

CLASSIFICATION OF GENERALIZED POLARIZED MANIFOLDS BY THEIR NEF VALUES

MASAHIRO OHNO

ABSTRACT. Let (M, \mathcal{E}) be a generalized polarized manifold, i.e., a pair of an n -dimensional smooth projective variety and an ample vector bundle \mathcal{E} of rank r on M . Let τ be the nef value of a polarized manifold $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$, i.e., the minimum of the set of real numbers t such that $K_M + t \det \mathcal{E}$ is nef; we have $\tau r \leq n + 1$ by Mori's theory. In this paper we classify the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) in the following two cases: (1) $n - 2 \leq \tau r$ and $\tau \geq 1$; (2) $n + 1 - \tau r < \tau \leq 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be an n -dimensional complex projective manifold and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . The numerical effectiveness of the adjoint bundle $K_M + \det \mathcal{E}$ has been studied by many authors ([54], [46], [19], [47], [48], [3], [37], and [4] for the case M is smooth and \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle). For a polarized manifold (M, L) of arbitrary dimension n , Ionescu [23] and Fujita [16] first succeeded, due to Mori-Kawamata theory, to determine when the adjoint bundle $K_M + rL$ is nef or not in case $r \geq n - 2$; this corresponds, in our setting, to the case where \mathcal{E} is the direct sum $L^{\oplus r}$ of r copies of the ample line bundle L . The first result for a general ample vector bundle \mathcal{E} was made by Ye and Zhang [54, Theorem 1] and Peternell [46, Theorem] in case $r = n + 1$, and the research has proceeded to a lower rank case; the present state of the research is for $r = n - 2$, made by Maeda [37] and Andreatta and Mella [4]. By virtue of these researches, there are lists of the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) whose adjoint bundles $K_M + \det \mathcal{E}$ are, e.g., not nef, or nef but not ample, for every r ($n + 1 \geq r \geq n - 2$).

If we look at these lists, we find that the same type of the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) , for example $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$, appears repeatedly and the pairs in the same type differ only in the ranks of their bundles. This indicates that in order to avoid this recurrence and to obtain a more rank-independent list of the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) we should classify the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) by the nef values $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E})$ of the polarized manifolds $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$; here, by the word *nef value* $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E})$, we mean the minimum of the set of real numbers t such that $K_M + t \det \mathcal{E}$ is nef. In this paper, we study (M, \mathcal{E}) from this view point and give a more rank-free classification of the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) . The precise results are as follows; set $\tau = \tau(M, \det \mathcal{E})$. Then Mori's theorem [42, (1.4)] first implies the following

Proposition 1.1. *We have $\tau r \leq n + 1$.*

Now we have the following classification.

Proposition 1.2. *If $\tau r = n + 1$, then (M, \mathcal{E}) is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J60, 14N30; Secondary 14J45, 14E30.
Key words and phrases. Nef values, ample vector bundles, Fano bundles.

In [1, §3 Theorem 2], Andreatta has given a proof of Proposition 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$. If $n - 2 \leq \tau r$, then (M, \mathcal{E}) and the value of τr are one of the following:*

- (1) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$, and $\tau r = n + 1$;
- (2) $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)^{\oplus r})$, where \mathbb{Q} is a hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} , and $\tau r = n$;
- (3) $(\mathbb{P}^n, T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$, and $\tau r = n$;
- (4) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$, and $\tau r = (n + 1)r/(r + 1) \geq n - 2$ (and hence $r \geq (n - 2)/3$);
- (5) $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \psi^*\mathcal{G})$, where \mathcal{F} is a vector bundle of rank n on a smooth proper curve C , $\psi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C$ the projection, and \mathcal{G} an ample vector bundle of rank r on C , and $\tau r = n$;
- (6) M is a Del Pezzo manifold with $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathcal{E} \cong A^{\oplus r}$ where A is the ample generator of $\text{Pic } M$, and $\tau r = n - 1$;
- (7) $r = n - 1$, the Picard number $\rho(M)$ of M is one, and $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$ (see [48] for a precise classification), and $\tau r = n - 1$;
- (8) There exist a hyperquadric fibration $\psi : M \rightarrow C$ of the relative Picard number one over a smooth curve C , a ψ -ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_M(1)$ on M and an ample vector bundle \mathcal{G} of rank r on C such that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_M(1) \otimes \psi^*\mathcal{G}$ where $\mathcal{O}_M(1)|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)$ for any fiber $F \cong \mathbb{Q}$ of ψ , and $\tau r = n - 1$;
- (9) There exists a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle $\psi : M \rightarrow C$ over a smooth curve C such that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong T_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ for any fiber F of ψ , and $\tau r = n - 1$;
- (10) M is isomorphic to a projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$ over a smooth proper curve C for some vector bundle \mathcal{F} of rank n on C , and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{L} \otimes H(\mathcal{F})^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{G} \otimes H(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0$$

for some line bundle \mathcal{L} on C and some vector bundle \mathcal{G} of rank $r - 1 \geq 0$ on C where $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C$ is the projection, and $\tau r = nr/(r + 1) \geq n - 2$ (and hence $r \geq (n - 2)/2$);

- (11) There exists a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -fibration $\psi : M \rightarrow S$, locally trivial in the complex (or étale) topology, over a smooth surface S such that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1)^{\oplus r}$ for every fiber F of ψ , and $\tau r = n - 1$;
- (12) M is the blowing-up $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$ of a projective manifold M' at finite points, and there exists an ample vector bundle \mathcal{E}' of rank r on M' such that $\mathcal{E} \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-E)$ where E is the exceptional divisor of ψ and that (M', \mathcal{E}') satisfies one of the following:
 - (a) $\tau(M', \det \mathcal{E}')r < n - 1$;
 - (b) $M' \cong \mathbb{P}^3$, and $\mathcal{E}' \cong \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2}$ or $\mathcal{O}(2)$;
 - (c) $(M', \mathcal{E}') \cong (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$;
 - (d) $n = 2$, (M', \mathcal{E}') is of type 10) above, and $\tau r = n - 1$;
- (13) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3))$, and $\tau r = (n + 1)r/(r + 2) \geq n - 2$ (and hence $r \geq 2(n - 2)/3$);
- (14) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2})$, and $\tau r = (n + 1)r/(r + 2) \geq n - 2$ (and hence $r \geq 2(n - 2)/3$);
- (15) $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ where \mathbb{Q}^n is a hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} , and $\tau r = nr/(r + 1) \geq n - 2$ (and hence $r \geq (n - 2)/2$);
- (16) $(\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathbf{E}(2))$ where \mathbb{Q}^4 is a hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^5 and \mathbf{E} is the spinor bundle on \mathbb{Q}^4 , and $\tau r = nr/(r + 1) = 8/3$;

- (17) M is a Fano manifold of Picard number one with $K_M + (n - 2)A = 0$, and $\mathcal{E} \cong A^{\oplus r}$ where A is an ample line bundle on M , and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (18) $r = n - 2$, the Picard number of M is one, and $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$, and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (19) M has an elementary contraction $\psi : M \rightarrow C$ onto a curve C such that a general fiber F of ψ is a Del Pezzo manifold, i.e., $K_F + (n - 2)A = 0$ for some ample line bundle A on F , and that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong A^{\oplus r}$, and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (20) $r = n - 2$ and M has an elementary contraction $\psi : M \rightarrow C$ onto a smooth proper curve C such that $K_F + \det \mathcal{E}|_F = 0$ for a general fiber F of ψ , and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (21) M has an elementary contraction $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ onto a smooth projective surface S such that a general fiber F of ψ is hyperquadric and $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$, and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (22) $r = n - 2$ and there exists a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -fibration $\psi : M \rightarrow S$, locally trivial in the étale (or complex) topology, over a smooth projective surface S such that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong T_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}$ for any closed fiber F of ψ , and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (23) $r = n - 2$ and there exists a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -fibration $\psi : M \rightarrow S$, locally trivial in the étale (or complex) topology, over a smooth surface S such that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-3)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ for any closed fiber F of ψ , and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (24) M has an elementary contraction $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ onto a normal projective 3-fold S with only rational Gorenstein singularities such that except for a finite number of fibers each fiber F of ψ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{n-3} and $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (25) $r = n - 2$, M is the blowing-up $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$ of a projective manifold M' at a point, and \mathcal{E} fits into the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-2E) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(-E)^{\oplus(n-3)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{E}' is a vector bundle of rank $n - 2$ on M' and E is the exceptional divisor of ψ , and $\tau r = n - 2$;

- (26) M has a divisorial elementary contraction $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$ onto a projective variety M' such that the exceptional divisor E of ψ and $\mathcal{E}|_E$ satisfy one of the following:
- (a) $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, $\mathcal{O}_E(E) = \mathcal{O}(-2)$ and $\mathcal{E}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$
 - (b) $E \cong \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ (possibly singular hyperquadric), $\mathcal{O}_E(E) = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ and $\mathcal{E}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$, and $\tau r = n - 2$;
- (27) M is the blowing-up $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$ of a projective manifold M' along a smooth curve B and $\mathcal{E} \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-E)$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{E}' of rank r on M' where E is the exceptional divisor of ψ , and $\tau r = n - 2$.

Now let us recall the previous results more precisely in connection with Theorem 1.3. Note here that saying that $K_M + t \det \mathcal{E}$ is not nef, nef but not ample, or ample is equivalent to saying that $t < \tau$, $\tau = t$, or $\tau < t$ respectively. Note also that if $K_M + t \det \mathcal{E}$ is nef for some positive rational number t then $K_M + t \det \mathcal{E}$ is semi-ample by the Basepoint-free Theorem [26]; thus to be semi-ample and to be nef are equivalent for $K_M + t \det \mathcal{E}$ ($t \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$).

Suppose that $r = 1$. Ionescu [23, Theorem (1.1)] and Fujita [16, Theorem 1] first gave the bound $\tau (= \tau r) \leq n + 1$. Ionescu classified the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) in case $n \leq \tau \leq n + 1$ [23, Theorem (1.2), (1.3), (1.4)], in case $n - 1 < \tau \leq n + 1$ and $n \geq 2$ (and thus $\tau > 1$) [23, Theorem (1.5), (1.6)], and in case $n - 2 < \tau \leq n + 1$

and $n \geq 3$ modulo reduction [23, Theorem (1.7)]. Fujita classified the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) in case $n - 1 < \tau \leq n + 1$ and M has some mild singularities [16, Theorems 1 and 2], in case $n - 2 < \tau \leq n - 1$ and $n \geq 3$ [16, Theorem 3'] ([18, (11.8)]), in case $n - 3 < \tau \leq n - 2$ and $n \geq 4$ [16, Theorem 4].

If $r = n + 1$, then Ye and Zhang [54, Theorem 1] showed that $\tau \leq 1$ ($r = n + 1$ and $\tau \leq 1$ implies $\tau r \leq n + 1$), and classified the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) with $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$ (and thus $\tau = 1$ and $\tau r = n + 1$). Peternell [46, Theorem] also classified the case $r = n + 1$ and $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$. Fujita [19, Main Theorem] classified the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) in case $r \geq n$ and $\tau \geq 1$ (this is a sub-case of the case $\tau r \geq n$). Peternell [47, Theorem 2] also classified in case $r = n$ and $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$ (a sub-case of the case $\tau = 1$ and $n = \tau r$). If $r = n - 1$ and $\tau = 1$ (a sub-case of $\tau r = n - 1$), Peternell, Szurek, and Wiśniewski [48, Main theorem(0.3)] classified the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) in case $r = n - 1 \geq 4$ and $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$, Wiśniewski [52] (see also [48, Theorem(0.4)]) classified the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) in case $r = n - 1 = 2$ and $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$, and Andreatta, Ballico, and Wiśniewski [3, Theorem] classified in case $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} \neq 0$. Finally, if $r = n - 2$ and $\tau = 1$ (a sub-case of $\tau r = n - 2$), then Andreatta and Mella [4, Theorem 5.1. 2) and 3)] classified the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) except for the case $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$.

Suppose that $\tau > 1$, i.e., that $K_M + \det \mathcal{E}$ is not nef. If $r = n$ (a sub-case of $n < \tau r$ under the assumption $\tau > 1$), then pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) are classified by Ye and Zhang [54, Theorem 2]. If $r = n - 1$ (a sub-case of $\tau r > n - 1$), then pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) are classified also by Ye and Zhang [54, Theorem 3]. Finally, if $r = n - 2$ (a sub-case of $n - 2 < \tau r$), then pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) are classified by Maeda [37, Theorem] and by Andreatta and Mella [4, Theorem 5.1. 1)].

Now we give several comments related to Theorem 1.3 itself. First, the proof of Theorem 1.3 basically follows the ideas and techniques developed by the above mentioned authors. However we need some new considerations to deal with some cases. For example, I could not help applying the theorem of Cho, Miyaoka, and Shepherd Barron [10], and Kebekus [27] [28], characterizing projective spaces in terms of their length, to deal with, in particular, the case $n - 2 \leq \tau r < n - 1$ (see, e.g., Proposition 10.1, or § 12). Moreover we make the proof of Theorem 1.3 independent of the value of τr as possible, in particular, in case the Picard number $\rho(M)$ of M is one (see, e.g., § 10, § 14, and § 18), so that, I believe, the results obtained in the proof are also applicable to the case of τr smaller, e.g., to the case $n - 3 < \tau r < n - 2$. Second, we can regard (M', \mathcal{E}') in the case (12) (a) of Theorem 1.3 as the first reduction of the generalized polarized manifold (M, \mathcal{E}) for all $r \leq n - 1$. (See [8] for the first reduction of a polarized variety.) Third, we did not classify the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) in the case (18) of Theorem 1.3, as in [4, Theorem 5.1. 2)]. Finally, note that the case (25) of Theorem 1.3 is ruled out by mistake in [4, Theorem 5.1 3)]. We will also give an example (Example 6.7) of this case. I also remark that I could not determine the structure of ψ in the case (25) of Theorem 1.3 without knowing [6, Theorem 5.1] of Andreatta and Occhetta.

For the case $\tau \leq 1$, we have the following

Proposition 1.4. *If $n + 1 - \tau r < \tau \leq 1$, then $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$.*

Note that in the report [43] of my talk at a workshop at RIMS, I announced Propositions 1.1, 1.2, and 1.4, the classification in case $n - 1 < \tau r$ and $\tau \geq 1$, and the classification in case $n - 1 = \tau r$ and $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$. (Note that $\tau r < s + 1$ for some integer s and $\tau \geq 1$ implies $r \leq s$.) I also gave a proof of Proposition 1.1, and, based on a characterization of projective space by its length due to [10], gave

a short proof of Propositions 1.2 and 1.4. I also proved shortly the classification in case $n - 1 < \tau r$ and $\tau \geq 1$, and outlined proofs of the classification in case $n - 1 = \tau r$ and $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$ with several typographical errors and inaccuracies.

In this paper, we extend the classification to the case $n - 2 \leq \tau r$ and $\tau \geq 1$ and give a complete proof of the classification in a more unifying way as possible (see, e.g., Theorem 14.3, Propositions 10.1, 18.1, 18.2, and 18.3).

Acknowledgment. The author was a Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and was supported by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists from April 1st to September 30th 1998. Propositions 1.1, 1.2, and 1.4, and the classification in case $\tau \geq 1$ and $n - 1 \leq \tau r$ were obtained in this period. The author expresses gratitude to Professor Shoichi Kondo for his kind encouragement. This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows 10-6464, The Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, and it was also partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (A), 1274009, 2000. Deep appreciation also goes to Professor Hajime Kaji, who pointed out an error of the preliminary version of Theorem 1.3, error related to the case 24) of the theorem. This work is also partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), 15740006, 2003. The author expresses gratitude to Professor Yoshiaki Fukuma for inviting the author to talk at his conference in August 2003 at Kochi University, which motivates the author to improve the proof of the theorem in a unifying way as possible, and to Professors Chikashi Miyazaki and Atsushi Noma for inviting the author to talk at their mini-workshop in January 2004 at University of the Ryukyus, which makes the author to notice a gap in the proof of Lemma 14.1 in the previous draft of this paper. Deep appreciation also goes to Professor Antonio Lanteri for sending me some reprints of him (and of him and Professor Hidetoshi Maeda) and for invaluable suggestions about the previous draft of this paper.

Notation and conventions

In this paper, we work over the complex number field \mathbb{C} . Basically we follow the standard notation and terminology in algebraic geometry. We use the word *manifold* to mean a smooth variety. For a manifold M , we denote by K_M the canonical divisor of M . We use the word *line* to mean a smooth rational curve of degree 1. We also use the words "locally free sheaf" and "vector bundle" interchangeably. For a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on a variety M , we denote by $H(\mathcal{E})$ the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. We also use the terminology in the Minimal Model Program. For our terminology, we fully refer to [26] and [42]. We will call an *elementary* contraction the contraction morphism of an extremal *ray*. For an extremal ray R of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$ of a projective manifold M , we denote by $l(R)$ the length of the ray R , i.e.,

$$l(R) = \min\{-K_M \cdot C \mid C \subseteq M \text{ is a rational curve, and } [C] \in R\},$$

and by $E(R)$ the locus of the ray R , i.e., the union of all curves belonging to R .

2. PRELIMINARIES AND PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS

In this section, we first recall some fundamental results of Mori-Kawamata theory in our setting. We refer to [41], [42], and [26] for details and proofs.

Let M be a projective manifold of dimension n and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Set $H = \det \mathcal{E}$; it is an ample line bundle. For a polarized manifold (M, H) , it is very important to study the adjunction bundle $K_M + tH$ for a various $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, as is known by the fundamental notion such as adjunction formula, sectional genus, etc. If K_M is not nef, we can apply Mori-Kawamata theory: if $K_M + tH$ is nef for some positive rational number $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, the Basepoint-free Theorem [26] implies that $\text{Bs}|m(K_M + tH)| = \emptyset$ for all $m \gg 0$ such that $mt \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here we define the nef value $\tau(M, H)$ of a polarized manifold (M, H) to be the minimum of the set of real numbers t such that $K_M + tH$ is nef. Set $\tau = \tau(M, H)$. Then Kleiman's criterion for ampleness [29] implies that $K_M + tH$ is ample if $t > \tau$, nef but not ample if $t = \tau$, and not nef if $t < \tau$.

Suppose that K_M is not nef, i.e., $\tau > 0$, as above. Then τ is a rational number by the Rationality Theorem [26]. Hence we can apply the Basepoint-free Theorem [26] to $K_M + \tau H$ to see that $\text{Bs}|m(K_M + \tau H)| = \emptyset$ for all $m \gg 0$ such that $m\tau \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Psi_{|m(K_M + \tau H)|} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ be the morphism defined by the linear system $|m(K_M + \tau H)|$, and consider the Stein factorization of $\Psi_{|m(K_M + \tau H)|} : \Psi_{|m(K_M + \tau H)|} = v_m \circ \Psi$, where $\Psi : M \rightarrow T$ is the morphism onto a normal variety T with connected fibers, and $v_m : T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is the finite morphism. We see that Ψ is independent of m chosen. We call Ψ the nef value morphism of the polarized manifold (M, H) . Since $K_M + \tau H$ is nef but not ample by Kleiman's criterion for ampleness [29], $\Psi_{|m(K_M + \tau H)|}$ is not finite, i.e., Ψ is not isomorphic and contracts some curve on M . Let C be a curve on M . Then Ψ contracts C if and only if $(K_M + \tau H).C = 0$. This is the numerical characterization of curves contracted by Ψ .

Let $Z_1(M)$ be the free abelian group generated by all the irreducible reduced curves on M , and set $N_1(M) = \{Z_1(M)/\equiv\} \otimes \mathbb{R}$, where \equiv denotes the numerical equivalence. Let $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$ be the closure in the Euclidean topology of the cone $\text{NE}(M)$ in $N_1(M)$ generated by all the effective curves on M . Set

$$F = \{D \in N_1(M) \mid (K_M + \tau H).D = 0\} \cap \overline{\text{NE}}(M).$$

Then the Contraction Theorem [26] says that Ψ is characterized by the following two properties: (i) Ψ is a morphism onto a normal projective variety with connected fibers; (ii) Ψ contracts a curve C if and only if the numerical equivalence class $[C]$ of C lies in F . Namely Ψ is uniquely determined by F , and we call Ψ the contraction morphism of an extremal face F . This characterization of Ψ implies that if a nef divisor A defines a supporting function of F , i.e., if, for a curve C , $A.C = 0$ if and only if $[C] \in F$, then the morphism with connected fibers onto a normal variety induced, by the Basepoint-free Theorem [26], from high multiple mA of A is nothing but Ψ and independent of m , and thus $A = \Psi^*B$ for some ample Cartier divisor B on T . Moreover we see that a divisor A' is the pull back of some Cartier divisor B' of T if and only if $A'.C = 0$ for any curve C (whose numerical equivalence class is) belonging to F , because $A' + mA$ defines a supporting function of F for a sufficiently large m , where A is assumed, as above, to be nef and to define a supporting function of F .

It follows from the Cone Theorem [42] that F is a polyhedral cone, i.e., F is spanned by the minimal finite set of half lines R, R_a, \dots, R_d , called extremal rays, as $F = R + R_a + \dots + R_d$, and that each extremal ray, e.g., R , is represented, as $R = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C]$, by a rational curve C such that $-K_M.C \leq n+1$. Such a rational curve C is called an extremal rational curve of R . Note here that F is thus a rational cone in $N_1(M)$ since each extremal ray is represented by an effective curve. We

also recall here the notion of the length $l(R)$ of the ray R : the length $l(R)$ of R is defined to be the minimum of the set of intersection numbers $-K_M.C$ of $-K_M$ and rational curves C belonging to R , i.e.,

$$l(R) = \min\{-K_M.C \mid C \subseteq M \text{ is a rational curve, and } [C] \in R\}.$$

If an extremal rational curve C_0 of R attains the length $l(R)$ of R , i.e., $-K_M.C_0 = l(R)$, we call C_0 a *minimal* extremal rational curve.

Here we give a proof of Propositions 1.1.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. We show that an upper bound of τ is $(n+1)/r$: if τ is positive then $\tau \leq (n+1)/r$. Thus we may assume, as above, that τ is positive. Then, as we have seen in the above, there exists an extremal rational curve C of an extremal ray R such that $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).C = 0$. Since $\det \mathcal{E}.C \geq r$ for a rational curve C and an ample vector bundle \mathcal{E} of rank r , this implies that $\tau r \leq n+1$. \square

Now choose one extremal ray, say, R , and fix R . Since F is a rational cone, if we perturb τH a little, we can take a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor H' such that $K_M + H'$ is nef and that R is supported by $K_M + H'$ on M :

$$R = \{D \in N_1(M) \mid (K_M + H').D = 0\} \cap \overline{\text{NE}}(M).$$

Then, by the same argument as above, applying the Basepoint-free Theorem [26] to $K_M + H'$ and taking the Stein factorization, we obtain a morphism $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ with connected fibers onto a normal variety S . We see that a curve C is contracted by ψ if and only if $[C] \in R$, and that ψ is uniquely determined by this property with $\psi_* \mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}_S$; thus ψ is called the contraction morphism of R . Since $(K_M + \tau H).C = 0$ for any curve C belonging to R , as we have seen in case Ψ and F , we infer that $K_M + \tau H$ is the pull back of some line bundle on S . Hence the nef value morphism Ψ is factored through ψ . Note here that the relative Picard number $\rho(M/S)$ is one. We also call ψ an elementary contraction.

Our first strategy to the classification is to study the structure of ψ : if we know the structure of ψ well, then we also know the structure of (M, \mathcal{E}) . Here let us recall the locus $E(R)$ of R : it is the union of all curves belonging to R , i.e., in terms of ψ , it is the locus contracted by ψ . The first pivotal result concerning the property of ψ is the following theorem [53, Theorem (1.1)] of Wiśniewski, theorem which comes to this form from the equality $l(R) \leq n+1$ by Mori [42] through an improvement [23, Theorem (0.4)] by Ionescu:

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a projective manifold and let R be an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$. Denote by ψ the contraction morphism of R , and by $E(R)$ the locus of R . For each irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ and for a given general point x of $F(\psi)$, set*

$$l_x(F(\psi)) = \min\{-K_M.C \mid x \in C \subseteq F(\psi) \text{ is a rational curve}\}.$$

Then we have

$$(2.1) \quad l(R) \leq l_x(F(\psi)) \leq \dim F(\psi) + 1 - \text{codim}(E(R), M).$$

This theorem gives an upper bound (2.1) of the length $l(R)$.

On the other hand, we have an lower bound of $l(R)$ as follows. Let C_0 be a minimal extremal rational curve of R . Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad l(R) = -K_M.C_0 = \tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 \geq \tau r,$$

since $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).C_0 = 0$.

Let us apply these inequalities to deduce Proposition 1.2; suppose that $n + 1 \leq \tau r$. Then the lower bound (2.2) implies that $n + 1 \leq l(R)$, and thus the upper bound (2.1) implies that $l(R) = n + 1$, that $\dim F(\psi) = n$, and that ψ is of fiber type. Moreover equality holds in the lower bound (2.2); we have $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$. Furthermore we have $\dim S = 0$, since $\dim F(\psi) = n$, and thus we infer that $K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E} = 0$. In particular, we see that M is a Fano manifold. (In [43], I used [23, Theorem (0.4)] to deduce that M is a Fano manifold in the proof of this proposition. However this is an error. Please apply Theorem 2.1 instead of [23, Theorem (0.4)].)

Now we come to apply the following very strong characterization of projective space. For a more unifying characterization, which deduce the following as one of its corollaries, we refer to the paper of Cho, Miyaoka and Shepherd-Barron [10, Theorems 0.1 and 0.2]. We also refer to Kebekus's papers [27] and [28] for a cornerstone of the proof of the following.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a Fano manifold of dimension n over the complex numbers. If $-K_M.C \geq n + 1$ for every rational curve $C \subset M$, then M is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^n .*

It follows from this theorem that $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Hence we see that C_0 is a line in \mathbb{P}^n . Since $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$, we have $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(r)$. Since \mathcal{E} is ample, this implies that \mathcal{E} is a uniform vector bundle of type $(1, \dots, 1)$.

Here we recall some of the results on uniform vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n needed later, results due to Van de Ven [50], Sato [49], Elencwajg, Hirschowitz, and Schneider [13], Elencwajg [11], [12], Ellia [14], and Ballico [7].

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathcal{E} be a uniform vector bundle of rank r on \mathbb{P}^n (over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero).*

- (1) *If the type of \mathcal{E} is $(0, \dots, 0)$, then $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$.*
- (2) *If the type of \mathcal{E} is $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$, then \mathcal{E} is either $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ or $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-n)} \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$.*
- (3) *If $r \leq n + 1$, then \mathcal{E} is one of the following: $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(a_i)$, $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)^{\oplus i}$ ($i = 0, 1$), $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)^{\oplus i}$ ($i = 0, 1$), or $S^2 T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$, where a_i , a , and b are integers.*

By Theorem 2.3 (1) (see also [44, Theorem 3.2.1] for a proof), we have $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.2.

Note here that if we assume that $\tau \geq 1$ then we can deduce Proposition 1.2 by applying the following (1) of the theorem of Kobayashi and Ochiai [30] instead of Theorem 2.2 (see [43]).

Theorem 2.4. *Let (M, L) be a polarized manifold of dimension n over the complex numbers.*

- (1) *If $K_M + (n + 1)L = 0$, then (M, L) is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$.*
- (2) *If $K_M + nL = 0$, then (M, L) is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$, where \mathbb{Q}^n denotes a hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} .*

Finally we give a proof of Proposition 1.4, which is, as Proposition 1.2, an easy consequence of Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Since $n + 1 - \tau r < \tau \leq 1$, we have $n \leq n + 1 - \tau < \tau r$. The lower bound (2.2) therefore implies that $n + 1 \leq l(R)$. As in the proof of Proposition 1.2, the upper bound (2.1) then implies that $l(R) = n + 1$ and that M is a Fano manifold of Picard number one. Hence it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. By $n + 1 - \tau r < \tau$, we also have $n + 1 < \tau(r + 1)$. Therefore we have $\tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = -K_M.C_0 < \tau(r + 1)$. Hence we have $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 < r + 1$, since τ is positive. This implies $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$, since \mathcal{E} is ample. Thus we have $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$, as in the proof of Proposition 1.2. \square

3. RELATIVE VERSION OF PROPOSITION 1.2

In this section, we will give a relative version Proposition 3.1 of Proposition 1.2. Proposition 3.1 will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in case $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ in § 2 is of fiber type. Some results needed in the proof of Proposition 3.1 are collected in § 4.

As in § 2, let M be an n -dimensional projective manifold, and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Suppose that K_M is not nef, and denote by τ the nef value of $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$. Let R be an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$ such that $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).R = 0$, and $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ the contraction morphism of R . Note that $K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}$ is the pull back of some \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor on S .

By inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) in § 2, we see first that

$$\tau r \leq \dim F(\psi) + 1 - \text{codim}(E(R), M)$$

for any irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ . Note here that, by abuse of notation, we may assume that $F(\psi)$ takes the smallest dimension among all the positive dimensional fibers of ψ . Hence if $\dim F(\psi) + 1 \leq \tau r$ for some irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of some positive dimensional fiber of ψ we infer that $\text{codim}(E(R), M) = 0$, i.e., that ψ is of fiber type, and that

$$\tau r = \dim F + 1$$

for a general fiber F of ψ . On the other hand, if ψ is of fiber type, we have $K_F + \tau \det \mathcal{E}|_F = 0$ for a general fiber of ψ , and thus τ is also the nef value of $(F, \det \mathcal{E}|_F)$. This is the reason why we call the following proposition a relative version of Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $\dim F(\psi) + 1 \leq \tau r$ for some irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of some positive dimensional fiber of ψ . Then ψ is of fiber type, $\dim S = n - \tau r + 1$, and S has only rational Gorenstein singularities. Let U denote the largest open subset of S such that $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is smooth. Set $d = \tau r - 1$. Then for any fiber F of ψ over any closed point of U , we have $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F) \cong (\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$ and $\text{codim}(S \setminus U, S) \geq 3$. Hence we have $\text{codim}(\text{Sing } S, S) \geq 3$. Moreover*

- (1) *if $\tau r = n$, then ψ is a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle in the Zariski topology;*
- (2) *if $\tau r = n - 1$, then ψ is a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle in the étale (or complex) topology;*
- (3) *if $\tau r = n - 2$, then ψ has at most finite number of singular fibers.*

Proof. As is noted above, the assumption $\dim F(\psi) + 1 \leq \tau r$ implies that ψ is of fiber type and that $\tau r = \dim F(\psi) + 1 \geq 2$. It follows immediately from Proposition 4.2 that S has only rational Gorenstein singularities. Since $\tau r = \dim F + 1$ for any closed fiber F of $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ and τ is also the nef value of $(F, \det \mathcal{E}|_F)$, as we have seen above, Proposition 1.2 implies that $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F) \cong (\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$.

Let S_2 be the intersection $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_{n-d-2}$ of general very ample divisors D_1, \dots, D_{n-d-2} of S . Then the restricted morphism $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is the contraction morphism of an extremal ray from a smooth variety $\psi^{-1}(S_2)$. Indeed, if this were not elementary, then, by applying Proposition 4.3 to a $(d+2)$ -dimensional manifold $\psi^{-1}(S_2)$, we would have $d+1 < (d+3)/2$, i.e., $d < 1$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is elementary. Now it follows from Proposition 4.2 that S_2 is smooth.

Take a general very ample divisor S_1 on S_2 , and let us consider the morphism $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$. This morphism is again an elementary contraction; indeed, if this were not elementary, again by applying Proposition 4.3 to a $(d+1)$ -dimensional manifold $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$, we would have $d+1 = (d+2)/2$, i.e., $d = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is elementary.

Let U_1 denote the largest open subset of S_1 such that $\psi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$ is smooth. Let F_1 be any closed fiber of the morphism $\psi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$. Note here $(F_1, \mathcal{E}|_{F_1}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.4, $\psi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle in the étale topology, and on the space $\psi^{-1}(V) = V \times_{U_1} \psi^{-1}(U_1)$ over any small étale open set V of U_1 exists a line bundle H_V such that the restriction of H_V to any fiber of $\psi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Since $\dim U_1 = 1$, Tsen's theorem implies that $H^2(U_{1\text{et}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, where $U_{1\text{et}}$ denotes U_1 with étale topology. (See, e.g., [39, III p.108].) Hence, by modifying the glueing if necessary, we can glue these H_V in the étale topology. Moreover it follows from [39, III.4.9] that $H^1(\psi^{-1}(U_1)_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^1(\psi^{-1}(U_1)_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}^\times) = \text{Pic } \psi^{-1}(U_1)$. Hence there exists an algebraic line bundle H on $\psi^{-1}(U_1)$ such that $H|_{F_1} = \mathcal{O}_{F_1}(1)$ for any closed fiber F_1 . Since $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$ is smooth and H is algebraic, we can extend H to a line bundle on $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$, which we also denote by H by abuse of notation.

Let F_2 be an arbitrary closed fiber of $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$. Then F_2 is irreducible and reduced; suppose, to the contrary, that $F_2 = F' + F''$. Note here that F' is a Cartier divisor since $\dim S_1 = 1$ and $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$ is smooth. Now that the Cartier divisor F' satisfies the condition $F' \cdot f = 0$ for any curve f in a fiber F_1 disjoint from F' , we infer, by the property of a contraction morphism of an extremal ray, that F' must be the pull back of some Cartier divisor on S_1 . Since $\dim S_1 = 1$, this implies that F' itself must be a fiber of $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$, a contradiction.

Note that the polarized variety $(F_1, H|_{F_1})$ has Fujita's delta genus $\Delta(F_1, H|_{F_1}) = 0$ and degree $(H|_{F_1})^d = 1$. Hence $(F_2, H|_{F_2})$ also has the same delta genus and degree, so that $(F_2, H|_{F_2}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Therefore $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle in the Zariski topology; in particular, if $\dim S = \dim S_1 = 1$, i.e., $\tau r - 1 = d = n - 1$, we have (1) of the proposition.

Since $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle, $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ has at most finite number of singular fibers. Furthermore, since $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is elementary, it has no divisorial fibers; since $\dim S_2 = 2$, this implies that $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is equidimensional. Therefore we infer that every closed fiber F' of $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^d and that $\mathcal{E}|_{F'} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ by the same argument as in [3, §2.2, e-mail note of T. Fujita]. Theorem 4.4 thus implies that $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle in the étale topology. (It is easy to see that $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle in the complex topology.) In particular, if $\dim S = \dim S_2 = 2$, i.e., $\tau r - 1 = d = n - 2$, we have (2) of the proposition.

Since $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle in the étale (or complex) topology, we have $S_2 \subset U$, and thus $\text{codim}(S \setminus U, S) \geq 3$. In particular, if $\dim S = 3$, i.e., $\tau r - 1 = d = n - 3$, we have (3) of the proposition.

Finally, since $\text{codim}(S \setminus U, S) \geq 3$, we have $\text{codim}(\text{Sing } S, S) \geq 3$. \square

4. PRELIMINARIES FOR THE FIBER TYPE CASE

The following proposition is a slight improvement of [3, Proposition 1.4].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism of normal varieties with connected fibers, and suppose that M is smooth and that every divisor on M is either dominating S or the pull back of some Cartier divisor on S . If S has at worst quotient singularities then it is smooth.*

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that S has a singular point $s \in S$. Since the question is local on S , replacing S with a small enough neighborhood around s in the complex topology if necessary, we may assume that there exists a finite Galois cover $p : T \rightarrow S$ with Galois group G from a smooth variety T . Let $t \in T$ be a point such that $p(t) = s$. We may moreover assume that G is the stabilizer of t , that G is a subgroup of $GL(\dim S, \mathbb{C})$, and, by [9], that G is small, i.e., G contains no reflections. Thus p is étale outside $\text{Sing}(S)$. Let Z be the normalization of the fiber product of M and T over S . Then G acts naturally on Z over M . Note that $Z \rightarrow M$ is étale in codimension one since so is p and the image of any divisor on M via ψ has, by assumption, codimension ≤ 1 in S . Let n denote the dimension of M , and Y an n -dimensional irreducible component of Z . Then Y dominates M and thus dominates S . Hence it dominates T . Since $\psi_* \mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}_S$, the irreducible component which dominates T is unique. Therefore Y is the only n -dimensional component of Z . This implies that the action of G on Z can be restricted to that on Y over M . Let Y_t denote the fiber over t . Since G is the stabilizer of t , G acts trivially on Y_t . Hence Y_t is contained in the ramification locus of $Y \rightarrow M$ because $\deg(Y \rightarrow M) = |G| \geq 2$. On the other hand, since Y is normal, M is smooth, and $Y \rightarrow M$ is étale in codimension one, it follows from the purity of branch loci that $Y \rightarrow M$ is étale. This is a contradiction. \square

The following proposition is also a slight improvement of [3, Proposition 1.4.1]; our feature of the proof is an application of Kollár's result [31].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be the contraction morphism of an extremal ray, i.e., an elementary contraction. Suppose that M is smooth and that ψ is of fiber type. Then S has only rational Gorenstein singularities. Moreover if $\dim S = 2$ then S is smooth.*

Proof. First we see that every divisor on M is either dominating S or the pull back of some Cartier divisor on S , since ψ is an elementary contraction of fiber type from a smooth variety. By the same reason, we also see that every integral Weil divisor on S is a Cartier divisor; in particular we see that S is 1-Gorenstein. Second note that S has only rational singularities by [31, Cor. 7.4]; in particular S is Cohen–Macaulay. Now that S is Cohen–Macaulay and 1-Gorenstein, we infer that S is Gorenstein. Therefore S has only rational Gorenstein singularities. If S is a surface, this means that S has only rational double points. Now Proposition 4.1 implies that S is smooth. \square

The following proposition due to [2] (see also [3, Proposition 1.3]) will be used in the proofs of Propositions 3.1 and 21.1. For a proof, see also [5, Proposition 2.9].

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be the contraction morphism of an extremal face from a smooth projective variety M of dimension n onto a normal projective variety S of dimension $< n$. Suppose that every rational curve C in a general fiber of ψ satisfy a condition $-K_M.C \geq (n+1)/2$. Then ψ is an elementary contraction except if*

- (1) $-K_M.C = (n+2)/2$ for some rational curve C on M , S is a point, and M is a Fano manifold of pseudoindex $(n+2)/2$ and of Picard number $\rho(M) = 2$.
- (2) $-K_M.C = (n+1)/2$ for some rational curve C on M , and $\dim S \leq 1$.

Finally recall the following theorem [21, Théorème 8.2] of Grothendieck in our setting.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be a proper flat morphism of varieties (over an algebraically closed field), and suppose that a closed fiber $\psi^{-1}(s)$ of ψ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^d . Then there exist an open neighborhood $V' \subset S$ of s and an étale finite surjective morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ such that $M \times_{V'} V$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_V^d over V .*

5. AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We give an overview of the proof of Theorem 1.3 in this section. As in § 2, denote by R an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$ such that $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).R = 0$, by C_0 a minimal extremal rational curve of R , and by $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ the contraction morphism of R .

First recall the upper bound (2.1) of $l(R)$ in Theorem 2.1 and the lower bound (2.2) of $l(R)$: we have

$$(5.1) \quad \tau r \leq \tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = l(R) \leq \dim F(\psi) + 1 - \text{codim}(E(R), M)$$

for any irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ . By abuse of notation, we often regard $F(\psi)$ as a fiber which has the smallest dimension among all the positive dimensional fibers of ψ .

Set $a = \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 - r$. Since \mathcal{E} is ample of rank r , a is a non-negative integer. We have

$$\tau r \leq \tau(r + a) = \tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = l(R).$$

If $\tau \geq 1$, we have, therefore,

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \leq a \leq \tau a = l(R) - \tau r.$$

Now we give an overview of the proof of Theorem 1.3.

If $\text{codim}(E(R), M) \geq 2$, i.e., ψ is small, we have $\dim F(\psi) \leq n - 2$ since $F(\psi) \subseteq E(R)$. Hence we have $\tau r \leq n - 3$ by (5.1). Since we assume that $n - 3 < \tau r$, we infer that ψ cannot be small.

If $\text{codim}(E(R), M) = 1$, the locus $E(R)$ of R is a prime divisor by [26, Proposition 5-1-6], and ψ is called divisorial. In case ψ is divisorial, since $F(\psi) \subseteq E(R)$, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \tau r \leq \tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = l(R) \leq \dim F(\psi) \leq n - 1.$$

We divide the case into two cases: 1) $l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$; 2) $l(R) < \dim F(\psi)$. For the case 1), if we assume moreover that $l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$ for *any* irreducible component of *any* positive dimensional fiber of ψ and that $\dim F(\psi) - 2 < \tau r$, then we can give the classification; it will be given in Theorem 6.2 and Remark 6.3 in

case $\dim F(\psi) - 1 < \tau r \leq \dim F(\psi)$, and in Theorem 6.6 in case $\dim F(\psi) - 2 < \tau r \leq \dim F(\psi) - 1$. Note here that if $n - 3 < \tau r$ then $n - 2 \leq \dim F(\psi)$ and thus $1 \geq \dim \psi(E(R))$, so that this additional assumption is always satisfied. For the case 2), our assumption $n - 3 < \tau r$ implies that $l(R) = n - 2$. Furthermore we see that $l(R) - \tau r < 1$, and thus we have $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$ by (5.2). This case will be treated in § 7. Finally note that the ψ in the case (12) of Theorem 1.3 is, in general, not elementary and, in fact, the composite of divisorial elementary contractions of the case 1) above. To obtain the (M', \mathcal{E}') in the case (12) of Theorem 1.3, we need to know furthermore the structure of (M, \mathcal{E}) with $\tau r = n - 1$ and ψ of fiber type. So we will complete the classification of the case (12) of Theorem 1.3 in § 22.1 after we have classified the case $\tau r = n - 1$ and ψ of fiber type.

If $\text{codim}(E(R), M) = 0$, i.e., ψ is of fiber type, let F be a general fiber of ψ . Since $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).R = 0$, we have $K_F + \tau \det \mathcal{E}|_F = 0$, and this implies that τ is also the nef value of the general fiber $(F, \det \mathcal{E}|_F)$. Set

$$b = b(M, \mathcal{E}) = \lfloor \dim M + 1 - \tau r \rfloor.$$

We have $n - b < \tau r \leq n + 1 - b$, and we see, by (5.1), that $b \geq 0$. Moreover we have

$$b(F, \mathcal{E}|_F) = b(M, \mathcal{E}) - \dim S.$$

If $\dim S > 0$, this implies that the classification of the general fiber $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ is reduced to that of (M, \mathcal{E}) with smaller b and satisfying the condition $K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E} = 0$. (Note here that the Picard number $\rho(F)$ of F is not necessarily one and that F might admit a birational contraction.) We will proceed by induction on b with the classification of the pairs (M, \mathcal{E}) with $\dim S > 0$. Note here that, if $\tau \geq 1$, we have already classified the case $b = 0$; Proposition 1.2 gives the classification in case $\tau r = n + 1$. Since $n < \tau r$ in case $b = 0$, we have $l(R) - \tau r < 1$, and thus, if $\tau \geq 1$, inequality (5.2) implies that $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$. Therefore we have $\tau r = \tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = l(R) = n + 1$. So we may assume that we have already classified the case $b = 0$. We also see that, once we obtain the classification of some fixed b , we have to make the relativization of it to get the classification with bigger b and with $\dim S > 0$. Note here that we have already made the relativization of the case $b = 0$, i.e., the case $n < \tau r \leq n + 1$, to the case $\dim F < \tau r \leq \dim F + 1$ by Proposition 3.1 since $\tau \geq 1$; indeed, if $\dim F < \tau r$, it follows from (5.1) above that $l(R) = \dim F + 1$, and that $l(R) - \tau r < 1$. If $\tau \geq 1$, this implies that $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$ by (5.2) above. Therefore $\tau r = \tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = l(R) = \dim F(\psi) + 1$. Hence Proposition 3.1 with $\tau \geq 1$ implies that we have already classified the case $\dim F < \tau r \leq \dim F + 1$.

On the contrary to the case $\dim S > 0$, we will classify the case $\dim S = 0$ in a way as independent of the value of b and τr as possible. The setup and the strategy to deal with the case $\dim S = 0$ will be given in § 8. We will denote sections from § 8 to § 19 to the case $\dim S = 0$. I believe that the most results obtained here, in particular, those in § 10, § 14, and § 18 are also applicable to the case of τr smaller, e.g., to the case $n - 3 < \tau r < n - 2$. The classification of the case $\dim S = 0$ is the main part of this paper.

Except for the case (12) of Theorem 1.3, after we established the classification of the case $\dim S = 0$ and of the case ψ is birational, we will proceed inductively on b with the classification of the case $\dim S > 0$ as follows. Suppose that $b = 1$. Namely suppose that $n - 1 < \tau r \leq n$, that ψ is of fiber type, and that $\dim S > 0$. Then we see by (5.1) that $\dim F = n - 1$, and thus $\dim F < \tau r \leq \dim F + 1$ holds.

Therefore the case $b = 1$ is already finished by Proposition 3.1 with $\tau \geq 1$. We will make the relativization of the case $n - 1 < \tau r \leq n$ to the case $\dim F - 1 < \tau r \leq \dim F$ with $\dim S > 0$ in § 21. Note that although the possible list of the general fiber $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ with $\dim S > 0$ is obtained by the inductive procedure, it is another problem whether the possible fiber $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ does really occur or not as a fiber of an elementary contraction of fiber type. Moreover we have the problem to determine the singular fiber of ψ . Applying the classification of the case $\dim F - 1 < \tau r$, we will obtain the classification of the case $b = 2$, i.e., the case $n - 2 < \tau r \leq n - 1$ in § 22. We will make the relativization of the case $n - 2 < \tau r \leq n - 1$ to the case $\dim F - 2 < \tau r \leq \dim F - 1$ in § 23. Finally applying the classification of the case $\dim F - 2 < \tau r$ we obtain the classification of the case $\tau r = n - 2$ in § 24.

6. THE CASE ψ IS DIVISORIAL AND $l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$

The following theorem of Andreatta and Occhetta [6, Theorem 5.1] plays a key role in case ψ is divisorial with $l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Note here that if ψ is divisorial it follows from (5.3) in § 5 that

$$(6.1) \quad \tau r \leq \tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = l(R) \leq \dim F(\psi),$$

where C_0 is a minimal extremal rational curve of R .

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional complex projective manifold, R an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$, and $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ the contraction morphism of R . Suppose that ψ is divisorial, and denote by E the exceptional divisor of ψ . If $l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$ for any irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ , then S is smooth and ψ is the blowing up along a submanifold $\psi(E)$ of S .*

Based on Theorem 6.1, we give the following theorem, which is a modification of [4, Theorem 3.1] to our case. The feature of our proof is the application of Theorem 6.1 and Ishimura's theorem [24], which simplify and clarify the argument in [4, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 6.2. *Let M be an n -dimensional complex projective manifold, and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Let τ be the nef value of the polarized manifold $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$. Suppose that K_M is not nef, i.e., $\tau > 0$; let R be an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$ such that $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).R = 0$, and $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ the contraction morphism of R . Suppose that ψ is divisorial; let E be the exceptional divisor of ψ . If $\dim F(\psi) \leq \tau r$ for any irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ , then S is smooth, ψ is the blowing up along a smooth variety $\psi(E)$, and $\mathcal{E} \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{E}' on S .*

Let $\pi : P = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M$ be the projective space bundle associated to \mathcal{E} , and $\pi' : N = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}') \rightarrow S$ the one associated to \mathcal{E}' . Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be the morphism induced from the relation $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(E) \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}'$. Then φ is the contraction morphism of some extremal ray R_1 of $\overline{\text{NE}}(P)$. Denote by L the tautological line bundle $H(\mathcal{E})$ associated to \mathcal{E} , and by L' the one associated to \mathcal{E}' . Then \mathcal{E}' is ample in the following cases;

- (1) $L \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_M(E)$ is a good supporting divisor of φ , i.e., it is nef and it defines a supporting function of R_1 ;
- (2) $\mathcal{E}'|_{\psi(E)}$ is ample. In particular, if $\psi(E)$ is a point, then \mathcal{E}' is ample.

Finally, if \mathcal{E}' is ample, we have $\tau(S, \det \mathcal{E}') \leq \tau$.

Proof. Since ψ is divisorial and $\dim F(\psi) \leq \tau r$, all the inequalities in (6.1) above become equalities. Hence we can apply Theorem 6.1 to see that S is nonsingular and that ψ is the blowing-up along a submanifold $\psi(E)$ of S . Now every positive dimensional fiber of ψ is isomorphic to a projective space of dimension $l(R)$ and a minimal extremal rational curve C_0 of R is a line in this projective space, i.e., $-E.C_0 = 1$. Since $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$, we have, by Theorem 2.3 (1), $\mathcal{E}|_{F(\psi)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{l(R)}}(1)^{\oplus r}$ for every positive dimensional fiber $F(\psi)$ of ψ . Hence we have $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(E) \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}'$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{E}' of rank r on S by Ishimura's theorem [24].

Now note that the natural morphism $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ is the blowing up of N along $\pi'^{-1}(\psi(E))$. Hence $-K_P$ is φ -ample, and φ is the contraction morphism of some extremal ray R_1 of $\overline{\text{NE}}(P)$. Since $L \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_M(E) \cong \varphi^* L'$, we see that L' is ample if $L \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_M(E)$ is a good supporting divisor of φ . If $\mathcal{E}'|_{\psi(E)}$ is ample, then $L'|_{\varphi(E_1)}$ is ample, where E_1 denotes the exceptional divisor of φ . Since L is ample, it follows from [15, (5.7)] that L' is ample. Therefore we infer that \mathcal{E}' is ample if (1) or (2) holds. Finally, since we have $\tau r = l(R)$, we see that $K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E} = \psi^*(K_S + \tau \det \mathcal{E}')$. Hence $K_S + \tau \det \mathcal{E}'$ is nef. Therefore we have $\tau(S, \det \mathcal{E}') \leq \tau$ if \mathcal{E}' is ample. \square

Remark 6.3. If we have $\tau \geq 1$ in Theorem 6.2, we can relax the assumption $\dim F(\psi) \leq \tau r$ to the one $\dim F(\psi) - 1 < \tau r$ for any irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ ; indeed, if we have $\dim F(\psi) - 1 < \tau r$ then we have $l(R) - \tau r < 1$ by (6.1) above, and if we have $\tau \geq 1$ then inequality (5.2) in § 5 implies that $\tau r = \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = l(R)$. Hence, again by (6.1), we have $\tau r = l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$ since $\dim F(\psi) - 1 < \tau r$.

Remark 6.4. If there is no assumption such as (1) or (2) in Theorem 6.2, the vector bundle \mathcal{E}' in Theorem 6.2 is not necessarily ample as the following example shows. This is the reason why we attach the assumption such as (1) or (2) in Theorem 6.2. These kinds of assumptions are overlooked in [4, Theorem 3.1]. The idea of showing the ampleness of the tautological line bundle of \mathcal{E}' by applying [15, Lemma (5.7)] of Fujita has its origin in the proof of [35, Lemma (5.1)] of Lanteri and Maeda.

Example 6.5. Set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^p}^{\oplus q} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^p}(-1)$, where p and q are positive integers with $p + q = n$. Let $f : S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^p$ be the projection, and C the section corresponding to the quotient $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^p}(-1)$. Set $B = (H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}(1))^{\otimes 2}$. Then B is spanned but not ample since $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C$. Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be the blowing up along C , and E the exceptional divisor of ψ . Set $A = \psi^* B \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$. Note here that A has the following expression:

$$A = \psi^*(H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}(2)) \otimes \psi^* H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E).$$

First we see that $H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}(2)$ is ample and spanned. Second we claim that $\psi^* H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$ is spanned. The is because, since the image of the natural map $\mathcal{O}_S \otimes H^0(H(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{F})$ is $\mathcal{I}_C \otimes H(\mathcal{F})$ where \mathcal{I}_C is the ideal sheaf of C , we have a surjection $\mathcal{O}_M \otimes H^0(H(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \psi^* H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$. Hence A is spanned. Moreover A is strictly nef; if C is a curve contracted to a point by ψ then $A.C = -E.C > 0$, and if C is a curve not contracted to a point by ψ then we see that $A.C \geq (H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}(2)).\psi(C) > 0$ by the above expression of A . Therefore A is ample. Let $\mathcal{E}' = B^{\oplus r}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$. Then $\mathcal{E} = A^{\oplus r}$ and thus \mathcal{E} is ample. However \mathcal{E}' is not ample.

Theorem 6.6. *Let M be an n -dimensional complex projective manifold, and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Let τ be the nef value of the polarized manifold $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$. Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$. Let R be an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$ such that $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot R = 0$, and $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ the contraction morphism of R . Suppose that ψ is divisorial; let E be the exceptional divisor of ψ . If $l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$ for any irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ , and $\dim F(\psi) - 2 < \tau r \leq \dim F(\psi) - 1$, then S is smooth, ψ is the blowing up along a smooth variety $\psi(E)$, $1 \leq \tau < 2$, $(l(R) - 2)/2 < r \leq l(R) - 1$, and \mathcal{E} fits in the following exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2E) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(-E) \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{E}' is a vector bundle of rank r on S , ψ' the restriction of ψ to E , and \mathcal{F} a vector bundle of rank $r - 1$ on $\psi(E)$.

Proof. First we see by Theorem 6.1 that S is smooth and that $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ is the blowing up along a submanifold $\psi(E)$ of S . Let F denote a positive dimensional fiber of ψ ; F is isomorphic to an $l(R)$ -dimensional projective space. Let C_0 be a minimal extremal rational curve of R . We see that C_0 is a line in F , i.e., C_0 is a smooth rational curve in F with $-E \cdot C_0 = 1$.

Since $\dim F - 2 < \tau r \leq \dim F - 1$ and $l(R) = \dim F$, we see that $\lfloor l(R) - \tau r \rfloor = 1$. Since $\tau \geq 1$, it follows from (5.2) in § 5 that $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot C_0 \leq r + 1$. On the other hand, since $\tau r \leq \dim F - 1 < \dim F = l(R) = \tau \det \mathcal{E} \cdot C_0$, we have $r < \det \mathcal{E} \cdot C_0$. Therefore we have $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot C_0 = r + 1$. Hence $\tau(r + 1) = \tau \det \mathcal{E} \cdot C_0 = l(R) = \dim F$, and thus $\tau r = \dim F - \tau$. Substituting this equality to $\dim F - 2 < \tau r \leq \dim F - 1$, we obtain $1 \leq \tau < 2$. This inequality together with $\dim F - 2 < \tau r \leq \dim F - 1$ then implies that $(\dim F - 2)/2 < r \leq \dim F - 1$. Since $l(R) = \dim F$, we have $(l(R) - 2)/2 < r \leq l(R) - 1$.

Since C_0 is a line in F and \mathcal{E} is ample, $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot C_0 = r + 1$ implies that $\mathcal{E}|_F$ is a uniform vector bundle of type $(1, \dots, 1, 2)$. Note here that since $\tau \geq 1$ we have $r \leq \tau r \leq \dim F - 1$. Hence we have $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ by Theorem 2.3 (2) (or [44, Theorem 3.2.3] for a proof).

Set $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(E)} = \psi'_*(\mathcal{E}(2E)|_E)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\psi(E)} = \psi'_*(\check{\mathcal{E}}(-E)|_E)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(E)}$ is a line bundle on $\psi(E)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\psi(E)}$ is a vector bundle of rank $r - 1$ on $\psi(E)$. Moreover $\mathcal{E}(2E)|_E$ fits in the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi(E)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(2E)|_E \rightarrow \psi'^* \check{\mathcal{M}}_{\psi(E)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(E) \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $\mathcal{F} = \check{\mathcal{M}}_{\psi(E)}$. Let \mathcal{G} be the kernel of the composite of the natural maps $\mathcal{E}(2E) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(2E)|_E$ and $\mathcal{E}(2E)|_E \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(E)$: \mathcal{G} fits in the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(2E) \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(E) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since we have $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{M,x}}(k(x), \mathcal{O}_{E,x}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and all point $x \in M$, we see that \mathcal{G} is a vector bundle of rank r . As is seen in the diagram of [38, Theorem 1.3 (ii)], \mathcal{G} fits in the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi(E)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the natural map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi(E)}$ factors as the composite of $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_E$ and $\mathcal{G}|_E \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi(E)}$. Moreover we see, as in [38, Theorem 1.3 (ii)], that the kernel of the map $\mathcal{G}|_E \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi(E)}$ is equal to the cokernel $\psi'^* \mathcal{F}$ of the map $\mathcal{G}(-E) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(E)$.

Hence we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_E \rightarrow \psi'^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi(E)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we have $\mathcal{G}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$. Therefore we have, by [24], $\mathcal{G} = \psi^* \mathcal{E}'$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{E}' of rank r on S . This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Here we give a proof of Theorem 1.3 in case ψ is divisorial and $l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$ for any irreducible component of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ . Let E be the exceptional divisor of ψ . In this case, since $n - 3 < \tau r$, as we observed in § 5, we have the following two cases by (5.3) in § 5:

- 1) $\dim F(\psi) - 1 < \tau r \leq \dim F(\psi)$;
- 2) $n - 3 = \dim F(\psi) - 2 < \tau r \leq \dim F(\psi) - 1$.

In case 1), we apply Theorem 6.2 together with Remark 6.3 to see that $\tau r = \dim F(\psi)$, that S is smooth, that ψ is the blowing up along a submanifold $\psi(E)$ of S , and that $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(E) \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}'$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{E}' of rank r on S . Since $n - 3 < \tau r$, we can divide this case 1) into the following two sub-cases:

- a) $\dim F(\psi) = n - 1$;
- b) $\dim F(\psi) = n - 2$.

If $\dim F(\psi) = n - 1$, then we infer that $F(\psi) = E$, and thus ψ contracts E to a point. Hence, again by Theorem 6.2, we infer that \mathcal{E}' is ample, and that $\tau(S, \det \mathcal{E}') \leq \tau$. Put $M_1 = S$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}'$. We have $\tau(M_1, \det \mathcal{E}_1)r \leq n - 1$. As we noticed in § 5, in order to obtain the case (12) of Theorem 1.3, we need to analyze the structure of (M_1, \mathcal{E}_1) in case $\tau(M_1, \det \mathcal{E}_1)r = n - 1$. This is done in § 22.1, after we also classified (M, \mathcal{E}) with $\tau r = n - 1$ and ψ of fiber type. If $\dim F(\psi) = n - 2$, put $M' = S$. This is the case (27) of Theorem 1.3.

In case 2), we apply Theorem 6.6 to obtain the case (25) of Theorem 1.3; note that, as can be seen from the proof of Theorem 6.6, $\tau r = n - 2$ implies that $\tau = 1$, and thus we have $r = n - 2$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 in case ψ is divisorial and $l(R) = \dim F(\psi)$ for any irreducible component of any positive dimensional fiber of ψ .

Finally we give an example of the case (25) of the theorem, which is also the simplest example of Theorem 6.6.

Example 6.7. Let M' be an n -dimensional projective manifold and $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$ be the blowing-up of M' at a point p of M' . Denote by E the exceptional divisor of ψ . By tensoring the pull back of a sufficiently ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on M' , we can make $\psi^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$ and $\psi^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2E)$ be ample. Let \mathcal{E} be $\psi^* \mathcal{L} \otimes (\mathcal{O}(-E))^{\oplus(n-3)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2E)$. Then (M, \mathcal{E}) gives an example of the case (25) of Theorem 1.3.

7. THE CASE ψ IS DIVISORIAL AND $l(R) < \dim F(\psi)$

Let $R, C_0, \psi : M \rightarrow S$ be as in § 5. In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.3 in case ψ is divisorial and $n - 3 < \tau r \leq l(R) < \dim F(\psi) \leq n - 1$ for some irreducible component $F(\psi)$ of some positive dimensional fiber of ψ . In this case, we have $l(R) = n - 2$ and $\dim F(\psi) = n - 1$. Hence $F(\psi)$ is the exceptional divisor E of ψ . Since $[l(R) - \tau r] = 0$ and $\tau \geq 1$, we have $r = \det \mathcal{E}.C_0$ by (5.2) in § 5 as we have seen in § 5.

Here, since $l(R) = n - 2$, we come to apply the following theorem of Andreatta and Occhetta [6, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 7.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional complex projective manifold, R an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$, and $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ the contraction morphism of R . Suppose that ψ is divisorial, and denote by E the exceptional divisor of ψ . If $l(R) = n - 2$, then one of the following cases occur:*

- (1) S is smooth and ψ is the blowing up along a smooth curve $\psi(E)$;
- (2) $\psi(E)$ is a point and $(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-E)) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(2))$;
- (3) $\psi(E)$ is a point and $(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-E)) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(1))$, where \mathbb{Q}^{n-1} is a possibly singular quadric.

Since $F(\psi) = E$, we see that we are in the cases (2) and (3) of Theorem 7.1. Moreover we have $\mathcal{E}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ by Theorem 2.3 (1) in the case (2) and by [51, Lemma 3.6.1] in the case (3), since $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$ for a line C_0 in E . These are the cases (a) and (b) of (26) of Theorem 1.3.

8. SETUP AND STRATEGY FOR THE CASE $\dim S = 0$

As in § 5, denote by R an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(M)$ such that $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).R = 0$, by C_0 a minimal extremal rational curve in R , and by $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ the contraction morphism of R . As we stated in § 5, we assume that $\dim S = 0$ in this section, and give the setup and the strategy to deal with the case $\dim S = 0$.

Let P be the projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ over M , $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ the projection, and L the tautological line bundle $H(\mathcal{E})$.

Suppose that $-K_P$ is ample in this section. Note here that if $\tau \geq 1$ then $-K_P$ is ample; indeed, if $\tau \geq 1$, we have $(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}).R \leq 0$. Since $\dim S = 0$, this implies that $-(K_M + \det \mathcal{E})$ is nef, so that $-\pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E})$ is nef. Therefore $-K_P$ is ample because L is ample.

Since the Picard number $\rho(P)$ is two, this implies that $\overline{\text{NE}}(P)$ is spanned by two extremal rays R_π and R_1 , where R_π is the ray corresponding to $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ and R_1 is the other ray. Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be the contraction morphism of R_1 .

Let $F(\varphi)$ be any irreducible component of any positive dimensional fiber of φ . The following lemma due to Ye and Zhang [54] and Peternell [46] is the first key observation to the study of φ .

Lemma 8.1. *The induced morphism $\pi|_{F(\varphi)}$ is finite. In particular, $\dim F(\varphi) \leq n$.*

Proof. Since any curve in $F(\varphi)$ is contracted by φ , it (or, strictly speaking, its numerical equivalence class) belongs to R_1 , and does not belong to R_π . Therefore it is not contracted by π . Hence $\pi|_{F(\varphi)}$ is finite and $\dim F(\varphi) = \dim \pi(F(\varphi)) \leq \dim M = n$. \square

Combining Lemma 8.1 and Theorem 2.1 (1), we have

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} l(R_1) &\leq l_x(F(\varphi)) \leq \dim F(\varphi) + 1 - \text{codim}(E(R_1), P) \\ &\leq n + 1 - \text{codim}(E(R_1), P). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality gives an upper bound of $l(R_1)$.

Let $C_1 \subset P$ be a minimal extremal rational curve of R_1 . Since $\psi(\pi(C_1))$ is a point, $\pi(C_1)$ belongs to R , and therefore $(K_M + \tau \det \mathcal{E}).\pi(C_1) = 0$. Hence we have $l(R_1) = -K_P.C_1 = rL.C_1 + (\tau - 1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1)$.

We will use the following terminology.

Definition 8.2. Let P be a projective manifold, and C'_1 a rational curve on P . We will say, for simplicity, that C'_1 is unsplit if every maximal family $F \rightarrow S$,

$S \subseteq \text{Chow}(P)$, of rational curves on P containing C'_1 as a closed fiber (see [10, p.19]) is unsplitting. In other words, C'_1 is unsplit if and only if C'_1 cannot be effectively algebraically equivalent (see [32, p.121–122] for the definition) to a sum $\Sigma_{i=1}^{\delta} D_i$ of δ ($\delta \geq 2$) rational curves D_i , some of which may equal.

Now we note the following lemma.

Lemma 8.3. *Let C'_1 be an unsplit rational curve on P with $\pi(C'_1)$ a curve. Then every quotient line bundle of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$ has degree at least $L.C'_1$, where $\tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow C'_1$ is the normalization and $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$ denotes $(\pi^* \mathcal{E})|_{C'_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$ for simplicity. In particular, we have $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot \pi_*(C'_1) \geq rL.C'_1$, and equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1} \cong \mathcal{O}(L.C'_1)^{\oplus r}$.*

Proof. Since $\pi(C'_1)$ is a curve, we see that $\tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow C'_1 \rightarrow \pi(C'_1) \hookrightarrow M$ is finite. Therefore the induced morphism $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow P$ is finite. Note that $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_1$ has the section corresponding to the quotient $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1} \rightarrow L_{C'_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$. Denote by D' the section. Since C'_1 is unsplit and $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow P$ is finite, we infer that D' is also unsplit in $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_1$. Now the next Lemma 8.4 implies that the quotient line bundle $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1} \rightarrow L_{C'_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$ has the minimal degree among all the quotient line bundles of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$. Therefore we have $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot \pi_*(C'_1) = \deg \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1} \geq rL.C'_1$. \square

Lemma 8.4. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(d_i)$ be a vector bundle of rank r on \mathbb{P}^1 , where $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_r$ are integers. Let D' be a section of the projection $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to a quotient $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d)$. If $d > d_1$, then D' is effectively rationally equivalent to $D + (d - d_1)l$, where D is the section corresponding to the minimal quotient $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d_1)$ and l is a line in a fiber of the projection π . (See [32, Definition (4.1.4)] for the definition of effective rational equivalence.) In particular, if D' is unsplit, then $d = d_1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $d > d_1$. Since D' defines a section s' of $H^0(\check{\mathcal{F}}(d))$ such that $(s')_0 = \emptyset$, the assumption $d > d_1$ implies that $d - d_2 \geq 0$. Take $s_1 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(d - d_1))$ and $s_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(d - d_2))$ such that $(s_1)_0 \cap (s_2)_0 = \emptyset$. Let s'' be a section of $H^0(\check{\mathcal{F}}(d))$ such that $s'' = (s_1, s_2, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then s'' defines a section D'' of π . Let \check{V} be the linear subspace of $H^0(\check{\mathcal{F}}(d) \oplus \check{\mathcal{F}}(d))$ spanned by $(s', 0)$ and $(0, s'')$, and V the dual of \check{V} . The injection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \check{V} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{F}}(d) \oplus \check{\mathcal{F}}(d) = \check{\mathcal{F}}(d) \otimes \check{V}$ as vector bundles induces the surjection $\mathcal{F} \otimes V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d) \otimes V$. Hence we have a family $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}(V) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \times \mathbb{P}(V)$ over a projective line $\mathbb{P}(V)$, which has D' as a fiber and D'' as another fiber. Therefore D'' is rationally equivalent to D' . Note here that we can regard both D and D'' as a section on a ruled surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(d_2))$, and we see that $D \in |H(\mathcal{O}(d_1 - d_2) \oplus \mathcal{O})|$ and that $D'' \in |H(\mathcal{O}(d - d_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(d - d_1))|$ since D'' corresponds to the quotient $\mathcal{O}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(d_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d)$. Hence $D + (d - d_1)l$ and D'' are linearly equivalent on the ruled surface, where l is the fiber of the projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(d_2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Therefore D' is rationally equivalent to $D + (d - d_1)l$. \square

Corollary 8.5. *Let C'_1 be an unsplit rational curve on P with $\pi(C'_1)$ a curve. Then $C'_1 \rightarrow \pi(C'_1)$ is birational.*

Proof. Set $C'_0 = \pi(C'_1)$, and let $\tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow C'_1$ and $\tilde{C}'_0 \rightarrow C'_0$ be the normalizations. Denote by d the degree of the map $C'_1 \rightarrow C'_0$. As we saw in the proof of Lemma 8.3, $\tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow P$ induces an unsplit section D' of $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_1$, and by Lemma 8.4 we see that D' is a minimal section of $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_1$, i.e., a section corresponding

to a quotient line bundle of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$ of minimal degree. Note here that minimal sections of $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_1$ and minimal sections of $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_0$ are in one-to-one correspondence via the pull back by $\tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_0$; let D'_0 be the minimal section of $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_0$ corresponding to D' . Here we see that the image of D' by $P \times_M \tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow P$ is C'_1 by the definition of D' . Hence the image of D'_0 in P is C'_1 . Thus the image of D' in P as a cycle is dC'_1 . We see also that $D' \rightarrow C'_1$ is birational by the definition of D' . Therefore we have $d = 1$. \square

Note here that a minimal extremal rational curve C_1 is unsplit; Lemma 8.3 then implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 l(R_1) &= -K_P.C_1 = rL.C_1 + (\tau - 1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1) \\
 (8.2) \qquad &= rL.C_1 + (\tau - 1)(rL.C_1 + \alpha) \\
 &= \tau rL.C_1 + (\tau - 1)\alpha,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1) = rL.C_1 + \alpha$ for some non-negative integer α . This gives an an lower bound of $l(R_1)$ in case $\tau \geq 1$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8.3) \qquad l(R_1) &= \tau rL.C_1 + (\tau - 1)\alpha \\
 &\geq \tau rL.C_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we pose the following problem:

Problem 8.6. Is $\pi(C_1)$ always a minimal extremal rational curve in R ?

If we answer affirmatively to Problem 8.6, we have the following relation between $l(R_1)$ and $l(R)$.

Proposition 8.7. *If $\pi(C_1)$ is a minimal extremal rational curve in R , then we have $l(R_1) + \alpha = l(R)$, where we set $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = rL.C_1 + \alpha$ for some non-negative integer α as above. In particular we have $l(R_1) \leq l(R)$.*

Proof. First we have $\pi_*(C_1) = \pi(C_1)$ by Corollary 8.5. Second if $\pi(C_1)$ is a minimal extremal rational curve in R , we have $-K_M.\pi(C_1) = l(R)$. Hence we have $l(R_1) = -K_P.C_1 = rL.C_1 - K_M.\pi(C_1) - \det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = l(R) - \alpha$. \square

The idea of comparison of C_1 of R_1 and C_0 of R stems from [48, Comparison Lemma (3.1)]: Peternell-Szurek-Wisniewski gave an affirmative answer to Problem 8.6 in case $r = n - 1 \geq 4$ and $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$ (i.e., $\tau = 1$). Very roughly speaking, their strategy to the affirmative answer is as follows: since $n + 1 \geq -K_M.C_0 = \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 \geq r = n - 1$, if $n - 1 = r \geq 3$, $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_0}$ has $\mathcal{O}(1)$ as quotient, where $\tilde{C}_0 \rightarrow C_0$ is the normalization. Corresponding to this quotient $\mathcal{O}(1)$, there is a rational curve $C'_1 \subset P$ dominating C_0 such that $L.C'_1 = 1$. Here it is clear but important that C'_1 is unsplit since $L.C'_1 = 1$. Considering some deformation family of C'_1 , they show that if $n \geq 5$ there exists a rational curve effectively algebraically equivalent to C'_1 and contracted by φ . The property of the contraction morphism then implies that C'_1 belongs to R_1 . Since $L.C'_1 = 1$, this implies that C'_1 is a minimal extremal rational curve of R_1 , i.e., $C'_1 = C_1$. Hence $\pi(C_1) = C_0$.

In § 9, we extend the above argument of [48, Comparison Lemma (3.1)] to our case. Here we do not restrict ourselves to consider the deformation family of rational curve C'_1 such that $\pi(C'_1) = C_0$ and that $L.C'_1 = 1$, but we consider the deformation family of rational curve C'_1 dominating a minimal extremal rational curve of R on

M such that $L.C'_1$ takes the minimum value among all such values, namely, among all the values $L.D$ where $D \subset P$ is a rational curve dominating a minimal extremal rational curve of R on M . This is one of the key points of this paper. Then we show in Lemma 9.1 that the rational curve C'_1 chosen in this way is also unsplit. This observation is easy but plays a crucial role in Lemma 9.2. In fact, in Lemma 9.2, we will consider some deformation family of unsplit rational curve C'_1 dominating C_0 , and we do not assume the minimality of $L.C'_1$. Except for the points mentioned above, Lemma 9.2 is nothing but a reformulation of [48, Comparison Lemma (3.1)] in our setting. Lemma 9.2 gives a sufficient condition for R_1 to contain an unsplit rational curve C'_1 dominating C_0 , and in fact gives a sufficient condition for the affirmative answer to Problem 8.6. Indeed we have the following.

Lemma 8.8. *Suppose that R_1 contains an unsplit rational curve C'_1 dominating a minimal extremal rational curve C_0 of R . Then C'_1 is a minimal extremal rational curve in R_1 , i.e., we may assume that $C'_1 = C_1$, and thus we have $\pi(C_1) = C_0$.*

Proof. Since C_1 and C'_1 are numerically proportional, we have $C_1 = \mu C'_1$ for some positive real number μ . We have $\mu \leq 1$ by the minimality of C_1 . Since both C_1 and C'_1 are unsplit, Corollary 8.5 implies that $\pi_*(C_1) = \pi(C_1)$ and that $\pi_*(C'_1) = \pi(C'_1)$. Hence we have $\pi(C_1) = \mu\pi(C'_1) = \mu C_0$. Here we see that $\mu \geq 1$ by the minimality of C_0 . Therefore we have $\mu = 1$, namely, C'_1 can be regarded as a minimal extremal rational curve C_1 . \square

In § 10, we will apply Lemma 9.2 to the case $l(R_1) = n + 1$ to obtain Proposition 10.1. In § 11, we will apply Lemma 9.2 to the case $l(R_1) = n$. In this case, we will give an affirmative answer Corollary 11.1 to the problem 8.6 under the additional assumptions that $L.C_1 = 1$ and that $\tau \geq 1$. I have not succeeded in removing these additional assumptions. So we will assume that $\tau \geq 1$ in the following, and we will divide the case according to the value of $L.C_1$.

In § 12, we will deal with the case $L.C_1 \geq 2$ and $\tau r \geq n - 2$. In § 13, we recall some preliminaries to deal with the following cases.

If $L.C_1 = 1$, we have the following advantage: we have $(K_P + l(R_1)L).C_1 = 0$ for an ample line bundle L . Hence to investigate the property of (P, L) via φ becomes much easier, in general, than to investigate the property of (M, \mathcal{E}) via ψ . Therefore we study the structure of φ first; this strategy and idea stem from Ye-Zhang [54] and Peternell [46]. If $\tau r > n - 3$, we have $l(R_1) \geq n - 2$ by (8.3) above. We will divide the case $L.C_1 = 1$ according to the value of $l(R_1)$. We will give the classification in case $l(R_1) = n$ in § 14, based on the affirmative answer Corollary 11.1 to the problem 8.6. In § 15 and § 16, we prove some results needed to deal with the case $l(R_1) \leq n - 1$. In § 17, we will apply Lemma 9.2 to the case $l(R_1) = n - 1$ to obtain an affirmative answer Corollary 17.1 to the problem 8.6 under some conditions. Then applying Corollary 17.1 we will give the classification in case $l(R_1) = n - 1$ in § 18. Finally we will deal with the case $l(R_1) = n - 2$ in § 19.

9. COMPARISON LEMMA

Let M be an n -dimensional Fano manifold with $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Denote by τ the nef value of the polarized manifold $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$. Let $R, \pi : P \rightarrow M, L, R_1, C_1, \varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be as in the common setup § 8. In particular, we assume that $-K_P$ is ample in this section.

The following observation is one of the key points of this paper.

Lemma 9.1. *Let λ be the smallest integer among $L.C'_1$'s, where C'_1 moves among rational curves dominating minimal extremal rational curves in R . By abuse of notation, denote by C'_1 a rational curve dominating a minimal extremal rational curve in R and attaining the number λ : $L.C'_1 = \lambda$. Then C'_1 is unsplit.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that C'_1 is effectively algebraically equivalent to a sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\delta} D_i$ of δ ($\delta \geq 2$) rational curves D_i , some of which may equal. Then $\pi_*(C'_1)$ is effectively algebraically equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{\delta} \pi_*(D_i)$.

We claim here that $C'_1 \rightarrow \pi(C'_1)$ is birational. Let $\pi(\tilde{C}'_1) \rightarrow \pi(C'_1)$ be the normalization. We see that $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\pi(\tilde{C}'_1)}$ decomposes into a direct sum of line bundles of degree $\geq \lambda$ by the minimality of λ . Let d be the degree of the morphism $C'_1 \rightarrow \pi(C'_1)$, and $\tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow C'_1$ the normalization. Since the induced map $\tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow \pi(\tilde{C}'_1)$ has degree d , we infer that $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$ is a direct sum of line bundles of degree $\geq d\lambda$. Therefore every section of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}) \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_1$ has degree $\geq d\lambda$ with respect to the tautological line bundle. On the other hand, $C'_1 \subset P$ defines a section of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}) \rightarrow \tilde{C}'_1$, which we also denote by \tilde{C}'_1 by abuse of notation, such that \tilde{C}'_1 has degree λ with respect to L . Hence we conclude that $d = 1$.

Note here that $\pi(C'_1)$ is unsplit, since $\pi(C'_1)$ is a minimal extremal rational curve. Therefore the claim above implies that except for one rational curve, say $\pi(D_1)$, every $\pi(D_i)$ is a point, that $D_1 \rightarrow \pi(D_1)$ is birational, and that $\pi(D_1)$ is also a minimal extremal rational curve. Now we see that $L.D_1 < \lambda$ since $\delta > 1$ and L is ample. This contradicts the minimality of λ . \square

The following lemma plays a crucial role in the study of the case $\dim S = 0$; it is an extension of [48, Comparison Lemma (3.1)].

Lemma 9.2. *Let C'_1 be an unsplit rational curve on P with $\pi(C'_1)$ a minimal extremal rational curve in R . Set $C_0 = \pi(C'_1)$. Let T' be the connected component of the Hom scheme $\text{Hom}_{\text{bir}}(\mathbb{P}^1, P)$ containing the normalization $\mathbb{P}^1 = \tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow C'_1 \subset P$. Let \tilde{T}' be the normalization of T' and T the image of \tilde{T}' via the morphism $\text{Hom}_{\text{bir}}^n(\mathbb{P}^1, P) \rightarrow \text{RatCurves}^n(P)$ (see [32, I. (6.9), II. (2.11), and II. (2.15)] for the morphism $\text{Hom}_{\text{bir}}^n(\mathbb{P}^1, P) \rightarrow \text{RatCurves}^n(P)$ and the notation). Note that T is proper since C'_1 is unsplit. Let $V \rightarrow T$ be the universal family, i.e., the restriction of $\text{Univ}^{rc}(P) \rightarrow \text{RatCurves}^n(P)$, which is \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle by [32, II. (2.12)]. Let $p : V \hookrightarrow P \times T \rightarrow P$ and $q : V \hookrightarrow P \times T \rightarrow T$ be the canonical projections. Pick a point $x \in C_0$ and fix it. Let T_x denote an irreducible component of $q((\pi \circ p)^{-1}(x))$ containing $[C'_1]$, and V_x denote $q^{-1}(T_x)$. Note that T_x is proper since T is so. We also denote by p_x and q_x the projections $V_x \rightarrow P$ and $V_x \rightarrow T_x$ respectively. We see that q_x is \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle since q is so. Set*

$$t = \min\{\dim T_i \mid T_i \text{ is an irreducible component of } T \text{ containing } [C'_1]\}.$$

Note that Lemma 8.3 enables us to set $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot \pi_*(C'_1) = rL.C'_1 + \alpha'$ for some non-negative integer α' . Then we have the following.

- (1) If φ has an n -dimensional fiber, then φ contracts C'_1 , i.e., C'_1 is an unsplit rational curve belonging to R_1 .
- (2) If $\dim p_x(V_x) \cap F \geq 1$ for some positive dimensional fiber F of φ , then φ contracts C'_1 .

- (3) If $p_x(V_x) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for some positive dimensional fiber F of φ , we have $\dim p_x(V_x) \cap F \geq \dim p_x(V_x) + \dim F - \dim P \geq \dim p_x(V_x) + l(R_1) - 1 + \text{codim}(E(R_1), P) - \dim P$.
- (4) We have $\dim p_x(V_x) = \dim V_x$.
- (5) We have $\dim V_x \geq t + 2 - n$ and $t \geq \tau r L C'_1 + (\tau - 1)\alpha' + \dim P - 3$. If $\tau \geq 1$, we have therefore $t \geq \tau r L C'_1 + \dim P - 3$.

Proof. (1) If φ has n -dimensional fibers, then φ contracts some curve dominating C_0 . On the other hand, we see, by Lemma 8.3, that the quotient bundle $L_{C'_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$ of $\pi^*(\mathcal{E})_{C'_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}'_1}$ has the minimal degree among all quotient bundles, since C'_1 is unsplit with $\pi(C'_1) = C_0$ a curve. Therefore if φ contracts some curve dominating C_0 , then φ contracts C'_1 .

(2) Suppose that $p_x(V_x) \cap F$ contains a curve. We will show that some curve in $p_x(V_x) \cap F$ corresponds to a point in T_x . If this claim holds, we may take as C'_1 the curve in $p_x(V_x) \cap F$ so that we infer that C'_1 is contracted by φ . Suppose, to the contrary, that there is no curve in $p_x(V_x) \cap F$ which corresponds to a point in T_x , i.e., that no curve in $p_x^{-1}(F)$ is contracted by q_x . Let B'_1 be an irreducible closed curve in $p_x^{-1}(F)$, and let B' be the image $q_x(B'_1)$ in T_x . Since no curve in $p_x^{-1}(F)$ is contracted by q_x , we see that B' is a curve. Let $B \rightarrow B'$ be the normalization. Note that B is proper since T_x is so. Set $S = V_x \times_{T_x} B$. We see that the projection $S \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle since q_x is so. We have $N_1(S) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. Let B_1 be the image in S of the normalization \tilde{B}'_1 of B'_1 . First look at the morphism $S \rightarrow P \rightarrow N$. We see that the image of B_1 in P is contained in F so that it is contracted by φ . Since no curve in $p_x^{-1}(F)$ is contracted by q_x , we infer that the image in P of any fiber of $S \rightarrow B$ intersects F but does not contained in F . Hence the image in P of any fiber of $S \rightarrow B$ does not contracted by φ . Therefore we have $\overline{NE}(S) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[B_1] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[\text{a fiber of } S \rightarrow B]$. Next look at the morphism $S \rightarrow P \rightarrow M$. Since $F \rightarrow \pi(F)$ is finite by Lemma 8.1, the image in P of B_1 , which is contained in F , does not contracted by π . Note here that the image in P of any fiber of $S \rightarrow B$ is numerically equivalent to C'_1 , which does not contracted by π . Hence we infer, by the property of the contraction morphism π of an extremal ray R_π , that the image in P of any fiber of $S \rightarrow B$ does not contracted by π . This implies that the pull back of an ample line bundle on M defines a positive function on $\overline{NE}(S)$. Therefore $S \rightarrow M$ is finite. On the other hand, the image in M of any fiber of $S \rightarrow B$ passes through x , so that $S \rightarrow M$ is not finite over x . This is a contradiction. This completes the proof of (2).

(3) Since P is smooth, we see that $\dim p_x(V_x) \cap F \geq \dim p_x(V_x) + \dim F - \dim P$ if $p_x(V_x) \cap F \neq \emptyset$. We apply (8.1) in § 8 to see that $\dim p_x(V_x) + \dim F - \dim P \geq \dim p_x(V_x) + l(R_1) - 1 + \text{codim}(E(R_1), P) - \dim P$.

(4) We will show more precisely that $V_x \setminus (\pi \circ p)^{-1}(x) \rightarrow p_x(V_x) \setminus \pi^{-1}(x)$ is finite. Suppose to the contrary that we could find a curve $B'_1 \subset V_x \setminus (\pi \circ p)^{-1}(x)$ over a point $y \in p_x(V_x) \setminus \pi^{-1}(x)$. Set $B' = q_x(B'_1) \subseteq T_x$. Since the image in P of any fiber of $q_x : V_x \rightarrow T_x$ is numerically equivalent to C'_1 , we see, by the same reason as in (2), that the image of any fiber of q_x is not contracted by π . Hence we infer that B' is a curve. Let $B \rightarrow B'$ be the normalization, and set $S = V_x \times_{T_x} B$. Denote by B_1 the image in S of the normalization \tilde{B}'_1 of B'_1 . Note here that $V_x \setminus (\pi \circ p)^{-1}(x) \rightarrow p_x(V_x) \setminus \pi^{-1}(x)$ is proper since T_x is so. Therefore B is also proper. Now look at the morphism $\alpha : S \rightarrow P$. We see that $\alpha(S)$ is a surface and that the image by α of any fiber of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $S \rightarrow B$ passes through y . Moreover

we infer that y is the only fixed point of the family of rational curves defined as the images of the fibers of $S \rightarrow B$, since B is proper. Therefore $\dim \alpha(S) \cap \pi^{-1}(x) = 1$ since $y \notin \pi^{-1}(x)$. Now that $N_1(S) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ and that α contracts B_1 , we see that $N_1(\alpha(S)) \cong \mathbb{R}^1$. Since π contracts all curves in $\alpha(S) \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$, this implies that π contracts all $\alpha(S)$, i.e., that $\pi(\alpha(S))$ is a point. This however contradicts $\pi(y) \neq x$.

(5) We have $\dim V_x = \dim T_x + 1$. First we see by the same reason as stated in (4) that the image of any fiber of q is not contracted by π . Hence there exists no rational curve contracted by q in $(\pi \circ p)^{-1}(x)$. This implies that T_x has dimension equal to the corresponding irreducible component of $(\pi \circ p)^{-1}(x)$. Note here that any irreducible component of V containing $q^{-1}([C'_1])$ has dimension at least $t + 1$. Hence every irreducible component of $\dim(\pi \circ p)^{-1}(x)$ has dimension $\geq t + 1 - n$; thus we have $\dim T_x \geq t + 1 - n$. Therefore we have $\dim V_x \geq t + 2 - n$.

Next we have $t \geq -K_P.C'_1 + \dim P - \dim PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Since C'_1 is unsplit, it follows from Lemma 8.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} -K_P.C'_1 &= rL.C'_1 + (\tau - 1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C'_1) \\ &= rL.C'_1 + (\tau - 1)(rL.C_1 + \alpha') \\ &= \tau rL.C'_1 + (\tau - 1)\alpha'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $t \geq \tau rL.C'_1 + (\tau - 1)\alpha' + \dim P - 3$. \square

Corollary 9.3. *If $\tau \geq 1$, $\tau r \geq (n + 3)/2$, and φ is of fiber type, then $\pi(C_1)$ is a minimal extremal rational curve in R . In particular, we have $l(R) \geq l(R_1)$.*

10. THE CASE $l(R_1) = n + 1$

Let M , \mathcal{E} , $\psi : M \rightarrow S$, and C_0 be as in § 2. Suppose that $\dim S = 0$. Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ and L be as in § 8, and suppose that $-K_P$ is ample. Let R_1 , $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$, and C_1 be as in § 8. Then we have the following.

Proposition 10.1. *If $l(R_1) = n + 1$, then $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(l)^{\oplus r})$ for some positive integer l .*

Proof. Since $l(R_1) = n + 1$, inequality (8.1) in § 8 implies that φ is of fiber type and that every fiber of φ is n -dimensional. Then Lemmas 9.2 (1) and 8.8 implies that $\pi(C_1) = C_0$. Therefore we have $l(R) = l(R_1) + (\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 - rL.C_1) \geq l(R_1)$ by Lemma 8.7. Since $l(R) \leq n + 1$ by (2.1) in § 2 and $l(R_1) = n + 1$, this implies that $l(R) = n + 1$ and $rL.C_1 = \det \mathcal{E}.C_0$. Theorem 2.2 then implies that $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$; thus C_0 is a line in \mathbb{P}^n . Lemmas 8.3 and 8.5 also implies that $\mathcal{E}|_{C_0} \cong \mathcal{O}(L.C_1)^{\oplus r}$. Set $l = L.C_1$. Then \mathcal{E} is a uniform vector bundle of type (l, \dots, l) , so that we have $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(l)^{\oplus r}$ by Theorem 2.3 (1). \square

11. COMPARISON LEMMA FOR $l(R_1) = n$

In this section, we will follow the notation in § 9.

Corollary 11.1. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$, that $l(R_1) = n$, that $L.C_1 = 1$, and that φ has no n -dimensional fibers. Then $\pi(C_1)$ is a minimal extremal rational curve in R .*

Proof. By Lemma 8.8, it is enough to show that there exists an unsplit rational curve C'_1 belonging to R_1 and dominating a minimal extremal rational curve in R .

Assume, to the contrary, that there does not exist such a curve. By abuse of notation, denote by C'_1 an unsplit rational curve dominating a minimal extremal

rational curve C_0 in R , whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 9.1. Then C'_1 does not belong to R_1 , i.e., φ does not contract C'_1 , by assumption. Note here that φ is of fiber type and that every fiber of φ is $(n-1)$ -dimensional by inequality (8.1) in § 8, since $l(R_1) = n$ and φ has no n -dimensional fibers. Lemma 9.2 (2), (3), (4), and (5) then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \dim p_x(V_x) \cap F \geq \dim p_x(V_x) - r \\ &\geq \tau r L.C'_1 + (\tau - 1)\alpha' - 2 \\ &\geq \tau r L.C'_1 - 2, \end{aligned}$$

since $\tau \geq 1$. Hence we have $2 \geq \tau r L.C'_1 \geq \tau r \geq r$. Note here that $r \geq 2$ since φ has no n -dimensional fibers. Therefore we see that $r = 2$, that $\tau = 1$, and that $L.C'_1 = 1$. Since $L.C_1 = 1$, it follows from (8.3) in § 8 that $n = l(R_1) = 2L.C_1 = 2$. Hence M is a Del Pezzo surface with Picard number one. Thus we have $M \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ by the classification of Del Pezzo surfaces. Now we have $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(3)$. Since \mathcal{E} is ample, Theorem 2.3 implies that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ or $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$. In these cases, C_1 is an unsplit rational curve belonging to R_1 and dominating a minimal extremal rational curve in R . This contradicts the assumption. \square

12. THE CASE WHERE $L.C_1 \geq 2$.

Let M , \mathcal{E} , $\psi : M \rightarrow S$, C_0 , $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, and L be as in § 8. In particular, we assume that $\dim S = 0$. Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$, and let R_1 , C_1 , and $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be as in § 8. In this section, we will deal with the case $L.C_1 \geq 2$.

We assume $\tau r \geq n-2$ in this section. Since $\tau \geq 1$, it follows from inequality (8.3) in § 8 that $l(R_1) \geq \tau r L.C_1$. On the other hand, we have an upper bound $l(R_1) \leq n+1$ by inequality (8.1) in § 8. Hence we have

$$(12.1) \quad r(L.C_1 - 1) \leq \tau r(L.C_1 - 1) \leq l(R_1) - \tau r \leq 3,$$

since $\tau \geq 1$. In particular, we see that $r \leq 3$ if $l(R_1) = n+1$, that $r \leq 2$ if $l(R_1) = n$, and that $r = 1$ if $l(R_1) = n-1$.

Suppose that $l(R_1) = n+1$. Then $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(l)^{\oplus r})$, where $l = L.C_1$, by Proposition 10.1. Therefore $\tau r = (n+1)/l$. Since $\tau r \geq n-2$, we have $n+1 \geq l(n-2)$, i.e., $2l+1 \geq (l-1)n$. Since $l = L.C_1 \geq 2$, we have

$$(12.2) \quad 2 + \frac{3}{l-1} \geq n.$$

Since $\tau \geq 1$, we also have $n+1 = \tau r l \geq r l$, i.e.,

$$(12.3) \quad n \geq r l - 1.$$

Suppose moreover that $r = 3$. We have $L.C_1 = 2$, $\tau = 1$, and $\tau r = n-2$ by (12.1). Thus $n = 5$. Hence we have $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 3})$. This is a special case of the case (17) of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose moreover that $r = 2$. We have $L.C_1 = 2$ and $n-1 \geq \tau r$ by (12.1). Thus $n \geq 3$. Moreover we have $n \leq 5$ by (12.2). Therefore $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2})$, where $3 \leq n \leq 5$. This is a special case of the case (14) of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose moreover that $r = 1$. We have $2 \leq L.C_1 \leq 4$ by (12.1). Hence inequalities (12.2) and (12.3) imply that (M, \mathcal{E}) is isomorphic to either of the following;

- a) $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(4))$;
- b) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(3))$ ($n = 2, 3$);
- c) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(2))$ ($1 \leq n \leq 5$).

The case a), b), or c) is, respectively, a special case of the case (17), (13), or (4) of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose that $l(R_1) = n$.

Suppose moreover that $r = 2$. It follows from (12.1) above that $LC_1 = 2$, that $\tau = 1$, and that $\tau r = n - 2$. Thus $n = 4$. This is a special case of the case (18) of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose moreover that $r = 1$. We have $LC_1 = 2$ or 3 by (12.1) above. Set $e = \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = LC_1$. Since $n - 2 \leq \tau r \leq \tau e = l(R) = l(R_1) = n$, we have $(n - 2)e \leq n$. Hence we have $(e - 1)n \leq 2e = 2(e - 1) + 2$, and thus

$$n \leq 2 + \frac{2}{e - 1}.$$

On the other hand, we have $e \leq \tau e = n$. Hence we have $n = 3$ if $e = 3$ and $2 \leq n \leq 4$ if $e = 2$. If $(n, e) = (3, 3)$ or $(4, 2)$, we have $K_M + (n - 2)\mathcal{E} = 0$, and this is a special case of the case (17) of Theorem 1.3. If $(n, e) = (3, 2)$, setting $A = -(K_M + \mathcal{E})$, we see that $K_M + 3A = 0$. Theorem 2.4 (2) then implies that $(M, A) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^3, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Thus we have $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(2)$. This is a special case of the case (15) of Theorem 1.3. If $(n, e) = (2, 2)$, M is a Del Pezzo surface with $\rho(M) = 1$, and thus $M \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ by the classification. This contradicts $l(R) = n$. Hence this case does not occur.

Suppose that $l(R_1) = n - 1$. Then it follows from (12.1) that $LC_1 = 2$, that $r = 1$, that $\tau = 1$, and that $\tau r = n - 2$. Hence we have $n = 3$. Therefore we have $K_M + L = 0$. This is a special case of the case (17) of Theorem 1.3.

13. PRELIMINARIES FOR THE CASE $\dim S = 0$

In this section, we will recall some of the results in [54], [17], and [48] in the form useful in the following context.

First recall a remarkable argument in [48, §4]; since the statement (2) in the following lemma is not stated in this form in [48, §4], we attach its proof. We also make a little modification applicable to a Brauer-Severi scheme, i.e., a projective space bundle in the étale topology.

Lemma 13.1. *Let P be a Fano manifold, and suppose that $\overline{NE}(P)$ has two different extremal rays R_π and R_1 . Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be the contraction morphism of R_π , and $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ that of R_1 . Suppose that every closed fiber of π is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{r-1} , and that M is an n -dimensional Fano manifold of Picard number one. If φ has an n -dimensional closed fiber $\varphi^{-1}(z)$, then $f : W \rightarrow A_z$ denoting the normalization of an n -dimensional irreducible component A_z of $\varphi^{-1}(z)$, the composite $(\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f : W \rightarrow M$ of $\pi|_{A_z}$ and f is an isomorphism.*

Proof. First note that $(\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f : W \rightarrow M$ is finite by Lemma 8.1. Moreover it is surjective since $\dim W = n = \dim M$. Let T be the singular locus of W and S the image of T via $(\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f$. We show first that $(\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f$ is not ramified over $M \setminus S$; let $h : W \setminus ((\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f)^{-1}(S) \rightarrow M \setminus S$ be the restricted morphism and R the ramification divisor of h . Since $(\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f$ is finite and surjective, S has codimension ≥ 2 in M ; noting $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we can apply [33, Lemma 2] and find out that a general extremal rational curve C does not meet S . We may also assume that C is not contained in $h(R)$; since $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $C \cap S = \emptyset$, we see that $C \cap h(R)$ is a finite set and not empty if R is not. A fiber of h over a point in $C \cap h(R)$ consists of fewer points than a fiber of h over a point in $C \setminus h(R)$ does. On the other hand, any morphism from a

rational scroll, morphism which is not finite and does not contract any fiber of the projection of the scroll, has a positive dimensional fiber consisting of a disjoint union of sections and isolated points. Let $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow M$ be the composite of the normalization $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$ and the inclusion $C \hookrightarrow M$. Then $\mathbb{P}^1 \times_M P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a scroll, i.e., a \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundle in the Zariski topology, since $H^2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}^\times) = 0$. Hence the function $C \ni x \mapsto \#\{\pi^{-1}(x) \cap A_z\}$ is upper-semicontinuous on C . Thus $C \ni x \mapsto \#h^{-1}(x)$ is also upper-semicontinuous, and therefore R is empty. Hence h is étale.

By the purity of the branch locus, $(\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f$ is also étale and W is smooth. Since M is simply connected by [34], we infer that $(\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f$ is birational; by Zariski Main Theorem, we conclude that $(\pi|_{A_z}) \circ f$ is an isomorphism. \square

The following lemma is a slight modification of [48, Prop. 4.2].

Lemma 13.2. *Let M be a Fano manifold of Picard number one, and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Denote by P the projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, by $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ the projection, and by L the tautological line bundle $H(\mathcal{E})$. Let R_π be the extremal ray corresponding to π . Suppose that there exists a contraction morphism $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ of an extremal ray R_1 different from R_π , and that general fibers of φ have dimension $< n$, and that φ has also an n -dimensional fiber $\varphi^{-1}(z)$.*

- (1) *If $-K_P - (n-1)L$ is φ -nef, then $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ or \mathbb{Q} .*
- (2) *Moreover if $-K_P - nL$ is φ -nef, then $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.*

Proof. As in Lemma 13.1, let $f : W \rightarrow A_z$ denote the normalization of an n -dimensional irreducible component A_z of $\varphi^{-1}(z)$. Then $W \cong M$ by Lemma 13.1 and thus W is smooth. Hence we have $h^n(W, tf^*(L|_{A_z})) = 0$ for all $t \geq -(n-1)$ by [54, Lemma 4], since $-K_P - (n-1)L$ is φ -nef. By Kodaira vanishing we also have $h^i(W, tf^*(L|_{A_z})) = 0$ for all $i < n$ and $t < 0$. Furthermore we have $h^i(W, \mathcal{O}) = h^i(M, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for $i > 0$ since M is Fano. Hence the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(W, tf^*(L|_{A_z}))$ is of the form

$$\chi(W, tf^*(L|_{A_z})) = \frac{d_0}{n!} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (t+k) \right) \left(t + \frac{n}{d_0} \right)$$

where $d_0 = \deg f^*(L|_{A_z})$; thus we have $\chi(W, f^*(L|_{A_z})) = d_0 + n$. Since W is Fano and hence $\chi(W, f^*(L|_{A_z})) = h^0(W, f^*(L|_{A_z}))$, we infer that Fujita's Δ -genus of $(W, f^*(L|_{A_z}))$ is zero. Note here that the Picard number of W is one since that of M is so; we therefore conclude that W is isomorphic to either \mathbb{P}^n or \mathbb{Q}^n . Thus $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ or \mathbb{Q}^n .

If $-K_P - nL$ is φ -nef, we see that $\chi(W, -nf^*(L|_{A_z})) = 0$ by [54, Lemma 4]; thus the same argument as above implies that $d_0 = 1$ and $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. \square

Recall the following lemma of Fujita [16, (2.12) Lemma].

Lemma 13.3. *Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be a proper surjective morphism from a manifold P onto a normal variety N with equidimensional fibers. Let L be a φ -ample line bundle on P and suppose that $(F, L|_F) \cong (\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}(1))$ for a general fiber F of φ . Then N is nonsingular and φ makes (P, L) a scroll over N .*

The following is due to Fujita [17, (2.2) Theorem] and Ye-Zhang [54, Lemma 4].

Lemma 13.4. *Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be a contraction morphism of an extremal ray R_1 from a manifold P onto a normal variety N . Let L be a φ -ample line bundle on P and suppose that $(K_P + sL) \cdot R_1 = 0$ for some positive integer s . Let A_z be*

an s -dimensional irreducible component of an s -dimensional fiber $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ of φ and suppose that a general fiber of φ has dimension $< s$. Then we have $(W, f^*(L|_{A_z})) \cong (\mathbb{P}^s, \mathcal{O}(1))$, where $f : W \rightarrow A_z$ is the normalization.

Proof. Let $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ be a desingularization and let $g : \tilde{W} \rightarrow A_z$ be the composite of f and this desingularization. Then we have, by [54, Lemma 4], that $h^s(\tilde{W}, -tg^*(L|_{A_z})) = 0$ for all $t \leq s$ since $(K_P + sL).R_1 = 0$. Hence, applying Fujita's theorem [17, (2.2) Theorem], we conclude that $(W, f^*(L|_{A_z})) \cong (\mathbb{P}^s, \mathcal{O}(1))$. \square

14. THE CASE $l(R_1) = n$

To deal with the case $l(R_1) = n$, we will use the following:

Lemma 14.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional projective manifold, and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Denote by $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M$ the projection, and by L the tautological line bundle $H(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. Assume that $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that there exists a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle $\varphi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow N$ onto an r -dimensional projective manifold N such that $\varphi|_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$ is finite for every point $x \in M$ and $L|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$ for every fiber F of φ . Then $r = n$ and $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} denote φ_*L . Then \mathcal{F} is a vector bundle of rank n . Moreover \mathcal{F} is ample because $H(\mathcal{F}) = L$. Note that $\text{Pic } N \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by P the projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ over M .

Since

$$-rL + \pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}) = K_P = -nL + \varphi^*(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}),$$

we have $n - r = \varphi^*(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l = (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).\varphi_*(l)$, where l denotes a line in a fiber of π . Note that $l \rightarrow \varphi(l)$ is birational because $L.l = 1$. Thus $-K_N.\varphi(l) = \det \mathcal{F}.\varphi(l) + r - n \geq r$.

Since the condition is symmetric with respect to π and φ , we may assume that $r \leq n$. Denote by τ the nef value $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E})$ of the polarized manifold $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$. The condition $r \leq n$ implies that $\tau \geq 1$, since $L|_F = \mathcal{O}(1)$ for a fiber F of φ .

We will assume that $r \leq n - 1$, and derive a contradiction.

We claim here that $-K_N.\varphi(l) \leq r + 1$. Assume, to the contrary, that $-K_N.\varphi(l) \geq r + 2$. Then $\varphi(l)$ can be deformed to a sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\delta} l_i$ of at least two rational curves l_i 's (some of which may be equal) ($i = 1, \dots, \delta, \delta \geq 2$) such that $-K_N.l_i \leq r + 1$ by Mori's theorem [41, Theorem 4]. Thus

$$n - r = (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).\varphi(l) = \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i \geq \delta(-r - 1 + n).$$

Hence $(\delta - 1)(n - r) \leq \delta$. Since $r \leq n - 1$, we have $1 \leq n - r \leq 1 + (1/(\delta - 1)) \leq 2$. If $n - r = 1$, then $1 = (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).\varphi(l) = \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} (K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i$, which is a contradiction because $\text{Pic } N \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and so $K_N + \det \mathcal{F}$ is ample. Hence $n - r = 2$, $\delta = 2$, $(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}).l_i = 1$, $n = \det \mathcal{F}.l_i$, and $-K_N.l_i = r + 1$; thus we have $K_N + (r + 1)(K_N + \det \mathcal{F}) = 0$. Applying Theorem 2.4 (1), we infer that $(N, K_N + \det \mathcal{F}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Therefore $\det \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(r + 2) = \mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n}$ by Theorem 2.3 (1). This means that π is \mathbb{P}^r -bundle, which contradicts the assumption that \mathcal{E} has rank r .

By the claim above, we have two cases: $(-K_N.\varphi(l), \det \mathcal{F}.\varphi(l)) = (r + 1, n + 1)$ and $(-K_N.\varphi(l), \det \mathcal{F}.\varphi(l)) = (r, n)$. Let X denote $P \times_N l$, $\varphi_l : X \rightarrow l$ the

projection, and π_X the composite of π and the projection $X \rightarrow P$. Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be the projective morphism with connected fibers onto a normal projective variety Y determined by $|H(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_l(-1))|$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y & \xleftarrow{g} & X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_l} & l \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\
 & & \downarrow \pi & & \\
 & & M & &
 \end{array}$$

Since there exists a section \tilde{l} of φ_l such that $H(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_l(-1)) \cdot \tilde{l} = 0$ and $\pi_X(\tilde{l})$ is a point, we obtain a unique finite morphism $h : Y \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi_X = h \circ g$.

We will show that $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.

Suppose that $\det \mathcal{F} \cdot \varphi(l) = n + 1$. Then $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$. Hence we have $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ by Lazarsfeld's theorem [36, Theorem 4.1].

Suppose that $\det \mathcal{F} \cdot \varphi(l) = n$; denoting by F a fiber of π , we infer that $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n}$ by Theorem 2.3 (1). The following argument is inspired by [19, §4 (b.2)]. Set $D_N = \varphi(F)$ and $D_P = \varphi^{-1}(D_N)$. Note here that both D_N and D_P are prime divisors. Since $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow F$ has a section contracted by the composite $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow P \rightarrow M$, we see that $\pi(D_P)$ is also a prime divisor. This implies that $0 = D_P \cdot l = \varphi^* D_N \cdot l = D_N \cdot \varphi(l)$. On the other hand, since $\text{Pic } N \cong \mathbb{Z}$, D_N is ample; thus $D_N \cdot \varphi(l) > 0$. This is a contradiction, and this case does not occur.

Let C_0 be a line on $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Set $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(r + \alpha)$ for some integer $\alpha \geq 0$. Let C_1 be a line in a fiber \mathbb{P}^{n-1} of φ . Since $L \cdot C_1 = 1$, $C_1 \rightarrow \pi(C_1)$ is birational. Moreover it follows from Corollary 11.1 that we may assume that $\pi(C_1)$ is a line in $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Proposition 8.7 then implies that $\alpha = 1$. Therefore \mathcal{E} is a uniform vector bundle, and we infer that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ by Theorem 2.3. This implies that φ is birational. This is a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that $r = n$.

Finally, if $r = n$, we see that $K_M + \det \mathcal{E} = 0$. Hence we infer that $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ by the argument [19, §4] or [47, §2, Main case 1, Subcase A]. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 14.2. Note that we do not use Theorem 2.2 in the proof above; the proof above remains the original argument in [43]. If we apply Theorem 2.2, we can give a much shorter proof of Lemma 14.1 by the similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 18.3.

Applying Lemma 14.1, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 14.3. *Let M be a Fano manifold of Picard number one and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Denote by τ the nef value $\tau(M, \det \mathcal{E})$ of the polarized manifold $(M, \det \mathcal{E})$. Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$. Let R, L, R_1, C_1 , and $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be as in § 8, and suppose that $L \cdot C_1 = 1$. Suppose that the length $l(R_1)$ of R_1 is equal to n . Then we have one of the following:*

- (1) $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$ and $\tau r = n$;
- (2) $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$;
- (3) $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ and $\tau r = n$.

Proof. Suppose that φ has an n -dimensional fiber $\varphi^{-1}(z)$. Then Lemmas 9.2 (1) and 8.8 implies that $\pi(C_1)$ is a minimal extremal rational curve in R . Therefore we have $l(R) = l(R_1) + (\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) - rL.C_1)$ by Lemma 8.7. Let W be the normalization of an n -dimensional irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}(z)$. Then $W \cong M$ via π by Lemma 13.1.

Suppose moreover that a general fiber F of φ is n -dimensional. By taking $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ as a general fiber, we may assume that $F = W$. Since $l(R_1) = n$ and $L.C_1 = 1$, we have $(K_P + nL).C_1 = 0$; thus $K_F + nL|_F = 0$. Hence $(F, L|_F) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by Theorem 2.4 (2). Therefore we have $\mathbb{Q}^n = F = W \cong M$ via π ; thus $\pi(C_1)$ is a line in $M \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$. Since $l(R) = n$ and $l(R_1) = n$, we have $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) - rL.C_1 = 0$. Thus we have $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = r$ since $L.C_1 = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ by [51, Lemma 3.6.1]. This is the case (1) of the theorem.

Suppose moreover that a general fiber of φ has dimension $< n$. We have $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ by Lemma 13.2 (2); thus $\pi(C_1)$ is a line in $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Since $l(R) = n + 1$, we have $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) - rL.C_1 = 1$. The assumption $L.C_1 = 1$ then implies that $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = r + 1$. Note here that $n = l(R_1) \geq \tau r \geq r$ by (8.3) in § 8, since $\tau \geq 1$ and $L.C_1 = 1$. Now that φ has an n -dimensional fiber, this implies that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ by Theorem 2.3. This is the case (2) of the theorem.

Suppose that φ has no n -dimensional fibers. Then it follows from inequality (8.1) that φ is of fiber type and that every fiber of φ is $(n - 1)$ -dimensional. Since $(K_P + nL).C_1 = 0$, we have $(F, L|_F) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(1))$ for a general fiber of φ . Moreover Lemma 13.3 implies that φ makes (P, L) a scroll over an r -dimensional manifold N . Now it follows from Lemma 14.1 that $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$. This is the case (3) of the theorem. \square

Finally we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *Let M be a Fano manifold of Picard number one and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Let L , R_1 , and C_1 be as in § 8, and set $L.C_1 = l$. If $l(R_1) = n$, then (M, \mathcal{E}) is one of the following:*

- (1) $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(l)^{\oplus r})$;
- (2) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(l)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(l+1))$;
- (3) $(\mathbb{P}^n, T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(l-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(l)^{\oplus(r-n)})$ ($r \geq n$).

15. SOME MODIFICATIONS

The following is a slight modification of [48, Proposition 3.5].

Proposition 15.1. *Let \mathcal{E} be an ample vector bundle of rank r on a projective manifold M of dimension n . Suppose that $r \leq n - 1$.*

- (1) *If $M = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(r + 2)$, then one of the following holds:*
 - (a) $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3)$;
 - (b) $r \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2}$;
 - (c) $n = 3$ and $\mathcal{E} \cong N(2)$ where N is a null-correlation bundle.
- (2) *If $M = \mathbb{Q}^n$ and $\det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(r + 1)$, then one of the following holds:*
 - (a) $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$;
 - (b) $n = 3$, $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbf{E}(2)$ where \mathbf{E} is a spinor bundle over \mathbb{Q}^3 ;
 - (c) $n = 4$ and $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbf{E}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus i}$ ($i = 0, 1$) where \mathbf{E} is a spinor bundle of rank 2 over \mathbb{Q}^4 .

Proof. We owe to [37] the idea of the following proof. Let \mathcal{E}' be $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-1-r)}$. After applying [48, Proposition 3.5] to \mathcal{E}' , we recover \mathcal{E} from \mathcal{E}' and we obtain the proposition. \square

Next, we will improve Proposition (1.1) in [48].

Proposition 15.2. *Let P be a proper normal variety and L a line bundle on P . Suppose that there exist coprime positive integers p and q such that $L^{\otimes p}$ and $L^{\otimes q}$ are spanned, and assume that the image of the map $\Phi_{|L^{\otimes p}|} : P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is at most of dimension k and that $\dim H^i(P, L^{\otimes t}) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $t \geq -k + 1$. Then L is spanned and defines a map $\Phi_{|L|} : P \rightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ with connected fibers onto a normal polarized variety (Y, \mathcal{M}) , i.e., $\Phi_{|L|}^* \mathcal{M} = L$, of Fujita's Δ -genus $\Delta(Y, \mathcal{M}) = 0$. Moreover Y has dimension either $k - 1$ or k , and*

(1) *if $\dim Y = k$ then the Hilbert polynomial of (P, L) is of the form*

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (t+i) \right\} (dt+k),$$

where $d = \mathcal{M}^k$;

(2) *if $\dim Y = k - 1$ then $(Y, \mathcal{M}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{k-1}, \mathcal{O}(1))$.*

Proof. Put $Y = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^0(L^{\otimes t})$. Since L is semi-ample, L induces a natural morphism $\phi : P = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} L^{\otimes t} \rightarrow \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^0(L^{\otimes t})$. Moreover Y is a normal projective variety and ϕ has connected fibers because P is normal (see, for example, [40, Prop 1.4]). Since $L^{\otimes p}$ is spanned, $\mathcal{O}_Y(p)$ is an ample line bundle on Y and we have $L^{\otimes p} = \phi^* \mathcal{O}_Y(p)$. We also have $L^{\otimes q} = \phi^* \mathcal{O}_Y(q)$ because $L^{\otimes q}$ is also spanned. (Note that $\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^0(L^{\otimes t})$ is not a priori generated by elements in $H^0(L)$ of degree one, and thus we cannot assume at first that $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ is invertible.) Let a and b be integers such that $ap + bq = 1$, and let \mathcal{M} denote the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(ap) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(bq)$. Then $L = \phi^* \mathcal{M}$. Since $\phi_* \mathcal{O}_P = \mathcal{O}_Y$, we have $\mathcal{O}_Y(p) = \mathcal{M}^{\otimes p}$. Hence we infer that \mathcal{M} is ample.

Let us consider the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(t) := \chi(P, tL)$. By assumption we see that Y is of dimension at most k . Hence $c_1(L)^{k+1}$ is numerical trivial, and therefore the degree of $\chi(t)$ is at most k .

Suppose that $\deg \chi(t) = k$. Then, from the vanishing of $\chi(t)$ for $t = -k + 1, \dots, -1$ and from $\chi(0) = 1$ we have

$$\chi(t) = \frac{d}{k!} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (t+i) \right\} \left(t + \frac{k}{d} \right)$$

for some positive integer d . Also by the vanishing of the higher cohomology, we see that $\dim H^0(P, L^{\otimes t}) = \chi(t)$ for $t \geq 0$. Since $H^0(P, L^{\otimes t}) \cong H^0(Y, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes t})$ for all t , $\chi(t)$ is also the Hilbert polynomial of (Y, \mathcal{M}) ; $\dim Y = k$ and $d = \mathcal{M}^k$. Now we have $h^0(Y, \mathcal{M}) = h^0(P, L) = d + k$. Hence $\Delta(Y, \mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Suppose that $\deg \chi(t) < k$. Then, from the vanishing of $\chi(t)$ for $t = -k + 1, \dots, -1$ and from $\chi(0) = 1$ we have

$$\chi(t) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (t+i).$$

In particular, we see that $\deg \chi(t) = k - 1$. For the same reason as above, $\chi(t)$ is also the Hilbert polynomial of (Y, \mathcal{M}) ; in this case we have $\dim Y = k - 1$

and $1 = \mathcal{M}^{k-1}$. Now we have $h^0(Y, \mathcal{M}) = h^0(P, L) = k$. Hence $\Delta(Y, \mathcal{M}) = 0$. Therefore $(Y, \mathcal{M}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{k-1}, \mathcal{O}(1))$.

In both cases, we see that \mathcal{M} is very ample. Hence L is spanned and the statement follows. \square

The following Corollary 15.3 (1) is nothing but Corollary (1.2) in [48]. Corollary 15.3 (2) is a modification of Corollary (1.3) in [48]. Corollary 15.3 (2) will be used in the proof of Proposition 18.2 and in § 19. Corollary 15.3 (3) will not be used in this paper.

Corollary 15.3. *Let M be an n -dimensional projective manifold, and \mathcal{E} a rank- r vector bundle on M . Assume that $H^i(M, \mathcal{O}_M) = 0$ for $i > 0$, that $H(\mathcal{E})^{\otimes m}$ is a spanned line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for all $m \gg 0$, and that $H^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E})^{\otimes t}) = 0$ for $i > 0, t > 0$.*

- (1) *If $c_1(H(\mathcal{E}))^r = 0$, then $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$.*
- (2) *If $c_1(H(\mathcal{E}))^{r+1} = 0$, then \mathcal{E} is spanned. Suppose moreover that $h^i(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $i < n$. Then $h^n(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 1$ or 0 and $h^n(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 1$ if and only if $c_1(H(\mathcal{E}))^r = 0$. Furthermore in case $h^n(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 0$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = r + 1$, and thus \mathcal{E} fits into the following exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E})^* \rightarrow \det \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

- (3) *If $c_1(H(\mathcal{E}))^{r+2} = 0$ and $h^i(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 0$ for all i , then \mathcal{E} is spanned.*

Proof. Put $P = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and $L = H(\mathcal{E})$.

Suppose that we are in (1) or (2). In these cases, we set $k = r$. The vanishing of $H^i(P, L^{\otimes t})$ for $-k + 1 \leq t \leq -1$ and $i > 0$ follows from Leray's spectral sequence. Since $L^{\otimes m}$ is spanned for all $m \gg 0$ and $L^{r+1} = 0$ in both cases (1) and (2), we now apply Proposition 15.2 to see that L is spanned and that the image Y of the morphism determined by L has dimension $k - 1$ or k . Therefore \mathcal{E} is spanned.

(1) If $L^r = 0$, then $\dim Y < k$, and again by Proposition 15.2, we infer that $h^0(L) = k$ and thus $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = r$. Therefore \mathcal{E} is trivial.

(2) Let $\chi(t)$ denote the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(P, tL)$ of (P, L) . Suppose that $h^i(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $i < n$. By Serre duality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^i(P, L^{\otimes (-k)}) &= h^{n+r-1-i}(P, K_P \otimes L^{\otimes k}) = h^{n+r-1-i}(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq r - 1, \\ h^n(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) & \text{if } i = r - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\chi(-k) = (-1)^{r-1} h^n(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E})$. On the other hand, we have, by Proposition 15.2 and its proof,

$$\chi(-k) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-1}(-d+1) & \text{if } \dim Y = k, \\ (-1)^{k-1} & \text{if } \dim Y = k - 1. \end{cases}$$

where $d = \deg Y$. Therefore $h^n(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 1$ if and only if $\dim Y = k - 1$, i.e., $L^k = 0$. Moreover if $h^n(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 0$ then $d = 1$ and $\dim Y = k$, and thus Proposition 15.2 implies $(Y, \mathcal{M}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^k, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Hence $k + 1 = h^0(\mathcal{M}) = h^0(L) = h^0(\mathcal{E})$.

Suppose that we are in (3). In this case we set $k = r + 1$. The vanishing of $H^i(P, L^{\otimes t})$ for $-k + 2 \leq t \leq -1$ and $i > 0$ follows from Leray's spectral sequence.

By Serre duality and the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^i(P, L^{\otimes(-k+1)}) &= h^{n+r-1-i}(P, K_P \otimes L^{\otimes k-1}) \\ &= h^{n+r-1-i}(M, K_M \otimes \det \mathcal{E}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L^{\otimes m}$ is spanned for all $m \gg 0$ and $L^{r+2} = 0$, we apply Proposition 15.2 to see that L is spanned. Therefore \mathcal{E} is spanned. This completes the proof. \square

16. LEMMAS FOR THE CASE φ IS OF FIBER TYPE

Lemma 16.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional Fano manifold of Picard number one and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Let $\tau, \pi : P \rightarrow M$, L, R_1, C_1 , and $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be as in § 8. In particular assume that $-K_P$ is ample. Suppose that a general fiber of φ is n -dimensional. Then $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_M(D)^{\oplus r}$, where $\mathcal{O}_M(D)$ is an ample line bundle on M . Moreover if $L.C_1 = 1$ then $\mathcal{O}_M(D)$ is the ample generator $\mathcal{O}_M(1)$ of $\text{Pic } M$, the Fano index of M is $l(R_1)$, and $l(R_1) = \tau r$.*

Proof. Let F be a general fiber F of φ . It follows from Lemma 13.1 that $F \cong M$ via π . Let $\mathcal{O}_M(D)$ be the line bundle corresponding to $L|_F$ via $F \cong M$. For a curve l on M , letting \tilde{l} be a curve in F corresponding to l , we see that $H(\mathcal{E}(-D)) \cdot \tilde{l} = 0$. Hence $H(\mathcal{E}(-D))$ is nef and is a supporting divisor for R_1 (or φ). This implies that there exists a positive integer m_0 such that $H(\mathcal{E}(-D))^{\otimes m}$ is spanned for all integers $m \geq m_0$; by Corollary 15.3 (1), we infer that $\mathcal{E}(-D) \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$. Hence $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_M(D)^{\oplus r}$. Now we may think that C_1 is contained in F . If $L.C_1 = 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_M(D)$ is the ample generator $\mathcal{O}_M(1)$ of $\text{Pic } M$. Moreover if $L.C_1 = 1$, then $K_F + l(R_1)L|_F = 0$, and thus $K_M + l(R_1)\mathcal{O}_M(1) = 0$. Hence the Fano index of M is $l(R_1)$. Note finally that this also implies that $l(R_1) = \tau r$ since $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_M(1)^{\oplus r}$. \square

The idea of the following lemma comes from [19, §4 (b.2)].

Lemma 16.2. *Let M be an n -dimensional Fano manifold of Picard number one and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, L , and $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be as in § 8. Suppose that φ is of fiber type. If a general fiber W of φ has dimension $< n$, then \mathcal{E}_W cannot be isomorphic to $L_W^{\oplus r}$.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{E}_W is isomorphic to $L_W^{\oplus r}$. Note that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_W) \rightarrow W$ has a section W_s induced from the inclusion $W \hookrightarrow P$. Set $P_W = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_W)$. Since W_s is contracted by $P_W \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow N$, it follows from $\mathcal{E}_W \cong L_W^{\oplus r}$ that P_W is contracted to a variety of dimension $r - 1$. Fix one W , and denote it by W_0 . Set $M_0 = \pi(W_0)$ and $P_0 = \pi^{-1}(M_0)$. We have $\dim \varphi(P_0) = r - 1$.

Set $t = \dim M_0$. Note that $t = \dim W_0$ and thus $\dim N = n + r - 1 - t$. Hence we have $\text{codim}(M_0, M) = n - t = \text{codim}(\varphi(P_0), N)$. Since $\dim W_0 < n$ by assumption, we have $t < n$. Therefore $\varphi(P_0)$ is a proper subset of N .

If $t = n - 1$, then M_0 is an ample divisor since $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have $0 < \pi^* M_0 \cdot e = P_0 \cdot e$ for any curve e in a fiber of φ . On the other hand, we have $P_0 \cdot e = 0$ if e lies in a fiber over $N \setminus \varphi(P_0)$. This is a contradiction.

Suppose that $t \leq n - 2$. Set $N_0 = \varphi(P_0)$. Take a general curve Y_1 such that Y_1 is not contained in N_0 . Denote by W_1 the irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}(Y_1)$ which dominates Y_1 . Set $M_1 = \pi(W_1)$. Then $\dim M_1 = \dim W_0 + 1$. Set $P_1 = \pi^{-1}(M_1)$ and $N_1 = \varphi(P_1)$. Then a general fiber of $P_1 \rightarrow N_1$ is t -dimensional. Hence $\text{codim}(N_1, N) = \text{codim}(P_1, P) = \text{codim}(M_1, M) = n - t - 1$. Next take a general surface Y_2 such that Y_2 is not contained in N_1 . Denote by

W_2 the irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}(Y_2)$ which dominates Y_2 . Set $M_2 = \pi(W_2)$. Then $\dim M_2 = \dim W_0 + 2$. Set $P_2 = \pi^{-1}(M_2)$ and $N_2 = \varphi(P_2)$. Then a general fiber of $P_2 \rightarrow N_2$ is t -dimensional. Hence $\text{codim}(N_2, N) = \text{codim}(P_2, P) = \text{codim}(M_2, M) = n - t - 2$. Likewise we can repeat this procedure inductively, so that if we take a general $(n - t - 1)$ -dimensional variety Y_{n-t-1} , letting W_{n-t-1} be the irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}(Y_{n-t-1})$ which dominates Y_{n-t-1} , and setting $M_{n-t-1} = \pi(W_{n-t-1})$, $P_{n-t-1} = \pi^{-1}(M_{n-t-1})$, and $N_{n-t-1} = \varphi(P_{n-t-1})$, we see that $\text{codim}(M_{n-t-1}, M) = 1 = \text{codim}(N_{n-t-1}, N)$. Since M_{n-t-1} is ample, we have $0 < \pi^* M_{n-t-1}.e = P_{n-t-1}.e = 0$ for a curve e in a fiber over $N \setminus N_{n-t-1}$. This is a contradiction. \square

17. COMPARISON LEMMA FOR $l(R_1) = n - 1$

In this section, we will follow the notation in § 9.

Corollary 17.1. *Suppose that $l(R_1) = n - 1$, that $L.C_1 = 1$, that $\tau \geq 1$, and that φ has no n -dimensional fibers. Then $\pi(C_1)$ is a minimal extremal rational curve in R unless the following holds:*

(*) $n = 4$, $r = 3$, $\tau = 1$, φ is of fiber type, every fiber of φ has a two dimensional irreducible component F such that $\dim p_x(V_x) \cap F = 0$ (some special fiber of φ might have a 3-dimensional irreducible component F' such that $p_x(V_x) \cap F' = \emptyset$).

Proof. Note first that $r \geq 2$ since φ has no n -dimensional fibers.

By Lemma 8.8, it is enough to show that there exists an unsplit rational curve C'_1 belonging to R_1 and dominating a minimal extremal rational curve C_0 . Assume, to the contrary, that no unsplit rational curve dominating a minimal extremal rational curve in R belongs to R_1 , i.e., is contracted by φ . Let C'_1 be an unsplit rational curve dominating a minimal extremal rational curve in R . Then, by Lemma 9.2 (2), we may assume that $p_x(V_x) \rightarrow \varphi(p_x(V_x))$ is finite. Hence we have

$$\dim N \geq \dim \varphi(p_x(V_x)) = \dim p_x(V_x) = \dim V_x \geq \tau r L.C'_1 + r - 2$$

by Lemma 9.2 (4) and (5), since $\tau \geq 1$.

Suppose that φ is of fiber type. Since $l(R_1) = n - 1$, inequality (8.1) in § 8 implies that every fiber of φ has dimension $\geq n - 2$. Hence we have $r + 1 \geq \dim N$, and thus $3 \geq \tau r L.C'_1 \geq 2$. Therefore $L.C'_1 = 1$, $3 \geq \tau r \geq 2$, and $r = 2$ or 3 .

Suppose that $r = 2$ and that φ is of fiber type. If $\tau = 1$, we have $n - 1 = l(R_1) = r L.C_1 = 2$ by (8.3) in § 8, since $L.C_1 = 1$. Hence $n = 3$. In this case, we have $\pi(C_1) = C_0$ by [52], and thus there exists an unsplit rational curve C_1 dominating C_0 and contracted by φ , which contradicts the assumption. Hence $\tau > 1$. Then we have $\tau r > 2$, and thus $r + 1 = \dim N = \dim \varphi(p_x(V_x))$. Hence a general fiber of φ has dimension $n - 2$. Let F be a general fiber of φ . Since $L.C_1 = 1$, we have $(K_P + (n - 1)L).C_1 = 0$, and thus $K_F + (n - 1)L_F = 0$. Theorem 2.4 (1) then implies that $(F, L_F) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Note here that $n - 1 = l(R_1) \geq \tau r > 2$ by (8.3) in § 8, and thus $n \geq 4$ and $\dim F \geq 2$. Since $L_F = \mathcal{O}(1)$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_F(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

for some integer α . Since F is a projective space of dimension ≥ 2 , this exact sequence must split: $\mathcal{E}_F(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}(\alpha) \oplus \mathcal{O}$. Since \mathcal{E}_F is ample, we have $\alpha \geq 0$. Moreover Lemma 16.2 implies that $\alpha > 0$. Hence the restriction of φ to $\pi^{-1}(\pi(F))$ is the composite of a birational morphism and a finite morphism, and hence $r + 1 =$

$\dim N \geq \dim \pi^{-1}(\pi(F)) = n - 1$. Since $n \geq 4$, this implies that $n = 4$. Set $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r + a$ for a minimal extremal rational curve C_0 in R . Then inequality (5.2) in § 5 shows that $0 \leq a \leq l(R) - \tau r < 5 - 2$ since $\tau > 1$. Hence $0 \leq a \leq 2$. Moreover if $a = 2$ then $l(R) = n + 1$ and thus $M \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ by Theorem 2.2. Then Proposition 15.1 implies that \mathcal{E} is either $\mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2}$ or $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(3)$. This, however, contradicts the fact that φ has no n -dimensional fibers. Hence $a \leq 1$. Suppose that $a = 1$. If $l(R) = n + 1$, then we see that $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ by Theorem 2.2, which contradicts that φ has no n -dimensional fibers. Hence $l(R) = 4$. Putting $A = -K_M - \det \mathcal{E}$, we see that $A.C_0 = 1$. Thus A is ample and $K_M + 4A = 0$ because the Picard number of M is one. Hence $(M, A) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by Theorem 2.4 (2). Now we apply Proposition 15.1 to know that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbf{E}(2)$ where \mathbf{E} is a spinor bundle because φ is of fiber type. In this case, φ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle and every fiber of φ is mapped to a plane in \mathbb{Q}^4 by π . Hence there exists an unsplit rational curve C_1 dominating C_0 and belonging to R_1 , which contradicts the assumption. Suppose that $a = 0$. Then $l(R) = \tau \det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = \tau r$. Since $3 \geq \tau r > 2$, we have $\tau r = 3$ and $\tau = 3/2$. Now it follows from (8.2) in § 8 that $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1) = 2 = r$, which implies that $\pi(C_1)$ is a minimal extremal rational curve in R . Therefore there exists an unsplit rational curve C_1 belonging to R_1 and dominating a minimal extremal rational curve in R . This contradicts the assumption.

Suppose that $r = 3$ and that φ is of fiber type. Since $3 \geq \tau r$, we have $\tau = 1$. Then (8.3) in § 8 implies that $n - 1 = l(R_1) = rL.C_1 = 3$. Hence $n = 4$. Since $\tau r > 2$, we have $r + 1 = \dim N = \dim \varphi(p_x(V_x))$ as above, and thus every fiber of φ has an irreducible component F of dimension $n - 2$ such that $\dim p_x(V_x) \cap F = 0$. This is the case (*) of the corollary.

Suppose that φ is birational. Since $l(R_1) = n - 1$ and φ has no n -dimensional fibers, we see, by inequality (8.1) in § 8, that φ is divisorial and that every positive dimensional fiber has dimension $n - 1$. Denote by E the exceptional divisor of φ . Theorem 6.1 then implies that N is smooth and φ is the blowing up along a submanifold $\varphi(E)$ of N . Therefore we have $K_P = \varphi^*(K_N) + (n - 1)E$.

Note here that $\pi(E) = M$ since every non-zero effective divisor on M is ample. Therefore a general fiber $\pi|_E$ has dimension $r - 2$.

Let l be a general line in a general fiber of π ; note that l is not contained in E . We claim here that E intersects l in only one point. The idea of this claim is due to [48, Lemma (7.2)]. Since E defines an ample divisor of a general fiber of π , we see first that $E \cap l$ is a non-empty finite set. Second we show that $-K_N.\varphi(l) \leq \dim N + 1$; suppose, to the contrary, that $-K_N.\varphi(l) \geq \dim N + 2$. Then for general two points p, q of $\varphi(l)$, we can deform $\varphi(l)$ to form a 1-dimensional family of curves all of which pass through p and q . Since φ is birational, this family can be lifted up to a family on P , which implies that l can break. This is a contradiction. Hence $-K_N.\varphi(l) \leq \dim N + 1$. Now we have

$$E.l = \frac{K_P.l - \varphi^*(K_N).l}{n - 1} \leq \frac{-r + n + r - 1 + 1}{n - 1} = 1 + \frac{1}{n - 1}.$$

Note here that $n - 1 = l(R_1) \geq \tau rL.C_1 \geq r \geq 2$ by (8.3) in § 8, since $\tau \geq 1$. Hence we have $E.l = 1$. Therefore a general fiber of $\pi|_E$ is a hyperplane of a fiber of π .

Suppose that $\pi|_E$ has an $(r - 1)$ -dimensional fiber $\pi^{-1}(x_1)$. Then it dominates $\varphi(E)$. Since $\varphi(E)$ is smooth, Lazarsfeld's theorem [36, Theorem 4.1] implies that $\varphi(E) \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Lemma 13.1 then implies that the $\pi^{-1}(x_1)$ is isomorphic to $\varphi(E)$ via $\varphi|_E$. Denote by \mathcal{F} the vector bundle $(\varphi|_E)_*(L|_E)$ of rank n on $\varphi(E)$. We

have $E \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$ over $\varphi(E)$ and $L|_E \cong H(\mathcal{F})$, and thus \mathcal{F} is ample. Let l denote a line in the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x_1)$ by abuse of notation. We see that $K_E.l = -(r-1)$ and that $K_E = -nL|_E + (\varphi|_E)^*(K_{\varphi(E)} + \det \mathcal{F})$. Since l is mapped isomorphically onto a line in $\varphi(E) \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, we infer that $\det \mathcal{F}.l = n+1$. Since \mathcal{F} is ample, \mathcal{F} is a uniform vector bundle of type $(1, \dots, 1, 2)$, and therefore is isomorphic to either $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ or $T_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-r+1)}$ by Theorem 2.3 (2). Since $\pi|_E$ has connected fibers, this implies that $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and that $r = 2$ if $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$. Moreover we see that every fiber of $\varphi|_E$ is mapped isomorphically to a hyperplane of $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ via $\pi|_E$. Therefore we may assume that $\pi(C_1) = C_0$, which contradicts the assumption.

Suppose that every fiber of $\pi|_E$ is $(r-2)$ -dimensional, so that $\pi|_E$ also makes $(E, L|_E)$ a scroll over M . Now we apply Lemma 14.1 to see that $n = r-1$. Since $n-1 = l(R_1) \geq \tau r L.C_1 \geq r$ by (8.3) in § 8, this is a contradiction. Therefore this case does not happen either. \square

18. THE CASE $l(R_1) = n-1$

Let $M, \mathcal{E}, \tau, \pi : P \rightarrow M, L, R_1, C_1$, and $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be as in § 8.

Proposition 18.1. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$ and that $L.C_1 = 1$. If the length $l(R_1)$ of R_1 is equal to $n-1$ and φ has an n -dimensional fiber, then we have one of the following:*

- (1) $K_M + (n-1)A = 0$ and $\mathcal{E} \cong A^{\oplus r}$ where A is the ample generator of $\text{Pic } M$.
In this case we have $\tau r = n-1$;
- (2) $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2})$ ($r \geq 3$);
- (3) $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3))$ ($r \geq 2$);
- (4) $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ ($r \geq 2$);
- (5) $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathbf{E}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$, where \mathbf{E} is a spinor bundle on \mathbb{Q}^4 . In this case we have $\tau r = n-1$ and $\tau = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that a general fiber F of φ is n -dimensional. Since $l(R_1) = n-1$ and $L.C_1 = 1$, it follows from Lemma 16.1 that (M, A) is a Del Pezzo manifold and that $\mathcal{E} \cong A^{\oplus r}$, where A is the ample generator of $\text{Pic } M$. This is the case (1) of the proposition.

We assume that a general fiber of φ has dimension $< n$ in the following.

Let $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ be an n -dimensional fiber of φ , and let W be the normalization of an n -dimensional irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}(z)$. Then $W \cong M$ via π by Lemma 13.1. Moreover (W, L_W) is isomorphic to either $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ or $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by Lemma 13.2 (1) and its proof. Let \tilde{C}_1 be a line in W ; we have $L.\tilde{C}_1 = 1$ and the image of \tilde{C}_1 in $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ is C_1 . Since $W \cong M$, we see that $\tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow \pi(C_1)$ is an isomorphism and that $\pi(C_1)$ is a line in M ; in particular $C_1 \rightarrow \pi(C_1)$ is an isomorphism. Set $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = r+a$ for some integer a . We have $n-1 = l(R_1) = -K_M.\pi(C_1) - a$ by Proposition 8.7. Thus $a = 2$ if $W \cong M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$, and $a = 1$ if $W \cong M \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$. Note here that $n-1 \geq r$ by (8.3) in § 8, since $\tau \geq 1$.

Suppose that $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(r+2)$. Since $L.C_1 = 1$, it follows from Proposition 15.1 (1) that \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3)$ ($r \geq 2$) or $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2}$ ($r \geq 3$). The reason why \mathcal{E} is not isomorphic to $N(2)$, where N is the null correlation bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 , is as follows: First recall (see, e.g., [44, I, 4.2]) an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \Omega(2) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\check{N}(1) \cong N(1)$ on \mathbb{P}^3 . Since a natural morphism $\mathbb{P}(\Omega(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(\Omega(2)))$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle onto the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3)$ of lines in \mathbb{P}^3 , the exact sequence above with $\check{N}(1) \cong N(1)$ shows that a natural morphism $\mathbb{P}(N(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(N(1)))$, which is φ if $\mathcal{E} \cong N(2)$, is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle onto a three-dimensional hyperquadric. This contradicts the assumption that φ has an n -dimensional fiber.

Suppose that $M \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$ and that $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(r+1)$. Since $L.C_1 = 1$, it follows from Proposition 15.1 (2) that \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ ($r \geq 2$) or $\mathbf{E}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ where \mathbf{E} is a spinor bundle over \mathbb{Q}^4 . Here, the reason why \mathcal{E} is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{E}(2)$, where \mathbf{E} is a spinor bundle on \mathbb{Q}^4 or \mathbb{Q}^3 , is as follows: First recall that \mathbb{Q}^4 is isomorphic to the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3)$ of lines in \mathbb{P}^3 and that, by changing this isomorphism if necessary, $\mathbf{E}(1)$ can be, via this isomorphism, isomorphic to the universal quotient bundle over $\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3)$ (see [45, Examples 1.5]); therefore the natural map $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{E}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbf{E}(1))) = \mathbb{P}^3$ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle, and every fiber of this map is isomorphic to a plane in \mathbb{Q}^4 via the projection. Second recall that the restriction of a spinor bundle on \mathbb{Q}^4 to \mathbb{Q}^3 is the spinor bundle on \mathbb{Q}^3 by [45, Theorem 1.4]; hence the natural map $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}^3}(\mathbf{E}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. Therefore we conclude that φ has no n -dimensional fibers if $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbf{E}(2)$ on \mathbb{Q}^4 or \mathbb{Q}^3 . This contradicts our assumption. \square

In the proof of the following proposition, applying Theorem 2.2, we adapt the argument in [48, §5] to our case.

Proposition 18.2. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$, that $L.C_1 = 1$, that $l(R_1) = n - 1$, and that φ has no n -dimensional fibers. If φ has an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional fiber, then $n = 4$, $r = 3$, $\tau = 1$, φ is of fiber type, every fiber of φ has a two dimensional irreducible component F such that $\dim p_x(V_x) \cap F = 0$, and some special fiber of φ has a 3-dimensional irreducible component F' such that $p_x(V_x) \cap F' = \emptyset$.*

Proof. First note that $n - 1 = l(R_1) \geq r$ by (8.3) in § 8, since $\tau \geq 1$.

Since every fiber of φ has dimension $\leq n - 1$, we see that $r \geq 2$, and we have two cases:

A) a general fiber of φ has dimension $n - 1$, and thus $\dim N = r$;

B) a general fiber of φ has dimension $< n - 1$, and thus $\dim N > r$.

In the case A), since $(K_P + (n - 1)L)_W = \mathcal{O}_W$ for a general fiber W of φ , we have $(W, f^*(L)) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by Theorem 2.4 (2), where $f : W \rightarrow P$ is the inclusion. In the case B), for any $(n - 1)$ -dimensional irreducible component A_z of an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional fiber $\varphi^{-1}(z)$, we have $(W, f^*(L)) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by Lemma 13.4, where $f : W \rightarrow P$ is the composite of the normalization $W \rightarrow A_z$ and the inclusion $A_z \rightarrow P$.

Set $\mathcal{E}_W = (\pi \circ f)^* \mathcal{E}$ and $P_W = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_W)$. Let $\rho : P_W \rightarrow P$ be the morphism induced from $\pi \circ f : W \rightarrow M$ by base change $\pi : P \rightarrow M$. Set $\varphi_W = \varphi \circ \rho$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_W & \xrightarrow{\rho} & P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ \pi_W \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \pi \\ W & \xrightarrow{\pi \circ f} & M & & \end{array}$$

Let W_s be the section of $\pi_W : P_W \rightarrow W$, the section induced from $f : W \rightarrow P$. Since $\pi \circ f$ is finite by Lemma 8.1, we see that ρ is finite and that \mathcal{E}_W is ample.

If $n = 3$, then it follows from [52] that φ has no $(n - 1)$ -dimensional fibers. Therefore we will assume that $n \geq 4$; thus $\dim W \geq 3$ and we infer that $\text{Pic } W \cong \mathbb{Z}$ in both cases A) and B). We have $\det \mathcal{E}_W = \mathcal{O}_W(r + \alpha)$ for some non-negative integer α .

We claim here that $H(\mathcal{E}_W(-1))$ is nef and that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is nef. Observe that $H(\mathcal{E}_W(-1))|_{W_s} = \mathcal{O}_{W_s}$. Since $\text{Pic } P_W \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$, $\overline{\text{NE}}(P_W)$ is spanned by two rays; one is spanned by a curve in a fiber of π , and the other is spanned by a curve in a fiber, e.g., W_s , of φ_W . Hence we have $\overline{\text{NE}}(P_W) = \text{NE}(P_W)$, and thus $H(\mathcal{E}_W(-1))$ is nef.

Next we claim that α is positive. Assume to the contrary that $\alpha = 0$: $\det \mathcal{E}_W = \mathcal{O}_W(r)$. We have $\mathcal{E}_W \cong \mathcal{O}_W(1)^{\oplus r}$ by [51, Lemma 3.6.1] in the case A) and by Theorem 2.3 (1) in the case B). Since the projection $P_W \rightarrow W$ has a section W_s contracted to a point by φ_W , the image $\text{Im } \varphi_W$ of φ_W has dimension $r - 1$, and φ_W is of fiber type. If φ is birational, this implies that $\text{Im } \rho$ is the exceptional locus of φ . On the other hand, the divisor $\text{Im } \rho$ is nef because it is the pull back of a non-zero effective divisor $\text{Im } \pi \circ f$ on M with $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore φ is of fiber type. Let F be a general fiber of φ . Then we find that $\pi(F) \cap \text{Im } \pi \circ f$ is not empty since F is positive dimensional and $\text{Im } \pi \circ f$ is an ample divisor on M . Hence $F \cap \pi^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi \circ f) \neq \emptyset$, so that $\rho^{-1}(F) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that φ_W is dominant, and thus $\dim N = r - 1$. This is a contradiction. Therefore α is positive.

Let R and C_0 be as in § 8. We will show that if we may assume that $\pi(C_1) = C_0$, then φ has no $(n - 1)$ -dimensional fibers; Proposition 18.2 then follows from Corollary 17.1.

Suppose that $\pi(C_1) = C_0$. Since C_1 is an image in P of a line in W , we have $r + \alpha = \pi^* \det \mathcal{E}.C_1 = \det \mathcal{E}.C_0$ by Corollary 8.5. We see moreover that

$$\alpha = l(R) - l(R_1) \leq 2$$

by Lemma 8.7.

Suppose that the case A) holds. Since $\det \mathcal{E}_W(-1) = \mathcal{O}_W(\alpha)$, we have

$$K_W + \det \mathcal{E}_W(-1) = \mathcal{O}_W(-n + 1 + \alpha).$$

Since $n \geq 4$ and $\alpha \leq 2$, we have $-n + 1 + \alpha \leq -1$. Since we also have $1 \leq \alpha$, we have $H^i(W, K_W + \det \mathcal{E}_W(-1)) = 0$ for all i . Since $-(K_W + \det \mathcal{E}_W(-1))$ is ample, it follows from $-K_{P_W} = rH(\mathcal{E}_W(-1)) - \pi^*(K_W + \det \mathcal{E}_W(-1))$ that $-K_{P_W}$ is ample. Since $H(\mathcal{E}_W(-1))$ is nef, this implies that $H(\mathcal{E}_W(-1))^{\otimes m}$ is spanned for all $m \gg 0$.

Since $\dim N = r$, we apply Corollary 15.3 (2) to the pair $(W, \mathcal{E}_W(-1))$ to see that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is spanned, that $h^0(\mathcal{E}_W(-1)) = r + 1$, and that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ fits into the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_W(-1))^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}_W(-1))^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\dim W = n - 1$, this implies that $n \leq r + 1$. On the other hand, we have $n - 1 \geq r$ as we noticed at the beginning of the proof. Therefore we have $n = r + 1$, and moreover $H^0(\mathcal{E}_W(-1))^* \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}(\alpha))$ is injective. Hence $H^0((\mathcal{E}_W(-1))^*) = 0$. Note here that the natural surjection $\pi^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L$ induces a surjection $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W$. Since $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is spanned, this implies that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_W \oplus \mathcal{F}$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{F} of rank $r - 1$ on W . Hence we have $H^0((\mathcal{E}_W(-1))^*) \neq 0$ since $(\mathcal{E}_W(-1))^* \cong \mathcal{O}_W \oplus \mathcal{F}^*$. This is a contradiction.

Suppose that the case B) holds. If $\alpha = 2$, then $l(R) = n + 1$, and $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ by Theorem 2.2. Thus C_0 is a line in \mathbb{P}^n . Hence $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r + 2$ implies that $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(r + 2)$. Proposition 15.1 (1) then implies that φ has an n -dimensional

fibers, since $n \geq 4$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\alpha = 1$. Since $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is nef, this implies that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is a uniform vector bundle of type $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is spanned by Theorem 2.3 (2). Since we have a surjection $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W$, this implies that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_W \oplus \mathcal{F}$ for some spanned vector bundle \mathcal{F} of rank $r-1$ on W . Moreover we have $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ by Theorem 2.3, since $\text{rank } \mathcal{F} = r-1 \leq n-2 < n-1 = \dim W$. Therefore we have $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and we infer that the contraction h different from the projection $P_W \rightarrow W$ is the blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^{n+r-2} along a linear subspace of dimension $r-2$. Since W_s is contracted by φ_W , we see that φ_W is factored as $\varphi_W = i \circ h$ for some finite morphism $i : \mathbb{P}^{n+r-2} \rightarrow N$. Suppose that φ is of fiber type. Since $l(R_1) = n-1$, it follows from inequality (8.1) in § 8 that a general fiber of φ is $(n-2)$ -dimensional and $\dim N = r+1$. Therefore $n+r-2 \leq r+1$, i.e., $n \leq 3$. This, however, contradicts the assumption $n \geq 4$. Suppose that φ is birational. Since $l(R_1) = n-1$, it follows again from inequality (8.1) in § 8 that φ is divisorial. Moreover every positive dimensional fiber of φ is $(n-1)$ -dimensional. Let E be the exceptional divisor of φ . Since any nonzero effective divisor on M is ample, we infer that $\pi(E) = M$. This implies that there exists an $(n-1)$ -dimensional fiber F of φ such that $\pi(F) \not\subseteq \pi(W)$. Therefore φ_W must have $(n-2)$ -dimensional fibers. This contradicts the description $\varphi_W = i \circ h$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 18.3. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$, that $L.C_1 = 1$, that $l(R_1) = n-1$, and that every fiber of φ has dimension $\leq n-2$. Then N is smooth of dimension $r+1$ and φ makes (P, L) a scroll over N . Moreover (M, \mathcal{E}) is one of the following:*

- (1) $(\mathbb{P}^3, N(2))$, where N is a null correlation bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 ;
- (2) $(\mathbb{Q}^3, \mathbf{E}(2))$, where \mathbf{E} is a spinor bundle on \mathbb{Q}^3 ;
- (3) $(\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathbf{E}(2))$, where \mathbf{E} is a spinor bundle on \mathbb{Q}^4 ;
- (4) $n = 4, r = 3, \tau = 1$, and either of the following holds:
 - (a) $\pi(C_1)$ is not a minimal extremal rational curve in M (i.e., in R);
 - (b) $\varphi(l)$ is not a minimal extremal rational curve in N , where l is a line in a fiber of π .

Proof. We see immediately by inequality (8.1) in § 8 that φ is of fiber type and that every fiber of φ has dimension $n-2$. Thus $(\varphi^{-1}(z), L|_{\varphi^{-1}(z)}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}(1))$ for a general point $z \in N$ by Theorem 2.4 (1). Hence Lemma 13.3 shows that φ makes (P, L) a scroll over an $(r+1)$ -dimensional manifold N . Set $\varphi_*L = \mathcal{G}$; $H(\mathcal{G}) = L$ and \mathcal{G} is an ample vector bundle of rank $n-1$ on N .

Note that $n-1 = l(R_1) \geq \tau r \geq r$ by (8.3) in § 8, since $\tau \geq 1$.

Let W be a fiber of φ , and set $\mathcal{E}_W = (\pi^*\mathcal{E})_W$ and $P_W = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_W)$. Let $\rho : P_W \rightarrow P$ be the morphism induced from $W \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow M$ by base change $\pi : P \rightarrow M$. Set $\varphi_W = \varphi \circ \rho$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P_W & \xrightarrow{\rho} & P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\
 \pi_W \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \pi \\
 W & \longrightarrow & M & &
 \end{array}$$

Since $W \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow M$ is finite by Lemma 8.1, we see that ρ is finite and that \mathcal{E}_W is ample. Moreover we see, by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 18.2, that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is nef. Set $\det \mathcal{E}_W(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_W(\alpha)$ for some non-negative integer α .

We claim here that $\alpha > 0$. Assume, to the contrary, that $\alpha = 0$. Then we have $\mathcal{E}_W \cong \mathcal{O}_W(1)^{\oplus r}$ for any fiber $W = \varphi^{-1}(z)$ of φ . Since $\dim W < n$, this contradicts Lemma 16.2. Hence we have $\alpha > 0$.

Suppose that we are not in the case (4) (a) of the proposition. Then Corollary 17.1 implies that we may assume that $\pi(C_1) = C_0$. Note that C_1 is a line in W . We have $r + \alpha = \pi^* \det \mathcal{E}.C_1 = \det \mathcal{E}.C_0$ by Corollary 8.5. Moreover we have

$$\alpha = l(R) - l(R_1) \leq 2$$

by Lemma 8.7.

If $\alpha = 2$, then $l(R) = n + 1$ and Theorem 2.2 implies that $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Since C_0 is now a line in \mathbb{P}^n , $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r + 2$ implies that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(r + 2)$. Therefore $n = 3$ and $\mathcal{E} \cong N(2)$ by Proposition 15.1 (1), since φ has no n -dimensional fibers. This is the case (1) of the proposition.

We will assume that $\alpha = 1$ in the following. We have $l(R) = n$. Since $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is nef, this implies that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is a uniform vector bundle of type $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Hence $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is spanned by Theorem 2.3 (2). On the other hand, $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ has an \mathcal{O}_W as a quotient, induced from the natural surjection $\mathcal{E}_W \rightarrow L_W$. Since $\mathcal{E}_W(-1)$ is spanned, this implies that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_W \oplus \mathcal{F}$ for some spanned vector bundle \mathcal{F} of rank $r - 1$ on W . Since \mathcal{F} is a uniform vector bundle of type $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ of rank $r - 1 \leq n - 2 = \dim W$, we infer that \mathcal{F} is either $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ or $T_W(-1)$ by Theorem 2.3.

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$. Then we have $n + r - 3 = \dim \text{Im } \varphi_W \leq \dim N = r + 1$, i.e., $n \leq 4$. Thus we have

$$3 \geq n - 1 \geq \tau r \geq r \geq 2.$$

If $r = 3$, then $\tau = 1$ and $n = 4$. Since $\dim \text{Im } \varphi_W = \dim N$ in this case, we see, moreover, that $N \cong \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$ by Lazarsfeld's theorem [36, Theorem 4.1]. Since we have $-K_P = (n - 1)L - \varphi^*(K_N + \det \mathcal{G})$ in this case, we infer that $K_N + \det \mathcal{G} = 0$. Therefore $\det \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{O}(5) \cong \mathcal{O}(r + 2)$. Now Proposition 15.1 (1) implies that π has a fiber of dimension $= \dim N$, a contradiction. Therefore we have $r = 2$; we have $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r + \alpha = 3$. If $n = 4$, then $(-K_M - \det \mathcal{E}).C_0 = 1$ since $l(R) = 4$. Set $A = -K_M - \det \mathcal{E}$. Then A is ample and we have $K_M + 4A = 0$. Therefore we have $(M, A) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by Theorem 2.4 (2). Thus $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(r + 1)$. Now Proposition 15.1 (2) implies that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbf{E}(2)$. This is the case (3) of the proposition. If $n = 3$, then $\tau = 1$. Since $l(R) = n$, it follows from [52] that $(M, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^3, \mathbf{E}(2))$. This is the case (2) of the proposition.

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_W \oplus T_W(-1)$. Then we have $r = n - 1$ and $\tau = 1$. Let $\varphi_W = h \circ g$ be the Stein factorization of φ_W , where $g : P_W \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ has connected fibers, \tilde{N} is normal, and $h : \tilde{N} \rightarrow N$ is finite. We see that $\tilde{N} \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ since $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_W \oplus T_W(-1)$ and the section W_s of π_W corresponding to the quotient $\mathcal{E}_W(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W$ is contracted by φ_W . Set $y = g(W_s)$. We see that every fiber of π_W is mapped isomorphically onto an hyperplane in $\tilde{N} \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ passing through y .

Assume that we are not in the case (4) (b) either. Then we may assume, by the consideration above, that $\mathcal{G}_F(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_F \oplus T_F(-1)$ for any fiber F of π . Moreover we may assume that $T_F(-1)$ is not a line bundle, i.e., that $r - 1 = \dim F \geq 2$. Now $(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}})|_{H_y}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{H_y}(1) \oplus T_{H_y}$ for any hyperplane H_y in $\tilde{N} \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ passing through y . Note here that for any line in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} there exists a hyperplane H_y containing the line and y , since $n - 1 \geq r \geq 3$. Therefore $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}}$ is a uniform vector bundle of type $(1, \dots, 1, 2)$ of rank $n - 1 = \dim \tilde{N}$, and thus it is isomorphic to

either $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ or $T_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ by Theorem 2.3 (2). Here consider the composite $\tilde{\pi}$ of the morphism $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}}) = P \times_N \tilde{N} \rightarrow P$ and the projection $\pi : P \rightarrow M$. Note that $g : P_W \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ induces a finite morphism $P_W \rightarrow P \times_N \tilde{N}$ and that any image via this finite morphism of any fiber of π_W is contracted by $\tilde{\pi}$. Thus if $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$, then $\tilde{\pi}$ contracts an $(n-1)$ -dimensional section corresponding to a quotient $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, which implies that π has an $(n-1)$ -dimensional fiber, a contradiction. If $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}} \cong T_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$, then the image of $\tilde{\pi}$ has dimension $n-1$. Let D_N denote a divisor defined as the image of $\tilde{N} \rightarrow N$ and set $D_P = \varphi^* D_N$. Since $\dim \text{Im } \tilde{\pi} = n-1$, we have $\dim \pi(D_P) = n-1$. On the other hand, we see that $\pi(D_P) = M$, since $D_P.l = D_N.\varphi_*(l) > 0$ for any line l in a fiber of π . This is a contradiction. Therefore this case does not occur either. \square

Finally we end this section with some examples, which show that if we remove the assumption $\tau \geq 1$ then there are other examples in Propositions 18.2 and 18.3.

Example 18.4. Let \mathcal{E} be an ample vector bundle of rank r on $M = \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $P = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is a divisor of bidegree $(2, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^r$, and φ is the composite of the embedding $P \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^r$ and the projection $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$. We see that φ is a conic bundle and that its discriminant locus D is a hypersurface of degree three in \mathbb{P}^r . Therefore φ has a singular fiber and C_1 is a line in a singular fiber of φ . We have $L.C_1 = 1$ and $l(R_1) = 1 = n-1$, where $n = \dim M = 2$. Finally we have $\tau = 3/(r+2) \leq 3/4 < 1$ since $r \geq 2$.

Example 18.5. Set $M = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$. Then $\tau = 4/5 < 1$.

19. THE CASE $l(R_1) = n-2$

Let M be a Fano manifold of Picard number one and \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle of rank r on M . Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$, and let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, L , R_1 , C_1 , and $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be as in § 8. Suppose that $L.C_1 = 1$, that $l(R_1) = n-2$. We have

$$n-2 = l(R_1) \geq \tau r \geq r$$

by (8.3) in § 8, since $\tau \geq 1$. In this section, we assume that $\tau r \geq n-2$ and prove Theorem 1.3 in this case.

If $r = n-2$, then $\tau = 1$, and we have the case (18) of Theorem 1.3. In the following, we assume that $r \leq n-3$. Since $\tau r = n-2$, this implies that $\tau > 1$.

Since we have

$$(\tau-1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1) = -\pi^*(K_M + \det \mathcal{E}) = -K_P.C_1 - rL.C_1 = n-2-r,$$

it follows from $\tau > 1$ that $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1) = r$; we see therefore that $C_1 \rightarrow \pi(C_1)$ is birational and that $\det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = r$. Hence we have $-K_M.\pi(C_1) = \tau \det \mathcal{E}.\pi(C_1) = n-2$ and thus $l(R) = n-2$. Set $C_0 = \pi(C_1)$.

Let A_z be an irreducible component of a positive dimensional fiber $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ of φ , and $f : W \rightarrow P$ the composite of the normalization $W \rightarrow A_z$ and the inclusion $A_z \hookrightarrow P$. Since $\pi^* \det \mathcal{E}.C_1 = r = rL.C_1$, we have $\det(\pi^*\mathcal{E})|_{A_z} \cong rL|_{A_z}$. Hence we have $\det \mathcal{E}_W \cong rL_W$, where \mathcal{E}_W and L_W denotes $(\pi \circ f)^*\mathcal{E}$ and f^*L respectively.

Suppose that $\dim A_z = n$. Then, by Lemma 13.1, we see that $\pi \circ f : W \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism.

If, moreover, every fiber of φ is n -dimensional, it follows from Lemma 16.1 that M is a Fano manifold of index $n - 2$, i.e., a Mukai manifold, and $\mathcal{E} \cong A^{\oplus r}$ for the ample generator A of $\text{Pic } M$. This is the case (17) of Theorem 1.3.

If, moreover, a general fiber of φ has dimension less than n , then we have $r \geq 2$. Let C'_1 be the rational curve on W corresponding to C_0 via the isomorphism $\pi \circ f : W \cong M$. Since $-K_M.C_0 = n - 2$, we have $-K_W.C'_1 = n - 2$. Let $\tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow C_1$, $\tilde{C}'_1 \rightarrow C'_1$, and $\tilde{C}_0 \rightarrow C_0$ be the normalizations. We can regard \tilde{C}_1 and \tilde{C}'_1 as sections of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_0}) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$. Since $\det \mathcal{E}.C_0 = r$, we have $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_0} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^r$. Hence \tilde{C}_1 and \tilde{C}'_1 are rationally equivalent, so that $L.C'_1 = 1$. Therefore we have $K_W + (n - 2)L_W = 0$. In particular we see that $h^n(W, -(n - 2)L_W) = 1$. On the other hand, since a general fiber of φ has dimension $< n$, we have $h^n(W, -tL_W) = 0$ for all $t \leq n - 2$ by [54, Lemma 4]. This is a contradiction. Hence this case does not occur.

Let us assume that φ has no n -dimensional fibers in the following; hence we may assume that $n \geq r + 3 \geq 5$. Since $\dim A_z \leq n - 1$, it follows from inequality (8.1) in § 8 that for a general point $x \in A_z$ there exists a rational curve C'_1 such that $-K_P.C'_1 \leq n$. Since $-K_P.C'_1 = (n - 2)L.C'_1$, if $L.C'_1 \geq 2$, then we have $2(n - 2) \leq n$, i.e., $n \leq 4$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have $L.C'_1 = 1$ for such a curve C'_1 ; this implies that we may regard C'_1 as C_1 , and thus we may assume that for a general point $x \in A_z$ there exists a C_1 passing through x .

Suppose that φ is birational. Since we have $\det(\pi^*\mathcal{E})|_{A_z} \cong rL|_{A_z}$, we have $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{C}_1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_1}(1)^{\oplus r}$, where $\tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow C_1$ denotes the normalization. This implies that $\pi^{-1}(\pi(C_1))$ is contained in the exceptional locus of φ . Since an irreducible family of C_1 dominates A_z , we infer that $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A_z))$ is contained in the exceptional locus of φ . Suppose that φ has an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional fiber and that $\dim A_z = n - 1$. Then $\text{codim}(\pi^{-1}(\pi(A_z)), P) = 1$ and thus φ is divisorial. Since the exceptional locus of φ is irreducible if φ is divisorial, we see that $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A_z))$ is the exceptional divisor of φ . On the other hand, since $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$, a nonzero effective divisor $\pi(A_z)$ is ample. Hence $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A_z))$ is nef. This is a contradiction. Therefore this case does not happen. Suppose that every positive dimensional fiber of φ has dimension $\leq n - 2$. Then it follows from inequality (8.1) in § 8 that φ is divisorial and that every positive dimensional fiber of φ is equidimensional of dimension $n - 2$. Let E denote the exceptional divisor of φ , and A_z any irreducible component of any positive fiber $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ of φ . Then, as we have seen as above, $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A_z))$ is contained in the exceptional locus E of φ . Hence we have $\pi^{-1}(\pi(E)) \subseteq E$ and thus we have $\pi^{-1}(\pi(E)) = E$. This is a contradiction for the same reason as above. Hence this case does not occur either. Therefore φ cannot be birational.

Suppose that φ is of fiber type and that a general fiber of φ has dimension $n - 1$; hence we see that $\dim N = r$. We will denote by W a general fiber of φ . Since $K_W + (n - 2)L_W = 0$, (W, L_W) is a Del Pezzo manifold. The classification of Del Pezzo manifolds (see, e.g., [18, (8.11)]) then implies that $\text{Pic } W \cong \mathbb{Z}$ since $n \geq 5$. Set $P_W = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_W)$. Note that $-K_{P_W} = rH(\mathcal{E}_W) + (n - 2 - r)\pi_W^*L_W$, where $\pi_W : P_W \rightarrow W$ is the projection, because $\det \mathcal{E}_W \cong rL_W$. Hence we see that P_W is a Fano manifold of Picard number two. Let $\rho : P_W \rightarrow P$ be the morphism induced from $\pi \circ f : W \rightarrow M$ by base change $\pi : P \rightarrow M$. Observe here that the image $\text{Im } \varphi \circ \rho$ of P_W via the composite $\varphi \circ \rho$ of ρ and φ has dimension either $r - 1$ or r . Let W_s denote the section of $\pi_W : P_W \rightarrow W$ induced from the inclusion $f : W \hookrightarrow P$; W_s is also a fiber of $\varphi \circ \rho$. Note here that $H(\mathcal{E}_W \otimes L_W^{-1})|_{W_s} = 0$. Hence $H(\mathcal{E}_W \otimes L_W^{-1})$ is

nef, and we infer that there exists a positive integer m_0 such that $H(\mathcal{E}_W \otimes L_W^{-1})^{\otimes m}$ is spanned for all integers $m \geq m_0$. We have $H^i(P_W, H(\mathcal{E}_W \otimes L_W^{-1})^{\otimes t}) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $t > 0$. Moreover we see that $H^i(W, K_W \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}_W \otimes L_W^{-1})) = 0$ for $i < \dim W$ and that $h^{n-1}(W, K_W \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}_W \otimes L_W^{-1})) = 1$. Therefore it follows from Corollary 15.3 that $\mathcal{E}_W \otimes L_W^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_W^{\oplus r}$. This however contradicts Lemma 16.2 because $\dim W < n$.

Suppose that a general fiber W of φ has dimension $n - 2$. Since $K_W + (n - 2)L_W = 0$, it follows from Theorem 2.4 (2) that $(W, L_W) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Since $\det \mathcal{E}_W \cong rL_W$, we see that $\mathcal{E}_W \cong \mathcal{O}_W(1)^{\oplus r}$ by [51, Lemma 3.6.1]. This contradicts Lemma 16.2.

Suppose that a general fiber W of φ has dimension $n - 3$. Since $K_W + (n - 2)L_W = 0$, it follows from Theorem 2.4 (1) that $(W, L_W) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-3}, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Since $\det \mathcal{E}_W \cong rL_W$, we see $\mathcal{E}_W \cong \mathcal{O}_W(1)^{\oplus r}$ by Theorem 2.3 (1); this contradicts Lemma 16.2.

20. LEMMA ON A QUADRIC FIBRATION

Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of smooth algebraic varieties, and suppose that every closed fiber of ψ is an m -dimensional smooth hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^{m+1} . We will call such a fibration ψ a quadric fibration. Let p be an arbitrary closed point of S . As an analogue of Brauer-Severi schemes, it seems to be reasonable to consider the following problem:

Problem 20.1. Does there exist an étale finite covering $U \rightarrow U'$ of some neighborhood U' of p such that on the fiber product $M \times_S U$, denoted by M_U , there exists a line bundle A such that $A|_{\bar{F}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ for any closed fiber \bar{F} of $M_U \rightarrow U$?

If such an étale covering of S exists, we will say, in this paper, that ψ is a quadric fibration in the étale topology. Simply replacing the étale topology to the complex topology, we will also use the phrase “a quadric fibration in the complex topology”.

The following lemma gives a sufficient condition for the affirmative answer of this problem. In general, I do not know its answer.

Lemma 20.2. *Let ψ be as above. Assume moreover that the relative Picard number $\rho(M/S)$ is one. Suppose that there exists a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank $r \leq m$ on M such that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ for any closed fiber F of ψ . Then ψ is a quadric fibration in the étale (or complex) topology.*

Proof. Denote by P the projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ associated to \mathcal{E} . Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be the projection and L the tautological line bundle on P associated to \mathcal{E} . By assumption, we see that L is ample over S and that the relative Picard number $\rho(P/S)$ of P over S is two. Note here that $-(K_M + \det \mathcal{E})$ is ψ -nef since $m \geq r$. Thus $-K_P$ is $\psi \circ \pi$ -ample. Therefore $\overline{\text{NE}}(P/S)$ is spanned by two extremal rays R_π and R_1 , where R_π corresponds to the projection $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ and R_1 is the other ray.

Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow N$ be the contraction morphism of R_1 over S and $\pi' : N \rightarrow S$ the structural morphism. We have the following commutative diagram

$$(20.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi' \\ M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & S. \end{array}$$

Let C_1 be a minimal extremal rational curve of R_1 . Then $\pi(C_1)$ is a rational curve contracted by ψ . We have

$$-K_P.C_1 = rL.C_1 + ((m/r) - 1) \det \mathcal{E}.\pi_*(C_1).$$

Therefore $l(R_1) \geq m$ since $m/r \geq 1$. Note that, although P itself may not be complete, we can apply Theorem 2.1 since P is smooth and projective over S . Therefore, as in § 8, we see that $l(R_1) \leq \dim F(\varphi) + 1 \leq m + 1$ for any irreducible component $F(\varphi)$ of any positive dimensional closed fiber of φ . Denote by F the closed fiber of ψ containing $\pi(F(\varphi))$.

We claim here $F(\varphi)_{\text{red}}$ is isomorphic to F via π . Since $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$, we see that $F(\varphi)$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F) = F \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Note here that $F(\varphi)$ is contracted by $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F)}$ which is different from the projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F) \rightarrow F$. If $m \neq 2$, then $\text{Pic}(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, so that we see immediately that $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F)}$ is factored as $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F)} = g \circ f$, where $f : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F) = F \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is the projection and $g : \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \pi'^{-1}(\psi(F))$ is a finite unibranch (i.e., set-theoretically one to one) morphism. Hence we infer that $F(\varphi)_{\text{red}} \cong F$. If $m = 2$, then $F \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. If $\dim F(\varphi) = 2$, then we see, as above, that $F(\varphi)_{\text{red}} \cong F$. We will show that the case $\dim F(\varphi) = 1$ does not happen. Assume to the contrary that $\dim F(\varphi) = 1$. Then $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F)}$ is factored as $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F)} = g \circ f$, where $f : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is a projection and $g : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \pi'^{-1}(\psi(F))$ is a finite unibranch morphism. Hence $F(\varphi)_{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Since φ is different from ψ , we see that $r \geq 2$. This implies that $r = 2$, since $r \leq m = 2$. Moreover we see, by Theorem 2.1, that $l(R_1) = m$, that $L.C_1 = 1$, and that φ is of fiber type. If φ has a two-dimensional irreducible component F_φ (with reduced structure) of a positive dimensional fiber of φ , then it follows from Lemma 13.4 that $\tilde{F}_\varphi \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ where $\tilde{F}_\varphi \rightarrow F_\varphi$ is the normalization. On the other hand, we must have $F_\varphi \cong F \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as in the case $\dim F(\varphi) = 2$. This is a contradiction. Hence every fiber of φ has dimension one. Note here that $\mathcal{E}_{F(\varphi)_{\text{red}}} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}$. Denote by z the point $\varphi(F(\varphi))$. We see that $\varphi(\pi^{-1}(\pi(\varphi^{-1}(z))))$ is of codimension one in $\pi'^{-1}(\psi(F))$. Now take a subvariety S' of N such that $S' \rightarrow S$ is finite over a Zariski dense open subset S_0 of S containing $\pi'(z)$; we can take such a subvariety S' since π' is projective. Set $M_0 = \psi^{-1}(S_0)$. Since M_0 is a Zariski dense open subset of M and M is smooth and algebraic, every Cartier divisor on M_0 can be extended to a Cartier divisor on M . Hence we see that the restriction map $\text{Pic}(M/S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(M_0/S_0)$ is surjective. Therefore the relative Picard number $\rho(M_0/S_0)$ is also one. Replacing S with S_0 , we may assume that $S' \rightarrow S$ is finite. Set $D_M = \pi(\varphi^{-1}(S'))$ with the reduced structure. Then D_M is a prime divisor on M . Set $D_P = \pi^*D_M$; D_P is also a prime divisor on P . Now set $D_N = \varphi(D_P)$. Then we see, by the consideration above, that D_N is also a prime divisor on N and that $\varphi^*(D_N) = D_P$. Since $\rho(P/S) = 2$, this implies that $D_P = 0$, i.e., $D_P = \emptyset$ as sets, which is a contradiction. Therefore the case $\dim F(\varphi) = 1$ does not happen, and thus we conclude that $F(\varphi)_{\text{red}} \cong F$ via π ; as consequences, we see also that $L|_{F(\varphi)_{\text{red}}} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ since $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$. We also observe that every fiber of φ is irreducible since the finite morphism g above is unibranch.

Now we apply Fujita's argument in the proof of [16, Lemma (2.12)] to show that every fiber of φ is reduced. Let z be an arbitrary point of N , and let $F(\varphi)$ be a total fiber of φ over z ; we know that $F(\varphi)_{\text{red}}$ is a smooth hyperquadric and that $L|_{F(\varphi)_{\text{red}}} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$. In this paragraph, we may assume that L is ample by replacing L with tensor product of L with the pull back of sufficiently ample line bundle on

N . Take a large integer a such that aL is very ample and let D_1, \dots, D_m be a general member of $|aL|$. Set $M' = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_m$. Then M' is nonsingular and $M' \cap F(\varphi)_{\text{red}}$ is a nonsingular subscheme consisting of $2a^m$ points. Take a small enough neighborhood V of z with respect to the metric topology such that any connected component V_λ of $\varphi^{-1}(V) \cap M'$ meets $F(\varphi)_{\text{red}}$ at only one point. Let φ_λ be the restriction of φ to V_λ . We may assume that φ_λ is a finite morphism of degree m_λ . Since the number of λ 's are equal to $\sharp(M' \cap F(\varphi)) = 2a^m$, we see that $\deg(\varphi|_{M'}) = \sum_\lambda m_\lambda \geq 2a^m$. On the other hand, a general fiber F_g of φ is a smooth hyperquadric and $L|_{F_g} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$. Hence $\deg(\varphi|_{M'}) = 2a^m$. Therefore $m_\lambda = 1$ for all λ , and thus $\varphi_\lambda : V_\lambda \rightarrow V$ is bimeromorphic. By the analytic version of Zariski Main Theorem, we infer that φ_λ is biholomorphic. Hence N is smooth. Furthermore we see $F(\varphi) \cap V_\lambda$ consists of only one point scheme-theoretically since φ_λ is biholomorphic. This implies that $F(\varphi)$ is generically reduced. Since M and N are smooth and $\dim F(\varphi) = \dim M - \dim N$, we infer that $F(\varphi)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore $F(\varphi)$ is reduced.

By the consideration above, we see that for any closed fiber F of ψ the induced morphism $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F) \rightarrow \pi'^{-1}(\psi(F))$ is nothing but the projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_F) = F \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$: every closed fiber of π' is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . In particular, π' is flat. Here we apply Theorem 4.4. Let p be a closed point of S . For a suitable finite étale covering $U \rightarrow U'$ over a small open neighborhood U' of p , the fiber product $N \times_S U$, denoted by N_U , is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \times U$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{N_U}(1)$ be the tautological line bundle associated to the projective space bundle $N_U \rightarrow U$. Consider the following commutative diagram obtained from the diagram (20.1) by the base change $U \rightarrow U' \subset S$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P_U & \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} & N_U \\
 \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi'_U \\
 M_U & \xrightarrow{\psi_U} & U.
 \end{array}$$

Now the line bundle $L \otimes \varphi_U^* \mathcal{O}_{N_U}(-1)$ is trivial on each closed fiber of π_U . Therefore we obtain a line bundle A on M_U such that $L \otimes \varphi_U^* \mathcal{O}_{N_U}(-1) = \pi_U^* A$. Since $A|_{\tilde{F}} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ for every closed fiber \tilde{F} of ψ_U , this implies that ψ is a quadric fibration in the étale topology.

Finally, for the case of the complex topology, it is easy to see that π' is locally trivialized in the complex topology. Hence the same argument as above shows that ψ is a quadric fibration in the complex topology. This completes the proof. \square

21. THE CASE $\dim F - 1 < \tau r \leq \dim F$

Let M , \mathcal{E} , and $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be as in § 5.

If ψ is of fiber type and $\dim F - 1 < \tau r \leq \dim F$, we can give the following proposition.

Proposition 21.1. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$, that ψ is of fiber type, and that $\dim F - 1 < \tau r \leq \dim F$ for a general fiber F of ψ . Set $d = \lceil \tau r \rceil = \dim F$. Then S has only rational Gorenstein singularities. Let U denote the largest open subset of S such that $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is smooth. Then we have the following:*

- (1) $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -fibration in the étale (or complex) topology. Moreover we have $\text{codim}(S \setminus U, S) \geq 3$. In particular we see that
 - (a) if $d = n - 1$, then ψ is the \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle in the Zariski topology;

- (b) if $d = n - 2$, then every closed fiber of ψ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{n-2} ;
(c) if $d = n - 3$, then ψ has at most finite number of singular fibers.

Concerning \mathcal{E} and the value of τr , we have either of the following:

- (i) $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong T_{\mathbb{P}^d}$ for every closed fiber F of $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$, and $\tau r = d$;
(ii) $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ for every closed fiber F of $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$, and
and $\tau r = (d+1)r/(r+1) > d-1$ (and hence $d \geq r > (d-1)/2$);
(2) $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a quadric fibration in the étale (or complex) topology (see § 20), and for every closed fiber F of $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ we have $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$, and $\tau r = d$. Moreover we have $\text{codim}(\text{Sing } S, S) \geq 3$ if $d \geq 3$. Furthermore if $d = n - 1$ then there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_M(1)$ on M such that $\mathcal{O}_M(1)|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)$ for every fiber F of ψ and that $\mathcal{E} \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(1)$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{E}' of rank r on S .

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 4.2 that S has only rational Gorenstein singularities.

Let F_0 be a closed fiber of $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$. Then $\dim F_0 = d$ and $K_{F_0} + \tau \det \mathcal{E}|_{F_0} = 0$; since $\tau \geq 1$, it follows from the case $n-1 < \tau r \leq n$ that $(F_0, \mathcal{E}|_{F_0})$ and the value of τr are one of the following:

- 1) $(\mathbb{P}^d, T_{\mathbb{P}^d})$, and $\tau r = d$;
- 2) $(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$, and $\tau r = (d+1)r/(r+1) > d-1$ (and hence $r > (d-1)/2$);
- 3) $(\mathbb{Q}^d, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)^{\oplus r})$, and $\tau r = d$;
- 4) $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \psi'^* \mathcal{G})$, where \mathcal{F} is a vector bundle of rank d on a smooth proper curve C , $\psi' : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C$ is the projection, and \mathcal{G} is a vector bundle of rank r on C , and $\tau r = d$.

We will show that F_0 cannot be isomorphic to a scroll $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$ over a curve C . Suppose, to the contrary, that $F_0 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$; in particular we assume that $d \geq 2$. Here we also assume that F_0 is not isomorphic to a quadric surface \mathbb{Q}^2 . Then we see first that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{F_0}) = 0$ since F_0 is Fano. Hence $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus \mathcal{F} is a direct sum of line bundles: $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}(f_i)$. Here we may assume that $f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_d$. Since F_0 is Fano and $\omega_{F_0}^{-1} = H(\mathcal{F})^{\otimes d} \otimes \psi'^* \mathcal{O}(2 - \sum_{i=1}^d f_i)$, we have $0 < df_1 + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^d f_i$. Therefore (f_1, \dots, f_d) is either (f_1, \dots, f_1) or $(f_1, \dots, f_1, f_1 + 1)$. Hence we may assume that (f_1, \dots, f_d) is either $(1, \dots, 1)$ or $(1, \dots, 1, 2)$. Since $d \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{E}|_{F_0} = H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \psi'^* \mathcal{G}$, this implies that \mathcal{G} is a direct sum of line bundles of non-negative degrees. Since $\tau r = d$, we observe that $0 = K_{F_0} + \tau \det \mathcal{E}|_{F_0} = \psi'^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^1} + \det \mathcal{F} + \tau \det \mathcal{G})$. This shows that $\deg \mathcal{F} - 2 \leq 0$, and hence we have $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}$. Therefore $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}^2$, a contradiction.

Let F_1 be any other fiber of $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ over a closed point $p \in U$; F_1 is isomorphic to either \mathbb{P}^d or \mathbb{Q}^d . Extending $\mathcal{O}_{F_1}(1)$ to a line bundle on a small neighborhood of F_1 in the complex topology and looking at the degree and Fujita's delta genus with respect to the extended line bundle, we find that any fiber over a closed point in a small neighborhood of p is isomorphic to F_1 . Since U is connected, this implies that any smooth closed fiber F_1 of ψ is isomorphic to F_0 . Thus $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -fibration or a quadric fibration. Moreover it follows from Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 20.2 that $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -fibration or a quadric fibration in the étale (or complex) topology (it is easy to see that $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -fibration in the complex topology in case every fiber of $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is \mathbb{P}^d).

Let S_2 be the intersection $D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_{n-d-2}$ of general very ample divisors D_1, \dots, D_{n-d-2} of S . We claim here that the restricted morphism $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$

is the contraction morphism of an extremal ray unless $d = 2$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a quadric fibration. Here we consider a conic fibration as a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration; hence if $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a quadric fibration we assume that $d \geq 2$. Now suppose that $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is not elementary. Then by applying Proposition 4.3 to an $(d+2)$ -dimensional manifold $\psi^{-1}(S_2)$, we have $d+1 < (d+3)/2$ in case $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -fibration, and $d < (d+3)/2$ in case $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a quadric fibration. Therefore $d = 2$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ must be a quadric fibration. This proves the claim.

Now suppose that the restricted morphism $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is the contraction morphism of an extremal ray. It follows from Proposition 4.2 that S_2 is smooth. Therefore $\text{codim}(\text{Sing } S, S) \geq 3$.

Let S_1 be a general very ample divisor on S_2 . Then the restricted morphism $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is a contraction of an extremal ray unless $d = 2$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a quadric fibration; indeed, if this is not elementary, again by applying Proposition 4.3 to an $d+1$ -dimensional manifold $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$, we have $d+1 \leq (d+2)/2$ in case $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -fibration and $d \leq (d+2)/2$ in case $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is a quadric fibration. Therefore $d = 2$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a quadric fibration.

Suppose that $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is elementary in the following; note here that if $d = n-1$ then $S_1 = S$ and $\psi^{-1}(S_1) = M$ so that $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is elementary even if $d = 2$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a quadric fibration. Let U_1 denote the largest open subset of S_1 such that $\psi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$ is smooth. Here we see by Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 20.2 that $\psi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$ is, in the étale topology, a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle or a quadric fibration, and on the space $\psi^{-1}(V) = V \times_{U_1} \psi^{-1}(U_1)$ over any small étale open set V of U_1 exists a line bundle H_V such that the restriction of H_V to any closed fiber of $\psi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Since $\dim U_1 = 1$, Tsen's theorem implies that $H^2(U_{1\text{et}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, where $U_{1\text{et}}$ denotes U_1 with étale topology. (See, e.g., [39, III, p.108].) Hence modifying a glueing, if necessary, we can glue these H_V 's in the étale topology. Moreover it follows from [39, III.4.9] that $H^1(\psi^{-1}(U_1)_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^1(\psi^{-1}(U_1)_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}^\times) = \text{Pic } \psi^{-1}(U_1)$. Hence there exists an algebraic line bundle H on $\psi^{-1}(U_1)$ such that $H|_F = \mathcal{O}_F(1)$ for any closed fiber F of $\psi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$. Since $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$ is smooth and H is algebraic, we can extend H to a line bundle on $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$, which we also denote by H by abuse of notation.

Let F' be an arbitrary closed fiber of $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$. Then F' is irreducible and reduced; indeed, if F' were decomposed as $F'_1 + F'_2$, then the Cartier divisor F'_1 of $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$ would satisfy the condition $F'_1 \cdot C'_0 = 0$ for a curve C'_0 in a general fiber of $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$, so that F'_1 itself must be a fiber of $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$, a contradiction. If $\psi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -fibration, then its closed fiber $(F, H|_F)$ has Fujita's delta genus $\Delta(F, H|_F) = 0$ and degree $H|_F^d = 1$, and therefore $(F', H|_{F'})$ also has the same delta genus and degree, so that $(F', H|_{F'}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Thus $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle in the Zariski topology. If $\psi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_1$ is a quadric fibration, then the polarized variety $(F, H|_F)$ has Fujita's delta genus $\Delta(F, H|_F) = 0$ and degree $H|_F^d = 2$, and therefore $(F', H|_{F'}) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^d, \mathcal{O}(1))$, which may be singular. Since we have $K_{F'} + \tau \det \mathcal{E}|_{F'} = 0$ by the adjunction formula and we have $\tau r = d$, we infer that $\det \mathcal{E}|_{F'} = \mathcal{O}(r)$. Therefore $\mathcal{E}|_{F'}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$ by [51, Lemma 3.6.1] (note that [51, Lemma 3.6.1] also holds for a singular hyperquadric \mathbb{Q} , which can be shown easily by the induction on $\dim \text{Sing } \mathbb{Q}$). Hence if $d = n-1$, \mathcal{E} can be written in the form $\psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes H$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{E}' of rank r on S .

Suppose that $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -fibration. Since $\psi^{-1}(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ is a \mathbb{P}^d -bundle, $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ has at most a finite number of singular fibers. Moreover $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$

has no divisorial fibers since $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is elementary. Hence $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ has equidimensional fibers. Therefore we infer that every closed fiber F' of $\psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{d-1} by the same argument as in [3, §2.2, e-mail note of T. Fujita]. Hence $S_2 \subset U$ and $\text{codim}(S \setminus U, S) \geq 3$.

Finally, since the intersection number $(H(\mathcal{E}(-H)))|_{(\psi \circ \pi)^{-1}(t)}^{2d-1}$ is constant for all $t \in U$, we obtain the cases (i) and (ii) of the proposition. \square

22. THE CASE $n - 1 < \tau r \leq n - 1$ AND $\dim S > 0$.

Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be as in § 5. We will give a proof of Theorem 1.3 in case $n - 2 < \tau r \leq n - 1$ and $\dim S > 0$. By inequality (5.1) in § 5, we have two cases:

- 1) ψ is of fiber type and $1 \leq \dim S \leq 2$;
- 2) ψ is divisorial and contracts $E(R)$ to a point.

For the case 2), we have already seen in § 6 that S is smooth, that ψ is the blowing up at a point, that $\tau r = n - 1$, that $\mathcal{E} \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$ for some ample vector bundle \mathcal{E}' of rank r on S , and that $\tau(S, \det \mathcal{E}') r \leq n - 1$. Suppose that $\tau(S, \det \mathcal{E}') r = n - 1$, and set $M_1 = S$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}'$. We will determine the structure of (M_1, \mathcal{E}_1) in § 22.1, to obtain the case (12) of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose that we are in the case 1) and that ψ is of fiber type. If $\dim S = 1$, then we can apply Proposition 21.1 to obtain the cases (8), (9) and a part of (10) of Theorem 1.3. If $\dim S = 2$, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that every closed fiber F of ψ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{n-2} and that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$. This is the case (11) of Theorem 1.3.

Remark 22.1. Suppose that ψ is of fiber type and that $\dim S = 2$. If r and $n - 1$ are coprime, there exist integers a and b such that $-a(n - 1) + br = 1$. Hence $aK_M + b \det \mathcal{E}$ makes ψ in fact a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle in the Zariski topology. On the contrary, if r and $n - 1$ are not coprime, does there always exist a pair of an ample vector bundle \mathcal{E} and ψ such that ψ is a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle not in the Zariski topology but in the étale topology? If $r = n - 2 = 2$, an example is shown in [3]

22.1. More on divisorial case. Let $\psi : M \rightarrow M_1$, E , and (M_1, \mathcal{E}_1) be as in § 6.

Note that there exists no rational curve l_1 passing through $\psi(E)$ such that $\mathcal{E}_1|_{l_1}$ has an $\mathcal{O}_{l_1}(1)$ as a quotient line bundle since $\mathcal{E} \cong \psi^* \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$ and \mathcal{E} is ample.

Suppose that $\tau(M_1, \det \mathcal{E}_1) r = n - 1$ and that (M_1, \mathcal{E}_1) has a divisorial elementary contraction. The note above then implies that the exceptional divisor E_1 of M_1 does not contain $\psi(E)$.

Suppose that $\tau(M_1, \det \mathcal{E}_1) r = n - 1$ and that every elementary contraction of (M_1, \mathcal{E}_1) is of fiber type. Then for every point p of M_1 there exists an extremal rational curve passing through p ; in particular, M_1 contains an extremal rational curve l_1 passing through $\psi(E)$. Since we must have $\det \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot l_1 \geq 2r$ by the note above, we have $2(n - 1) = 2\tau(M_1, \det \mathcal{E}_1) r \leq \tau(M_1, \det \mathcal{E}_1) \det \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot l_1 = -K_{M_1} \cdot l_1 \leq n + 1$. Hence $n \leq 3$. Now we use the assumption that $\tau \geq 1$; since $\tau \geq 1$, we have $n - 1 = \tau r \geq r$, and thus $r \leq 2$. Suppose that $n = 3$. The note above and the classification given in the case 1) of § 22 implies that $\rho(M_1)$ cannot be bigger than one (note that in the case (10) of Theorem 1.3 we have $r = n - 1$ if $\tau r = n - 1$). Hence $\rho(M_1) = 1$. Suppose moreover that $r = 2$. Then $\tau = 1$. The note above and the classification given in § 12 and 18 then implies that $(M_1, \mathcal{E}_1) \cong (\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2})$. Suppose moreover that $r = 1$. Then $K_{M_1} + 2\mathcal{E}_1 = 0$. Hence (M_1, \mathcal{E}_1) is a Del Pezzo 3-fold of degree $\mathcal{E}_1^3 = \mathcal{E}^3 + 1 \geq 2$. If $2 \leq \mathcal{E}_1^3 \leq 7$, we can deduce from

the classification [18], [25] of Del Pezzo 3-folds that for each point $x \in M_1$ there is a line passing through x . This contradicts the note above. Hence $\mathcal{E}_1^3 = 8$ and $(M_1, \mathcal{E}_1) \cong (\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$. If $n = 2$, since every elementary contraction of M_1 is of fiber type by assumption, M_1 is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 or a scroll over a curve; if $M_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ then $\mathcal{E}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}(3)$ and if M_1 is a scroll then $\mathcal{E}_1|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F(2)$ for every fiber F of the scroll.

Summing up, we can repeat this process (divisorial case) until strict inequality $\tau(M_k, \det \mathcal{E}_k)r < n - 1$ holds, unless one of the following holds:

- 1) $M_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}(2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2}$;
- 2) $(M_k, \mathcal{E}_k) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$ ($1 \leq k \leq 8$);
- 3) M_k is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a curve and $\mathcal{E}_k|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F(2)$ for every fiber F of the projection. \square

Remark 22.2. Suppose that (M, \mathcal{E}) has one of the structures of type (8), (9), (10), (11), (12) (d) of Theorem 1.3; here we only assume the structure of (M, \mathcal{E}) with $r \leq n - 1$ and not the nef value to satisfy $\tau r = n - 1$. Then we have $\tau r = n - 1$ unless (M, \mathcal{E}) is one of the following: $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(2))$; $(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1))$; or $(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1))^{\oplus 2})$. Namely if we suppose moreover that $\tau r > n - 1$ then (M, \mathcal{E}) is one of the pairs listed above.

23. THE CASE $\dim F - 1 \geq \tau r > \dim F - 2$

Let M, \mathcal{E} , and $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be as in § 5.

The following proposition gives a rough classification of (M, \mathcal{E}) with ψ of fiber type in case $\dim F - 1 \geq \tau r > \dim F - 2$ for a general fiber F of ψ .

Proposition 23.1. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 1$, that ψ is of fiber type, and that $\dim F - 1 \geq \tau r > \dim F - 2$ for a general fiber F of ψ . Set $d = \dim F = \lceil \tau r \rceil + 1$. Then we have one of the following:*

- (1) d is odd, $r = (d - 1)/2$, and $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F) \cong (\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$;
- (2) F is a Del Pezzo manifold, and $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong A^{\oplus r}$ for the ample line bundle A on F such that $K_F + (d - 1)A = 0$ (the Picard number $\rho(F)$ of F is not necessarily one in this case);
- (3) $\tau = 1$, the Picard number $\rho(F)$ of F is one, and $K_F + \det \mathcal{E}|_F = 0$;
- (4) $r = 2$, $\tau = 1$, $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $\mathcal{E}|_F = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ or $T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$;
- (5) $d - 1 > \tau r > d - 2$, the Picard number $\rho(F)$ of F is one, and $K_F + \tau \det \mathcal{E}|_F = 0$.

Proof. Since $d - 1 \geq \tau r > d - 2$, $d = \dim F$, and $K_F + \tau \det \mathcal{E}|_F = 0$, we will apply the classification of the case $n - 1 \geq \tau r > n - 2$; note that we have already proved Theorem 1.3 under an additional assumption that $\tau r > n - 2$.

Suppose that the Picard number $\rho(F)$ of F is one. If $d - 1 > \tau r > d - 2$, we are in the case (5) of the proposition. (The precise classification of $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ with $d - 1 > \tau r > d - 2$ corresponds to the cases (4), (13), (14), (15) where $n \neq 2$, and (16) of Theorem 1.3.) Suppose that $d - 1 = \tau r$. If $\tau = 1$, we are in the case (3) of the proposition. If $\tau > 1$, we see, by the classification of the case $\tau r = n - 1$ and by the assumption $\rho(F) = 1$, that we are in the cases (1) or (2) of the proposition.

Suppose that the Picard number $\rho(F)$ of F is bigger than one. Then F has at least two extremal rays. Moreover we see, by the classification of the case $n - 1 \geq \tau r > n - 2$, that we may assume that $d - 1 = \tau r$.

Suppose that some of the extremal rays of F corresponds to a birational contraction morphism. Then $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ lies in the case (12) of Theorem 1.3; we see, in particular, that the birational contraction of the ray is divisorial. Denote by E the exceptional divisor. Since $K_F + \tau \det \mathcal{E}|_F$ is trivial, $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ does not lie in the sub-case (a) of the case (12) of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ is in the sub-case (b) of the case (12). Then F is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1))$ over \mathbb{P}^2 , and $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))^{\oplus r}$. Hence $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ lies in the case (2) of the proposition. If $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ is in the sub-case (c) or (d) of the case (12) of Theorem 1.3, then $r = 1$, $\tau = 1$, and F is a Del Pezzo surface with $-K_F = \mathcal{E}|_F$. Hence $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ lies in the case (2) of the proposition.

Suppose that each extremal ray of F corresponds to a contraction morphism of fiber type. Since $\rho(F) \geq 2$ by assumption, the image of any contraction morphism is positive dimensional. Thus $(F, \mathcal{E}|_F)$ lies in either of the cases (11), (8), (9), or (10) of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose that some extremal ray corresponds to a contraction of the type (11) of Theorem 1.3; let $f : F \rightarrow S'$ be the contraction onto a smooth surface S' . Then f is a \mathbb{P}^{d-2} -fibration and $d \geq 3$.

Suppose that some other extremal ray also corresponds to a contraction of the case (11) of Theorem 1.3; let $g : F \rightarrow S''$ be a \mathbb{P}^{d-2} -fibration onto a smooth surface S'' . Since the restriction of f to a fiber of g is finite by Lemma 8.1, we see that $d - 2 \leq 2$. If $d - 2 = 2$, then the restriction of f to a fiber \mathbb{P}^2 of g is finite surjective onto a smooth projective surface S' . Therefore we infer that $S' \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ since a non-constant morphism from \mathbb{P}^2 is finite so that S' must be a minimal rational surface which does not admit a surjective morphism onto a curve. Now, by Lemma 13.1, we know that the restriction of f to any fiber of g is an isomorphism. Therefore $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Moreover we have $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong (\mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1))^{\oplus r}$, where $1 \leq r \leq \tau r = 3$. Setting $A = \mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1)$, we have $K_F + (d - 1)A = 0$ and $\mathcal{E} \cong A^{\oplus r}$. Hence this is in the case (2) of the proposition. If $d - 2 = 1$, then $r \leq \tau r = 2$. If $r = 2$, since $K_F + \det \mathcal{E}|_F = 0$ and F has two \mathbb{P}^1 -fibrations over surfaces, we see, by [52], that F is a Del Pezzo manifold and that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong A^{\oplus r}$ where A is a line bundle satisfying $K_F + (d - 1)A = 0$. This description is also valid in case $r = 1$. Hence these are also in the case (2) of the proposition.

Suppose that some other extremal ray corresponds to a contraction of the case (8) of Theorem 1.3; let $g : F \rightarrow C$ be the contraction onto a smooth curve C . Then a general fiber of g is a smooth hyperquadric of dimension $d - 1$. Since the restriction of f to a fiber of g is finite by Lemma 8.1, we see that $d - 1 = 2$. Hence the restriction of f to a general fiber \mathbb{Q}^2 of g is finite surjective onto a smooth projective surface S' . Therefore we infer that $S' \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ or \mathbb{Q}^2 . If $S' \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, then we see, by Lemma 13.1, that a general fiber \mathbb{Q}^2 of g is isomorphic to S' via the restriction of f . This is a contradiction. Therefore $S' \cong \mathbb{Q}^2$. Now if $r = 2$ it follows from [52] that F is a Del Pezzo manifold and that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong A^{\oplus 2}$ where A is a line bundle satisfying $K_F + (d - 1)A = 0$. This description is also valid in case $r = 1$. Hence these are in the case (2) of the proposition.

Suppose that some other extremal ray corresponds to a contraction of the case (9) or (10) of Theorem 1.3; let $g : F \rightarrow C$ be the contraction onto a curve C . Then a fiber of g is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{d-1} . Since the restriction of f to a fiber of g is finite by Lemma 8.1 and thus surjective onto a smooth projective surface S' , we see that $d - 1 = 2$ and that $S' \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Now it follows from Lemma 13.1 that the restriction

of f to any fiber of g is an isomorphism. Therefore $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Note here that $\tau r = d - 1 = 2$ and that $\tau \det \mathcal{E}|_F = -K_F = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$. Hence $\tau = 1$, $r = 2$, and $\mathcal{E}|_F = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ or $T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. This is the case (4) of the proposition.

Suppose that two of the extremal rays of F correspond to contraction morphisms of the cases (8), (9), or (10) of Theorem 1.3. Then we see that $d = 2$ by Lemma 8.1. Since the case where one of extremal rays of F corresponds to a birational morphism is already done in the above argument, we may assume that F is minimal. Therefore we infer that $F \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and that $\mathcal{E}|_F = \mathcal{O}(2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(2)$. Thus we have $K_F + (d-1)A = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}|_F = A^{\oplus r}$, and we are in the case (2) of the proposition. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

24. THE CASE $\tau r = n - 2$ AND ψ OF FIBER TYPE WITH $\dim S > 0$

Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S$ be as in § 5. We will give a proof of Theorem 1.3 under the condition that $\tau r = n - 2$ and that ψ is of fiber type with $\dim S > 0$. By inequality (5.1) in § 5, we infer that $1 \leq \dim S \leq 3$.

Suppose that $\dim S = 3$. Then Proposition 3.1 shows that S has only finite number of Gorenstein rational singularities, that, except for a finite number of singular fibers of ψ , every smooth fiber F of ψ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{n-3} , and that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r}$. This is the case (24) of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose that $\dim S = 2$. Then we can apply Proposition 21.1; note here that S is smooth even if $d = 2$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a quadric fibration as can be seen from its proof, since $\psi : M = \psi^{-1}(S_2) \rightarrow S_2 = S$ is, by assumption, a contraction morphism of an extremal ray. Therefore we obtain the cases (21), (22) and (23) of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose that $\dim S = 1$. Now we can apply Proposition 23.1; suppose that we are in the case (1) of Proposition 23.1. Then we can show that ψ is a projective space bundle. Therefore we get a special case of the case (10) of Theorem 1.3. The case (2) of Proposition 23.1 corresponds to the case (19) of Theorem 1.3. and the cases (3) and (4) correspond to the case (20) of Theorem 1.3.

REFERENCES

1. M. Andreatta, *Characterization theorems for the projective space and vector bundle adjunction*, Manuscripta Math. **110** (2003), no. 4, 505–512.
2. M. Andreatta, E. Ballico, and J. A. Wiśniewski, *On contractions of smooth algebraic varieties*, Preprint UTM 344, 1991.
3. ———, *Vector bundles and adjunction*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), no. 3, 331–340.
4. M. Andreatta and M. Mella, *Contractions on a manifold polarized by an ample vector bundle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 11, 4669–4683.
5. M. Andreatta and G. Occhetta, *Ample vector bundles with sections vanishing on special varieties*, Internat. J. Math. **10** (1999), no. 6, 677–696.
6. ———, *Special rays in the Mori cone of a projective variety*, Nagoya Math. J. **168** (2002), 127–137.
7. E. Ballico, *Uniform vector bundles of rank $(n + 1)$ on \mathbf{P}_n* , Tsukuba J. Math. **7** (1983), no. 2, 215–226.
8. M. C. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese, *The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 16, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995.
9. C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 778–782.

10. K. Cho, Y. Miyaoka, and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic manifolds*, Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 35, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002, pp. 1–88.
11. G. Elençwajg, *Les fibrés uniformes de rang 3 sur $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$ sont homogènes*, Math. Ann. **231** (1978), no. 3, 217–227.
12. ———, *Fibrés uniformes de rang élevé sur \mathbf{P}_2* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **31** (1981), no. 4, 89–114.
13. G. Elençwajg, A. Hirschowitz, and M. Schneider, *Les fibres uniformes de rang au plus n sur $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ sont ceux qu'on croit*, Vector bundles and differential equations (Proc. Conf., Nice, 1979) (Boston, Mass.) (A. Hirschowitz, ed.), Progr. Math., no. 7, Birkhäuser, 1980, pp. 37–63.
14. P. Ellia, *Sur les fibrés uniformes de rang $(n + 1)$ sur \mathbf{P}^n* , Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) (1982), no. 7, 60pp.
15. T. Fujita, *On the hyperplane section principle of Lefschetz*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **32** (1980), no. 1, 153–169.
16. ———, *On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, Kinokuniya Company LTD, Tokyo, 1987, pp. 167–178.
17. ———, *Remarks on quasi-polarized varieties*, Nagoya Math. J. **115** (1989), 105–123.
18. ———, *Classification theories of polarized varieties*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 155, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
19. ———, *On adjoint bundles of ample vector bundles*, Complex algebraic varieties (Proceedings, Bayreuth, 1990) (Berlin) (K. Hulek, T. Peternell, M. Schneider, and F.-O. Schreyer, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1507, Springer, 1992, pp. 105–112.
20. W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
21. A. Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer. I. Algèbres d'Azumaya et interprétations diverses*, Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas (Amsterdam), North-Holland, 1968, pp. 46–66.
22. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
23. P. Ionescu, *Generalized adjunction and applications*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **99** (1986), no. 3, 457–472.
24. S. Ishimura, *On a generalization of Schwarzenberger's theorem. (Japanese)*, Sūgaku **32** (1980), no. 4, 365–367.
25. V. A. Iskovskih, *Fano threefolds*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **41** (1977), no. 3, 516–562, 717, English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. **11** (1977), no. 3, 485–527 (1978).
26. Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, Kinokuniya Company LTD, Tokyo, 1987, pp. 283–360.
27. S. Kebekus, *Characterizing the projective space after Cho, Miyaoka and Shepherd-Barron*, Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), Springer, Berlin, 2002, pp. 147–155.
28. ———, *Families of singular rational curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **11** (2002), no. 2, 245–256.
29. S. L. Kleiman, *Toward a numerical theory of ampleness*, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 293–344.
30. S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.
31. J. Kollár, *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I.*, Ann. of Math. (2) **123** (1986), no. 1, 11–42.
32. ———, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
33. J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, and S. Mori, *Rational curves on Fano varieties*, Classification of irregular varieties Minimal models and Abelian Varieties (Proceedings, Trento, 1990) (Berlin) (E. Ballico, F. Catanese, and C. Ciliberto, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1515, Springer, 1992, pp. 100–105.
34. ———, *Rationally connected varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), no. 3, 429–448.
35. A. Lanteri and H. Maeda, *Geometrically ruled surfaces as zero loci of ample vector bundles*, Forum Math. **9** (1997), no. 1, 1–15.

36. R. Lazarsfeld, *Some applications of the theory of positive vector bundles*, Complete Intersections (Proceedings, Acireale, 1983) (Berlin) (S. Greco and R. Strano, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1092, Springer, 1984, pp. 29–61.
37. H. Maeda, *Nefness of adjoint bundles for ample vector bundles*, Matematiche (Catania) **50** (1995), no. 1, 73–82.
38. M. Maruyama, *On a family of algebraic vector bundles*, Number theory, algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, in honor of Yasuo Akizuki (Tokyo), Kinokuniya, 1973, pp. 95–146.
39. J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
40. S. Mori, *Classification of higher-dimensional varieties*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (S. J. Bloch, ed.), no. 46.
41. ———, *Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 3, 593–606.
42. ———, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), no. 1, 133–176.
43. M. Ohno, *On nef values of determinants of ample vector bundles*, Free resolutions of coordinate rings of projective varieties and related topics (Japanese), Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku, no. 1078, February 1999, pp. 75–85.
44. C. Okonek, M. Schneider, and H. Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 3, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980.
45. G. Ottaviani, *Spinor bundles on quadrics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **307** (1988), no. 1, 301–316.
46. T. Peternell, *A characterization of \mathbf{P}_n by vector bundles*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), no. 3, 487–490.
47. ———, *Ample vector bundles on Fano manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **2** (1991), no. 3, 311–322.
48. T. Peternell, M. Szurek, and J. A. Wiśniewski, *Fano manifolds and vector bundles*, Math. Ann. **294** (1992), no. 1, 151–165.
49. E. Sato, *Uniform vector bundles on a projective space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **28** (1976), no. 1, 123–132.
50. A. Van de Ven, *On uniform vector bundles*, Math. Ann. **195** (1972), 245–248.
51. J. A. Wiśniewski, *Length of extremal rays and generalized adjunction*, Math. Z. **200** (1989), no. 3, 409–427.
52. ———, *Ruled Fano 4-folds of index 2*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), no. 1, 55–61.
53. ———, *On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **417** (1991), 141–157.
54. Y. Ye and Q. Zhang, *On ample vector bundles whose adjunction bundles are not numerically effective*, Duke Math. J. **60** (1990), no. 3, 671–687.

DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEM ENGINEERING, THE UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRO-COMMUNICATIONS, 1-5-1 CHOFUGAOKA CHOFU-SHI TOKYO, 182-8585 JAPAN
E-mail address: ohno@e-one.uec.ac.jp