

THE CAPORASO-HARRIS FORMULA AND PLANE RELATIVE GROMOV-WITTEN INVARIANTS IN TROPICAL GEOMETRY


ANDREAS GATHMANN AND HANNAH MARKWIG

ABSTRACT. Some years ago Caporaso and Harris have found a nice way to compute the numbers $N(d, g)$ of complex plane curves of degree d and genus g through $3d + g - 1$ general points with the help of relative Gromov-Witten invariants. Recently, Mikhalkin has found a way to reinterpret the numbers $N(d, g)$ in terms of tropical geometry and to compute them by counting certain lattice paths in integral polytopes. We relate these two results by defining an analogue of the relative Gromov-Witten invariants and rederiving the Caporaso-Harris formula in terms of both tropical geometry and lattice paths.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $N(d, g)$ be the number of complex curves of degree d and genus g in the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 through $3d + g - 1$ general fixed points. These numbers have first been computed by Caporaso and Harris [CH98]. Their strategy is to define “relative Gromov-Witten invariants” that count plane curves of given degree and genus having specified local contact orders to a fixed line L and passing in addition through the appropriate number of general points. By specializing one point after the other to lie on L they derive recursive relations among these relative Gromov-Witten invariants that finally suffice to compute all the numbers $N(d, g)$.


As an example of what happens in this specialization process we consider plane rational cubics having a point of contact order 3 to L at a fixed point $p_1 \in L$ and passing in addition through 5 general points $p_2, \dots, p_6 \in \mathbb{P}^2$. To compute the number of such curves we move p_2 to L . What happens to the cubics under this specialization? As they intersect L already with multiplicity 3 at p_1 they cannot pass through another point on L unless they become reducible and have L as a component. There are two ways how this can happen: they can degenerate into a union of three lines $L \cup L_1 \cup L_2$ where L_1 and L_2 each pass through two of the points p_3, \dots, p_6 , or they can degenerate into $L \cup C$, where C is a conic tangent to L and passing through p_3, \dots, p_6 :



The second author has been funded by the DFG grant Ga 636/2.


The initial number of rational cubics with a point of contact order 3 to L at a fixed point and passing through 5 more general points is therefore a sum of two numbers (counted with suitable multiplicities) related to only lines and conics. This is the general idea of Caporaso and Harris how specialization finally reduces the degree of the curves and allows a recursive solution to compute the numbers $N(d, g)$.

Recently, Mikhalkin found a different way to compute the numbers $N(d, g)$ using tropical geometry [Mik03]. He proved the so-called ‘‘Correspondence Theorem’’ that asserts that $N(d, g)$ can be reinterpreted as the number of *tropical* plane curves of degree d and genus g through $3d + g - 1$ points in general position. The goal of this paper is to establish a connection between the complex and the tropical point of view. We show that relative Gromov-Witten invariants correspond to tropical curves with unbounded edges of higher weight to the left. For example, our cubics above having a point of contact order 3 to L in a fixed point correspond to tropical curves having a fixed unbounded end of weight 3 to the left (and passing in addition through 5 more general points p_2, \dots, p_6). The specialization process above is simply accomplished by moving p_2 to the very far left:



We see that the resulting tropical curves ‘‘split’’ into two parts: a left part (through p_2) and a right part (through the remaining points, circled in the picture above). We get the same ‘‘degenerations’’ as in the complex case: one where the right part consists of two lines through two of the points p_3, \dots, p_6 each, and one where it consists of a conic ‘‘tangent to a line’’ (i.e. with an unbounded edge of multiplicity 2 to the left).

To arrive at the actual numbers $N(d, g)$ Mikhalkin did not count these tropical curves directly however. Instead, he showed by purely combinatorial arguments that the number $N(d, g)$ is also equal to the number of certain ‘‘increasing’’ lattice paths of length $3d + g - 1$ from $(0, d)$ to $(d, 0)$ in the integral triangle $\Delta_d = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2; x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y \leq d\}$, counted with suitable multiplicities. We will show that the idea of Caporaso and Harris can also be seen directly in this lattice path set-up: relative Gromov-Witten invariants simply correspond to lattice paths with fixed integral steps on the left edge of Δ_d . For example, the cubics above with triple contact to L correspond to lattice paths starting with the two points $(0, 3)$ and $(0, 0)$, i.e. with a step of length 3. The remaining steps are then arbitrary, as long as the number of steps in the path is correct (in this case 6 as we have 6 marked points):



If we look at the triangle Δ_{d-1} obtained from Δ_d by removing the left edge (circled in the picture above) we see again two possible types: one that corresponds to a union of two lines (the first two cases) and one that corresponds to conics tangent to L (the last case, with a step of length 2 at the left side of Δ_{d-1}).

The aim of this paper is to make the above ideas rigorous. We will define “relative Gromov-Witten invariants” both in terms of tropical curves and lattice paths, and prove the Caporaso-Harris formula in both settings. We will also prove a “Correspondence Theorem” that shows directly (i.e. without using the Caporaso-Harris formula) that our tropical numbers are the same as the complex ones. For simplicity we will work with not necessarily irreducible curves most of the time (except for section 4.3). We will also restrict to complex curves in the plane (i.e. tropical curves with Newton polyhedron Δ_d) to keep the notation simple. However, the same ideas can be applied for other toric surfaces as well (see e.g. remark 3.6 and section 5). We hope that our ideas can also be generalized to curves in higher-dimensional spaces. Work on this question is in progress.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we will briefly review the known results on complex and tropical curves. We will then construct analogues of relative Gromov-Witten invariants and prove the Caporaso-Harris formula in the lattice path set-up in section 3. The same is then done for the tropical curves set-up in section 4. Finally, we will prove a generalized version of the “Correspondence Theorem” in section 5 that shows directly that the complex and tropical relative Gromov-Witten invariants coincide.

2. COMPLEX AND TROPICAL CURVES

In this section we will briefly review the notations and results on complex and tropical curves that we need in our paper. Our main references are [CH98] and [Mik03].

2.1. Complex curves and the Caporaso-Harris formula. We start by defining the “relative Gromov-Witten invariants” used by Caporaso and Harris to compute the numbers of complex plane curves of given degree and genus through the appropriate number of given points.

Definition 2.1

A (*finite*) *sequence* is a collection $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ of natural numbers almost all of which are zero. If $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k > n$ we will also write this sequence as $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. For two sequences α and β we define

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha| &:= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots; \\ I\alpha &:= 1\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \dots; \\ I^\alpha &:= 1^{\alpha_1} \cdot 2^{\alpha_2} \cdot 3^{\alpha_3} \cdot \dots; \\ \alpha + \beta &:= (\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \dots); \\ \alpha \geq \beta &:= \Leftrightarrow \alpha_n \geq \beta_n \text{ for all } n; \\ \binom{\alpha}{\beta} &:= \binom{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \cdot \binom{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \cdot \dots \end{aligned}$$

We denote by e_k the sequence which has a 1 at the k -th place and zeros everywhere else.

Definition 2.2

Let $d \geq 0$ and g be integers, and let α and β be two sequences with $I\alpha + I\beta = d$. Pick a fixed line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Then we denote by $N_{\text{cplx}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ the number of smooth but not necessarily irreducible curves (or more precisely: stable maps) of degree d and genus g to \mathbb{P}^2 that

- intersect L in α_i fixed general points of L with multiplicity i each for all $i \geq 1$;
- intersect L in β_i more arbitrary points of L with multiplicity i each for all $i \geq 1$; and
- pass in addition through $2d + g + |\beta| - 1$ more general points in \mathbb{P}^2 .

In other words, we consider the numbers of complex plane curves of given degree and genus that have fixed contact orders to a given line. Note that this set of numbers includes the numbers $N_{\text{cplx}}(d, g) := N_{\text{cplx}}^{(0), (d)}(d, g)$ of complex plane curves of degree d and genus g through $3d + g - 1$ general points (without multiplicity conditions).

The main result of Caporaso and Harris is how these numbers can be computed recursively:

Definition 2.3

We say that a collection of numbers $N^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ defined for all integers $d \geq 0$ and g and all sequences α, β with $I\alpha + I\beta = d$ satisfies the *Caporaso-Harris formula* if

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g) &= \sum_{k: \beta_k > 0} k \cdot N^{\alpha + e_k, \beta - e_k}(d, g) \\ &\quad + \sum I^{\beta' - \beta} \cdot \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \cdot \binom{\beta'}{\beta} \cdot N^{\alpha', \beta'}(d - 1, g') \end{aligned}$$

for all d, g, α, β as above with $d > 1$, where the second sum is taken over all α', β' and g' satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &\leq \alpha; \\ \beta' &\geq \beta; \\ I\alpha' + I\beta' &= d - 1; \\ g - g' &= |\beta' - \beta| - 1; \\ d - 2 &\geq g - g'. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4

The numbers $N_{\text{cplx}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ of definition 2.2 satisfy the Caporaso-Harris formula.

Proof:

See [CH98]. □

Note that a collection of numbers $N^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ satisfying the Caporaso-Harris formula is determined uniquely by their values for $d = 1$. In particular, theorem 2.4 allows us to compute all numbers $N_{\text{cplx}}(d, g)$ from the starting information that there is exactly one line through two points in the plane.

2.2. Tropical curves. We will move on to the tropical set-up and recall the definition of tropical curves and their Newton polyhedra (following [Mik03] and [NS04]).

Let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be a weighted finite graph without divalent vertices. The weight of an edge E of $\bar{\Gamma}$ will be written as $\omega(E) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Denote the set of 1-valent vertices by $\bar{\Gamma}_\infty^0$. We remove the one-valent vertices from $\bar{\Gamma}$ and set $\Gamma := \bar{\Gamma} \setminus \bar{\Gamma}_\infty^0$. The graph Γ may then have non-compact edges which are called *unbounded edges* or *ends*. We write Γ^0 for the set of vertices and Γ^1 for the set of edges of Γ . We define the set of *flags* of Γ by $\mathbb{F}\Gamma := \{(V, E) \in \Gamma^0 \times \Gamma^1 \mid V \in \partial E\}$. Let $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a continuous proper map such that the image $h(E)$ of any edge $E \in \Gamma^1$ is contained in an affine line with rational slope. Then we can define a map $u : \mathbb{F}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ that sends (V, E) to the primitive integer vector that starts at $h(V)$ and points in the direction of $h(E)$.

Definition 2.5

A *parametrized tropical (plane) curve* is a pair (Γ, h) as above such that

- (a) for every edge $E \in \Gamma^1$ the restriction $h|_E$ is an embedding;
- (b) for every vertex $V \in \Gamma^0$ the *balancing condition*

$$\sum_{E \in \Gamma^1: V \in \partial E} \omega(E) \cdot u(V, E) = 0.$$


holds.

A *tropical curve* in \mathbb{R}^2 is the image $h(\Gamma)$ of a parametrized tropical curve.

Let V be an r -valent vertex of a tropical curve Γ and let E_1, \dots, E_r be the counter-clockwise enumerated edges adjacent to V . Draw in the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice an orthogonal line $l(E_i)$ of integer length $\omega(E_i)$ to $h(E_i)$, where $l(E_1)$ starts at any lattice point and $l(E_i)$ starts at the endpoint of $l(E_{i-1})$, and where by “integer length” we mean $\#(\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap l(E_i)) - 1$. The balancing condition tells us that we end up with a closed r -gon. If we do this for every vertex we end up with a polygon in \mathbb{Z}^2 that is divided into smaller polygons. The polygon is called the *Newton polygon* of the tropical curve, and the division the corresponding *Newton subdivision*. Note that the ends of the curve correspond to lines on the boundary of the Newton polygon.

Example 2.6

The following picture shows an example of a tropical curve and its Newton polygon and subdivision:




Most properties of tropical curves can be read off both from their image in \mathbb{R}^2 as well as in the dual picture from their Newton polygon and subdivision. Here are some examples:

Definition 2.7

- (a) The *genus* of a parametrized tropical curve (Γ, h) is defined to be the number $\dim H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. The genus of a tropical curve is the least genus of all parametrizations that the curve allows. In the dual setting, the genus is the number of interior lattice points of the subdivision minus the number of parallelograms.
- (b) The *degree* Δ of a tropical plane curve is the collection of directions of the unbounded edges together with the sum of the weights for each direction. In the dual language the degree is just the Newton polygon, which is therefore also denoted by Δ .
- (c) The (*combinatorial*) *type* of a parametrized tropical curve is given by the weighted graph Γ together with the map $u : \mathbb{F}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$. The (combinatorial) type of a tropical curve is the combinatorial type of any parametrization of least possible genus. In the dual setting, the type is just the Newton subdivision.
- (d) A parametrized tropical plane curve is called *simple* if Γ is trivalent, the map h is injective on the set of vertices and for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\#h^{-1}(p)$ is finite. A tropical plane curve is called simple if it allows a simple parametrization. In the dual language, a curve is simple if and only if its subdivision contains only triangles and parallelograms.
- (e) Let V be a trivalent vertex of Γ and E_1, E_2, E_3 the edges adjacent to V . The *multiplicity* of V is the product of the area of the parallelogram spanned by $u(V, E_1)$ and $u(V, E_2)$ times the weights of the edges E_1 and E_2 . The balancing condition tells us that this definition is independent of the order of E_1, E_2 and E_3 . In the dual language, the multiplicity of 3-valent vertex is equal to 2 times the area of the dual triangle.
- (f) The *multiplicity* $\text{mult}(C)$ of a simple tropical plane curve is the product of the multiplicities of all trivalent vertices of Γ as in (e). In the dual language, the multiplicity is the product over all double areas of triangles of the dual subdivision.
- (g) The number of connected components of a tropical curve is the number of connected components of the graph Γ of a parametrization $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of least possible genus. An *irreducible* tropical curve is a curve with only one connected component.

Example 2.8

The following picture shows an irreducible tropical curve (on the left) and a tropical curve with two components (on the right), together with their parametrizations of least possible genus. Both curves are of degree $(3 \cdot (0, -1), 3 \cdot (-1, 0), 3 \cdot (1, 1))$.



We are now ready to state the results of [Mik03] that are relevant for our purposes.

Definition 2.9

Let $d \geq 0$ and g be integers, and let Δ_d be the Newton polyhedron $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2; x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y \leq d\}$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_{3d+g-1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a set of points in (tropical) general position (see [Mik03] section 4.2 for a precise definition). Then by [Mik03] lemma 4.22 the number of tropical curves through all points of \mathcal{P} is finite, and all such curves are simple. We denote by $N_{\text{trop}}(d, g)$ the number of tropical curves of degree Δ_d and genus g passing through all points of \mathcal{P} , counted with their multiplicities as in definition 2.7 (f). By [GM05] this definition does not depend on the choice of points.

Theorem 2.10 (“Correspondence Theorem”)

For all d and g we have $N_{\text{trop}}(d, g) = N_{\text{cplx}}(d, g)$.

Proof:


See [Mik03] theorem 1. □

2.3. Lattice paths. In his paper [Mik03] Mikhalkin also gave an algorithm to compute the numbers $N_{\text{trop}}(d, g)$ combinatorially. He did not calculate them directly however, but rather by a trick that relates them to certain lattice paths that we will now introduce.

Definition 2.11

A path $\gamma : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is called a lattice path if $\gamma|_{[j-1, j]}, j = 1, \dots, n$ is an affine-linear map and $\gamma(j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for all $j = 0 \dots, n$.

Let λ be a fixed linear map of the form $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \lambda(x, y) = x - \varepsilon y$, where ε is a small number. A lattice path is called λ -increasing if $\lambda \circ \gamma$ is strictly increasing. Let $p := (0, d)$ and $q := (d, 0)$ be the points in $\Delta := \Delta_d$ where $\lambda|_{\Delta}$ reaches its minimum (resp. maximum). The points p and q divide the boundary $\partial\Delta$ into two λ -increasing lattice paths $\delta_+ : [0, n_+] \rightarrow \partial\Delta$ (going clockwise around $\partial\Delta$) and $\delta_- : [0, n_-] \rightarrow \partial\Delta$ (going counterclockwise around $\partial\Delta$), where n_{\pm} denotes the number of integer points in the \pm -part of the boundary. The following picture shows an example for $d = 3$:




We will now define the multiplicity of λ -increasing paths as in [Mik03]:

Definition 2.12

Let $\gamma : [0, n] \rightarrow \Delta$ be a λ -increasing path from p to q , that is, $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(n) = q$. The *multiplicities* $\mu_+(\gamma)$ and $\mu_-(\gamma)$ are defined recursively as follows:

- (a) $\mu_{\pm}(\delta_{\pm}) := 1$.
- (b) If $\gamma \neq \delta_{\pm}$ let $k_{\pm} \in [0, n]$ be the smallest number such that γ makes a left-turn (respectively a right-turn for μ_-) at $\gamma(k_{\pm})$. (If no such k_{\pm} exists we set $\mu_{\pm}(\gamma) := 0$). Define two other λ -increasing lattice paths as follows:

- $\gamma'_\pm : [0, n-1] \rightarrow \Delta$ is the path that cuts the corner of $\gamma(k_\pm)$, i.e. $\gamma'_\pm(j) := \gamma(j)$ for $j < k_\pm$ and $\gamma'_\pm(j) := \gamma(j+1)$ for $j \geq k_\pm$.
- $\gamma''_\pm : [0, n] \rightarrow \Delta$ is the path that completes the corner of $\gamma(k_\pm)$ to a parallelogram, i.e. $\gamma''_\pm(j) := \gamma(j)$ for all $j \neq k_\pm$ and $\gamma''_\pm(k_\pm) := \gamma(k_\pm - 1) + \gamma(k_\pm + 1) - \gamma(k_\pm)$:



Let T be the triangle with vertices $\gamma(k_\pm - 1), \gamma(k_\pm), \gamma(k_\pm + 1)$. Then we set

$$\mu_\pm(\gamma) := 2 \cdot \text{Area} T \cdot \mu_\pm(\gamma'_\pm) + \mu_\pm(\gamma''_\pm).$$

As both paths γ'_\pm and γ''_\pm include a smaller area with δ_\pm , we can assume that their multiplicity is known. If γ''_\pm does not map to Δ , $\mu_\pm(\gamma''_\pm)$ is defined to be zero.

Finally, the multiplicity $\mu(\gamma)$ is defined to be the product $\mu(\gamma) := \mu_+(\gamma)\mu_-(\gamma)$.

Note that the multiplicity of a path γ is positive only if the recursion above ends with the path $\delta_+ : [0, n_+] \rightarrow \Delta$ (respectively δ_-). In other words, if we end up with a “faster” path $\delta' : [0, n'] \rightarrow \Delta$ such that $\delta_+([0, n_+]) = \delta'([0, n'])$ but $n' < n_+$ then the multiplicity is zero.

Definition 2.13

Let $d \geq 0$ and g be integers. We denote by $N_{\text{path}}(d, g)$ the number of λ -increasing lattice paths $\gamma : [0, 3d + g - 1] \rightarrow \Delta$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(3d + g - 1) = q$ counted with their multiplicities as in definition 2.12.

Theorem 2.14


For all d and g we have $N_{\text{path}}(d, g) = N_{\text{trop}}(d, g)$.

Proof:

See [Mik03] theorem 2. The idea is to choose a line H orthogonal to the kernel of λ and $n = 3d + g - 1$ points p_1, \dots, p_n on H such that the distance between p_i and p_{i+1} is much bigger than the distance of p_{i-1} and p_i for all i . These points are then in tropical general position. Consider a tropical curve of degree Δ_d and genus g through these points. If we take the edges on which the marked points lie and consider their dual edges in the Newton subdivision then these dual edges can be shown to form a λ -increasing path from p to q . Furthermore, the multiplicity of a path coincides with the number of possible Newton subdivisions times their multiplicity. (For a path γ there can be several possible Newton subdivisions.) The multiplicity μ_+ counts the possible Newton subdivisions times their multiplicity in the half-plane above H , whereas μ_- counts below H . Passing from γ to γ' and γ''

corresponds to moving the line up (for μ_+) respectively down. The path γ' leaves a 3-valent vertex of multiplicity $2 \text{Area}(T)$ out, and γ'' counts the possibility that there might be a crossing of two edges, dual to a parallelogram.

As an example, the following picture shows a path γ and the possible Newton subdivisions for γ , all dual to tropical curves of multiplicity 1. The multiplicity of the path is 3.



□

For integers $d \geq 0$ and g we have now defined three sets of numbers $N_{\text{cplx}}(d, g)$, $N_{\text{trop}}(d, g)$, and $N_{\text{path}}(d, g)$. Moreover, we know that these three sets of numbers coincide by theorems 2.10 and 2.14. However, only in the complex picture have we defined numbers $N_{\text{cplx}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ corresponding to curves with specified contact orders that satisfied the Caporaso-Harris formula. It is the goal of this paper to establish the same thing for the tropical and lattice path set-ups.


3. THE CAPORASO-HARRIS FORMULA IN THE LATTICE PATH SET-UP

3.1. Generalized lattice paths. We will now slightly generalize the definitions of section 2.3 in order to allow more lattice paths and arrive at lattice path analogues of the numbers $N_{\text{cplx}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$. This concept can be used for any polygon Δ together with a distinguished boundary edge s , however, in order to avoid too much notation we present it only for the triangle Δ_d with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, d)$, $(d, 0)$. Let $s = \{x = 0\}$ be the left edge of Δ_d (from $(0, 0)$ to $(0, d)$). Choose two sequences α and β with $I\alpha + I\beta = d$. As above let $\lambda(x, y) = x - \varepsilon y$ where ε is a small number.

Let $\gamma : [0, n] \rightarrow \Delta_d$ be a λ -increasing path with $\gamma(0) = (0, d - I\alpha) = (0, I\beta)$ and $\gamma(n) = q = (d, 0)$. We are going to define a multiplicity for such a path γ . Again this multiplicity will be the product of a “positive” and a “negative” multiplicity that we define separately.

Definition 3.1

Let $\delta_\beta : [0, |\beta| + d] \rightarrow \Delta_d$ be a path such that the image $\delta_\beta([0, |\beta| + d])$ is equal to the piece of boundary of Δ_d between $(0, I\beta)$ and $q = (d, 0)$, and such that there are β_i steps (i.e. images of a size one interval $[j, j + 1]$) of integer length i at the side s (and hence at $\{y = 0\}$ only steps of integer length 1). We define the negative multiplicity $\mu_{\beta, -}(\delta_\beta)$ of all such paths to be 1. For example, the following picture shows all paths δ_β for $\beta = (2, 1)$ and $d = 5$:



Using these starting paths the *negative multiplicity* $\mu_{\beta,-}(\gamma)$ of an arbitrary path as above is now defined recursively by the same procedure as in definition 2.12 (b).

Definition 3.2

To compute the *positive multiplicity* $\mu_{\alpha,+}(\gamma)$ we extend γ to a path $\gamma_\alpha : [0, |\alpha| + n] \rightarrow \Delta_d$ by adding α_i steps of integer length i at $\{x = 0\}$ from $\gamma_\alpha(0) = p$ to $\gamma_\alpha(|\alpha|) = (0, I\beta)$. Then we compute $\mu_+(\gamma_\alpha)$ as in definition 2.12 and set $\mu_{\alpha,+}(\gamma) := \frac{1}{I\alpha} \cdot \mu_+(\gamma_\alpha)$. Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of the order of the steps of size i that we add to get γ_α .

We can now define the analogue of relative Gromov-Witten invariants in the lattice path set-up.


Definition 3.3

Let $d \geq 0$ and g be integers, and let α and β be sequences with $I\alpha + I\beta = d$. We define $N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g)$ to be the number of λ -increasing paths $\gamma : [0, 2d+g+|\beta|-1] \rightarrow \Delta_d$ that start at $(0, d - I\alpha) = (0, I\beta)$ and end at $(d, 0)$, where each such path is counted with multiplicity $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\gamma) := \mu_{\alpha,+}(\gamma) \cdot \mu_{\beta,-}(\gamma)$.

Note that as expected (i.e. as in the complex case) we always have $N_{\text{path}}(d, g) = N_{\text{path}}^{(0),(d)}(d, g)$ by definition.

Example 3.4

The following picture shows that $N_{\text{path}}^{(0,1),(1)}(3, 0) = 4 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 2 = 10$:



3.2. The Caporaso-Harris formula. We are now ready to prove the Caporaso-Harris formula for the numbers of definition 3.3:

Theorem 3.5

The numbers $N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g)$ satisfy the Caporaso-Harris formula. In particular we have $N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g) = N_{\text{cplx}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g)$ for all d, g, α, β .

Proof:

The idea of the proof is simply to list the possibilities of the first step of the path

γ . Let γ be a λ -increasing path from $(0, I\beta)$ to $q = (d, 0)$. Then we have one of the following two cases:

Case 1: The point $\gamma(1)$ is on the line $s = \{x = 0\}$. Then $\gamma(1)$ must be $(0, I\beta - \beta_k)$ for some $\beta_k \neq 0$ as otherwise $\mu_{\beta,-}(\gamma)$ would be 0. It follows that $\gamma|_{[1, 2d+g+|\beta|-1]}$ is a path from $(0, d - I(\alpha + e_k))$ and with $\mu_{\alpha+e_k, \beta-e_k}(\gamma|_{[1, 2d+g+|\beta|-1]}) = k \cdot \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\gamma)$. Therefore the paths γ with $\gamma(1) \in s$ contribute

$$\sum_{k: \beta_k > 0} k \cdot N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha+e_k, \beta-e_k}(d, g)$$

to the number $N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$.


Case 2: The point $\gamma(1)$ is not on s . Then it must be on the line $\{x = 1\}$ as otherwise $\mu_{\beta,-}(\gamma)$ would be 0 (since we end up with a faster path than any δ_β). We can count the sum of the multiplicities of all paths such that $\gamma(1) \in \{x = 1\}$ as the sum of the multiplicities of the restriction $\gamma|_{[1, 2d+g+|\beta|-1]} \in \Delta_{d-1}$ times the multiplicity of the restriction $\gamma|_{[0, 1]}$ (where by the multiplicity of $\gamma|_{[0, 1]}$ we mean the factor with which the multiplicity of a path in Δ_{d-1} is multiplied when $\gamma|_{[0, 1]}$ is added. This factor does not depend on the path in Δ_{d-1}). So the contribution of the paths with $\gamma(1) \notin s$ to $N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ is

$$\sum (\text{multiplicity of } \gamma|_{[0, 1]}) \cdot N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha', \beta'}(d-1, g')$$

where the sum is taken over all possible α', β' and g' . So we have to determine the possible values for α', β' and g' , and the multiplicity of the piece with one step from $(0, I\beta)$ to $\{x = 1\}$.

If $\gamma(1) \in \{x = 1\}$ then there are $I\beta$ points on s which are not passed by γ . Theorem 4.2 will tell us that γ is a tree marking the dual of the pointed edges of a tropical curve. Then by [Mik03] proposition 4.21 the points on s which are not passed by γ must belong to a parallelogram in the Newton subdivision of the tropical curve. Hence we can conclude that $\beta' \geq \beta$ (otherwise, the parallelograms would not fit in). Furthermore, there are $\binom{\beta'}{\beta}$ possibilities to draw the parallelograms. Each step of length i in $\{x = 1\}$ which does not belong to a parallelogram (which happens for $\beta'_i - \beta_i$ steps) belongs to a triangle of multiplicity i . With the same argument as before we also have $\binom{\alpha}{\alpha'}$ possibilities to draw parallelograms for the points on $\{x = 1\}$ which are not passed by γ . The possibilities for the Newton subdivision times the multiplicity of the tropical curves gives us the multiplicity of the path. Altogether, the multiplicity of the piece from $(0, I\beta)$ to $\{x = 1\}$ is $\binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \binom{\beta'}{\beta} \cdot I^{\beta' - \beta}$.

An example for the case $\beta = (2, 1)$ and $\beta' = (4, 1)$ (where $\binom{\beta'}{\beta} \cdot I^{\beta' - \beta} = 6$) is shown in the following picture:



Of course, $I\alpha' + I\beta' = d - 1$ must be fulfilled. As $\gamma|_{[1, 2d+g+|\beta|-1]}$ has one step less than γ we know that $2d + g + |\beta| - 1 - 1 = 2(d - 1) + g' + |\beta'| - 1$ and hence $g - g' = |\beta' - \beta| - 1$. A path $\epsilon : [0, n] \rightarrow \Delta$ from $(0, I\beta)$ to q that meets all lattice points of Δ (i.e. $(0, d) \cup (0, d - 1) \cup \dots \cup (0, I\beta) \cup \epsilon(\{0, 1, \dots, n\}) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \Delta$) has $|\beta| + d(d+1)/2$ steps. As γ has $2d + g - 1 + |\beta|$ steps, $|\beta| + d(d+1)/2 - (2d + g - 1 + |\beta|) = (d - 1)(d - 2)/2 - g$ lattice points are missed by γ . But $\gamma|_{[1, 2d+g+|\beta|-1]}$ cannot miss more points, therefore $(d - 2)(d - 3)/2 - g' \leq (d - 1)(d - 2)/2 - g$, i.e. $d - 2 \geq g - g'$. \square

Remark 3.6

The same argument can also be applied to other polygons Δ . For example, the analogous recursion formula for $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, i.e. for a $d' \times d$ rectangle $\Delta_{(d', d)}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha, \beta}((d', d), g) &= \sum_{k: \beta_k > 0} k \cdot N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha + e_k, \beta - e_k}((d', d), g) \\ &\quad + \sum I^{\beta' - \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \binom{\beta'}{\beta} N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha', \beta'}((d' - 1, d), g') \end{aligned}$$

for all α, β with $I\alpha + I\beta = d$, where the second sum is taken over all α', β', g' such that $\alpha \leq \alpha'$, $\beta' \geq \beta$, $I\alpha' + I\beta' = d$, $g - g' \leq d - 1$ and $|\beta - \beta'| = g' - g - 1$.

4. THE CAPORASO-HARRIS FORMULA IN THE TROPICAL SET-UP

4.1. Relative Gromov-Witten invariants in tropical geometry. We will now define the analogues of the numbers $N_{\text{plx}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ in terms of tropical curves. The definition is quite straightforward:

Definition 4.1

Let C be a simple tropical curve of degree Δ_d and genus g with α_i fixed and β_i non-fixed unbounded ends to the left of weight i for all i . We define the (α, β) -multiplicity of C to be

$$\text{mult}_{\alpha, \beta}(C) := \frac{1}{I^\alpha} \cdot \text{mult}(C)$$

where $\text{mult}(C)$ is the usual multiplicity as in definition 2.7 (f).

Let $d \geq 0$ and g be integers, and let α and β be sequences with $I\alpha + I\beta = d$. Then we define $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ to be the number of tropical curves of degree Δ_d and genus g with α_i fixed and β_i non-fixed unbounded ends to the left of weight i for all i that pass in addition through a set \mathcal{P} of $2d + g + |\beta| - 1$ points in general position. The curves are to be counted with their respective (α, β) -multiplicities. By [GM05] this definition does not depend on the choice of marked points and fixed unbounded ends.

First of all we will show that this definition actually coincides with the lattice path construction of definition 3.3:

Theorem 4.2

For all d, g, α, β we have $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g) = N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$.

Proof:

The proof is analogous to the proof of [Mik03] theorem 2. As usual we choose $\lambda(x, y) = x - \varepsilon y$. Let \mathcal{P} be a set of $2d + g + |\beta| - 1$ points on a line H orthogonal

to the kernel of λ such that the distance between p_i and p_{i+1} is much bigger than the distance of p_{i-1} and p_i for all i , and such that all points lie below the fixed ends. In other words, if the fixed ends have the y -coordinates $y_1, \dots, y_{|\alpha|}$ then the y -coordinates of p_i are chosen to be less than all $y_1, \dots, y_{|\alpha|}$. Our aim is to show that the number of tropical curves through this special configuration is equal to the number of lattice paths as in section 3. Let C be a tropical curve with the right properties through this set of points. Mark the points where H intersects the fixed ends. The proof of [Mik03] theorem 2 tells us that the edges of Δ (dual to the edges of the curves where they meet \mathcal{P} and the new marked points) form a λ -increasing path from $p = (0, d)$ to $q = (d, 0)$. The fact that the fixed ends lie above all other points tells us that the path starts with α_i steps of lengths i . So we can cut the first part and get a path from $(0, I\beta)$ to q with the right properties.

Next, let a path $\gamma : [0, 2d + g + |\beta| - 1] \rightarrow \Delta_d$ be given that starts at $(0, d - I\alpha)$ and ends at q . Extend γ to a path $\gamma_\alpha : [0, |\alpha| + n] \rightarrow \Delta_d$ by adding α_i steps of integer length i at $\{x = 0\}$ from $\gamma_\alpha(0) = p$ to $\gamma_\alpha(|\alpha|) = (0, I\beta)$. Add the steps of integer lengths i in an order corresponding to the order of the fixed ends. The recursive definition of $\mu_{\beta,-}(\gamma_\alpha)$ corresponds to counting the possibilities for a dual tropical curve in the half plane below H through \mathcal{P} . Passing from γ_α to γ'_α and γ''_α corresponds to counting the possibilities in a strip between H and a parallel shift of H . We end up with a path δ_- which begins with α_i steps of length i and continues with β_i steps of lengths i . This shows that all possible dual curves have the right horizontal ends. Furthermore, $\mu_{\beta,-}(\gamma_\alpha)$ coincides with the number of possible combinatorial types of the curve in the half plane below H times the multiplicity of the part of the curve in the half plane below H . With the same arguments we get that $\mu_{\alpha,+}(\gamma_\alpha)$ is equal to the number of possibilities for the combinatorial type times the multiplicity in the upper half plane. Altogether, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\text{path}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g) &= \sum_{\gamma} \text{mult}_{\alpha,\beta}(\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{I^\alpha} \sum_{\gamma} \text{mult}_{\beta,-}(\gamma_\alpha) \cdot \text{mult}_{\alpha,+}(\gamma_\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{I^\alpha} \sum_C \text{mult}(C) = \sum_C \text{mult}_{\alpha,\beta}(C) \\ &= N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g), \end{aligned}$$

where C runs over all tropical curves with the right properties and γ runs over all paths with the right properties. \square

4.2. The Caporaso-Harris formula. Of course it follows from theorems 3.5 and 4.2 that the numbers $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g)$ satisfy the Caporaso-Harris formula. In this section we will prove this statement directly without using Newton polyhedra and lattice paths. The advantage of this method is that it may be possible to generalize it to curves in higher-dimensional varieties (where the concept of Newton polyhedra cannot be used to describe tropical curves).

Theorem 4.3

The numbers $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g)$ satisfy the Caporaso-Harris formula.

constant that depends only on the degree of the curves. So we can find $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ (that depend only on d) such that the interval $[a, b]$ is disjoint from $[-N, x_1] \cup [x_2, -\varepsilon]$, or in other words such that there are no non-horizontal edges in $[a, b] \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. In particular, there are then no vertices of C in $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence we see that the curve C must look as in the picture above: we can “cut” it at any line $x = x_0$ with $a < x_0 < b$ and obtain curves on both sides of this line that are joined only by horizontal lines.

There are now two cases to distinguish:

- (a) p_1 lies on a horizontal non-fixed end of C . Then the region where $x \leq -\varepsilon$ consists of only horizontal lines. We can hence consider C as having one more fixed end at p_1 and passing through $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{p_1\}$. We just have to multiply with the weight of this end, as the multiplicity of curves with fixed ends is defined as $\frac{1}{I\alpha} \cdot \text{mult}(C)$. Therefore the contribution of these curves to $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, g)$ is

$$\sum_{k: \beta_k > 0} k \cdot N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha + e_k, \beta - e_k}(d, g).$$

- (b) p_1 does not lie on a horizontal end of C (as in the picture above). Then C can be separated into a left and a right part as above. As the left part contains only one marked point it follows again by [GM05] remark 3.4 that the left part has exactly one end in direction $(0, -1)$ and $(1, 1)$ each, together with some more horizontal ends.

Hence the curve on the right must have degree $d - 1$. Let us denote this curve by C' .

How many possibilities are there for C' ? Assume that $\alpha' \leq \alpha$ of the fixed horizontal ends only intersect the part $C \setminus C'$ and are not adjacent to a 3-valent vertex of $C \setminus C'$. Then C' has α' fixed horizontal ends. There are $\binom{\alpha}{\alpha'}$ possibilities for this case. C' has $d - 1 - I\alpha'$ non-fixed horizontal ends. Let β' be a sequence which fulfills $I\beta' = d - 1 - I\alpha'$, hence a possible choice of weights for the non-fixed ends of C' . Assume that $\beta'' \leq \beta'$ of these ends are adjacent to a 3-valent vertex of $C \setminus C'$ whereas $\beta' - \beta''$ ends intersect $C \setminus C'$. Then the genus g' of C' is equal to $g' = g - (|\beta'| - 1)$ since we cut $|\beta'| - 1$ circles as in the picture above (at least if C and C' are both irreducible. If not, the number of circles is equal to $|\beta'| - 1$ minus the number of components of C' , but the genus of C' is the number of circles of C' minus the number of components too, and therefore the equation is still fulfilled). On the other hand C' is fixed by $n - 1 = |\beta| + 2d + g - 1 - 1$ points, therefore $|\beta'| + 2(d - 1) + g' - 1$ must be equal to $n - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta'| + 2(d - 1) + g' - 1 &= |\beta| + 2d + g - 1 - 1 \\ \Rightarrow g' &= g - |\beta' - \beta| + 1 \\ \Rightarrow |\beta' - \beta| &= |\beta''|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|\beta|$ ends intersect $C \setminus C'$ and $|\beta' - \beta|$ ends are adjacent to a 3-valent vertex of $C \setminus C'$. There are $\binom{\beta'}{\beta}$ possibilities for this, and $\text{mult}_{\alpha, \beta}(C) = I^{\beta' - \beta} \text{mult}_{\alpha', \beta'}(C')$ due to the 3-valent vertices which are not part of C' . Furthermore, $g - g' \leq d - 2$ as at most $d - 2$ circles may be cut.

The sum of these two contributions gives the required Caporaso-Harris formula. \square

4.3. The tropical Caporaso-Harris formula for irreducible curves. So far we have only considered not necessarily irreducible curves since this case is much simpler (the irreducibility condition is hard to keep track of when we split a curve into two parts as in the proof of theorem 4.3). In this section we want to show how the ideas of section 4.2 can be carried over to the case of irreducible curves.

Definition 4.4

Let $N_{\text{trop}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(d, g)$ be the number of irreducible tropical curves of degree Δ_d and genus g with α_i fixed and β_i non-fixed horizontal left ends of weight i for all i that pass in addition through a set of $|\beta| + 2d + g - 1$ points in general position. Again, the curves are to be counted with their (α, β) -multiplicity as of definition 4.1. As in definition 4.1 it follows from [GM05] that these numbers do not depend on the choice of the points and the positions of the fixed ends.

Theorem 4.5

The numbers $N_{\text{trop}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(d, g)$ satisfy the recursion relations

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\text{trop}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(d, g) &= \sum_{k:\beta_k > 0} k \cdot N_{\text{trop}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha+e_k, \beta-e_k)}(d, g) \\ &\quad + \sum \frac{1}{\sigma} \binom{2d+g+|\beta|-2}{2d_1+g_1+|\beta^1|-1, \dots, 2d_k+g_k+|\beta^k|-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \binom{\alpha}{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\binom{\beta^j}{\beta^j - \beta^{j'}} \cdot I^{\beta^{j'}} \cdot N_{\text{trop}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha^j, \beta^j)}(d_j, g_j) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the second sum taken over all collections of integers d_1, \dots, d_k and g_1, \dots, g_k and all collections of sequences $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k$, β^1, \dots, β^k and $\beta^{1'}, \dots, \beta^{k'}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^k &\leq \alpha; \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^k &= \beta + \beta^{1'} + \dots + \beta^{k'}; \\ |\beta^{j'}| &> 0; \\ d_1 + \dots + d_k &= d - 1; \\ g - (g_1 + \dots + g_k) &= |\beta^{1'} + \dots + \beta^{k'}| + k. \end{aligned}$$

Here as usual $\binom{n}{a_1, \dots, a_k}$ denotes the multinomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{a_1, \dots, a_k} = \frac{n!}{a_1! \cdot \dots \cdot a_k! (n - a_1 - \dots - a_k)!}$$

and correspondingly, for sequences $\alpha, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k$ the multinomial coefficient is

$$\binom{\alpha}{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k} = \prod_i \binom{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i^1, \dots, \alpha_i^k}.$$

The number σ is defined as follows: Define an equivalence relation on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ by $i \sim j$ if $d_i = d_j$, $g_i = g_j$, $\alpha^i = \alpha^j$, $\beta^i = \beta^j$ and $\beta^{i'} = \beta^{j'}$. Then σ is the product of the factorials of the cardinalities of the equivalence classes.

Note that this recursion formula coincides with the corresponding Caporaso-Harris formula for irreducible curves (see [CH98] section 1.4).

Proof:

The proof is analogous to that of theorem 4.3. Fix a set $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ with p_1 very far left as in the proof of theorem 4.3. Let C be an irreducible tropical curve with the right properties through the points. The first term in the recursion formula (that corresponds to curves with only horizontal lines in the area where $x \leq -\varepsilon$) follows in the same way as in theorem 4.3. So assume that p_1 does not lie on a horizontal end of C . As before we get a curve C' of degree $d - 1$ to the right of the cut. The curve C' does not need to be irreducible however. It can split in k irreducible components C_1, \dots, C_k of degree d_1, \dots, d_k . Then $d_1 + \dots + d_k = d - 1$. How many possibilities are there for C_1, \dots, C_k ? Assume that α^j of the fixed horizontal ends only intersect the part $C \setminus C'$ and are not adjacent to a 3-valent vertex of $C \setminus C'$, but are adjacent to a 3-valent vertex of C_j . Then the irreducible tropical curve C_j has α^j fixed horizontal ends. Furthermore, $\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^k \leq \alpha$, and there are $\binom{\alpha}{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k}$ possibilities for this. Let C_j have β^j more horizontal ends satisfying $|\beta^j| + |\alpha^j| = d_j$. Then C_j is fixed by $2d_j + g_j + |\beta^j| - 1$ points, where g_j denotes the genus of C_j . Therefore, there are

$$\binom{2d + g + |\beta| - 2}{2d_1 + g_1 + |\beta^1| - 1, \dots, 2d_k + g_k + |\beta^k| - 1}$$

possibilities to distribute the points p_2, \dots, p_n on the components C_1, \dots, C_k . Assume that $\beta^{j'}$ of the β^j ends are adjacent to a 3-valent vertex of $C \setminus C'$ whereas $\beta^j - \beta^{j'}$ ends just intersect $C \setminus C'$. There are $\binom{\beta^j}{\beta^j - \beta^{j'}}$ possibilities for this, and the product of the multiplicities of the 3-valent vertices of $C \setminus C'$ is $I^{\beta^{j'}}$. As C is irreducible we must have $|\beta^{j'}| > 0$ as otherwise C_j would form a separate component of C . For each C_j we see that $|\beta^{j'}| - 1$ circles are cut (as in the picture in the proof of theorem 4.3). Hence, $g = \sum g_j + \sum (|\beta^{j'}| - 1) = \sum g_j + \sum |\beta^{j'}| - k$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2d + g + |\beta| - 1 - 1 &= \sum 2d_j + g_j + |\beta^j| - 1 \\ \Rightarrow 2(d - 1) + \sum g_j + \sum |\beta^{j'}| - k + |\beta| &= 2(d - 1) + \sum g_j - k + \sum |\beta^j| \\ \Rightarrow |\beta| + \sum |\beta^{j'}| &= \sum |\beta^j| \\ \Rightarrow \beta + \sum \beta^{j'} &= \sum \beta^j \end{aligned}$$

This proves the recursion formula except for the factor $\frac{1}{\sigma}$. This factor is simply needed because up to now we count different curves if two components C_i and C_j of C' are identical, depending on whether C_i is the i -th component or C_j is the i -th component. Therefore we have to divide by σ . \square

5. A GENERALIZATION OF MIKHALKIN'S CORRESPONDENCE THEOREM

5.1. Introduction. The goal of this last section is to generalize the Correspondence Theorem 2.10 to our "relative Gromov-Witten invariants", i.e. to prove directly (without reference to the Caporaso-Harris formula and without using the

numerical values of the invariants) that the numbers $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g)$ and $N_{\text{cplx}}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g)$ coincide. Actually, we will prove this statement not only for complex curves in \mathbb{P}^2 (i.e. for tropical curves of degree Δ_d) but for more general toric surfaces.

During the whole section (x, y) will denote the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^2 , and (z, w) will denote the coordinates of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. Let Δ be a lattice polygon. We assume that Δ has a side s parallel to $\{x = 0\}$ because then we can fix the line $\{z = 0\}$ for multiplicity conditions. Let d be the integer length of s (e.g. if $\Delta = \Delta_d$ is the triangle corresponding to \mathbb{P}^2 then d is just the degree of the curves we are counting). The following definition is an obvious generalization of definitions 2.2, 4.1, and 4.4.

Definition 5.1

Let Δ be a lattice polygon as above that has $e + 1$ points on the sides different from s . Fix two sequences α and β such that $I\alpha + I\beta = d$. We denote by $N_{\text{cplx}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta, g)$ the number of complex curves of genus g and degree Δ in the toric surface defined by Δ and with contact order i in α_i fixed and β_i arbitrary points to the toric divisor s that pass in addition through $e + g - 1 + |\beta|$ points in general position. Let $N_{\text{cplx}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(\Delta, g)$ denote the number these curves that are in addition irreducible.

We let $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta, g)$ and $N_{\text{trop}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(\Delta, g)$ be the number of (irreducible) tropical curves of genus g and degree Δ with α_i fixed and β_i non-fixed ends of weight i in the direction determined by s that pass in addition through $e + g - 1 + |\beta|$ points in general position. The curves are to be counted with the appropriate multiplicities as in definition 4.1.

Theorem 5.2 (“Generalized Correspondence Theorem”)

We have $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta, g) = N_{\text{cplx}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta, g)$ and $N_{\text{trop}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(\Delta, g) = N_{\text{cplx}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(\Delta, g)$ for all Δ, g, α, β .

The proof we are going to present here is closely related to Mikhalkin’s proof. Let \mathcal{Q} be a set of n points in general position in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ such that $\mathcal{P} = \text{Log}(\mathcal{Q})$ (where $\text{Log} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 : (z, w) \mapsto (\log |z|, \log |w|)$) is in tropical general position in \mathbb{R}^2 . By [GM05] we know that there are only finitely many tropical curves of degree Δ and genus g with $e + |\beta| + |\alpha|$ ends (β_i horizontal ends to the left of weight i , and α_i fixed horizontal ends to the left of weight i) passing through \mathcal{P} . Call these tropical curves C_1, \dots, C_r . (Note that r need not be equal to $N_{\text{trop}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta, g)$, and it can differ for different positions of \mathcal{P} .) Mikhalkin’s proof is divided into two main parts: In the first part he considers J_t -holomorphic curves, which are in some sense limits of complex curves, and he proves that the amoebas of these limits of complex curves of degree Δ and genus g passing through \mathcal{Q} lie within a small neighborhood of C_j for some j . In the second part he proves that for each C_j there are $\text{mult}(C_j)$ amoebas of J_t -holomorphic curves in a neighborhood of C_j . Our proof will be divided analogously.

Definition 5.3

Let $t > 1$ be a real number. Define

$$H_t : (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 : (z, w) \mapsto (|z|^{\frac{1}{\log t}} \frac{z}{|z|}, |w|^{\frac{1}{\log t}} \frac{w}{|w|}).$$

A J_t -holomorphic curve V_t is the image $V_t = H_t(V)$ of a holomorphic curve V . The degree and genus of V_t are defined as the degree and genus of V .

Proposition 5.4

For almost all $t > 1$ there are $N^{\alpha, \beta}(\Delta, g)$ J_t -holomorphic curves of degree Δ and genus g through \mathcal{Q} with contact order i with $\{z = 0\}$ in $\alpha_i + \beta_i$ points, from which α_i are fixed.

Proof:

Both H_t and H_t^{-1} do not change the property of a higher contact order with $\{z = 0\}$, as $\{z = 0\}$ is fixed by (the extension to \mathbb{C}^2 of) H_t . Therefore the number of J_t -holomorphic curves through \mathcal{Q} with the required properties is equal to the number of holomorphic curves with the same properties through $H_t^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})$. But $H_t^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})$ is in general position for almost all t . \square

5.2. Amoebas of J_t -holomorphic curves with higher contact order to the toric divisor corresponding to s . In this section we generalize [Mik03] lemma 8.3:

Lemma 5.5

If t is large enough then for all J_t -holomorphic curves W of genus g and degree Δ passing through \mathcal{Q} and with contact order i with the toric divisor corresponding to s in α_i fixed and β_i arbitrary points the amoeba $\text{Log}(W)$ is contained in a small neighborhood of C_j for some j .

In order to prove this lemma we only need to see that the amoebas of J_t -holomorphic curves with the right properties are close to tropical curves with α_i fixed and β_i non-fixed horizontal ends of weight i . With the help of the proof of [Mik03] lemma 8.3 it follows that the tropical curves are of the right genus and degree (and therefore one of the C_j). If $(W_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of curves with the right properties and such that W_k is J_{t_k} -holomorphic ($t_k \rightarrow \infty$) then C_j is actually the limit of the spines of a subsequence of W_k (see [Mik03] proposition 8.7). Therefore we only need to see that the spines of the amoebas of J_t -holomorphic curves have α_i fixed and β_i non-fixed horizontal ends of weight i . The spine is the tropical curve dual to a special Newton subdivision of Δ which is found by adding a lattice point called the order for every connected component of the complement of the amoeba. Let W be a J_t -holomorphic curve. Then W is given by a polynomial $f(w, z)$. The contact order conditions for W at $\{z = 0\}$ tell us that there exists a polynomial g such that

$$f(z, w) = z \cdot g(z, w) + \prod_{j=1}^r (w - p_j)^{m_j}$$

where the $(0, p_i)$ are the points of higher contact order with the toric divisor $\{z = 0\}$, sorted so that $|p_1| < \dots < |p_r|$, and the m_j are the contact orders. The amoeba \mathcal{A}_f is given as $\text{Log}(\{f(z, w) = 0\})$. Let K be a connected component of the complement $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}_f$. Then the order $\nu(K)$ is a lattice point in Δ . For a precise definition use [FPT00]. We are going to use the following lemma (2.2) of [FPT00]:

Lemma 5.6

Let u be a point in a complement $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}_f$ of an amoeba \mathcal{A}_f , let $\log(c) = u$, and

denote by $\nu(K)$ the order of the complement containing u . For all integer vectors $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ the canonical scalar product $\langle v, \nu(K) \rangle$ is equal to the number of zeros of the polynomial $w \mapsto f(c_1 w^{v_1}, c_2 w^{v_2})$ in the disc $|w| < 1$.

Lemma 5.7

Let W be a J_t -holomorphic curve with α_i fixed and β_i non-fixed points of contact order i with $\{z = 0\}$. Then the spine of the amoeba of W has α_i fixed and β_i non-fixed horizontal ends of weight i .

Proof:


As W intersects $\{z = 0\}$ in $|\beta| + |\alpha|$ points the amoeba has $|\beta| + |\alpha|$ tentacles in the direction of $\log(\{z = 0\}) = \{x \rightarrow -\infty\}$, and the tentacles are close to $y = \log(|p_j|)$. Therefore there are $|\beta| + |\alpha| + 1$ complements on the left part of the amoeba. We compute the order of each of these complements with the help of lemma 5.6. Let K be the complement between the tentacles close to $\log(|p_k|)$ and $\log(|p_{k+1}|)$. Let $c \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ be a point such that $\log(c) \in K$. We can choose c_1 close to zero so that $\log(c)$ is a point on the far left. Furthermore, $|p_k| < |c| < |p_{k+1}|$. Let $v = (1, 0)$ and $v' = (0, 1)$. The number of zeros of $f(c_1 w^{v_1}, c_2 w^{v_2})$ in $|w| < 1$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\text{zeros of } f(c_1 w^{v_1}, c_2 w^{v_2})\} &= \#\{\text{zeros of } f(c_1 w, c_2)\} \\ &= \#\{\text{zeros of } c_1 w \cdot g(c_1 w, c_2) + \prod (c_2 - p_j)^{m_j}\} \\ &= \#\{\text{zeros of } \prod (c_2 - p_j)^{m_j}\} \end{aligned}$$

as c_1 is close to zero. But c_2 is not equal to any of the p_j , so this polynomial does not have any zeros, and therefore the first component of the order is zero. The number of zeros of $f(c_1 w^{v'_1}, c_2 w^{v'_2})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\text{zeros of } f(c_1 w^{v'_1}, c_2 w^{v'_2})\} &= \#\{\text{zeros of } f(c_1, c_2 w)\} \\ &= \#\{\text{zeros of } c_1 \cdot g(c_1, c_2 w) + \prod (c_2 w - p_j)^{m_j}\} \\ &= \#\{\text{zeros of } \prod (c_2 w - p_j)^{m_j}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This polynomial has m_j zeros at $w = \frac{p_j}{c_2}$, and we have $|w| < 1$ if $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $|w| > 1$ if $j = k + 1, \dots, r$. So the polynomial has $m_1 + \dots + m_k$ zeros in $|w| < 1$. Hence the second component of the order is $m_1 + \dots + m_k$. The following picture then shows that the dual tropical curve (i.e. the spine) has the the right number of horizontal ends of weight i . Furthermore, if p_j was a fixed point then also the end at $y = \log(|p_j|)$ is fixed.



□

5.3. The number of amoebas of J_t -holomorphic curves in a neighborhood of C_j . In this subsection, we generalize the proof of [Mik03] lemma 8.4. In this proof Mikhalkin shows that for each C_j there are $\frac{\text{mult}(C_j)}{\mu_{\text{edge}}(C_j, \mathcal{P})}$ complex tropical curves (see [Mik03] definition 6.2) projecting to C_j , and that for each of these complex tropical curves there are $\mu_{\text{edge}}(C_j, \mathcal{P})$ (see [Mik03] proposition 6.18) J_t -holomorphic curves nearby. These J_t -holomorphic curves are found by gluing pieces with the help of Viro’s patchworking principle. In order to generalize these ideas to our case we first need to generalize the definition of edge multiplicity.

Definition 5.8

Let C be a tropical curve and $\mathcal{P} \subset C$ a set of points. Then the edge multiplicity $\mu_{\text{edge}}(C, \mathcal{P})$ is defined as the product of the weights of all edges that are bounded and disjoint from \mathcal{P} or unbounded and not disjoint from \mathcal{P} , times the product of the squares of the weights of all edges that are bounded and not disjoint from \mathcal{P} .


The following proposition generalizes [Mik03] proposition 6.18.

Proposition 5.9

Let $\mathcal{Q} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ and $\mathcal{P} = \text{Log}(\mathcal{Q})$ be in (usual respectively tropical) general position. Let C be a tropical curve through \mathcal{P} . Then there are $\frac{\text{mult}(C)}{\mu_{\text{edge}}(C, \mathcal{P})}$ different complex tropical curves V (see [Mik03] definition 6.2) of the same degree and genus as C through \mathcal{Q} and such that $\text{Log}(V) = C$.

Proof:

Let $h : \Gamma \rightarrow C$ be a parametrization of C . Due to [Mik03] proposition 4.20 the graph without the marked points $\Gamma \setminus h^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ decomposes in components that are trees with one unbounded edge. Let K be one of these components. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$ be the endpoints of two edges adjacent to the same 3-valent vertex, and let $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ be the dual triangle.



Then [Mik03] proposition 6.17 tells us that there are $\frac{2 \text{Area}(\Delta')}{\omega_A \omega_B}$ complex tropical curves such that their image under Log is dual to Δ' , where ω_A and ω_B denote the weights of the edges through A and B respectively. The result now follows by induction. □


Now analogously to Mikhalkin’s proof we have to see that for each of these complex tropical curves there are $\mu_{\text{edge}}(C, \mathcal{P})$ J_t -holomorphic curves with the required properties nearby. In order to see this we use Mikhalkin’s theorem. To be able to use it we need to modify the Newton polygon such that there are no edges of integer length bigger than 1 in the boundary. The polygon Δ has $\beta_i + \alpha_i$ edges of length i at $\{x = 0\}$.

Lemma 5.10

Let C be one of the tropical curves C_j through \mathcal{P} . If t is large enough then for each of the complex tropical curves V projecting to C there are exactly $\mu_{\text{edge}}(C, \mathcal{P})$ J_t -holomorphic curves of genus g and degree Δ through \mathcal{Q} and with the right contact orders to the toric divisor corresponding to s in a small neighborhood of V .

Proof:

We enlarge Δ to a polygon Δ' by adding another point such that Δ' has no edges of higher integer length.



For this new polygon Δ' and for all tropical curves C' dual to Δ' we know that

$$\mu_{\text{edge}}(C', \mathcal{P}') = \mu_{\text{edge}}(C, \mathcal{P}) \cdot \prod (\beta_i \cdot \alpha_i)$$

because we transferred the unbounded edges of weight β_i and α_i to bounded edges (\mathcal{P}' is just \mathcal{P} plus another point condition on the new edges, such that we have finitely many tropical curves of Δ'). The multiplicity of C' is

$$\text{mult}(C') = \prod (\beta_i \cdot \alpha_i) \cdot \text{mult}(C)$$

as we add triangles of these areas. Therefore there are

$$\frac{\text{mult}(C')}{\mu_{\text{edge}}(C', \mathcal{P}')} = \frac{\text{mult}(C)}{\mu_{\text{edge}}(C, \mathcal{P})}$$

complex tropical curves projecting to C' . By the proof of [Mik03] lemma 8.4 each of these complex tropical curves give rise to

$$\mu_{\text{edge}}(C', \mathcal{P}') = \mu_{\text{edge}}(C, \mathcal{P}) \cdot \prod (\beta_i \cdot \alpha_i)$$

J_t -holomorphic curves in a neighborhood. These J_t -holomorphic curves arise as the gluing of little pieces corresponding to subpolygons of Δ' . If we set the gluing of the pieces corresponding to the new triangles of Δ' aside (i.e. corresponding to $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m$) we get J_t -holomorphic curves near the complex tropical curves projecting to C . Furthermore, these J_t -holomorphic curves have the right contact orders to the toric divisor corresponding to s as otherwise the genus would not be correct (see [Mik03] remark 8.25). So for each complex tropical curve V projecting to C we have $\mu_{\text{edge}}(C', \mathcal{P}')$ of these J_t -holomorphic curves with the right properties. However we count some of them too often: when gluing the pieces corresponding to the new triangles $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m$ there are different possibilities to glue. Assume Δ_i has a side of integer length l on the left. Then we have l different ways of gluing the piece corresponding to Δ_i to the J_t -holomorphic curve near a complex tropical curve projecting to C (see [Mik03] remark 8.25). Therefore, in order to get the

number of different J_t -holomorphic curves near V we have to divide by $\prod(\beta_i \cdot \alpha_i)$. So we get

$$\frac{\mu_{\text{edge}}(C', \mathcal{P}')}{\prod(\beta_i \cdot \alpha_i)} = \mu_{\text{edge}}(C, \mathcal{P})$$

different J_t -holomorphic curves with the right properties in a neighborhood of V . \square

The last statement of Theorem 5.2, namely that $N_{\text{trop}}^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(\Delta, g) = N^{\text{irr},(\alpha,\beta)}(\Delta, g)$, is now a direct consequence of [Mik03] corollary 8.15.

REFERENCES

- [CH98] Lucia Caporaso and Joe Harris, *Counting plane curves of any genus*, Invent. math. (1998).
- [FPT00] Mikael Forsberg, Mikael Passare, and August Tsikh, *Laurent determinants and arrangements of hyperplane amoebas*, Adv. in Math. (2000).
- [GM05] Andreas Gathmann and Hannah Markwig, *The number of tropical plane curves through points in general position*, preprint.
- [Mik03] Grigory Mikhalkin, *Enumerative tropical geometry in \mathbb{R}^2* , preprint math.AG/0312530.
- [NS04] Takeo Nishinou and Bernd Siebert, *Toric degenerations of toric varieties and tropical curves*, math.AG/0409060.
- [RGST03] Juergen Richter-Gebert, Bernd Sturmfels, and Thorsten Theobald, *First steps in tropical geometry*, "Idempotent Mathematics and Mathematical Physics", Proceedings Vienna (2003).