

Understanding preservation theorems

Chaz Schlindwein
Division of Mathematics and Computer Science
Lander University
Greenwood, South Carolina 29649, USA
`cschlind@lander.edu`

December 2, 2024

Abstract

In its final form, this expository paper will present as much of Chapter VI of Shelah's book **Proper and Improper Forcing** as I can manage. Currently it has the special case of Theorem 1.12 giving the preservation of ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding. I see no impediments to expanding this to an exposition of the full theorem.

1 Introduction

2 Preservation of properness

The fact that properness is preserved under countable support iterations was proved by Shelah in 1978. The proof of this fact is the basis of all preservation theorems for countable support iterations.

Theorem 2.1 (Proper Iteration Lemma, Shelah). *Suppose $\langle P_\eta : \eta \leq \kappa \rangle$ is a countable support forcing iteration based on $\langle \dot{Q}_\eta : \eta < \kappa \rangle$ and for every $\eta < \kappa$ we have that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_\eta}$ “ $\dot{Q}_\eta$ is proper.” Suppose also that $\alpha < \kappa$ and λ is a sufficiently large regular cardinal and N is a countable elementary submodel of H_λ and $\{P_\kappa, \alpha\} \in N$ and $p \in P_\alpha$ is N -generic and $p \Vdash$ “ $\dot{q} \in \dot{P}_{\alpha, \kappa} \cap N[G_{P_\alpha}]$.” Then there is $r \in P_\kappa$ such that r is N -generic and $r \restriction \alpha = p$ and $p \Vdash$ “ $r \restriction [\alpha, \kappa) \leq \dot{q}$.”*

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction, so suppose that the Theorem holds for all iterations of length less than κ . Fix λ a sufficiently large regular cardinal, and fix N a countable elementary substructure of H_λ such that $P_\kappa \in N$ and fix also $\alpha \in \kappa \cap N$ and $p \in P_\alpha$ and a P -name q such that p is N -generic and $p \Vdash$ “ $q \in P_{\alpha, \kappa} \cap N[G_{P_\alpha}]$.”

Case 1. $\kappa = \beta + 1$ for some β .

Because $\beta \in N$ we may use the induction hypothesis to fix $p' \in P_\beta$ such that $p' \restriction \alpha = p$ and p' is N -generic and $p \Vdash$ “ $p' \leq q \restriction \beta$.” We have that $p' \Vdash$ “ $q(\beta) \in N[G_{P_\beta}]$.” Take $r \in P_\kappa$ such that $r \restriction \beta = p'$ and $p' \Vdash$ “ $r(\beta) \leq q(\beta)$ and $r(\beta)$ is $N[G_{P_\beta}]$ -generic for Q_β .” Then r is N -generic and we are done with the successor case.

Case 2. κ is a limit ordinal.

Let $\beta = \sup(\kappa \cap N)$, and fix $\langle \alpha_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ an increasing sequence from $\kappa \cap N$ cofinal in β such that $\alpha_0 = \alpha$. Let $\langle \sigma_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ enumerate all the P_κ names $\sigma \in N$ such that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash$ “ σ is an ordinal.”

Using the induction hypothesis, build a sequence $\langle \langle p_n, q_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $p_0 = p$ and $q_0 = q$ and for each $n \in \omega$ we have all of the following:

- (1) $p_n \in P_{\alpha_n}$ and p_n is N -generic and $p_{n+1} \restriction \alpha_n = p_n$.
- (2) $p_n \Vdash$ “ $q_n \in P_{\alpha_n, \kappa} \cap N[G_{P_{\alpha_n}}]$ and if $n > 0$ then $q_n \leq q_{n-1} \restriction [\alpha_n, \kappa)$ and $q_n \Vdash$ “ $\sigma_{n-1} \in N[G_{P_{\alpha_n}}]$.”
- (3) $p_n \Vdash$ “ $p_{n+1} \restriction [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \leq q_n \restriction [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1})$.”

Define $r \in P_\kappa$ such that $(\forall n \in \omega)(r \restriction \alpha_n = p_n)$ and $\text{supp}(r) \subseteq \beta$. To see that r is N -generic, suppose that $\sigma \in N$ is a P_κ -name for an ordinal. Fix n such that $\sigma = \sigma_n$. Then $p_{n+1} \Vdash "q_{n+1} \Vdash '\sigma \in N[G_{P_{\alpha_{n+1}}}]'"$. Because p_{n+1} is N -generic, we have $p_{n+1} \Vdash "\text{supp}(q_{n+1}) \subseteq \kappa \cap N[G_{P_{\alpha_{n+1}}}] = \kappa \cap N"$, whence it is clear that $p_{n+1} \Vdash "r \restriction [\alpha_{n+1}, \kappa) \leq q_{n+1}"$. Hence $r \Vdash "\sigma \in N."$

Thus we have that r is N -generic, and the Theorem is established.

Corollary 2.2 (Fundamental Theorem of Proper Forcing, Shelah). *Suppose $\langle P_\eta : \eta \leq \kappa \rangle$ is a countable support forcing iteration based on $\langle \dot{Q}_\eta : \eta < \kappa \rangle$ and for every $\eta < \kappa$ we have that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_\eta} "\dot{Q}_\eta \text{ is proper}"$. Then P_κ is proper.*

Proof: Take $\alpha = 0$ in the Proper Iteration Lemma.

3 Preservation of proper plus ω^ω -bounding

In this section we recount Shelah's proof of the preservation of "proper plus ω^ω -bounding." This is a special case of Theorem 1.12 of [PIF]. Other treatments of this material are Goldstern [Tools] and Goldstern and Kellner [forthcoming].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$ and $\langle \alpha_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ is an increasing sequence of ordinals cofinal in κ with $\alpha_0 = 0$. Suppose also that f is a P_κ -name for an element of ${}^\omega\omega$, and suppose $p \in P_\kappa$. Then there are $\langle p_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ and $\langle f_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $p_0 \leq p$ and for every $n \in \omega$ we have that each of the following holds:*

- (1) $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_n}} "p_0 \restriction [\alpha_n, \kappa) \Vdash 'f(n) = f_n(n)'"$
- (2) f_n is a P_{α_n} -name for an element of ${}^\omega\omega$.
- (3) $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_n}} "p_0 \restriction [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \Vdash 'f_n(k) = f_{n+1}(k) \text{ for every } k \leq n+1.'"$
- (4) $p_{n+1} \leq p_n$.
- (5) For every $k \leq m$ we have $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_n}} "p_m \restriction [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \Vdash 'f_n(k) = f_{n+1}(k)'"$

Proof: We first build q_0 in ω steps such that $q_0 \leq p$ and for every n we have that $q_0 \restriction \alpha_n \Vdash "\text{there is an integer } \sigma_n \text{ such that } q_0 \restriction [\alpha_n, \kappa) \Vdash 'f_n(n) = \sigma_n.'"$ To do this, we build $\langle p'_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $p'_0 = p$ and each of the following holds:

- (1) $p'_{n+1} \restriction \alpha_n = p'_n \restriction \alpha_n$.
- (2) $p'_n \restriction \alpha_n \Vdash "\text{there is an integer } \sigma \text{ such that } p'_n \restriction [\alpha_n, \kappa) \Vdash 'f(n) = \sigma.'"$
- (3) $p'_n \restriction \alpha_n \Vdash "p'_{n+1} \restriction [\alpha_n, \kappa) \leq p'_n \restriction [\alpha_n, \kappa)'"$

We then take $q_0 = \bigcup \{p'_n \restriction \alpha_n : n \in \omega\}$. At this point we define $f_n(n) = \sigma_n$, and we define $f_n(k) = \sigma_k$ for $k < n$. We have yet to define $f_n(k)$ for $k > n$. Notice

that we cannot set $f_n(k) = \sigma_k$ for $k > n$ because in $V[G_{P_{\alpha_n}}]$ we have that σ_k is not an integer, but only a name.

We now define $\langle p_0^n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that each of the following holds:

- (1) $p_0^{n+1} \restriction \alpha_n = p_0^n$
- (2) $p_0^n \leq q_0 \restriction \alpha_n$
- (3) $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_n}}$ “there is an integer τ_n such that $p_0^{n+1} \restriction [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \Vdash \tau_n = f_{n+1}(n+1)$.”

There is no difficulty in doing this. At this point, we define $f_n(n+1) = \tau_n$ for every n .

Let $p_0 = \bigcup \{p_0^n : n \in \omega\}$.

We now build $\langle p_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ and simultaneously define $f_n(k)$ for $k > n+1$. Given p_n , build $p_n \geq p_{n+1}^{n-1} \geq p_{n+1}^{n-2} \geq \dots \geq p_{n+1}^0 = p_{n+1}$ by downward recursion (i.e., beginning with $i = n-1$ and ending with $i = 0$) such that for all $i < n$ we have

$\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_i}}$ “there is an integer ξ_{n+1}^i such that $p_{n+1}^i \restriction [\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) \Vdash f_{i+1}(n+1) = \xi_{n+1}^i$.”

There is no problem in doing this, and we set $f_i(k) = \xi_k^i$ whenever $i+1 < k$.

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

In the following Lemma we use the notation “ $\check{y}$ ” for the canonical P -name for y when y is a set in the ground model.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose x is a P -name and $p \in P$ and $p \Vdash$ “ $x \in V$.” Then there is $q \leq p$ and y such that $q \Vdash$ “ $x = \check{y}$.”*

Proof. Well-known.

Definition 3.3. For f and g in ${}^\omega\omega$ we say $f \leq g$ iff $(\forall n \in \omega)(f(n) \leq g(n))$. We say that P is ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding iff $V[G_P] \models “(\forall f \in {}^\omega\omega)(\exists g \in {}^\omega\omega \cap V)(f \leq g).”$

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose $\langle P_\eta : \eta \leq \kappa \rangle$ is a countable support iteration based on $\langle Q_\eta : \eta < \kappa \rangle$ and suppose $(\forall \eta < \kappa)(\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_\eta}$ “ Q_η is proper and ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding.”) Then whenever λ is a sufficiently large regular cardinal and N is a countable elementary substructure of H_λ and $\alpha < \kappa$ and $\{P_\kappa, \alpha\} \in N$ and $p \in P_\alpha$ and p is N -generic and q and f are P_α -names in N and $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_\alpha}$ “ $q \in P_{\alpha, \kappa}$ and f is a $P_{\alpha, \kappa}$ -name and $q \Vdash_{P_{\alpha, \kappa}}$ ‘ $f \in {}^\omega\omega$,’” then there are $r \in P_\kappa$ and a P_κ -name h such that $r \restriction \alpha = p$ and r is N -generic and $p \Vdash$ “ $r \restriction [\alpha, \kappa) \leq q$ ” and $r \Vdash$ “ $h \in {}^\omega\omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}]$ and $f \leq h$.”*

Proof: The proof proceeds by induction on κ . We assume that λ, N, α, p, q , and f are as in the hypothesis of the Theorem.

Case 1. $\kappa = \beta + 1$.

Because $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_\beta} "Q_\beta \text{ is } {}^\omega\omega\text{-bounding}"$, we may take q' and h' to be P_β -names such that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_\beta} "q' \leq q(\beta)"$ and $q' \Vdash "h' \in {}^\omega\omega \cap V[G_{P_\beta}]"$ and $f \leq h'."$ By Lemma 3.2 applied in $V[G_{P_\beta}]$, we may take q^* and h^* to be P_β -names such that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash "q^* \leq q'"$ and $h^* \in {}^\omega\omega$ and $q^* \Vdash "h^* = h'."$ We may assume that the names q^* and h^* are in N . By the induction hypothesis we may take $r' \in P_\beta$ and h a P_β -name such that r' is N -generic and $r' \restriction \alpha = p$ and $p \Vdash "r' \restriction [\alpha, \beta] \leq q \restriction \beta"$ and $r' \Vdash "h \in {}^\omega\omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}]"$ and $h^* \leq h."$ Take $r \in P_\kappa$ such that $r \restriction \beta = r'$ and $r \Vdash "r(\beta) \leq q^*"$ and r is N -generic. Clearly this substantiates the desired conclusion.

Case 2. $\text{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$.

Because no ω -sequences of ordinals can be added at limit stages of uncountable cofinality, we may take β and f' and q' to be P_α -names in N such that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash " \alpha \leq \beta < \kappa "$ and f' is a $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ -name and $q' \leq q$ and $q' \restriction \beta \Vdash_{P_{\alpha, \beta}} "f' \in {}^\omega\omega"$ and $q' \restriction [\beta, \kappa] \Vdash_{P_{\beta, \kappa}} "f' = f."$

For every $\beta_0 \in \kappa \cap N$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta_0$ let $q^*(\beta_0)$ and $h(\beta_0)$ be P_α -names in N such that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash " \text{if } \beta = \beta_0 \text{ and there is some } q^* \leq q' \restriction \beta \text{ and some } h \text{ such that } h \text{ is a } P_{\alpha, \beta}\text{-name and } q^* \Vdash "h \in {}^\omega\omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}]" \text{ and } f' \leq h, \text{ then } q^*(\beta_0) \text{ and } h(\beta_0) \text{ are witnesses thereto.}"$ Let q^* and h and s^* be P_α -names such that for every $\beta_0 \in \kappa \cap N$, if $\alpha \leq \beta_0$, then $\mathbf{1} \Vdash " \text{if } \beta = \beta_0 \text{ then } q^* = q^*(\beta_0) \text{ and } h = h(\beta_0) \text{ and } s \in P_{\alpha, \kappa} \text{ and } s \restriction \beta = q^* \text{ and } s \restriction [\beta, \kappa] = q' \restriction [\beta, \kappa]."$

Claim 1: $p \Vdash "s \leq q \text{ and } s \in N[G_{P_\alpha}] \text{ and } s \Vdash "h \in {}^\omega\omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}] \text{ and } f \leq h."$

Proof: Suppose $p' \leq p$. Take $p^* \leq p'$ and $\beta_0 < \kappa$ such that $p^* \Vdash " \beta_0 = \beta."$ Because the name β is in N and p^* is N -generic, we have that $\beta_0 \in N$. Notice by the induction hypothesis that we have $p \Vdash " \text{there is some } q^\# \leq q' \restriction \beta_0 \text{ and some } P_{\alpha, \eta_0}\text{-name } f^\# \text{ such that } q^\# \Vdash "f^\# \in {}^\omega\omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}] \text{ and } f' \leq f^\#."$ Hence $p^* \Vdash "q^* = q^*(\beta_0) \leq q' \restriction \beta \text{ and } h = h(\beta_0) \text{ and } q^* \Vdash "h \in {}^\omega\omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}] \text{ and } f' \leq h \text{ and } q' \restriction [\beta, \kappa] \Vdash "f' = f."$ Therefore $p^* \Vdash "s \Vdash "f' \leq h."$

Claim 1 is established.

Using the Proper Iteration Lemma, take $r \in P_\kappa$ such that r is N -generic and $r \restriction \alpha = p$ and $p \Vdash "r \restriction [\alpha, \kappa] \leq s."$ This completes Case 2.

Case 3. $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$.

Let $\langle \alpha_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be an increasing sequence from $\kappa \cap N$ cofinal in κ such that

$\alpha_0 = \alpha$.

Let $\langle g_j : j < \omega \rangle$ list every P_α -name $g \in N$ such that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "g \in {}^\omega \omega."$

Fix $\langle (p_n, f_n) : n \in \omega \rangle \in N$ (that is, the sequence of names is an element of N but not necessarily their values) as in Lemma 3.1 (applied in $V[G_{P_\alpha}]$). That is, $\mathbf{1} \Vdash "p_0 \leq q"$ and for every $n \in \omega$ we have that each of the following holds:

- (0) p_n is a P_α -name for an element of $P_{\alpha, \kappa}$.
- (1) $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_n}} "p_0 \restriction [\alpha_n, \kappa] \Vdash 'f(n) = f_n(n).'"$
- (2) f_n is a P_{α_n} -name for an element of ${}^\omega \omega$.
- (3) $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_n}} "p_0 \restriction [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}] \Vdash 'f_n(k) = f_{n+1}(k) \text{ for every } k \leq n+1.'"$
- (4) $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "p_{n+1} \leq p_n."$
- (5) For every $k \leq m$ we have $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_n}} "p_m \restriction [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}] \Vdash 'f_n(k) = f_{n+1}(k).'"$

In $V[G_{P_\alpha}]$, define $\langle g^n : n \in \omega \rangle$ as follows. $g^0 = f_0$ and $g^{n+1}(k) = \max(g^n(k), \max\{g_j(k) : j \leq k\})$. Also in $V[G_{P_\alpha}]$ define g such that $g(k) = g^k(k)$ for all $k \in \omega$.

We may assume that each of names $g^0, g^1, \dots$ is an element of N .

Claim 2. We may build $\langle r_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $r_0 = p$ and for every $n \in \omega$ we have that the following hold:

- (1) $r_n \in P_{\alpha_n}$ is N -generic.
- (2) $r_{n+1} \restriction \alpha_n = r_n$.
- (3) $r_n \Vdash "f_n \leq g."$

Proof: Suppose we have r_n .

For every P_{α_n} -name q' such that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash "q' \in P_{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}},"$ take the P_{α_n} -names $F_0(q')$ and $F_2(q')$ such that $\mathbf{1} \Vdash "if \text{ there are } F'_0(q') \in {}^\omega \omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}] \text{ and } F'_2(q') \leq q' \text{ such that } F'_2(q') \Vdash 'f_{n+1} \leq F'_0(q'),' \text{ then } F_0(q') \text{ and } F_2(q') \text{ are witnesses to this}."$

We have that F_0 and F_2 are P_{α_n} -names for functions. We may assume that the names F_0 and F_2 are in N .

Subclaim 1. $r_n \Vdash "F_0 \text{ maps } P_{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}} \text{ into } {}^\omega \omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}] \text{ and } F_2 \text{ maps } P_{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}} \text{ into } P_{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}} \text{ and for every } q' \in P_{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}} \cap N[G_{P_{\alpha_n}}] \text{ we have } F_2(q') \leq q' \text{ and } F_2(q') \Vdash 'f_{n+1} \leq F_0(q').'"$

Proof: Suppose $r' \leq r_n$ and $r' \Vdash "q' \in P_{\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}} \cap N[G_{P_{\alpha_n}}]."$ We can take $r^* \leq r'$ and a name q^* in N such that $r' \Vdash "q^* = q'."$ Now by the induction hypothesis we have that $r^* \Vdash "there is $q^\# \leq q^*$ such that $q^\# \Vdash 'there is $F_1 \in {}^\omega \omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}]$ such that $f_{n+1} \leq F_1.'$ '"$ Using Lemma 3.2 in the model $V[G_{P_\alpha}]$ we may take $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{F}$ such that $r^* \Vdash "\tilde{q} \leq q^*" \text{ and } \tilde{F} \in {}^\omega \omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}] \text{ and } \tilde{q} \Vdash "\tilde{F} = F_1."$ Therefore, by the definition of F_0 and F_2 we have $r^* \Vdash "F_2(q') = F_2(q^*) \leq q' \text{ and } F_0(q') = F_0(q^*) \in {}^\omega \omega \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}] \text{ and } F_2(q') \Vdash 'f_{n+1} \leq F_0(q').'"$$

The subclaim is established.

In $V[G_{P_{\alpha_n}}]$, define g^* by $g^*(i) = \max(F_0(p_m)(i) : m \leq i)$.

We may assume the name g^* is in N .

Notice that $r_n \Vdash "g^* \in N[G_{P_{\alpha_n}}] \cap V[G_{P_\alpha}] = N[G_{P_\alpha}]"$ because r_n is P_{α_n} -generic. Therefore we may choose a P_{α_n} -name k such that $r_n \Vdash "g^* = g_k."$

Subclaim 2: $r_n \Vdash "F_2(p_k) \Vdash 'f_{n+1} \leq g.'"$

Proof: For $i \geq k$ we have $r_n \Vdash "F_2(p_k) \Vdash 'f_{n+1}(i) \leq F_0(p_k)(i) \leq g^*(i) = g_k(i) \leq g^i(i) = g(i).'"$ The first inequality is by Subclaim 1, the second inequality is by the definition of g^* along with the fact that $i \geq k$, the equality is by the definition of k , the next inequality is by the definition of g^i along with the fact that $i \geq k$, and the last equality is by the definition of g .

For $i < k$, we have $r_n \Vdash "p_k \Vdash 'f_{n+1}(i) = f_n(i) \leq g(i).'"$ The equality is by the choice of $\langle (f_m, p_m) : m \in \omega \rangle$ (see Lemma 3.1), and the inequality is by the induction hypothesis that Claim 2 holds for integers less than or equal to n .

Because $r_n \Vdash "F_2(p_k) \leq p_k,"$ we have that the subclaim is established.

Using the Proper Iteration Lemma, take $r_{n+1} \in P_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ such that r_{n+1} is N -generic and $r_{n+1} \restriction \alpha_n = r_n$ and $r_n \Vdash "r_{n+1} \restriction [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \leq F_2(p_k)."$

This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Let $r' = \bigcup \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$. We have that $p \Vdash "r' \restriction [\alpha, \kappa) \leq q$ and $q \Vdash 'f \leq g.'"$ Therefore by elementarity we have $p \Vdash "(\exists r^* \in P_{\alpha, \kappa} \cap N[V_{P_\alpha}]) (\exists h \in N[G_{P_\alpha}]) (h \text{ is a } P_{\alpha, \kappa}\text{-name and } r^* \Vdash 'f \leq h \text{ and } h \in V[G_{P_\alpha}])'"$. Fix such r^* and h . By the Proper Iteration Lemma, we may take $r \in P_\kappa$ such that r is N -generic and $r \restriction \alpha = p$ and $p \Vdash "r \restriction [\alpha, \kappa) \leq r^*."$

We have $r \Vdash "f \leq h,"$ and so the Theorem is established.

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose $\langle P_\eta : \eta \leq \kappa \rangle$ is a countable support iteration based on $\langle Q_\eta : \eta < \kappa \rangle$ and suppose $(\forall \eta < \kappa) (1 \Vdash_{P_\eta} "Q_\eta \text{ is proper and } {}^\omega\omega\text{-bounding}."$) Then P_κ is ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding.*

Proof. Take $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 3.4.