

Estimates of moments and tails of Gaussian chaoses

Rafał Łatała

Abstract

We derive two sided estimates on moments and tails of Gaussian chaoses, i.e. random variables of the form $\sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_d}$, where g_i are i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ r.v.'s. Estimates are exact up to constants depending on d only.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give precise bounds on moments and tails of Gaussian chaoses of order d , that is random variables of the form $S = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d} a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_d}$. We will in the sequel only consider decoupled chaoses $\tilde{S} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots g_{i_d}^{(d)}$, where $g_i^{(k)}$ are independent standard $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ normal random variables and $(a_{\mathbf{i}}) = (a_{i_1, \dots, i_d})_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_d \leq N}$ is a finite multiindexed matrix – since, under natural symmetry assumptions, moments and tails of S and \tilde{S} are comparable with constants depending only on d (cf. [5]).

For $d = 1$ we obviously have for $p \geq 2$

$$(1) \quad \left\| \sum_i a_i g_i \right\|_p = \left(\sum_i a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_p \sim \sqrt{p} \left(\sum_i a_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For the chaoses of order 2 we have for any finite rectangular matrix (a_{ij}) and $p \geq 2$

$$(2) \quad \left\| \sum_{ij} a_{ij} g_i^{(1)} g_j^{(2)} \right\|_p \sim \sqrt{p} \| (a_{ij}) \|_{\{1, 2\}} + p \| (a_{ij}) \|_{\{1\}\{2\}},$$

Research partially supported by KBN Grant 2 PO3A 027 22.

AMS 2000 subject classification: Primary 60E15; secondary 60G15.

Keywords and phrases: Gaussian chaos, Gaussian processes, metric entropy.

where $\|(a_{ij})\|_{\{1,2\}} := \|(a_{ij})\|_{\text{HS}} = (\sum_{ij} a_{ij}^2)^{1/2}$ and

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\{1\}\{2\}} := \sup \left\{ \sum_{ij} a_{ij} x_i y_j : \|x\|_2 \leq 1, \|y\|_2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The upper part of the estimate (2) was obtained in [7], the lower one is much easier, cf. [8]. One of the reasons why the case of $d = 2$ turned out to be relatively simple is that every square matrix is orthogonally equivalent to the diagonal matrix.

For $d \geq 3$ Borell [4] and Arcones, Giné [3] showed that

$$(3) \quad \|S\|_p \sim_d \sum_{k=1}^d p^{k/2} \mathbf{E} \sup \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{l=1}^k x_{i_k}^{(k)} \prod_{l=k+1}^d g_{i_l}^{(l)} : \|x^{(l)}\|_2 \leq 1, 1 \leq l \leq k \right\}.$$

The above formula gives the precise dependence on p , but unfortunately involves suprema of empirical processes that are in general not easy to estimate. For generalizations of (3) to the nongaussian case cf. [1] and [11]. In this paper we present bounds on moments and tails that involve only deterministic quantities.

Let us comment on the organization of the paper. In the next section we present notation and definitions that will be used in the rest of the paper and formulate main results. In section 3 we obtain bounds on entropy numbers for distances on products of euclidean balls. This will provide a crucial tool to estimate suprema of certain Gaussian processes that naturally appear in the study of Gaussian chaoses. Finally in the last section we present proofs of main results.

2 Notation and Main Results

We use letter C to denote universal positive constants, that may change from line to line and $C(d)$ to denote positive constants, depending only on d . ($C(d)$ may also differ at each occurrence). We write $f \sim g$ if $\frac{1}{C}f \leq g \leq Cf$ and $f \sim_d g$ if $\frac{1}{C(d)}f \leq g \leq C(d)f$. The canonical euclidean norm of a vector x is denoted by $\|x\|_2$. Recall that the p -th moment of a real random variable X is defined as $\|X\|_p := (\mathbf{E}|X|^p)^{1/p}$.

Let $d \geq 1$ and $A = (a_{\mathbf{i}})_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_d \leq n}$ be a finite multiindexed matrix of order d . If $\mathbf{i} \in \{1, \dots, n\}^d$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ then we define $i_I := (i_j)_{j \in I}$. For disjoint nonempty subsets I_1, \dots, I_k of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ we put

$$\|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_k} := \sup \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} x_{i_{I_1}}^{(1)} \dots x_{i_{I_k}}^{(k)} : \sum_{i_{I_1}} (x_{i_{I_1}}^{(1)})^2 \leq 1, \dots, \sum_{i_{I_k}} (x_{i_{I_k}}^{(k)})^2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Thus for example

$$\|A\|_{\{1,\dots,d\}} = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a_{ijk})\|_{\{1\}\{2,3\}} &= \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{jk} \left(\sum_i a_{ijk} x_i \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} : \sum_i x_i^2 \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_i \left(\sum_{jk} a_{ijk} x_{jk} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} : \sum_{jk} x_{jk}^2 \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By $S(k, d)$ we denote a set of all partitions of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ into k nonempty disjoint sets I_1, \dots, I_k . For $p \geq 1$ we put

$$m_p(A) := \sum_{k=1}^d p^{k/2} \sum_{(I_1, \dots, I_k) \in S(k, d)} \|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_k}.$$

Our main result is

Theorem 1. *For any multiindexed finite matrix $A = (a_{\mathbf{i}})_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_d \leq n}$ and $p \geq 2$ we have*

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{C(d)} m_p(A) \leq \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^d g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right\|_p \leq C(d) m_p(A).$$

Theorem 1 may be easily translated into the following two sided estimate for tails.

Corollary 1. *For any $t > 0$ and $d \geq 2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{C(d)} \exp \left[-C(d) \min_{1 \leq k \leq d} \min_{(I_1, \dots, I_k) \in S(k, d)} \left(\frac{t}{\|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_k}} \right)^{2/k} \right] \\ & \leq \mathbf{P} \left(\left| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^d g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right| \geq t \right) \\ & \leq C(d) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{C(d)} \min_{1 \leq k \leq d} \min_{(I_1, \dots, I_k) \in S(k, d)} \left(\frac{t}{\|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_k}} \right)^{2/k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3) it is clear that the proof of (4) should be based on estimation of norms of some random Gaussian matrices. Next theorem is in our opinion of independent interest and has been recently applied in [2] to get moment estimates for canonical U -statistics.

Theorem 2. For any $d \geq 2$ and any finite matrix A we have for $p \geq 2$

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d} \right) \right\|_{\{1\} \dots \{d-1\}} \leq C(d) p^{(1-d)/2} m_p(A).$$

REMARK. We suspect that in fact a stronger estimate may hold, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d} \right) \right\|_{\{1\} \dots \{d-1\}} &\leq C(d) \inf_{p \geq 1} \left(s_{d-1}(A) + p^{1/2} \|A\|_{\{1\} \dots \{d\}} + p^{(2-d)/2} \|A\|_{\{1, \dots, d\}} \right) \\ &\leq C(d) \left(s_{d-1}(A) + \|A\|_{\{1\} \dots \{d\}}^{(d-2)/(d-1)} \|A\|_{\{1, \dots, d\}}^{1/(d-1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s_{d-1}(A) := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d-1} \|A\|_{\{j, d\}, \{\{l\}: 1 \leq l \leq d-1, l \neq j\}}.$$

However we are not able to show this result for $d > 3$.

3 Entropy Estimates and Gaussian Processes

By $\gamma_{n,t}$ we will denote the distribution of tG_n , where $G_n = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is a canonical n -dimensional Gaussian vector. We also put $G_n^{(i)} := (g_1^{(i)}, \dots, g_n^{(i)})$ for i.i.d. copies of G_n .

If ρ is a metric on a set T , $N(T, \rho, t)$ is the minimal number of closed balls of radius t that are necessary to cover T . The closed unit euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by B_2^n .

Lemma 1. For any norms α_1, α_2 on \mathbb{R}^n , $y \in S \subset B_2^n$ and $t > 0$,

$$\gamma_{n,t} \left(x : \alpha_1(x - y) \leq 4t \mathbf{E} \alpha_1(G_n), \alpha_2(x) \leq 4t \mathbf{E} \alpha_2(G_n) + \alpha_2(y) \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-t^{-2}/2}.$$

Proof. Let

$$K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \alpha_1(x) \leq 4t \mathbf{E} \alpha_1(G_n), \alpha_2(x) \leq 4t \mathbf{E} \alpha_2(G_n)\}.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$1 - \gamma_{n,t}(K) \leq \mathbf{P}(\alpha_1(tG_n) > 4\mathbf{E} \alpha_1(tG_n)) + \mathbf{P}(\alpha_2(tG_n) > 4\mathbf{E} \alpha_2(tG_n)) < 1/2.$$

By the symmetry of K we get for any $y \in B_2^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{n,t}(y+K) &= e^{-|y|^2/(2t^2)} \int_K e^{\langle y,x \rangle/t^2} d\gamma_{n,t}(x) \\ &= e^{-|y|^2/(2t^2)} \int_K \frac{1}{2} (e^{\langle y,x \rangle/t^2} + e^{-\langle y,x \rangle/t^2}) d\gamma_{n,t}(x) \\ &\geq \exp(-t^{-2}/2) \gamma_{n,t}(K) \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp(-t^{-2}/2).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, notice that if $x \in y + K$, then $\alpha_1(x - y) \leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_1(G_n)$, $\alpha_2(x) \leq \alpha_2(x - y) + \alpha_2(y) \leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_2(G_n) + \alpha_2(y)$. \square

Let α be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \cdots n_d}$ and the distance ρ_α on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ be defined by

$$\rho_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \alpha\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^d x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^d y^i\right) \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^d), \mathbf{y} = (y^1, \dots, y^d),$$

where $\bigotimes_{i=1}^d x^i := (x_{i_1}^1 \cdots x_{i_d}^d)_{i_1 \leq n_1, \dots, i_d \leq n_d}$. For $t > 0$, $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ we put

$$W_d^T(\alpha, t) := \sum_{k=1}^d t^k \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}: \#I=k} W_I^T(\alpha),$$

where

$$W_I^T(\alpha) := \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in T} \mathbf{E}\alpha\left(\left(\prod_{k \notin I} x_{i_k}^k \prod_{k \in I} g_{i_k}^{(k)}\right)_{i_1, \dots, i_d}\right).$$

To simplify the notation we will write W_d and W_I instead of W_d^T and W_I^T if $T = B_2^{n_1} \times \dots \times B_2^{n_d}$.

Lemma 2. *For any $t > 0$ and $\mathbf{x} \in B_2^{n_1} \times \dots \times B_2^{n_d}$ we have*

$$(6) \quad \gamma_{n_1 + \dots + n_d, t}(B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, 4t))) \geq 2^{-d} \exp(-dt^{-2}/2).$$

Proof. We will proceed by induction on d . For $d = 1$ we have

$$B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, 4t)) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} : \alpha(x - y) \leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha(G_{n_1})\}$$

and (6) follows by Lemma 1.

Now suppose that (6) holds for $d - 1$ we will show that it is also satisfied for d .

Let us first notice that

$$(7) \quad \alpha\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^d x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^d y^i\right) \leq \alpha^1(x^d - y^d) + \alpha_{y^d}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} y^i\right),$$

where α^1 and α_y are norms on \mathbb{R}^{n_d} and $\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \cdots n_{d-1}}$ respectively, defined by

$$\alpha^1(z) := \alpha\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} x^{(i)} \otimes z\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_y(z) := \alpha(z \otimes y).$$

Then obviously

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{E}\alpha^1(G_{n_d}) = W_{\{d\}}^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha).$$

Moreover if we put $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = (x^1, \dots, x^{d-1})$ and define a norm α_t^2 on \mathbb{R}^{n_d} by the formula

$$\alpha_t^2(y) := W_{d-1}^{\{\pi(\mathbf{x})\}}(\alpha_y, t)$$

then

$$(9) \quad t\mathbf{E}\alpha_t^2(G_{n_d}) + \alpha_t^2(x^d) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}: I \neq \emptyset, \{d\}} t^{\#I} W_I^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, t).$$

Notice also that by the induction assumption we have for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n_d}$,

$$(10) \quad \gamma_{n_1 + \dots + n_{d-1}, t}\left(\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 + \dots + n_{d-1}} : \alpha_z\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} y^i\right) \leq \alpha_{4t}^2(z)\right) \\ \geq 2^{1-d} \exp(-(d-1)t^{-2}/2).$$

Finally let

$$A(\mathbf{x}) := \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 + \dots + n_d} : \alpha^1(x^d - y^d) \leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha^1(G_{n_d}), \right. \\ \alpha_{4t}^2(y^d) \leq 4t\mathbf{E}\alpha_{4t}^2(G_{n_d}) + \alpha_{4t}^2(x^d), \\ \left. \alpha_{y^d}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} x^i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d-1} y^i\right) \leq \alpha_{4t}^2(y^d) \right\}.$$

By (7)-(9) we get $A(\mathbf{x}) \subset B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, 4t))$ and therefore by (10), Lemma 1 and Fubini's theorem we get

$$\gamma_{n_1 + \dots + n_d, t}\left(B_\alpha\left(\mathbf{x}, W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, 4t)\right)\right) \geq \gamma_{n_1 + \dots + n_d, t}(A(\mathbf{x})) \geq 2^{-d} \exp(-dt^{-2}/2).$$

□

Corollary 2. For any $T \subset B_2^{n_1} \times \dots \times B_2^{n_d}$ and $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$N\left(T, \rho_\alpha, W_d^T(\alpha, t)\right) \leq \exp(Cdt^{-2}).$$

In particular

$$N\left(B_2^{n_1} \times \dots \times B_2^{n_d}, \rho_\alpha, W_d(\alpha, t)\right) \leq \exp(Cdt^{-2}).$$

Proof. Obviously $W_d^T(\alpha, t) \geq \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in T} W_d^{\{\mathbf{x}\}}(\alpha, t)$. Therefore by Lemma 2 we have for any $\mathbf{x} \in T$,

$$(11) \quad \gamma_{n_1+\dots+n_d, t}\left(B_\alpha\left(\mathbf{x}, W_d^T(\alpha, 4t)\right)\right) \geq 2^{-d} \exp(-dt^{-2}/2).$$

Suppose that there exist $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N \in T$ such that $\rho_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) > W_d^T(\alpha, t) \geq 2W_d^T(\alpha, t/2)$ for $i \neq j$. Then sets $B_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i, W_d^T(\alpha, t/2))$ are disjoint, so by (11) we obtain $N \leq 2^d \exp(32dt^{-2})$. Hence

$$N(T, \rho_\alpha, W_d^T(\alpha, t)) \leq 2^d \exp(32dt^{-2}) \leq \exp(33dt^{-2}).$$

□

To finish this section let us recall standard estimates for Gaussian processes.

Lemma 3. Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be a centered Gaussian process and $T = \bigcup_{l=1}^m T_l$, then

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in T} X_t \leq \max_l \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in T_l} X_t + C \sqrt{\log m} \sup_{t, s \in T} (\mathbf{E}(X_t - X_s)^2)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Obviously $\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in T} X_t = \mathbf{E} \max_l \sup_{t \in T_l} (X_t - X_{t_0})$ for any $t_0 \in T$. The lemma follows by integration by parts and the classical estimate (cf. [9, Theorem 7.1])

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{t \in T_l} (X_t - X_{t_0}) \geq \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in T_l} X_t + u \sup_{t \in T_l} (\mathbf{E}(X_t - X_{t_0})^2)^{1/2}\right) \leq \exp(-u^2/2)$$

for $u > 0$.

□

Lemma 4. Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be a centered Gaussian process. Then for any $p \geq 2$

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{C} (\|\sup_{t \in T} X_t\|_2 + \sqrt{p}\sigma) \leq \|\sup_{t \in T} X_t\|_p \leq \|\sup_{t \in T} X_t\|_2 + C\sqrt{p}\sigma,$$

where $\sigma := \sup_{t \in T} (X_t^2)^{1/2}$.

Proof. The lower bound follows by the obvious estimate $\|\sup_{t \in T} X_t\|_p \geq \sup_{t \in T} \|X_t\|_p$ and the upper one by the concentration of suprema of Gaussian processes (cf. [9, Theorem 7.1]) and integration by parts. □

4 Proofs

Let us start by some additional notation. For a matrix $A = (a_{\mathbf{i}})_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_d \leq n}$ of order $d \geq 2$ we set

$$s_{d-1}(A) := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d-1} \|A\|_{\{j, d\}, \{\{l\} : 1 \leq l \leq d-1, l \neq j\}}$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq d-2$

$$s_k(A) := \sum_{(I_1, \dots, I_k) \in S(k, d)} \|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_k}.$$

On $\mathbb{R}^{(d-1)n} = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$ we introduce the distance ρ_A by the formula

$$\rho_A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \left(\sum_{i_d} \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{i_k}^k - \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} y_{i_k}^k \right) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^{d-1})$, $\mathbf{y} = (y^1, \dots, y^{d-1})$. We have

$$(13) \quad \rho_A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{E}(X_{\mathbf{x}} - X_{\mathbf{y}})^2)^{1/2},$$

where $X_{\mathbf{x}} := \sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{i_k}^k g_{i_d}$.

For $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{(d-1)n}$ we put

$$\Delta_A(T) := \sup\{\rho_A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in T\}.$$

Let us notice that in particular we have

$$(14) \quad \Delta_A((B_2^n)^{d-1}) \leq 2 \sup\{\rho_A(\mathbf{x}, 0) : \mathbf{x} \in (B_2^n)^{d-1}\} = 2\|A\|_{\{1\} \dots \{d\}}.$$

For a set $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{(d-1)n}$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ we put

$$W_I^T(A) := \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in T} \left(\sum_{i_{I \cup \{d\}}} \left(\sum_{i_{\{1, \dots, d-1\} \setminus I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} \setminus I} x_{i_k}^k \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$

$$W_k^T(A) := \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}, \#I=k} W_I^T(A).$$

Next Lemma shows how the results of the previous section may be adapted to the case of particular metric ρ_A .

Lemma 5. For any $0 < t \leq 1$ and $T \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ we have

$$(15) \quad N(T, \rho_A, \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} t^k W_k^T(A)) \leq \exp(Cdt^{-2}).$$

In particular

$$(16) \quad N(T, \rho_A, tW_1^T(A) + \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} t^k s_{d-k}(A)) \leq \exp(Cdt^{-2}).$$

Proof. Notice that $\rho_A = \rho_\alpha$, where for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n^{d-1}}$,

$$\alpha(z) := \left(\sum_{i_d} \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}} a_{\mathbf{i}} z_{i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We have for any $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \alpha \left(\prod_{k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} \setminus I} x_{i_k}^k \prod_{k \in I} g_{i_k}^{(k)} \right) &\leq \left(\mathbf{E} \alpha^2 \left(\prod_{k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} \setminus I} x_{i_k}^k \prod_{k \in I} g_{i_k}^{(k)} \right) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i_{I \cup \{d\}}} \left(\sum_{i_{\{1, \dots, d-1\} \setminus I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} \setminus I} x_{i_k}^k \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$W_I^T(\alpha) = W_I^T(A)$$

and (15) immediately follows by Corollary 2.

Inequality (15) implies (16), since

$$W_I^T(A) \leq W_I^{(B_2^n)^{d-1}}(A) = \|A\|_{I \cup \{d\}, \{\{l\} : l \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} \setminus I\}}.$$

□

Now we are ready to present a stronger version of Theorem 2. To formulate it let us define for $T \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$

$$F_A(T) := \mathbf{E} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in T} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{i_k}^k g_{i_d} \right).$$

Theorem 3. For any $T \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $p \geq 1$,

$$(17) \quad F_A(T) \leq C(d) \left(\sqrt{p} \Delta_A(T) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right).$$

Let us notice that $\mathbf{E}\|(\sum_{i_d} a_i g_{i_d})\|_{\{1\}\dots\{d-1\}} = F_A((B_2^n)^{d-1})$ and therefore Theorem 3 implies Theorem 2, since by (14), $\Delta_A((B_2^n)^{d-1}) \leq 2\|A\|_{\{1\}\dots\{d\}}$.

We will prove (17) by induction on d , but before we start the proof we will show several consequences of the theorem. In the next three lemmas we assume that Theorem 3 (and thus also Theorem 2) holds for all matrices of order smaller than d .

We set for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq d-1} \left\| \sum_{i_j} a_i x_{i_j}^j \right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}: 1 \leq l \leq d-1, l \neq k, j\}},$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \tilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq d-1} \left\| \sum_{i_j} a_i (x_{i_j}^j - y_{i_j}^j) \right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}: 1 \leq l \leq d-1, l \neq k, j\}}$$

and for $T \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_A(T) := \sup\{\tilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in T\}.$$

Lemma 6. *For any $p \geq 1$ and $l \geq 0$,*

$$N\left((B_2^n)^{d-1}, \tilde{\rho}_A, 2^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right) \leq \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p).$$

Proof. Notice that $\tilde{\alpha}_A$ is a norm on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1} = \mathbb{R}^{(d-1)n}$ and

$$\mathbf{E}\tilde{\alpha}(G_{(d-1)n}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq d-1} \mathbf{E}\left\| \sum_{i_j} a_i g_{i_j} \right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}: 1 \leq l \leq d-1, l \neq k, j\}}.$$

By (5) (applied to a matrix of order $d-1$),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\left\| \sum_{i_j} a_i g_{i_j} \right\|_{\{k,d\}\{\{l\}: 1 \leq l \leq d-1, l \neq k, j\}} & \\ & \leq C(d) \sum_{l=1}^{d-1} p^{(2-d+l)/2} \sum_{\substack{(I_1, \dots, I_l) \in S(l, d) \\ \{k, d\} \in I_1}} \|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_l} \\ & \leq C(d) \sum_{s=1}^{d-1} p^{(2-s)/2} s_{d-s}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Corollary 2 (with $d=1$) we have for $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$N\left((B_2^n)^{d-1}, \tilde{\rho}_A, C(d)t \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(2-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right) \leq \exp(Ct^{-2})$$

and it is enough to substitute $t = (C(d)2^l \sqrt{p})^{-1}$. \square

Lemma 7. Suppose that $d \geq 3$, $\mathbf{y} \in (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $T \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$. Then for any $p \geq 1$ and $l \geq 0$ we can find decomposition

$$T = \bigcup_{j=1}^N T_j, \quad N \leq \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p)$$

such that for each $j \leq N$,

$$(18) \quad F_A(\mathbf{y} + T_j) \leq F_A(T_j) + C(d) \left(\tilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y}) + \tilde{\alpha}_A(T) + 2^{-l} \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right)$$

and

$$(19) \quad \Delta_A(T_j) \leq 2^{-l} p^{-1/2} \tilde{\alpha}_A(T) + 2^{-2l} \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A).$$

Proof. For $I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d-1\}$, $\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$ and $S \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^{d-1}$ let us define

$$\rho_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) := \left(\sum_{i_d} \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}} a_i \prod_{k \in I} y_{i_k}^k \left(\prod_{j \leq d-1, j \notin I} x_{i_j}^j - \prod_{j \leq d-1, j \notin I} \tilde{x}_{i_j}^j \right) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\Delta_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(S) := \sup \{ \rho_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) : \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in S \}.$$

and

$$F_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(S) := \mathbf{E} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in S} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k \in I} y_{i_k}^k \prod_{j \leq d-1, j \notin I} x_{i_j}^j g_{i_d}.$$

Notice that if $I \neq \emptyset$ then (17) applied to the matrix

$$A(\mathbf{y}, I) := \left(\sum_{i_I} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k \in I} y_{i_k}^k \right)$$

of order $d - \#I < d$ gives for any $S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $q \geq 1$,

$$F_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(S) \leq C(d - \#I) \left(q^{1/2} \Delta_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(S) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-\#I-1} q^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-\#I-k}(A(\mathbf{y}, I)) \right).$$

But $s_{d-\#I-k}(A(\mathbf{y}, I)) \leq s_{d-k}(A)$ for $k \geq 2$ and $s_{d-\#I-1}(A(\mathbf{y}, I)) \leq \tilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y})$, hence

$$(20) \quad F_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(S) \leq C(d) \left(q^{1/2} \Delta_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(S) + \tilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y}) + \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} q^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right).$$

Since $\mathbf{E} \sum a_i \prod_{k \leq d-1} y_{i_k}^k g_{i_d} = 0$, we get

$$(21) \quad F_A(y + S) - F_A(S) \leq \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d-1\}} F_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(S).$$

Notice also that for any $I \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$, $0 \leq \#I \leq d-3$ we have

$$W_1^T(A(\mathbf{y}, I)) \leq \sup\{\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in T\} = \tilde{\alpha}_A(T).$$

Thus we may apply $2^{d-1} - d$ times (16) with $t = 2^{-l}p^{-1/2}$ and find a decomposition $T = \bigcup_{j=1}^N T_j$, $N \leq \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p)$ such that for each j and $I \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$, $0 \leq \#I \leq d-3$,

$$(22) \quad \Delta_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(T_j) \leq 2^{-l}p^{-1/2}\tilde{\alpha}_A(T) + 2^{-2l} \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A).$$

Moreover if $I \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ with $\#I = d-2$, then $A(\mathbf{y}, I)$ is a matrix of order 2 and for $S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$,

$$(23) \quad F_A^{\mathbf{y}, I}(S) \leq \|A(\mathbf{y}, I)\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \tilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y}).$$

Estimate (22) reduces to (19) for $I = \emptyset$ and (18) follows by (20) with $q = 2^{2l}p$ and (21)-(23). \square

Lemma 8. *Suppose that S is a finite subset of $(B_2^n)^{d-1}$ with $\#S \geq 2$ such that $S - S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$. Then there exist finite sets $S_i \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $\mathbf{y}_i \in S$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ such that:*

i) $2 \leq N \leq \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p)$,

ii) $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_i + S_i)$, $S_i - S_i \subset S - S$, $\#S_i \leq \#S - 1$,

iii) $\Delta_A(S_i) \leq 2^{-2l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A)$,

iv) $\tilde{\alpha}_A(S_i) \leq 2^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)$

and

v) $F_A(\mathbf{y}_i + S_i) \leq F_A(S_i) + C(d)(\tilde{\alpha}_A(S) + 2^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A))$.

Proof. By Lemma 6 we get

$$S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1} (\mathbf{y}_i + T_i), \quad N_1 \leq \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p),$$

$\mathbf{y}_i \in S$, $0 \in T_i$ and

$$\tilde{\alpha}_A(T_i) \leq 2^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A).$$

Notice that $T_i \subset S - \mathbf{y}_i \subset S - S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$. Hence by Lemma 7 (with $l+1$ instead of l) we get

$$T_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_2} T_{i,j}, \quad N_2 \leq \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(\mathbf{y}_i + T_{i,j}) &\leq F_A(T_{i,j}) + C(d) \left(\tilde{\alpha}_A(\mathbf{y}_i) + \tilde{\alpha}_A(T_i) + 2^{-l} \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right) \\ &\leq F_A(T_{i,j}) + C(d) \left(\tilde{\alpha}_A(S) + 2^{-l} \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_A(T_{i,j}) &\leq 2^{-l-1} p^{-1/2} \tilde{\alpha}_A(T_i) + 2^{-2l-2} \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A) \\ &\leq 2^{-2l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$S = \bigcup_{i,j} (\mathbf{y}_i + T_{i,j}).$$

We have $N = N_1 N_2 \leq \exp(C(d)2^{2l}p)$, we may obviously assume that $N \geq 2$ and making the sets $T_{i,j}$ disjoint that $\#T_{i,j} \leq \#S - 1$. Obviously $T_{i,j} - T_{i,j} \subset S - S$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_A(T_{i,j}) \leq \tilde{\alpha}_A(T_i) \leq 2^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. We proceed by induction on d . For $d = 2$ and $A = (a_{ij})$ we have

$$F_A(T) \leq F_A(B_2^n) = \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij} g_j \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|A\|_{\text{HS}} = s_1(A).$$

Suppose that $d \geq 3$ and (17) holds for matrices of order smaller than d . Let us put $\Delta_0 := \Delta_A(T)$, $\tilde{\Delta}_0 := \tilde{\alpha}_A((B_2^n)^{d-1}) \leq C(d)s_{d-1}(A)$ and

$$\Delta_l := 2^{2-2l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{-k/2} s_{d-k}(A), \quad \tilde{\Delta}_l := 2^{1-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \text{ for } l \geq 1.$$

Suppose first that $T \subset \frac{1}{2}(B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and define

$$c_T(r, l) := \sup \{F_A(S) : S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}, S - S \subset T - T, \#S \leq r, \Delta_A(S) \leq \Delta_l, \tilde{\alpha}_A(S) \leq \tilde{\Delta}_l\}.$$

Obviously $c_T(1, l) = 0$, we will first show that for $r \geq 2$,

(24)

$$c_T(r, l) \leq c_T(r-1, l+1) + C(d) \left(\tilde{\Delta}_l + 2^l \sqrt{p} \Delta_l + 2^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right).$$

Indeed, let us take $S \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$ as in the definition of $c_T(r, l)$, then by Lemma 8 we may find decomposition $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_i + S_i)$ satisfying i)-v). Hence, by Lemma 3 and (13) we have

(25)

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(S) &\leq C \sqrt{\log N} \Delta_A(S) + \max_i F_A(\mathbf{y}_i + S_i) \\ &\leq C(d) \left(\tilde{\alpha}_A(S) + 2^l \sqrt{p} \Delta_l + 2^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right) + \max_i F_A(S_i). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\Delta_A(S_i) \leq \Delta_{l+1}$, $\tilde{\alpha}_A(S_i) \leq \tilde{\Delta}_{l+1}$, $S_i - S_i \subset S - S \subset T - T$, $\#S_i \leq \#S - 1 \leq r - 1$, thus $\max_i F_A(S_i) \leq c_T(r-1, l+1)$ and (25) yields (24).

By (24) we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} c_T(r, 0) &\leq c_T(1, r-1) + C(d) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_l + 2^l \sqrt{p} \Delta_l + 2^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right) \\ &\leq C(d) \left(\sqrt{p} \Delta_A(T) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right). \end{aligned}$$

However we have for $T \subset \frac{1}{2}(B_2^n)^{d-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(T) &= \sup \{F_A(S) : S \subset T, \#S < \infty\} = \sup_r c_T(r, 0) \\ &\leq C(d) \left(\sqrt{p} \Delta_A(T) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally if $T \subset (B_2^n)^{d-1}$, then $\frac{1}{2}T \subset \frac{1}{2}(B_2^n)^{d-1}$ and $\Delta_A(\frac{1}{2}T) = 2^{1-d}\Delta_A(T)$, hence

$$F_A(T) = 2^{d-1}F_A(\frac{1}{2}T) \leq C(d)\left(\sqrt{p}\Delta_A(T) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{(1-k)/2} s_{d-k}(A)\right).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. First we prove by induction on d the estimate from below. For $d = 1$ it follows by (1). Suppose that the lower estimate holds for matrices of order smaller than d , then by the induction assumption we have for any matrix $B = (b_{\mathbf{i}})_{i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}}$

$$\left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} b_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right\|_p \geq C(d-1)^{-1} \sqrt{p} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} b_{\mathbf{i}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq C(d)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} b_{\mathbf{i}} g_{\mathbf{i}} \right\|_p$$

and therefore by (2),

(26)

$$\left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^d g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right\|_p \geq C(d)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}} g_{i_d}^{(d)} \right\|_p \geq C(d)^{-1} \sqrt{p} \|A\|_{\{1, \dots, d\}}.$$

Let $(I_1, \dots, I_k) \in S(k, d)$ with $k \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i_{I_l}} (x_{I_l}^{(l)})^2 \leq 1$ for $l = 1, \dots, k$. Then by the induction assumption applied twice (first conditionally on $(g_{i_j}^{(j)})_{j \in I_1}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^d g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right\|_p &\geq C(d - \#I_1)^{-1} p^{(k-1)/2} \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j \in I_1} g_{i_j}^{(j)} \prod_{l=2}^k x_{I_l}^{(l)} \right\|_p \\ &\geq (C(d - \#I_1) C(\#I_1))^{-1} p^{k/2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{l=1}^k x_{I_l}^{(l)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(27) \quad \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^d g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right\|_p \geq C(d)^{-1} p^{k/2} \|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_k}.$$

Inequalities (26) and (27) imply the lower part of estimate (4).

Now (again by induction on d) we prove the estimate from above. For $d \leq 2$ the estimate follow by (1) and (2). Suppose that $d \geq 3$ and the estimate

holds for choices of order smaller than d . By the induction assumption we have

$$(28) \quad \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^d g_{i_j}^{(j)} \right\|_p \leq C(d-1) \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} p^{k/2} \sum_{(I_1, \dots, I_k) \in S(k, d-1)} \left(\mathbf{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}^{(d)} \right) \right\|_{I_1 \dots I_k}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

However for $(I_1, \dots, I_k) \in S(k, d-1)$ we have by (12),

$$(29) \quad \left(\mathbf{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}^{(d)} \right) \right\|_{I_1 \dots I_k}^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C\sqrt{p} \|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_k \{d\}} + \mathbf{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}^{(d)} \right) \right\|_{I_1 \dots I_k}.$$

Theorem 2 gives

$$(30) \quad \mathbf{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{i_d} a_{\mathbf{i}} g_{i_d}^{(d)} \right) \right\|_{I_1 \dots I_k} \leq C(k+1) p^{-k/2} m_p(A).$$

Inequalities (28)-(30) yield upper estimate in (4). \square

Proof of Corollary 1. Let $S := \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^d g_{i_j}^{(j)}$. By Chebyshev's inequality and (4) one gets for $p \geq 2$

$$(31) \quad \mathbf{P}(|S| \geq C(d) m_p(A)) \leq \mathbf{P}(|S| \geq e \|S\|_p) \leq e^{-p}.$$

Since $\|S\|_{2p} \leq C(d) \|S\|_p$ (cf. [10, Section 3.2] or use (4) and $m_{2p}(A) \leq 2^{d/2} m_p(A)$), we get by the Paley-Zygmund inequality and (4) as in [6],

$$(32) \quad \mathbf{P}(|S| \geq C(d)^{-1} m_p(A)) \geq \min(C(d)^{-1}, e^{-p}).$$

Finally notice that if $m_p(A) = s \geq m_2(A)$ then

$$p \sim_d \min\{(s/\|A\|_{I_1 \dots I_k})^{2/k} : 1 \leq k \leq d, (I_1, \dots, I_k) \in S(k, d)\}$$

and therefore Corollary 1 follows by (31) and (32). \square

References

- [1] ADAMCZAK, R. (2005). Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and concentration of measure for convex functions and polynomial chaoses. Preprint. Available at arxiv.org/abs/math.PR/0505175.

- [2] ADAMCZAK, R. (2005). Moment inequalities for U -statistics. Preprint.
- [3] ARCONES, M. and GINÉ, E. (1993). On decoupling, series expansions, and tail behaviour of chaos processes. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **6** 101–122.
- [4] BORELL, C. (1984). On the Taylor series of a Wiener polynomial. In *Seminar Notes on multiple stochastic integration, polynomial chaos and their integration*. Case Western Reserve Univ., Cleveland.
- [5] DE LA PEÑA, V.H. and MONTGOMERY-SMITH, S. (1994). Bounds for the tail probabilities of U -statistics and quadratic forms. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** 223–227.
- [6] GLUSKIN, E.D. and KWAPIEŃ, S. (1995). Tail and moment estimates for sums of independent random variables with logarithmically concave tails, *Studia Math.* **114** 303–309.
- [7] HANSON, D.L. and WRIGHT, F.T. (1971). A bound on tail probabilities for quadratic forms in independent random variables, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** 52–61.
- [8] LATAŁA, R. (1999). Tail and moment estimates for some type of chaos, *Studia Math.* **135** 39–53.
- [9] LEDOUX, M. (2001). *The concentration of measure phenomenon*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [10] LEDOUX, M. and TALAGRAND, M. (1991). *Probability in Banach spaces: Isoperimetry and processes*. Springer, New York.
- [11] ŁOCHOWSKI, R. (2005). Moment and tail estimates for multidimensional chaoses generated by symmetric random variables with logarithmically concave tails. Preprint.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 WARSAW UNIVERSITY
 BANACHA 2
 02-097 WARSZAWA, POLAND
 E-mail: rlatala@mimuw.edu.pl