

A PRESENTATION FOR THE AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE 3-SPHERE THAT PRESERVE A GENUS TWO HEEGAARD SPLITTING

EROL AKBAS

ABSTRACT. *Scharlemann constructed a connected simplicial 2-complex Γ with an action by the group \mathcal{H}_2 of isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of S^3 that preserve the isotopy class of an unknotted genus 2 handlebody V . In this paper we prove that the 2-complex Γ is contractible. Therefore we get a finite presentation of \mathcal{H}_2 .*

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H}_g be the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of S^3 that preserve the isotopy class of an unknotted genus g handlebody V . In 1933 Goeritz [Go] proved that \mathcal{H}_2 is finitely generated. In 1977 Goeritz's theorem was generalized to arbitrary genus $g \geq 2$ by Jerome Powell [Po]. In 2003 Martin Scharlemann noticed that Powell's proof contains a serious gap. Scharlemann [Sc] gave a modern proof of Goeritz's theorem by introducing a simplicial 2-complex Γ , with an action by \mathcal{H}_2 , that deformation retracts onto a graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Given any two distinct vertices v, \tilde{v} of Γ , Scharlemann constructed a vertex u in Γ that is adjacent to v and "closer" to \tilde{v} (by "closer" we mean the intersection number of u and \tilde{v} , see Definition 1). Hence \mathcal{H}_2 acts on the connected graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and is generated by the isotopy classes of elements denoted by α, β, γ and δ (see Section 2 for a complete description). In this paper we study the geometry of Γ by showing that u is essentially unique (for a precise statement see Proposition 2). We derive the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a tree, and shortest paths can be calculated algorithmically.*

Note that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is locally infinite. So calculating paths is not trivial. We also get

Theorem 2. $\mathbf{i:} \mathcal{H}_2 = \langle [\alpha], [\beta], [\gamma], [\delta] \mid [\alpha]^2 = [\gamma]^2 = [\delta]^3 = [\alpha\gamma]^2 = [\alpha\delta\alpha\delta^{-1}] = [\alpha\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}] = 1, [\gamma\beta\gamma] = [\alpha\beta], [\delta] = [\gamma\delta^2\gamma] \rangle$

$$\text{ii: } \mathcal{H}_2 \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \underset{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}{*} (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

Acknowledgement

This paper is a result of my thesis. I am grateful to my advisor, Professor Marc Culler, for his kind supervision and support. I would like to thank Ian Agol for his suggestions for the proofs of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. I would also like to thank Yo'av Rieck for his helpful conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We give a description of the 2-complex Γ introduced by Scharlemann in [Sc]. For details about Γ we refer the reader to [Sc].

Let V be an unknotted handlebody of genus two in S^3 and let W be the closure of its complement. Let T be the boundary of V . Then T is a genus two Heegaard surface for S^3 . Let \mathcal{H}_2 denote the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of S^3 that leave the genus two handlebody V invariant. A sphere P in S^3 is called a *reducing sphere* for T if P intersects T transversely in a simple closed curve which is homotopically non-trivial on T . For any reducing sphere P for T let c_P denote $P \cap T$ and let v_P denote the isotopy class of c_P on T .

Definition 1. *For any two reducing spheres R, Q for T , define the intersection number of v_R and v_Q as*

$$v_R \cdot v_Q = \min_{R' \in v_R} \min_{Q' \in v_Q} |c_{R'} \cap c_{Q'}|$$

where $|c_{R'} \cap c_{Q'}|$ is the geometric intersection number of $c_{R'}$ with $c_{Q'}$.

Let Γ be a complex whose vertices are isotopy classes of reducing spheres for T . A collection P_0, \dots, P_n of reducing spheres bounds an n -simplex in Γ if and only if $v_{P_i} \cdot v_{P_j} = 4$ for all $0 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. In fact $n \leq 2$ [ST, Lemma 2.5]. So Γ is a simplicial 2-complex. Let Δ be any 2-simplex of Γ . We denote by S_Δ the “spine” of Δ , which is the subcomplex of the barycentric subdivision consisting of all closed 1-simplices that contain the barycenter and a vertex of Δ . Clearly Δ deformation retracts onto S_Δ . Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be

$$\bigcup_{\Delta} S_\Delta.$$

So $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a graph. Since no two 2-simplices of Γ share an edge [ST, Lemma 2.5], the simplicial 2-complex Γ deformation retracts onto the graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

A *belt curve* on a genus two surface is a homotopically nontrivial separating simple closed curve. Let P denote a reducing sphere whose intersection with T is a belt curve, which we denote c_P . The reducing sphere P divides S^3 into two 3-balls B^\pm whose intersections with the genus two surface T are two genus one surfaces $T^\pm = T \cap B^\pm$, each having one boundary component. The surface T^- (resp. T^+) contains two simple closed curves B, Z (resp. C, Y) meeting at one point. The curve B (resp. C) bounds a non-separating disc in W , homotopically non-trivial in V . The curve Z (resp. Y) bounds a non-separating disc in V , homotopically non-trivial in W . The genus two surface T contains two disjoint simple closed curves A and X . The curve A is homotopically non-trivial in V , disjoint from B and C , bounds a non-separating disc in W , and intersects Z and Y at one point. The curve X is homotopically non-trivial in W , disjoint from Z, Y and A , bounds a non-separating disc in V , and intersects B and C at one point. See figure 1.




FIGURE 1. The curves c_P, A, B, C, X, Y and Z

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, whenever we choose a reducing sphere R for T such that $v_R \neq v_P$ we will assume that the curve c_R intersects c_P, B, C, Y, Z transversely and minimally, and intersects A transversely.

There exist three automorphisms α, β, γ of S^3 with the following properties. The automorphism α is an orientation preserving homeomorphism of S^3 that preserves V and P , and that maps the curves A, B, C to A, B, C respectively by an orientation reversing map. The homeomorphism α is the hyperelliptic involution which preserves every simple closed curve (upto isotopy). The automorphism β is an orientation preserving homeomorphism of S^3 that preserves V and P , fixes T^- pointwise, and maps C to C and Y to Y by an orientation reversing map. Also $|A \cap \beta(X)| = 2$. The automorphism γ preserves V and P , and maps the curves c_P to c_P and A to A by an orientation reversing map. See figure 2. Scharlemann [Sc] showed that \mathcal{H}_2 is generated by

the isotopy classes $[\alpha]$, $[\beta]$, $[\gamma]$ and $[\delta]$ where δ is any orientation preserving homeomorphism of S^3 such that $\delta(V) = V$ and $v_P \cdot v_{\delta(P)} = 4$. In this paper we will take δ as the following homeomorphism. Consider the genus two handlebody V as a regular neighborhood of a sphere, centered at the origin, with three holes. The homeomorphism δ is $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ rotation of V about the vertical z -axis. See figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Homeomorphisms α , β , γ and δ

3. ARC FAMILIES OF REDUCING SPHERES ON T^\pm

Definition 2. For any properly embedded arc $\nu \subset T^\pm$ we may write $[\nu] \in H_1(T^\pm, \partial T^\pm; \mathbb{Z})$ as $a[\mu] + b[\lambda]$ where $\mu = Z$ and $\lambda = B$ if $\nu \subset T^-$, and $\mu = Y$ and $\lambda = C$ if $\nu \subset T^+$. The slope of ν is defined to be $|\frac{a}{b}| \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \infty$.

Definition 3. For any reducing sphere Q such that $v_Q \neq v_P$, let $N(Q, T^\pm, a)$ denote the number of arcs in $Q \cap T^\pm$ of slope a .

Definition 4. Denote any oriented curve D on T by \vec{D} and the curve oriented in the direction opposite to \vec{D} by \overleftarrow{D} .

Definition 5. Orient the curves A, B, C, X, Y, Z in such a way that $\delta^2(\vec{A}) = \delta(\vec{B}) = \vec{C}$ and $\delta^2(\vec{X}) = \delta(\vec{Y}) = \vec{Z}$. Up to isotopy there are natural homeomorphisms $\Omega, \Psi : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ where Ω maps V to W and $\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}, \vec{X}, \vec{Y}, \vec{Z}$ to $\overleftarrow{X}, \overleftarrow{Y}, \overleftarrow{Z}, \vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}$ respectively, and Ψ maps W

to W and $\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}, \vec{X}, \vec{Y}, \vec{Z}$ to $\overleftarrow{A}, \overleftarrow{B}, \overleftarrow{C}, \overleftarrow{X}, \overleftarrow{Y}, \overleftarrow{Z}$ respectively (see figure 3). Let $\Theta = \Psi\Omega$.




FIGURE 3. Homeomorphism $\Theta = \Psi\Omega$

Proposition 1. *Let Q be a reducing sphere for T such that $v_Q \neq v_P$. Then $N(Q, T^-, a) = N(Q, T^+, \frac{1}{a})$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $Q = w(P)$ where w is a word in α, β, γ and δ .

Claim. $\Theta(c_Q) = c_Q$

Proof of Claim. The hyperelliptic involution α preserves the isotopy class of any simple closed curve on T . After an isotopy, we may assume that $\alpha(c_Q) = c_Q$. Let us write w as $a_1a_2\dots a_n$ where $a_i \in \{\alpha, \beta^{\pm 1}, \gamma, \delta^{\pm 1}\}$. The homeomorphism Θ satisfies $\Theta\alpha = \alpha\Theta$, $\Theta\beta = \alpha\beta\Theta$, $\Theta\gamma = \alpha\gamma\Theta$, $\Theta\delta = \delta\Theta$, and $\Theta(c_P) = c_P$. Then $\Theta(c_Q) = \Theta(w(c_P)) = \Theta(a_1a_2\dots a_n(c_P)) = b_1b_2\dots b_n\Theta(c_P)$ where b_i is α if $a_i = \alpha$, $\alpha\beta$ if $a_i = \beta$, $\alpha\gamma$ if $a_i = \gamma$, δ if $a_i = \delta$. So $b_1b_2\dots b_n\Theta(c_P) = b_1b_2\dots b_n(c_P) = a_1a_2\dots a_n(c_P) = w(c_P) = c_Q$.

Since Θ maps the curves A, B, C, X, Y, Z to X, Y, Z, A, B, C respectively, it takes the arcs of c_Q of slope a on T^- to the arcs of c_Q of slope $\frac{1}{a}$ on T^+ . \square

Lemma 1. *Let Q be any reducing sphere for T such that $v_Q \neq v_P$. Then $N(Q, T^-, 0) \neq N(Q, T^-, \infty)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $N(Q, T^-, 0) = N(Q, T^-, \infty) = m$. By Proposition 1, $N(Q, T^+, 0) = N(Q, T^+, \infty) = m$ and $N(Q, T^-, 1) = N(Q, T^+, 1)$.

The curve c_Q bounds a disc in V . So c_Q must have a “wave” τ [VKF] with respect to one of the curves Y, Z . Say with respect to Y . Then the arc τ of c_Q starts at Y , goes to T^- then comes back to Y on the same side without touching Z . So all the arcs of c_Q intersecting Z must intersect the arc on Y that is bounded by ends of τ . Then we get $N(Q, T^-, \infty) + N(Q, T^-, 1) + 2 \leq N(Q, T^+, \infty) + N(Q, T^+, 1)$, a contradiction. \square

Definition 6. For any reducing sphere Q for T such that $v_Q \neq v_P$, let $F_{Q,a}^\pm$ denote the arc family of c_Q on T^\pm of slope a .

Notation 1. We will fix the following notation: Let Q be a reducing sphere for T .

- If $N(Q, T^-, 0) = n \neq 0$ then $e_{01}, e_{02}, \dots, e_{0n}, e_{1n}, e_{1n-1}, \dots, e_{11}$ are going to denote consecutive end points, on c_P , of the arcs in $F_{Q,0}^-$ where e_{0j}, e_{1j} are end points of the same arc, and $h_{01}, h_{02}, \dots, h_{0n}, h_{1n}, h_{1n-1}, \dots, h_{11}$ are going to denote consecutive end points, on c_P , of the arcs in $F_{Q,\infty}^+$ where h_{0j}, h_{1j} are end points of the same arc (existence of h_{ij} is guaranteed by Proposition 1).
- If $N(Q, T^-, \infty) = m \neq 0$ then $g_{01}, g_{02}, \dots, g_{0m}, g_{1m}, g_{1m-1}, \dots, g_{11}$ are going to denote consecutive end points, on c_P , of the arcs in $F_{Q,\infty}^-$ where g_{0j}, g_{1j} are end points of the same arc, and $f_{01}, f_{02}, \dots, f_{0m}, f_{1m}, f_{1m-1}, \dots, f_{11}$ are going to denote consecutive end points, on c_P , of the arcs in $F_{Q,0}^+$ where f_{0j}, f_{1j} are end points of the same arc.
- If $N(Q, T^-, 1) = p \neq 0$ then $k_{01}, k_{02}, \dots, k_{0p}, k_{1p}, k_{1p-1}, \dots, k_{11}$ are going to denote consecutive end points, on c_P , of the arcs in $F_{Q,1}^-$ where k_{0j}, k_{1j} are end points of the same arc, and $l_{01}, l_{02}, \dots, l_{0p}, l_{1p}, l_{1p-1}, \dots, l_{11}$ are going to denote end points, on c_P , of the arcs in $F_{Q,1}^+$ where l_{0j}, l_{1j} are end points of the same arc.

Lemma 2. Let Q be a reducing sphere for T such that $N(Q, T^-, 0) = n > N(Q, T^-, \infty) = m > N(Q, T^-, 1) = 0$. Then $\{f_{ij} | i = 0, 1 \ j = 1, m\} \subseteq \{e_{ij} | i = 0, 1 \ j = 2, \dots, n-1\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{f_{ij} | i = 0, 1 \ j = 1, m\} \not\subseteq \{e_{ij} | i = 0, 1 \ j = 2, \dots, n-1\}$ (see figure 4). Then c_Q does not have a “wave” τ [VKF] with respect to the curve Y or the curve Z . Therefore c_Q can not bound a disc in V , a contradiction. \square




FIGURE 4.

Proposition 2. *Let v, \tilde{v} be any two distinct vertices of Γ such that $v \cdot \tilde{v} \neq 4$. Then there exists unique vertex u of Γ such that*

- i:** $u \cdot v = 4$
- ii:** $u \cdot \tilde{v} < v \cdot \tilde{v}$
- iii:** $u \cdot \tilde{v} < v' \cdot \tilde{v}$ for any vertex v' of Γ such that $v' \neq u$ and $v' \cdot v = 4$

Moreover, there is at most one vertex v'' of Γ satisfying $v \cdot v'' = 4$ and $u \cdot \tilde{v} < v \cdot v'' \leq v \cdot \tilde{v}$. In this case $v'' \cdot u = 4$.

Proof. Let v, \tilde{v} be any two vertices of Γ such that $v \neq \tilde{v}$ and $v \cdot \tilde{v} \neq 4$. Since the group \mathcal{H}_2 is transitive on the vertices of Γ , we may assume that $v = v_P$ and \tilde{v} is a vertex of Γ such that $\tilde{v} \neq v_P$ and $v_P \cdot \tilde{v} \neq 4$. Then for some word w in α, γ, β and δ , $w(P) \in \tilde{v}$. Let Q denote the reducing sphere $w(P)$. Since Q is not isotopic to P there must be some arcs in $c_Q \cap T^\pm$. By [Sc, Lemma 4] there is an arc of c_Q of slope 0 either on T^- or on T^+ . Suppose it is on T^- . Let $e_{ij}, g_{dq}, k_{rs}, f_{tu}, h_{yv}, l_{wz}$ denote the end points of the arcs of $c_Q \cap T^\pm$ as in the Notation 1. Possible cases for the arc families in $c_Q \cap T^\pm$ and their configurations, upto a power of β , are the following:

Case I. If $N(Q, T^-, 0) = m$, $N(Q, T^-, 1/k) = a$ and $N(Q, T^-, 1/(k+1)) = b$ where $k \geq 1$ then $N(Q, T^+, \infty) = m$, $N(Q, T^+, k) = a$ and $N(Q, T^+, k+1) = b$ by Proposition 1. Scharlemann in [Sc, Lemma 5] constructs a reducing sphere R satisfying (i) and (ii) (i.e. $v_R \cdot v_P = 4$ and $v_R \cdot v_Q < v_P \cdot v_Q$). We will show that upto isotopy the reducing sphere R also satisfies (iii). Let $n = a + b$.

I.A. If $n \neq 0$: Let us label end points of the arcs in $c_Q \cap T^+$ of slope different from ∞ as d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{2n} . Then it is not hard to show $\{e_{ij}\} \not\subseteq \{d_i\}$ by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.

I.A.1. If $\{e_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$ (see figure 5): Set $p = \frac{|\{e_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\}|}{2}$ then $1 \leq p < m$. Consider the curve ξ shown in figure 5. It is easy to see that ξ bounds a disc in V and a disc in W . So ξ is the intersection of a reducing sphere S with T . Denote ξ by c_S . The reducing sphere S satisfies $v_S \cdot v_Q \leq |c_S \cap c_Q| = 2(n - m + 2p) < 2(n + m) = v_P \cdot v_Q$ and $v_S \cdot v_P = 4$.




FIGURE 5.

Claim 1. $v_S \cdot v_Q = |c_S \cap c_Q|$.

Claim 2. $v_{\beta^i(S)} \cdot v_Q, v_{\beta^i\gamma(S)} \cdot v_Q > 2(n + m)$ for $i \neq 0$.

Proof of Claim 1. It suffices to show that there is no bigon on T formed by the curves c_S and c_Q . We may assume that c_S intersects c_Q in a neighborhood $N \subseteq T$ of c_P where $N \cap (B \cup Z \cup C \cup Y) = \emptyset$. The neighborhood N has two boundary components N^-, N^+ . Say $N^\pm \subset T^\pm$. The set $c_S \cap N$ consists of four arcs $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4$. Assume that end points of the arcs $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4$ on N^- are lined up consecutively as $N^- \cap \nu_1, N^- \cap \nu_2, N^- \cap \nu_3, N^- \cap \nu_4$. The curve c_S has two arcs a_1, a_2 on T^- of slope 0 and two arcs b_1, b_2 on T^+ of slope ∞ . Assume that $\nu_i \cap a_1 \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\nu_1 \cap b_1 \neq \emptyset$. See figure 6. There are eight regions D_1, \dots, D_8 on N that can contain a vertex of a bigon. The regions D_1, \dots, D_8 are shown in figure 6. Any bigon should contain two of them. After an isotopy, we may assume that $\alpha(c_Q) = c_Q$ and $\alpha(c_S) = c_S$. Then $\alpha(D_i) = D_{i+2}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\Theta(\{D_i \mid i = 1, \dots, 4\}) =$




FIGURE 6.

$\{D_i \mid i = 5, \dots, 8\}$ (see Definition 5 for Θ). So it is enough to check if D_i is a part of a bigon for $i = 1, 2$.

D_1 : The region D_1 is part of a region \tilde{D}_1 in T whose four consecutive sides are x_1, a_1, x_2, x_3 where $x_1 \in F_{Q,k}^+ \cup F_{Q,k+1}^+$, $x_2 \in F_{Q,0}^-$ and $x_3 \in F_{Q,k}^+ \cup F_{Q,k+1}^+$. See figure 7(a). If \tilde{D}_1 is a bigon then $v_Q \cdot v_P < 2(n+m)$, a contradiction.

D_2 : • If $b = 0$ then $a \neq 0$. Then D_2 is part of a region \tilde{D}_2 whose eight sides are $x_1, y_1, a_1, z_1, x_2, a_2, y_2, z_2$ where $x_1, x_2, z_1, z_2 \in F_{Q,\infty}^+$, $y_1, y_2 \in F_{Q,1/k}^-$. See figure 7(b). Therefore \tilde{D}_1 can not be a bigon.

• If $a, b \neq 0$ then D_2 is part of a region \tilde{D}_2 whose four sides are x_1, a_2, y_1, z_1 where $x_1 \in F_{Q,\infty}^+$, $y_1 \in F_{Q,1/(k+1)}^-$, and z_1 is either a piece of c_S or $z_1 \in F_{Q,k}^+ \cup F_{Q,k+1}^+$. See figure 7(c). If z_1 is an arc in c_S then \tilde{D}_2 can not be a bigon. If $z_1 \in F_{Q,\infty}^+ \cup F_{Q,k}^+ \cup F_{Q,k+1}^+$ and \tilde{D}_2 is a bigon then $v_Q \cdot v_P < 2(n+m)$, a contradiction.

By the above cases, $v_S \cdot v_Q = |c_S \cap c_Q|$.

In figure 8, intersection of a reducing sphere R' with the surface T is shown. Notice that $R' \in v_{\gamma_S}$ and $v_S \cdot v_{\gamma_S} = 4$. By an argument similar to the proof of Claim 1 we can show that $v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = |c_{R'} \cap c_Q| = 4kb + 4(k-1)a + 2m + 2n = v_{\gamma_S} \cdot v_Q \geq 2m + 2n$.

Proof of Claim 2. We will do the calculation for $i = \pm 1$. The general case is similar. We may assume that $\beta^i(c_S)$ and $\beta^i\gamma(c_S)$ intersect c_Q in a neighborhood N described in the proof of Claim 1. By an argument similar to the proof of Claim 1 we get




FIGURE 7.




FIGURE 8.

- $v_{\beta(S)} \cdot v_Q = 4p + 2m + 6n > 2(n + m)$. See figure 9 (a).
- $v_{\beta^{-1}(S)} \cdot v_Q = 6m + 2n - 4p > 2(n + m)$. See figure 9 (b).
- $v_{\beta\gamma(S)} \cdot v_Q = 4kb + 4(k - 1)a + 4m + 2n + 2p > 2(n + m)$. See figure 10 (a).
- $v_{\beta^{-1}\gamma(S)} \cdot v_Q = 4kb + 4(k - 1)a + 6m + 6n - 4p > 2(n + m)$. See figure 10 (b).

This implies that the vertex $v_R = v_S$ and satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.




FIGURE 9.




FIGURE 10.

I.A.2. If $\{d_i\} \subseteq \{e_{ij}\}$ (see figure 11): Set $p = |\{e_{0j}\} \cap \{h_{0j}\}|$. Then $0 < p \leq m - n$. Either $p < m - n - p$ or $m - n - p < p$. Assume $p < m - n - p$. Consider the curve ξ shown in figure 11. The curve ξ is an intersection of a reducing sphere S with T . Denote ξ by c_S . Notice that $v_S \cdot v_P = 4$.

By an argument similar to the proof of the case I.A.1. we get

- $v_S \cdot v_Q = |c_S \cap c_Q| = 2(m - n - 2p) < v_P \cdot v_Q = 2(n + m)$
- $v_S \cdot v_{\gamma(S)} = 4$
- $v_{\gamma(S)} \cdot v_Q = 4kb + 4(k - 1)a + 2(m + n) \geq 2(m + n)$ (see figure 12)
- $v_{\beta^i(S)} \cdot v_Q, v_{\beta^i(\gamma(S))} \cdot v_Q > 2(n + m)$ for $i \neq 0$.




FIGURE 11.

This implies that the vertex $v_R = v_S$ and satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.




FIGURE 12. The curve $c_{R'}$ in the figure is $R' \cap T$ for some reducing sphere R' for T satisfying $R' \in v_{\gamma S}$

I.B. If $n = 0$: This is a special case of I.A.3.

Case II. If $N(Q, T^-, 0) = m$, $N(Q, T^-, \infty) = n \neq 0 = N(Q, T^-, 1)$ then $N(Q, T^+, 0) = n$, $N(Q, T^+, \infty) = m \neq 0 = N(Q, T^+, 1)$ by Proposition 1. By Lemma 1, $m \neq n$. Suppose $m < n$. By Lemma 2, $\{e_{ij} | i = 0, 1, j = 1, \dots, m\} \subseteq \{f_{ij} | i = 0, 1, j = 2, \dots, n-1\}$. By the argument in [Sc, Lemma 5] we get two non-isotopic reducing spheres for T that satisfy (i) and (ii). Let us call S the one having an arc on T^- of slope 0 and S' the one having an arc on T^+ of slope 0. In the

figure 13 intersections of two reducing spheres R and R' with T are shown. It is easy to see that $R \in v_S$ and $R' \in v_{S'}$.




FIGURE 13.

Let $p = |\{g_{0j}\} \cap \{f_{0j}\}|$. Then $0 < p \leq m - n$. Either $p < m - n - p$ or $m - n - p < p$. Assume $p < m - n - p$. Then by an argument similar to the proof of the case I.A.1. we can show that $2n + 2m = v_P \cdot v_Q > v_R \cdot v_Q = 2n - 2m > v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = 2(n - m - 2p)$, $v_R \cdot v_{R'} = 4$ and $v_{\beta^i(R)} \cdot v_Q, v_{\beta^i(R')} \cdot v_Q > 2n + 2m$ for $i \neq 0$.

Case III. If $N(Q, T^-, 0) = m$, $N(Q, T^-, \infty) = n$, $N(Q, T^-, 1) = p$ where $m, n, p \neq 0$, then $N(Q, T^+, 0) = n$, $N(Q, T^+, \infty) = m$, $N(Q, T^+, 1) = p$ by Proposition 1. By Lemma 1, $m \neq n$. Say $m > n$.

The curves A, B, C and c_P divide T into four punctured discs $T_f^-, T_b^-, T_f^+, T_b^+$ where $T_f^- \cup T_b^- = T^-$ and $T_f^+ \cup T_b^+ = T^+$. This division also gives two pairs of pants $T_f^- \cup T_f^+ = P_f$ and $T_b^- \cup T_b^+ = P_b$. Let $c_f = P_f \cap c_P$ and $c_b = P_b \cap c_P$.

Let K be a reducing sphere intersecting the interior of T^- in a simple closed curve parallel to c_P . The reducing sphere K divides T into two parts. Denote the one containing the curve B by t^- and the one containing the curve C by t^+ . Let $c_K^f = T_f^- \cap K$ and $c_K^b = T_b^- \cap K$.

Suppose that $F_{Q,0}^- \cap t^- \cap A = F_{Q,1}^- \cap t^- \cap A = \emptyset$, $|F_{Q,\infty}^- \cap (c_K^f \setminus A)| = |F_{Q,\infty}^- \cap (c_K^b \setminus A)| = |F_{Q,\infty}^- \cap t^- \cap A| = n$ and that $k'_{01}, k'_{02}, \dots, k'_{0p}, e'_{01}, e'_{02}, \dots, e'_{0m}$ and $g'_{01}, g'_{02}, \dots, g'_{0n}$ are consecutive intersection points of the arcs in $F_{Q,1}^-, F_{Q,0}^-$ and $F_{Q,\infty}^-$ with c_K^f respectively. Locate arcs of c_Q on T^+ in such a way that $|F_{Q,\infty}^+ \cap (c_P^f \setminus A)| = |F_{Q,\infty}^+ \cap (c_P^b \setminus A)| = |F_{Q,\infty}^+ \cap A| = m$ and $|F_{Q,0}^+ \cap A| = |F_{Q,1}^+ \cap A| = 0$. Suppose that $l_{01}, \dots,$

$l_{0p}, f_{01}, \dots, f_{0n}$ and h_{01}, \dots, h_{0m} are consecutive intersection points of the arcs in $F_{Q,1}^+, F_{Q,0}^+$ and $F_{Q,\infty}^+$ with c_f respectively. Let τ be an arc in $F_{Q,1}^-$ whose intersection with c_K^f is k'_{01} . Suppose that $\tau \cap (t^+ \setminus T^+) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. See figure 14. By applying a power of β we can assume that $2 \leq |c_Q \cap A \cap (t^+ \setminus T^+)| < 2(p+n+m)$. By the argument in [Sc, Lemma 5] we get two non-isotopic reducing spheres for T that satisfy (i) and (ii). Let us call S the one having an arc on T^- of slope 0 and S' the one having an arc on T^+ of slope 0.




FIGURE 14.

In the below figures, intersections of two reducing spheres R, R' with T are shown. It is easy to see that $R \in v_S$ and $R' \in v_{S'}$.

III.C: If $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{f_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{e_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$ (see figure 17): Let $x = |\{f_{ij}\} \cap \{g_{ij}\}|/2$. Then by an argument similar to the proof of the case I.A.1. we get $2(n + m + p) = v_P \cdot v_Q > v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = 2(m - n + 2x + p) > v_R \cdot v_Q = 2(m - n - p + 2x)$, $v_R \cdot v_{R'} = 4$ and $v_{\beta^i(R)} \cdot v_Q$, $v_{\beta^i(R')} \cdot v_Q > v_P \cdot v_Q$ for $i \neq 0$.




FIGURE 17.

III.D: If $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{f_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{e_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{e_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\} = \emptyset$ (see figure 18): Let $x = |\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\}|/2$. Then by an argument similar to the proof of the case I.A.1. we get $2(n + m + p) = v_P \cdot v_Q > v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = 2(p + n + m - 2x) > v_R \cdot v_Q = 2(p + n - m)$, $v_R \cdot v_{R'} = 4$ and $v_{\beta^i(R)} \cdot v_Q$, $v_{\beta^i(R')} \cdot v_Q > v_P \cdot v_Q$ for $i \neq 0$.




FIGURE 18.

III.E: If $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{f_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{e_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{e_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$ (see figure 19): Let $x = |\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\}|/2$. Then by an argument similar to the proof of the case I.A.1. we get $v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = 2(m + n + p - 2x)$, $v_R \cdot v_Q = 2(m + n - p + 2x)$ and $v_{\beta^i(R)} \cdot v_Q, v_{\beta^i(R')} \cdot v_Q > 2(n + m + p)$ for $i \neq 0$. So $v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = v_R \cdot v_Q$ if and only if $p = 2x$. If p is equal to $2x$ then by an argument given in the proof of Lemma 2 we can show that c_Q does not bound a disc in V . Therefore either $v_{R'} \cdot v_Q > v_R \cdot v_Q$ or $v_{R'} \cdot v_Q < v_R \cdot v_Q$. Notice that $v_R \cdot v_{R'} = 4$.




FIGURE 19.

III.F: If $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{f_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$ (see figure 20): Let $x = |\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{f_{ij}\}|/2$ then by an argument similar to the proof of the case I.A.1. we get $2(n + m + p) = v_P \cdot v_Q > v_R \cdot v_Q = 2(m + x + 3p - n + x) > v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = 2(m + x + p - n + x)$, $v_R \cdot v_{R'} = 4$ and $v_{\beta^i(R)} \cdot v_Q, v_{\beta^i(R')} \cdot v_Q > v_P \cdot v_Q$ for $i \neq 0$.




FIGURE 20.

III.G: If $\{e_{ij}, g_{ij}\} \subseteq \{l_{ij}\}$ (see figure 21): Let $x = |\{k_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\}|/2$ then by an argument similar to the proof of the case I.A.1. we get $v_P \cdot v_Q > v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = 2(p + m - n) > v_R \cdot v_Q = 2(p - m + n)$, $v_R \cdot v_{R'} = 4$ and $v_{\beta^i(R)} \cdot v_Q, v_{\beta^i(R')} \cdot v_Q > v_P \cdot v_Q$ for $i \neq 0$.




FIGURE 21.

III.H: If $\{g_{ij}\} \subseteq \{l_{ij}\}, \{e_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$: This case is eliminated by an argument given in proof of Lemma 2 (the curve c_Q does not bound a disc in V).

III.I: If $\{g_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset, \{g_{ij}\} \cap \{h_{ij}\} \neq \emptyset$: After applying β^{-1} to c_Q we can assume that c_Q is as in figure 22. Let $x = |\{k_{ij}\} \cap \{l_{ij}\}|/2$ then by an argument similar to the proof of the case I.A.1. we have $2(n + m + p) = v_P \cdot v_Q > v_R \cdot v_Q = 2(m -$

$$n + 3p - 2x) > v_{R'} \cdot v_Q = 2(m - n + p), \quad v_R \cdot v_{R'} = 4 \text{ and} \\ v_{\beta^i(R)} \cdot v_Q, \quad v_{\beta^i(R')} \cdot v_Q > v_P \cdot v_Q \text{ for } i \neq 0.$$




FIGURE 22.

□

4. A PRESENTATION FOR \mathcal{H}_2

We will first prove Theorem 1. Then by using Bass-Serre theory we will prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is not a tree. Then there is a nontrivial loop in $\tilde{\Gamma}$. For any loop ξ in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ let $NV(\xi)$ denote the number of vertices of ξ . Then $\alpha_0 = \min\{NV(\xi) \mid \xi \text{ is a nontrivial loop in } \tilde{\Gamma}\} > 0$. Since each edge of Γ lies on a single 2-simplex $\alpha_0 \geq 8$. Let ξ_0 be a nontrivial loop in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $NV(\xi_0) = \alpha_0$. Since ξ_0 is of minimal length all its vertices are distinct. Let v_0 be any vertex of ξ_0 , and let $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{\alpha_0-1}$ be the consecutive vertices of ξ_0 . We may suppose that $v_0 \in \Gamma$. Then $v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{\alpha_0-2}$ are vertices of Γ , and $v_k \cdot v_{k+2} = v_{\alpha_0-2} \cdot v_0 = 4$ for $k \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, \alpha_0 - 4\}$.

Claim. $v_k \cdot v_0 < v_{k+2} \cdot v_0$ for $k \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, \alpha_0 - 4\}$.

Proof of claim. The proof will be by induction on the index k . If $k = 0$ then $v_0 \cdot v_0 = 0 < v_2 \cdot v_0 = 4$. Assume $v_k \cdot v_0 < v_{k+2} \cdot v_0$ for $k \in \{0, 2, \dots, \alpha_0 - 6\}$. If $v_{k+4} \cdot v_0 \leq v_{k+2} \cdot v_0$ then $v_k \cdot v_{k+4} = 4$ by Proposition 2. Since $v_k \cdot v_{k+2} = v_{k+2} \cdot v_{k+4} = 4$, the vertices v_k, v_{k+2}, v_{k+4} form a 2-simplex Δ in Γ . Then we get a loop ξ in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with vertices $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k, u, v_{k+4}, v_{k+5}, \dots, v_{\alpha_0-2}, v_{\alpha_0-1}$ where u is the barycenter of Δ . This contradicts the minimality of α_0 .

By the above claim, we get $v_0 \cdot v_{\alpha_0-4} < v_0 \cdot v_{\alpha_0-2}$. But $4 < v_0 \cdot v_{\alpha_0-4}$ and $v_0 \cdot v_{\alpha_0-2} = 4$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Let v_M be a vertex of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ corresponding to the barycenter of the 2-simplex whose vertices are v_P , $v_{\delta(P)}$ and $v_{\delta^2(P)}$. Let E be the edge of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ whose vertices are v_P and v_M . Let H_P be the subgroup of \mathcal{H}_2 generated by the elements that stabilize v_P . Let H_M be the subgroup of \mathcal{H}_2 generated by the elements that preserve v_M . Let H_E be the group of elements of \mathcal{H}_2 that stabilize the edge E .

- Scharlemann in [Sc, Lemma 2] gives the following presentation for H_P :

$$H_P = \langle [\alpha], [\beta], [\gamma] \mid [\alpha]^2 = [\gamma]^2 = [\alpha\gamma]^2 = [\alpha\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}] = 1, [\gamma\beta\gamma] = [\alpha\beta] \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

- The subgroup H_M fixes the set $\{v_P, v_{\delta(P)}, v_{\delta^2(P)}\}$. Therefore

$$H_M = \langle [\delta], [\alpha], [\gamma] \mid [\delta]^3 = [\alpha]^2 = [\gamma]^2 = [\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1}\delta^{-1}] = [\alpha\gamma]^2 = 1, [\delta] = [\gamma\delta^2\gamma] \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

- An element h of \mathcal{H}_2 fixes the sets $\{v_P\}$ and $\{v_{\delta(P)}, v_{\delta^2(P)}\}$ if and only if $h \in H_E$. Hence

$$H_E = \langle [\alpha], [\gamma] \mid [\alpha]^2 = [\gamma]^2 = [\alpha\gamma]^2 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

The action of \mathcal{H}_2 on the 2-complex Γ induces an action of \mathcal{H}_2 on the tree $\tilde{\Gamma}$. The subgroups H_P , H_M are the isotropy subgroups of \mathcal{H}_2 fixing the vertices v_P , v_M respectively. By the standard Bass-Serre theory [S] the group \mathcal{H}_2 is thus a free product of the subgroups H_P and H_M amalgamated over the subgroup H_E .

$$\mathcal{H}_2 \cong H_P \underset{H_E}{*} H_M \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \underset{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}{*} (\mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

\square

REFERENCES

- [Go] Goeritz, L.: 'Die Abbildungen der Brezelfläche und der Volbrezel vom Geschlecht 2', *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **9** (1933) 244-259.
- [Po] Powell, J.: 'Homeomorphisms of S^3 leaving a Heegaard surface invariant', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **257** (1980) 193-216.
- [Sc] Scharlemann, M.: 'Automorphisms of the 3-sphere that preserve a genus two Heegaard splitting', *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, **10** (2004) 503-514.
- [ST] Scharlemann, M., Thompson, A.: 'Unknotting tunnels and Seifert surfaces', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **87** (2003) 523-544.
- [S] Serre, J. .P.: 'Trees', *New York, Springer Monographs in Mathematics* (2003).

[VKF] Volodin, I., Kuznetsov, V., Fomenko, A.: 'The problem of discriminating algorithmically the standard three-dimensional sphere', *Russian Math. Surveys* **29** (1974) 71172.