

# CHOW-KÜNNETH DECOMPOSITION FOR UNIVERSAL FAMILIES OVER PICARD MODULAR SURFACES

A. MILLER, S. MÜLLER-STACH, S. WORTMANN, Y.-H. YANG, K. ZUO

*Dedicated to Jaap Murre*

**ABSTRACT.** We discuss the existence of an absolute Chow-Künneth decomposition for complete degenerations of families of Abelian threefolds with complex multiplication over a particular Picard Modular Surface studied by Holzapfel. In addition to the work of Gordon, Hanamura and Murre we use Relatively Complete Models in the sense of Mumford-Faltings-Chai of Picard Modular Surfaces in order to describe complete degenerations of families of abelian varieties. We furthermore prove vanishing results for cohomology groups of irreducible representations of certain arithmetic subgroups in  $SU(2, 1)$  using the non-compact Simpson type correspondence between the  $L^2$ -Higgs cohomology of the underlying VHS and the  $L^2$ -de Rham cohomology resp. intersection cohomology of local systems.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we discuss absolute Chow-Künneth decompositions for families over a particular Picard modular surface. We prove the existence of an absolute Chow-Künneth decomposition under the assumption of the motivic decomposition theorem, which essentially asserts that there exist relative Chow-Künneth projectors. As in [9] the main obstacles are the understanding of compactifications and vanishing theorems for certain local systems over the base. However we weaken several assumptions in loc. cit., for example we need less vanishing theorems by carefully studying the Leray spectral sequence and the stratifications are not anymore assumed to be toric varieties. We also sketch how to obtain relative Chow-Künneth projectors using the method of Deninger and Murre [4] and the extension of Gordon, Hanamura and Murre.

These families can be seen either as complete degenerations of families of Picard curves (see section 5) or as complete degenerations of families of Abelian threefolds with complex multiplication (see sections 2-4). Both aspects will be used in the sequel. The contents of this paper are as follows:

In section 2 we present notations, definitions and known results concerning Picard Modular surfaces and the universal Abelian schemes above them.

---

*Date:* November 5, 2018.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C25.

Supported by: DFG Schwerpunkt-Programm, DFG China Exchange program, NSF of China (grant no. 10471105), Max-Planck Gesellschaft.

Section 3 first gives a short introduction to Chow Motives and the Murre Conjectures and then proceeds to our case in paragraph 3.2. We describe the method of proof of our main theorem 7.1. The remainder of the paper will then be devoted to the proof of Theorem 7.1:

In paragraph 3.3 two necessary monodromy conditions are proved. In section 4 we give a description of toroidal degenerations of families of Abelian threefolds with complex multiplication. This is needed to modify conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.6. In section 5 we describe the geometry of a class of Picard modular surfaces which have been studied by Holzapfel. In section 6 we prove vanishing results for intersection cohomology using the non-compact Simpson type correspondence between the  $L^2$ -Higgs cohomology of the underlying VHS and the  $L^2$ -de Rham cohomology resp. intersection cohomology of local systems. Finally in section 7 the results of section 6 are put together to prove a sufficient modification of condition (7) of Theorem 3.6. This completes the proof of our main theorem. The appendix (section 8) gives an explicit description of the  $L^2$ -Higgs complexes needed for the vanishing results of section 6.

## 2. THE PICARD MODULAR SURFACE

In this section we are going to introduce the Picard modular surface  $X = X_\Gamma$  and the universal abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}$  of fibre dimension 3 over  $X$ . For proofs and further references we refer to [7].

Let  $E$  be an imaginary quadratic field with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . The Picard modular group is defined as follows. Let  $V$  be a 3-dimensional  $E$ -vector space and  $L \subset V$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattice. Let  $J : V \times V \rightarrow E$  be a nondegenerate Hermitian form of signature  $(2, 1)$  which takes values in  $\mathcal{O}_E$  if it is restricted to  $L \times L$ . Now let  $G' = \mathrm{SU}(J, V)/\mathbb{Q}$  be the special unitary group of  $(V, \phi)$ . This is a semisimple algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and for any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $A$  its group of  $A$ -rational points is

$$G'(A) = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A) \mid J(gu, gv) = J(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A\}.$$

In particular one has  $G'(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{SU}(2, 1)$ . The symmetric domain  $\mathcal{H}$  associated to  $G'(\mathbb{R})$  can be identified with the complex 2-ball as follows. Let us fix once and for all an embedding  $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and identify  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ . This gives  $V(\mathbb{R})$  the structure of a 3-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space and one may choose a basis of  $V(\mathbb{R})$  such that the form  $J$  is represented by the diagonal matrix  $[1, 1, -1]$ . As  $\mathcal{H}$  can be identified with the (open) subset of the Grassmannian  $\mathrm{Gr}_1(V(\mathbb{R}))$  of complex lines on which  $J$  is negative definite, one has

$$\mathcal{H} \simeq \{(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid |Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2 - |Z_3|^2 < 0\}/\mathbb{C}^*.$$

This is contained in the subspace, where  $Z_3 \neq 0$  and, switching to affine coordinates, can be identified with the complex 2-ball

$$\mathbb{B} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < 1\}.$$

Using this description one sees that  $G'(\mathbb{R})$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{B}$ .

The Picard modular group of  $E$  is defined to be  $G'(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{SU}(J, L)$ , i.e. the elements  $g \in G'(\mathbb{Q})$  with  $gL = L$ . It is an arithmetic subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  and acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{B}$ . The same holds for any commensurable subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G'(\mathbb{Q})$ , in particular if  $\Gamma \subset G'(\mathbb{Z})$  is of finite index the quotient  $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{B}/\Gamma$  is a non-compact complex surface, the Picard modular surface. Moreover, for torsionfree  $\Gamma$  it is smooth.

We want to describe  $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$  as moduli space for polarized abelian 3-folds with additional structure. For this we will give a description of  $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$  as the identity component of the Shimura variety  $S_K(G, \mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $G = \mathrm{GU}(J, V)/\mathbb{Q}$  be the reductive algebraic group of unitary similitudes of  $J$ , i.e. for any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $A$

$$G'(A) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A) \mid \text{there exists } \mu(g) \in A^* \text{ such that} \\ J(gu, gv) = \mu(g)J(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A\}.$$

As usual  $\mathbb{A}$  denotes the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -adeles and  $\mathbb{A}_f$  denotes the finite adeles. Let  $K$  be a compact open subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ , which is compatible with the integral structure defined by the lattice  $L$ . I.e.,  $K$  should be in addition a subgroup of finite index in  $G(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) := \{g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f) \mid g(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ . Then one can define

$$S_K(G, \mathcal{H})(\mathbb{C}) := G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{H} \times G(\mathbb{A}_f) / K.$$

This can be decomposed as  $S_K(G, \mathcal{H})(\mathbb{C}) = \coprod_{j=1}^{n(K)} X_{\Gamma_j}(\mathbb{C})$ .

The variety  $S_K(G, \mathcal{H})(\mathbb{C})$  has an interpretation as a moduli space for certain 3-dimensional abelian varieties. Recall that over  $\mathbb{C}$  an abelian variety  $A$  is determined by the following datum: a real vector space  $W(\mathbb{R})$ , a lattice  $W(\mathbb{Z}) \subset W(\mathbb{R})$ , and a complex structure  $j : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(W(\mathbb{R}))$ , for which there exists a nondegenerate  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear skew-symmetric form  $\psi : W(\mathbb{R}) \times W(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  taking values in  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $W(\mathbb{Z})$  such that the form given by  $(w, w') \mapsto \psi(j(i)w, w')$  is symmetric and positive definite. The form  $\psi$  is called a Riemann form and two forms  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  are called equivalent if there exist  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  such that  $n_1\psi_1 = n_2\psi_2$ . An equivalence class of Riemann forms is called a homogeneous polarization of  $A$ .

An endomorphism of a complex abelian variety is an element of  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(W(\mathbb{R}))$  preserving  $W(\mathbb{Z})$  and commuting with  $j(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . A homogeneously polarized abelian variety  $(W(\mathbb{R}), W(\mathbb{Z}), j, \psi)$  is said to have complex multiplication by an order  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $E$  if and only if there is a homomorphism  $m : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(A)$  such that  $m(1) = 1$ , and which is compatible with  $\psi$ , i.e.  $\psi(m(\alpha^\rho)w, w') = \psi(w, m(\alpha)w')$  where  $\rho$  is the Galois automorphism of  $E$  induced by complex conjugation (via our fixed embedding  $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .) We shall only consider the case  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_E$  in the following.

One can define the signature of the complex multiplication  $m$ , resp. the abelian variety  $(W(\mathbb{R}), W(\mathbb{Z}), j, \psi, m)$  as the signature of the hermitian form  $(w, w') \mapsto \psi(w, iw') + i\psi(w, w')$  on  $W(\mathbb{R})$  with respect to the complex structure imposed by  $m$  via  $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . We write  $m_{(s,t)}$  if  $m$  has signature  $(s, t)$ .

Finally for any compact open subgroup  $K \subset G(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  as before one has the notion of a level- $K$  structure on  $A$ . For a positive integer  $n$  we denote by  $A_n(\mathbb{C})$  the group of points of order  $n$  in  $A(\mathbb{C})$ . This group can be identified with  $W(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and taking the projective limit over the system  $(A_n(\mathbb{C}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}$  defines the Tate module of  $A$ :

$$T(A) := \varprojlim A_n(\mathbb{C}) \simeq W(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Now two isomorphisms  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : W(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq L \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  are called  $K$ -equivalent if there is a  $k \in K$  such that  $\varphi_1 = k\varphi_2$  and a  $K$ -level structure on  $A$  is just a  $K$ -equivalence class of these isomorphisms.

**Proposition 2.1.** *For any compact open subgroup  $K \subset G(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  there is a one-to-one correspondence between*

- (1) *the set of points of  $S_K(G, \mathcal{H})(\mathbb{C})$  and*
- (2) *the set of isomorphism classes of  $(W(\mathbb{R}), W(\mathbb{Z}), j, \psi, m_{(2,1), \varphi})$  as above.*

*Proof.* [7, Prop.3.2] □

**Remark 2.2.** If we take

$$K_N := \{g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f) \mid (g - 1)(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset N \cdot (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}})\},$$

then a level- $K$  structure is just the usual level- $N$  structure, namely an isomorphism  $A_N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L \otimes \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover  $K_N \subset G(\mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma_N$ , where  $\Gamma_N$  is the principal congruence subgroup of level  $N$ , i.e. the kernel of the canonical map  $G'(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ . In this case the connected component of the identity of  $S_{K_N}(G, \mathcal{H})$  is exactly  $X_{\Gamma_N}(\mathbb{C})$ .

We denote with  $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$  the universal abelian scheme over  $X_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})$ . In section 4 the compactifications of these varieties will be explained in detail. For the time being we denote them with  $\overline{X}_{\Gamma}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\Gamma}$ .

As the group  $\Gamma$  will be fixed throughout the paper we will drop the index  $\Gamma$  if no confusion is possible.

### 3. CHOW MOTIVES AND THE CONJECTURES OF MURRE

Let us briefly recall some definitions and results from the theory of Chow motives.

For a smooth projective variety  $Y$  over a field  $k$  let  $\mathrm{CH}^j(Y)$  denote the Chow group of algebraic cycles of codimension  $j$  on  $Y$  modulo rational equivalence, and let  $\mathrm{CH}^j(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathrm{CH}^j(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . For a cycle  $Z$  on  $Y$  we write  $[Z]$  for its class in  $\mathrm{CH}^j(Y)$ . We will be working with relative Chow motives as well, so let us fix a smooth connected, quasi-projective base scheme  $S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ . If  $S = \mathrm{Spec} k$  we will usually omit  $S$  in the notation. Let  $Y, Y'$  be smooth projective varieties over  $S$ . For ease of notation (and as we will not consider more general cases) we may assume that  $Y$  is irreducible and of relative dimension  $g$  over  $S$ . The group of relative correspondences from  $Y$  to  $Y'$  of degree  $r$  is defined as

$$\mathrm{Corr}^r(Y \times_S Y') := \mathrm{CH}^{r+d}(Y \times_S Y')_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Every  $S$ -morphism  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  defines an element in  $\text{Corr}^0(Y \times_S Y')$  via the class of the transpose of its graph. In particular one has the class  $[\Delta_{Y/S}] \in \text{Corr}^0(Y \times_S Y)$  of the relative diagonal.

Using the relative correspondences one proceeds as usual to define the category  $\mathcal{M}_S$  of (pure) Chow motives over  $S$ . The objects of this pseudoabelian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear tensor category are triples  $(Y, p, n)$  where  $Y$  is as above,  $p$  is a projector, i.e. an idempotent element in  $\text{Corr}^0(Y \times_S Y)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The morphisms are

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_S}((Y, p, n), (Y', p', n')) := p' \circ \text{Corr}^{n'-n}(Y \times_S Y') \circ p.$$

When  $n = 0$  we write  $(Y, p)$  instead of  $(Y, p, 0)$ , and  $h(Y) := (Y, [\Delta_Y])$ .

**Definition 3.1.** For a smooth projective variety  $Y/k$  of dimension  $d$  a Chow-Künneth-decomposition of  $Y$  consists of a collection of pairwise orthogonal projectors  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{2d}$  in  $\text{Corr}^0(Y \times Y)$  satisfying

- (1)  $\pi_0 + \dots + \pi_{2d} = [\Delta_Y]$  and
- (2) for some Weil cohomology theory  $H^*$  one has  $\pi_i(H^*(Y)) = H^i(Y)$ .

If one has a Chow-Künneth decomposition for  $Y$  one writes  $h^i(Y) = (Y, \pi_i)$ . Towards the existence of such decomposition one has the following conjecture of Murre:

**Conjecture 3.2.** Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $d$  over some field  $k$ .

- (1) There exists a Chow-Künneth decomposition for  $Y$
- (2) For all  $i < j$  and  $i > 2j$  the action of  $\pi_i$  on  $CH^j(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is trivial, i.e.  $\pi_i \cdot CH^j(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ .
- (3) The induced  $j$  step filtration on  $F^{\nu}CH^j(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \bigoplus_{i=j}^{2j-\nu} \pi_i \cdot CH^j(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is independent of the choice of the Chow-Künneth projectors, which are in general not canonical.
- (4) The first step of this filtration should give exactly the subgroup of homological trivial cycles  $CH^j(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  in  $CH^j(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

There are not many examples for which these conjectures have been proved, but they are known to be true for surfaces [22], in particular we know that we have a Chow-Künneth decomposition for  $\overline{X}$ .

The main result we are going to prove is:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Part (1) of Murre's conjecture holds for  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  under the assumption of the motivic decomposition theorem.*

3.1. First over the open smooth part  $X \subset \overline{X}$  one has the relative projectors constructed by Deninger and Murre in [4], see also [15].

Let  $S$  be a fixed base scheme as in section 3. We will now state some results on relative Chow motives in the case that  $A$  is an abelian scheme of fibre dimension  $g$  over  $S$ . Firstly we have a functorial decomposition of the relative diagonal  $\Delta_{A/S}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *There is a unique decomposition*

$$\Delta_{A/S} = \sum_{s=0}^{2g} \Pi_i \quad \text{in} \quad \text{CH}^g(A \times_S A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

such that  $(id_A \times [n])^* \Pi_i = n^i \Pi_i$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover the  $\Pi_i$  are mutually orthogonal idempotents, and  $[{}^t\Gamma_{[n]}] \circ \Pi_i = n^i \Pi_i = \Pi_i \circ [{}^t\Gamma_{[n]}]$ , where  $[n]$  denotes the multiplication by  $n$  on  $A$ .

*Proof.* [4, Thm. 3.1] □

Putting  $h^i(A/S) = (A/S, \Pi_i)$  one has a Poincaré-duality for these motives.

**Theorem 3.5.** (Poincaré-duality)

$$h^{2g-i}(A/S)^{\vee} \simeq h^i(A/S)(g)$$

*Proof.* [15, 3.1.2] □

3.2. We now turn back to our specific situation. From Theorem 3.4 we have the decomposition  $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}/X} = \Pi_0 + \dots + \Pi_6$ .

We will have to extend these relative projectors to absolute projectors. The first part of the proof will be modelled on [9, 1.3], where Hilbert modular varieties are considered. The second part uses a non-compact Simpson type correspondence between the  $L^2$ -Higgs cohomology of the underlying variation of Hodge structures and the  $L^2$ -de Rham cohomology (respectively intersection cohomology) of local systems in order to show vanishing of the cohomology groups mentioned in (4) of Theorem 3.6.

The following theorem of [9] (slightly weakened in (7) and modified in (1) and (2)) will be used in the proof of our main theorem 7.1.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$  as above satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) *The irreducible components of  $\overline{X} - X$  are smooth toric projective varieties.*
- (2) *The irreducible components of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{A}$  are smooth projective toric varieties.*
- (3) *The variety  $\mathcal{A}/X$  has a relative Chow-Künneth decomposition.*
- (4)  *$\overline{X}$  has a Chow-Künneth decomposition over  $k$ .*
- (5) *If  $x$  is a point of  $X^0$  the natural map*

$$CH^r(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H_B^{2r}(\mathcal{A}_x(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^{\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^0, x)}$$

*is surjective for  $0 \leq r \leq d = \dim \mathcal{A} - \dim X$ .*

- (6) *For  $i$  odd,  $H_B^i(\mathcal{A}_x(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^{\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^0, x)} = 0$ .*
- (7) *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible, non-constant representation of  $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^0, x)$  and  $\mathbb{V}$  the corresponding local system on  $X^0$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{V}$  is contained in the  $i$ -th exterior power  $R^i p_* \mathbb{Q} = \Lambda^i R^1 p_* \mathbb{Q}$  of the monodromy representation for some  $0 \leq i \leq 2d$ . Then  $H^q(X^0, \mathbb{V}) = 0$ , if  $q \neq \dim X$ . Equivalently, the intersection cohomology  $H^q(X^0, \mathbb{V}) = 0$  if  $q \neq \dim X$ .*

Under these assumptions  $\mathcal{A}$  has a Chow-Künneth decomposition over  $k$ .

Note that we will have to weaken conditions (1) and (2) of the above theorem to torus fibrations over an elliptic curve.

Note further that condition (4) holds in our case because of the existence of Chow-Künneth projectors for surfaces (see [22]). Conditions (5) and (6) will be the content of the next paragraph on monodromy.

Finally condition (7) of the above theorem will be shown for all  $q \neq 3$ . We will then obtain a slightly weaker condition for  $q = 3$  which still yields the conclusion of the theorem.

**3.3. Monodromy.** In this paragraph we want to consider the Betti cohomology of the fibres and its behaviour under the action of the topological fundamental group. More precisely, let  $x \in X$  be some point and consider the fibre  $\mathcal{A}_x$ , an abelian variety of dimension 3. The Betti cohomology groups  $H_B^*(\mathcal{A}_x(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$  carry an action of the topological fundamental group  $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$ . The algebraic cycles, i.e. the images of  $\alpha \in CH^j(\mathcal{A})$  under the cycle class map are invariant under this action.

We want to prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.7.** (1) For  $0 \leq j \leq d = 3$ , the cycle class map

$$CH^j(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H_B^{2j}(\mathcal{A}_x(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^{\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)}$$

is surjective.

(2) For odd  $j$ , the groups  $H_B^j(\mathcal{A}_x(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^{\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)}$  vanish.

*Proof.* The proof is just as in the situation of [9]. The variety  $X$  is the quotient of the twoball by some arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G'(\mathbb{Q})$ . So we know that the fundamental group  $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$  is a dense subgroup of  $G'(\mathbb{Q})$ . Now recall that the Hodge group of the complex abelian variety  $\mathcal{A}_x(\mathbb{C})$  is defined to be the Hodge group of  $W := H^1(\mathcal{A}_x(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ . By construction of the fibre variety the Hodge group of a general fibre  $\mathcal{A}_x$  coincides with  $G'(\mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore this discussion implies that the cycles in  $H_B^i(\mathcal{A}_x(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$  invariant under the action of the fundamental group are just the Hodge cycles. So we know that for odd  $i$  we have no such cycles. If  $i$  is even, the cycles are all algebraic by results of Ribet.  $\square$

#### 4. THE UNIVERSAL ABELIAN SCHEME AND ITS COMPACTIFICATION

In this section we will discuss conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.6, which we can't use as they stand. They will be weakened to cover toric fibrations over an elliptic curve. The main reference for this section is [19].

Before we start note that condition (3) of Theorem 3.6 holds because of the work of Deninger and Murre ([4]) on Chow-Künneth decompositions of Abelian schemes.

Now condition (1) of Theorem 3.6 is replaced by the following theorem from [19] (see also [10]):

**Theorem 4.1.** *For each boundary component of a Picard modular surface the following holds. With the standard notations from [1] (see also [19])*

$$(\Gamma_1/\Gamma_0) \setminus (\Gamma_0 \setminus D)$$

*is isomorphic to a punctured disc bundle over a CM elliptic curve  $A$ .  
A toroidal compactification*

$$(\Gamma_1/\Gamma_0) \setminus (\Gamma_0 \setminus D)_{\{\sigma_\alpha\}}$$

*is obtained by closing the disc with a copy of  $A$  (e.g. adding the zero section of the corresponding line bundle).*

We now turn to condition (2). Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a relatively complete model of an ample degeneration datum associated to our moduli problem (see [21] and [6] for the notion of relatively complete model and [19] for the ample degeneration datum we need here).

In [19] the following theorem is proved.

**Theorem 4.2.** (i) *The generic fibre of  $\tilde{P}$  is given by a fibre-bundle over a CM elliptic curve  $\mathbb{E}$ , whose fibres are countably many irreducible components of the form  $\mathbb{P}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .*  
(ii) *The special fibre of  $\tilde{P}$  is given by a fibre-bundle over the CM elliptic curve  $\mathbb{E}$ , whose fibres consist of countably many irreducible components of the form  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .*

We especially see that  $\overline{X} - X$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{A}$  both are toric fibrations over an elliptic curve  $\mathbb{E}$ . This will be used in section 7.

## 5. HOLZAPFEL'S EXAMPLE

Let  $X$  be a smooth complex *Picard modular surface* with compactification  $\overline{X}$  and boundary divisor  $D \subseteq \overline{X}$  a normal crossing divisor. We restrict our attention to the surfaces which were discussed by Picard [23], Hirzebruch [11] and Holzapfel [12, 13]. These surfaces are compactifications of ball quotients  $\mathbb{B}/\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $SU(2, 1; \mathcal{O})$  with  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega$ ,  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$  the ring of Eisenstein numbers. In the case  $\Gamma = SU(2, 1; \mathcal{O})$ , the quotient  $\mathbb{B}/\Gamma$  is  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus 4$  points, an open set of which is  $U = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \Delta$  and  $\Delta$  is a configuration of 6 lines (not a normal crossing divisor).  $U$  is a natural parameter space for a family of *Picard curves*

$$y^3 = x(x-1)(x-s)(x-t)$$

of genus 3 branched over 5 (ordered) points  $0, 1, s, t, \infty$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . The parameters  $s, t$  are coordinates in the affine set  $U$ . If one looks at the subgroup

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap SL(3, \mathbb{C}),$$

then  $D = \mathbb{B}/\Gamma'$  has a natural compactification  $\overline{X}$  with a smooth boundary divisor  $D$  consisting of 4 disjoint elliptic curves  $E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3$ , see [12, 13]. This surface  $\overline{X}$  is birational to a covering of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \Delta$  and hence carries a family

of curves over it. If we pass to yet another subgroup  $\Gamma'' \subset \Gamma$  of finite index, then we obtain a Picard modular surface

$$\overline{X} = \widetilde{E \times E}$$

with boundary  $D$  a union of 6 elliptic curves which are the strict transforms of the following 6 curves

$$T_1, T_\omega, T_{\omega^2}, E \times \{Q_0\}, E \times \{Q_1\}, E \times \{Q_2\}$$

on  $E \times E$  in the notation of [12, page 257]. The properties of the modular group  $\Gamma''$  are described in [12, remark V.5]. In particular it acts freely on the ball.  $\overline{X}$  is the blowup of  $E \times E$  in the three points  $(Q_0, Q_0)$  (the origin),  $(Q_1, Q_1)$  and  $(Q_2, Q_2)$  of triple intersection.

In order to proceed, we need to know something about the Picard group of  $\overline{X}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *In  $\text{NS}(E \times E)$  one has the relation*

$$T + T_\omega + T_{\omega^2} = 3(0 \times E) + 3(E \times 0).$$

*Proof.* Since  $E$  has complex multiplication by  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , the Néron–Severi group has rank 4 and divisors  $T$ ,  $T_\omega$ ,  $0 \times E$  and  $E \times 0$  form a basis of  $\text{NS}(E \times E)$ . Using the intersection matrix of this basis, the claim follows.  $\square$

Note that  $E$  has the equation  $y^2z = x^3 - z^3$ . On  $E$  we have an action of  $\omega$  via  $(x : y : z) \mapsto (\omega x : y : z)$ .  $E$  maps to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  using the projection

$$p : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (x : y : z) \mapsto (y : z)$$

This action has 3 fixpoints  $Q_0 = (0 : 1 : 0)$  (the origin),  $Q_1 = (0 : i : 1)$  and  $Q_2 = (0 : -i : 1)$  which are triple ramification points of  $p$ . Therefore one has  $3Q_0 = 3Q_1 = 3Q_2$  in  $CH^1(E)$ . If we denote by  $\sigma : \overline{X} \rightarrow E \times E$  the blowup in the three points, then we denote by  $Z = Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3$  the union of all exceptional divisors. We get:

$$\sigma^*T = D_1 + Z, \quad \sigma^*T_\omega = D_2 + Z, \quad \sigma^*T_{\omega^2} = D_3 + Z,$$

and

$$\sigma^*E \times 0 = D_4 + Z_1, \quad \sigma^*E \times Q_2 = D_5 + Z_2, \quad \sigma^*E \times Q_3 = D_6 + Z_3.$$

Now look at the line bundle  $K_{\overline{X}} + D$ . Since

$$K_{\overline{X}} = \sigma^*K_{E \times E} + Z + D = Z + D,$$

we compute

$$K_{\overline{X}} + D = \sum_{i=1}^6 D_i + \sum_{j=1}^3 Z_j.$$

The first sum,

$$D_1 + D_2 + D_3 = -3Z + \sigma^*(T + T_\omega + T_{\omega^2}) = -3Z + 3\sigma^*(0 \times E + E \times 0).$$

is divisible by 3. Using  $3Q_0 = 3Q_1 = 3Q_2$ , the rest can be computed in  $\text{NS}(\overline{X})$  as

$$D_4 + D_5 + D_6 + Z = \sigma^*(E \times 0 + E \times Q_1 + E \times Q_2) = 3\sigma^*(E \times 0).$$

Therefore the class of  $K_{\overline{X}} + D$  in  $\text{NS}(\overline{X})$  is given by

$$K_{\overline{X}} + D = -3Z + 3\sigma^*(0 \times E) + 6\sigma^*(E \times 0)$$

and divisible by 3. Since  $\text{Pic}^0(\overline{X})$  is a divisible group,  $K_{\overline{X}} + D$  is divisible by 3 in  $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$  and we get a line bundle  $L$  with  $K_{\overline{X}} + D = 3L$  whose class in  $\text{NS}(\overline{X})$  is given by

$$L = \sigma^*(0 \times E) - Z + 2\sigma^*(E \times 0).$$

If we write

$$\sigma^*(0 \times E) = D_0 + Z_1,$$

we obtain the equation

$$L = D_0 + D_5 + D_6$$

in  $\text{NS}(\overline{X})$ . Note that  $D_0$  intersects both  $D_5$  and  $D_6$  in one point. All  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$  have selfintersection  $-1$  and are disjoint.  $L$  is a nef and big line bundle, which is trivial on all components of  $D$ .

We have the rank 6 local system  $\mathbb{V} = R^1p_*\mathbb{Z}$  corresponding to the monodromy representation. The cohomology  $H^1(C)$  of any Picard curve  $C$  has a natural  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  Galois action. Since the projective line has  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , the local system  $\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  decomposes into two 3-dimensional local systems

$$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \mathbb{V}_2$$

which are dual to each other and are not anymore defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Both of these local systems are irreducible and non-constant. This has already been shown by Picard [23], who computed the matrices defining  $\mathbb{V}$  with coefficients in the Eisenstein numbers.

Let  $E = E^{1,0} \oplus E^{0,1}$  be the corresponding Higgs bundle with Higgs field

$$\vartheta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D).$$

This bundle is called the *uniformizing bundle*, see [24]. We may assume that  $E^{1,0}$  is 2-dimensional and  $E^{0,1}$  is 1-dimensional, otherwise we permute both factors. Then we have that

$$\vartheta_1 : E^{1,0} \rightarrow E^{0,1} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D).$$

is an isomorphism (cf. [17]).

Let us summarize what we have shown so far:

**Corollary 5.2.**  *$K_{\overline{X}}(D)$  is nef and big and there is a nef and big line bundle  $L$  with*

$$L^{\otimes 3} \cong K_{\overline{X}}(D).$$

The class of  $L$  in  $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is given by the normal crossing divisor

$$D_0 + D_5 + D_6.$$

The uniformizing bundle  $E$  has components

$$E^{1,0} = \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1}, \quad E^{0,1} = L^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* We set  $L = (E^{0,1})^*$  and from the isomorphism  $E^{1,0} \cong E^{0,1} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)$  we conclude that  $E^{1,0} = \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1}$ . Taking determinants finally shows that  $L^{\otimes 3} \cong K_{\overline{X}}(D)$ .  $\square$

## 6. VANISHING OF INTERSECTION COHOMOLOGY

Let  $X$  be Holzapfel's surface from the previous section. As was shown in [13] the Torelli map from the moduli of Picard curves as described above to the the corresponding moduli of Abelian threefolds with CM is an isomorphism. We now want to discuss the vanishing of intersection cohomology

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{W})$$

for irreducible, non-constant local systems  $\mathbb{W} \subseteq R^i p_* \mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{V}_1$  be as in the previous section. Denote by  $(E, \vartheta)$  the corresponding Higgs bundle with

$$E = (\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1}) \oplus L^{-1}$$

and Higgs field

$$\vartheta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D).$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *The intersection cohomology  $H^q(X, \mathbb{V}_1)$  vanishes for  $q \neq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We need only show this for  $q = 1$ , since  $\mathbb{V}_1$  has no invariant sections, hence  $H^0(X, \mathbb{V}_1) = 0$  and the other vanishings follow via duality

$$H^q(X, \mathbb{V}_1) \cong H^{2\dim(X)-q}(X, \mathbb{V}_2)$$

from the analogous statement for  $\mathbb{V}_2$ . The following theorem provides the necessary technical tool.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2** ([14]). *The intersection cohomology  $H^q(X, \mathbb{V})$  can be computed as the  $q$ -th hypercohomology of the complex*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(E)_{(2)} \rightarrow \Omega^1(E)_{(2)} \rightarrow \Omega^2(E)_{(2)} \rightarrow 0$$

on  $\overline{X}$ , where  $E$  is as above. This is a subcomplex of

$$E \xrightarrow{\vartheta} E \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \xrightarrow{\vartheta} E \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D).$$

In the case where  $D$  is smooth, this is a proper subcomplex with the property

$$\Omega^1(E)_{(2)} \subseteq \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)(-D) \otimes E \subseteq \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1 \otimes E.$$

*Proof.* This is a special case of the results in [14]. The subcomplex is explicitly described in section 8.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $E$  be as above with  $L$  nef and big. Then the vanishing*

$$H^0(\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1 \otimes L^{-1}) = 0$$

*implies the statement of theorem 6.1.*

*Proof.* We first compute the cohomology groups for the complex of vector bundles and discuss the  $L^2$ -conditions later. Any logarithmic Higgs bundle  $E = \oplus E^{p,q}$  coming from a VHS has differential

$$\vartheta : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p-1,q+1} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D).$$

In our case  $E = E^{1,0} \oplus E^{0,1}$  and the restriction of  $\vartheta$  to  $E^{0,1}$  is zero. The differential

$$\vartheta : E^{1,0} \rightarrow E^{0,1} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)$$

is the identity. Therefore the complex

$$(E^\bullet, \vartheta) : E \xrightarrow{\vartheta} E \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \xrightarrow{\vartheta} E \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D)$$

looks like:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1}) & \oplus L^{-1} \\ & \downarrow \cong & \downarrow \\ & (\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)^{\otimes 2} \otimes L^{-1}) & \oplus (L^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)) & 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \\ (\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)^{\otimes 2} \otimes L^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D)) & \oplus & (L^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D)). \end{array}$$

Therefore it is quasi-isomorphic to a complex

$$L^{-1} \xrightarrow{0} S^2 \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1} \xrightarrow{0} \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D) \otimes L^{-1}$$

with trivial differentials. As  $L$  is nef and big, we have

$$H^0(L^{-1}) = H^1(L^{-1}) = 0.$$

Hence we get

$$\mathbb{H}^1(\overline{X}, (E^\bullet, \vartheta)) \cong H^0(\overline{X}, S^2 \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1})$$

and  $\mathbb{H}^2(\overline{X}, (E^\bullet, \vartheta))$  is equal to

$$H^0(\overline{X}, K_{\overline{X}} \otimes L)^\vee \oplus H^0(\overline{X}, \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D) \otimes L^{-1}) \oplus H^1(\overline{X}, S^2 \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1}).$$

If we now impose the  $L^2$ -conditions and use the complex  $\Omega_{(2)}^*(E)$  instead of  $(E^\bullet, \vartheta)$ , the resulting cohomology groups are subquotients of the groups described above. Since

$$\Omega^1(E)_{(2)} \subseteq \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1 \otimes E$$

we conclude that the vanishing

$$H^0(\overline{X}, S^2 \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)(-D) \otimes L^{-1}) = 0$$

or, slightly stronger,

$$H^0(\overline{X}, \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1 \otimes L^{-1}) = 0$$

is sufficient to deduce the vanishing of intersection cohomology.  $\square$

Now we verify the vanishing statement.

**Lemma 6.4.** *In the example above we have*

$$H^0(\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1 \otimes L^{-1}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma : \overline{X} \rightarrow E \times E$  be the blow up of the 3 points of intersection. Then one has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma^* \Omega_{E \times E}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1 \rightarrow i_* \Omega_Z^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $Z$  is the union of all (disjoint) exceptional divisors. Now  $\Omega_{E \times E}^1$  is the trivial sheaf of rank 2. Therefore  $\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1 \otimes L^{-1}$  has as a subsheaf 2 copies of  $\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1}$ . The group

$$H^0(\overline{X}, \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-1})$$

is zero by the Bogomolov–Sommese vanishing theorem (see [5, Cor. 6.9]), since  $L$  is nef and big. In order to prove the assertion it is hence sufficient to show that

$$H^0(Z, \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_Z^1 \otimes L^{-1}) = 0.$$

But  $Z$  is a disjoint union of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's. In our example we have  $K_{\overline{X}}(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(3)$  since  $(L \cdot Z) = 1$  and therefore  $\Omega_Z^1 \otimes L^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(-3)$ . Now we use in addition the conormal sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_Z^* \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)|_Z \rightarrow \Omega_Z^1(\log(D \cap Z)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $N_Z^* = \mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ . Twisting this with  $\Omega_Z^1 \otimes L^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(-3)$  gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(-2) \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_Z^1 \otimes L^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

On global sections this proves the assertion.  $\square$

So far we have only shown the vanishing of  $H^q(X, \mathbb{V}_1)$  and hence of  $H^q(X, \mathbb{V})$  for  $q \neq 2$ . In order to apply the method of Gordon, Hanamura and Murre, we also have to deal with the case  $\Lambda^i \mathbb{V}$ .

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible, non-constant representation of  $\pi_1(X)$ , which is a direct factor in  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \mathbb{V}_2)$  for  $k \leq 2$ . Then the intersection cohomology group*

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{V}_\rho)$$

*is zero.*

*Proof.* Let us first compute all such representations: if  $k = 1$  we have only  $\mathbb{V}_1$  and its dual, so we are done. If  $k = 2$ , we have the decomposition

$$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \mathbb{V}_2) = \Lambda^2 \mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathbb{V}_2 \oplus \text{End}(\mathbb{V}_1).$$

Since  $\mathbb{V}_1$  is 3-dimensional,  $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{V}_1 \cong \mathbb{V}_2$  and therefore the only irreducible, non-constant representation that is new here is  $\text{End}^0(\mathbb{V}_1)$ , the trace-free endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{V}_1$ . The vanishing of  $H^1(X, \text{End}^0(\mathbb{V}_1))$  is a general and well-known statement from [25]: The representation  $\text{End}^0(\mathbb{V}_1)$  has regular highest weight and therefore contributes only to the middle dimension  $H^2$ . This is also explained in [16] and for the cocompact case already in [2, ch.

VII]. Note that here we use the isomorphism between  $L^2$ - and intersection cohomology in the arithmetic case, a result of Saper/Stern and Looijenga.  $\square$

The vanishing of  $H^1(X, \text{End}^0(\mathbb{V}_1))$  has the following amazing consequence, which does not seem easy to prove directly using purely algebraic methods. In the compact case this has been shown by Miyaoka, cf. [20].

**Lemma 6.6.** *In our situation we have*

$$H_{L^2}^0(\overline{X}, S^3\Omega_X^1(\log D)(-D) \otimes L^{-3}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Write down the Higgs complex for  $\text{End}^0(E)$ . In degree one, the term

$$S^3\Omega_X^1(\log D)(-D) \otimes L^{-3}$$

occurs. Since  $H^1$  vanishes, this cohomology group must vanish too.  $\square$

**Remark 6.7.** For  $k = 3$ , one has

$$\Lambda^3(\mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \mathbb{V}_2) = \Lambda^3\mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \Lambda^3\mathbb{V}_2 \oplus (\Lambda^2\mathbb{V}_1 \otimes \mathbb{V}_2) \oplus (\Lambda^2\mathbb{V}_2 \otimes \mathbb{V}_1).$$

Here the only new irreducible and non-constant representation is

$$S^2\mathbb{V}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{V}_1 \otimes \mathbb{V}_1$$

and its dual. We would like to compute  $H^1(X, X^2\mathbb{V}_1)$  using a variant of the symmetric product of the  $L^2$ -complexes  $\Omega^*(S)_{(2)}$  above:

$$\Omega^0(S^2E)_{(2)} \subseteq S^2E,$$

$$\Omega^1(S^2E)_{(2)} \subseteq \Omega_X^1(\log D)(-D) \otimes S^2E \subseteq \Omega_X^1 \otimes S^2E,$$

$$\Omega^2(S^2E)_{(2)} \subseteq \Omega_X^2 \otimes S^2E.$$

The Higgs complex ignoring  $L^2$ -conditions looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} (S^2\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2}) \oplus (\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2}) \oplus L^{-2} \\ \downarrow \\ (S^2\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)) \oplus (\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)) \oplus (L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)) \\ \downarrow \\ (S^2\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D)) \oplus (\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D)) \oplus (L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D)) \end{array}$$

Again many pieces of differentials in this complex are isomorphisms or zero. For example the differential

$$S^2\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D)$$

is a projection map onto a direct summand, since for every vector space  $W$  we have the identity

$$S^2W \otimes W = S^3W \oplus (W \otimes \Lambda^2W).$$

Therefore the Higgs complex for  $S^2(E)$  is quasi-isomorphic to

$$L^{-2} \xrightarrow{0} S^3\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2} \xrightarrow{0} S^2\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2} \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D).$$

We conclude that the first cohomology is given by

$$H^0(\overline{X}, S^3\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes L^{-2}).$$

If we additionally impose the  $L^2$ -conditions, then we see that the first Higgs cohomology of  $S^2(E, \vartheta)$  vanishes, provided that we have

$$H^0(\overline{X}, S^3\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)(-D) \otimes L^{-2}) = 0.$$

The twist by  $-D$  arises from the  $L^2$ -condition. However we are not able to decide whether this group vanishes on our Picard modular surface. However, when we restrict to forms with fewer poles, then the vanishing will hold. For holomorphic form this gives vanishing of cuspidal cohomology in that case.

## 7. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In paragraph 7.1 we prove our main theorem, in paragraph 7.2 we give some indication on the proof of the motivic decomposition conjecture in our case. Thus one may drop the assumption on the motivic decomposition conjecture in Theorem 7.1.

**7.1. From Relative to Absolute.** We now state and prove our main theorem. Let  $p : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  be the compactified family over Holzapfel's surface. Assume the motivic decomposition conjecture ([3], [8, Conj. 1.4]) for  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{X}$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *Under these assumptions the total space of the family  $p : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  supports a complete orthogonal set of Chow–Künneth projectors.*

*Proof.* By our assumptions we may assume that we have obtained a relative Chow–Künneth decomposition with projectors  $\Pi_\alpha^i$  which is compatible with the BBD decomposition theorem for each local system as in [9]. Now we proceed by passing from relative Chow–Künneth decompositions to absolute ones. We have to change the main argument in [9] slightly, since we cannot assume the vanishing of the intersection cohomology group

$$H^1(X, S^2\mathbb{V}) \subseteq H^1(X, R^3p_*\mathbb{C}).$$

However, using our vanishing theorem 6.5, their argument provides projectors  $M^{2r-1}$ ,  $M_{\text{trans}}^{2r}$ ,  $M_{\text{alg}}^{2r}$  and projectors at infinity denoted by  $M_\infty^i$ . The first three act as the identity on  $H^*(R^k p_*\mathbb{C})$  for  $r \neq 3$  and  $k = 2r - 1, 2r$  respectively. We need not consider  $M_\infty^i$  since they can be taken care of in the same way as in [9].  $M^3$  acts as the identity on both  $H^1(X, R^3 p_*\mathbb{C})$  and  $H^2(X, R^3 p_*\mathbb{C})$ .  $M^2 := M_{\text{alg}}^2 + M_{\text{trans}}^2$  acts as the identity on  $H^2(X, R^2 p_*\mathbb{C})$  and trivially everywhere else. Only the group  $H^2(X, R^3 p_*\mathbb{C})$  contributes to  $H^5(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$  via the Leray spectral sequence. On the other hand the two groups  $H^1(X, R^3 p_*\mathbb{C})$  and  $H^2(X, R^2 p_*\mathbb{C})$  contribute to  $H^4(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ . On  $H^5(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $H^6(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$  the transposed projector  $M^{3,t}$  has analogous properties by Poincaré duality. For the remaining cohomology groups  $H^i(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$  with  $i \neq 4, 5, 6$  orthogonal idempotents  $\pi_i$  acting as the identity on  $H^i(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$  and zero elsewhere already exist. Define now

$$\pi_5 := M^3 \circ M^{3,t},$$

since  $M^3$  and  $M^{3,t}$  are orthogonal to  $M$ . Then  $\pi_5$  acts as the identity on  $H^5(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$  and trivially everywhere else. We now define

$$\pi_4 := M^2 + M^3 - \pi_5$$

and  $\pi_6$  as  $\pi_4^t$  and make all projectors we constructed orthogonal using the Gram–Schmidt process.  $\pi_4, \pi_5$  and  $\pi_6$  then have the desired properties. This completes the argument.  $\square$

**7.2. Motivic decomposition theorem.** The goal of this paragraph is a remark towards the proof of the motivic decomposition theorem in the case we treat in this paper. First note that since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian variety we can use the work of Deninger and Murre ([4]) on Chow–Künneth decompositions of Abelian schemes to obtain relative Chow–Künneth projectors for  $\mathcal{A}/X$ . To actually get relative Chow–Künneth projectors for  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{X}$ , we observe the following.

Recall our results in section 4. We showed that the special fibres over the smooth elliptic cusp curves  $D_i$  are of the form  $Y_s = E \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . We do not need the cycle class map

$$CH_*(Y_s \times Y_s) \rightarrow H_*(Y_s \times Y_s)$$

to be an isomorphism as in [8]. Since the boundary strata on  $\overline{X}$  are smooth elliptic curves it is sufficient to know the Hodge conjecture for the special fibres. But the special fibres are composed of elliptic curves and rational varieties by our results in section 4. Therefore the methods in [8] can be refined to work also in this case and we can drop the assumption in theorem 7.1.

**Remarks 7.2.** We hope to come back to this problem later and prove relative Chow–Künneth decompositions for all Picard families. The existence of absolute Chow–Künneth decompositions however seems to be out of reach for other examples since vanishing results will hold only for large arithmetic subgroups, i.e., small level.

## 8. APPENDIX: ALGEBRAIC $L^2$ –SUB COMPLEXES OF SYMMETRIC POWERS OF THE UNIFORMIZING BUNDLE OF A TWO–DIMENSIONAL COMPLEX BALL QUOTIENT

$\overline{X}$  a 2-dim projective variety with a normal crossing divisor  $D$ ,  $X = \overline{X} \setminus D$ ; assume that the coordinates near the divisor are  $z_1, z_2$ .

Consider the uniformizing bundle of a 2-ball quotient

$$E = \left( \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-1/3}(\log D) \right) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-1/3}(\log D)$$

We consider two cases: 1)  $D$  is a smooth divisor (the case we need) and 2)  $D$  is a normal crossing divisor.

**Case 1:** Assume that  $D$  is defined by  $z_1 = 0$ . Taking  $v$  as the generating section of  $\mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-1/3}(\log D)$ ,  $\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v, dz_2 \otimes v$  as the generating sections of

$\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-1/3}(\log D)$ , then the Higgs field

$$\vartheta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$$

is defined by setting  $\vartheta(\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v) = v \otimes \frac{dz_1}{z_1}$ ,  $\vartheta(dz_2 \otimes v) = v \otimes dz_2$ , and  $\vartheta(v) = 0$ .

Clearly, if  $\vartheta$  is written as  $N_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + N_2 dz_2$ , then  $N_1(\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v) = v$ ,  $N_1(dz_2 \otimes v) = 0$ ,  $N_1(v) = 0$ ,  $N_2(\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v) = 0$ ,  $N_2(dz_2 \otimes v) = v$ ,  $N_2(v) = 0$ ; the kernel of  $N_1$  is the subsheaf generated by  $dz_2 \otimes v$  and  $v$ . Using the usual notation, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gr}_1 W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \\ \text{Gr}_0 W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } dz_2 \otimes v \\ \text{Gr}_{-1} W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } v. \end{aligned}$$

So, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0(E)_{(2)} &= z_1 \left\{ \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \right\} + \{dz_2 \otimes v\} + \{v\} \\ &= \text{Ker } N_1 + z_1 E; \\ \Omega^1(E)_{(2)} &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes (z_1 \left\{ \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \right\} + z_1 \{dz_2 \otimes v\} + z_1 \{v\}) \\ &\quad + dz_2 \otimes (z_1 \left\{ \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \right\} + \{dz_2 \otimes v\} + \{v\}) \\ &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes z_1 E + dz_2 \otimes (\text{Ker } N_1 + z_1 E); \\ \Omega^2(E)_{(2)} &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge dz_2 \otimes (z_1 \left\{ \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \right\} + z_1 \{dz_2 \otimes v\} + z_1 \{v\}) \\ &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge dz_2 \otimes z_1 E, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{x\}$  represents the line bundle generated by an element  $x$ .

**Case 2:** As before, taking  $v$  as the generating section of  $\mathcal{K}_X^{-1/3}(\log D)$ ,  $\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v$ ,  $\frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v$  as the generating sections of  $\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-1/3}(\log D)$ , then the Higgs field

$$\vartheta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$$

is defined by setting  $\vartheta(\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v) = v \otimes \frac{dz_1}{z_1}$ ,  $\vartheta(\frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v) = v \otimes \frac{dz_2}{z_2}$ , and  $\vartheta(v) = 0$ .

Clearly, if  $\vartheta$  is written as  $N_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + N_2 \frac{dz_2}{z_2}$ , then  $N_1(\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v) = v$ ,  $N_1(\frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v) = 0$ ,  $N_1(v) = 0$ ,  $N_2(\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v) = 0$ ,  $N_2(\frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v) = v$ ,  $N_2(v) = 0$ ; the kernel of  $N_1$  (resp.  $N_2$ ) is the subsheaf generated by  $\frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v$  (resp.  $\frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v$ ) and  $v$ . We

then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Gr}_1 W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_0 W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_{-1} W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_1 W(N_2) &= \text{generated by } \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_0 W(N_2) &= \text{generated by } \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_{-1} W(N_2) &= \text{generated by } v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_1 W(N_1 + N_2) &= \text{generated by } \left( \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \right) \otimes v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_0 W(N_1 + N_2) &= \text{generated by } \left( \frac{dz_1}{z_1} - \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \right) \otimes v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_{-1} W(N_1 + N_2) &= \text{generated by } v
\end{aligned}$$

So, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^0(E)_{(2)} &= z_1 \left\{ \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \right\} + z_2 \left\{ \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v \right\} + \{v\} \\
&= \mathrm{Ker} N_1 \cap \mathrm{Ker} N_2 + z_2 \mathrm{Ker} N_1 + z_1 \mathrm{Ker} N_2; \\
\Omega^1(E)_{(2)} &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes (z_1 \left\{ \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \right\} + z_1 z_2 \left\{ \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v \right\} + z_1 \{v\}) \\
&\quad + \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes (z_2 \left\{ \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes v \right\} + z_1 z_2 \left\{ \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v \right\} + z_2 \{v\}) \\
&= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes (z_1 \mathrm{Ker} N_2 + z_1 z_2 \mathrm{Ker} N_1) + \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes (z_2 \mathrm{Ker} N_1 + z_1 z_2 \mathrm{Ker} N_2); \\
\Omega^2(E)_{(2)} &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \otimes z_1 z_2 E,
\end{aligned}$$

For the above two cases, it is to easy to check that  $\vartheta(\Omega^0(E)_{(2)}) \subset \Omega^1(E)_{(2)}$  and  $\vartheta(\Omega^1(E)_{(2)}) \subset \Omega^2(E)_{(2)}$ . Thus, together  $\vartheta \wedge \vartheta = 0$ , we have the complex  $(\{\Omega^i(E)_{(2)}\}_{i=0}^2, \vartheta)$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(E)_{(2)} \rightarrow \Omega^1(E)_{(2)} \rightarrow \Omega^2(E)_{(2)} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $\vartheta$  as the boundary operator.

Now we take the 2<sup>nd</sup>-order symmetric power of  $(E, \vartheta)$ , we obtain a new Higgs bundle  $\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta)$  (briefly, the Higgs field is still denoted by  $\vartheta$ ) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) &= \mathcal{S}^2(\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D) \oplus \\ &\quad \oplus \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D). \end{aligned}$$

The Higgs field  $\vartheta$  maps  $\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta)$  into  $\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)$  and  $\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)$  into  $\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D)$  so that one has a complex with the differentiation  $\vartheta$  as follows

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D) \rightarrow 0;$$

more precisely, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\mathcal{S}^2(\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D)) &\subset (\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D)) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \\ \vartheta(\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D)) &\subset \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \\ \vartheta(\mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta((\mathcal{S}^2(\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D)) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)) \\ \subset (\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D)) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D) \\ \vartheta((\Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D)) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)) \subset \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^2(\log D) \\ \vartheta(\mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}}^{-2/3}(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note: Let  $V$  be a  $SL(2)$ -module, then

$$\mathcal{S}^2 V \otimes V \simeq \mathcal{S}^3 V \oplus V \otimes \wedge^2 V.$$

In general, one needs to consider the representations of  $GL(2)$ ; in such a case, we can take the determinant of the representation in question, and then go back to a representation of  $SL(2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) &= \mathcal{S}^2(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D) \\
&\quad \oplus \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D) \\
&\quad \oplus \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D) \\
\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) &= (\mathcal{S}^2(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \\
&\quad \oplus (\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \\
&\quad \oplus \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \\
\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D) &= (\mathcal{S}^2(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)) \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D) \\
&\quad \oplus (\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)) \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D) \\
&\quad \oplus \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D) \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D)
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that the divisor  $D$  is smooth, we next want to consider the  $L^2$ -holomorphic Dolbeault sub-complex of the above complex (\*):

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta))_{(2)} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D))_{(2)} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D))_{(2)} \rightarrow 0,$$

and explicitly write down  $(\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^i(\log D))_{(2)}$ .

Note that taking symmetric power for  $L^2$ -complex does not have obvious functorial properties in general.

We will continue to use the previous notations. For simplicity, we will further set  $v_1 = \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes v$  and  $v_2 = dz_2 \otimes v$ ; we also denote  $e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1$  by  $e_1 \odot e_2$ , the symmetric product of the vectors  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{S}^2(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)$ , as a sheaf, is generated by  $v_1 \odot v_1$ ,  $v_1 \odot v_2$ ,  $v_2 \odot v_2$ ;  $\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)$  is generated by  $v_1 \odot v$ ,  $v_2 \odot v$ ; and  $\mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)$  is generated by  $v \odot v$ . Also, it is easy to check how  $N_1, N_2$  act on these generators; as for  $N_1$ , we have (Note  $N_1 v_1 = v$ ,  $N_1 v_2 = 0$ ,  $N_1 v = 0$ .)

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1(v_1 \odot v_1) &= 2v_1 \odot v \\
N_1(v_1 \odot v_2) &= v_2 \odot v \\
N_1(v_2 \odot v_2) &= 0 \\
N_1(v_1 \odot v) &= v \odot v \\
N_1(v_2 \odot v) &= 0 \\
N_1(v \odot v) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $N_1$  maps  $\mathcal{S}^2(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)$  into  $\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)$ ,  $\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)$  into  $\mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)$ , and then  $\mathcal{K}_X^{-2/3}(\log D)$  to 0. So,  $N_1$  is of index 3 on the 2<sup>nd</sup>-order symmetric power  $\mathcal{S}^2 E$  (as is obvious from the abstract theory since  $N_1$  is of index 2 on  $E$ ); and we then have the following

gradings

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Gr}_2 W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } v_1 \odot v_1 \\
\mathrm{Gr}_1 W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } v_1 \odot v_2 \\
\mathrm{Gr}_0 W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } v_1 \odot v, v_2 \odot v_2 \\
\mathrm{Gr}_{-1} W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } v_2 \odot v \\
\mathrm{Gr}_{-2} W(N_1) &= \text{generated by } v \odot v.
\end{aligned}$$

(Note that  $N_1$ , acting on  $\mathbf{E}$ , has two invariant (irreducible) components, one being generated by  $v_1, v$ , the other by  $v_2$ , so that  $N_1$  has three invariant components on  $\mathcal{S}^2 E$ , as is explicitly showed in the above gradings.)

Now we can write down  $L^2$ -holomorphic sections of  $\mathcal{S}^2 E$ , namely the sections generated by  $v_1 \odot v, v_2 \odot v_2, v_2 \odot v, v \odot v$ , and  $z_1 \mathcal{S}^2 E$ ; in the invariant terms, they should be

$$(\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta))_{(2)} = E \odot \mathrm{Im} N_1 + \mathcal{S}^2(\mathrm{Ker} N_1) + z_1 \mathcal{S}^2 E.$$

Now it is easy to also write down  $(\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D))_{(2)}$  and  $(\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D))_{(2)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D))_{(2)} &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \otimes (\mathcal{S}^2(\mathrm{Im} N_1) + z_1 \mathcal{S}^2 E) \\
&\quad + dz_2 \otimes (E \odot \mathrm{Im} N_1 + \mathcal{S}^2(\mathrm{Ker} N_1) + z_1 \mathcal{S}^2 E); \\
(\mathcal{S}^2(E, \vartheta) \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D))_{(2)} &= \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge dz_2 \otimes (\mathcal{S}^2(\mathrm{Im} N_1) + z_1 \mathcal{S}^2 E).
\end{aligned}$$

Similary, one can determine the algebraic  $L^2$ -sub complex of  $S^n(E, \vartheta)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 9. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is a pleasure to dedicate this work to Jaap Murre who has been so tremendously important for the mathematical community. In particular we want to thank him for his constant support during so many years.

We are grateful to Bas Edixhoven, Jan Nagel and Chris Peters for organizing such a wonderful meeting in Leiden. Many thanks go to F. Grunewald, R.-P. Holzapfel, J.-S. Li and J. Schwermer for very helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ASH, A., MUMFORD, D., RAPOPORT, M., TAI, Y.: *Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties*, Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, 1975.
- [2] A. BOREL AND N. WALLACH: *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, Annals of Math. Studies **94**, (1980).
- [3] A. CORTI AND M. HANAMURA: *Motivic decomposition and intersection Chow groups I*, Duke Math. J. **103** (2000), no. 3, pp. 459–522.

- [4] C. DENINGER AND J. MURRE: *Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **422** (1991), pp. 201–219.
- [5] H. ESNAULT AND E. VIEHWEG: *Lectures on Vanishing Theorems*, freely available under <http://www.uni-essen.de/~mat903>.
- [6] Chai, C., Faltings, G., *Degenerations of Abelian Varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Band 22, Springer Verlag, 1990.
- [7] B. B. GORDON: *Canonical models of Picard modular surfaces*, in The zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces, Univ. Montréal, Montreal, 1992, pp. 1–29.
- [8] B. B. GORDON, M. HANAMURA, AND J. P. MURRE: *Relative Chow-Künneth projectors for modular varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **558** (2003), pp. 1–14.
- [9] B. B. GORDON, M. HANAMURA, AND J. P. MURRE: *Chow-Künneth projectors for modular varieties*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, **335**, pp. 745–750 (2002).
- [10] Hemperly, J., *The parabolic contribution to the number of linearly independent automorphic forms on a certain bounded domain*, Am.Journ.Math **94**, 1972.
- [11] F. HIRZEBUCH: *Chern numbers of algebraic surfaces- an example*, Math. Annalen **266**, pp. 351–356 (1984).
- [12] R.-P. HOLZAPFEL: *Chern numbers of algebraic surfaces - Hirzebruch's examples are Picard modular surfaces*, Math. Nachrichten **126**, pp. 255–273 (1986).
- [13] R.-P. HOLZAPFEL: *Geometry and arithmetic around Euler partial differential equations*, Reidel Publ. Dordrecht (1987).
- [14] J. JOST, Y.-H. YANG AND K. ZUO: *The cohomology of a variation of polarized Hodge structures over a quasi-compact Kähler manifold*, preprint math.AG/0312145.
- [15] K. KÜNNEMANN: *On the Chow motive of an abelian scheme*, in Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Providence, RI, 1994, Amer. Math. Soc., pp. 189–205.
- [16] J.-S. LI AND J. SCHWERMER: *On the Eisenstein cohomology of arithmetic groups*, Duke Math. J. **123** no. 1, pp. 141–169 (2004).
- [17] E. LOOIJENGA: *Compactifications defined by arrangements I: The ball-quotient case*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 1, pp. 151–187.
- [18] A.MILLER: *The moduli of Abelian Threefolds with Complex Multiplication and Compactifications*, Preprint.
- [19] A.MILLER: *Families of Abelian Threefolds with CM and their degenerations*, Preprint.
- [20] Y. MIYAOKA: *Examples of stable Higgs bundles with flat connections*, preprint.
- [21] Mumford, D., *An analytic construction of degenerating abelian varieties over complete rings*, Compositio Math. **24**, 1972, 239–272. Appeared also as an appendix to [6].
- [22] J. P. MURRE: *On the motive of an algebraic surface*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **409** (1990), pp. 190–204.
- [23] E. PICARD: *Sur des fonctions de deux variables indépendentes analogues aux fonctions modulaires*, Acta. Math. **2**, pp. 114–135 (1883).
- [24] C. SIMPSON: *Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **1**, No.4, pp. 867–918 (1988).
- [25] D. VOGAN, G. ZUCKERMAN: *Unitary representations with non-zero cohomology*, Compositio Math. **53**, pp. 51–90 (1984).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD  
288, 69120 HEIDELBERG

*E-mail address:* miller@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de, wortmann@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ, STAUDINGER-  
WEG 9, 55099 MAINZ

*E-mail address:* mueller-stach@mathematik.uni-mainz.de, kzuo@mathematik.uni-mainz.de

MAX PLANCK INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, INSELSTRASSE 22, 04103 LEIPZIG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `yhyang@mail.tongji.edu.cn`, `yi-hu.yang@mis.mpg.de`