_k \text{Hom}_G(F/k, W)) \rightarrow \Omega_{F/k}^m \otimes_k \det_k \text{Hom}_G(F/k, W)$ . Then  $W^\circ = \{w \in W \mid \varkappa(w) \neq 0\}$ .

Let  $(y_1, \dots, y_m) \mapsto [y_1, \dots, y_m]$  be the map  $(F/k)^m \rightarrow \{0\} \cup W^\circ$  sending  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  to  $[x_j \mapsto y_j]$  if  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  are algebraically independent over  $k$ , and to 0 otherwise. Then  $[\mu y_1, \dots, \mu y_m] \otimes b = \mu^{|\lambda|} [y_1, \dots, y_m] \otimes b$  in  $U$  for any  $\mu \in k$ . If  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  belong to the  $k$ -linear envelope of  $x_1, \dots, x_M$  for some integer  $M \geq 1$  then  $[y_1, \dots, y_m] \otimes b \in U_M^{(\text{Aff}_M)_u}$  is a weight  $|\lambda|$  eigenvector of the centre of  $\text{GL}_M k$ .

Let  $U_M^1 \subseteq U_M^{(\text{Aff}_M)_u}$  be the  $k[\text{GL}_M k]$ -envelope of  $[x_1, \dots, x_m] \otimes b$  for some  $b \neq 0$  (which is the same as  $k$ -envelope of all  $[y_1, \dots, y_m] \otimes c$  for algebraically independent  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  in the  $k$ -linear envelope of  $x_1, \dots, x_M$  and all  $c$ ). Clearly,  $U_m^1 \cong B$  as  $k[\text{GL}_m k]$ -modules, and any non-zero morphism  $U \rightarrow V$  induces an embedding  $U_m^1 \hookrightarrow V$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  then for any  $V \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{L}_M^u$  the representation  $V^{(\text{Aff}_M)_u}$  of  $\text{GL}_M k$  is rational semi-simple, and  $V^{(\text{Aff}_M)_u} \otimes_k K_M = V$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.11,  $V$  is the generic fibre of a  $\text{PGL}_{M+1} k$ -equivariant vector bundle.

Then, by Lemma 6.3 (1) of [R],  $V = V^{U_0} \otimes_k K_M$ , where  $U_0$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -lattice in  $(\text{Aff}_M)_u$ . The group  $(\text{Aff}_M)_u$  acts rationally on  $V^{U_0}$ . As the action of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -lattice  $U_0$  is trivial, the action of the entire  $(\text{Aff}_M)_u$  is trivial, i.e.,  $V = V^{(\text{Aff}_M)_u} \otimes_k K_M$ . Then the action of  $\text{GL}_M k$  on  $V^{(\text{Aff}_M)_u}$  is rational, and thus, semi-simple.  $\square$

REMARK. There is no semisimplicity if  $k$  contains a transcendental element.

Indeed, let the underlying  $K_n$ -vector space of  $V \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{L}_n^u$  be  $K_n \oplus \Omega_{K_n}^1/(\Lambda \otimes_k K_n)$  for a proper  $k$ -vector subspace  $\Lambda \subset \Omega_{K_n}^1$  of finite codimension, and the  $G_n$ -action be given by  $\sigma(f, \omega) = (\sigma f, \sigma \omega + \sigma f \cdot d \log(\sigma \eta / \eta))$  for any  $\sigma \in G_n$ , where  $\eta = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \in \Omega_{K_n/k}^n$ . (So  $V$  fits into a non-split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{K_n}^1/(\Lambda \otimes_k K_n) \rightarrow V \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow 0$ .) Then  $\sigma(f, \omega) = (\sigma f, \sigma \omega)$  if  $\sigma \in (\text{Aff}_n)_u$ , and therefore,  $V^{(\text{Aff}_n)_u} = k \oplus \Omega_{K_n}^1/\Lambda$  is a non-trivial extension of trivial representations of  $\text{GL}_n k$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *The kernel of  $U_M^! \rightarrow S_F^\lambda \Omega_{F/k}^1$  is contained in the kernel of  $U \xrightarrow{\varphi} V$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1, the image  $\overline{U_M^!}$  of  $U_M^!$  in  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{|\nu|=|\lambda|} (S_k^\nu(k^M))^{m_\nu}$ . As  $\overline{U_m^!} \subseteq \overline{U_M^!}^{\text{GL}_M k \cap G_{K_M/K_m}} \cong \bigoplus_{|\nu|=|\lambda|} (S_k^\nu(k^m))^{m_\nu}$  and  $U_M^!$  is generated by  $U_m^! \xrightarrow{\sim} S_k^\lambda(k^m) \subseteq S_k^\lambda(k^M)$ , we see that  $\overline{U_M^!}$  is isomorphic to  $S_k^\lambda(k^M)$ . Then the kernel of  $U_M^! \rightarrow S_F^\lambda \Omega_{F/k}^1$  is contained in the kernel of the morphism  $U \xrightarrow{\varphi} V$ .  $\square$

Let  $W^{M^\circ} \subset W^M$  be the subset consisting of  $M$ -tuples  $(y_1, \dots, y_M)$  such that  $\sum_{i \in I} y_i \in W^\circ$  for any non-empty subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\}$ .

Let  $k[W^{M^\circ}] \rightarrow k[W^\circ] \otimes_{k[k^\times]} k(M)$  be the  $k$ -linear map sending  $(y_1, \dots, y_M)$  to

$$\langle y_1, \dots, y_M \rangle := \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#I} [\sum_{i \in I} y_i] \in k[W^\circ] \otimes_{k[k^\times]} k(M).$$

Here  $k(M)$  denotes a one-dimensional  $k$ -vector space with  $k^\times$ -action by  $M$ -th powers. As  $(y, \dots, y)$  is sent to

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{M}{j} j^M [y] = (t \frac{d}{dt})^M (1-t)^M|_{t=1} \cdot [y] = (-1)^M M! \cdot [y],$$

it is surjective. Clearly,  $\langle y_1, \dots, y_M \rangle = \langle y_{\theta(1)}, \dots, y_{\theta(M)} \rangle$  for any permutation  $\theta \in \mathfrak{S}_M$ . Let  $\tilde{U} := F[W^{|\lambda|^\circ}] \rightarrow U$  be the  $F$ -linear surjection sending  $(y_1, \dots, y_{|\lambda|})$  to  $\langle y_1, \dots, y_{|\lambda|} \rangle \otimes b$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let the  $k$ -linear map  $\alpha : k[W^\circ] \rightarrow \bigotimes_k^M W$  be given by  $[w] \mapsto w^{\otimes M}$ . Then  $\alpha$  factors through  $k[W^\circ] \otimes_{k[k^\times]} k(M)$  and  $\langle y_1, \dots, y_M \rangle \mapsto (-1)^M \sum_{\theta \in \mathfrak{S}_M} y_{\theta(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{\theta(M)}$  if  $(y_1, \dots, y_M) \in W^{M^\circ}$ .*

*Proof.* The element  $\langle y_1, \dots, y_M \rangle$  is sent to

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#I} (\sum_{i \in I} y_i)^{\otimes M} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_M \leq M} A_{i_1, \dots, i_M} y_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{i_M}.$$

If  $S = \{1, \dots, M\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_M\}$  then  $A_{i_1, \dots, i_M} = \sum_{J \subseteq S} (-1)^{M-\#J}$ , so  $A_{i_1, \dots, i_M} = 0$  if  $S$  is non-empty, and  $A_{i_1, \dots, i_M} = (-1)^M$  if  $\{1, \dots, M\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_M\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $M = |\lambda|$ ,  $\mu \in k$ ,  $y_0, y_1, y_0 + y_1 \in W^\circ$  and all coordinates of  $t_2, \dots, t_M \in W$  are algebraically independent over  $k(y_0, y_1)$  then*

$$\langle y_0 + y_1, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \langle y_0, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b + \langle y_1, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \pmod{\ker \varphi},$$

and  $\langle \mu y_1, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \mu \langle y_1, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \pmod{\ker \varphi}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, that  $\langle z_0 + z_1, z_2, \dots, z_M \rangle \otimes b - \langle z_0, z_2, \dots, z_M \rangle \otimes b - \langle z_1, \dots, z_M \rangle \otimes b$  and  $\langle \mu z_1, z_2, \dots, z_M \rangle \otimes b - \mu \cdot \langle z_1, \dots, z_M \rangle \otimes b$  are sent to zero by  $\varphi$ , where the coordinates of  $z_j$  are  $x_{jm+1}, \dots, x_{jm+m}$ . As the  $G$ -orbits of these elements are also sent to zero by  $\varphi$ , for some  $u, v \in W^\circ$  with coordinates algebraically independent over the subfield in  $F$  generated over  $k$  by  $y_0, y_1, t_2, \dots, t_M$ , one has the following congruences modulo the kernel of  $\varphi$ :

$$(5) \quad \langle y_0 + y_1, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \langle y_0 + y_1 + u, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b - \langle u, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b,$$

$$(6) \quad \langle y_0, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \langle y_0 + u - v, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b - \langle u - v, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b,$$

$$(7) \quad \langle y_1, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \langle y_1 + v, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b - \langle v, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b.$$

As  $\langle y_0 + y_1 + u, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \langle y_0 + u - v, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b + \langle y_1 + v, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b$ , and  $\langle u, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \langle u - v, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b + \langle v, t_2, \dots, t_M \rangle \otimes b$ , the left hand side of the congruence (5) is congruent to the sum of the left hand sides of the congruences (6) and (7) modulo  $\ker \varphi$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $(y_1, \dots, y_M) \in \{0\} \times W^{(M-1)\circ} \cup W^{M\circ}$  and let the coordinates of  $t_{ij} \in W^\circ$  be algebraically independent over  $k(y_1, \dots, y_M)$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq M$  and  $2 \leq j \leq M$ . Set  $[0] := 0$  and  $\langle 0, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle := 0$ . Then*

$$(8) \quad \langle y_1, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \sum_{J \subseteq \{2, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#J} \langle y_1, \sum_{s \in \{1\} \cup J} t_{s2}, \dots, \sum_{s \in \{1\} \cup J} t_{sM} \rangle \otimes b \\ - \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{2, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#I} \langle y_1, y_2 + \sum_{i \in I} t_{2i}, \dots, y_M + \sum_{i \in I} t_{Mi} \rangle \otimes b \pmod{\ker \varphi}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from the identities

$$[\sum_{s \in J} y_s] = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{2, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#I} \left( [\sum_{s \in J} \sum_{i \in I} t_{si}] - [\sum_{s \in J} (y_s + \sum_{i \in I} t_{si})] \right) \\ - \langle \sum_{s \in J} y_s, \sum_{s \in J} t_{s2}, \dots, \sum_{s \in J} t_{sM} \rangle$$

that

$$(9) \quad \langle y_1, \dots, y_M \rangle = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{2, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#I} \left( \langle \sum_{i \in I} t_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i \in I} t_{Mi} \rangle \right. \\ \left. - \langle y_1 + \sum_{i \in I} t_{1i}, \dots, y_M + \sum_{i \in I} t_{Mi} \rangle \right) - \sum_{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#J} \langle \sum_{s \in J} y_s, \sum_{s \in J} t_{s2}, \dots, \sum_{s \in J} t_{sM} \rangle.$$

Then Lemma 4.4, applied to the summands containing  $y_1$ , implies that

$$\langle y_1, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \sum_{J \subseteq \{2, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#J} \langle y_1, \sum_{s \in \{1\} \cup J} t_{s2}, \dots, \sum_{s \in \{1\} \cup J} t_{sM} \rangle \otimes b \\ - \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{2, \dots, M\}} (-1)^{\#I} \langle y_1, y_2 + \sum_{i \in I} t_{2i}, \dots, y_M + \sum_{i \in I} t_{Mi} \rangle \otimes b + \langle 0, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b,$$

so we get (8).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $M = |\lambda|$ ,  $\mu \in k$  and  $(z_j, y_2, \dots, y_M), (\sum_{i=1}^N z_i, y_2, \dots, y_M), (\mu z_1, y_2, \dots, y_M) \in W^{M^\circ}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq N$  then*

$$(10) \quad \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^N z_j, y_2, \dots, y_M \right\rangle \otimes b \equiv \sum_{j=1}^N \langle z_j, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b \pmod{\ker \varphi},$$

and  $\langle \mu z_1, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \mu \langle z_1, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b \pmod{\ker \varphi}$ .

*Proof.* If  $N = 2$  then (10) follows from Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. If  $N \geq 3$  then

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^N z_j, y_2, \dots, y_M \right\rangle \otimes b \equiv \left\langle \sum_{j=3}^N z_j - u, y_2, \dots, y_M \right\rangle \otimes b + \langle z_1 + z_2 + u, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b$$

for any sufficiently general  $u \in W^\circ$ . By the induction assumption, this is congruent to  $\sum_{j=3}^N \langle z_j, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b - \langle u, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b + \langle z_1, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b + \langle z_2 + u, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b \equiv \sum_{j=1}^N \langle z_j, y_2, \dots, y_M \rangle \otimes b$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *The  $k$ -linear map  $k[W^{M^\circ}] \rightarrow \bigotimes_k^M W$ , given by  $[(y_1, \dots, y_M)] \mapsto y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_M$ , is surjective and its kernel is spanned over  $k$  by  $[(y_0, \dots, y_{j-1} + y_j, \dots, y_M)] - [(y_0, \dots, \widehat{y_{j-1}}, \dots, y_M)] - [(y_0, \dots, \widehat{y_j}, \dots, y_M)]$  and  $\mu[(y_1, \dots, y_M)] - [(y_1, \dots, \mu y_j, \dots, y_M)]$  for all  $y_0, \dots, y_M \in W^\circ$  and all  $\mu \in k^\times$ .*

*Proof.* By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal subset  $S$  in  $W^\circ$  consisting of  $k$ -linear independent elements. If  $S$  does not generate  $W$  then the  $k$ -linear envelope of  $S$  does not contain  $W^\circ$ , i.e., an element  $y \in W^\circ$   $k$ -linear independent over  $S$ , so  $S \cup \{y\}$  is a bigger subset in  $W^\circ$  consisting of  $k$ -linear independent elements. This contradiction shows that  $S$  is a  $k$ -basis of  $W$ .

For any  $y \in W^\circ$  and any  $z \in W$  there exist at most  $m$  values of  $\mu \in k$  such that  $y + \mu z \notin W^\circ$ , since this condition is equivalent to vanishing of the  $\Omega_{F/k}^m$ -valued polynomial  $(dy_1 + \mu dz_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (dy_m + \mu dz_m)$  of degree  $\leq m$  in  $\mu$  with non-zero constant term. Let us show that the map  $(k^\times S)^M \cap W^{M^\circ} \rightarrow S^M$  given by the projectivization is surjective. Indeed, let  $(s_1, \dots, s_M) \in S^M$ . For all but  $\leq m$  values of  $\mu \in k^\times$  one has  $s_1 + \mu s_2 \in W^\circ$ . Fix one of such  $\mu$  and set  $s'_2 := \mu s_2$ . For all but  $\leq 3m$  values of  $\mu \in k^\times$  one has  $s_1 + \mu s_3, s'_2 + \mu s_3, s_1 + s'_2 + \mu s_3 \in W^\circ$ . Fix one of such  $\mu$  and set  $s'_3 := \mu s_3$ . Proceeding further this way, we get an element  $(s_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_M) \in ((k^\times S)^M) \cap W^{M^\circ}$  projecting onto  $(s_1, \dots, s_M)$ .

Fix a section of the projection  $(k^\times S)^M \cap W^{M^\circ} \rightarrow S^M$ . Denote by  $\widetilde{S^M}$  the image of  $S^M$  under this section. Then  $\widetilde{S^M}$  considered as a subset in  $k[W^{M^\circ}]$  maps to a basis of  $\bigotimes_k^M W$ , which shows the surjectivity.

For the injectivity it suffices to check that  $k[\widetilde{S^M}]$  maps onto  $k[W^{M^\circ}]$  modulo the relations. For an element  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_M) \in W^{M^\circ}$  set  $l(w) := \sum_{j=1}^M l_j(w) \geq M$ , where  $l_j(w)$  is the number of non-zero coordinates of  $w_j$  in the basis  $S$ .

By induction on  $l(w)$  we are going to show that  $[w]$  is in the image of  $k[\widetilde{S^M}]$ .

If  $l(w) = M$  then  $w_j = \mu_j s_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq M$ , where  $(s_1, \dots, s_M) \in \widetilde{S^M}$ . For any sufficiently general  $\nu_2, \dots, \nu_M \in k^\times$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} [w] &\equiv \nu_2^{-1} \cdots \nu_M^{-1} [(w_1, \nu_2 w_2, \dots, \nu_M w_M)] \equiv \mu_1 \nu_2^{-1} \cdots \nu_M^{-1} [(s_1, \nu_2 w_2, \dots, \nu_M w_M)] \\ &\equiv \mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_3^{-1} \cdots \nu_M^{-1} [(s_1, s_2, \nu_3 w_3, \dots, \nu_M w_M)] \equiv \cdots \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_M [(s_1, \dots, s_M)]. \end{aligned}$$

The induction step: if, for instance,  $l_1(w) \geq 2$  then for all but  $\leq l_1(w)m + m$  values of  $\mu \in k^\times$  one has  $[w] \equiv \mu^{-1} [(\mu w_1, w_2, \dots, w_M)] \equiv \mu^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} [(\mu \mu_s s, w_2, \dots, w_M)]$ , where  $w_1 = \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s s$  is a finite sum. By the induction assumption, the summands  $[(\mu \mu_s s, w_2, \dots, w_M)]$  are in the image of  $k[\widetilde{S^M}]$ , and thus,  $[w]$  is also there.  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the kernel of the multiplication map  $F \otimes_k F \xrightarrow{\times} F$ . The map  $F \otimes_k (F/k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ , given by  $\sum_j z_j \otimes \overline{y_j} \mapsto \sum_j z_j \otimes y_j - (\sum_j z_j y_j) \otimes 1$  is clearly an isomorphism, so we can use the notation  $\mathfrak{m}$  instead of  $F \otimes_k (F/k)$ , and the multiplicative structure of the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** *The element  $\alpha_q := (x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1)^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_q \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_q)^{s_q} \in \bigotimes_F^q \mathfrak{m}$  generates the sub-object  $\mathfrak{m}^{s_1} \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F \mathfrak{m}^{s_q}$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that for any collection of  $\beta_i \in \mathfrak{m}^{s_i}$  the element  $\beta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_q$  belongs to the  $F[G_{F/k}]$ -submodule generated by  $\alpha_q$ . Set  $\alpha := x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1$ . Then the  $G_{F/k}$ -orbit of  $\alpha^s$  contains  $(\sum_{j=1}^s a_j (y_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_j))^s$  for any  $a_j \in k$  and  $y_j \in F$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^s a_j y_j \notin k$ . The  $k$ -span of such elements with fixed  $y_1, \dots, y_s$  contains  $\prod_{j=1}^s (y_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_j)$ . Such products generate  $\mathfrak{m}^s$  as an ideal. Moreover, they generate  $\mathfrak{m}^s$  as a  $F \otimes_k k$ -module:  $(1 \otimes b) \prod_{j=1}^s (y_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_j) = ((by_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes by_1) - (y_1 \otimes 1)(b \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes b)) \prod_{j=2}^s (y_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_j) = (by_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes by_1) \prod_{j=2}^s (y_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_j) - (y_1 \otimes 1)(b \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes b) \prod_{j=2}^s (y_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_j)$ .

This implies that  $\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} f_{ij} \cdot \sigma_{ij} \alpha^{s_i}$  for some  $\sigma_{ij} \in G_{F/k}$  and  $f_{ij} \in F$ . The  $G_{F/k}$ -orbit of  $\alpha_q$  contains  $\alpha' := (z_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes z_1)^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (z_q \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes z_q)^{s_q}$ , where  $z_1, \dots, z_q \in F$  are algebraically independent over the subfield in  $F$  generated over  $k$  by all  $f_{ij}, \sigma_{ij} x_1$ .

For each pair  $(i, j)$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq q$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s_i$  there exists an element  $\xi_{ij} \in G_{F/k}$  fixing all  $f_{\lambda\mu}, \sigma_{\lambda\mu} x_1$  and the elements  $z_{i+1}, \dots, z_q$ , such that  $\xi_{ij} z_\mu = z_\mu + \sigma_{ij} x_1$ . Then  $(\sum_{j=1}^{s_q} f_{qj} (\xi_{qj} - 1)^{s_q}) \cdots (\sum_{j=1}^{s_1} f_{1j} (\xi_{1j} - 1)^{s_1}) (\alpha') = \beta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_q$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *Any homomorphism  $F \otimes_k \bigotimes_k^M (F/k) \rightarrow V$  factors through  $\bigotimes_F^M (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^s)$  for some  $s \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* For any integer  $s \geq 1$  the element  $\alpha_s := (x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1)^s \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_M = \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^j \binom{s}{j} x_1^{s-j} \otimes x_1^j \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_M \in (\mathfrak{m}^s \otimes_k \bigotimes_k^{M-1} (F/k))_M^{(\text{Aff}_M)_u}$  is homogeneous of degree  $s+M-1$ . As  $V_M$  is finite-dimensional, the image of  $\alpha_s$  in  $V_M$  is zero for all sufficiently big  $s$ . Note that  $\alpha_s$  generates  $\mathfrak{m}^s \otimes_k \bigotimes_k^{M-1} (F/k)$  as an  $F$ -semi-linear representation of  $G$ .

This implies that the image of  $U$  is a quotient of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^s \otimes_k \bigotimes_k^{M-1} (F/k)$  for some  $s \geq 1$ , and therefore, any homomorphism  $F \otimes_k \bigotimes_k^M (F/k) = \bigotimes_F^M \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow V$  factors through  $\bigotimes_F^M (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^s)$  for some  $s \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *Any (finitely generated) object  $V$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a quotient of a (finite) direct sum of objects of type  $\bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^s)$  for some  $q, s \geq 1$  and  $F$ , if  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In particular, any irreducible object of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a direct summand of the tensor algebra  $\bigotimes_F^\bullet \Omega_{F/k}^1$ .*

*Proof.*  $V$  is generated by  $V_m$  for some  $m \geq 0$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $V_m^{(\text{Aff}_m)_u}$  is a semi-simple  $\text{GL}_m k$ -module generating  $V$ . As it is explained at the beginning of this section,  $V$  is a



quotient of a direct sum of  $U$ 's corresponding to irreducible direct summands of  $V_m^{(\text{Aff}_m)_u}$ . By Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7,  $V$  is a quotient of a direct sum of  $F \otimes_k \bigotimes_k^M (F/k)$  for some  $M \geq 0$ . Then the conclusion follows from Corollary 4.9 and the identities  $\mathfrak{m}^j/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} = \text{Sym}_F^j(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = \Omega_{F/k}^1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.11.** *Any finitely generated object of  $\mathcal{A}$  is of finite length, if  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*  $\square$

#### 4.1. Ext's in $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 4.12.**  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bigotimes_F^r \mathfrak{m}, \bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1})) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bigotimes_F^r (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1}), \bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1}))$  admits a natural  $k$ -basis identified with the set  $P = P(q, r, N)$  of the surjections  $\{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q\}$  with fibres of cardinality  $\leq N$ , if  $N \geq 1$ ,  $q, r \geq 0$  and  $q + r \geq 1$ . In particular (take  $r \geq q = 1$ ), any subobject of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1}$  is of type  $\mathfrak{m}^r/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1}$ .

EXAMPLE.  $P = \emptyset$  if  $q > r$ , or if  $r > qN$ ;  $\#P = q!$  if  $q = r$ ;  $\#P = 1$  if  $N \geq r \geq q = 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.8,  $\mathfrak{m}^{s_1} \otimes_F \dots \otimes_F \mathfrak{m}^{s_r}$  is generated by the element  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^r (x_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_j)^{s_j} \in (\bigotimes_{j=1}^r \mathfrak{m}^{s_j})_r^{(\text{Aff}_r)_u}$  of weight  $(s_1, \dots, s_r)$  with respect to  $(k^\times)^r \subseteq \text{GL}_r k := \text{Aff}_r/(\text{Aff}_r)_u$ . The central weights of  $(\bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1}))_r^{(\text{Aff}_r)_u}$  are contained in the interval  $[q, qN]$ , so  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^r \mathfrak{m}^{s_j}$  is mapped to 0 if  $\sum_{j=1}^r s_j \notin [q, qN]$ . In particular, the morphisms factor through  $\bigotimes_F^r (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{qN-r+2})$ , and are zero if  $r > qN$ .

The elements  $\pi_\varphi := \bigotimes_{i=1}^q \prod_{\varphi(u)=i} (x_u \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_u)$  for all surjections  $\varphi \in P$  span the  $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_r)$ -eigenspace of  $(\bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1}))_r^{(\text{Aff}_r)_u}$ . Any morphism of  $\bigotimes_F^r \mathfrak{m}$  is determined by the image  $\sum_{\varphi \in P} \lambda_\varphi \pi_\varphi$  of the generator  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^r (x_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_j)$  for some collection of  $\lambda_\varphi \in k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13.**  $\mathcal{A}$  splits as  $\text{Vec}_k \oplus \mathcal{A}^\circ$ , where  $\text{Vec}_k$  is the category of finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces and  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  with objects  $V$  such that  $V^{G_{F/k}} = 0$ .

*Proof.* For any  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  set  $V^\circ := \bigcap_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, F)} \ker \varphi$ . It follows from Theorem 4.10 and Lemma 4.12 that  $V = (V^{G_{F/k}} \otimes_k F) \oplus V^\circ$ , and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(F, \mathcal{A}^\circ) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathcal{A}^\circ, F) = 0$ . The equivalence is given by  $V \mapsto (V^{G_{F/k}}, V^\circ)$ .  $\square$

Define the following decreasing “weight” filtration on the objects  $V$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ :  $W^q V$  is the sum of the images of all morphisms to  $V$  from  $\bigotimes_F^{\geq q} \mathfrak{m}$ . Clearly,  $W^\bullet$  is functorial and multiplicative. By Theorem 4.10,  $gr_W^q V$  is a finite direct sum of direct summands of  $\bigotimes_F^q \Omega_F^1$ .

**Corollary 4.14.**  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$  has no non-zero projective objects.

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \mathcal{A}^\circ$  be a projective object and  $\xi_2 : P \rightarrow S_F^\lambda \Omega_F^1$  be its irreducible quotient for a Young diagram  $\lambda$ , where  $|\lambda|$  is minimal such that  $W^{|\lambda|+1} P \neq P$ . Then, for any  $s \geq 2$ , there is a lifting  $\xi_s : P \rightarrow S_F^\lambda (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^s)$  of  $\xi_2$ . By Theorem 4.10, there exist  $q, a \geq 1$  and a morphism  $\bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^a) \rightarrow P$  such that its composition with  $\xi_2$  is non-zero. Then its composition with any  $\xi_s$  is also non-zero. By Lemma 4.12,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^a), S_F^\lambda (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N)) = 0$  for any  $N \geq a + q$ , leading to contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.15.** *One has  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N), V) = 0$  for any  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  of finite length,  $q \geq 1$  and  $N >$  the maximal weight of  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Induction on the length of  $V$  reduces the problem to the case of irreducible  $V$ . Let  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} \bigotimes_F^q (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N) \rightarrow 0$  be an extension. By Theorem 4.10, there

is a surjection of a direct sum of objects of type  $\bigotimes_F^p(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^a)$  onto  $E$ . By Lemma 4.12,  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bigotimes_F^{\neq q}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^a), \bigotimes_F^q(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)) = 0$ , so there is a morphism of a direct sum of objects of type  $\bigotimes_F^q(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^a)$  to  $E$  surjective over  $\bigotimes_F^q(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ . As the latter is semi-simple, there is a morphism of  $\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=q} S_F^\lambda(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^a)$  to  $E$  surjective over  $\bigotimes_F^q(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ . By Lemma 4.12, its composition with  $\pi$  is surjective, and therefore, the weights of its kernel are  $\geq N$ , so it does not intersect  $V$ . In other words, the extension splits.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.16.** *The following pro-representable functor on  $\mathcal{A}$*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{m}^{s_1} \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F \mathfrak{m}^{s_q}, -) = \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathfrak{m}^{s_1}/\mathfrak{m}^N) \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F (\mathfrak{m}^{s_q}/\mathfrak{m}^N), -)$$

*is exact if and only if  $s_1 = \cdots = s_q = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V \rightarrow V'$  be a surjection in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi : \bigotimes_F^q \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow V'$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We have to show that  $\xi$  factors through  $V$ . By Lemma 4.8, the image of  $\xi$  is cyclic. Let  $V''$  be the cyclic sub-object of  $V$  generated by a pre-image of a generator of the image of  $\xi$ . Then the kernel  $K$  of  $V'' \rightarrow \mathrm{Im}(\xi)$  is of finite length. As  $\xi$  factors through  $\bigotimes_F^q(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N)$  for some  $N \gg 0$ , and Lemma 4.15 implies that  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\bigotimes_F^q(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N), K) = 0$ ,  $\xi$  factors through  $V$ .

The rest follows from the fact that the projection  $\mathfrak{m}^s \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^s/\mathfrak{m}^{N+s}$  does not lift to  $\mathfrak{m}^s \rightarrow \bigotimes_F^s(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1})$ , if  $s \geq 2$ : neither non-zero morphism  $\bigotimes_F^s \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \bigotimes_F^s(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{N+1})$  factors through  $\mathfrak{m}^s$ , if  $N \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.17.** *If  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  is of finite type then  $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^j(V, V') < \infty$  for any  $j \geq 0$  and any  $V' \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  is irreducible and  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^q, V) \neq 0$  for some  $q \geq 2$  then  $V \cong \mathfrak{m}^q/\mathfrak{m}^{q+1}$  and  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^q, V) \cong k$ .*

*Proof.* If  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  is of finite type then, by Theorem 4.10, it admits a resolution  $\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0$  whose terms are finite direct sums of objects of type  $\bigotimes_F^s \mathfrak{m}$ . By Lemma 4.8, the terms of the complex  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\bullet, V')$  are finite-dimensional over  $k$  and, by Corollary 4.16, it calculates  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet(V, V')$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.18.** *The filtration  $W^\bullet$  is strictly compatible with the surjections.*

*Proof.* Let  $V \rightarrow V'$  be a surjection in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, by Corollary 4.16, any morphism  $\bigotimes_F^q \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow V'$  factors through  $V$ .  $\square$

## 5. “COHERENT” SHEAVES IN SMOOTH TOPOLOGY

Let  $\mathfrak{Sm}_k$  be the category of locally dominant morphisms of smooth  $k$ -schemes. Consider on  $\mathfrak{Sm}_k$  the (pre-)topology, where the covers are surjective smooth morphisms. Clearly, the covers are stable under the base changes.

By definition, the structure presheaf  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathfrak{Sm}_k$  associates to any  $Y \in \mathfrak{Sm}_k$  its  $k$ -algebra of regular functions  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{O}$  is a sheaf in this topology.

A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathfrak{Sm}_k$  is “(quasi-)coherent” if its values  $\mathcal{F}(Y)$  are endowed with  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -module structures and its restriction to the small étale site of  $Y$  is a (quasi-)coherent sheaf for any  $Y \in \mathfrak{Sm}_k$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $X \rightarrow Y$  be an étale morphism of smooth varieties over  $k$  sending a point  $q \in X$  to a point  $p \in Y$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}_q^s/\mathfrak{m}_q^N = \mathcal{O}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} (\mathfrak{m}_p^s/\mathfrak{m}_p^N)$  for any  $s \leq N$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_q := \ker(\mathcal{O}_q \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_q \xrightarrow{\times} \mathcal{O}_q)$ .*

*Proof.* One has  $\mathfrak{m}_q/\mathfrak{m}_q^2 = \mathcal{O}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} (\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2)$ , so applying  $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_q}^s$  we get  $\mathfrak{m}_q^s/\mathfrak{m}_q^{s+1} = \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_q}^s(\mathfrak{m}_q/\mathfrak{m}_q^2) = \mathcal{O}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_p}^s(\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2) = \mathcal{O}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} (\mathfrak{m}_p^s/\mathfrak{m}_p^{s+1})$ . The induction on  $N - s$  gives the conclusion:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_q^{s+1}/\mathfrak{m}_q^N & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_q^s/\mathfrak{m}_q^N & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_q^s/\mathfrak{m}_q^{s+1} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \cup & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} (\mathfrak{m}_p^{s+1}/\mathfrak{m}_p^N) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} (\mathfrak{m}_p^s/\mathfrak{m}_p^N) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} (\mathfrak{m}_p^s/\mathfrak{m}_p^{s+1}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

□

**Corollary 5.2.** *The category  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to the category of “coherent” sheaves on  $\mathfrak{S}m_k$ , if  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix an embedding over  $k$  of the function field of each connected component of each smooth  $k$ -variety into  $F$ . Then, for any  $V \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $Y \in \mathfrak{S}m_k$  and a point  $q \in Y$  define an  $\mathcal{O}_q$ -lattice  $\mathcal{V}_q \subset V^{G_{F/k(Y)}}$  as follows. Let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_q$  be an étale extension of a local subring in  $F$  of a closed point  $p$  of a projective space.

Any object  $V$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a quotient of a direct sum of objects of type  $\bigotimes_F^s(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N)$ . Then, as it is true for  $\bigotimes_F^s(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N)$  (Lemma 5.1), it follows that the module  $\mathcal{V}_p \subset V$  provided by the exact functor  $\mathcal{S}$ , cf. §1, is independent of the choice of the projective space, and  $\mathcal{V}_q := \mathcal{O}_q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} \mathcal{V}_p \subset V$  is independent of  $\mathcal{O}_p$ .

This determines a locally free coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{V}_Y$  on  $Y$  with the generic fibre  $V^{G_{F/k(Y)}}$ .

It follows also that, for any dominant morphism  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$  of smooth  $k$ -varieties, the inclusion of the generic fibres  $k(X) \otimes_{k(Y)} V^{G_{F/k(Y)}} \subseteq V^{G_{F/k(X)}}$  induces an injection of the coherent sheaves  $\pi^* \mathcal{V}_Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_X$  on  $X$ , which is an isomorphism if  $\pi$  is étale.

To check that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a sheaf on  $\mathfrak{S}m_k$ , we need to show that for any surjective smooth morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(Y) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{V}(X) \xrightarrow{p_1^* - p_2^*} \mathcal{V}(X \times_Y X)$  is exact. As  $\mathcal{V}_X$  is a sheaf in Zariski topology on  $X$ , it suffices to treat the case of affine  $X$  and  $Y$ . In the case  $V = \bigotimes_F^s(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N)$ , which is sufficient by Theorem 4.10 and Lemma 4.12, this amounts to the exactness of the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \bigotimes_B^s(\mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_B^N) \rightarrow \bigotimes_A^s(\mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^N) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{A \otimes_B A}^s(\mathfrak{m}_{A,B}/\mathfrak{m}_{A,B}^N)$ , where  $B$  is a smooth  $k$ -algebra of finite type,  $A$  is a smooth  $B$ -algebra of finite type,  $\mathfrak{m}_C := \ker(C \otimes_k C \xrightarrow{\times} C)$  for any  $k$ -algebra  $C$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}_{A,B} := \mathfrak{m}_{A \otimes_B A}$ . But this is clear.

Conversely, a “coherent” sheaf  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}m_k$  is sent to the object  $\varinjlim \mathcal{V}(U)$ , where  $U$  runs over the spectra of regular subalgebras in  $F$  of finite type over  $k$ . (As  $F$  is the union of its regular subalgebras of finite type over  $k$ ,  $\varinjlim \mathcal{V}(U)$  is an  $(F = \varinjlim \mathcal{O}(U))$ -module. The action of an element  $\sigma \in G$  comes as the limit of isomorphisms  $\sigma^* : \mathcal{V}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}(U')$ , where  $U = \mathbf{Spec}(A)$  and  $U' = \mathbf{Spec}(\sigma(A))$  induced by the isomorphism  $U' \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ .) □

**Lemma 5.3.** *For any “quasi-coherent” flat (as  $\mathcal{O}$ -module) sheaf  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}m_k$  the  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$  is a birational invariant of proper  $Y$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is “coherent” then  $\mathcal{V}(Y')$  generates the (generic fibre of the) sheaf  $\mathcal{V}_{Y'}$  for appropriate finite covers  $Y'$  of  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* According to Hironaka, for any pair of smooth proper birational  $k$ -varieties  $Y, Y''$  there is a smooth proper  $k$ -variety  $Y'$  and birational  $k$ -morphisms  $Y' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$  and  $Y' \rightarrow Y''$ . Let  $Z \subset Y$  be the subset consisting of points  $z$  such that  $\pi : \pi^{-1}(z) \rightarrow z$  is not an isomorphism. It is a subvariety of codimension  $\geq 2$ . As  $\mathcal{V}$  is torsion-free, one has  $\mathcal{V}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(Y') \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathcal{V}(U)$ ,<sup>6</sup> where  $U := Y - Z \xrightarrow{i} Y'$  is the section of  $\pi$ . It suffices

<sup>6</sup>To show that  $i^*$  is also injective, choose an affine covering  $\{U_j\}$  of  $Y'$ , and a dense affine subset  $U' \subseteq U$ . As sum of ample divisors is ample, any intersection of open affine subsets is again affine, so  $\{U_j \cap U'\}$  is an

to check that for any affine  $Y$  one has  $\mathcal{V}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}(U)$ . Choose an affine covering  $\{U_j\}$  of  $U$ . Then  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(U) \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathcal{O}(U_j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(Y)} \mathcal{V}(Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U_j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(Y)} \mathcal{V}(Y)$  is exact, so, as  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U) = \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathcal{O}(U_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U_j)$  is also exact, we get  $\mathcal{V}(Y) = \mathcal{V}(U)$ .  $\square$

REMARK. If  $\mathcal{V} : Y \mapsto \Omega_{k(Y)}^j / \Omega^j(Y)$  then the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega^j(Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{k(Y)}^j \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(Y) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^j) \rightarrow 0$  is exact, so  $\mathcal{V}(Y)$  is birationally invariant if and only if  $j = 0$ : for any closed smooth  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{j+1} = Y$  of codimension 2 such that  $\Omega^{j-1}(Z) \neq 0$  one has  $H^1(Y', \Omega_{Y'}^j) \cong H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^j) \oplus \Omega^{j-1}(Z)$ , where  $Y'$  is the blow-up of  $Y$  along  $Z$ .

Then, using Lemma 5.3, we get a left exact (non faithful) functor (with faithful restriction to the subcategory of “coherent” sheaves)

$$\{\text{flat “quasi-coherent” sheaves on } \mathfrak{S}m_k\} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \{\text{smooth representations of } G_{F/k} \text{ over } k\}$$

given by  $\mathcal{V} \mapsto \varinjlim \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{V}_Y)$ , where  $Y$  runs over the smooth proper models of subfields in  $F$  of finite type over  $k$ . This functor is not full, and the objects in its image are highly reducible, e.g.,  $\Gamma(\Omega_{F/k}^1) \cong \bigoplus_A (A(F)/A(k)) \otimes_{\text{End}(A)} \Gamma(A, \Omega_{A/k}^1)$ , where  $A$  runs over the set of isogeny classes of simple abelian varieties over  $k$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is “coherent” and  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{V}_Y)$  has the Galois descent property then  $\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$  is admissible. However, there is no Galois descent property in general.

EXAMPLE. Let  $Y'$  be a smooth projective hyperelliptic curve  $y^2 = P(x)$ , considered as a 2-fold cover of the projective line  $Y$ . Then, for  $\mathcal{V}_Y = (\Omega_{Y/k}^1)^{\otimes 2}$ , the section  $y^{-2}(dx)^2 = P(x)^{-1}(dx)^2$  is a Galois invariant element of  $\Gamma(Y', \mathcal{V}_{Y'})$ , which is not in  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{V}_Y) = 0$ .

## 6. $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$

The only finite-dimensional objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  are direct sums of copies of  $F$ , so the category  $\mathcal{A}$  is far from being tannakian. However,  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a decreasing filtration by Serre subcategories  $\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  such that all  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  are again abelian tensor categories and their objects are finite-dimensional. The category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  is not rigid.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  with objects  $V$  such that  $V_m = 0$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  is a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, it is an “ideal” in  $\mathcal{A}$  in the sense that the tensor product functor  $\mathcal{A}_{>m} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  factors through  $\mathcal{A}_{>m}$ , so the quotient abelian category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  carries a tensor structure.

By definition, the objects of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  are the objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ , but the morphisms are defined by  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}}(V, V') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\langle V_m \rangle, V' / (V')_{>m}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}}(V, \langle V'_m \rangle)$ , where  $\langle V_m \rangle$  denotes the semi-linear subrepresentation of  $V$  generated by  $V_m$  and  $(V')_{>m}$  is the maximal subobject of  $V'$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{>m}$ .<sup>7</sup> In particular,  $V \cong \langle V_m \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$ .

EXAMPLE.  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>0}$  is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces.

affine covering of  $U'$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{V}(Y') & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}(U_i) & \\ & \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow \varphi & \\ \mathcal{V}(U) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{V}(U') & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}(U_i \cap U') \end{array}$$

is commutative, and  $\varphi$  is injective since  $\mathcal{V}(U_i \cap U') = \mathcal{O}(U_i \cap U') \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U_i)} \mathcal{V}(U_i)$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is torsion-free.

<sup>7</sup>The functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{>m}$ ,  $V \mapsto (V)_{>m}$  is right adjoint to inclusion functor  $\mathcal{A}_{>m} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . In particular, it is left exact.

The functor  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\mathcal{L}_m^u$ ,  $V \mapsto V_m$  is exact, faithful and tensor. Note also that the objects of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  are *finite-dimensional*. Namely,  $\bigwedge^{\dim_{K_m} V_m + 1} V = 0$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be a monoid of one-dimensional objects of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$ , such as  $(\Omega_{F/k}^m)^{\otimes N}$  for any  $N \geq 0$ . The set  $\Phi$  is partially ordered:  $\omega \leq \eta$  if there is  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  such that  $\eta \cong \omega \otimes \xi$ . In particular,  $\omega \leq \omega \otimes \eta$  and  $\eta \leq \omega \otimes \eta$ . If  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  then  $\Phi$  consists of some (symmetric)  $F$ -tensor powers of  $\Omega_{F/k}^m$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *The  $k$ -vector space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}}(V \otimes \omega, V' \otimes \omega)$  is finite-dimensional and independent of  $\omega \in \Phi$  for  $\omega$  sufficiently big.*

*Proof.* For any  $\omega, \eta \in \Phi$  such that  $\omega \leq \eta$  (i.e.,  $\eta \cong \omega \otimes \xi$  for some  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$ ) the twist by  $\xi$  defines a canonical inclusion  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}}(V \otimes \omega, V' \otimes \omega) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}}(V \otimes \eta, V' \otimes \eta)$ . The  $k$ -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}}(V, V') &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\langle V_m \rangle, V'/(V')_{>m}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}}(V \otimes U, V' \otimes U) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\langle V_m \otimes_{K_m} U_m \rangle, (V' \otimes U)/(V' \otimes U)_{>m}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{K_m \langle G_{K_m/k} \rangle}(V_m \otimes_{K_m} U_m, V'_m \otimes_{K_m} U_m) \end{aligned}$$

are finite-dimensional. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\langle V_m \rangle, V'/(V')_{>m}) &\subseteq \text{Hom}_{K_m \langle G_{K_m/k} \rangle}(V_m, V'_m) \\ &\subseteq \text{Hom}_{K_m \langle G_{K_m/k} \rangle}(V_m \otimes_{K_m} U_m, V'_m \otimes_{K_m} U_m) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $U_m \neq 0$ , where the second equality takes place if and only if  $\dim_{K_m} U_m = 1$ , e.g., for  $U \in \Phi$ .  $\square$

Let  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}_{\Phi,m}^+) := \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\Phi,m}^+}(V, V') := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}}(V \otimes \omega, V' \otimes \omega)$  for sufficiently big  $\omega \in \Phi$ . Then  $\otimes \omega : \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,m}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,m}^+$  is a fully faithful functor, so we can invert objects in  $\Phi$  to get a category  $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi,m} := \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,m}^+[\Phi^{-1}]$ . If  $\Phi$  is the set of all one-dimensional objects of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{>m}$  then  $\mathcal{A}_m := \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,m}$  is tannakian.

*Acknowledgement.* I would like to thank Uwe Jannsen for many inspiring discussions, and in particular for suggesting (in Spring 2003) that smooth representations can be considered as sheaves in some topology. I am indebted to Dmitry Kaledin for thorough reading of a previous version of this paper and proposing numerous clarification. I am grateful to the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn and to Regensburg University for their hospitality, and to the Max-Planck-Institut and to Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung for the financial support.

## REFERENCES

- [B] R.Bott, *Homogeneous vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) 66 (1957), 203–248.
- [BT] A.Borel, J.Tits, *Homomorphismes "abstraites" de groupes algébriques simples*, Ann. of Math. (2) 97 (1973), 499–571.
- [D] M.Demazure, *A very simple proof of Bott's theorem*, Invent. Math. 33 (1976), no. 3, 271–272.
- [DG] M.Demazure, P.Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques*. Tome I. Masson; North-Holland, 1970.
- [LR] L.Lifschitz, A.Rapinchuk, *On abstract homomorphisms of Chevalley groups with nonreductive image*. I, J. Algebra 242 (2001), no. 1, 374–399.
- [R] M.Rovinsky, *Semi-linear representations of PGL*, math.RT/0306333, to appear in Selecta Math.
- [T] J.Tits, *Homomorphismes "abstraites" de groupes de Lie*, Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XIII (Convegno di Gruppi e loro Rappresentazioni, INDAM, Rome, 1972), pp. 479–499. Academic Press, London, 1974.

Independent University of Moscow  
121002 Moscow  
B.Vlasievsky Per. 11  
marat@mccme.ru

Institute for Information  
and Transmission Problems  
of Russian Academy of Sciences