

FINITE DIFFERENCE QUANTUM TODA LATTICE VIA EQUIVARIANT K -THEORY

ALEXANDER BRAVERMAN AND MICHAEL FINKELBERG

ABSTRACT. We construct the action of the quantum group $U_v(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ by the natural correspondences in the equivariant localized K -theory of the Laumon based Quasiflags' moduli spaces. The resulting module is the universal Verma module. We construct geometrically the Shapovalov scalar product and the Whittaker vectors. It follows that a certain generating function of the characters of the global sections of the structure sheaves of the Laumon moduli spaces satisfies a v -difference analogue of the quantum Toda lattice system, reproving the main theorem of Givental-Lee. The similar constructions are performed for the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. This work arose from an attempt to understand the remarkable paper [6] of A. Givental and Y.-P. Lee. They consider the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ introduced by G. Laumon in [7], [8]. These are certain closures of the moduli spaces of based maps of degree \underline{d} from \mathbb{P}^1 to the flag variety \mathcal{B} of \mathfrak{sl}_n .

A Cartan torus T of SL_n acts on $\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}$. The multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^* of dilations of \mathbb{P}^1 (loop rotations) also acts on $\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}$. The formal character of the (infinite dimensional) $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -module $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}})$ turns out to be a rational function on $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$. One may form a certain generating function \mathfrak{J} of these rational functions for all degrees \underline{d} . This function \mathfrak{J} encodes the T -equivariant quantum K -theory ring of \mathcal{B} .

A. Givental and Y.-P. Lee prove that \mathfrak{J} satisfies a certain v -difference version of the quantum Toda lattice equations (here v stands for the tautological character of \mathbb{C}^*). Moreover, they suggest another way to construct solutions of the v -difference Toda system: as the Shapovalov scalar product of the Whittaker vectors in the universal Verma module for the quantum group $U_v(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. The latter construction was worked out independently in [3], [13].

1.2. The principal goal of the present paper was to identify these two constructions of solutions of the v -difference Toda system. Namely, we prove that the natural correspondences between the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ (for the degrees differing by a simple root) give rise to the action of the standard generators of $U_v(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ on the localized equivariant K -theory $\bigoplus_{\underline{d}} \underline{K}^{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}})$. Here the localization is taken with respect to the $K^{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\cdot) = \mathbb{C}[T \times \mathbb{C}^*]$, that is, we tensor everything with the fraction field of $\mathbb{C}[T \times \mathbb{C}^*]$. This is needed since the above correspondences are not proper, but the subspaces of their $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -fixed points are proper (in fact, they are finite), so their action is well defined only in the localized equivariant K -theory. This way we get a $U_v(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -module, and we identify it with the universal Verma module M . We also compute in geometric terms the Shapovalov scalar product on M , and the Whittaker vectors. It turns out that the generating function for the Shapovalov scalar product of the Whittaker vectors

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 19E08, (22E65, 37K10).

Key words. q -difference Toda lattice, Equivariant K -theory, Laumon compactification.

is a simple modification of the Givental-Lee generating function \mathfrak{J} . Thus we reprove the Main Theorem of Givental-Lee.

1.3. There is a similar generating function J for equivariant integrals of the unit cohomology classes of $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ which controls the T -equivariant quantum cohomology of \mathcal{B} . It satisfies the quantum Toda lattice differential system, as proved originally by A. Givental and B. Kim.

For the simple Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} other than \mathfrak{sl}_n there is no analogue of the Laumon moduli spaces $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ but there is Drinfeld's moduli space of Quasimaps $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}(\mathfrak{g})$. It also exists for the case of affine Lie algebras, under the name of Uhlenbeck compactification. In the \mathfrak{sl}_n case $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ is a small resolution of $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. In the affine $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ case $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ possesses a semismall resolution of singularities: the moduli space $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ of torsion free parabolic sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

In the work [1], a generating function J for equivariant integrals of the unit IC cohomology classes of $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}(\mathfrak{g})$ was proved to satisfy the quantum Toda lattice (or its affine version for the case of affine Lie algebras) by constructing the action of the Langlands dual Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the equivariant Intersection Cohomology of the Drinfeld compactifications. Thus [1] offered a representation theoretic explanation of the Givental-Kim results. And the present work is a multiplicative analogue of [1] in the simplest case of \mathfrak{sl}_n .

1.4. It would be extremely interesting to extend our work to other simple and affine Lie algebras. It would require something like an equivariant "IC K -theory" of $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}(\mathfrak{g})$ which is not defined at the moment. In case of \mathfrak{sl}_n the IC cohomology of $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ coincides with the cohomology of the small resolution $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$, while in the affine case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ the IC cohomology of $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is a direct summand in the cohomology of the semismall resolution $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$. Accordingly, one might look for the correct "IC K -theory" of $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ as an appropriate direct summand of the usual K -theory of $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$.

This is done in the Section 3. Namely, similarly to the case of Laumon spaces, the quantum affine group $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ acts by the natural correspondences on the direct sum of localized equivariant K -groups $\bigoplus_{\underline{d}} K^{T \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}})$. However, this module looks more like the universal Verma module for $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, and we have to specify a certain submodule isomorphic to the universal Verma module for $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. Then we construct geometrically the Shapovalov scalar product, and the Whittaker vectors. It turns out that the Shapovalov scalar product of the Whittaker vectors can be expressed via the formal characters of the global sections $R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}})$ as in the case of \mathfrak{sl}_n . However, we were unable to derive any v -difference equation for the affine version of the generating function \mathfrak{J} .

1.5. **Acknowledgments.** M.F. is obliged to V. Schechtman, A. Stoyanovsky, B. Feigin, E. Vasserot, and R. Bezrukavnikov who, ever since the appearance of [5], urged him to consider its equivariant K -theory analogue. While trying to guess the correct formulae in the low ranks, we profited strongly from the computational help of V. Dotsenko, V. Golyshev, A. Kuznetsov. We are also grateful to P. Etingof and A. Joseph for very useful explanations, and to M. Kashiwara for bringing the reference [10] to our attention. We would like to thank the Weizmann Institute and RIMS, Kyoto, as well as the University of Chicago, for their hospitality and support during our work on this paper. M.F. was partially supported by the CRDF award RM1-2545-MO-03.

2. LAUMON SPACES AND QUANTUM GROUPS

2.1. We recall the setup of [5]. Let \mathbf{C} be a smooth projective curve of genus zero. We fix a coordinate z on \mathbf{C} , and consider the action of \mathbb{C}^* on \mathbf{C} such that $v(z) = v^{-2}z$. We have $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \{0, \infty\}$.

We consider an n -dimensional vector space W with a basis w_1, \dots, w_n . This defines a Cartan torus $T \subset G = SL_n \subset \text{Aut}(W)$. We also consider its 2^{n-1} -fold cover, the bigger torus \tilde{T} , acting on W as follows: for $\tilde{T} \ni \underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ we have $\underline{t}(w_i) = t_i^2 w_i$. We denote by \mathcal{B} the flag variety of G .

2.2. Given an $(n-1)$ -tuple of nonnegative integers $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$, we consider the Laumon's quasiflags' space $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$, see [8], 4.2. It is the moduli space of flags of locally free subsheaves

$$0 \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W} = W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$$

such that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{W}_k) = k$, and $\text{deg}(\mathcal{W}_k) = -d_k$.

It is known to be a smooth projective variety of dimension $2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1} + \dim \mathcal{B}$, see [7], 2.10.

2.3. We consider the following locally closed subvariety $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ (quasiflags based at $\infty \in \mathbf{C}$) formed by the flags

$$0 \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W} = W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$$

such that $\mathcal{W}_i \subset \mathcal{W}$ is a vector subbundle in a neighbourhood of $\infty \in \mathbf{C}$, and the fiber of \mathcal{W}_i at ∞ equals the span $\langle w_1, \dots, w_i \rangle \subset W$.

It is known to be a smooth quasiprojective variety of dimension $2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}$.

2.4. The group $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$, and the group $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$. The set of fixed points of $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ is finite; we recall its description from [5], 2.11.

Let \underline{d} be a collection of nonnegative integers (d_{ij}) , $i \geq j$, such that $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^i d_{ij}$, and for $i \geq k \geq j$ we have $d_{kj} \geq d_{ij}$. Abusing notation we denote by \underline{d} the corresponding $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -fixed point in $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_1 &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}(-d_{11} \cdot 0)w_1, \\ \mathcal{W}_2 &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}(-d_{21} \cdot 0)w_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}(-d_{22} \cdot 0)w_2, \\ &\dots \dots \dots, \\ \mathcal{W}_{n-1} &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}(-d_{n-1,1} \cdot 0)w_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}(-d_{n-1,2} \cdot 0)w_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}(-d_{n-1,n-1} \cdot 0)w_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

2.5. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, and $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$, we set $\underline{d} + i := (d_1, \dots, d_i + 1, \dots, d_{n-1})$. We have a correspondence $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{d},i} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}+i}$ formed by the pairs $(\mathcal{W}_{\bullet}, \mathcal{W}'_{\bullet})$ such that for $j \neq i$ we have $\mathcal{W}_j = \mathcal{W}'_j$, and $\mathcal{W}'_i \subset \mathcal{W}_i$, see [5], 3.1. In other words, $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{d},i}$ is the moduli space of flags of locally free sheaves

$$0 \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{W}'_i \subset \mathcal{W}_i \subset \mathcal{W}_{i+1} \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W}$$

such that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{W}_k) = k$, and $\text{deg}(\mathcal{W}_k) = -d_k$, while $\text{rank}(\mathcal{W}'_i) = i$, and $\text{deg}(\mathcal{W}'_i) = -d_i - 1$.

According to [7], 2.10, $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{d},i}$ is a smooth projective algebraic variety of dimension $2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1} + \dim \mathcal{B} + 1$.

We denote by \mathbf{p} (resp. \mathbf{q}) the natural projection $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}+i}$). We also have a map $\mathbf{r} : \mathcal{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$,

$$(0 \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{W}'_i \subset \mathcal{W}_i \subset \mathcal{W}_{i+1} \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W}) \mapsto \text{supp}(\mathcal{W}_i/\mathcal{W}'_i).$$

The correspondence $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{d},i}$ comes equipped with a natural line bundle \mathcal{L}_i whose fiber at a point

$$(0 \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{W}'_i \subset \mathcal{W}_i \subset \mathcal{W}_{i+1} \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W})$$

equals $\Gamma(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{W}_i/\mathcal{W}'_i)$.

Finally, we have a transposed correspondence ${}^{\top}\mathcal{E}_{\underline{d},i} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}+i} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$.

2.6. Restricting to $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ we obtain the correspondence $\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}+i}$ together with line bundle \mathfrak{L}_i and the natural maps $\mathbf{p} : \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$, $\mathbf{q} : \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}+i}$, $\mathbf{r} : \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} - \infty$. We also have a transposed correspondence ${}^{\top}\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}+i} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}$. It is a smooth quasiprojective variety of dimension $2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1} + 1$.

2.7. We denote by $'M$ the direct sum of equivariant (complexified) K -groups: $'M = \bigoplus_{\underline{d}} K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}})$. It is a module over $K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt) = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*] = \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n, v : t_1 \cdots t_n = 1]$. We define $M = 'M \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt))$.

We have an evident grading $M = \bigoplus_{\underline{d}} M_{\underline{d}}$, $M_{\underline{d}} = K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{d}}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt))$.

2.8. The grading and the correspondences ${}^{\top}\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i}$, $\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i}$ give rise to the following operators on M (note that though \mathbf{p} is not proper, \mathbf{p}_* is well defined on the localized equivariant K -theory due to the finiteness of the fixed point sets):

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &= t_{i+1}^{-1} t_i^{-1} v^{2d_i - d_{i-1} - d_{i+1} + 1} : M_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow M_{\underline{d}}; \\ L_i &= t_1^{-1} \dots t_i^{-1} v^{d_i + \frac{1}{2}i(n-i)} : M_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow M_{\underline{d}}; \\ f_i &= \mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^* : M_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow M_{\underline{d}-i}; \\ F_i &= t_{i+1}^i t_i^{-i} v^{2id_i - id_{i-1} - id_{i+1} - i} \mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^* : M_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow M_{\underline{d}-i}; \\ e_i &= -t_{i+1}^{-1} t_i^{-1} v^{d_{i+1} - d_{i-1}} \mathbf{q}_*(\mathfrak{L}_i \otimes \mathbf{p}^*) : M_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow M_{\underline{d}+i}, \\ E_i &= -t_{i+1}^{-i-1} t_i^{i-1} v^{(i-1)d_{i-1} + (i+1)d_{i+1} - 2id_i - i} \mathbf{q}_*(\mathfrak{L}_i \otimes \mathbf{p}^*) : M_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow M_{\underline{d}+i}. \end{aligned}$$

2.9. We recall the notations and results of [12] in the special case of quantum group of SL_n type.

U is the $\mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$ -algebra with generators $E_i, L_i^{\pm 1}, K_i^{\pm 1}, F_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, subject to the following relations:

$$(1) \quad L_i L_j = L_j L_i, \quad K_1 = L_1^2 L_2^{-1}, \quad K_i = L_{i-1}^{-1} L_i^2 L_{i+1}^{-1}, \quad K_{n-1} = L_{n-2}^{-1} L_{n-1}^2$$

$$(2) \quad L_i E_j L_i^{-1} = v^{\delta_{i,j}} E_j, \quad L_i F_j L_i^{-1} = v^{-\delta_{i,j}} F_j$$

$$(3) \quad E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}$$

$$(4) \quad |i - j| > 1 \implies E_i E_j - E_j E_i = 0 = F_i F_j - F_j F_i$$

$$(5) \quad |i - j| = 1 \implies E_i^2 E_j - (v + v^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0 = F_i^2 F_j - (v + v^{-1}) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2$$

Sevostyanov considers elements $e_i, f_i \in U$ depending on a choice of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ -matrices n_{ij}, c_{ij} . We make the following choice:

$$(6) \quad n_{i,i} = -2i; \quad n_{i,i+1} = n_{i,i-1} = i,$$

otherwise $n_{ij} = 0$.

$$(7) \quad i < n-1 \implies c_{i,i+1} = -1, \quad c_{i+1,i} = 1,$$

otherwise $c_{ij} = 0$. In other words, $c_{ij} = n_{ij} - n_{ji}$.

Then we have

$$(8) \quad f_i := L_{i-1}^i L_i^{-2i} L_{i+1}^i F_i = K_i^{-i} F_i, \quad e_i := E_i L_{i-1}^{-i} L_i^{2i} L_{i+1}^{-i} = E_i K_i^i.$$

Clearly, the algebra U is generated by $e_i, L_i^{\pm 1}, K_i^{\pm 1}, f_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and the relations (2)–(5) above are equivalent to the relations (9)–(12) below.

$$(9) \quad L_i e_j L_i^{-1} = v^{\delta_{i,j}} e_j, \quad L_i f_j L_i^{-1} = v^{-\delta_{i,j}} f_j$$

$$(10) \quad e_i f_j - v^{c_{ij}} f_j e_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}$$

$$(11) \quad |i - j| > 1 \implies e_i e_j - e_j e_i = 0 = f_i f_j - f_j f_i$$

$$(12) \quad |i - j| = 1 \implies e_i^2 e_j - v^{c_{ij}} (v + v^{-1}) e_i e_j e_i + v^{2c_{ij}} e_j e_i^2 = 0 = f_i^2 f_j - v^{c_{ij}} (v + v^{-1}) f_i f_j f_i + v^{2c_{ij}} f_j f_i^2$$

2.10. Remark. The elements f_i of the subalgebra $U_{\leq 0}$ generated by $F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}, K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}$ were introduced by C. M. Ringel in [11]. They are the natural generators of the Hall algebra of the A_{n-1} -quiver with the set of vertices $1, \dots, n-1$, and orientation $i \rightarrow i+1$. More generally, Ringel's construction works for an arbitrary orientation of an ADE quiver, and produces Sevostyanov's generators f_i (in the simply laced case). It can be seen easily that the set of Sevostyanov's matrices c_{ij} (parametrizing the choices of his "Coxeter realizations") is in a natural bijection with the set of orientations of the corresponding quiver.

2.11. We are finally able to formulate our main theorem. Recall the operators $E_i, e_i, L_i^{\pm 1}, K_i^{\pm 1}, F_i, f_i$ on M defined in 2.8.

Theorem 2.12. *The operators $E_i, L_i^{\pm 1}, K_i^{\pm 1}, F_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, on M satisfy the relations (1)–(5). Equivalently, the operators $e_i, L_i^{\pm 1}, K_i^{\pm 1}, f_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, on M satisfy the relations (1), (9)–(12).*

The relations (1) and (2) are evident. The relation (3) for $i \neq j$ follows from a transversality property formulated in the next subsection.

2.13. We consider the subvarieties $\mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{d,i})$ and $\mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}_{d+i-j,j})$ in $\Omega_d \times \Omega_{d+i} \times \Omega_{d+i-j}$. Similarly, we consider the subvarieties $\mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}_{d-j,j})$ and $\mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{d-j,i})$ in $\Omega_d \times \Omega_{d-j} \times \Omega_{d+i-j}$.

Lemma 2.14. *For $i \neq j$ the intersection (a) $\mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{d,i}) \cap \mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}_{d+i-j,j})$ in $\Omega_d \times \Omega_{d+i} \times \Omega_{d+i-j}$ (resp. (b) $\mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}_{d-j,j}) \cap \mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{d-j,i})$ in $\Omega_d \times \Omega_{d-j} \times \Omega_{d+i-j}$) is transversal.*

$$(c) \quad \mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{d,i}) \cap \mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}_{d+i-j,j}) \simeq \mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}_{d-j,j}) \cap \mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{d-j,i}).$$

Proof. We prove (a). By definition, $\mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{d,i})$ is the moduli space of pairs of flags

$$(0 \subset \mathcal{W}'_1 = \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \mathcal{W}'_2 = \mathcal{W}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'_i \subset \mathcal{W}_i \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'_{n-1} = \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W}, \\ 0 \subset \mathcal{W}'''_1 \subset \mathcal{W}'''_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'''_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W})$$

of prescribed ranks and degrees, while $\mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}_{d+i-j,j})$ is the moduli space of pairs of flags

$$(0 \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W}, \\ 0 \subset \mathcal{W}'_1 = \mathcal{W}'''_1 \subset \mathcal{W}'_2 = \mathcal{W}'''_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'_j \subset \mathcal{W}'''_j \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'_{n-1} = \mathcal{W}'''_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W})$$

of prescribed ranks and degrees.

Their intersection is the moduli space of flags (say, $i < j$)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \subset \mathcal{W}'_1 = \mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{W}'''_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'_i = \mathcal{W}'''_i \subset \mathcal{W}_i \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'_j = \\ = \mathcal{W}_j \subset \mathcal{W}'''_j \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'_{n-1} = \mathcal{W}_{n-1} = \mathcal{W}'''_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W} \end{aligned}$$

of prescribed ranks and degrees which is smooth according to [7], 2.10. Hence the intersection is transversal. This completes the proof of (a).

In (b) we prove similarly that $\mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\tau \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}-j,j}) \cap \mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}-j,i})$ is the moduli space of flags (say, $i < j$)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \subset \mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{W}'''_1 = \mathcal{W}''_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}'''_i \subset \mathcal{W}_i = \mathcal{W}''_i \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_j \subset \\ \subset \mathcal{W}'''_j = \mathcal{W}''_j \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{n-1} = \mathcal{W}'''_{n-1} = \mathcal{W}''_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{W} \end{aligned}$$

of prescribed ranks and degrees which is smooth according to [7], 2.10. Hence the intersection is transversal. This completes the proof of (b).

Part (c) was proved in [5], 3.6. We just recall that the mutually inverse isomorphisms send a triple $(\mathcal{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'''_\bullet)$ to $(\mathcal{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{W}''_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'''_\bullet)$ where $\mathcal{W}''_\bullet := \mathcal{W}_\bullet + \mathcal{W}'''_\bullet$, and a triple $(\mathcal{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{W}''_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'''_\bullet)$ to $(\mathcal{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'''_\bullet)$ where $\mathcal{W}'_\bullet := \mathcal{W}_\bullet \cap \mathcal{W}'''_\bullet$. \square

2.15. We return to the proof of relation (3) for $i \neq j$. The composition $E_i F_j$ is given by the action of correspondence

$$f(\underline{t})g(v)\mathbf{p}_{13*}(\mathbf{p}_{12}^* \mathfrak{L}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\underline{d}} \times \Omega_{\underline{d}+i} \times \Omega_{\underline{d}+i-j}}}^L \mathbf{p}_{23}^* \mathcal{O}_{\tau \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}+i-j,j}})$$

where f (resp. g) is a certain monomial in \underline{t} (resp. v).

Because of the transversality in 2.14(a), $\mathbf{p}_{12}^* \mathfrak{L}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\underline{d}} \times \Omega_{\underline{d}+i} \times \Omega_{\underline{d}+i-j}}}^L \mathbf{p}_{23}^* \mathcal{O}_{\tau \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}+i-j,j}}$ is a line bundle $\mathfrak{L}_{i,j}$ on $\mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i}) \cap \mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\tau \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}+i-j,j})$ whose fiber at a point $(\mathcal{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'''_\bullet)$ is equal to $\Gamma(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{W}_i/\mathcal{W}'''_i)$.

Similarly, due to the transversality in 2.14(b), the composition $F_j E_i$ is given by the action of correspondence

$$f'(\underline{t})g'(v)\mathbf{p}_{13*}(\mathfrak{L}'_{i,j})$$

where f' (resp. g') is a certain monomial in \underline{t} (resp. v), and $\mathfrak{L}'_{i,j}$ is a line bundle on $\mathbf{p}_{12}^{-1}(\tau \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}-j,j}) \cap \mathbf{p}_{23}^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}-j,i})$ whose fiber at a point $(\mathcal{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'_\bullet, \mathcal{W}'''_\bullet)$ is equal to $\Gamma(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{W}_i/\mathcal{W}'''_i)$.

Now the isomorphism in 2.14(c) clearly takes $\mathfrak{L}_{i,j}$ to $\mathfrak{L}'_{i,j}$, and a routine check shows that $f(\underline{t})g(v) = f'(\underline{t})g'(v)$. This completes the proof of the relations (3) for $i \neq j$.

2.16. To prove the relation (3) for $i = j$ we use the localization to the fixed points.

According to the Thomason localization theorem (see e.g. [2]), restriction to the \underline{t}^0 -fixed point set induces an isomorphism

$$K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\Omega_{\underline{d}}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)) \rightarrow K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\Omega_{\underline{d}}^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt))$$

(resp.

$$K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)) \rightarrow K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i}^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)))$$

The classes of the structure sheaves $[\underline{d}]$ of the $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -fixed points \underline{d} (see 2.4) form a basis in $\oplus_{\underline{d}} K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\Omega_{\underline{d}}^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt))$. In order to compute the matrix coefficients of E_i, F_i in this basis, we have to know the character of the $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -action in the tangent spaces $\mathcal{J}_{\underline{d}} \Omega_{\underline{d}}$ and also in the tangent spaces to the fixed points in the correspondences. This is the subject of the following Proposition.

2.17. Note that a point $(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})$ lies in the correspondence $\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i}$ if $d_{k, j} = d'_{k, j}$ with a single exception $d'_{i, j} = d_{i, j} + 1$ for certain $j \leq i$.

Proposition 2.18. a) The character $\chi_{\tilde{\underline{d}}}$ of $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ in the tangent space $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\underline{d}}} \Omega_{\tilde{\underline{d}}}$ equals

$$\sum_{1 \leq k, j \leq n-1} t_k^2 t_j^{-2} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{d_{k-1, j}} v^{2l} - \sum_{l=d_{k-1, j} - d_{k, k} + 1}^{d_{k-1, j}} v^{2l} + \sum_{n-1 \geq i \geq k, j} \sum_{l=d_{i, j} - d_{i, k} + 1}^{d_{i, j} - d_{i+1, k}} v^{2l} \right) - \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n-1} t_k^2 t_j^{-2}$$

where we set $d_{n, k} = 0$.

b) The character $\chi_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})}$ of $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ in the tangent space $\mathcal{T}_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})} \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i}$ equals

$$\chi_{\tilde{\underline{d}}} + \sum_{k \leq i} t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d'_{i, k} - 2d_{i, j}} - \sum_{k \leq i-1} t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i-1, k} - 2d_{i, j}}$$

if $d'_{i, j} = d_{i, j} + 1$ for certain $j \leq i$.

c) The character $\lambda_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})}$ of $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ in the fiber of \mathfrak{L}_i at the point $(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})$ equals $t_j^2 v^{-2d_{i, j}}$ if $d'_{i, j} = d_{i, j} + 1$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{Q} be the moduli space of flags of locally free subsheaves

$$0 \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \mathcal{W}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_r \subset \mathcal{W}$$

of fixed ranks. Then the tangent space $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{W}, \bullet} \mathcal{Q}$ equals the kernel of

$$\sum_{1 \leq l < r} p_{l-1}^* \otimes \text{Id} - \text{Id} \otimes q_l : \oplus_l \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_l, \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}_l) \rightarrow \oplus_l \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}_l, \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}_{l+1})$$

where $p_l : \mathcal{W}_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{l+1}$; $q_l : \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}_l \rightarrow \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}_{l+1}$ (see e.g. [6], 3.2).

The parts a), b) follow easily. The part c) is obvious. \square

2.19. Let us denote by $S\chi_{\tilde{\underline{d}}} = \Lambda^{-1} \chi_{\tilde{\underline{d}}}$ (resp. $S\chi_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})} = \Lambda^{-1} \chi_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})}$) the character of $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ in the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\underline{d}}} \Omega_{\tilde{\underline{d}}}$ (resp. $\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{T}_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})} \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i}$). It is the inverse of the character of the corresponding exterior algebra, thus it lies in the fraction field $\text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt))$.

According to the Bott-Lefschetz fixed point formula, the matrix coefficient $\mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}_{[\tilde{\underline{d}'}, \tilde{\underline{d}}]}^*$ of $\mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^* : M_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}} \rightarrow M_{\tilde{\underline{d}}}$ with respect to the basis elements $[\tilde{\underline{d}}] \in K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\Omega_{\tilde{\underline{d}}})$, $[\tilde{\underline{d}'}] \in K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\Omega_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}})$ (see 2.16) equals $S\chi_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})}/S\chi_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}}$. Similarly, the matrix coefficient $\mathbf{q}_*(\mathfrak{L}_i \otimes \mathbf{p}^*)_{[\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'}]}$ of $\mathbf{q}_*(\mathfrak{L}_i \otimes \mathbf{p}^*) : M_{\tilde{\underline{d}}} \rightarrow M_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}}$ equals $\lambda_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})} S\chi_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})}/S\chi_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}}$.

Hence, the matrix coefficient $E_{i, [\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'}]}$ of $E_i : M_{\tilde{\underline{d}}} \rightarrow M_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}}$ equals

$$-t_{i+1}^{-i-1} t_i^{i-1} v^{(i-1)d_{i-1} + (i+1)d_{i+1} - 2id_i - i} \lambda_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})} S\chi_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})}/S\chi_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}}.$$

And the matrix coefficient $F_{i, [\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'}]}$ of $F_i : M_{\tilde{\underline{d}}} \rightarrow M_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}}$ equals $t_{i+1}^i t_i^{-i} v^{2id_i - id_{i-1} - id_{i+1} - i} S\chi_{(\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'})}/S\chi_{\tilde{\underline{d}'}}$.

Thus, Proposition 2.18 admits the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.20.

$$E_{i, [\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'}]} = -t_{i+1}^{-i-1} t_i^{i-1} v^{(i-1)d_{i-1} + (i+1)d_{i+1} - 2id_i - i} t_j^2 v^{-2d_{i, j}} \times \\ (1 - v^2)^{-1} \prod_{j \neq k \leq i} (1 - t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i, k} - 2d_{i, j}})^{-1} \prod_{k \leq i-1} (1 - t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i-1, k} - 2d_{i, j}})$$

if $d'_{i, j} = d_{i, j} + 1$ for certain $j \leq i$;

$$F_{i, [\tilde{\underline{d}}, \tilde{\underline{d}'}]} = t_{i+1}^i t_i^{-i} v^{2id_i - id_{i-1} - id_{i+1} - i} \times$$

$$(1-v^2)^{-1} \prod_{j \neq k \leq i} (1-t_k^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i,k}})^{-1} \prod_{k \leq i+1} (1-t_k^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i+1,k}})$$

if $d'_{i,j} = d_{i,j} - 1$ for certain $j \leq i$;

All the other matrix coefficients of E_i, F_i vanish.

Now the relation (3) boils down to the following identity.

Proposition 2.21.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t_i t_{i+1}^{-1} v^{d_{i-1}-2d_i+d_{i+1}-1} - t_i^{-1} t_{i+1} v^{-d_{i-1}+2d_i-d_{i+1}+1}}{v-v^{-1}} (1-v^2)^2 v^{d_{i-1}-d_{i+1}} t_i t_{i+1} = \\ & \sum_{j \leq i} t_j^2 v^{-2d_{i,j}+2} (1-t_i^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i+1,i}}) (1-t_{i+1}^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i+1,i+1}}) \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \leq i}} (1-t_k^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i,k}})^{-1} (1-t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i,k}-2d_{i,j}+2})^{-1} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{k \leq i-1} (1-t_k^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i+1,k}}) (1-t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i-1,k}-2d_{i,j}+2}) - \\ & - \sum_{j \leq i} t_j^2 v^{-2d_{i,j}} (1-t_i^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i+1,i}+2}) (1-t_{i+1}^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i+1,i+1}+2}) \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \leq i}} (1-t_k^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i,k}+2})^{-1} (1-t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i,k}-2d_{i,j}})^{-1} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{k \leq i-1} (1-t_k^2 t_j^{-2} v^{2d_{i,j}-2d_{i+1,k}+2}) (1-t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i-1,k}-2d_{i,j}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We introduce the new variables $q := v^2$; $s_j := t_j^2 v^{-2d_{i,j}}$, $1 \leq j \leq i$; $r_k := t_k^2 v^{-2d_{i+1,k}}$, $1 \leq k \leq i+1$; $p_k := t_k^2 v^{-2d_{i-1,k}}$, $1 \leq k \leq i-1$. Then the LHS of 2.21 equals

$$(1-q) \left(q \prod_{k=1}^{i+1} r_k \prod_{j=1}^i s_j^{-1} - \prod_{j=1}^i s_j \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} p_k^{-1} \right)$$

while the RHS of 2.21 equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=1}^i s_j \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} p_k^{-1} \left(q \sum_{j \leq i} s_j^{-2} \prod_{k=1}^{i+1} (s_j - r_k) \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (p_k - q s_j) \prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \leq i}} (s_j - s_k)^{-1} (s_k - q s_j)^{-1} - \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{j \leq i} s_j^{-2} \prod_{k=1}^{i+1} (s_j - q r_k) \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (p_k - s_j) \prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \leq i}} (s_j - q s_k)^{-1} (s_k - s_j)^{-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both the LHS and the RHS by $\prod_{j=1}^i s_j \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} p_k^{-1}$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-q) \left(q \prod_{j=1}^i s_j^{-2} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} p_k \prod_{k=1}^{i+1} r_k - 1 \right) = \\ & q \sum_{j \leq i} s_j^{-2} \prod_{k=1}^{i+1} (s_j - r_k) \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (p_k - q s_j) \prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \leq i}} (s_j - s_k)^{-1} (s_k - q s_j)^{-1} - \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{j \leq i} s_j^{-2} \prod_{k=1}^{i+1} (s_j - qr_k) \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (p_k - s_j) \prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \leq i}} (s_j - qs_k)^{-1} (s_k - s_j)^{-1}.$$

If we subtract the LHS from the RHS we obtain a rational expression in s_j of degree 0, that is, the degree of numerator is not bigger than the degree of denominator. We see easily that as s_j tends to ∞ , the difference of the RHS and the LHS tends to 0. The possible poles of the difference can occur at $s_j = 0$, $s_j = s_k$, $s_j = qs_k$, $s_j = q^{-1}s_k$. We see easily that the principal parts of the difference at these points vanish. We conclude that the difference is identically 0. This completes the proof of the Proposition. \square

2.22. To finish the proof of relation (3) we note that the commutator correspondence $E_i F_i - F_i E_i$ is concentrated on the diagonal of $\Omega_{\underline{d}} \times \Omega_{\underline{d}}$. This is proved exactly as in Lemma 2.14.

In other words, $E_i F_i - F_i E_i$ is given by tensor product $? \mapsto ? \otimes X_i$ for certain $X_i \in M_{\underline{d}}$. This means that in the basis $[\underline{d}]$ the operator $E_i F_i - F_i E_i$ is diagonal. Now the Proposition 2.21 computes the matrix coefficient $(E_i F_i - F_i E_i)_{[\underline{d}, \underline{d}]}$ and proves that it equals $\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}|_{M_{\underline{d}}}$. This completes the proof of the relation (3).

2.23. Alternatively, the relation (3) follows from the next Lemma. We consider a 2-dimensional vector space with a basis $\mathfrak{w}_1, \mathfrak{w}_2$. Let \mathfrak{T} be a torus acting on \mathfrak{w}_1 (resp. \mathfrak{w}_2) via a character τ_1^2 (resp. τ_2^2). Let $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}$ be the moduli stack of flags of coherent sheaves $\mathfrak{W}_1 \subset \mathfrak{W}_2$ on \mathbf{C} locally free at $\infty \in \mathbf{C}$, equipped with a trivialization $\mathfrak{W}_1|_{\infty} = \langle \mathfrak{w}_1 \rangle$, $\mathfrak{W}_2|_{\infty} = \langle \mathfrak{w}_1, \mathfrak{w}_2 \rangle$, and such that $\deg \mathfrak{W}_1 = -\mathfrak{d}_1$, $\deg \mathfrak{W}_2 / \mathfrak{W}_1 = -\mathfrak{d}_2$. We have a natural correspondence $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{d}_1} \subset \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2} \times \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1+1, \mathfrak{d}_2-1}$ formed by the pairs $(\mathfrak{W}_1, \mathfrak{W}_2; \mathfrak{W}'_1, \mathfrak{W}'_2)$ such that $\mathfrak{W}'_1 \subset \mathfrak{W}_1 \subset \mathfrak{W}_2 = \mathfrak{W}'_2$. The projection $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{d}_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{d}_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}'_1, \mathfrak{d}'_2}$) is denoted by \mathfrak{p} (resp. \mathfrak{q}). Finally, $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{d}_1}$ is equipped with the line bundle $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{d}_1}$ whose fiber at the point $(\mathfrak{W}_1, \mathfrak{W}_2; \mathfrak{W}'_1, \mathfrak{W}'_2)$ equals $\Gamma(\mathbf{C}, \mathfrak{W}_1 / \mathfrak{W}'_1)$.

The stack $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}$ is smooth, and acted upon by $\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*$. So it makes sense to consider the operators

$$\begin{aligned} f &:= \mathfrak{p}_* \mathfrak{q}^* : \\ K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}) \otimes_{K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)) &\rightarrow K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1-1, \mathfrak{d}_2+1}) \otimes_{K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)), \\ e &:= -\tau_1^{-1} \tau_2^{-1} v^{\mathfrak{d}_1 + \mathfrak{d}_2} \mathfrak{q}_*(\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{d}_1} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^*) : \\ K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}) \otimes_{K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)) &\rightarrow K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1+1, \mathfrak{d}_2-1}) \otimes_{K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)), \\ K &= \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2 v^{\mathfrak{d}_1 - \mathfrak{d}_2 + 1} : \\ K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}) \otimes_{K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)) &\rightarrow K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}) \otimes_{K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbf{C}^*}(pt)) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.24. $ef - fe = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}$.

2.25. To derive the relation (3), or equivalently, (10) for $j = i$ from Lemma 2.24 we consider the map

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}} : \Omega_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{d_i - d_{i-1}, d_{i+1} - d_i}, \mathcal{W}_{\bullet} \mapsto (\mathcal{W}_i / \mathcal{W}_{i-1}, \mathcal{W}_{i+1} / \mathcal{W}_{i-1}).$$

Then we have

$$(\Omega_{\underline{d}} \times \mathfrak{Z}_{d_i - d_{i-1} + 1, d_{i+1} - d_i - 1}) \times \mathfrak{Z}_{d_i - d_{i-1}, d_{i+1} - d_i} \times \mathfrak{Z}_{d_i - d_{i-1} + 1, d_{i+1} - d_i - 1} \mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}} = \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i} \subset \Omega_{\underline{d}} \times \Omega_{\underline{d}+i}.$$

We also have the natural maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{e}_{\underline{d}, i} : \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}}, \\ {}^{\top} \mathfrak{e}_{\underline{d}, i} : {}^{\top} \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i} &\rightarrow {}^{\top} \mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}}, \\ \mathfrak{h}_{\underline{d}, i} : \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}-i, i} \circ {}^{\top} \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}-i, i} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1} - 1} \circ {}^{\top} \mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1} - 1}, \\ {}^{\vee} \mathfrak{h}_{\underline{d}, i} : {}^{\top} \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i} \circ \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i} &\rightarrow {}^{\top} \mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}} \circ \mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We may consider e_i (resp. f_i, e, f) as an element of $K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i})$ (resp. $K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}({}^{\top} \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d}, i})$, $K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}})$, $K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}({}^{\top} \mathfrak{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}})$). We evidently have

$$\mathfrak{e}_{\underline{d}, i}^* e = e_i, \quad {}^{\top} \mathfrak{e}_{\underline{d}, i}^* f = f_i.$$

Moreover, according to [9], 8.2 (Restriction of the convolution to submanifolds), we have

$$(13) \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\underline{d}, i}^*(e * f) = e_i * f_i, \quad {}^{\top} \mathfrak{h}_{\underline{d}, i}^*(f * e) = f_i * e_i.$$

We already know from the argument in 2.22 that the correspondence $e_i * f_i - f_i * e_i$ acts as tensor multiplication with a certain class $X_i \in M_{\underline{d}}$. Similarly, the correspondence $e * f - f * e$ acts in $K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{d_i - d_{i-1}, d_{i+1} - d_i}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt))$ as tensor multiplication with a certain class $\mathfrak{X} \in K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{d_i - d_{i-1}, d_{i+1} - d_i}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt))$. By (13) we must have $X_i = \mathfrak{z}_{\underline{d}}^* \mathfrak{X}$. Thus the relation (10) for $j = i$ follows from Lemma 2.24.

2.26. Unfortunately, we do not know an intelligent proof of this Lemma. Instead we reverse the argument and derive it from the relations (10) for all n, i . In effect, we must compute $\mathfrak{X} \in K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}) \otimes_{K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt))$ while we know $\mathfrak{z}_{\underline{d}}^* \mathfrak{X}$ for all n, i, \underline{d} such that $d_i - d_{i-1} = \mathfrak{d}_1$, $d_{i+1} - d_i = \mathfrak{d}_2$ (also, the homomorphism of tori $\tilde{T}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ acts on the characters as $\tau_1 = t_i$, $\tau_2 = t_{i+1}$).

However, the direct product of inverse images

$$\prod_{n, i, \underline{d}} \mathfrak{z}_{\underline{d}}^* : K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2}) \otimes_{K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\mathfrak{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)) \rightarrow \prod_{n, i, \underline{d}} M_{\underline{d}}$$

is an embedding. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.24.

2.27. To complete the proof of Theorem 2.12 it remains to check the relations (4), (5). To this end we consider the algebra \tilde{U} given by the generators $E_i, L_i^{\pm 1}, K_i^{\pm 1}, F_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and the relations (1)–(3). Thus, U is the quotient of \tilde{U} by the Serre relations.

We also extend the scalars to $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[L_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, L_{n-1}^{\pm 1}])$: we set $U' = U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[L_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, L_{n-1}^{\pm 1}]} \text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[L_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, L_{n-1}^{\pm 1}])$, $\tilde{U}' = \tilde{U} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[L_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, L_{n-1}^{\pm 1}]} \text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[L_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, L_{n-1}^{\pm 1}])$.

Note that \tilde{U}' acts in M , so U' acts in the quotient \overline{M} of M by the two-sided ideal \mathcal{J} in \tilde{U}' generated by the Serre relations. So it suffices to check that $\overline{M} = M$, or equivalently, $\mathcal{J}M = 0$.

Now M has the size of the universal Verma module over U' which is an irreducible U' - (and \tilde{U}' -) module. Hence we only have to check that $\mathcal{J}M \neq M$. But any element $x \in \mathcal{J}$ of principal grading degree 0 annihilates the highest vector of M since we may shift the generators e_i in the expression of x to the right.

This completes the proof of the Serre relations in M along with the proof of Theorem 2.12.

2.28. **Remark.** (A. Joseph) We have constructed a basis $\{\tilde{d}\}$ in the universal Verma module M over U . Though we can not identify it with any known type of basis, the parametrization of this basis coincides with the polyhedral realization of the crystal base of $U_v^+(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ corresponding to the reduced expression in the Weyl group of SL_n :

$$w_0 = s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_1 s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_2 \dots s_{n-1} s_{n-2} s_{n-1}$$

(see [10]).

2.29. Recall that the universal Verma module M over U is equipped with the symmetric Shapovalov form (\cdot, \cdot) with values in $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*])$. It is characterized by the properties

- (a) $([\tilde{d}_0], [\tilde{d}_0]) = 1$ where $[\tilde{d}_0] = [(0, \dots, 0)]$ is the highest weight vector;
- (b) $(E_i x, y) = (x, F_i y) \quad \forall x, y \in M$.

We will write down a geometric expression for the Shapovalov form. Evidently, the different weight spaces of M are orthogonal with respect to the Shapovalov form. We consider the line bundle \mathcal{D}_i on $\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ whose fiber at the point (W_\bullet) equals $\det R\Gamma(\mathbf{C}, W_i)$. We also define the line bundle $\mathcal{D} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_i$.

Proposition 2.30. *For $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 \in M_{\underline{d}}$ we have*

$$(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i} v^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2id_i^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (2i-1)d_i d_{i-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{(2i-1)(d_{i-1}-d_i)} R\Gamma(\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes \mathcal{D})$$

Proof. Since $\det R\Gamma$ is multiplicative in short exact sequences, we have an equality of line bundles on the correspondence $\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i} : \mathbf{p}^* \mathcal{D} = \mathbf{q}^* \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathfrak{L}_i$. Now the projection formula shows that the operators $\mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^*$ and $\mathbf{q}_* (\mathfrak{L}_i \otimes \mathbf{p}^*)$ are adjoint with respect to the pairing $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 \mapsto R\Gamma(\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes \mathcal{D})$. Finally, it is easy to see that the v, t -factor takes care of the scaling coefficients of our E_i, F_i . \square

2.31. While the operators E_i, F_i are conjugate to each other with respect to the Shapovalov form, the operators e_i, f_i are not. In fact, obviously, $e_i^* = K_i^{2i} f_i$. It is known that a completion of the universal Verma module M contains a unique vector $\mathfrak{k} = \sum_{\underline{d}} \mathfrak{k}_{\underline{d}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{w} = \sum_{\underline{d}} \mathfrak{w}_{\underline{d}}$) such that $\mathfrak{k}_{(0,\dots,0)} = \mathfrak{w}_{(0,\dots,0)} = [(0, \dots, 0)]$, and $f_i \mathfrak{k} = (1 - v^2)^{-1} \mathfrak{k}$ (resp. $e_i^* \mathfrak{w} = (1 - v^2)^{-1} \mathfrak{w}$) for any i (the *Whittaker vectors*).

The following proposition gives a geometric construction of the Whittaker vectors $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{w} \in M$.

Proposition 2.32. *a) $\mathfrak{k}_{\underline{d}} = [\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}}]$ (the class of the structure sheaf of $\mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}$);*

$$b) \mathfrak{w}_{\underline{d}} = v^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-2i)d_i^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (2-2i)d_i d_{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{(2-2i)(d_{i-1}-d_i)} [\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}].$$

Proof. a) We have $\mathbf{q}^* \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}+i} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i}}$. Furthermore, since $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{r} : \mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}} \times (\mathbf{C} - \infty)$ is proper and birational, and both the source and the target are smooth, we have $(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{r})_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i}} = \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C} - \infty}$. Finally, $pr_* [\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C} - \infty}] = (1 - v^2)^{-1} [\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}}]$ where $pr : \mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}} \times (\mathbf{C} - \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_{\underline{d}}$ is the projection to the first factor.

b) Recall that $e_i^* = K_i^{2i} f_i$. Thus we have to check that $f_i [\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}+i}^{-1}] = t_i^2 v^{2d_{i-1} - 2d_i} (1 - v^2)^{-1} [\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}]$. Furthermore, recall that on $\mathfrak{E}_{\underline{d},i}$ we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{q}^* \mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}+i}^{-1} = \mathfrak{L}_i \otimes \mathbf{p}^* \mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}$. By the projection formula we are reduced to

$$(14) \quad \mathbf{p}_* [\mathfrak{L}_i] = t_i^2 v^{2d_{i-1} - 2d_i} (1 - v^2)^{-1} [\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}}]$$

This can be calculated in the basis $[\tilde{d}]$ where we already know the matrix coefficients of our operators (see Corollary 2.20). More precisely, by the Bott-Lefschetz fixed point formula, we have to check

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \leq i} t_j^2 v^{-2d_{ij}} (1 - v^2)^{-1} \prod_{j \neq k \leq i} (1 - t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i,k} - 2d_{i,j}})^{-1} \prod_{k \leq i-1} (1 - t_j^2 t_k^{-2} v^{2d_{i-1,k} - 2d_{i,j}}) = \\ & = t_i^2 v^{2d_{i-1} - 2d_i} (1 - v^2)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Recall the change of variables we used in the proof of Proposition 2.21: $s_j := t_j^2 v^{-2d_{ij}}$, $1 \leq j \leq i$; $p_k := t_k^2 v^{-2d_{i-1,k}}$, $1 \leq k \leq i-1$. Then we have to prove

$$\sum_{j \leq i} s_j \prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \leq i}} (1 - s_j s_k^{-1})^{-1} \prod_{k \leq i-1} (1 - s_j p_k^{-1}) = s_1 \cdots s_i p_1^{-1} \cdots p_{i-1}^{-1}$$

This follows immediately from the well known identity

$$\sum_{j \leq i} \prod_{k \leq i-1} (p_k - s_j) \prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \leq i}} (s_k - s_j)^{-1} = 1.$$

This completes the proof of the Proposition. \square

Corollary 2.33. *The Shapovalov scalar product of the Whittaker vectors equals $(\mathfrak{k}_{\underline{d}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\underline{d}}) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i} v^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d_i d_{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{d_{i-1} - d_i} R\Gamma(\Omega_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}})$.*

2.34. According to the works [3], [13], the appropriate generating function of the Shapovalov scalar product of the Whittaker vectors satisfies a v -deformed (v -difference) version of the quantum Toda lattice equations. Let us recall the required notations and results.

We introduce the formal variables z_1, \dots, z_n , and we set $Q_i = \exp(z_i - z_{i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. We set $\hbar = \log(v)$, so that $v = \exp(\hbar)$. We introduce the shift operators T_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, acting on the space of functions of z_1, \dots, z_n invariant with respect to the simultaneous translations $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = f(z_1 + z, \dots, z_n + z)$. Namely, we set $T_i f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = f(z_1, \dots, z_i + \hbar, \dots, z_n)$.

We define the following v -difference operators:

$$(15) \quad \mathfrak{S} := \sum_{j=1}^n T_j^2 + v^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_i T_i T_{i+1}$$

$$(16) \quad \mathfrak{S} := T_1^2 + T_2^2(1 - Q_1) + \dots + T_n^2(1 - Q_{n-1})$$

We also consider the following generating functions:

$$(17) \quad \mathfrak{J} := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_i^{\frac{-\log(t_1 \cdots t_i)}{\hbar}} \sum_{\underline{d}} (\mathfrak{k}_{\underline{d}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\underline{d}}) Q_1^{d_1} \cdots Q_{n-1}^{d_{n-1}}$$

$$(18) \quad \mathfrak{J} := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_i^{\frac{-\log(t_1 \cdots t_i)}{\hbar}} \sum_{\underline{d}} R\Gamma(\Omega_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}}) Q_1^{d_1} \cdots Q_{n-1}^{d_{n-1}}$$

Then according to the last formula of [13] (or equivalently, the formula (5.7) of [3]), we have

$$(19) \quad \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{J} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 \right) \mathfrak{J}$$

Now the argument of [3], section 6 (see the formula (6.5)), establishes

$$(20) \quad \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{J} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 \right) \mathfrak{J}$$

thus reproving the Main Theorem 2 of [6].

3. PARABOLIC SHEAVES AND AFFINE QUANTUM GROUPS

3.1. Parabolic sheaves. We recall the setup of [4]. Let \mathbf{X} be another smooth projective curve of genus zero. We fix a coordinate x on \mathbf{X} , and consider the action of \mathbb{C}^* on \mathbf{X} such that $u(x) = u^{-2}x$. We have $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \{0_{\mathbf{X}}, \infty_{\mathbf{X}}\}$. Let \mathbf{S} denote the product surface $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}$. Let \mathbf{D}_{∞} denote the divisor $\mathbf{C} \times \infty_{\mathbf{X}} \cup \infty_{\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{X}$. Let \mathbf{D}_0 denote the divisor $\mathbf{C} \times 0_{\mathbf{X}}$.

Given an n -tuple of nonnegative integers $\underline{d} = (d_0, \dots, d_{n-1})$, we say that a *parabolic sheaf* \mathcal{F}_{\bullet} of degree \underline{d} is an infinite flag of torsion free coherent sheaves of rank n on \mathbf{S} : $\dots \subset \mathcal{F}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \dots$ such that:

- (a) $\mathcal{F}_{k+n} = \mathcal{F}_k(\mathbf{D}_0)$ for any k ;
- (b) $ch_1(\mathcal{F}_k) = k[\mathbf{D}_0]$ for any k : the first Chern classes are proportional to the fundamental class of \mathbf{D}_0 ;
- (c) $ch_2(\mathcal{F}_k) = d_i$ for $i \equiv k \pmod{n}$;
- (d) \mathcal{F}_0 is locally free at \mathbf{D}_{∞} and trivialized at \mathbf{D}_{∞} : $\mathcal{F}_0|_{\mathbf{D}_{\infty}} = W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\infty}}$;
- (e) For $-n \leq k \leq 0$ the sheaf \mathcal{F}_k is locally free at \mathbf{D}_{∞} , and the quotient sheaves $\mathcal{F}_k/\mathcal{F}_{-n}$, $\mathcal{F}_0/\mathcal{F}_k$ (both supported at $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{C} \times 0_{\mathbf{X}} \subset \mathbf{S}$) are both locally free at the point $\infty_{\mathbf{C}} \times 0_{\mathbf{X}}$; moreover, the local sections of $\mathcal{F}_k|_{\infty_{\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{X}}$ are those sections of $\mathcal{F}_0|_{\infty_{\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{X}} = W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}$ which take value in $\langle w_1, \dots, w_{n-k} \rangle \subset W$ at $\infty_{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbf{X}$.

According to [4], 3.5, the fine moduli space $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ of degree \underline{d} parabolic sheaves exists and is a smooth connected quasiprojective variety of dimension $2d_0 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}$.

The group $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$, and its fixed point set is finite.

3.2. Correspondences. If the collections \underline{d} and \underline{d}' differ at the only place $i \in I := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and $d'_i = d_i + 1$, then we consider a correspondence $\mathbf{E}_{\underline{d},i} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \times \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}'}$ formed by the pairs $(\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}'_{\bullet})$ such that for $j \not\equiv i \pmod{n}$ we have $\mathcal{F}_j = \mathcal{F}'_j$, and for $j \equiv i \pmod{n}$ we have $\mathcal{F}'_j \subset \mathcal{F}_j$.

It is a smooth quasiprojective algebraic variety of dimension $2 \sum_{i \in I} d_i + 1$. In effect, the argument of [4], Lemma 3.3, reduces this statement to the corresponding fact about Laumon correspondences (see [7], 2.10).

We denote by \mathbf{p} (resp. \mathbf{q}) the natural projection $\mathbf{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{E}_{\underline{d},i} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}'}$). For $j \equiv i \pmod{n}$ the correspondence $\mathbf{E}_{\underline{d},i}$ is equipped with a natural line bundle \mathbf{L}_j whose fiber at $(\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}'_{\bullet})$ equals $\Gamma(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}_{j-n}/\mathcal{F}'_{j-n})$. Finally, we have a transposed correspondence ${}^{\top}\mathbf{E}_{\underline{d},i} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}'} \times \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$.

3.3. We denote by $'\mathcal{M}$ the direct sum of equivariant (complexified) K -groups: $'\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\underline{d}} K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}})$. It is a module over $K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt) = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*] = \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n, v, u : t_1 \cdots t_n = 1]$. We define $\mathcal{M} = '\mathcal{M} \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt))$.

We have an evident grading $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\underline{d}} \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}} = K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}) \otimes_{K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt)} \text{Frac}(K^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}(pt))$.

3.4. The grading and the correspondences ${}^{\top}\mathbf{E}_{\underline{d},i}, \mathbf{E}_{\underline{d},i}$ give rise to the following operators on \mathcal{M} (note that though \mathbf{p} is not proper, \mathbf{p}_* is well defined on the localized equivariant K -theory due to the finiteness of the fixed point sets):

$$K_i = t_{i+1} t_i^{-1} u^{\delta_{0,i}} v^{2d_i - d_{i-1} - d_{i+1} + 1} : \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}},$$

$$C = uv^n,$$

$$\text{For } i = 0, \dots, n-1 \text{ we define } L_i = t_1^{-1} \cdots t_i^{-1} v^{d_i + \frac{1}{2}i(n-i)} : \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}} \text{ (that is, } L_0 = v^{d_0}),$$

$$f_i = \mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^* : \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}-i};$$

$$\text{For } n > 2 \text{ and } i = 0, \dots, n-1 \text{ we define } F_i = t_{i+1}^{-1} v^{d_{i+1} - d_i + \frac{n+1}{2} - i} \mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^* : \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}-i},$$

$$\text{For } n = 2 \text{ we define } F_i = f_i,$$

$$e_i = -t_i^{-1} t_{i+1}^{-1} u^{\delta_{0,i}} v^{d_{i+1} - d_{i-1}} \mathbf{q}_*(\mathbf{L}_i \otimes \mathbf{p}^*) : \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}+i},$$

For $n > 2$ and $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ we define $E_i = -t_i^{-1} u^{\delta_{0,i}} v^{d_i - d_{i-1} + \frac{1-n}{2} + i} \mathbf{q}_*(L_i \otimes \mathbf{p}^*) : \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{d}+i}$,

For $n = 2$ we define $E_i = e_i$.

3.5. Sevostyanov's form of affine quantum SL_n . Let I denote the set $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of residue classes modulo n .

\mathcal{U} is the $\mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$ -algebra with generators $E_i, L_i^{\pm 1}, K_i^{\pm 1}, C, F_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, subject to the following relations:

$$(21) \quad L_i L_j = L_j L_i, \quad K_i = L_i^2 L_{i+1} L_{i-1} C^{\delta_{i,0}}$$

$$(22) \quad L_j E_i L_j^{-1} = v^{\delta_{i,j}} E_i, \quad L_j F_i L_j^{-1} = v^{-\delta_{i,j}} F_i, \quad C \text{ is central}$$

$$(23) \quad E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}$$

$$(24) \quad |i - j| > 1 \implies E_i E_j - E_j E_i = 0 = F_i F_j - F_j F_i$$

$$(25) \quad n > 2 \ \& \ |i - j| = 1 \implies E_i^2 E_j - (v + v^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0 = F_i^2 F_j - (v + v^{-1}) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2$$

$$(26) \quad n = 2 \ \& \ |i - j| = 1 \implies E_i^3 E_j - (v^2 + 1 + v^{-2}) E_i^2 E_j E_i + (v^2 + 1 + v^{-2}) E_i E_j E_i^2 - E_j E_i^3 = 0$$

$$(27) \quad n = 2 \ \& \ |i - j| = 1 \implies F_i^3 F_j - (v^2 + 1 + v^{-2}) F_i^2 F_j F_i + (v^2 + 1 + v^{-2}) F_i F_j F_i^2 - F_j F_i^3 = 0$$

For $n > 2$ we also consider elements $e_i, f_i \in \mathcal{U}$ depending on the following choice of $n \times n$ -matrices n_{ij}, c_{ij} (cf. [12], Remark 3):

$$(28) \quad n_{i,i} = 1, \quad n_{i,i+1} = -1, \quad n_{i+1,i} = 0,$$

otherwise $n_{ij} = 0$.

$$(29) \quad c_{i,i+1} = -1, \quad c_{i+1,i} = 1,$$

otherwise $c_{ij} = 0$.

Then we set

$$(30) \quad f_i := L_i L_{i+1}^{-1} F_i, \quad e_i := E_i L_i^{-1} L_{i+1}.$$

Clearly, the algebra \mathcal{U} is generated by $e_i, L_i^{\pm 1}, K_i^{\pm 1}, C, f_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and the relations (22)–(25) above are equivalent to the relations (31)–(34) below.

$$(31) \quad L_j e_i L_j^{-1} = v^{\delta_{i,j}} e_i, \quad L_j f_i L_j^{-1} = v^{-\delta_{i,j}} f_i, \quad C \text{ is central}$$

$$(32) \quad e_i f_j - v^{c_{ij}} f_j e_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}$$

$$(33) \quad |i - j| > 1 \implies e_i e_j - e_j e_i = 0 = f_i f_j - f_j f_i$$

$$(34) \quad |i - j| = 1 \implies e_i^2 e_j - v^{c_{ij}}(v + v^{-1})e_i e_j e_i + v^{2c_{ij}} e_j e_i^2 = 0 = f_i^2 f_j - v^{c_{ij}}(v + v^{-1})f_i f_j f_i + v^{2c_{ij}} f_j f_i^2$$

3.6. The following conjecture is an affine analogue of Theorem 2.12. Recall the operators $E_i, e_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, C, F_i, f_i$, $i \in I$, on \mathcal{M} defined in 3.4.

Conjecture 3.7. *The operators $E_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, C, F_i$, $i \in I$, on \mathcal{M} satisfy the relations (21)–(27). Equivalently, if $n > 2$, the operators $e_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, C, f_i$, $i \in I$, satisfy the relations (21), (31)–(34).*

3.8. We can only prove a weaker statement. Let \mathcal{M}' be the subspace of \mathcal{M} generated from \mathcal{M}_0 by the action of E_i , $i \in I$.

Theorem 3.9. *The operators $E_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, C, F_i$, $i \in I$, preserve \mathcal{M}' and satisfy the relations (21)–(27). Equivalently, if $n > 2$, the operators $e_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, C, f_i$, $i \in I$, preserve \mathcal{M}' and satisfy the relations (21), (31)–(34).*

3.10. The proof is completely parallel to the proof of Theorem 2.12. In effect, the relation (32) for $i \neq j$ follows from the transversality statement absolutely similar to Lemma 2.14. More precisely, the argument of [4] (Lemma 3.3), reduces the required smoothness to that proved in Lemma 2.14.

The relation (32) for $j = i$ follows from Lemma 2.24 by the argument of 2.25. Having established the relations (32) on the whole of \mathcal{M} , we see by induction in \underline{d} that \mathcal{M}' is stable under the operators f_i , $i \in I$.

Now the size of \mathcal{M}' is not bigger than the size of the universal Verma module over \mathcal{U} . So the proof of the Serre relations is completed as in 2.27. The theorem is proved.

3.11. We will write down a geometric expression for the Shapovalov form on the universal Verma module \mathcal{M}' over \mathcal{U} . Evidently, the different weight spaces of \mathcal{M}' are orthogonal with respect to the Shapovalov form. For $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, we consider the line bundle \mathcal{D}_i on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ whose fiber at the point (\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}) equals $\det R\Gamma(\mathbf{S}, \mathcal{F}_{i-n})$. We also define the line bundle $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}} := \bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_i$. The following proposition is proved exactly as 2.30.

Proposition 3.12. *For $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 \in \mathcal{M}'_{\underline{d}}$ we have*

$$(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i} v^{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i d_{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-2i)d_i} u^{-d_0} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} t_i^{d_i - d_{i-1}} R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}})$$

3.13. We define a formal sum in a completion of \mathcal{M} as follows: $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{\underline{d}} \mathbf{n}_{\underline{d}} := \sum_{\underline{d}} [\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}}] = \sum_{\underline{d}} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}}]$. We also consider the following formal sum: $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{\underline{d}} \mathbf{u}_{\underline{d}}$ where

$$(35) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\underline{d}} = v^{2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^2 - 2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i d_{i+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-2i+1)d_i} u^{2d_0} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{2d_{i-1} - 2d_i} [\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}]$$

Proposition 3.14. *a) \mathbf{n} is a common eigenvector of the operators f_i with the eigenvalue $(1 - v^2)^{-1}$;*

b) \mathbf{u} is a common eigenvector of the operators e_i^ with the eigenvalue $(1 - v^2)^{-1}$.*

Proof. a) is proved exactly as Proposition 2.32 (a).

To check b) we argue as in the proof of Proposition 2.32 (b), and reduce it to

$$(36) \quad \mathbf{p}_*[\mathbf{L}_i] = t_i^2 u^{-2\delta_{0,i}} v^{2d_{i-1}-2d_i} (1-v^2)^{-1} [\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}}]$$

To verify this we recall the setup of 2.23, and claim that in the notations of *loc. cit.* we have

$$(37) \quad \mathbf{p}_*[\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{d}_1}] = \tau_1^2 v^{-2\mathfrak{d}_1} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{v}_2}}]$$

In effect, (37) is deduced from (14) by the argument of 2.26. Finally, (36) is deduced from (37) by the argument of 2.25.

The Proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 3.15. *The Shapovalov scalar product of the Whittaker vectors equals $(\mathbf{n}_{\underline{d}}, \mathbf{u}_{\underline{d}}) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i} v^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i d_{i-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i} u^{d_0} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{d_{i-1} - d_i} R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}})$.*

Conjecture 3.16. *The class of the structure sheaf $[\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}}]$ lies in $\mathcal{M}'_{\underline{d}}$.*

Proposition 3.17. *The class of $[\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}]$ lies in $\mathcal{M}'_{\underline{d}}$.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}' \oplus \mathcal{M}''$ where \mathcal{M}'' is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{M}' in \mathcal{M} with respect to the Shapovalov form. We have to prove that $[\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}]$ is orthogonal to \mathcal{M}'' . Let $A \in \mathcal{M}''_{\underline{d}}$. Suppose $A = e_i B$ for some $i \in I$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}''_{\underline{d}-i}$. Then $(A, [\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}]) = (B, e_i^* [\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}])$. Thus up to (an invertible) monomial in t, u, v we have $(A, [\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}]) = (B, [D_{\underline{d}-i}^{-1}])$. Hence, arguing by induction in \underline{d} we may assume that $A \in \mathcal{M}''_{\underline{d}}$ is orthogonal to the image of any e_i . Then $e_i^* A = 0$ or, equivalently, $f_i A = 0$ for any $i \in I$. Up to (an invertible) monomial in t, u, v we have $(A, [\mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}}^{-1}]) = R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}, A)$. Thus we are reduced to the following claim for $\underline{d} \neq (0, \dots, 0)$:

$$(38) \quad f_i A = 0 \quad \forall i \in I \implies R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}, A) = 0.$$

We will derive (38) from the corresponding claim in the equivariant (complexified) Borel-Moore homology $H_{BM}^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}})$. Let $\text{Td}_{\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}}$ denote the equivariant Todd class in the completion of the equivariant cohomology. Let also ch_* denote the homological Chern character map from the equivariant K -theory to the completion of the equivariant Borel-Moore homology (see e.g. [2]). We define

$$a := \text{Td}_{\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}} \cup \text{ch}_* A \in \widehat{H}_{BM}^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}})$$

By the bivariant Riemann-Roch Theorem (see e.g. [2], 5.11.11) we have $\text{ch}_*(f_i A) = \text{ch}_*(\mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^* A) = \mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^* a$ where in the RHS \mathbf{p}_* and \mathbf{q}^* refer to the operations in the (localized and completed) equivariant Borel-Moore homology. We also have $R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}, A) = \int_{\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}} a$. Since ch_* is injective, and the operation $? \mapsto \text{Td}_{\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}} \cup ?$ is invertible, the claim (38) follows from the corresponding claim in the equivariant Borel-Moore homology $\widehat{H}_{BM}^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}})$:

$$(39) \quad f_i a = 0 \quad \forall i \in I \implies \int_{\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}} a = 0.$$

Here $f_i = \mathbf{p}_* \mathbf{q}^*$ is a part of the action of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ on $\mathfrak{M} := \oplus_{\underline{d}} \widehat{H}_{BM}^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}})$ (localized and completed equivariant Borel-Moore homology). The positive generators act as $\mathfrak{e}_i = \mathbf{q}_* \mathbf{p}^*$. This can be checked along the lines of Theorem 3.9 but simpler.

Reversing the argument in the beginning of the proof, we see that (39) is equivalent to the statement that the fundamental cycle $[\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}] \in \widehat{H}_{BM}^{\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}})$ is contained in the subspace \mathfrak{M}' of \mathfrak{M} generated by the action of \mathfrak{e}_i , $i \in I$, from $[\mathcal{P}_{(0, \dots, 0)}]$.

Recall the semismall resolution morphism $\pi_{\underline{d}} : \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\underline{d}}$ to the Uhlenbeck flag space, see [4]. By the Decomposition Theorem of Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne-Gabber, the direct sum of (localized and completed) equivariant Intersection Homology $'\mathfrak{M} := \bigoplus_{\underline{d}} \widehat{IH}^{\tilde{T} \times C^*}(\mathfrak{P}_{\underline{d}})$ is a direct summand of \mathfrak{M} .

Now [1] defines the action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ on $'\mathfrak{M}$, and one can check that the action of [1] is the restriction of the above $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ -action on \mathfrak{M} . It follows that $'\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}'$. Finally, it is proved in [1] that $[\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}] \in '\mathfrak{M}$.

This completes the proof of the Proposition. \square

3.18. We conclude that \mathbf{u} is the unique Whittaker vector in the completion of the Verma module $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}'$ with the lowest weight component $\mathbf{u}_{(0, \dots, 0)} = [(0, \dots, 0)]$ (the common eigenvector of e_i^* , $i \in I$, with the eigenvalue $(1 - v^2)^{-1}$).

Let $\mathbf{n}' \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}'$ be the unique common eigenvector of f_i , $i \in I$, with the eigenvalue $(1 - v^2)^{-1}$ and with the lowest weight component $\mathbf{n}'_{(0, \dots, 0)} = [(0, \dots, 0)]$. Then \mathbf{n}' is the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{n} onto $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}'$ along $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}''$. Hence the Corollary 3.15 yields the following

Corollary 3.19. $(\mathbf{n}'_{\underline{d}}, \mathbf{u}_{\underline{d}}) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i} v^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i d_{i-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i u^{d_0}} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{d_{i-1} - d_i} R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}, \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Braverman, *Instanton counting via affine Lie algebras I. Equivariant J-functions of (affine) flag manifolds and Whittaker vectors*, to appear in the Proceedings of the Workshop on algebraic structures and moduli spaces, Montréal (2003).
- [2] N. Chriss, V. Ginzburg, *Representation Theory and Complex Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1997).
- [3] P. Etingof, *Whittaker functions on quantum groups and q-deformed Toda operators*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 **194** (1999), 9–25.
- [4] M. Finkelberg, D. Gaitsgory, A. Kuznetsov, *Uhlenbeck spaces for \mathbb{A}^2 and affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$* , Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **39** (2003), 721–766.
- [5] M. Finkelberg, A. Kuznetsov, *Global Intersection Cohomology of Quasimaps' spaces*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices **7** (1997), 301–328.
- [6] A. Givental, Y.-P. Lee, *Quantum K-theory on flag manifolds, finite-difference Toda lattices and quantum groups*, Invent. math. **151** (2003), 193–219.
- [7] G. Laumon, *Un Analogue Global du Cône Nilpotent*, Duke Math. Journal **57** (1988), 647–671.
- [8] G. Laumon, *Faisceaux Automorphes Liés aux Séries d'Eisenstein*, Perspect. Math. **10** (1990), 227–281.
- [9] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Journal of the AMS **14**, no. 1 (2001), 145–238.
- [10] T. Nakashima, A. Zelevinsky, *Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Quantized Kac-Moody Algebras*, Advances in Mathematics, **131**, No.1 (1997), 253–278.
- [11] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 583–592.
- [12] A. Sevostyanov, *Regular Nilpotent Elements and Quantum Groups*, Commun. Math. Phys. **204** (1999), 1–16.
- [13] A. Sevostyanov, *Quantum deformation of Whittaker modules and the Toda lattice*, Duke Math. J. **105** (2000), 211–238.

Address:

A.B.: DEPT. OF MATH., BROWN UNIV., PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, USA;

M.F.: INDEPENDENT MOSCOW UNIV., 11 BOLSHOJ VLASJEVSKIJ PER., MOSCOW 119002, RUSSIA

E-mail address:

braval@math.brown.edu; fnklberg@mcme.ru