

# PRODUCTS OF MATRICES $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ AND $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF REDUCED QUADRATIC IRRATIONALS

FLORIN P. BOCA

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Phi(N)$  denote the number of products of matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  of trace equal to  $N$ , and  $\Psi(N) = \sum_{n=1}^N \Phi(n)$  be the number of such products of trace at most  $N$ .

We prove an asymptotic formula of type  $\Psi(N) = c_1 N^2 \log N + c_2 N^2 + O_\varepsilon(N^{9/5+\varepsilon})$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . As a result, the Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \Phi(n)n^{-s}$  has a meromorphic extension in the half-plane  $\Re(s) > 9/4$  with a single, order two pole at  $s = 2$ . Our estimate also improves on an asymptotic result of Faivre concerning the distribution of reduced quadratic irrationals, providing an explicit upper bound for the error term.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the (free) multiplicative monoid  $\mathcal{M}$  they generate. The problem of estimating

$$\Phi(N) = \#\{C \in \mathcal{M} : \text{Tr}(C) = N\}$$

and

$$\Psi(N) = \sum_{n=1}^N \Phi(n) = \#\{C \in \mathcal{M} : \text{Tr}(C) \leq N\}$$

came across in the study of a number-theoretic spin chain model in statistical mechanics introduced in [8], and further studied in [4] and [2]. In [7] the estimate

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi(N) = \frac{N^2 \log N}{\zeta(2)} + O(N^2 \log \log N) \quad (N \rightarrow \infty)$$

was proved, using  $L$ -functions and a result from [3] concerning the distribution of reduced quadratic irrationals.

In this paper we improve the estimate (1.1). Our approach relies on a result concerning the distribution of multiplicative inverses, which is a consequence of Weil's bound on Kloosterman sums.

---

*Date:* March 18, 2005.

**Theorem 1.1.** *We have*

$$(1.2) \quad \Psi(N) = c_1 N^2 \log N + c_2 N^2 + \Psi_0(N),$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad \Psi_0(N) \ll_{\varepsilon} N^{\frac{9}{5}+\varepsilon} \quad (N \rightarrow \infty),$$

and

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left( \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right).$$

Using the Mellin transform representation of Dirichlet series we obtain for  $\Re(s) > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) n^{-s} = s \int_1^{\infty} \Psi(x) x^{-s-1} dx \\ &= s \int_1^{\infty} (c_1 x^2 \log x + c_2 x^2) x^{-s-1} dx + s \int_1^{\infty} \Psi_0(x) x^{-s-1} dx \\ &= \frac{2c_1}{(s-2)^2} + \frac{c_1 + 2c_2}{s-2} + c_2 + s \int_1^{\infty} \Psi_0(x) x^{-s-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $\Psi_0(x)$  satisfies the growth condition (1.3) the integral above converges for  $\Re(s) > \frac{9}{5}$ , and thus the right-hand side defines an analytic continuation of  $Z(s)$  to the half-plane  $\Re(s) > \frac{9}{5}$  with the point  $s = 2$  removed.

Interestingly, the main terms in formula (1.2) also appear in an asymptotic formula involving the Farey series  $\mathcal{F}_N$  of order  $N$  ([5]). More precisely, we derive

$$\Psi(N) + 2|\mathcal{F}_N| = \frac{N^4}{2} \sum_{\substack{\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{F}_N \\ \gamma < \gamma'}} (\gamma' - \gamma)^2 + O_{\varepsilon}(N^{\frac{9}{5}+\varepsilon}).$$

The contribution to the main term in the asymptotic formula above only comes from words of odd length in  $\mathcal{M}$ . We estimate the contribution  $\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N)$  to  $\Psi(N)$  of words of even length which begin in  $A$  and end in  $B$ , proving

$$\textbf{Proposition 1.2.} \quad \Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) = \frac{N^2 \log 2}{2\zeta(2)} + O_{\varepsilon}(N^{\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}) \quad (N \rightarrow \infty).$$

This extends Proposition 4.5 in [7] which states that

$$\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) \sim \frac{N^2 \log 2}{2\zeta(2)},$$

without any estimate on the error term.

Using a transfer operator associated with the Gauss map, Fredholm theory, and Ikehara's tauberian theorem, Faivre [3] proved the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{\rho(\omega) < X} 1 \sim \frac{e^X \log 2}{2\zeta(2)} \quad (X \rightarrow \infty)$$

for the number of reduced quadratic irrationals  $\omega$  of length  $\rho(\omega)$  at most  $X$ . Since the final argument relies on a tauberian theorem, no explicit bound was found for the error term. In the last section we use Proposition 1.2 and an explicit identification from [7] between products of matrices  $A$  and  $B$  starting with  $B$  and ending with  $A$ , and reduced quadratic irrationals, to prove

**Proposition 1.3.** 
$$\sum_{\rho(\omega) < X} 1 = \frac{e^X \log 2}{2\zeta(2)} + O_\varepsilon(e^{(\frac{7}{8} + \varepsilon)X}) \quad (X \rightarrow \infty).$$

## 2. PRODUCTS OF $A$ 'S AND $B$ 'S AND CONTINUED FRACTIONS

If

$$\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \dots] = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}$$

is a reduced continued fraction with positive integers  $a_i$ , the  $k^{\text{th}}$  convergent

$$\frac{p_k}{q_k} = [a_1, \dots, a_k]$$

is given by pairs  $(p_n, q_n)$  of relatively prime integers defined recursively as

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} p_0 = 0, & p_1 = 1, & p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}, \\ q_0 = 1, & q_1 = a_1, & q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}, \end{cases}$$

and satisfying  $0 \leq p_n \leq q_n$  and the equality

$$p_{n-1}q_n - p_nq_{n-1} = (-1)^n.$$

If  $(p_n)$  and  $(q_n)$  satisfy (2.1) for every  $0 \leq n \leq k$ , then

$$\frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} = \frac{q_{n-1}}{a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}} = \frac{1}{a_n + \frac{q_{n-2}}{q_{n-1}}},$$

showing that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k} = [a_k, \dots, a_1].$$

Consider the matrices

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M(a) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $(p_n, q_n)$  is as in (2.1) then, as noticed in [7], we have

$$(2.3) \quad M(a_1) \dots M(a_n) = \begin{bmatrix} q_n & q_{n-1} \\ p_n & p_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

When combined with

$$B^k A^\ell = M(k)M(\ell), \quad k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z},$$

equality (2.3) yields

$$(2.4) \quad B^{a_1} A^{a_2} \dots B^{a_{2m-1}} A^{a_{2m}} = M(a_1) \dots M(a_{2m}) = \begin{bmatrix} q_{2m} & q_{2m-1} \\ p_{2m} & p_{2m-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From (2.1), (2.4), and

$$B = A^T = JAJ, \quad A = JBJ,$$

we also infer that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{a_1} B^{a_2} \dots A^{a_{2m-1}} B^{a_{2m}} &= J \begin{bmatrix} q_{2m} & q_{2m-1} \\ p_{2m} & p_{2m-1} \end{bmatrix} J = \begin{bmatrix} p_{2m-1} & p_{2m} \\ q_{2m-1} & q_{2m} \end{bmatrix}, \\ B^{a_1} A^{a_2} \dots A^{a_{2m}} B^{a_{2m+1}} &= \begin{bmatrix} q_{2m} & q_{2m-1} \\ p_{2m} & p_{2m-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{2m+1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{2m} & q_{2m+1} \\ p_{2m} & p_{2m+1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ A^{a_1} B^{a_2} \dots B^{a_{2m}} A^{a_{2m+1}} &= \begin{bmatrix} p_{2m+1} & p_{2m} \\ q_{2m+1} & q_{2m} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

All matrices in the products from (2.4) and (2.5) have determinant 1. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\text{ev}}(N) &= \{(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \in \mathbb{N}^{2m} : \text{Tr}(B^{a_1} A^{a_2} \dots B^{a_{2m-1}} A^{a_{2m}}) \leq N\}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{\text{odd}}(N) &= \{(a_1, \dots, a_{2m+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{2m+1} : \text{Tr}(B^{a_1} A^{a_2} \dots A^{a_{2m}} B^{a_{2m+1}}) \leq N\}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the sets

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{ev}}(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} q' & q \\ p' & p \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq p \leq q, \ 0 \leq p' \leq q', \ q' > q, \\ p + q' \leq N, \ pq' - p'q = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{odd}}(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} q & q' \\ p & p' \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq p \leq q, \ 0 \leq p' \leq q', \ q' \geq q, \\ p' + q \leq N, \ p'q - pq' = 1 \end{array} \right\},$$

of cardinality  $\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N)$  and respectively  $\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N)$ , and the maps defined as

$$\beta_{\text{ev}}(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) = M(a_1) \dots M(a_{2m}), \quad \beta_{\text{odd}}(a_1, \dots, a_{2m+1}) = M(a_1) \dots M(a_{2m+1}),$$

from  $\cup_1^\infty \mathcal{W}_{\text{ev}}(N)$  to  $\cup_1^\infty \mathcal{S}_{\text{ev}}(N)$ , and respectively from  $\cup_1^\infty \mathcal{W}_{\text{odd}}(N)$  to  $\cup_1^\infty \mathcal{S}_{\text{odd}}(N)$ . As a consequence of (2.2),  $\beta_{\text{ev}}$  and  $\beta_{\text{odd}}$  are injective. It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that  $\beta_{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{ev}}(N)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\text{ev}}(N)$  and  $\beta_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{odd}}(N)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\text{odd}}(N)$ . To check that  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{ev}}(N) \subseteq \beta_{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{ev}}(N))$ , let  $\begin{bmatrix} q' & q \\ p' & p \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{ev}}(N)$ . With  $K = \lfloor \frac{q'}{q} \rfloor$  we have  $0 \leq q' - Kq < q$ ,  $0 \leq p' - Kp$ , and

$(p' - Kp)q - (q' - Kq)p = 1$ . Since  $q' > q$  are relatively prime, we also have  $K \leq \frac{q'-1}{q} \leq \frac{q'-p'}{q-p}$ , and thus  $p' - Kp \leq q' - Kq$ . Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} q' & q \\ p' & p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -K \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q' & q \\ p' & p \end{bmatrix} M(K)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} q & q' - Kq \\ p & p' - Kp \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows that by replacing  $(q, q')$  by  $(q' - Kq, q)$  and  $(p, p')$  by  $(p' - Kp, p)$ , and performing this process until  $q' - Kq$  becomes equal to 1, the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} q' & q \\ p' & p \end{bmatrix}$  is written as a product of  $m$  matrices of form  $M(K)$ . Since  $q' > q$ ,  $m$  ought to be even and therefore  $\begin{bmatrix} q' & q \\ p' & p \end{bmatrix} \in \beta_{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{ev}}(N))$ . One shows in a similar way that  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{odd}}(N) \subseteq \beta_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{odd}}(N))$ .

This proves that the elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  are uniquely represented as products of  $A$ 's and  $B$ 's. It also implies that

$$(2.6) \quad \Psi(N) = 2\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) + 2\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N).$$

### 3. ESTIMATING $\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N)$

To estimate  $\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N)$ , we first keep  $q'$  and  $q$  constant. From  $pq' - p'q = 1$  and  $q < q'$ , it follows that  $q$  and  $q'$  are relatively prime, and that  $p$  is uniquely determined as  $p = \overline{q'}$ , where  $\overline{q'}$  is the unique integer in  $\{1, \dots, q\}$  for which  $q'\overline{q'} = 1 \pmod{q}$ . It is obvious that  $p' := \frac{pq'-1}{q} \leq q'$  and the map

$$\left\{ (q, q') : \begin{array}{l} q < q' \leq N, \ (q, q') = 1 \\ q' + \overline{q'} \leq N \end{array} \right\} \ni (q, q') \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} q' & q \\ p' = \frac{q'\overline{q'}-1}{q} & p = \overline{q'} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{ev}}(N)$$

is a one-to-one correspondence. Replacing  $q'$  by  $y$  and  $\overline{q'}$  by  $x$  we can write

$$(3.1) \quad \Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) = \sum_{\substack{q < q' \leq N \\ q' + \overline{q'} \leq N}} 1 = \sum_{q < N} \sum_{\substack{q < y \leq N \\ 0 < x \leq \min\{q, N-y\} \\ xy = 1 \pmod{q}}} 1.$$

For each  $y \in (0, N]$ , there is at most one  $x \in (0, q)$  such that  $xy = 1 \pmod{q}$ ; whence the trivial estimate

$$\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) \ll N^2.$$

To give a more precise estimate for  $\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N)$ , we shall define for  $q > 1$  integer and  $\Omega$  subset in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the number  $\mathcal{N}_q(\Omega)$  of (relatively prime) integers  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  such that  $xy = 1 \pmod{q}$ . It is known (see for instance [1]) that Weil's bound on Kloosterman sums yields for any intervals  $I$  and  $J$  of length at most  $q$  the estimate

$$\mathcal{N}_q(I \times J) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} |I| |J| + O_\varepsilon(q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}).$$

This immediately extends to intervals of arbitrary size as

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{N}_q(I \times J) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} |I| |J| + O_\varepsilon \left( q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{|I|}{q} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{|J|}{q} \right) \right).$$

Another easy but useful consequence of (3.2) is given next.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that*

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) : \alpha \leq x \leq \beta, f_1(x) \leq y \leq f_2(x)\},$$

*with  $C^1$ -functions  $f_1 \leq f_2$  on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . Then for every integer  $T > 1$  we have*

$$\mathcal{N}_q(\Omega) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \text{Area}(\Omega) + \mathcal{E}_q,$$

*with*

$$\mathcal{E}_q \ll_\varepsilon \frac{\beta - \alpha}{Tq} (V_\alpha^\beta(f_1) + V_\alpha^\beta(f_2)) + Tq^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{Tq} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\|f_1\|_\infty + \|f_2\|_\infty}{q} \right),$$

*where  $V_\alpha^\beta(f_i)$  denotes the total variation of  $f_i$  on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .*

*Proof.* One can take without loss of generality  $f_1 = 0$  and  $f_2 \geq 0$ . Dividing  $[\alpha, \beta]$  into  $T$  intervals  $I_i = [x_i, x_{i+1}]$  of equal size, taking  $x_i = \alpha + \frac{i(\beta - \alpha)}{T}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq T$ , and denoting by  $M_i$  and  $m_i$  the maximum, respectively the minimum, of  $f_2$  on  $I_i$ , we clearly have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \mathcal{N}_q([x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [0, m_i]) \leq \mathcal{N}_q(\Omega) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \mathcal{N}_q([x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [0, M_i]).$$

By (3.2) we infer that

$$\mathcal{N}_q([x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [0, m_i]) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \cdot \frac{\beta - \alpha}{T} m_i + O_\varepsilon \left( q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{Tq} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\|f_2\|_\infty}{q} \right) \right).$$

The statement follows from this, the similar estimate for  $\mathcal{N}_q([x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [0, M_i])$ , and from

$$\int_\alpha^\beta f_2(x) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \frac{\beta - \alpha}{T} m_i + O \left( \frac{\beta - \alpha}{T} V_\alpha^\beta(f_2) \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \frac{\beta - \alpha}{T} M_i + O \left( \frac{\beta - \alpha}{T} V_\alpha^\beta(f_2) \right).$$

□

Equality (3.1) can also be written as

$$(3.3) \quad \Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) = \sum_{q < N} \mathcal{N}_q(\Omega_{N,q}),$$

where  $\Omega_{N,q} = \{(x, y) : q < y \leq N, 0 < x \leq \min\{q, N - y\}\}$  coincides with the trapezoid  $\Omega_{N,q}^{(1)} = \{(x, y) : 0 < x \leq q < y \leq N - x\}$  if  $q \leq \frac{N}{2}$ , and with the triangle  $\Omega_{N,q}^{(2)} = \{(x, y) :$

$0 < x < N - q$ ,  $q < y \leq N - x$  if  $q > \frac{N}{2}$ . Employing (3.3) and applying Lemma 3.1 to  $\Omega_{N,q}^{(1)}$  and  $\Omega_{N,q}^{(2)}$  we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(N) &= \sum_{q \leq \frac{N}{2}} \mathcal{N}_q(\Omega_{N,q}^{(1)}) + \sum_{\frac{N}{2} < q < N} \mathcal{N}_q(\Omega_{N,q}^{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{q \leq \frac{N}{2}} \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \cdot \frac{q(2N-3q)}{2} + \sum_{\frac{N}{2} < q < N} \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \cdot \frac{(N-q)^2}{2} + \mathcal{E}_1(N) + \mathcal{E}_2(N),\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_1(N) &\ll_{\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leq \frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{Tq} \cdot q + Tq^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{N}{q} \right) \ll_{\varepsilon} \frac{N^2}{T} + TN^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2(N) &\ll_{\varepsilon} \sum_{\frac{N}{2} < q < N} \left( \frac{N}{Tq} \cdot N + Tq^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{N}{Tq} \right) \frac{N}{q} \right) \ll_{\varepsilon} \frac{N^2}{T} + TN^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $T = \lfloor N^{\frac{1}{4}} \rfloor$  and using standard summation results (see formulas (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) below) this gives

$$\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) = \frac{N^2 \log 2}{2\zeta(2)} + O_{\varepsilon}(N^{\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}),$$

which ends the proof of Proposition 1.2.

#### 4. ESTIMATING $\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N)$

To estimate  $\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N)$ , we first keep  $q$  and  $p$  fixed. The general solution of  $p'q - pq' = 1$  is given by

$$p' = \bar{q} + pt, \quad q' = \frac{p'q - 1}{p} = \frac{q\bar{q} - 1}{p} + qt, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\bar{q}$  is the unique integer in  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  for which  $\bar{q}q = 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $p' > p$ , one has  $t \geq 1$ . The map

$$\left\{ (q, p, t) : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq p < q, \ (p, q) = 1 \\ 1 \leq t \leq \left\lfloor \frac{N-q-\bar{q}}{p} \right\rfloor \end{array} \right\} \ni (q, p, t) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} q & q' = \frac{q\bar{q}-1}{p} + qt \\ p & p' = \bar{q} + pt \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{odd}}(N)$$

is a bijection. Replacing  $p$  by  $a$ ,  $q$  by  $y$ , and  $\bar{q}$  by  $x$ , we can write

$$\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N) = \sum_{q < N} \sum_{\substack{p < q \\ q + \bar{q} \leq N}} \left\lfloor \frac{N - q - \bar{q}}{p} \right\rfloor = \sum_{a < N} \sum_{\substack{a < y < N \\ 0 < x \leq \min\{a, N-y\} \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{a}}} \left\lfloor \frac{N - y - x}{a} \right\rfloor.$$

When  $N - y < a$  we have  $N - y - x < a$ , and the contribution of such terms to  $\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N)$  is null. So we only consider  $N - y \geq a$ . This gives  $a < \frac{N}{2}$  and thus

$$\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N) = \sum_{a < \frac{N}{2}} \sum_{\substack{a < y \leq N-a \\ 0 < x \leq a \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{a}}} \left\lfloor \frac{N - y - x}{a} \right\rfloor.$$

From

$$\left\lfloor \frac{N - y - x}{a} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{N - y - x}{a} < \frac{N - a}{a} = \frac{N}{a} - 1$$

it follows that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{N - y - x}{a} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1.$$

The set of points  $(x, y) \in (0, a] \times (a, N - a)$  for which  $\left\lfloor \frac{N - x - y}{a} \right\rfloor = i$  coincides with

$$\Omega_{N,a,i} := \{(x, y) \in (0, a] \times (a, N - a) : N - (i + 1)a < x + y \leq N - ia\}.$$

We can thus write

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\text{odd}}(N) &= \sum_{a < \frac{N}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1} i \mathcal{N}_a(\Omega_{N,a,i}) \\ (4.1) \quad &= \sum_{a < \frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1} (j \mathcal{N}_a(\Omega_{N,a,j}^{(1)}) + (j - 1) \mathcal{N}_a(\Omega_{N,a,j}^{(2)})), \end{aligned}$$

where the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{N,a,j}^{(1)} &= \{(x, y) \in (0, a] \times (N - (j + 1)a, N - ja], x + y \leq N - ja\}, \\ \Omega_{N,a,j}^{(2)} &= \{(x, y) \in (0, a] \times (N - (j + 1)a, N - ja], x + y > N - ja\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 2, \\ \Omega_{N,a,[N/a]-1}^{(1)} &= \left\{ (x, y) : \begin{array}{l} 0 < x \leq N - \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor a, \quad a < y \leq N - (\left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1)a, \\ x + y \leq N - (\left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1)a \end{array} \right\}, \\ \Omega_{N,a,[N/a]-1}^{(2)} &= \left\{ (x, y) : \begin{array}{l} 0 < x \leq N - \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor a, \quad a < y \leq N - (\left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1)a, \\ x + y > N - (\left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1)a \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

give a partition of the trapezoid  $\{(x, y) : 0 < x \leq a < y \leq N - a - x\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_a(\Omega)$  does not change when  $\Omega$  is translated by multiple integers of  $(a, 0)$ , the right-hand side in (4.1) can also be expressed as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 2} \mathcal{N}_a(\mathcal{S}_{N,a,j}) + \mathcal{N}_a(\mathcal{S}_{N,a,[N/a]-1}),$$



where for  $1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 2$  we set

$$\mathcal{S}_{N,a,j} = \{(x, y) \in (0, ja] \times (N - (j+1)a, N - ja], x + y \leq N - a\},$$

and for  $j = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1$  we set

$$\mathcal{S}_{N,a,\left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1} = \left\{ (x, y) : 0 < x \leq N - 2a, a < y \leq N - \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) a, x + y \leq N - a \right\}.$$

The sets  $\mathcal{S}_{N,a,j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 1$ , are mutually disjoint and their union is the triangle

$$\mathcal{T}_{N,a} = \{(x, y) : 0 < x \leq N - 2a, a < y \leq N - a - x\},$$

and thus we get

$$(4.2) \quad \Psi_{\text{odd}}(N) = \sum_{a < \frac{N}{2}} \mathcal{N}_a(\mathcal{T}_{N,a}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{N}_a(\Omega)$  is invariant under translations by  $(0, a)$ , (4.2) further gives

$$\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N) = \sum_{a < \frac{N}{2}} \mathcal{N}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a} = \{(x, y) : 0 < x \leq N - 2a, 0 < y \leq N - 2a - x\}$$

is the translated triangle  $\mathcal{T}_{N,a} - (0, a)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For every  $0 < c < 1$  we have*

$$\sum_{a \leq N^c} \mathcal{N}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a}) = \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \frac{(N - 2a)^2}{2} + O(N^{1+c}).$$

*Proof.* Set  $K = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{a} \right\rfloor - 2 \geq 1$ . We partition the triangle  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a}$  as  $\mathcal{D}_{N,a} \cup \mathcal{R}_{N,a}$ , with

$$\mathcal{D}_{N,a} = \bigcup_{i=1}^K (0, ia] \times ((K - i)a, (K - i + 1)a]$$

and  $\mathcal{R}_{N,a} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{N,a}$ . As  $\mathcal{D}_{N,a}$  is the union of  $1 + 2 + \dots + K = \frac{K(K+1)}{2}$  disjoint squares of size  $a$ , we have

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{N}_a(\mathcal{D}_{N,a}) = \frac{K(K+1)}{2} \varphi(a) = \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \text{Area} \mathcal{D}_{N,a}.$$

On the other hand it is clear that

$$\mathcal{N}_a(\mathcal{R}_{N,a}) \leq (K+1)\varphi(a) \leq \frac{N}{a} \cdot a = N,$$

which gives in turn

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{a \leq N^c} \mathcal{N}_a(\mathcal{R}_{N,a}) \leq N^{1+c}.$$

We also have

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \text{Area} \mathcal{R}_{N,a} \leq \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{1}{a} \text{Area} \mathcal{R}_{N,a} \leq \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{1}{a} \cdot (K+1) \cdot \frac{a^2}{2} \leq \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{N}{a} \cdot a \leq N^{1+c}.$$

Employing (4.4), (4.3) and (4.5), we gather

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \leq N^c} \mathcal{N}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a}) &= \sum_{a \leq N^c} \mathcal{N}_a(\mathcal{D}_{N,a}) + O(N^{1+c}) = \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \text{Area} \mathcal{D}_{N,a} + O(N^{1+c}) \\ &= \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} (\text{Area} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a} - \text{Area} \mathcal{R}_{N,a}) + O(N^{1+c}) \\ &= \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \text{Area} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a} + O(N^{1+c}) \\ &= \sum_{a \leq N^c} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \frac{(N-2a)^2}{2} + O(N^{1+c}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.** *For every  $0 < c < 1$  and every integer  $T > 1$  we have*

$$\sum_{N^c < a < \frac{N}{2}} \mathcal{N}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a}) = \sum_{N^c < a < \frac{N}{2}} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \frac{(N-2a)^2}{2} + O_\varepsilon \left( \frac{N^2 \log N}{T} + TN^{2-\frac{c}{2}+\varepsilon} + N^{3-\frac{3c}{2}+\varepsilon} \right).$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a}) &= \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \text{Area}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a}) + O_\varepsilon \left( \frac{N}{Ta} \cdot N + Ta^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{N}{Ta} \right) \cdot \frac{N}{a} \right) \\ &= \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \frac{(N-2a)^2}{2} + O_\varepsilon \left( \frac{N^2}{Ta} + TNa^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + N^2a^{-\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{N^c < a < \frac{N}{2}} \mathcal{N}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N,a}) = \sum_{N^c < a < \frac{N}{2}} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \frac{(N-2a)^2}{2} + O_\varepsilon \left( \frac{N^2 \log N}{T} + TN^2 N^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)c} + N^{2+1+(-\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon)c} \right).$$

□

Taking  $c = \frac{4}{5}$  and  $T = \lceil N^{\frac{1}{5}} \rceil$ , the previous two lemmas yield

**Corollary 4.3.** 
$$\Psi_{\text{odd}}(N) = \sum_{a < \frac{N}{2}} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} \cdot \frac{(N-2a)^2}{2} + O_\varepsilon(N^{\frac{9}{5}+\varepsilon}).$$

From the previous corollary and the formulas

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{a < N} \varphi(a) = \frac{N^2}{2\zeta(2)} + O(N \log N),$$

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{a < N} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a} = \frac{N}{\zeta(2)} + O(\log N),$$

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{a < N} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log N + \gamma + 1 - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + O\left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right),$$

we derive

$$(4.9) \quad \Psi_{\text{odd}}(N) = \frac{N^2}{2\zeta(2)} \left( \log N + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + O_\varepsilon(N^{\frac{9}{5}+\varepsilon}).$$

Theorem 1.1 now follows from (2.6), Proposition 1.2 and (4.9).

The equalities (4.6) and (4.7) are well-known and have elementary proofs. Equality (4.8) follows from standard arguments about Perron summation (see for instance [6]). To prove it, we consider the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^s} = \frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)}, \quad s = \sigma + it, \quad \sigma > 2,$$

and the Perron integral formula ( $\sigma_0 > 0$ )

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - i\infty}^{\sigma_0 + i\infty} \frac{y^s}{s(s+1)} ds = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq 1, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{y} & \text{if } y \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and infer that

$$\sum_{a < N} \left(1 - \frac{a}{N}\right) \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - i\infty}^{\sigma_0 + i\infty} g(s) ds.$$

The function

$$g(s) = \frac{N^s}{s(s+1)} \cdot \frac{\zeta(s+1)}{\zeta(s+2)} = \frac{N^s}{\zeta(s+2)} \left( \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{\gamma}{s} + O(1) \right)$$

has a double pole at  $s = 0$  with residue

$$C_N = \frac{\log N}{\zeta(2)} + \frac{\gamma}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)^2}.$$

Employing the estimates  $|\zeta(1 + \sigma + iT)| \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T$  and  $|\zeta(2 + \sigma + iT)| \gg (\log T)^7$  for  $-1 < \sigma < \sigma_0$ , we get

$$\int_{-1}^{\sigma_0} |g(\sigma + iT)| d\sigma \ll N^{\sigma_0} \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\log T)^6} \cdot \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll N^{\sigma_0} T^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log T)^{-6}.$$

Using also  $|\zeta(s)| = |\zeta(\bar{s})|$  and letting  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , we move the line  $\sigma = \sigma_0$  to  $\sigma = -1$  and get

$$\sum_{a < N} \left(1 - \frac{a}{N}\right) \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} = C_N + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1-i\infty}^{-1+i\infty} g(s) ds = C_N + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(it)}{\zeta(1+it)} \cdot \frac{N^{it-1}}{it(it-1)} dt.$$

We shall prove that the integral above is  $\ll N^{-1}$ . Using  $|\zeta(s)| = |\zeta(\bar{s})|$ , we can only check this in the range  $t > 0$ . The functional equation

$$\frac{\zeta(it)}{\zeta(1+it)} = \chi(it) \cdot \frac{\zeta(1-it)}{\zeta(1+it)}, \quad \chi(z) = \frac{(2\pi)^z}{2\Gamma(z) \cos \frac{\pi z}{2}},$$

and the equality

$$|\Gamma(it)|^2 = \frac{\pi}{t \sinh \pi t}$$

yield

$$\left| \frac{\zeta(it)}{\zeta(1+it)} \right| = |\chi(it)| = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t \sinh \pi t}} \cdot \cosh \frac{\pi t}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{t \tanh \frac{\pi t}{2}}{2\pi}},$$

and thus we get

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\zeta(it)}{\zeta(1+it)} \cdot \frac{N^{it-1}}{it(it-1)} \right| dt \ll \frac{1}{N} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\frac{\tanh \frac{\pi t}{2}}{\frac{\pi t}{2}(1+t^2)}} dt.$$

The integral is convergent since  $\frac{\tanh t}{t} \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tanh t \leq 1$ , and  $\frac{1}{t\sqrt{1+t^2}}$  is integrable on  $[1, \infty)$ , and thus we get

$$\sum_{a < N} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a^2} = C_N + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a < N} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a} + O(N^{-1}),$$

which implies (4.8) in conjunction with (4.7).

## 5. AN APPLICATION TO THE DISTRIBUTION OF QUADRATIC IRRATIONALS

Let  $x \in (0, 1)$  be an irrational number with continued fraction  $[a_0(x), a_1(x), \dots]$  and  $\frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}$  be its  $n^{\text{th}}$  convergent. A classical result of P. Lévy states for almost every  $x$  that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log q_n(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{12 \log 2}.$$

The Gauss map  $T : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  defined as  $T(0) = 0$  and  $T(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \left[\frac{1}{x}\right]$  if  $x \neq 0$ , has the well-known properties

$$\begin{aligned} T([a_1, a_2, \dots]) &= [a_2, a_3, \dots], \\ xT(x) \cdots T^{n-1}(x) &= (-1)^n (xq_n(x) - p_n(x)). \end{aligned}$$

When  $\omega$  is a quadratic irrational, it is well-known that the limit  $\beta(\omega)$  of  $\frac{1}{n} \log q_n(\omega)$  exists, and is called the Lévy constant of  $\omega$ . Let  $AX^2 + BX + C$  be the minimal integer polynomial of  $\omega$  and  $\Delta = B^2 - 4AC$ . The length of  $\omega$  is defined as  $\rho(\omega) = 2 \log \varepsilon_0(\omega)$ , where  $\varepsilon_0(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}(u_0 + v_0\sqrt{\Delta})$  is the fundamental solution of the Pell equation  $u^2 - \Delta v^2 = 4$ .

We are interested in the set  $\mathcal{R}$  of all purely periodic quadratic irrationals, aiming to evaluate

$$\pi_0(X) = \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \mathcal{R} \\ \rho(\omega) < X}} 1 \quad (X \rightarrow \infty).$$

Following [7], one defines for each such  $\omega = \overline{[a_1, \dots, a_n]}$  with  $n = \text{per}(\omega)$  the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eper}(\omega) &= \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n = \text{per}(\omega) \text{ even,} \\ 2n, & \text{if } n = \text{per}(\omega) \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \\ M(\omega) &= M(a_1) \cdots M(a_n), \\ \widetilde{M}(\omega) &= \Omega^+ = \begin{cases} M(\omega), & \text{if } n \text{ even,} \\ M(\omega)^2, & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 2.2 in [3] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0(\omega) &= \omega T(\omega) T^2(\omega) \cdots T^{\text{eper}(\omega)-1}(\omega), \\ \rho(\omega) &= 2 \log \varepsilon_0(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $\Delta = f^2 \Delta_0$  for some fundamental discriminant  $\Delta_0$  and some positive integer  $f$ , one considers the group of units of  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$

$$E_\Delta = \left\{ \frac{u + v\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} : (u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, u^2 - \Delta v^2 = \pm 4 \right\}$$

with fundamental unit  $\varepsilon_\Delta > 1$ , in the quadratic field  $\mathfrak{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_0})$  endowed with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued norm  $\mathcal{N}$  and trace  $\text{tr}$ . One also considers the subgroup

$$E_\Delta^+ = \left\{ \frac{u + v\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} : (u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, u^2 - \Delta v^2 = 4 \right\}$$

of the totally positive units, which is generated by

$$\varepsilon_\Delta^+ = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_\Delta & \text{if } \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon_\Delta) = +1, \\ \varepsilon_\Delta^2 & \text{if } \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon_\Delta) = -1. \end{cases}$$

In [7, Section 2], the explicit isomorphism

$$\lambda_\omega : \mathcal{F}_\omega \rightarrow E_\Delta, \quad \lambda_\omega \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = c\omega + d$$

between the fixed point group

$$\mathcal{F}_\omega = \{g \in G = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) / \pm I : g\omega = \omega\}$$

and  $E_\Delta$  was studied. The inverse of  $\lambda_\omega$  acts as

$$\lambda_\omega^{-1} \left( \frac{u + v\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u-Bv}{2} & -Cv \\ Av & \frac{u+Bv}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It sends  $\varepsilon_\Delta^+ = Q_\ell \omega + Q_{\ell-1}$  with  $\ell = \text{eper}(\omega)$ , to  $\Omega^+ = \widetilde{M}(\omega)$ . Moreover, one has

$$\mathcal{N} \circ \lambda_\omega = \det \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr} \circ \lambda_\omega = \text{Tr}.$$

This implies in particular that  $\varepsilon_0(\omega)$  coincides with the spectral radius  $R(\widetilde{M}(\omega))$  of  $\widetilde{M}(\omega)$ , thus

$$\rho(\omega) = 2 \log R(\widetilde{M}(\omega)).$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta)$  the set of reduced quadratic irrationals of discriminant  $\Delta$  and consider the set

$$T(N) = \left\{ (k, \omega) : \omega \in \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{\Delta > 0} \mathcal{R}(\Delta), \text{tr}(\varepsilon_\Delta^+(\omega)^k) \leq N \right\}.$$

As seen in Section 2, the latter has cardinality  $\Psi_{\text{ev}}(N)$ . As shown in [7, Proposition 4.3], the map given by

$$j(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) = \left( \frac{2m}{\text{eper}(\omega)}, \omega \right), \quad \text{where } \omega = \overline{[a_1, \dots, a_{2m}]},$$

defines a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathcal{W}_{\text{ev}}(N)$  and  $T(N)$ . Denote

$$r(N) = \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \mathcal{R} \\ \varepsilon^+(\omega) < N}} 1 = \pi_0(2 \log N).$$

Then the identification between  $\mathcal{W}_{\text{ev}}(N)$  and  $T(N)$  plainly implies as in the proof of [7, Proposition 4.5] the inequalities

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2 \log N} r \left( \left( N - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) < \Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) < \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2 \log N} r(N^{\frac{1}{k}}).$$

From the first inequality we infer

$$(5.2) \quad r(N) < \Psi_{\text{ev}}(N+1) \ll N^2.$$

From (5.1), (5.2), and Proposition 1.2 we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\text{ev}}(N) &< \sum_{1 \leq k < 2 \log N} r(N^{\frac{1}{k}}) < \sum_{1 \leq k < 2 \log N} \Psi_{\text{ev}}((N+1)^k) \\ (5.3) \quad &= \Psi_{\text{ev}}(N+1) + O \left( \sum_{2 \leq k < 2 \log N} N^{\frac{2}{k}} \right) \\ &= \Psi_{\text{ev}}(N+1) + O(N \log N) \\ &= \frac{N^2 \log 2}{2\zeta(2)} + O_\varepsilon(N^{\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

The estimate

$$r(N) = \frac{N^2 \log 2}{2\zeta(2)} + O_\varepsilon(N^{\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon})$$

follows now immediately from (5.2) and (5.3). This completes the proof of Proposition 1.3 by taking  $N = e^{\frac{X}{2}}$ .

**Acknowledgments.** I am grateful to A. Zaharescu for his comments on a first draft of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. P. Boca, C. Cobeli, A. Zaharescu, *Distribution of lattice points visible from the origin*, Commun. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), 433–470.
- [2] P. Contucci, P. Kleban, A. Knauf, *A fully magnetizing phase transition*, J. Statist. Phys. **97** (1999), 523–539.
- [3] C. Faivre, *Distribution of Lévy constants for quadratic numbers*, Acta Arith. **61** (1992), 13–34.
- [4] J. Fiala, P. Kleban, A. Özlük, *The phase transition in statistical models defined on Farey fractions*, J. Stat. Phys. **110** (2003), 73–86.
- [5] R. R. Hall, *A note on Farey series*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 139–148.
- [6] A. Ivić, *The Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd edition, Dover Publications, Inc., 2003.
- [7] J. Kallies, A. Özlük, M. Peter, C. Snyder, *On asymptotic properties of a number theoretic function arising out of a spin chain model in statistical mechanics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **222** (2001), 9–43.
- [8] P. Kleban, A. Özlük, *A Farey fraction spin chain*, Commun. Math. Phys. **203** (1999), 635–647.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA IL 61801, USA

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS "SIMION STOILOW" OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, P.O. BOX 1-764, RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

E-MAIL: FBOCA@MATH.UIUC.EDU