

Regular projectively Anosov flows on three manifolds

Masayuki ASAOKA *

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University,
and UMPA, ENS de Lyon
46 Allée d'Italie 69007 Lyon France
e-mail:asaoka@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

December 2, 2024

Abstract: We give the complete classification of C^2 -regular projectively Anosov flows on closed three dimensional manifolds. More precisely, we show that if the manifold is connected then such a flow must be either an Anosov flow or represented as a finite union of $T^2 \times I$ -models.

Applying our method to locally free actions of the affine group of the real line, we show that the orbit foliation of such an action is diffeomorphic to the weak-stable foliation of an algebraic Anosov flow.

Keywords: Anosov foliations, projectively Anosov system, conformally Anosov systems, bi-contact structures.

MSC2000: Primary 37D30, Secondary 57R30

1 Introduction

1.1 Regular projectively Anosov flows

In [16], Mitsumatsu introduced a *bi-contact structure* on a three dimensional manifold, that is, a pair of mutually transverse positive and negative contact structures. He observed that a three dimensional Anosov flow naturally defines a bi-contact structure whose intersection as a pair of plane fields is tangent to the flow. In general, the intersection of a bi-contact structure does not defines an Anosov flow. In fact, he showed that a bi-contact structure corresponds to a *projectively Anosov flow*, which is a generalization of an Anosov flow. In [10], Eliashberg and Thurston also studied bi-contact structures and projectively Anosov flows (*conformally Anosov flow* in their book) from the viewpoint of the confoliation theory. They observed that a bi-contact structure naturally appears in a linear deformation of a foliation into contact structures.

*Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (B) and JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad

A flow $\Phi = \{\Phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on a three dimensional manifold M is called a *projectively Anosov* flow (or a $\mathbb{P}A$ flow) if it has no stationary points and admits a decomposition $TM = E^u + E^s$ by continuous plane fields such that

- $E^u(z) \cap E^s(z) = T\Phi(z)$ for any $z \in M$, where $T\Phi$ is the line field tangent to the orbits of Φ ,
- $D\Phi^t(E^\sigma(z)) = E^\sigma(\Phi^t(z))$ for any $\sigma \in \{u, s\}$, $z \in M$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and
- there exist two constants $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$\|N\Phi^t|_{(E^s/T\Phi)(z)}\| \cdot \|(N\Phi^t|_{(E^u/T\Phi)(z)})^{-1}\| \leq C\lambda^{-t}$$

for any $z \in M$ and $t \geq 0$, where $N\Phi = \{N\Phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the flow on $TM/T\Phi$ by Φ .

We call the decomposition $TM = E^u + E^s$ a $\mathbb{P}A$ *splitting*. If it satisfies stronger inequalities

$$\|N\Phi^t|_{(E^s/T\Phi)(z)}\| \leq C\lambda^{-t}, \quad \|(N\Phi^t|_{(E^u/T\Phi)(z)})^{-1}\| \leq C\lambda^{-t}$$

for any $z \in M$ and $t \geq 0$, then the flow is called an *Anosov flow* and the splitting is called a *weak-Anosov splitting*¹. We remark that a $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting is a *dominated splitting* on the whole manifold. Such a splitting plays important roles in the modern theory of dynamical systems. See [5] for example.

Any $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting is integrable, however, is not smooth in general. A $\mathbb{P}A$ flow (or an Anosov flow) with a C^r -smooth $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting is called C^r -*regular*. When a $\mathbb{P}A$ flow is C^∞ -regular, we simply call it *regular*. From the viewpoint of the confoliation theory, a regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow corresponds to a linear deformation of a foliation into contact structures whose derivative generates another foliation (see [10, Proposition 2.2.3]) Remark that any orientable closed three dimensional manifold admits a smooth $\mathbb{P}A$ flow, but the three dimensional sphere admits no C^1 -regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow. See [17, Theorem 4.2.6 and Theorem 4.3.1].

In [12], Ghys classified three dimensional regular Anosov flows.

Theorem 1.1 ([12]). *Up to finite covering, any regular Anosov flow on a three dimensional closed manifold is smoothly equivalent to either the suspension flow of a hyperbolic toral automorphism or a quasi-Fuchsian flow on the unit tangent bundle of closed surface of genus greater than one.*

It is natural to ask whether regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flows also have similar classification. In [21], Noda showed that if a regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow on a \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over S^1 has an invariant torus, then it must be represented as a finite union of so-called $\mathbb{T}^2 \times I$ -models. Roughly speaking, a $\mathbb{T}^2 \times I$ -model is a flow on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$ which is transverse to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{z\}$ for any $z \in (0, 1)$ and is equivalent to a linear flow on each boundary. See [21] for the precise definition. After that, he and Tuboi gave a classification for certain manifolds, which can be summarized as follows.

¹It is different from but equivalent to the common definition of an Anosov flow as pointed out by Doering [9, Proposition 1.1].

Theorem 1.2 ([21],[22],[23], and [26]). *Any regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow on a Seifert manifold or a \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over S^1 must be either an Anosov flow or represented as a finite union of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times I$ -models.*

The author also approached the classification from another direction. In [2], he showed that any regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow on *any* closed three dimensional manifold without non-hyperbolic periodic orbits is equivalent to one of the above.

In [22], Noda conjectured that the above is the complete list of three dimensional regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flows. The goal of this paper is an affirmative solution of the conjecture.

Theorem 1.3. *Any regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow on a closed, connected, and three dimensional manifold must be either an Anosov flow or represented as a finite union of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times I$ models.*

The theorem gives an answer to a conjecture posed by Mitsumatsu (Conjecture 4.3.3 in [17]) immediately.

Corollary 1.4. *Any bi-contact structure associated with a regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow consists of tight contact structures.*

We give the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Sections 2 and 3. In Section 2, we show a dichotomy on dynamics of regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flows. Namely, either the flow is topologically transitive or the non-wandering set is the union of invariant tori with rotational dynamics. It is not so hard to see that the latter implies that the flow is represented by $\mathbb{T}^2 \times I$ -models. In Section 3, we show the former implies that the flow is Anosov. It is done by proving the hyperbolicity of all periodic orbits.

1.2 Locally free action of the affine group of the real line

Let GA be the group of orientation preserving affine transformations of \mathbb{R} . It is generated by two one-parameter subgroups $\{a^s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{b^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with a relation $a^s \cdot b^t = b^{\exp(s)t} \cdot a^s$. There are two important three-dimensional Lie groups that contains GA as a subgroup:

1. The group $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the universal cover of the special linear group of \mathbb{R}^2 .
2. A solvable group $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ associated with a homomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\psi(s)(x, y) = (\exp(s)x, \exp(-s)y)$.

An Anosov flow is called *algebraic* if it is generated by the natural action of $\{a^s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ on the quotient of one of the above groups by a co-compact lattice. It is known that such an Anosov flow is conjugate to the suspension flow of a hyperbolic toral automorphism or the geodesic flow on a closed surface with a hyperbolic metric up to finite cover.

In [11], Ghys showed that if a locally free action of GA on closed three manifolds preserves a continuous volume, then it is smoothly conjugate to the

natural action of GA on the quotient of one of the above groups by a co-compact lattice. In particular, its orbit foliation is diffeomorphic to the weak-stable foliation of an algebraic Anosov flow. However, it is still unknown whether every locally free actions of GA preserves a continuous volume or not.

As an application of our method, we classify the orbit foliations of locally free action of GA *without* the assumption on a continuous invariant volume.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that a closed three dimensional manifold M admits a smooth locally free action of GA. Then, its orbit foliation is smoothly conjugate to the weak-stable foliation of an algebraic Anosov flow up to finite cover.*

We remark that Ghys, in Chapter IV of [12], showed that the one-parameter subgroup $\{a^s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ generates a topologically transitive $\mathbb{P}A$ flow.

As we see in Section 4, we can reduce Theorem 1.5 to classification of *tangentially contracting flows*. Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation on a three dimensional manifold M . We say a flow Φ is *tangentially contracting* with respect to \mathcal{F} if there exist $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ such that $\|N\Phi^t|_{T\mathcal{F}/T\Phi(z)}\| \leq C\lambda^{-t}$ for any $z \in M$ and $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.6. *Let M be a closed three dimensional manifold and \mathcal{F} a C^2 codimension one foliation on M . Suppose that \mathcal{F} admits a C^2 tangentially contracting flow Φ . Then, Φ is topologically equivalent to an algebraic Anosov flow.*

We give the proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 in Section 4.

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank Professor Takashi Inaba, who pointed out that we can apply the stability theory and the level theory of Cantwell and Conlon in our proof. This paper was written while the author stayed at Unité de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon. He also thanks to the members of UMPA and especially to Professor Étienne Ghys for his warm hospitality.

2 A dichotomy on dynamics

In the rest of the article, we fix an orientable, closed, connected, and three dimensional manifold M . Let Φ be a C^2 $\mathbb{P}A$ flow on M and $TM = E^u + E^s$ its $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting. For a compact Φ -invariant set Λ , we define *the stable set* $W^s(\Lambda)$ and *the unstable set* $W^u(\Lambda)$ by

$$W^s(\Lambda) = \left\{ z \in M \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d(\Phi^t(z), \Lambda) = 0 \right\}$$

and $W^u(\Lambda) = W^s(\Lambda; \Phi^{-1})$, where Φ^{-1} is the time-reverse of Φ .

We call a Φ -invariant torus T is *normally attracting* if there exists $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ such that $\|N\Phi^t|_{E^s/T\Phi(z)}\| \leq C\lambda^{-t}$ for any $z \in T$ and $t \geq 0$. Remark that the existence of a $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting implies that our definition coincides with the usual definition. It is known that if T is a normally attracting invariant torus,

then $W^s(T)$ is an open neighborhood of T and is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. We call an invariant torus T' *normally repelling* if T' is normally attracting with respect to the time-reverse Φ^{-1} .

Let Ω_* be the union of invariant embedded tori on which the restriction of Φ are topologically equivalent to a linear flow. For $\rho \in \{u, s\}$, let Ω_*^ρ be the union of tori in Ω_* tangent to E^ρ . Remark that $\Omega_* = \Omega_*^u \cup \Omega_*^s$, Ω_*^u is a finite union of normally attracting Φ -invariant tori, and Ω_*^s is a finite union of normally repelling Φ -invariant tori.

The aim of this section is to show the following dichotomy.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that Φ is a C^2 -regular PA flow. Then, either*

1. Φ is topologically transitive, or
2. $M = W^s(\Omega_*^u) \cup \Omega_*^s = W^u(\Omega_*^s) \cup \Omega_*^u$.

It is not hard to show that the latter implies that Φ is equivalent to one of known models. That is,

Proposition 2.2. *If $M = W^s(\Omega_*^u) \cup \Omega_*^s = W^u(\Omega_*^s) \cup \Omega_*^u$, then Φ is represented by a finite union of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times I$ -models.*

Proof. Fix a connected component T_0 of Ω_*^s and a connected component U of $W^u(T_0) \setminus T_0$. Take an embedding $\psi : \mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ so that $\psi(\mathbb{T}^2 \times 0) = T_0$ and $\text{Im } \psi \subset U \cup T_0$. Since $W^s(\Omega_*^u)$ is the disjoint union of the stable sets of the connected components of Ω_*^u , we have $\psi(\mathbb{T}^2 \times 1) \subset W^s(T_1)$ for some connected component T_1 of Ω_*^u .

Take a neighborhood $B_* \subset W^s(T_1)$ of T_1 which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$. Then, we have $\Phi^{t_0} \circ \psi(\mathbb{T}^2 \times 1) \subset \text{Int } B_*$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Since the torus $\Phi^{t_0} \circ \psi(\mathbb{T}^2 \times 1)$ must separate ∂B_* and T_1 , it is isotopic to T_1 in B_* . Therefore, \bar{U} is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$ and $\partial U = T_0 \cup T_1$.

Inductively, we obtain sequences $(T_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(B_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of subsets of M so that T_n is a connected component of $\Omega_*^u \cup \Omega_*^s$, B_n is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$, $\partial B_n = T_n \cup T_{n+1}$, and $B_n \cap B_{n+1} = T_{n+1}$ for any n . Since $\Omega_*^u \cup \Omega_*^s$ contains only finitely many tori, we have $T_n = T_m$ for some $n \neq m$. It implies that M is a \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over S^1 . By Noda's classification [21], Φ is represented by a finite union of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times I$ -models. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 2.1.

2.1 Hyperbolic-like behavior

Let Φ be a C^2 PA flow and $TM = E^u + E^s$ its PA splitting. For $z \in M$, let $\mathcal{O}(z)$ denote the orbit $\{\Phi^t(z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\alpha(z)$ the α -limit set $\bigcap_{T > 0} \overline{\{\Phi^t(z) \mid t \leq -T\}}$. We call a point $z \in M$ *periodic* if there exists $T > 0$ such that $\Phi^T(z) = z$. The number $\inf\{t > 0 \mid \Phi^t(z) = z\}$ is called the *period* of z . Let $\text{Per}(\Phi)$ denote the set of periodic points of Φ and $\Omega(\Phi)$ the non-wandering set of Φ .

We say a periodic point z_0 is *s-regular* when there exists an embedded compact annulus A tangent to E^s such that $\Phi^t(A) \subset \text{Int } A$ for any $t > 0$

and $\bigcap_{t>0} \Phi^t(A) = \mathcal{O}(z_0)$. Similarly, we say a periodic point z_0 is *u-regular* when there exists an embedded compact annulus A tangent to E^u such that $\Phi^{-t}(A) \subset \text{Int } A$ for any $t > 0$ and $\bigcap_{t>0} \Phi^{-t}(A) = \mathcal{O}(z_0)$. We also say z_0 is *ρ -irregular* if z_0 is not ρ -regular for $\rho \in \{u, s\}$. Let $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^\rho(\Phi)$ denote the set of ρ -irregular periodic points.

The aim of this subsection is to show the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *If $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^s$, $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^u$, and E^s generates a C^2 foliation, then either*

1. Φ is topologically transitive, or
2. $M = W^u(\Omega_*^s) \cup \Omega_*^u = W^s(\Omega_*^u) \cup \Omega_*^s$.

So far, let Φ be a general C^2 PA flow. Fix a continuous family $\{\phi_z\}_{z \in M}$ of C^2 embeddings of $[-1, 1]^2$ into M such that $\text{Im } \phi_z$ is transverse to $T\Phi$ and $\phi_z(0, 0) = z$ for any $z \in M$. We call $\{\phi_z\}_{z \in M}$ a *family of local cross sections*. Let r_z^t be the holonomy map of the orbit foliation \mathcal{O} between $\text{Im } \phi_z$ and $\text{Im } \phi_{\Phi^t(z)}$ along the path $\{\Phi^{t'}(z) \mid t' \in [0, t]\}$. We call $\{r_z^t\}_{(z,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}}$ the *family of local returns* associated to $\{\phi_z\}_{z \in M}$. For $\Delta > 0$, put $D_\Delta(z) = \{z' \in \text{Im } \phi_z \mid d(z, z') \leq \Delta\}$, where $d(z, z')$ is the distance of $z, z' \in M$. Then, there exists $\Delta_\phi > 0$ such that r_z^t is well-defined on $D_{\Delta_\phi}(z)$ for any $z \in M$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{I}^s(z, \Delta)$ be a collection of intervals I tangent to E^s that satisfies $I \subset D_\Delta(z)$ and $|I| \leq \Delta$, where $|I|$ is the length of an interval I .

For $\Delta > 0$, we call a sequence $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ a (Δ, E^s) -sequence if there exist sequences $(z_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ and $(t_k > 0)_{k=0}^\infty$ such that

- $r_{z_k}^t(I_k) \in \mathcal{I}^s(\Phi^t(z_k), \Delta)$ for any $k \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, t_k]$,
- $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$ and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |r_{z_k}^{t_k}(I_k)| > 0$.

We show a variant of the ‘‘Denjoy property’’ in [1].

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a constant $\Delta_0 > 0$ such that any (Δ_0, E^s) -sequence $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ satisfies $\left(\bigcap_{k \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{k' \geq k} I_{k'}}\right) \cap (\Omega_*^s \cup \text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi)) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We call an interval I a (Δ, E^s) -interval if it is tangent to E^s and there exists $z \in M$ such that $\Phi^{-t}(I) \in \mathcal{I}^s(\Phi^{-t}(z), \Delta)$ for any $t \geq 0$. Almost all part of the proof of Proposition 4.2 of [1] works even if Φ has non-hyperbolic periodic orbits, and it allows us to take a constant $\Delta_0 > 0$ with the following condition: For any (Δ_0, E^s) -interval I , there exists $z \in \text{Int } I$ such that the α -limit set $\alpha(z)$ is a torus in Ω_*^s or a periodic orbit in $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi)$.

Suppose that $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a (Δ_0, E^s) -sequence of intervals. Let $(z_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ and $(t_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ be the sequences associated with $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$. By taking a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $(r_{z_k}^{t_k}(I_k))_{k=0}^\infty$ converges to an interval I_* . It is easy to check that I_* is a (Δ_0, E^s) -interval. Hence, there exists $z_* \in \text{Int } I_*$ such that $\alpha(z_*)$ is a torus in Ω_*^s or a periodic orbit in $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi)$. Since the flow $N\Phi^{-1}$ contracts $E^u/T\Phi$ uniformly on $\alpha(z_*)$, there exists an interval $I^u \subset$

$D_{\Delta_0}(z_*)$ tangent to E^u such that $r_{z_*}^{-t}(I^u)$ is well-defined for any $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |r_{z_*}^{-t}(I^u)| = 0$. Since $\bigcup_{t' \in [-1, 1]} \Phi^{t'}(I^y)$ is a two dimensional manifold transverse to I_* , there exists $t'_k \in [t_k - 1, t_k + 1]$ such that $r_{z_k}^{t'_k}(I_k) \cap I^u \neq \emptyset$ for every sufficiently large k . Then, we obtain

$$\bigcap_{k \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{k' \geq k} I_k \cap r_{z_k}^{-t'_k}(I^u)} \subset \alpha(z_*) \subset \text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \cup \Omega_*^s.$$

□

For $z \in M$ and $\Delta \in (0, \Delta_\phi)$, we define subsets $I_\Delta^s(z)$ and $I_\Delta^u(z)$ by

$$I_\Delta^s(z) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} (r_z^t)^{-1}(D_\Delta(\Phi^t(z))), \quad I_\Delta^u(z) = \bigcap_{t \leq 0} (r_z^t)^{-1}(D_\Delta(\Phi^t(z))).$$

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^s$. Then, for any given $\Delta > 0$, there exist $\Delta_1 \in (0, \Delta)$ and a function $\bar{\delta}: \{t \geq 0\} \rightarrow (0, \Delta)$ such that*

- $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\delta}(t) = 0$, and
- $I \subset I_\Delta^s(z)$ and $|r_z^t(I)| \leq \bar{\delta}(t)$ for any $t \geq 0$, $z \in M \setminus W^u(\Omega_*^s)$, and any interval $I \in \mathcal{I}^s(z, \Delta_1)$ with $z \in I$,

Proof. Let $\Delta_0 > 0$ be the constant obtained in Lemma 2.4. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\Delta \in (0, \Delta_0)$. First, we show that there exists $\Delta_1 \in (0, \Delta)$ such that $I \subset I_\Delta^s(z)$ and $|r_z^t(I)| \leq \Delta$ for any $t \geq 0$, $z \in M \setminus W^u(\Omega_*^s)$, and any interval $I \in \mathcal{I}^s(z, \Delta_1)$ with $z \in I$. If it does not hold, then there exist sequences $(z_k \in M \setminus W^u(\Omega_*^s))_{k=0}^\infty$, $(t_k > 0)_{k=0}^\infty$, and $(I_k \in \mathcal{I}^s(z_k, \Delta))_{k=0}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |I_k| = 0$, $r_{z_k}^{t_k}(I_k) \in \mathcal{I}^s(\Phi^{t_k}(z_k), \Delta)$ for any $k \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, t_k]$, and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |r_{z_k}^{t_k}(I_k)| = \Delta$. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |I_k| = 0$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$. Therefore, $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a (Δ, E^s) -sequence. By Lemma 2.4, the set $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{k' \geq k} I_k}$ intersects with Ω_*^s . It contradicts that this set is a subset of $M \setminus W^u(\Omega_*^s)$ since $I_k \cap M \setminus W^u(\Omega_*^s) \neq \emptyset$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |I_k| = 0$.

If the proposition does not hold, then there exist sequences $(z'_k \in M \setminus W^u(\Omega_*^s))_{k=0}^\infty$, $(t'_k > 0)_{k=0}^\infty$, and $(I'_k \in \mathcal{I}^s(z_k, \Delta_1))_{k=0}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t'_k = \infty$, $r_{z'_k}^{t'_k}(I'_k) \in \mathcal{I}^s(\Phi^{t'_k}(z'_k), \Delta)$ for any $k \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, t'_k]$, and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |r_{z'_k}^{t'_k}(I'_k)| > 0$. Since $(I'_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a (Δ, E^s) -sequence, the same argument as above implies that it contradicts Lemma 2.4. □

Put $\Omega_h = M \setminus (W^u(\Omega_*^s) \cup W^s(\Omega_*^u))$. We show that the stable manifold theorem holds for Ω_h under a mild assumption.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^s$ and $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^u$. Then, there exists $\Delta_* > 0$ such that $I_\Delta^s(z)$ and $I_\Delta^u(z)$ are C^2 intervals tangent to E^s and E^u , respectively, for any $z \in M \setminus \Omega_h$ and $\Delta \in (0, \Delta_*)$, and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{z \in \Omega_h} |r_z^t(I_{\Delta_*}^s(z))| \right) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{z \in \Omega_h} |r_z^{-t}(I_{\Delta_*}^u(z))| \right) = 0.$$

Moreover, $I_\Delta^s(z)$ and $I_\Delta^u(z)$ depend continuously on z as C^2 intervals.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the assertion for $I_\Delta^s(z)$. We can take a family $\{I(z) \in \mathcal{I}^s(z, \Delta_\phi)\}_{z \in \Omega_h}$ and $\Delta_1 > 0$ so that $z \in I(z)$, $(\partial I(z)) \cap D_\Delta(z) = \emptyset$, and $I(z) \cap D_\Delta(z)$ is an interval for any $z \in \Omega_h$ and $\Delta \in (0, \Delta_1)$. Put $I_\Delta(z) = I(z) \cap D_\Delta(z)$. By Lemma 2.5, there exists $\Delta_2 \in (0, \Delta_1)$ such that $I_{\Delta_2}(z) \subset I_{\Delta_1}^s(z)$ for any $z \in \Delta_h$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{z \in \Omega_h} |r_z^t(I_{\Delta_2}(z))|) = 0$. Since $r_z^t(I_{\Delta_2}(z)) \cap D_\Delta(\Phi^t(z))$ is an interval for any $\Delta \in (0, \Delta_2)$ and $t \geq 0$, the set $I_{\Delta_2}(z) \cap I_\Delta^s(z)$ also is an interval for any $z \in \Omega_h$.

We claim that if Δ is sufficiently small, then $I_\Delta^s(z) \subset I_{\Delta_2}(z)$ for any $z \in \Omega_h$. For an interval J , we write $d(\partial J)$ for the distance of the end points. Take $\Delta_3 \in (0, \Delta_2)$ and $K \geq 1$ so that $D_{(2\Delta_3)}(z) \cap \Omega_*^u = \emptyset$ for any $z \in \Omega_h$, and $|J| \leq Kd(\partial J)$ for any $z \in M$ and any interval $J \subset D_{\Delta_3}(z)$ tangent to E^u . We also take $\Delta_4 \in (0, \Delta_3/(4K))$ so that $r_z^t(D_{(2\Delta_4)}(z)) \subset D_{\Delta_3}(\Phi^t(z))$ for any $z \in M$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then, we can see that if an interval $J \subset D_{2\Delta_4}(z)$ with $z \in M$ is tangent to E^u and satisfies $r_z^t(\partial J) \subset D_{2\Delta_4}(\Phi^t(z))$ for any $t \geq 0$, then $r_z^t(J) \subset D_{\Delta_3}(\Phi^t(z))$.

Suppose the claim does not hold. Then, there exist sequences $(z_k \in \Omega_h)_{k=0}^\infty$ and $(z'_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ such that $z'_k \in I_{\Delta_4}^s(z_k) \setminus I_{\Delta_4}(z_k)$ for any $k \geq 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(z_k, z'_k) = 0$. For any sufficiently large $k \geq 0$, there exist $z''_k \in I_{\Delta_4}(z_k)$ and an interval J_k tangent to E^u such that $J_k \subset D_{\Delta_4}(z_k)$ and $\partial J_k = \{z'_k, z''_k\}$. Since $d(r_{z_k}^t(z'_k), r_{z_k}^t(z''_k)) \leq \Delta_4 + d(r_{z_k}^t(z_k), r_{z_k}^t(z''_k))$ and the latter goes to Δ_4 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $r_{z_k}^t(J_k) \subset D_{\Delta_3}(\Phi^t(z_k))$ and $|r_{z_k}^t(J_k)| \leq 2K\Delta_4$ for any sufficiently large $t \geq 0$. In particular, $r_{z_k}^t(J_k)$ is a “ $(2K\Delta_4, E^u)$ -interval” and we can show that $J_k \cap W^s(\Omega_*^u) \neq \emptyset$ by the same argument as in Lemma 2.4. Since $r_{z_k}(J_k) \subset D_{\Delta_3}(\Phi^t(z_k))$ and $r_{z_k}(J_k) \cap \Omega_h \neq \emptyset$, it contradicts that $D_{(2\Delta_3)}(\Phi^t(z_k)) \cap \Omega_*^u = \emptyset$. It completes the proof of the claim.

By the claim, there exists $\Delta_* > 0$ such that $I_\Delta^s(z)$ is a C^1 -interval for any $z \in \Omega_h$ and $\Delta \in (0, \Delta_*)$. The C^2 regularity and the continuity of $I^s(z)$ are consequences of the center-stable manifold theorem (see e.g. [25]) since $I_\Delta^s(z)$ must coincide with a local center-stable manifold at z . \square

Corollary 2.7. *Under the assumption of Proposition 2.6, the set*

$$V^s(z) = \bigcup_{t>0} \bigcup_{z' \in \mathcal{O}(z)} \Phi^{-t}(I_\Delta^s(z')).$$

is an open immersed surface tangent to E^s for any $z \in \Omega_h$ and it does not depend on Δ if Δ is sufficiently small.

Similarly, we define $V^u(z)$ by

$$V^u(z) = \bigcup_{t>0} \bigcup_{z' \in \mathcal{O}(z)} \Phi^t(I_\Delta^u(z')).$$

It is easy to see that if $z \in \Omega_h$ is a periodic point then $V^s(z)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and if $V^s(z_1) \cap V^s(z_2) \neq \emptyset$ then $V^s(z_1) = V^s(z_2)$.

By using Proposition 2.6, we can show that Ω_h has the shadowing property (See *e.g.* [25]) when $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^s$ and $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^u$. It allows Ω_h to have some properties of locally maximal hyperbolic invariant sets. For example, $W^s(\Omega_h) = \bigcup_{z \in \Omega_h \cap \Omega(\Phi)} V^s(z)$ and the spectral decomposition theorem holds, that is, there exists a decomposition $\Omega_h \cap \Omega(\Phi) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \Lambda_i$ into mutually disjoint topologically transitive compact invariant subsets. We define the relation \preceq on $\{\Lambda_i\}$ so that $\Lambda_i \preceq \Lambda_j$ if and only if $W^s(\Lambda_i) \cap W^u(\Lambda_j) \neq \emptyset$. Then, it becomes a partial order since the intersection of $V^s(z)$ and $V^u(z')$ is transversal for any $z, z' \in \Omega_h$.

Now, we prove Proposition 2.3. Suppose that $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^s$, $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^u$ and E^s generates a C^2 foliation \mathcal{F}^s . We assume that $\Omega_h = M \setminus (W^u(\Omega_*^s) \cup W^s(\Omega_*^u))$ is non-empty and show that $M = \Omega_h$ and it is a topologically transitive invariant set. Let $\mathcal{G}(z)$ denote the leaf of a foliation \mathcal{G} that contains a point z .

Lemma 2.8. $\mathcal{F}^s(z) = V^s(z)$ for any $z \in \Omega_h$.

Proof. Since $V^s(z')$ is tangent to E^s for any $z' \in \Omega_h$, it is a connected open subset of $\mathcal{F}^s(z')$. Since $\mathcal{F}^s(z) \subset M \setminus \Omega_*^s = W^s(\Omega_h) \cup W^s(\Omega_*^u)$, we have a decomposition $\mathcal{F}^s(z) = (\mathcal{F}^s(z) \cap W^s(\Omega_*^u)) \cup \bigcup_{z' \in \Omega_h \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z)} V^s(z')$ of $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$ into mutually disjoint open subsets. It implies that $V^s(z)$ must coincide with $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$. \square

Let $\Lambda_i \cap \Omega(\Phi) = \bigcup \Lambda_i$ be the spectral decomposition and \preceq the partial order on $\{\Lambda_i\}$ as above. We say a point z of a topological space X is *accessible* from a subset A of X when there exists a continuous map $l : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $l(1) = z$ and $l(t) \in A$ for any $t \in [0, 1)$.

Lemma 2.9. *If $z \in \Lambda_i$ is accessible from $V^s(z) \setminus \Lambda_i$, then $V^u(z)$ contains a periodic orbit z_* which is accessible from $V^s(z_*) \setminus \Lambda_i$. Similarly, if $z \in \Lambda_i$ is accessible from $V^u(z) \setminus \Lambda_i$, then $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$ contains a periodic orbit z_* which is accessible from $V^u(z_*) \setminus \Lambda_i$.*

Proof. By the same argument as the proof of Proposition 1 of [20], we can show that $V^u(z)$ contains a periodic orbit z_* . Since $V^s(z_1) \cap V^u(z_2) \subset \Lambda_i$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda_i$, the continuity of $V^u(z)$ with respect to z implies that if z_* is not accessible from $V^s(z_*) \setminus \Lambda_i$, then $z \in V^u(z_*) \cap \Lambda_i$ also is not accessible from $V^s(z) \setminus \Lambda_i$.

We obtain the latter from the former by taking a time-reversing. \square

Take a maximal element Λ_+ of $(\{\Lambda_i, \preceq\})$.

Lemma 2.10. $W^s(\Lambda_+) = \Lambda_+$.

Proof. The maximality of Λ_+ implies $W^s(\Lambda_+) \subset W^u(\Lambda_+) \cup W^u(\Omega_*^s)$. Since $W^s(\Lambda_+) \cap W^u(\Lambda_+) = \Lambda_+$, we have $W^s(\Lambda_+) \setminus \Lambda_+ \subset W^u(\Omega_*^s)$.

Suppose the lemma does not hold. Then, there exists $z_* \in \Lambda_+$ which is accessible from $V^s(z_*) \setminus \Lambda_+$. By Lemma 2.9, we may assume that z_* is a periodic point. There exists a connected component L of $V^s(z_*) \setminus \mathcal{O}(z_*)$ is contained in

$W^u(\Omega_*^s)$. Take a simple closed curve $\gamma \subset L$ which is homotopic to $\mathcal{O}(z_*)$ in $\mathcal{F}^s(z_*)$. Remark that \mathcal{F}^s has non-trivial holonomy along γ since z_* is a u -regular periodic point.

Since $W^u(T)$ is a connected open set for any torus T in Ω_*^s , there exists a torus T_* in Ω_*^s such that $L \subset W^u(T_*)$. Take an embedding $\psi : \mathbb{T}^2 \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow W^u(T_*)$ such that $\psi(\mathbb{T}^2 \times 0) = T_*$ and $\psi(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{-1, 1\})$ is transverse to the flow. Let \mathcal{G} be the restriction of \mathcal{F}^s on $\psi(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{-1, 1\})$. Since $\Phi^{-t}(\gamma) \subset \psi(\mathbb{T}^2 \times (-1, 1))$ for any sufficiently large t , \mathcal{G} has a closed leaf with non-trivial holonomy. On the other hand, since T_* is the unique compact leaf of \mathcal{F}^s in $\text{Im } \psi$, a classification theorem of C^2 foliation on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$ due to Moussu and Roussarie [19] implies that \mathcal{G} must be a foliation without holonomy. \square

Since $\mathcal{F}^s(z) = V^s(z)$ and $W^s(z) = \bigcup_{z \in \Lambda_+} V^s(z)$, the above lemma implies that Λ_+ is a closed saturated set of \mathcal{F} . Since Λ_+ is a topologically transitive invariant set and $V^u(z)$ is uniformly transverse to $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$, we can show that Λ_+ is a minimal set of \mathcal{F}^s . By Lemma 2.9, any semi-proper leaf of \mathcal{F}^s in Λ_+ must contain a periodic orbit, and hence it is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. In particular, Λ_+ contains no closed leaves. If $M \neq \Lambda_+$, then it contradicts Duminy's theorem (see [8]) which asserts that any semi-proper leaf of an exceptional minimal set of a C^2 codimension one foliation has infinitely many ends. Therefore, Φ is topologically transitive.

2.2 Local dynamics at periodic points

Let Φ be a C^2 PA flow and $TM = E^u + E^s$ its PA splitting. Suppose that E^u and E^s generate C^2 foliations \mathcal{F}^u and \mathcal{F}^s . Remark that Ω_*^s is a union of closed leaves of \mathcal{F}^s .

The main aim of this subsection is to show $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^u$ and $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^s$. It completes the proof Proposition 2.1 by combining with Proposition 2.3. The proof is done by a variant of the argument in [2].

Fix a family $\{\phi_z : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow M\}_{z \in M}$ of local cross sections so that $\phi([-1, 1] \times y)$ is tangent to E^s and $\phi(x \times [-1, 1])$ is tangent to E^u for any $(x, y) \in [-1, 1]^2$. Let $\{r_z^t\}$ be the family of local returns associated to $\{\phi_z\}_{z \in M}$. Notice that for any $(z, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists a pair (h, v) of maps satisfying $r_z^t \circ \phi_z(x, y) = \phi_z(h(x), v(y))$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let z_0 be an s -regular periodic point. Then, there exist a neighborhood V of $\mathcal{O}(z_0)$ such that for any $z \in W^s(z_0) \cap \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \Phi^{-t}(V)$ the connected component V_z of $V \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z)$ with $z \in V_z$ is a subset of $W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}^s(z) \cap W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$ for any $z \in W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$.*

Proof. Let T be the period of z_0 and (h, v) the pair of maps such that $r_{z_0}^T \circ \phi_{z_0}(x, y) = \phi_{z_0}(h(x), v(y))$. Fix small open neighborhoods I and J of 0 in \mathbb{R} so that $v(J)$ is well-defined, $h(\bar{I}) \subset I$, and $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} h^n(I) = \{0\}$. Put $V = \{\Phi^t(z) \mid z \in \phi_{z_0}(I \times J), t \in [0, \tau(z)]\}$, where $\tau(z) = \inf\{t > 0 \mid \Phi^t(z) \in \text{Im } \phi_{z_0}\}$.

Take $z \in W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \Phi^{-t}(V)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $z = \phi_{z_0}(x, y)$ for some $(x, y) \in I \times J$. Since $v^n(x)$ converges to

0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $(r_{z_0}^T)^n \circ \phi_{z_0}(I \times \{y\}) = \phi_{z_0}(h^n(I) \times v^n(y))$ converges to $\{z_0\}$. It implies that the connected component V_z of $V \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z)$ with $z \in V_z$ is contained in $W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$.

The latter is an easy consequence of the former since if $z \in W^s(z_0)$ then $\Phi^t(z) \in V$ for some sufficiently large t . \square

Lemma 2.12. *Take $z_0 \in \text{Per}(\Phi)$ and $\rho \in \{s, u\}$. If a periodic point $z_1 \notin W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$ is accessible from $W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap \mathcal{F}^\rho(z_1)$, then there exists an embedded closed annulus A in $\mathcal{F}^\rho(z_1)$ such that $\partial A = \mathcal{O}(z_0) \cup \mathcal{O}(z_1)$ and $\text{Int } A \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap W^u(\mathcal{O}(z_1))$. In particular, we have $\mathcal{F}^\rho(z_0) = \mathcal{F}^\rho(z_1)$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma for the case $\rho = u$ since the proof for the other case is similar. Let T be the period of z_0 and (h, v) be the pair of maps with $r_{z_0}^T \circ \phi_{z_0}(x, y) = \phi_{z_0}(h(x), v(y))$. Then, there exists a neighborhood V of $\mathcal{O}(z_0)$ such that the restriction \mathcal{F}_V^u of \mathcal{F}^u on V is diffeomorphic to the suspension foliation of $\{[1, -1] \times y\}_{y \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]}$ by the map $(x, y) \mapsto (x, v(y))$. A leaf L of \mathcal{F}_V^u is non-contractible if and only if $v(y) = y$. In such a case, L contains a periodic orbit $\phi_{z_0}(0, v(y))$.

Since z_1 is accessible from $W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap \mathcal{F}^u(z_1)$, there exist an embedded closed annulus $A_1 \subset \mathcal{F}^u(z_1)$ such that $\mathcal{O}(z_1)$ is a boundary component of A_1 , the other boundary component γ is transverse to $T\Phi$, and $A_1 \setminus \mathcal{O}(z_1) \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$. The curve $\Phi^t(\gamma)$ is contained in a leaf L_0 of $\mathcal{F}|_V$ for any sufficiently large $t > 0$. By the Poincaré-Bendixon theorem, L_0 must be non-contractible, and hence, it contains a periodic orbit. Since $\gamma \in W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$, we obtain $L = (\mathcal{F}_V^u)(z_0)$. Now, it is easy to construct the required embedded annulus in $L \cup \Phi^t(A_1)$. \square

Lemma 2.13. *For any s -regular periodic point z_0 , the followings hold:*

1. $\mathcal{F}^s(z) \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$ for any $z \in W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \setminus \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$.
2. $\mathcal{F}^s(z_0) \cap W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.
3. If $\mathcal{F}^s(z_0) \not\subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$, then there exist an s -irregular periodic point $z_1 \in \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ and an embedded closed annulus $A \subset \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ such that $\partial A = \mathcal{O}(z_0) \cup \mathcal{O}(z_1)$ and $\text{Int } A \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap W^u(\mathcal{O}(z_1))$.

Proof. Since z_0 is s -regular, there exists an embedded closed annulus $A_0 \subset \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ such that $\Phi^t(A_0) \subset \text{Int } A_0$ for any $t > 0$ and $\bigcap_{t>0} \Phi^t(A_0) = \mathcal{O}(z_0)$. Then, $W_0 = \bigcup_{t>0} \Phi^{-t}(A_0)$ is a connected component of $W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ and it is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Fix a leaf L of \mathcal{F}^s and a connected component W of $L \cap W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$. It is sufficient to show that if $W \neq L$ then there exists a periodic point $z_1 \in L \setminus W$ which is accessible from W . If such z_1 exists, then Lemma 2.12 implies that there exists an embedded closed annulus $A \subset \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ with $\partial A = \mathcal{O}(z_0) \cup \mathcal{O}(z_1)$ and $\text{Int } A \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap W^u(\mathcal{O}(z_1))$. In particular, z_1 is s -irregular and $W = W_0 \subset \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$.

Suppose that $W \neq L$. Then, there exists $z_1 \in L \setminus W$ and an interval $I \subset \mathcal{F}^s(z_1) \cap \text{Im } \phi_{z_1}$ such that $z_1 \in \partial I$ and $I \setminus \{z_1\} \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$. Take a sequence $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ of subintervals of I so that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |I_k| = 0$ and $\partial I_k = \{z_1, z'_k\}$ for some z'_k . Let $\Delta_0 > 0$ be the constant obtained in Lemma 2.4 and V be the neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}(z_0)$ obtained in Lemma 2.11.

Fix $\delta_0 \in (0, \Delta_0)$ so that the $2\delta_0$ -tubular neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}(z_0)$ is contained in V . Without loss of generality, we may assume $|I_k| < \delta_0$ for any $k \geq 0$. Notice that $z_1 \notin W^s(z_0)$ and $\Phi^t(z'_k) \in V$ for any sufficiently large t . It implies that there exists $t_k > 0$ such that $|r_{z_1}^t(I_k)| \leq \delta_0$ for any $t \in [0, t_k]$ and $|r_{z_1}^{t_k}(I_k)| = \delta_0$. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |I_k| = 0$, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$. Hence, the sequence $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a (Δ_0, E^u) -sequence. Since Ω_*^s is a union of closed leaves of \mathcal{F}^u that contains no s -regular periodic point, we have $z_1 \notin \Omega_*^s$. Therefore, Lemma 2.4 implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_k = z_1$ is an s -irregular periodic point. \square

Lemma 2.14. $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$ for any $z \in M$. Similarly, $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \cap \mathcal{F}^u(z)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{F}^u(z)$ for any $z \in M$.

Proof. It is trivial if $\mathcal{F}^s(z) \cap \Omega_*^s \neq \emptyset$. Hence, we assume that $\mathcal{F}^s(z) \cap \Omega_*^s = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z)$ is not a closed subset of $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$ for some $z \in M$. Then, there exists a sequence $(z_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ in $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z)$ which converges to a point $z_* \notin \text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi)$ with respect to the leaf topology of $\mathcal{F}^s(z)$. By replacing z_k in its orbit, we may assume $z_* \in \text{Im } \phi_{z_k}$ for any k . Let Δ_0 be the constant obtained in Lemma 2.4. We can take a sequence $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ of intervals such that $I_k \in \mathcal{I}^s(z_k, \Delta_k)$ and $\partial I_k = \{z_k, z_*\}$ for any k . It is easy to verify that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |I_k| = 0$ and each I_k intersects with $\mathcal{O}(z_{k'})$ for infinitely many $k' \geq k$. Hence, we may assume that $I_k \cap \mathcal{O}(I_{k'}) \neq \emptyset$ for any $0 \leq k < k'$.

We claim that $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a (Δ_0, E^s) -sequence. Once it is shown, then Lemma 2.4 implies $z_* \in \text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi)$. Suppose the $(I_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is not a (Δ_0, E^s) -sequence. By the similar argument as the proof of Lemma 2.5, we can show that if k is sufficiently large, then $r_{z_k}^t|_{I_k}$ is well-defined for any $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |r_z^t(I_k)| = 0$. However, $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |r_z^t(I_k)| \neq 0$ since I_k intersects two distinct periodic orbits $\mathcal{O}(z_k)$ and $\mathcal{O}(z_{k+1})$. \square

Recall that a leaf of a codimension one foliation is called *semi-proper* when it does not accumulate to itself from at least one side. We also say a leaf is *proper* when it does not accumulate to itself from both sides.

Lemma 2.15. Let \mathcal{G} be a C^2 codimension one foliation of a closed three dimensional manifold. Then, any semi-proper leaf of \mathcal{G} diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ has trivial holonomy.

Proof. Let L be a leaf of \mathcal{G} which is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Note that the end set of L consists of two points. By the level theory of Cantwell and Conlon [6] L is either proper or contained in an exceptional local minimal set. However, Duminy's theorem implies that the end of a semi-proper leaf in an exceptional local minimal set must be a Cantor set. Hence, the leaf L is proper. By a stability theorem of proper leaves with finite ends due to Cantwell and Conlon [7, Theorem 1], L has trivial holonomy. \square

Lemma 2.16. $\mathcal{F}^u(z)$ is not a subset of $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi)$ for any $z \in M \setminus \Omega_*^u$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0) \subset \text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi)$ for some $z_0 \in M \setminus \Omega_*^u$. Then, \mathcal{F}^s has trivial holonomy along $\mathcal{O}(z)$ for any $z \in \mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$. In particular, all points of $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$ are s -regular periodic points.

We claim that $\mathcal{F}^s(z) \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z))$ for any $z \in \mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$. If $\mathcal{F}^s(z_1) \not\subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_1))$ for $z_1 \in \mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$, then Lemma 2.13 implies that there exists $z'_1 \in \mathcal{F}^s(z_1)$ such that z'_1 is an s -irregular periodic point and $\mathcal{O}(z_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(z'_1)$ are homotopic in $\mathcal{F}^s(z_1)$. Since $\mathcal{O}(z'_1)$ is u -regular, it contradicts that \mathcal{F}^s has trivial holonomy along $\mathcal{O}(z_1)$.

The claim implies that the set $U = \bigcup_{z \in \mathcal{F}^u(z_0)} \mathcal{F}^s(z)$ is an open saturated set of \mathcal{F}^s . However, it has no boundary points since \mathcal{F}^u is transverse to \mathcal{F}^s . Therefore, we have $M = U$. Since $\mathcal{F}^s(z) = W^s(\mathcal{O}(z))$ for any $z \in \mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$ and $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0) \subset \text{Per}(\Phi) \subset \Omega(\Phi)$, we obtain $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0) = \Omega(\Phi)$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$ is a closed leaf. It contradicts that $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$ is not contained in Ω_*^u . \square

Now, we prove the main result of this subsection which completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.17. *If Φ is a C^2 -regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow, then $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^u$ and $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^s$.*

Proof. We show $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^u$. Once it is done, then we apply it to the time-reverse of Φ and obtain $\text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^s(\Phi) \subset \Omega_*^s$.

Suppose that there exists $z_0 \in \text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi) \setminus \Omega_*^u$. By Lemma 2.14 for \mathcal{F}^u and Lemma 2.16, $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0) \cap \text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi)$ is a proper closed subset of $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$. Since \mathcal{F}^s has non-trivial holonomy along each boundary component of $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0) \cap \text{Per}_{\text{irr}}^u(\Phi)$, we may assume that \mathcal{F}^s has non-trivial holonomy along $\mathcal{O}(z_0)$ by replacing z_0 if it is necessary.

Let T be the period of z_0 and (h, v) be the pair of maps with $r_{z_0}^T(x, y) = (h(x), v(y))$. Since z_0 is u -irregular, and hence, is s -regular, there exist compact intervals I and J_0 such that $0 \in h(I) \subset \text{Int } I$, $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} h^n(I) = \{0\}$, and $0 \in v(J_0) \subset J_0$. Put $W = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \Phi^{-t} \circ \phi_{z_0}(I \times 0)$ and $J'_0 = \phi_{z_0}(0 \times J_0)$. Since W is a connected component of $W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$, Lemma 2.13 implies that $W = W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ and it is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that $W = \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$. Since $\Phi^t(J'_0 \setminus \{z_0\}) \cap \phi_{z_0}(I \times 0) = \emptyset$ for any $t \geq 0$, the leaf $\mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ is semi-proper. By Lemma 2.15, it must have trivial holonomy. However, it contradicts the choice of z_0 . Therefore, we may assume $W \neq \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$.

By Lemma 2.13, there exist an s -irregular periodic point $z_1 \in \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ and an embedded closed annulus $A^s \subset \mathcal{F}^s(z_0)$ such that $\partial A^s = \mathcal{O}(z_0) \cup \mathcal{O}(z_1)$ and $\text{Int } A^s \subset W^s(z_0)$. Let t_i be the period of z_i and put $\lambda_i^\rho = \|N\Phi^{t_i}|_{(E^\rho/T\Phi)(z_i)}\|$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $\rho \in \{u, s\}$. Notice that the orientation of the orbits of z_0 and z_1 must be opposite since z_0 is u -irregular, z_1 is u -regular, and $\mathcal{F}^s(z_0) = \mathcal{F}^s(z_1)$. In particular, we have $\lambda_0^u \cdot \lambda_1^u = 1$. See Figure 1.

Since z_1 is s -irregular and Φ is a $\mathbb{P}A$ flow, we have $1 \leq \lambda_1^s < \lambda_1^u$, and hence, $\lambda_0^s < \lambda_0^u < 1$. The latter implies that there exists a compact interval J such that $0 \in v(J) \subset \text{Int } J$ and $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} v^n(J) = \{0\}$.




Figure 1: Annuli A^s and A^u

Put $J' = \phi_{z_0}(0 \times J)$. By the same argument as above, we have $J' \cap W = \{z_0\}$. Since $J' \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$ and $W = \mathcal{F}^s(z_0) \cap W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$, Lemma 2.13 implies $\mathcal{F}^s(z) \subset W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0))$ for any $z \in J' \setminus \{z_0\}$. Therefore, z_1 is accessible from $W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_0)) \cap \mathcal{F}^u(z_1)$. Applying Lemma 2.12 to z_0, z_1 , and $\rho = u$, we obtain $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0) = \mathcal{F}^u(z_1)$. Since z_0 is s -regular and z_1 is s -irregular, the orientations of $\mathcal{O}(z_0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(z_1)$ in $\mathcal{F}^u(z_0)$ must be opposite. Therefore, we have $\lambda_0^s \cdot \lambda_1^s = 1$. However, it contradicts the inequalities $\lambda_0^u \cdot \lambda_1^u = 1$, $\lambda_0^s < \lambda_0^u$, and $\lambda_1^s < \lambda_1^u$. \square

3 Topologically transitive regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flows

The aim of this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Any topologically transitive and C^2 -regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow is Anosov.*

As we will see in Subsection 3.1, it is enough to show that all periodic orbits of the flow are hyperbolic.

The proof is simple when Φ admits a global cross section. In fact, it reduce to an observation that the distortion of a holonomy map of a one-dimensional foliation on a surface can be estimated by the area of rectangle swept out by the holonomy. We refer the reader to [3] for the detail. If a $\mathbb{P}A$ flow admits invariant one-dimensional subbundles of E^s and E^u which are transverse to the flow, then we can apply the proof in [3] with a small modification. However, a $\mathbb{P}A$ flow admits no invariant one-dimensional subbundles transverse to the flow in general. This is the main technical difficulty in the proof.

The structure of the section is as follows: In Subsection 3.1, we show the (possibly non-uniform) expansion along the direction transverse to E^s by the standard argument using a Markov partition and a theorem due to Mañé. In Subsection 3.2, in order to overcome the above technical difficulty, we consider a time-change of the original flow and show that it admits almost invariant cone fields in E^u and E^s . In Subsection 3.3, we apply the method in [3] and prove Proposition 3.1.

3.1 One-dimensional reduced returns

Let $\{(\phi_k, \tau_k)\}_{k=1}^m$ be a family of pairs of continuous embeddings of $[0, 1]^2$ into M and a continuous positive valued function on $[0, 1]^2$ such that the map $(w, t) \mapsto \Phi^t \circ \phi_k(w)$ is an embedding of $\{(w, t) \mid w \in [0, 1]^2, t \in [0, \tau_k(w)]\}$ into M for each k . We define a family $\{\phi'_k\}_{k=1}^m$ of embeddings of $[0, 1]^2$ into M by $\phi'_k(w) = \Phi^{\tau_k(w)} \circ \phi_k(w)$. Put $R_k = \text{Im } \phi_k$, $R'_k = \text{Im } \phi'_k$, and $P_k = \{\Phi^t \circ \phi_k(w) \mid w \in [0, 1]^2, t \in [0, \tau(w)]\}$.

We say the family $\{(\phi_k, \tau_k)\}$ determines a *Markov partition* $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m$ associated with a $\mathbb{P}A$ flow Φ on M if it satisfies the following properties:

1. $\phi_k([0, 1] \times y)$ and $\phi_k(x \times [0, 1])$ are intervals tangent to E^s and E^u respectively, for any $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$.
2. $M = \bigcup_{k=1}^m P_k$,
3. For each pair (k, l) , $\partial(P_k \cap P_l) = \partial P_k \cap \partial P_l$, and there exist subintervals $I_{k,l}$ and $J_{k,l}$ of $[0, 1]$, which may be empty sets, such that

$$R'_k \cap R_l = \phi'_k([0, 1] \times I_{k,l}) = \phi_l(J_{k,l} \times [0, 1])$$

Remark that $\{\text{Int } I_{k,l'}\}_{l'=1}^m$ and $\{\text{Int } J_{k',l}\}_{k'=1}^m$ are partition of $[0, 1]$ up to finite set for any fixed (k, l) .

If a family $\{(\phi_k, \tau_k)\}_{k=1}^m$ determines a Markov partition, then it induces a piecewise continuous map $F : [0, 1] \times \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ by $\phi'_k([0, 1] \times y) = \phi_l(J_{k,l} \times F(y, k))$ for any $y \in I_{k,l}$. We call the map F the *reduced return map*.

We fix a C^2 topologically transitive $\mathbb{P}A$ flow Φ . Let $TM = E^u + E^s$ be a $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting and we suppose that E^s generates a C^2 foliation \mathcal{F}^s .

Lemma 3.2. *The flow Φ admits a Markov partition such that the reduced return map is piecewise C^2 .*

Proof. As we see in Subsection 2, the flow Φ has the shadowing property. Hence, we can show that Φ admits a Markov partition by the standard argument. Since E^s is of class C^2 , we can choose $\{\phi_k\}$ so that $\pi_y \circ \phi_k^{-1}$ is of class C^2 , where $\pi_y(x, y) = y$. \square

We show a variant of Mané's theorem([15]) for the reduced return map.

Lemma 3.3. *Let F be the reduced return map associated to a Markov partition. If F is of class C^2 , then the followings hold:*

- *There exists a sequence $(K_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = +\infty$ and $|(F^n)'(y_n)| \geq K_n$ for any periodic point y_n of F with period n . In particular, the set $\text{Per}_*(F)$ of non-hyperbolic periodic points consists of only finitely many points.*
- *For any given $\alpha > 0$, there exists $N_* \geq 1$ such that $\sup_{n \geq 0} |(F^n)'(y)| \geq \alpha$ for any $y \notin F^{-N_*}(\text{Per}_*(F))$.*

Proof. Let F be the reduced return map on $I_* = [0, 1] \times \{1, \dots, m\}$. The former assertion is a consequence of Theorem 5.1 of [14], which is a variant of Mañé's theorem for piecewise C^2 maps.

Put $\Lambda_n = F^{-n}(\partial I_*)$ for $n \geq 0$. For $y \in I_* \setminus \Lambda_n$ and $n \geq 0$, let $I(y, n)$ be the closure of the connected component of $I_* \setminus \Lambda_n$. Remark that $F(\Lambda_n) \subset \Lambda_n$ and $F^{n'}(I(y, n)) = I(F^{n'}(y), n - n')$ for any $y \in I_* \setminus \Lambda_n$ and $0 \leq n' \leq n$. By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I(y, n) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} F^{-n}(I(F^n(y), 0)) = \{y\} \quad (1)$$

for any $y \in I_* \setminus \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \Lambda_m$. Since Λ_n is finite for any fixed n , the equation implies that there exist sequences $(K_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ and $(K'_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K'_n = 0$ and $K_n \leq |I(y, n)| \leq K'_n$ for any $n \geq 0$ and $y \in I_* \setminus \Lambda_n$. By the finiteness of $\text{Per}_*(F)$, we can take $n_* \geq 0$ so that $I(y, n_*)$ contains at most one point of $\text{Per}_*(F)$ for any $y \in I_* \setminus \Lambda_{n_*}$.

We claim that if $y \in I_*$ satisfies $I(F^n(y), n_*) \cap \text{Per}_*(F) \neq \emptyset$ for any $n \geq 0$, then $y \in \text{Per}_*(F)$. In fact, the assumption implies $I(F^n(y), n_*) \cap \text{Per}_*(F)$ contains exactly one point of $\text{Per}_*(F)$ for any $n \geq 0$. Hence, there exists $y_* \in \text{Per}_*(F)$ such that $\{F^n(y_*)\} = I(F^n(y), n_*) \cap \text{Per}_*(F)$ for any $n \geq 0$. By the equality 1, it implies $y = y_*$.

By the argument in Section III.5 of [18], it can be shown that $I(y, n + n_*)$ is “a $(\lambda, n - n_0)$ -compactible interval” if $I(F^n(y), n_*) \cap \text{Per}_*(F) = \emptyset$, and hence, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$|(F^n)'(y)| \geq K \cdot \frac{|I(F^n(y), n_*)|}{|I(y, n + n_*)|} \geq K \cdot \frac{K_{n_*}}{K'_n}$$

for any $n \geq 1$ and $y \in I_*$ with $I(F^n(y), n_*) \cap \text{Per}_*(F) = \emptyset$.

For any given $\alpha > 0$, there exists $N_* \geq 1$ such that $K \cdot K_{n_*} \cdot (K'_n)^{-1} \geq \alpha$ for any $n \geq N_*$. Take $y \in I_* \setminus F^{-N_*}(\text{Per}_*(F))$. The above claim implies that $I(F^{N_*+n}(y), n_*) \cap \text{Per}_*(F) = \emptyset$ for some $n \geq 0$. Then, we have $|(F^{N_*+n})'(y)| \geq K \cdot K_{n_*} \cdot (K'_{n+N_*})^{-1} \geq \alpha$. \square

Let $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ be the set of periodic point z_* with $\|N\Phi^{t_*}|_{(E^u/T\Phi)(z_*)}\| = 1$, where t_* is the period of z_* . The following is an immediate consequence of the above lemma.

Proposition 3.4. *$\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ consists of finitely many orbits. Let A be a union of open annuli tangent to E^s which contains $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$. Then, for any given $\alpha > 0$, there exists $T_* > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|N\Phi^t|_{E^u/T\Phi(z)}\| \geq \alpha$ for any $z \in M \setminus \bigcup_{t=0}^T \Phi^{-t}(A)$.*

When all periodic orbits are hyperbolic, the proposition and its counterpart to E^s complete the proof of Proposition 3.1

Corollary 3.5. *If a topologically transitive and C^2 -regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow has no non-hyperbolic periodic orbits, then it is Anosov.*

Remark that the corollary also directly follows from Theorem B of [1].

3.2 Almost invariant cone fields

Let Φ be a C^2 $\mathbb{P}A$ flow and $TM = E^u + E^s$ its $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting. Suppose that E^u and E^s are orientable, and E^s generates a C^2 foliation. We call a continuous framing $\{X(z), Y^u(z)\}_{z \in M}$ of E^u a *canonical framing* if

- X generates the flow Φ , and
- there exists a neighborhood U_* of $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ such that $D\Phi^t(Y^u(z))$ is parallel to $Y^u(\Phi^t(z))$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $z \in \bigcap_{t'=0}^t \Phi^{-t'}(U_*)$.

Since $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ consists finitely many orbits, E^u admits a canonical framing for a suitable time-change of Φ .

For $z \in M$ and $\delta > 0$, we define a cone $C^u(z, \delta)$ in $E^u(z)$ by

$$C^u(z, \delta) = \{aX(z) + bY^u(z) \mid |a| \leq \delta|b|\}.$$

We also define functions \hat{a} and \hat{b} on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$D\Phi^t(Y^u(z)) = \hat{a}(z, t)X(\Phi^t(z)) + \hat{b}(z, t)Y^u(\Phi^t(z)).$$

The aim of this subsection is to show the existence of an almost invariant cone field.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose E^u admits a canonical framing $\{X(z), Y^u(z)\}_{z \in M}$. Then, for any given neighborhood U of $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ and $\epsilon_* > 0$, there exist $\delta_* > 0$ such that $D\Phi^t(C^u(\Phi^{-t}(z), \epsilon_*)) \subset C^u(z, \delta_*)$ for any $z \in M \setminus U$ and $t \geq 0$.*

We remark that the existence of a canonical framing is necessary. For example, if $D\Phi^T|_{E^u(z)}$ is a parabolic matrix for some periodic point z with period T , then such an almost invariant cone field cannot exist.

Let $\{X(z), Y^u(z)\}_{z \in M}$ be a canonical framing of E^u . We prepare two lemmas to prove the proposition.

Lemma 3.7. *$D\Phi^t(C^u(z, \delta)) \subset C^u(\Phi^t(z), \alpha\delta)$ for any $z \in M$, $t \geq 0$, $\alpha > 2|\hat{b}(z, t)|^{-1}$, and $\delta > |\hat{a}(z, t)|$.*

Proof. Proof is by elementary calculation. Since $D\Phi^t(X(z)) = X(\Phi^t(z))$, we have

$$D\Phi^t(aX(z) + bY^u(z)) = (a + b \cdot \hat{a}(z, t))X(\Phi^t(z)) + b \cdot \hat{b}(z, t)Y^u(\Phi^t(z)).$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\alpha > 2|\hat{b}(z, t)|^{-1}$, $\delta > |\hat{a}(z, t)|$, and $|a| \leq \delta|b|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a + b \cdot \hat{a}(z, t)| &\leq |b|(\delta + |\hat{a}(z, t)|) \\ &\leq (2^{-1}\alpha \cdot |\hat{b}(z, t)|) \cdot |b| \cdot (2\delta) = (\alpha\delta)|b \cdot \hat{b}(z, t)|. \end{aligned}$$

It implies the required inclusion. □

Recall the definition and some properties of the distortion of a one-dimensional map. For a C^1 map $h : I \rightarrow I'$ between intervals, we define *the distortion* $\text{dist}(h, I)$ by

$$\text{dist}(h, I) = \sup\{|\log |h'(x)| - \log |h'(y)| \mid x, y \in I\}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(h, I) &\leq \sup\{|\log |h'(x')|| \mid x' \in I\} \cdot |I|, \\ \text{dist}(h^n, I) &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \text{dist}(h, h^m(I)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\exp(-\text{dist}(h, I)) \cdot \frac{|h(I)|}{|I|} \leq |(h^n)'(x)| \leq \exp(\text{dist}(h, I)) \cdot \frac{|h(I)|}{|I|}$$

for any $x \in I$.

For any subset V of M , we define *the escape-time function* $\tau_V : V \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$\tau_V(z) = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \Phi^t(z) \notin V\}.$$

Lemma 3.8. *For any given neighborhood U of $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$, there exist a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ and a function T_V such that*

$$D\Phi^{\tau_V(z)}(C^u(z, \delta)) \subset C^u(\Phi^{\tau_V(z)}(z), \alpha\delta)$$

for any $\delta > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and $z \in V$ with $\tau_V(z) \geq T_V(\alpha)$.

Proof. Since $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ consists of finitely many orbits, it is sufficient to show that the required neighborhood V exists for a periodic orbit $\mathcal{O}(z_*)$ in $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$.

Fix an embedding ϕ of $[-1, 1]^2$ to M such that $\text{Im } \phi$ is transverse to $T\Phi$, $\phi(0, 0) = z_*$, and $D\phi(\partial/\partial x) \in E^s$. Let $r : \phi([- \delta_0, \delta_0]^2) \rightarrow \text{Im } \phi$ be the first return map on $\text{Im } \phi$, that is, $r(z) = \Phi^{\tau(z)}(z)$, where $\tau(z) = \inf\{t > 0 \mid \Phi^t(z) \in \text{Im } \phi\}$. The map $\phi^{-1} \circ r \circ \phi$ has the form $(x, y) \mapsto (h(x, y), v(y))$.

Since z_* is u - and s -regular, there exist closed intervals $I_x, I_y \subset [-\delta_0, \delta_0]$ such that $0 \in h(I_x \times I_y) \subset \text{Int } I_x$, $v^{-1}(I_y) \subset \text{Int } I_y$, and $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} v^{-n}(I_y) = \{0\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the set $V = \{\Phi^t \circ \phi(w) \mid w \in I_x \times I_y, t \in [0, \tau(w)]\}$ is contained in $U_* \cap U$. Since $D\Phi^t(Y^u(z)) = \hat{b}(z, t)Y^u(\Phi^t(z))$ for any $z \in V$ and $t \in [0, \tau_V(z)]$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\inf\{|(v^n)'(y)| \mid y \in v^{-n}(I_y) \setminus v^{-(n+1)}(I_y)\}) = \infty. \quad (2)$$

Put $I_n^+ = \{v^{-n}(I_y) \setminus v^{-(n+1)}(I_y)\} \cap [0, \delta_0]$ and $K = \sup\{|\log |v'|'(x)| \mid x \in I_y\}$. Then, we have

$$\log |(v^n)'(y)| \geq \exp(-\text{dist}(v, I_n^+)) \cdot \frac{|I_0^+|}{|I_n^+|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \exp\left(-K \sum_{m=1}^n |I_m^+|\right) \cdot \frac{|I_0^+|}{|I_n^+|} \\
&\geq \exp(-K \cdot |I_y|) \cdot \frac{|I_0^+|}{|I_n^+|}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $y \in I_n^+$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I_n^+| = 0$, it implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\inf\{|(v^n)'(y)| \mid y \in I_n^+\}) = \infty$. By the same argument for $(v^{-n}(I_y) \setminus v^{-(n+1)}(I_y)) \cap [-\delta_0, 0]$, we obtain the required equation (2). \square

Now, we prove Proposition 3.6. By Lemma 3.8, there exists an open neighborhood V_0 of $\text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ and a function T_{V_0} such that $\overline{V_0} \subset U$ and

$$D\Phi^{\tau_{V_0}}(z)(C^u(z, \delta)) \subset C^u(\Phi^{\tau_{V_0}(z)}(z), \alpha\delta) \quad (3)$$

for any $\alpha > 0$, $\delta > 0$, and $z \in V_0$ with $\tau_{V_0} \geq T_{V_0}(\alpha)$. Since all periodic points of Φ are s -regular, we can take a finite union A of open annulus tangent to E^s so that $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi) \subset A \cap \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \Phi^{-t}(V_0)$.

By Proposition 3.4, there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that $\sup\{\hat{b}(z, t) \mid t \geq 0\} > 2$ for any $z \in M \setminus \Phi^{-T_1}(A)$. By Lemma 3.7 and the compactness of M , we can take $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > 0$ so that $D\Phi^{T_1}(C^u(z, \delta)) \subset C^u(\Phi^{T_1}(z), \alpha_1\delta)$ for any $z \in M$ and $\delta \geq \delta_1$.

We define a function τ_1^* on $\Phi^{-T_1}(V_0)$ by $\tau_1^*(z) = \tau_{V_0}(\Phi_1^T(z)) + T_1$. Put $T_2 = T_{V_0}(\alpha_1^{-1}) + T_1$ and $V_1 = \{z \in \Phi^{-T_1}(V_0) \mid \tau_1^*(z) > T_2\}$. By the inclusion (3), we have

$$D\Phi^{\tau_1^*(z)}(C^u(z, \delta)) \subset C^u(\Phi^{\tau_1^*(z)}(z), \delta) \quad (4)$$

for any $\delta \geq \delta_1$ and $z \in V_1$.

Since $\Phi^{-T_1}(A) \subset V_1$, we have $\sup\{\hat{b}(z, t) \mid t \geq 0\} > 2$ for any $z \in M \setminus V_1$. By Lemma 3.7 and the compactness of $M \setminus V_1$, there exist $0 < T_1' < T_2'$, $\delta_*' > \delta_1$, and a function $\tau_2^* : M \setminus V_1 \rightarrow [T_1', T_2']$ such that

$$D\Phi^{\tau_2^*(z)}(C^u(z, \delta)) \subset C^u(\Phi^{\tau_2^*(z)}(z), \delta) \quad (5)$$

for any $\delta \geq \delta_*'$ and $z \in M \setminus V_1$.

Fix $\epsilon_* > 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\epsilon_* > \delta_*'$. By Lemma 3.7, we can take $\delta_* > 0$ so that $D\Phi^t(C^u(z, \epsilon_*)) \subset C^u(\Phi^t(z), \delta_*)$ for any $z \in M$ and $t \in [0, \max\{T_1, T_2'\}]$.

Fix $t > 0$ and $z \in M \setminus U$. Put $z' = \Phi^{-t}(U)$. We can take a sequence $(t_i)_{i=0}^{t_*+1}$ so that $t_0 = 0$, $t_{i_*} < t \leq t_{i_*+1}$ and each t_i satisfies

1. $\Phi^{t_i}(z') \in V_1$ and $t_{i+1} = t_i + \tau_1^*(\Phi^{t_i}(z')) \geq t_i + T_2$, or
2. $\Phi^{t_i}(z') \in M \setminus V_1$ and $t_{i+1} = t_i + \tau_2^*(\Phi^{t_i}(z')) \geq t_i + T_1'$.

By the inclusions (4) and (5), we have $D\Phi^{t_{i_*}}(C^u(z, \epsilon_*)) \subset C^u(\Phi^{t_{i_*}}(z'), \epsilon_*)$.

We see $t - t_{i_*} \leq \max\{T_1, T_2'\}$. In fact, if $\Phi^{t_{i_*}}(z') \in V_1$, then $\Phi^{t'}(z') \in V_0$ for any $t' \in [t_{i_*} + T_1, t_{i_*+1}]$. Since $\Phi^t(z') \notin U$, it implies $t - t_{i_*} \leq T_1$. If $\Phi^{t_{i_*}}(z') \in M \setminus V_1$, then $t - t_{i_*} \leq \tau_2^*(\Phi^{t_{i_*}}(z')) \leq T_2'$. By the choice of δ_* , we obtain $D\Phi^t(C^u(z', \epsilon_*)) \subset C^u(\Phi^t(z'), \delta_*)$. This is the required inclusion.




Figure 2: Proof of Proposition 3.6

3.3 Hyperbolicity of periodic orbits

The goal is the following proposition, which completes the proof of the main theorem combining with Propositions 2.1, 2.2, and Corollary 3.5.

Proposition 3.9. *If a $\mathbb{P}A$ flow is topologically transitive and C^2 -regular, then all periodic orbit are hyperbolic.*

Let Φ be a topologically transitive and C^2 -regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow on M with a $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting $TM = E^u + E^s$. Let \mathcal{F}^s be the C^2 foliation generated by E^s and $\text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ the union of non-hyperbolic periodic orbits.

By Proposition 3.4, $\text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ consists of finitely many orbits. Since any parameter change of a C^2 -regular $\mathbb{P}A$ flow is also C^2 -regular, we may assume that there exists a local foliation \mathcal{G} on a neighborhood of $\text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ which is transverse to $T\Phi$ and Φ -invariant, that is, $\mathcal{G}(\Phi^t(z))$ coincides with $\Phi^t(\mathcal{G}(z))$ locally for any $z \in \text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The existence of such a foliation implies that E^u admits a canonical framing.

Fix a family $\{\phi_z\}_{z \in M}$ of local cross sections, and an open neighborhood U_* of $\text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ so that

- $\phi_z([-1, 1] \times y) \subset \mathcal{F}^s(\phi_z(0, y))$ for any $z \in M$ and $y \in [-1, 1]$,
- $D\phi_z^{-1}(E^u(z)) \subset \{a(\partial/\partial x) + b(\partial/\partial y) \mid |a| < |b|/10\}$ for any $z \in M$,
- $\text{Im } \phi_z \subset \mathcal{G}(z)$ and the set $\text{Im } \phi_z \cap \text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ contains at most one point for any $z \in U_*$.

Let $\{r_z^t\}_{(z,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}}$ be the family of local returns associated with $\{\phi_z\}$. Since $\text{Im } \phi_z \subset \mathcal{G}(z)$ for $z \in U_*$ and \mathcal{G} is invariant local foliation, we have

$$\Phi^t \circ r_z^{-t}(z') = z' \quad (6)$$

for any $t \geq 0$, $z \in \bigcap_{t'=0}^t \Phi^{t'}(U_0)$, and $z' \in \bigcap_{t'=0}^t (r_z^{-t'})^{-1}(\text{Im } \phi_{\Phi^{-t'}(z)})$.

We define a map $\psi_z : [-1, 1]^3 \rightarrow M$ by $\psi_z(x, y, s) = \Phi^s \circ \phi_z(x, y)$. Then, there exist $\delta_* > 0$ and $\epsilon_* \in (0, \delta_*/4)$ such that $\psi_{z_*}([-3\delta_*, 3\delta_*]^3) \subset U_*$ for any $z_* \in \text{Per}_*(\Phi)$, $\psi_z|_{[-1, 1]^2 \times [-3\delta_*, 3\delta_*]}$ is an embedding, and

$$\psi_{z'}([-4\epsilon_*, 4\epsilon_*]^3) \subset \psi_z([-2\delta_*, 2\delta_*]^3) \quad (7)$$

for any $z \in M$ and $z' \in \psi_z([-\delta_*, \delta_*])$.

Recall that $D_\Delta(z) = \{z' \in \text{Im } \phi_z \mid d(z, z') \leq \Delta\}$, $I_\Delta^s(z) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} (r_z^t)^{-1}(D_\Delta(z))$, and $I_\Delta^u(z) = \bigcap_{t \leq 0} (r_z^t)^{-1}(D_\Delta(z))$. As we see in Subsection 2, there exists $\Delta_0 > 0$ such that $I_{\Delta_0}^s(z)$ and $I_{\Delta_0}^u(z)$ are intervals tangent to E^s and E^u respectively for any $z \in M$ and $\Delta \in (0, \Delta_0)$. We may assume that $D_{\Delta_0}(z') \subset \psi_z([-2\delta_*, 2\delta_*]^3)$, $|\psi_z^{-1}(I_{\Delta_0}^s(z'))| \leq \epsilon_*$, and $|\psi_z^{-1}(I_{\Delta_0}^u(z'))| \leq \epsilon_*$ for any $z \in M$ and $z' \in \psi_z([-\delta_*, \delta_*]^3)$.

Lemma 3.10. *There exists $\Delta_* \in (0, \Delta_0)$ such that*

$$\Phi^t \circ (r_z^{-t})(J) \subset \bigcup_{s \in [-\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*]} \Phi^s(J), \quad \Phi^{-t} \circ r_z^t(J') \subset \bigcup_{s \in [-\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*]} \Phi^s(J'), \quad (8)$$

for any $z \in M$, $t \geq 0$, $J \subset I_{\Delta_*}^u(z)$ and $J' \subset I_{\Delta_*}^s(z)$.

Proof. Take an open neighborhood U of $\text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ so that $\psi_z([-3\delta_*, 3\delta_*]^3) \subset U_*$ and $U \cap \psi_z([-3\delta_*, 3\delta_*]^3) \subset \psi_z([-\delta_*, \delta_*]^2 \times [-3\delta_*, 3\delta_*])$ for any $z \in U$. Put $U' = \bigcup_{z \in U} \psi_z([-\delta_*, \delta_*]^3)$. We also take $\Delta_1 \in (0, \Delta_0)$ so that $I_{\Delta_1}(z) \cap U = \emptyset$ for any $z \in M \setminus U'$. Notice that the family $\{I_{\Delta_1}^u(z)\}_{z \in M}$ is uniformly transverse to $T\Phi$ and $r_z^{-t}(I_{\Delta_1}^u(z)) \subset I_{\Delta_1}^u(\Phi^{-t}(z))$ for any $t \geq 0$. Since E^u admits a canonical framing, we can apply Proposition 3.6 to U . It implies that the family $\{\Phi^t \circ r_z^{-t}(I_{\Delta_1}^u(z))\}_{z \in M \setminus U', t \geq 0}$ is uniformly transverse to $T\Phi$. In particular, there exists $\Delta'_* \in (0, \Delta_1)$ so that

$$\Phi^t \circ r_z^{-t}(J) \subset \bigcup_{s \in [-\delta, \delta]} \Phi^s(J) \quad (9)$$

for any $z \in M \setminus U'$, $t \geq 0$, and $J \subset I_{\Delta'_*}^u(z)$.

Fix $z_* \in U'$ and $t_* \geq 0$. If $\Phi^{-t}(z_*)$ is contained in U' for any $t \in [0, t_*]$, then we have $\Phi^{t_*} \circ r_{z_*}^{-t_*}(J_*) = J_*$ for $J_* \subset I_{\Delta_1}^u(z_*)$ by the equation (6). It implies that the inclusion (9) holds for $z = z_*$, $t = t_*$, and $J = J_*$.

If $t_1 = \inf\{t \in [0, t_*] \mid \Phi^{-t}(z_*) \in U'\} \leq t_*$, then $\Phi^{t_*-t_1} \circ r_{z_*}^{-t_*}(J_*) \subset \bigcup_{s \in [-\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*]} \Phi^s(r_{z_*}^{-t_1}(J_*))$ for $J_* \subset I_{\Delta_*}^u(z_*)$ by the inclusion (9) for $r_{z_*}^{-t_1}(J_*)$. Since $\Phi^{t_1}(z_*) \in U' \subset U_*$ for $t \in [0, t_1]$, we have $\Phi^{t_1} \circ r_{z_*}^{-t_1}(J_*) = J_*$. Therefore, the inclusion (9) holds for $z = z_*$, $t = t_*$, and $J = J_*$. \square

In order to prove Proposition 3.9, it is sufficient to show that $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ is empty. Assume that $\text{Per}_*^u(\Phi)$ contains a point z_* . Recall that $I_{\Delta_0}^u(z)$ and $I_{\Delta_0}^s(z)$ are C^2 intervals which depend continuously on z . Since $\text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ consists of finitely many periodic orbit and $\text{Per}(\Phi)$ is a dense subset of M , there exists a continuous embedding $H : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow M$ such that

1. $H(0, 0) = z_*$, $z_h = H(1, 1)$ is a hyperbolic periodic point,
2. $H([0, 1] \times 0) \subset I_{\Delta_*}^s(z_*)$,
3. $H(x, \cdot)$ is a C^2 embedding of $[0, 1]$ into $I_{\Delta_*}^u(H(x, 0))$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$, and
4. $H([0, 1] \times y) \subset \mathcal{F}^s(H(0, y))$ for any $y \in [0, 1]$.

Remark that $H([0, 1] \times 0) \subset \text{Im } \phi_{z_*} \subset \mathcal{G}(z_*)$. Since $I_{\Delta_*}^u(H(x, 0)) \subset \psi_{H(x, 0)}([-\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*]^2 \times 0) \subset \mathcal{G}(H(x, 0)) = \mathcal{G}(z_*)$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$, we have $\text{Im } H \subset \psi_{z_*}([-2\delta_*, 2\delta_*]^2 \times 0)$. In particular, we have $I_{\Delta_*}^s(H(x, 0)) \subset \mathcal{F}^s(z_*) \cap \mathcal{G}(z_*)$. Hence, by replace H with a smaller one, we may assume that $H([0, 1] \times 0) \subset I_{\Delta_*}^s(H(x, 0))$ for any $y \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 3.11. $\{\text{dist}(r_{H(1,0)}^{-t}, H(1 \times [0, 1]))\}_{t \geq 0}$ is bounded and $\{\text{dist}(r_{z_*}^{-t}, H(0 \times [0, 1]))\}_{t \geq 0}$ is unbounded.

Proof. Take $K > 0$ so that $\text{dist}(r_z^{-t}, I) \leq K \cdot |I|$ for any $z \in M$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and $I \subset I_{\Delta_*}^u(z)$. Since $z_h = H(1, 1)$ is a hyperbolic periodic orbit and $H(1, [0, 1])$ is tangent to E^u , there exist $C_h > 0$ and $\lambda_h > 1$ such that $|r_{H(1,0)}^{-t}(H(1 \times [0, 1]))| \leq C_h \lambda_h^{-t}$ for any $t \geq 0$. Hence, we have

$$\text{dist}(r_{H(1,0)}^{-n}, H(1 \times [0, 1])) \leq K \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} C_h \lambda_h^{-m} < C_h (1 - \lambda_h)^{-1}.$$

It implies the former assertion of the lemma.

Let T_* be the period of z_* . Since $(r_{z_*}^{-nT_*}|_{H(0 \times [0, 1])})'(z_*) = 1$ for any $n \geq 0$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |r_{z_*}^{-t}(H(0 \times [0, 1]))| \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |r_{z_*}^{-t}(I_{\Delta_*}^u(z_*))| = 0,$$

we obtain the latter assertion of the lemma. \square

For $t \geq 0$, we define maps $H_t : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow M$ and $h_t : H_t(0 \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow H_t(1 \times [0, 1])$ by $H_t(x, y) = r_{H(x,0)}^{-t} \circ H(x, y)$ and $h_t \circ H_t(0, y) = H_t(1, y)$. See Figure 3. The map h_t is a holonomy map of \mathcal{F}^s and it satisfies the equation

$$r_{H(1,0)}^{-t} \circ h_0 \circ H(0, y) = h_t \circ r_{z_*}^{-t} \circ H(0, y). \quad (10)$$

Hence, our goal is to show that $\{\text{dist}(h_t^{-1}, H_t(1 \times [0, 1]))\}_{t \geq 0}$ is bounded.




Figure 3: The holonomy map h_t

For $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \geq 0$, we define an interval $L(x, t) \subset [0, 1]$ by $H(L(x, t) \times 0) = r_{H_t(x,0)}^t(I_{\Delta_*}^s(H_t(x, 0))) \cap H([0, 1] \times 0)$. It is well-defined since $H([0, 1] \times 0) \subset I_{\Delta_*}^s(H(x, 0))$ and $H_t(x, 0) = \Phi^{-t} \circ H(x, 0)$. We remark that $H_t(L(x, t) \times 0) = I_{\Delta_*}^s(H_t(x, 0))$ if $L(x, t) \cap \{0, 1\} = \emptyset$. Put

$$P(x, t) = \{\Phi^s \circ H_t(x', y') \mid x' \in L(x, t), y \in [0, 1], s \in [-\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*]\}.$$

By Lemma 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{H_t(x', y') \mid x' \in L(x, t), y \in [0, 1]\} &\subset \bigcup_{s \in [-\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*]} \bigcup_{z' \in I_{\Delta_*}^s(H(x, 0))} \Phi^s(I_{\Delta_*}^u(z')) \\ &\subset \psi_{H_t(x, 0)}([-2\epsilon_*, 2\epsilon_*]^2). \end{aligned}$$

It implies that $P(x, t) \subset \psi_z([-2\delta_*, 2\delta_*]^3)$ for any $z \in M$ with $H_t(x, 0) \in \psi_z([\delta_*, \delta_*]^3)$.

Lemma 3.12. *There exist $K_* \geq 0$ and $t_* \geq 0$ such that $|H_t(x \times [0, 1])| \leq K_* \cdot \text{vol}(P(x, t))$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \geq t_*$ with $L(x, t) \cap \{0, 1\} = \emptyset$, where $\text{vol}(\cdot)$ is a fixed smooth volume on M .*

Proof. By the continuity of $\{\psi_z\}_{z \in M}$, there exists $K_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in M} \{|\det \psi_z^{-1}|, \|D\psi_z\|, \|D\psi_z^{-1}\|\} \leq K_1.$$

Put $J_1(x, t) = \pi_{xy} \circ \psi_{H_t(x, 0)}^{-1} \circ H_t(L(x, t) \times 0)$ and $J_2(x, t) = \pi_{xy} \circ \psi_{H_t(x, 0)}^{-1} \circ H_t(x \times [0, 1])$, where $\pi_{xy}(x, y, s) = (x, y)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(x, t) &= \phi_{H_t(x, 0)}^{-1}(I_{\Delta_*}^s(H_t(x, t))) \subset [-\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*] \times 0, \\ J_2(x, t) &\subset \phi_{H_t(x, 0)}^{-1}(I_{\Delta_*}^u(H_t(x, 0))), \\ \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\psi_{H_t(x, 0)}^{-1}(P(x, t))) &= 2\epsilon_* \cdot \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\pi_{xy} \circ \psi_{H_t(x, 0)}^{-1}(P(x, t))) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \geq 0$ with $L(x, t) \cap \{0, 1\} = \emptyset$, where $\text{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

By the continuity of $I_{\Delta_*}^s(z)$, we can take $\alpha_* > 0$ such that $|\phi_z^{-1}(I_{\Delta_*}^s(z))| \geq \alpha_*$ for any $z \in M$. In particular, $|J_1(x, t)| \geq \alpha_*$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in [0, 1]$ with $L(x, t) \cap \{0, 1\} = \emptyset$. Since $\phi_z^{-1}(E^u)$ is contained in a cone $\{a(\partial/\partial x) + b(\partial/\partial y) \mid |a| \leq (1/10)|b|\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_y(J_2(x, t))| &\geq |J_2(x, t)|/2, \\ \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\pi_{xy} \circ \psi_{H_t(x, 0)}^{-1}(P(x, t))) &\geq (|J_1(x, t)| - (1/5)|J_2(x, t)|) \cdot |\pi_y(J_2(x, t))|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|J_2(x, t)| \leq K_1 r_{H_t(x, 0)}^{-t} (I_{\Delta_*}^u(H(x, 0)))$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in M} |r_z^{-t}(I_{\Delta_*}^u(z))| = 0$, there exists $t_* \geq 0$ such that $|J_2(x, t)| \leq \alpha_*$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \geq t_*$. Then, we obtain $|H_t(x \times [0, 1])| \leq 5\alpha_*^{-1} \delta_* K_1^2 \cdot \text{vol}(P(x, t))$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \geq t_*$ with $L(x, t) \cap \{0, 1\} = \emptyset$. \square

Lemma 3.13. *$P(x, t) \cap P(x', t) = \emptyset$ for any $x, x' \in [0, 1]$ and $t \geq 0$ with $L(x, t) \cap L(x', t) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose $P(x, t) \cap P(x', t) \neq \emptyset$ for $x, x' \in [0, 1]$ and $t \geq 0$ with $L(x, t) \cap L(x', t) = \emptyset$. Then, there exist $s_* \in [-2\epsilon_*, 2\epsilon_*]$, $x_1 \in L(x, t)$, $x_2 \in L(x', t)$, and $y_1, y_2 \in [0, 1]$ such that $\Phi^{s_*} \circ H_t(x_1, y_1) = H_t(x_2, y_2)$. By Lemma 3.10,

there exist $s_1, s_2 \in [-\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*]$ such that $\Phi^{s_i+t} \circ H_t(x_i, y_i) = H(x_i, y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, we have $H(x_2, y_2) \in \bigcup_{s \in [-4\epsilon_*, 4\epsilon_*]} \Phi^s \circ H(x_1, y_1)$. However, it cannot occur since $\{\bigcup_{s \in [-3\delta_*, 3\delta_*]} \Phi^s \circ H(x \times [0, 1])\}_{x \in [0, 1]}$ is a family of mutually disjoint surfaces. \square

The following lemma completes the proof of Proposition 3.9. In fact, it contradicts the equation (10) and Lemma 3.11. Recall that Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.5 imply Proposition 3.1. Therefore, the following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.14. $\{\text{dist}(h_t^{-1}, H_t(1 \times [0, 1]))\}_{t \geq 0}$ is bounded.

Proof. If $z' \in \psi_z([- \delta_*, \delta_*]^2 \times 0)$, then the holonomy map $\rho_{z, z'} : I_{\Delta_*}^u(z) \rightarrow I_{\Delta_*}^u(z')$ of \mathcal{F}^s along any curve in $\psi_z([-3\delta_*, 3\delta_*]^2 \times 0)$ is well-defined and depends continuously on z and z' as a C^2 map. Take $K \geq 0$ so that $\text{dist}(\rho_{z, z'}, I) \leq K|I|$ for any such a pair (z, z') and $I \subset I_{\Delta_*}^u(z)$. For each $t \geq 0$, there exists an increasing sequence $(x_i^t \in [0, 1])_{i=0}^{m_t}$ such that $x_0^t = 0$, $x_1^t = 1$, and $L(x_i^t, t) \cap L(x_j^t, t) = \emptyset$ if $j \geq i + 2$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(h_t^{-1}, H_t(0 \times [0, 1])) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m_*-1} \text{dist}(\rho_{H_t(x_{i+1}^t, 0), H_t(x_i^t, 0)}) |H_t(x_{i+1}^t \times [0, 1])| \\ &\leq K \sum_{i=0}^{m_*-1} |H_t(x_{i+1}^t \times [0, 1])|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.12, there exist $K_* > 0$ and $t_* > 0$ such that $|H_t(x_i^t \times [0, 1])| \leq K_* \text{vol}(P(x, t))$ for any $t \geq t_*$ and $i = 3, 4, \dots, m_t - 2$. Lemma 3.13 implies that the set $\{i = 0, \dots, m_t \mid z \in P(x_i^t, t)\}$ consists at most 3 elements for any $z \in M$ and $t \geq 0$. Therefore, $\{\text{dist}(h_t, H_t(0 \times [0, 1]))\}_{t \geq 0}$ is bounded. \square

4 Foliations with tangentially contracting flows

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.5 and 1.6.

First, we show Theorem 1.5 by assuming Theorem 1.6. Recall that GA is generated by two one-parameter subgroups $\{a^s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{b^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with a relation $a^s \cdot b^t = b^{\exp(s)t} \cdot a^s$. Suppose that GA acts locally freely on a closed three-dimensional manifold M . Let Φ be the flow generated by the action of $\{a^{-s}\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$. Since Φ is tangentially contracting with respect to the orbit foliation of the action of GA, Theorem 1.6 implies that Φ is topologically equivalent to an algebraic Anosov flow. In particular, up to finite cover, M is diffeomorphic to the torus-bundle over the circle with a hyperbolic monodromy or the unit tangent bundle over an orientable closed surface with genus greater than one. Ghys and Sergiescu [13, Théorème 1] and Ghys [12, Théorème 5.3] classified foliations without compact leaves on such manifolds. Since the orbit foliation of GA has no compact leaves, their results imply Theorem 1.5.

In the rest of the section, we show Theorem 1.6. Let \mathcal{F} be a C^2 foliation on a closed three dimensional manifold M . Suppose that \mathcal{F} admits a C^2 tangentially contracting flow Φ . Let $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ be constant such that $\|N\Phi^t|_{(T\mathcal{F}/T\Phi)(z)}\| \leq C\lambda^{-t}$ for any $z \in M$ and $t \geq 0$. Our goal is to show that Φ is topologically equivalent to an algebraic Anosov flow. By results of Barbot [4, Théorème 5.1] and Ghys [12, Théorème 4.1, 4.7], it is sufficient to show that Φ is an Anosov flow.

Lemma 4.1. *Φ is a $\mathbb{P}A$ flow.*

Proof. Let $N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi$ be the flow on $TM/T\mathcal{F}$ induced from Φ . Let S_* be the set of points $z \in M$ that satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi_z^t\| \leq -\frac{\log \lambda}{2}. \quad (11)$$

We will show that S_* must be empty. Once it is shown, the compactness of M implies that there exists $C' > 0$ such that $\|N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi_z^t\| \geq C'\lambda^{-t/2}$ for any $z \in M$ and $t \geq 0$. Then, by the same calculation as Lemma 3.7, we may take a closed cone field C^u on $TM/T\Phi$ which is transverse to $T\mathcal{F}/T\Phi$ and satisfies $N\Phi^t(C^u(z)) \subset \text{Int } C^u(\Phi^t(z)) \cup \{0\}$ for any $z \in M$ and $t \geq 0$. By the standard argument, we can show that there exists a Φ -invariant plane field E^u transverse to $T\mathcal{F}$. It is easy to check that $TM = E^u + T\mathcal{F}$ is a $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting for Φ .

Now, we show that S_* must be empty. Suppose that S_* contains a point z_0 . First, we claim that Φ admits an attracting periodic point. By taking a limit of experimental measures on $\{\Phi^t(z_0) \mid t \in [0, T]\}$ with $T \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain a Φ -invariant Borel probability measure m_* with

$$\int_M \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \log \|N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi_z^t\| \right) dm_*(z) \leq -\frac{\log \lambda}{2}.$$

It implies that there exists an m_* -positive measure subset U_* of M on which all Lyapunov exponents are negative. By Pesin theory, the ω -limit set of any point of U_* is an attracting periodic point.

Suppose that z_a is an attracting periodic point of Φ . Since Φ is tangentially contracting, by an easy argument using a family of local cross-sections and local returns, we can see that $W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_a)) = \bigcup_{z \in W^s(\mathcal{O}(z_a))} \mathcal{F}(z)$ and any neighborhood A of $\mathcal{O}(z_a)$ in $\mathcal{F}(z_a)$ satisfy $\bigcup_{t \geq 0} \Phi^{-t}(A) = \mathcal{F}(z_a)$ and $\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \Phi^t(A) = \mathcal{O}(z_a)$. In particular, the leaf $\mathcal{F}^s(z_a)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. For any compact neighborhood A of $\mathcal{O}(z_a)$ in $\mathcal{F}(z_a)$, there exists a compact neighborhood U of $\mathcal{O}(z_a)$ in M such that $\partial A \cap U = \emptyset$ and $\Phi^t(U) \subset \text{Int } U$ for any $t \geq 0$. It is easy to see that such U satisfies $U \cap \mathcal{F}(z_a) = U \cap A$. It implies that $\mathcal{F}(z_a)$ is a proper leaf. By Lemma 2.15, $\mathcal{F}^s(z_a)$ has trivial holonomy. It contradicts that $\mathcal{O}(z_a)$ is an attracting periodic orbit. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Φ is topologically transitive.*

Proof. By the same argument as above, if z_0 is a u -irregular periodic point, then $\mathcal{F}(z_0)$ is semi-proper. By the strong stable manifold theorem, each leaf of \mathcal{F} is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 or $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Since \mathcal{F} has no closed leaves and no exceptional minimal sets by Duminy's theorem, each leaf of \mathcal{F} is dense in M . In particular, any periodic point is u -regular. Since any periodic point is also s -regular trivially, we can apply the proof of Proposition 2.3 in Subsection 2.1. It implies that Φ is topologically transitive. \square

Now, we proof Theorem 1.6. As we mentioned above, it is sufficient to show that Φ is Anosov. By the above lemmas, Φ is a topologically transitive $\mathbb{P}A$ flow. Let $TM = E^s + E^u$ be the $\mathbb{P}A$ splitting for Φ . Since Φ is tangentially contracting with respect to \mathcal{F} , we have $T\mathcal{F} = E^s$.

By Proposition 3.4, there are at most finitely many non-hyperbolic periodic orbits. Notice that any time-change of Φ preserves each leaf of \mathcal{F} and is tangentially contracting with respect to \mathcal{F} . Hence, we may assume that there is an invariant local foliation \mathcal{G} transverse to $T\Phi$ on a neighborhood of $\text{Per}_*(\Phi)$ (see the beginning of Subsection 3.3). Since Φ is $\mathbb{P}A$ and is tangentially contracting, there exist a Φ -invariant subbundle E^{ss} of E^s and constants $C_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_1 > 1$ such that $E^s = E^{ss} \oplus T\Phi$ and $\|D\Phi^t|_{E^{ss}(z)}\| \leq C_1 \lambda_1^{-t}$ for any $z \in M$ and $t \geq 0$. Hence, the counterpart of Proposition 3.6 to E^s holds trivially. It allows us to apply the argument in Subsection 3.3 to Φ , and we can show Proposition 3.9 for Φ . Therefore, Φ is an Anosov flow by Proposition 3.4.

References

- [1] A. Arroyo and F. Rodriguez Hertz, Homoclinic bifurcations and uniform hyperbolicity for three-dimensional flows. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **20** (2003), 805–841.
- [2] M. Asaoka, Classification of regular and non-degenerate projectively Anosov diffeomorphisms on three dimensional manifolds, preprint, <http://www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~asaoka/papers/regular.pdf>
- [3] M. Asaoka, A classification of three dimensional regular projectively Anosov flows. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **80** (2004), no. 10, 194–197 (2005).
- [4] T. Barbot, Caractérisation des flots d'Anosov en dimension 3 par leurs feuilletages faibles. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **15** (1995), no. 2, 247–270.
- [5] C. Bonatti, L. J. Díaz, M. Viana, Dynamics beyond uniform hyperbolicity. A global geometric and probabilistic perspective. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **102**. Mathematical Physics, III. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 2005.

- [6] J. Cantwell and L. Conlon, The theory of levels. *Index theory of elliptic operators, foliations, and operator algebras (New Orleans, LA/Indianapolis, IN, 1986)*, 1–10, Contemp. Math., 70, AMS, Providence, RI, 1988.
- [7] J. Cantwell and L. Conlon, Reeb stability for noncompact leaves in foliated 3-manifolds. *Proc. Amer.Math.Soc.*, **33**, 1981, no. 2, 408–410.
- [8] J. Cantwell and L. Conlon, Endsets of exceptional leaves; a theorem of G.Duminy. *Foliations: geometry and dynamics (Warsaw, 2000)*, 225–261, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [9] C. I. Doering, Persistently transitive vector fields on three-dimensional manifolds. *Dynamical systems and bifurcation theory (Rio de Janeiro, 1985)*, 59–89, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., **160**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1987.
- [10] Y. Eliashberg and W. Thurston, Confoliations. University Lecture Series, **13**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [11] E. Ghys, Actions localement libres du groupe affine. *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985), no.3, 479–526.
- [12] E. Ghys, Rigidité différentiable des groupes fuchiens. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **78** (1993), 163–185.
- [13] E. Ghys, V. Sergiescu, Stabilité et conjugaison différentiable pour certains feuilletages. *Topology* **19** (1980), no. 2, 179–197.
- [14] A. J. Homburg, Piecewise smooth interval maps with non-vanishing derivative. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **20** (2000), no. 3, 749–773.
- [15] R. Mañé, Hyperbolicity, sinks and measure in one-dimensional dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **100** (1985), no. 4, 495–524. and Erratum. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **112** (1987), no. 4, 721–724.
- [16] Y. Mitsumatsu, Anosov flows and non-stein symplectic manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **45** (1995), no. 5, 1407–1421.
- [17] Y. Mitsumatsu, Foliations and contact structures on 3-manifolds. *Foliations: geometry and dynamics (Warsaw, 2000)*, 75–125, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [18] W. de Melo, S. van Strien, Sebastian, One-dimensional dynamics. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*, 25. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [19] R.Moussu and R.Roussarie, Relations de conjugaison et de cobordisme entre certains feuilletages. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **43** (1974), 142–168.

- [20] S. Newhouse and J. Palis, Hyperbolic nonwandering sets on two-dimensional manifolds. *Dynamical systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971)*, pp. 293–301. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [21] T. Noda, Projectively Anosov flows with differentiable (un)stable foliations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **50** (2000), no. 5, 1617–1647.
- [22] T. Noda, Regular projectively Anosov flows with compact leaves. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **54** (2004), no. 2, 353–363.
- [23] T. Noda, T. Tsuboi, Regular projectively Anosov flows without compact leaves. *Foliations: geometry and dynamics (Warsaw, 2000)*, 403–419, *World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ*, 2002.
- [24] J. Palis and F. Takens, Hyperbolicity and sensitive chaotic dynamics at homoclinic bifurcations. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, **35**. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1993.
- [25] M. Shub, Global stability of dynamical systems. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1987.
- [26] T. Tsuboi, Regular projectively Anosov flows on the Seifert fibered 3-manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **56** (2004), no. 4, 1233–1253.