

# (IR)REDUCIBILITY OF SOME COMMUTING VARIETIES ASSOCIATED WITH INVOLUTIONS

OKSANA YAKIMOVA

## INTRODUCTION

The ground field  $\mathbb{k}$  is algebraically closed and of characteristic zero. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a reductive algebraic Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{k}$  and  $\sigma$  an involutory automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$  is the direct sum of  $\sigma$ -eigenspaces. Here  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a reductive subalgebra and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module. Let  $G$  be the adjoint group of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $G_0 \subset G$  a connected subgroup with  $\text{Lie } G_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$ . The *commuting variety* of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  is the following set:

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid [x, y] = 0\}.$$

The problem whether  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is irreducible was considered by Panyushev [6], [7] and Sabourin-Yu [9], [10]. Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple. Then the known results are

- if the rank of the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  is equal to the semisimple rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (called the maximal rank case), then the corresponding commuting variety is irreducible, [6];
- if the rank of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  equals 1, then  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is irreducible only in one case, namely,  $(\mathfrak{so}_{m+1}, \mathfrak{so}_m)$ , [7], [9];
- for  $(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$  and  $(E_6, F_4)$  the corresponding commuting variety is irreducible, [7];
- if  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0) = (\mathfrak{so}_{2+m}, \mathfrak{so}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_m)$ , then  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is irreducible, [10].

For all other symmetric pairs the problem is open. In sections 1–3, we extend the result of [10] to all symmetric pairs  $(\mathfrak{so}_{n+m}, \mathfrak{so}_n \oplus \mathfrak{so}_m)$ . The scheme of the proof is similar to that of [10]. But as it often happens, the argument in a general situation is shorter and simpler, than in a particular case.

In [7], it was conjectured that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is irreducible if the rank of the symmetric pair is greater than 1. This conjecture is not true. In section 4, we prove that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is reducible for symmetric pairs  $(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+m}, \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_m)$  with  $n \neq m$ ,  $(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}, \mathfrak{gl}_n)$  with odd  $n$ , and  $(E_6, \mathfrak{so}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{k})$ .

## 1. COMMUTING VARIETY

The commuting variety  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, y] = 0\}$  of a reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  was considered by Richardson in [8], where he shows that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g})$  coincides with the closure of  $G(\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t})$  for any Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , and is therefore irreducible. It is not yet known whether  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g})$  is normal or whether the ideal of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by quadrics.

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  be a symmetric pair and  $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  a maximal abelian subspace consisting of semisimple elements. Any such subspace is called a *Cartan subspace* of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . All Cartan subspaces are  $G_0$ -conjugate, see [3]. The dimension of  $\mathfrak{c}$  is called the *rank* of the symmetric

pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ . It is well-known that  $\mathfrak{C}_0 = \overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{c})}$  is the unique irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  of maximal dimension, see [6, Sect. 3]. Here  $\dim \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_1 + \dim \mathfrak{c}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathfrak{C}_0$ .

The irreducibility problem for  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  was first considered by Panyushev in [6]. As was mentioned above,  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is not always irreducible. On the other hand, in some particular cases one can say more about properties of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ . If  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  is a symmetric pair of maximal rank, then  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is a normal complete intersection and the ideal of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  in  $\mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1]$  is generated by quadrics, see [6].

Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. The set

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^{(n)} = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid \dim G_0\xi = n\}$$

is locally closed. Irreducible components of  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{(n)}$  are called  $G_0$ -sheets of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . The following statement is well-known for the specialists, but we include a proof here for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $S$  be a  $G_0$ -sheet of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  containing semisimple elements. Suppose for each semisimple  $h \in S$  we have  $(\{h\} \times (\mathfrak{g}_1)_h) \subset \mathfrak{C}_0$ . Then  $(\{x\} \times (\mathfrak{g}_1)_x) \subset \mathfrak{C}_0$  for each  $x \in S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in S$ . Since  $S$  contains semisimple elements, they form a dense subset. Therefore, we can find a morphism  $\gamma : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow S$  such that  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(t)$  is semisimple for each  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}_1)_x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\gamma(t)}$ , where the limit is taken in an appropriate Grassmannian. For each  $y \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_x$ , we can define elements  $y(t) \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\gamma(t)}$  such that  $y = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} y(t)$ . Since  $(x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\gamma(t), y(t))$  and  $(\gamma(t), y(t)) \in \mathfrak{C}_0$  for each  $t \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{C}_0$ .  $\square$

## 2. SEMISIMPLE AND NILPOTENT ELEMENTS IN $\mathfrak{g}_1$

In this section  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{n+m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}_n \oplus \mathfrak{so}_m$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{k}^{n+m}$  be a vector space of the defining representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we have a  $G_0$ -invariant decomposition  $V = V_a \oplus V_b$ , where  $V_a = \mathbb{k}^n$ ,  $V_b = \mathbb{k}^m$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cong \mathbb{k}^n \otimes \mathbb{k}^m$  as a  $G_0$ -module. Denote by  $(\ , \ )$  the non-degenerate symmetric  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant bilinear form on  $V$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_h$  be the centraliser of an element  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ . Then  $\sigma$  induces the symmetric decomposition  $(\mathfrak{g}_h) = (\mathfrak{g}_0)_h \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_1)_h$ , where  $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_h$  is the centraliser of  $h$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . First we describe centralisers  $\mathfrak{g}_h$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_h$  of semisimple elements  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a semisimple element. Then the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_h, (\mathfrak{g}_0)_h)$  is a direct sum  $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\mathfrak{gl}_{k_i}, \mathfrak{so}_{k_i})) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}_{n+m-2k}, \mathfrak{so}_{n-k} \oplus \mathfrak{so}_{m-k})$ , where  $k = \sum_i k_i$ .*

*Proof.* Recall several well-known facts about semisimple elements of  $\mathfrak{so}_{n+m}$ . Let  $v_\lambda \in V$  be an eigenvector of  $h$  such that  $h \cdot v_\lambda = \lambda v_\lambda$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Since  $h$  preserves the symmetric form  $(\ , \ )$ , we have  $(v_\lambda, v_\lambda) = 0$ . Also if  $h \cdot v = \lambda v$ ,  $h \cdot w = \mu w$ , then  $(v, w) \neq 0$  only if  $\lambda = -\mu$ . Let  $\{\pm \lambda_i, 0 \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$  be the set of the eigenvalues of  $h$ . Then there is an orthogonal  $h$ -invariant decomposition

$$V = (V_{\lambda_1} \oplus V_{-\lambda_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus (V_{\lambda_r} \oplus V_{-\lambda_r}) \oplus V_0.$$

Here each  $V_{\lambda_i}$  is an isotropic subspace,  $(V_{\lambda_i}, V_{\pm\lambda_j}) = 0$  if  $\lambda_i \neq \pm\lambda_j$  and  $(V_0, V_{\pm\lambda_i}) = 0$  for each  $\lambda_i$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{g}_h \subset (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{so}(V_{\lambda_i} \oplus V_{-\lambda_i})) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(V_0)$ . More precisely, if  $\dim V_{\lambda_i} = k_i$  and  $k = \sum_i k_i$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_h = (\bigoplus_i \mathfrak{gl}_{k_i}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}_{n+m-2k}$ .

Now it remains to describe  $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_h = (\mathfrak{g}_h)^\sigma$ . We may assume that  $\sigma$  is a conjugation by a diagonal matrix  $A \in O_{n+m}$  such that  $A|_{V_a} = -\text{id}$  and  $A|_{V_b} = \text{id}$ . Since  $\sigma(h) = -h$ , we have  $A \cdot V_{\lambda_i} = V_{-\lambda_i}$  and  $A \cdot V_0 = V_0$ . Moreover,  $A$  determines a non-degenerate symmetric form  $(\ , \ )_A$  on each  $V_{\lambda_i}$  by the formula  $(v, w)_A = (v, A \cdot w)$ . Therefore, each  $\mathfrak{so}(V_{\lambda_i} \oplus V_{-\lambda_i})$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant,  $(\mathfrak{so}(V_{\lambda_i} \oplus V_{-\lambda_i}))^\sigma \cong \mathfrak{so}_{k_i} \oplus \mathfrak{so}_{k_i}$ , and  $(\mathfrak{gl}_{k_i})^\sigma = \mathfrak{so}_{k_i}$ . Finally, the restriction  $A|_{V_0}$  has signature  $(n-k, m-k)$ . Thus  $(\mathfrak{so}_{n+m-2k})^\sigma = \mathfrak{so}_{n-k} \oplus \mathfrak{so}_{m-k}$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  the *nullcone* of  $G_0 : \mathfrak{g}_1$ , i.e., the set of all nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . Recall several standard facts concerning nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Suppose  $e \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is nilpotent and  $m = \dim \text{Ker}(e)$ . Then by the theory of Jordan normal form, there are vectors  $w_1, \dots, w_m \in V$  and non-negative integers  $d_1, \dots, d_m$  such that  $e^{d_i+1} \cdot w_i = 0$  and  $\{e^s \cdot w_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq s \leq d_i\}$  is a basis for  $V$ . Let  $V_i \subset V$  be a linear span of  $\{w_i, e \cdot w_i, \dots, e^{d_i} \cdot w_i\}$ . Then the spaces  $\{V_i\}$  are called the Jordan (or *cyclic*) spaces of the nilpotent element  $e$  and  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $e \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ . Then the cyclic vectors  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m$  and hence the cyclic spaces  $\{V_i\}$ 's can be chosen such that the following properties are satisfied:*

- (i) *there is an involution  $i \mapsto i^*$  on the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $d_i = d_{i^*}$ ,  $i = i^*$  if and only if  $\dim V_i$  is odd, and  $(V_i, V_j) = 0$  if  $i \neq j^*$ ;*
- (ii)  $\sigma(w_i) = \pm w_i$ .

*Proof.* Part (i) is a standard property of the nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ , see, for example, [1, Sect. 5.1] or [2, Sect. 1]. Then part (ii) says that in the presence of the involution  $\sigma$  cyclic vectors for  $e \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  can be chosen to be  $\sigma$ -eigenvectors, see [4, Prop. 2].  $\square$

For each  $e \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  we choose cyclic vectors  $\{w_i\}$  as prescribed by Lemma 3. Say that  $e^s \cdot w_i$  has type  $a$  if  $\sigma(e^s \cdot w_i) = -e^s \cdot w_i$ , i.e.,  $e^s \cdot w_i \in V_a$ ; and  $e^s \cdot w_i$  has type  $b$  if  $e^s \cdot w_i \in V_b$ . Since  $\sigma(e) = -e$ , if  $e^s \cdot w_i \in V_a$ , then  $e^{s+1} \cdot w_i \in V_b$  and vice versa. Therefore each string  $\langle w_i, e \cdot w_i, \dots, e^{d_i} \cdot w_i \rangle$  has one of the following types:

$$aba \dots ab, \quad bab \dots ba, \quad aba \dots ba, \quad bab \dots ab.$$

Let  $e \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ . There is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\{e, f, h\}$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Recall that  $e$  is called *even* if the eigenvalues of  $\text{ad}(h)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  are even. An element  $e \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -*distinguished* (in other notations  $\mathfrak{p}$ - or  $(-1)$ -distinguished) if  $(\mathfrak{g}_1)_e$  contains no semisimple elements of  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ .

**Lemma 4.** *In case  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0) = (\mathfrak{so}_{n+m}, \mathfrak{so}_n \oplus \mathfrak{so}_m)$  each  $\sigma$ -distinguished element  $e \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is even.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{V_i\}$  be the cyclic spaces of  $e \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  chosen as prescribed by Lemma 3. Suppose there is an even-dimensional  $V_i$ . According to [4, Prop. 2], if  $V_i$  has type  $aba \dots ab$ , then  $V_{i^*}$  has type  $bab \dots ba$ , i.e., if  $\sigma(w_i) = -w_i$ , then  $\sigma(w_{i^*}) = w_{i^*}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a Levi subalgebra of

$(\mathfrak{so}(V_i \oplus V_{i^*}))_e$ . We may assume that  $\mathfrak{l}$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant. Then  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{K}w_i \oplus \mathbb{K}w_{i^*}) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ . The restriction of  $\sigma$  defines a symmetric decomposition  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{l}^\sigma = \mathfrak{so}_2$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{l}_1 = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$  contains semisimple elements. This means that  $e$  is not  $\sigma$ -distinguished. Hence, all  $V_i$  are odd-dimensional and  $e$  is even.  $\square$

### 3. $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ IS IRREDUCIBLE IN CASE OF $(\mathfrak{so}_{n+m}, \mathfrak{so}_n \oplus \mathfrak{so}_m)$

In this section we prove that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is irreducible in case  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0) = (\mathfrak{so}_{n+m}, \mathfrak{so}_n \oplus \mathfrak{so}_m)$ .

The following lemma is taken from [10], but the proof given here is shorter. Note that this lemma is valid for any symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose  $e \in \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is even. Then  $e$  belongs to a  $G_0$ -sheet containing semisimple elements.*

*Proof.* Let  $(e, f, h)$  be an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple such that  $f \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Since  $e$  is even, we have  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_h = \dim \mathfrak{g}_e$ . Set  $e(t) := e - t^2 f$  for  $t \in \mathbb{K}$ . If  $t \neq 0$ , then  $e(t)$  is semisimple and conjugated to  $th$ . Therefore  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{e(t)} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_h = \dim \mathfrak{g}_e$ . Clearly  $e(0) = e = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e(t)$  and the  $G_0$ -sheet containing  $e$  contains also semisimple elements  $e(t)$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0) = (\mathfrak{so}_{n+m}, \mathfrak{so}_n \oplus \mathfrak{so}_m)$  and let  $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a Cartan subspace.

**Theorem 1.** *The commuting variety  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathfrak{C}_0 = \overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{c})}$ . Following the original proof of Richardson [8] (see also [7, Sect. 2]), we show by induction on  $\dim \mathfrak{c}$  that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathfrak{C}_0$ . The base of induction is the rank 1 case  $(\mathfrak{so}_{n+1}, \mathfrak{so}_n)$ , where the irreducibility of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is proved in [7], [9]. Let  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ .

(1) Suppose there is a semisimple element  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  such that  $[h, x] = [h, y] = 0$ . This assumption is automatically satisfied if either  $x$  or  $y$  is semisimple. Moreover, if  $x$  (or  $y$ ) is not nilpotent and  $x = x_s + x_n$  is the Jordan decomposition, then  $x_s \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $[x_s, x] = 0$ ,  $[x_s, y] = 0$ .

Consider the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_h, (\mathfrak{g}_0)_h)$ . Replacing  $\mathfrak{c}$  by a conjugated Cartan subspace, we may assume that  $h \in \mathfrak{c}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a Cartan subspace of  $(\mathfrak{g}_1)_h$ . Also,  $x, y \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_h$  by the assumption. By Lemma 2,  $(\mathfrak{g}_h, (\mathfrak{g}_0)_h) = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\mathfrak{gl}_{k_i}, \mathfrak{so}_{k_i})) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}_{n+m-2k}, \mathfrak{so}_{n-k} \oplus \mathfrak{so}_{m-k})$ . Note that each  $(\mathfrak{gl}_{k_i}, \mathfrak{so}_{k_i})$  is a symmetric pair of maximal rank, hence, the corresponding commuting variety is irreducible, see [6, (3.5)(1)]. Clearly, the commuting variety corresponding to a direct sum of symmetric pairs is a direct product of the commuting varieties corresponding to the summands. Therefore, using the inductive hypothesis, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{C}((\mathfrak{g}_1)_h)$  is irreducible. Thus  $(x, y) \in \overline{(G_0)_h(\mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{c})}$  and, hence,  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{C}_0$ .

(2) It remains to consider pairs of commuting nilpotent elements. Suppose first that there is a semisimple element  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  such that  $[x, h] = 0$ . Then  $(x, (1-t)y + th) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $(1-t)y + th$  is nilpotent only for a finite number of  $t$ 's. Therefore, by part (1), one has  $(x, (1-t)y + th) \in \mathfrak{C}_0$  for almost all  $t$ . Since  $y = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (1-t)y + th$ , we get  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{C}_0$ .

(3) Now we may assume that both  $x$  and  $y$  are  $\sigma$ -distinguished nilpotent elements. According to Lemma 4,  $x$  is even. Then, by Lemma 5,  $x$  belongs to a  $G_0$ -sheet containing

semisimple elements. According to part (1), the assumptions of Lemma 1 are satisfied and it follows that  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{C}_0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. SEVERAL NEW EXAMPLES OF REDUCIBLE COMMUTING VARIETIES

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(1)$  be a short grading of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Set  $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{g}(0)$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  is a symmetric pair with  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(1)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a Cartan subspace and  $\mathfrak{c}(-1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{c}(1)$  the images of  $\mathfrak{c}$  under projections on  $\mathfrak{g}(-1)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(1)$ , respectively.

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  is irreducible. Then*

$$(\spadesuit) \quad \overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c}(1) \times \mathfrak{c}(1))} = \mathfrak{g}(1) \times \mathfrak{g}(1).$$

*Proof.* Since each  $\mathfrak{g}(-1)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(1)$  consists of nilpotent elements, we have  $\dim \mathfrak{c}(\pm 1) = \dim \mathfrak{c}$  and  $\mathfrak{c} \cong \text{diag}(\mathfrak{c}(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{c}(1))$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{g}(1) \times \mathfrak{g}(1) \subset \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathfrak{C}_0 = \overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{c})}$ , we get  $\mathfrak{g}(1) \times \mathfrak{g}(1) = \mathfrak{g}(1) \times \mathfrak{g}(1) \cap \mathfrak{C}_0 = \overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c}(1) \times \mathfrak{c}(1))}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** *If condition  $(\spadesuit)$  is not satisfied, then  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  has at least three irreducible components.*

Now we give three examples of symmetric pairs arising from short gradings such that condition  $(\spadesuit)$  is not satisfied for them.

*Example 1.* Suppose  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0) = (\mathfrak{gl}_{n+m}, \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_m)$ . Let  $V$  be a  $(n+m)$ -dimensional vector space such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Let  $V = V_a \oplus V_b$  be the  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -invariant decomposition with  $\dim V_a = n$ ,  $\dim V_b = m$ . Then the involution  $\sigma$  is induced by a diagonal matrix  $A \in \text{GL}(V)$  such that  $A|_{V_a} = -\text{id}$ ,  $A|_{V_b} = \text{id}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{g}(1) = \text{Hom}(V_a, V_b)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}(-1) = \text{Hom}(V_b, V_a)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(-1)$ . Assume that  $n \leq m$ . Suppose  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $[\xi, \eta] = 0$ . If  $\xi = X + Y$ ,  $\eta = Z + U$ , where  $X, Z \in \text{Hom}(V_a, V_b)$ ,  $Y, U \in \text{Hom}(V_b, V_a)$ , then we set  $D_1(\xi, \eta) := (X|Z)$ , where  $(X|Z)$  is an  $m \times 2n$  matrix.

Now suppose  $n \neq m$ , i.e.,  $n < m$ . We show that in this case condition  $(\spadesuit)$  is not satisfied. Let  $\mathfrak{c} = \{X + X^t \mid X = (x_{ij}), x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a Cartan subspace. Then  $\mathfrak{c}(1) = \{X = (x_{ij}) \mid x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j\}$ . It is clear, that  $\text{rk} D_1(t, h) \leq n$  for each pair  $(t, h) \in \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $g \in G_0$ . Then  $g = B \times C$ , where  $B \in \text{GL}_n = \text{GL}(V_a)$ ,  $C \in \text{GL}_m = \text{GL}(V_b)$ . If  $\xi = X + Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , then  $\text{Ad}(g) \cdot \xi = C X B^{-1} + B Y C^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $g \cdot D_1(\xi, \eta) := D_1(\text{Ad}(g) \cdot \xi, \text{Ad}(g) \cdot \eta) = C D_1(\xi, \eta) \hat{B}$ , where

$$\hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & B^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a non-degenerate  $2n \times 2n$  matrix.

Since  $\text{rk} D_1(\text{Ad}(g) \cdot \xi, \text{Ad}(g) \cdot \eta) = \text{rk} D_1(\xi, \eta)$ , we have  $\text{rk} D_1(t, h) \leq n$  for each pair  $(t, h) \in \mathfrak{C}_0 = \overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{c})}$ .

It remains to find a pair of matrices  $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{g}(1) \times \mathfrak{g}(1)$  such that  $\text{rk}(X|Y) > n$ . Set  $X := (x_{ij})$  where  $x_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j$ , and  $x_{ij} = 0$  otherwise;  $Y := (y_{ij})$  where  $y_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j + m - n$  and  $y_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. It is easy to see, that  $(X|Y)$  is a matrix of

the maximal possible rank, which equals  $\min(m, 2n)$ . In particular,  $\text{rk}(X|Y) > n$  and  $(X, Y) \notin \overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c}(1) \times \mathfrak{c}(1))}$ .

*Example 2.* Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V) = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ , where  $2n = \dim V$ . Consider a decomposition of  $V$  into a direct sum of two isotropic subspaces  $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$ . Suppose  $A \in \text{O}(V)$  and  $A|_{V_+} = \text{id}$ ,  $A|_{V_-} = -\text{id}$ . Then a conjugation by  $A$  defines an involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}_n \cong \mathfrak{gl}(V_+) \cong \mathfrak{gl}(V_-)$ . We have  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \text{Hom}(V_+, V_-) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_-, V_+)$ . More precisely, one can choose a basis in  $V_+ \oplus V_-$  such that

$$G_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & (B^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid B \in \text{GL}_n \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_n, X = -X^t, Y = -Y^t \right\}.$$

Here  $\mathfrak{g}(1) = \mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \text{Hom}(V_+, V_-) \cong \mathfrak{so}_n$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}(-1) = \mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \text{Hom}(V_-, V_+) \cong \mathfrak{so}_n$ . For each pair  $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1$  with  $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z \\ U & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  we set  $D_1(\xi, \eta) := (X|Z)$ . Take a Cartan subspace  $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  consisting of skew symmetric anti-diagonal matrices, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{c} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid X = (x_{i,j}), x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq (n+1-j) \right\}.$$

Now suppose  $n$  is odd and  $n = 2k + 1$ . When for each pair  $(t, h) \in \mathfrak{c} \times \mathfrak{c}$  we have  $\text{rk} D_1(t, h) < n$ . Suppose  $g = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & (B^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in G_0$ . If  $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , then  $\text{Ad}(g) \cdot \xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & BXB^t \\ (B^t)^{-1}YB^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore,  $g \cdot D_1(\xi, \eta) := D_1(\text{Ad}(g) \cdot \xi, \text{Ad}(g) \cdot \eta) = BD_1(\xi, \eta)\hat{B}$ , where  $\hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B^t & 0 \\ 0 & B^t \end{pmatrix}$  is a non-degenerate  $2n \times 2n$  matrix. Hence,  $\text{rk} D_1(\text{Ad}(g) \cdot \xi, \text{Ad}(g) \cdot \eta) = \text{rk} D_1(\xi, \eta)$  and  $\text{rk} D_1(t, h) < n$  for each pair  $(t, h) \in \mathfrak{c}$ .

Let  $X, Z \in \mathfrak{g}(1)$  be skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices of rank  $2k$  such that the last column and the last row of  $X$  are zero, and the first row and the first column of  $Z$  are zero. Clearly, if  $k \geq 1$ , then  $(X|Z)$  has rank  $n = 2k + 1$  and  $(X, Y) \notin \overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c}(1) \times \mathfrak{c}(1))}$ . Therefore, for  $(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}, \mathfrak{gl}_n)$  with odd  $n \geq 3$  condition  $(\spadesuit)$  is not satisfied.

*Example 3.* Consider now symmetric pair  $(E_6, \mathfrak{so}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{k})$ . Here  $\mathfrak{g}(1) = \mathbb{k}_+^{16}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(-1) = \mathbb{k}_-^{16}$  are different half-spinor representations of  $\mathfrak{so}_{10}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}_{10}$ ,  $\{\pi_i \mid i = 1, \dots, 5\}$  fundamental weights of  $\mathfrak{so}_{10}$ , and  $\{\varepsilon_i \mid i = 1, \dots, 5\}$  an orthogonal basis of  $\mathfrak{t}(\mathbb{R})^*$  such that  $\pi_4 = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5)/2$ ,  $\pi_5 = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_5)/2$  (for a detailed explanations of this notation see [5, Reference Chapter]). The rank of  $(E_6, \mathfrak{so}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{k})$  equals 2. A Cartan subspace  $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  can be chosen such that  $\mathfrak{c}(1)$  is a  $\mathfrak{t}$ -invariant subspace with weights  $\pi_5$  and  $(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5)/2$ . Let  $h \in \mathfrak{t}$  be an element such that  $\varepsilon_1(h) = -1$ ,  $\varepsilon_i(h) = 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, 5$ . Clearly,  $\lim_{t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \rightarrow +\infty} \exp(th) \cdot v = 0$  for each  $v \in \mathfrak{c}(1) \times \mathfrak{c}(1) \subset \mathfrak{g}(1) \times \mathfrak{g}(1)$ . It remains to find a non-trivial  $\text{SO}_{10}$ -invariant in  $\mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{g}(1) \times \mathfrak{g}(1)] = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{k}_+^{16} \oplus \mathbb{k}_-^{16})$ . Denote by  $V(\varphi)$  a vector space of a representation with the highest weight  $\varphi$ . Then  $\mathbb{k}_+^{16} = V(\pi_5)$  and

$\mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{k}_+^{16}) = V(2\pi_5) \oplus V(\pi_1)$ . We have the following  $\mathrm{SO}_{10}$ -invariant inclusions:

$$\mathcal{S}^4(\mathbb{k}_+^{16} \oplus \mathbb{k}_-^{16}) \supset \mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{k}_+^{16}) \otimes \mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{k}_-^{16}) \supset V(\pi_1) \otimes V(\pi_1).$$

Since  $(V(\pi_1) \otimes V(\pi_1))^{\mathrm{SO}_{10}} = \mathbb{k}$ , we get a required  $\mathrm{SO}_{10}$ -invariant  $f$  of degree 4. Therefore  $f(\overline{G_0(\mathfrak{c}(1) \times \mathfrak{c}(1))}) = 0$ , and condition ( $\spadesuit$ ) is not satisfied.

## REFERENCES

- [1] COLLINGWOOD D. AND MCGOVERN W., *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1993.
- [2] JANTZEN J.C., Nilpotent orbits in representation theory, Lie theory, Progr. in Math., **228**, 1–211, Birkhäuser Boston 2004.
- [3] B. KOSTANT and S. RALLIS, Orbits and representations associated with symmetric spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **93**(1971), 753–809.
- [4] T. OHTA T, Classification of admissible nilpotent orbits in the classical real Lie algebras, *J. of Algebra* **136**(1991), 290–333.
- [5] A.L. ONISHCHIK, E.B. VINBERG, Lie groups and algebraic groups. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [6] D. PANYUSHEV, The Jacobian modules of a representation of a Lie algebra and geometry of commuting varieties, *Compositio Math.* **94**(1994), 181–199.
- [7] D. PANYUSHEV, On the irreducibility of commuting varieties associated with involutions of simple Lie algebras, *Functional Analysis and its application*, **38**(2004), 38–44.
- [8] R. RICHARDSON, Commuting varieties of semisimple Lie algebras and algebraic groups, *Compositio Math.* **38** (1979), 311–327.
- [9] H. SABOURIN H. and R.W.T. YU , Sur l’irréductibilité de la variété commutante d’une paire symétrique réductive de rang 1, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **126**(2002), 143–150.
- [10] H. SABOURIN H. and R.W.T. YU , On the irreducibility of the commuting variety of a symmetric pair associated to a parabolic subalgebra with abelian unipotent radical, preprint, arXiv:math.RT/0407354.