

## BORDISM INVARIANTS OF THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP

AARON HEAP

ABSTRACT. We define new bordism and spin bordism invariants of certain subgroups of the mapping class group of a surface. In particular, they are invariants of the Johnson filtration of the mapping class group. The second and third terms of this filtration are the well-known Torelli group and Johnson subgroup, respectively. We introduce a new representation in terms of spin bordism, and we prove that this single representation contains all of the information given by the Johnson homomorphism, the Birman-Craggs homomorphism, and the Morita homomorphism.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  be a compact, oriented surface of genus  $g$  with one boundary component. Let  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  be the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ . That is,  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  which fix the boundary. The study of mapping class groups has important applications in many different areas of topology, differential geometry, and algebraic geometry. Here we are particularly interested in  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  within the area of 3-manifold topology.

The mapping class group  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  acts naturally by automorphisms on the fundamental group  $F = \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$ , which is a free group of rank  $2g$ . Then we have the induced representation  $\Gamma_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$ , and this representation is known classically to be injective. Let  $\{F_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be the lower central series of  $F$ . That is,  $F_1 = F$  and the rest of the terms are defined inductively by  $F_{k+1} = [F_k, F_1]$  for any  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  acts naturally on the nilpotent quotients  $F/F_k$ , providing a series of representations

$$\rho_k : \Gamma_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right).$$

Note that  $F/F_2$  is isomorphic to the first homology group  $H_1 = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\rho_2$  is the same as the classical representation  $\Gamma_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2g; \mathbb{Z})$  of the mapping class group onto the Siegel modular group, which is the group of symplectic automorphisms of  $H_1$  with respect to the skew-symmetric intersection pairing.

The *generalized Johnson subgroup*  $\mathcal{J}(k) \subseteq \Gamma_{g,1}$  is defined to be the kernel of  $\rho_k$ . That is,  $\mathcal{J}(k)$  is the subgroup of the mapping class group consisting of those homeomorphisms which induce the identity on  $F/F_k$ . The subgroup  $\mathcal{J}(2) = \mathcal{T}_{g,1}$  is more commonly known as the *Torelli group*, and  $\mathcal{J}(3) = \mathcal{K}_{g,1}$  is traditionally referred to as the *Johnson subgroup*. The Johnson subgroup was originally defined to be the subgroup of  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  generated by all Dehn twists about separating simple closed curves on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ . The fact that these two definitions of  $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$  are equivalent was proved by D. Johnson in [J5].

---

*Date:* November 10, 2004.

To get a better understanding of the structure of the subgroup  $\mathcal{J}(k)$ , it is natural to seek abelian representations for it. That is, we would hope to understand  $\mathcal{J}(k)$  better by investigating abelian quotients of it. The first such quotient of the Torelli group  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  was given by a homomorphism due to D. Sullivan in [Su]. Johnson gave another homomorphism for  $\mathcal{J}(2)$ , of which Sullivan's is a quotient, in [J2]. He later generalized this homomorphism to  $\mathcal{J}(k)$  for all  $k \geq 2$  in [J3], thus giving a family of homomorphisms

$$\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( H_1, \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \right),$$

now known as the *Johnson homomorphisms*. In the case  $k = 2$ , the image of  $\tau_2$  is known to be a submodule  $D_2(H_1)$  of  $\text{Hom}(H_1, F/F_2) = \text{Hom}(H_1, H_1)$ . Moreover, the kernel of  $\tau_2$  is known to be  $\mathcal{J}(3)$ . In general,  $\ker \tau_k = \mathcal{J}(k+1)$ . However, the image of  $\tau_k$  is not known for  $k \geq 3$ , and it is a fundamental problem in the study of the mapping class group to determine its image.

In [BC] J. Birman and R. Craggs produced a collection of abelian quotients of  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  given by homomorphisms onto  $\mathbb{Z}_2, \rho : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ . These are finite in number and unrelated to Johnson's homomorphism. However, Johnson showed in [J6] that the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_2$  and the totality of these *Birman-Craggs homomorphisms*, together, completely determine the abelianization of the Torelli group  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  for  $g \geq 3$ . The abelianization of  $\mathcal{J}(k)$  is not known for  $k > 2$ .

In this paper we give new representations in terms of the 3-dimensional bordism groups  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$  and  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$ . The former is a faithful representation of the abelian quotient  $\mathcal{J}(k)/\mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ , and the latter is a homomorphism which combines the Johnson and Birman-Craggs homomorphisms into a single homomorphism. See Sections 5 and 6 for specific details.

## 2. THE JOHNSON HOMOMORPHISM

**2.1. Johnson's Original Definition of  $\tau_k$ .** In this section we give a description of Johnson's homomorphisms. Let  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  be a compact, oriented surface of genus  $g$  with one boundary component and with fundamental group  $F$ . Let  $\{F_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be the lower central series of  $F$ . Let the *generalized Johnson subgroup*  $\mathcal{J}(k)$  be the subgroup of the mapping class group consisting of those homeomorphisms that induce the identity on  $F/F_k$ .

Consider any  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ . Choose a representative  $\gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1}) = F$  for any given element  $[\gamma] \in H_1 = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z}) = F/F_2$ , and consider the element  $f_*(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}$  which belongs to  $F_k$  since  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$  implies  $f_*$  acts trivially on  $F/F_k$ . Then let  $[f_*(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}] \in F_k/F_{k+1}$  denote the equivalence class of  $f_*(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}$  under the projection  $F_k \rightarrow F_k/F_{k+1}$ . Then we define the *Johnson homomorphisms*

$$\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( H_1, \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \right)$$

by letting  $\tau_k(f)$  be the homomorphism  $[\gamma] \rightarrow [f_*(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}]$ . The skew-symmetric intersection pairing on  $H_1$  defines a canonical isomorphism  $H_1 \cong \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathbb{Z})$ , and this induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom} \left( H_1, \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \right) \cong \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \cong H_1 \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}}.$$

Thus we could also write

$$\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow H_1 \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}}.$$

This is Johnson's original definition [J3], but there are several equivalent definitions of his homomorphism. Also in [J3], one can see a definition in terms of the intersection ring of the mapping torus of  $f$ . There is a definition of  $\tau_k$  in terms of the Magnus representation of the mapping class group  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  that may be found in [Ki] or [Mo].

The final definition we mention in this paper will be given in Section 2.3. It was stated by Johnson [J3] and verified by T. Kitano [Ki]. This definition gives a computable description of  $\tau_k$  in terms of Massey products of mapping tori.

We complete this section with a few well-known facts about the Johnson homomorphisms  $\tau_k$  and the subgroups  $\mathcal{J}(k)$ . It was shown by Morita in [Mo] that

$$[\mathcal{J}(k), \mathcal{J}(l)] \subset \mathcal{J}(k+l-1).$$

In particular, the commutator subgroup  $[\mathcal{J}(k), \mathcal{J}(k)]$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{J}(2k-1)$  for  $k \geq 2$ . As mentioned before,  $\ker \tau_k = \mathcal{J}(k+1)$ . Then the image of  $\tau_k$  is isomorphic to the abelian quotient  $\mathcal{J}(k)/\mathcal{J}(k+1)$ . Thus the information provided by the  $k-1$  homomorphisms  $\tau_k, \dots, \tau_{2k-2}$  can be combined to determine the abelian quotient  $\mathcal{J}(k)/\mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ . Unfortunately this only at most detects the free-abelian part of the abelianization  $\mathcal{J}(k)/[\mathcal{J}(k), \mathcal{J}(k)] \cong H_1(\mathcal{J}(k))$ . For example, the image of  $\tau_2$  is given by  $\mathcal{J}(2)/\mathcal{J}(3) = \mathcal{T}_{g,1}/\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ , and  $\mathcal{J}(2)/\mathcal{J}(3) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_1(\mathcal{T}_{g,1}; \mathbb{Q})$ , whereas the abelianization of the Torelli group  $H_1(\mathcal{T}_{g,1})$  has 2-torsion. We will discuss this 2-torsion in more detail in Section 3.

**2.2. Massey Products.** Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of topological spaces, and unless otherwise stated we assume that the coefficients for homology and cohomology groups are always the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this section we will give the definition of the Massey product

$$H^1(X, A) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^1(X, A) \rightarrow H^2(X, A)$$

since these are the only dimensions that we are interested in using, and we will give a few useful properties of which we wish to take advantage. The general definition is completely analogous except for various sign conventions, and we refer the reader to D. Kraines [Kr]. For a more complete description of this specific definition we are giving and for some useful examples, we refer you to R. Fenn's book [Fe].

Massey products may be viewed as higher order analogues of cup products and are defined when certain cup products vanish. Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in H^1(X, A)$  be cohomology classes with cocycle representatives  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in C^1(X, A)$ , respectively. A *defining set* for the Massey product  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$  is a collection of cochains  $a = (a_{i,j})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$  and  $(i, j) \neq (1, n)$ , satisfying

- (1)  $a_{i,i} = a_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,
- (2)  $a_{i,j} \in C^1(X, A)$ ,
- (3)  $\delta a_{i,j} = \sum_{r=i}^{j-1} a_{i,r} \cup a_{r+1,j}$ .

For such a defining set  $a$  consider the cocycle  $u(a) \in C^2(X, A)$  given by

$$u(a) = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} a_{1,r} \cup a_{r+1,n}.$$

The *Massey product*  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$  is defined if a defining set  $a$  exists, and it is defined to be the subset of  $H^2(X, A)$  consisting of the values  $u(a)$  of all such defining sets  $a$ .

The length 1 Massey product  $\langle u_1 \rangle$  is simply defined to be  $u_1$ , and its defining set is any cocycle representative of  $u_1$ . The length 2 Massey product  $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$  is the cup product  $u_1 \cup u_2$ . The triple Massey product  $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$  is defined only when  $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle u_2, u_3 \rangle$  are zero. As you may notice from the definition, Massey products of length 3 or greater may not be uniquely defined but in fact may be a set of elements. However, if a sufficient number of smaller Massey products vanish, then  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$  is uniquely defined. We have the following useful properties.

(2.2.1) *Uniqueness.* For  $n \geq 3$ , the Massey product  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$  is uniquely defined if all Massey products of length less than  $n$  are defined and vanish. (This hypothesis is stronger than necessary for uniqueness, but it is sufficient for our purposes.)

(2.2.2) *Naturality.* Let  $(Y, B)$  be a pair of topological spaces, and consider a map of pairs  $f : (Y, B) \rightarrow (X, A)$ . If  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$  is defined then so is  $\langle f^*(u_1), \dots, f^*(u_n) \rangle$ , and  $f^* \langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle \subset \langle f^*(u_1), \dots, f^*(u_n) \rangle$ . Furthermore, if  $f^*$  is an isomorphism, then equality holds.

**2.3. Massey Product Description of  $\tau_k$ .** We are now prepared to describe Johnson's homomorphisms  $\tau_k$  using Massey products of mapping tori. For a more complete description, see the work of Kitano [Ki]. As before,  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  is an oriented surface of genus  $g$  with one boundary component  $\partial\Sigma_{g,1}$ . Consider any homeomorphism  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ , and let  $T_{f,1}$  denote the mapping torus of  $f$ . That is,  $T_{f,1}$  is  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [0, 1]$  with  $x \times \{0\}$  glued to  $f(x) \times \{1\}$ . Note that the boundary  $\partial T_{f,1}$  is the torus  $\partial\Sigma_{g,1} \times S^1$ . With the natural orientation on  $[0, 1]$ , we have a local orientation on  $T_{f,1}$  given by the product orientation. Moreover, since  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$  acts trivially on  $H_1 = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$  as long as  $k \geq 2$ , the mapping torus  $T_{f,1}$  is an oriented homology  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times S^1$ , but the Massey product structure may be different than that of  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times S^1$ .

First, fix a basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}\}$  for the free group  $F = \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$ . Then if  $\gamma$  represents a generator of  $\pi_1(S^1)$ , we get the following presentation of  $\pi_1(T_{f,1})$ :

$$\pi_1(T_{f,1}) = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}, \gamma \mid [\alpha_1, \gamma] f_*(\alpha_1) \alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, [\alpha_{2g}, \gamma] f_*(\alpha_{2g}) \alpha_{2g}^{-1} \rangle.$$

By denoting the homology classes of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma$  by  $x_i$  and  $y$ , respectively, we obtain a basis for  $H_1(T_{f,1})$ :

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_{2g}, y\} \in H_1(T_{f,1}).$$

Then since  $H^1(T_{f,1}) \cong \text{Hom}(H_1(T_{f,1}), \mathbb{Z})$ , we have a dual basis for  $H^1(T_{f,1})$ :

$$\{x_1^*, \dots, x_{2g}^*, y^*\} \in H^1(T_{f,1}).$$

Let  $j : (T_{f,1}, \emptyset) \rightarrow (T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$  be the inclusion map. The long exact sequence of a pair shows  $j_* : H_1(T_{f,1}) \rightarrow H_1(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$  has kernel generated by  $y$ . So we have a basis for  $H_1(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$ :

$$\{j_*(x_1), \dots, j_*(x_{2g})\} \in H_1(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}).$$

And this gives a corresponding basis for  $H_2(T_{f,1}) \cong H^1(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$ :

$$\{X_1, \dots, X_{2g}\} \in H_2(T_{f,1}).$$

Let  $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}[F] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the augmentation map and let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} : \mathbb{Z}[F] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F], \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2g$$

be the Fox's free derivatives. Here  $\mathbb{Z}[F]$  is the integral group ring of the free group  $F$ . Finally, let  $\mathfrak{X}$  denote the ring of formal power series in the noncommutative variables  $t_1, \dots, t_{2g}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{X}_k$  denote the submodule of  $\mathfrak{X}$  corresponding to the degree  $k$  part. One can show  $F_k/F_{k+1}$  is a submodule of  $\mathfrak{X}_k$ , where the inclusion map is induced by

$$F_k \ni \zeta \mapsto \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{j_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{j_k}} (\zeta) t_{j_1} \dots t_{j_k} \in \mathfrak{X}_k.$$

Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Kitano). *There is a homomorphism  $\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathfrak{X}_k)$  defined by letting  $\tau_k(f)$  be the homomorphism*

$$x_i \mapsto \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \langle \langle x_{j_1}^*, \dots, x_{j_k}^* \rangle, X_i \rangle t_{j_1} \dots t_{j_k}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the dual pairing of  $H^2(T_{f,1})$  and  $H_2(T_{f,1})$ . Moreover, this homomorphism is the same as the Johnson homomorphism.

The canonical restriction  $H^*(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}) \rightarrow H^*(T_{f,1})$  leads to the following theorem that gives a relation between the algebraic structure of the mapping class group  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  and the topological structure of the mapping torus  $T_{f,1}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Kitano). *For any  $f \in \Gamma_{g,1}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k+1)$  if and only if all Massey products of length  $m$  of*

$$H^1(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^1(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}) \rightarrow H^2(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}) \rightarrow H^2(T_{f,1})$$

vanish for any  $m$  with  $1 < m \leq k$ .

**2.4. Morita's Refinement of  $\tau_k$ .** In this section we point out the work of Morita in [Mo], where Johnson's homomorphism  $\tau_k$  was refined so as to narrow the range of  $\tau_k$  to a submodule  $D_k(H_1)$  of  $H_1 \otimes F_k/F_{k+1}$ . This enhancement is obtained via a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\tau}_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow H_3 \left( \frac{F}{F_k} \right)$$

defined below. Recall that the homology of a group  $G$  is  $H_i(G) \equiv H_i(K(G, 1), \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $K(G, 1)$  is an Eilenberg-MacLane space. (We determine the kernel of Morita's refinement in Corollary 5.19 below.)

Let  $\zeta \in \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1}) = F$  represent the homotopy class of a simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  parallel to the boundary  $\partial \Sigma_{g,1}$ . Now we choose a 2-chain  $\sigma \in C_2(F)$  such that  $\partial \sigma = -\zeta$ . Since any  $f \in \Gamma_{g,1}$  is required by definition to fix the boundary, we have  $\partial(\sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma)) = -\zeta - (-\zeta) = 0$ . Thus  $\sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma)$  is a 2-cycle. Because  $H_2(F)$  is trivial, there is a 3-chain  $c_f \in C_3(F)$  such that  $\partial c_f = \sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma)$ . Note that, essentially, this is just a mapping cylinder construction. Let  $\bar{c}_f$  denote the image of  $c_f$  in  $C_3(F/F_k)$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$  then  $f_{\#}$  acts as the identity on  $F/F_k$ . Thus we have  $\partial \bar{c}_f = \sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma) = \bar{\sigma} - f_{\#}(\bar{\sigma}) = 0$ , and  $\bar{c}_f$  is a 3-cycle. Finally define  $[\bar{c}_f] \in H_3(F/F_k)$  to be the corresponding homology class, and we define Morita's homomorphism  $\tilde{\tau}_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow H_3(F/F_k)$  to be  $\tilde{\tau}_k(f) = [\bar{c}_f]$ . It is shown in [Mo] that the homology class  $[\bar{c}_f]$  does not depend on the choices that were made, and we refer you there for the details.

Now consider the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \rightarrow \frac{F}{F_{k+1}} \rightarrow \frac{F}{F_k} \rightarrow 1,$$

and let  $\{E_{p,q}^r\}$  be the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for the homology of this sequence. In particular, we have

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p \left( \frac{F}{F_k}; H_q \left( \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \right) \right).$$

Then we have the differential

$$d^2 : E_{3,0}^2 = H_3 \left( \frac{F}{F_k} \right) \rightarrow E_{1,1}^2 = H_1 \left( \frac{F}{F_k}; H_1 \left( \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \right) \right) \cong H_1 \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}}.$$

Finally, the refinement of Johnson's homomorphism is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** (Morita). *The composition  $d^2 \circ \tilde{\tau}_k$  coincides with Johnson's homomorphism  $\tau_k$  so that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & H_3 \left( \frac{F}{F_k} \right) \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\tau}_k & \downarrow d^2 \\ \mathcal{J}(k) & \xrightarrow{\tau_k} & H_1 \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \end{array}$$

**Theorem 2.4** (Morita). *Let  $D_k(H_1)$  be the submodule of  $H_1 \otimes F_k/F_{k+1}$  defined to be the kernel of the natural surjection*

$$H_1 \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \rightarrow \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_{k+2}}$$

*given by the Lie bracket map  $(w, \xi) \mapsto [w, \xi]$ . Then the image of the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow H_1 \otimes F_k/F_{k+1}$  is contained in  $D_k(H_1)$  so that we can write  $\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow D_k(H_1)$ .*

A short remark about this theorem is perhaps in order. It is known that the image of  $\tau_2$  is exactly equal to  $D_2(H_1)$ , and the image of  $\tau_3$  is a submodule of  $D_3(H_1)$  of index a power of 2. Thus  $\text{Im } \tau_3$  and  $D_3(H_1)$  have the same rank. However, for  $k \geq 4$ ,  $k$  even, the rank of  $\text{Im } \tau_k$  is smaller than the rank of  $D_k(H_1)$ . Please see [Mo] for more details.

### 3. BIRMAN-CRAGGS HOMOMORPHISM

As mentioned at the end of Section 2.1 the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_2$  only detects the free abelian part of the abelianization of the Torelli group  $\mathcal{J}(2)$ , and some 2-torsion remains undetected. In this section we will say a word about this 2-torsion. In [BC] Birman and Craggs defined a (finite) collection of abelian quotients of  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  given by homomorphisms onto  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Here we will give a description of these homomorphisms that is due to Johnson [J1]. This somewhat more tractable description is different than (yet equivalent to) Birman and Craggs' original definition, and it enabled Johnson to give the number of distinct Birman-Craggs homomorphisms.

Consider the surface  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ , and let  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2)$ . The definition of  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  requires that  $f$  be the identity on  $\partial\Sigma_{g,1}$ . Thus  $f$  can easily be extended to a homeomorphism of the closed surface  $\Sigma_g$ . Let  $h : \Sigma_g \rightarrow S^3$  be a Heegaard embedding of  $\Sigma_g$  into the 3-sphere  $S^3$ , i.e.  $\Sigma_g$  bounds handlebodies on both sides in  $S^3$ . Now cut  $S^3$  open along  $h(\Sigma_g)$  and reglue the two pieces using  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2)$ . The resulting manifold  $S^3_{h,f}$  is a homology  $S^3$ , and its Rochlin invariant  $\mu(S^3_{h,f}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is defined.

In general, any closed, connected 3-manifold  $M$ , together with a fixed trivialization of its tangent bundle over the 2-skeleton, is the boundary of a 4-manifold  $W$  whose tangent bundle can be trivialized in a compatible fashion. If  $s$  denotes the choice of stable trivialization of the tangent bundle of  $M$  over the 2-skeleton, then the *Rochlin invariant*  $\mu(M, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{16}$  is defined to be the signature  $\sigma(W)$  reduced modulo 16. If  $M$  happens to be a homology  $S^3$  then  $s$  is unique and  $\sigma(W)$  is divisible by 8. Thus  $\mu(S^3_{h,f}) = \mu(S^3_{h,f}, s) = \sigma(W)$  can be considered an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . For a fixed Heegaard embedding  $h : \Sigma_g \rightarrow S^3$ , the *Birman-Craggs homomorphism*  $\rho_h : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is defined by  $\rho_h(f) = \mu(S^3_{h,f})$ .

By relating the Birman-Craggs homomorphisms to a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form, Johnson was able to show the dependence of  $\rho_h$  on the embedding  $h : \Sigma_g \rightarrow S^3$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form  $q : H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is defined as follows. Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the Seifert linking form on  $H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  induced by  $h : \Sigma_g \rightarrow S^3$  defined by letting  $\langle x, y \rangle$  be the linking number (modulo 2) of  $h(x)$  and  $h(y)^+$  in  $S^3$ , where  $h(y)^+$  is the positive push-off of  $h(y)$  in the normal direction determined by the orientations of  $h(\Sigma_g)$  and  $S^3$ . Define  $q(x) = \langle x, x \rangle$ , then it is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form on  $H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  induced by the embedding  $h$ . Because it is a quadratic form,  $q$  satisfies  $q(x+y) = q(x) + q(y) + x \cdot y$ , where  $x \cdot y$  is the intersection pairing of  $H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Let  $\{x_i, y_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq g$ , denote the standard basis for  $H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , and the *Arf invariant* of  $\Sigma_g$  with respect to  $q$  is defined to be

$$\text{Arf}(\Sigma_g, q) = \sum_{i=1}^g q(x_i)q(y_i) \pmod{2}.$$

Johnson's main results from [J1] are as follows. Suppose  $h_1, h_2 : \Sigma_g \rightarrow S^3$  are both Heegaard embeddings of the surface  $\Sigma_g$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (Johnson). *The embeddings  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  induce the same mod 2 self-linking form if and only if the Birman-Craggs homomorphisms  $\rho_{h_1}$  and  $\rho_{h_2}$  are equal.*

Therefore the homomorphism  $\rho_h : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  only depends on the quadratic form  $q$  induced by  $h$ , and we replace the notation  $\rho_h$  with  $\rho_q$  to emphasize this fact. Moreover, the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic forms  $q$  which are induced by a Heegaard embedding  $h$  are exactly those that satisfy  $\text{Arf}(\Sigma_g, q) = 0$ . Thus we are able to enumerate  $\{\rho_q\}$ .

**Corollary 3.2** (Johnson). *There are precisely  $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$  distinct Birman-Craggs homomorphisms  $\rho_q : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ .*

Johnson also provided a means of computing  $\rho_q$  in terms of the Arf invariant.

(1) If  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2)$  is a Dehn twist about a bounding simple closed curve  $C$ , then

$$\rho_q(f) = \text{Arf}(\Sigma', q|_{\Sigma'}),$$

where  $\Sigma'$  is a subsurface of  $\Sigma_g$  bounded by  $C$ .

- (2) If  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2)$  is a composition of Dehn twists about cobounding curves  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , then

$$\rho_q(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q(C_1) = q(C_2) = 1 \\ \text{Arf}(\Sigma', q|_{\Sigma'}) & \text{if } q(C_1) = q(C_2) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\Sigma'$  is a subsurface of  $\Sigma_g$  cobounded by  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

For genus  $g = 2$  surfaces, the Torelli group  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  is generated by the collection of all Dehn twists about bounding simple closed curves. For genus  $g \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  is generated by the collection of all Dehn twists about genus 1 cobounding pairs of simple closed curves, i.e. pairs of non-bounding, disjoint, homologous simple closed curves that together bound a genus 1 subsurface. Thus the list above is sufficient for computing  $\rho_q(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2)$ .

#### 4. ABELIANIZATION OF THE TORELLI GROUP

We are now prepared to say something about the abelianization

$$H_1(\mathcal{J}(2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \frac{\mathcal{J}(2)}{[\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)]}$$

of the Torelli group  $\mathcal{J}(2)$ . In fact, the main result of Johnson in [J6] is that the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_2 : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow D_2(H_1)$  and the totality of the Birman-Craggs homomorphisms  $\rho_q : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  completely determine  $H_1(\mathcal{J}(2); \mathbb{Z})$

On the one hand, we have the composition

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}(2)}{[\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)]} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{J}(2)}{\mathcal{J}(3)} \xrightarrow{\cong} D_2(H_1)$$

where the first map is the projection given by the fact that  $[\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)] \subset \mathcal{J}(3)$  and the second map is given by  $\tau_2$ . After we tensor with the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$ , Johnson shows that we obtain an isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}(2)}{[\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)]} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\mathcal{J}(2)}{\mathcal{J}(3)} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} D_2(H_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Thus we have  $H_1(\mathcal{J}(2); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{J}(2)/\mathcal{J}(3) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

On the other hand, consider the totality of the Birman-Craggs homomorphisms  $\{\rho_q\}$ , and let

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_q \ker \rho_q$$

be the common kernel of all  $\rho_q$  for all  $q$  which satisfy  $\text{Arf}(\Sigma_g, q) = 0$ . Also let  $\mathcal{J}(2)^2$  represent the subgroup generated by all squares in  $\mathcal{J}(2)$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}_q$  be the subgroup of the mapping class group  $\Gamma_{g,1}$  which acts trivially on  $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . That is,  $\mathcal{O}_q$  consists of those homeomorphisms which preserve the quadratic form  $q$ . Then, by using the theory of Boolean quadratic and cubic forms, Johnson showed that

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{J}(2)^2 = [\mathcal{O}_q, \mathcal{J}(2)].$$

Finally he showed that the commutator subgroup of  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  is given by


$$[\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)] = \mathcal{C} \cap \ker \tau_2 = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{J}(3).$$

Thus we can completely determine  $H_1(\mathcal{J}(2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{J}(2)/[\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)]$  from the homomorphisms  $\{\tau_2, \rho_q\}$ .



## 5. A BORDISM REPRESENTATION OF THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP

**5.1. The Bordism Group  $\Omega_3(X, A)$ .** Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of topological spaces  $A \subseteq X$ . The 3-dimension oriented relative bordism group  $\Omega_3(X, A)$  is defined to be the set of *bordism classes* of triples  $(M, \partial M, \phi)$  consisting of a compact, oriented 3-manifold  $M$  with boundary  $\partial M$  and a continuous map  $\phi : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (X, A)$ . The triples  $(M_0, \partial M_0, \phi_0)$  and  $(M_1, \partial M_1, \phi_1)$  are equivalent, or *bordant over  $(X, A)$* , if there exists a triple  $(W, \partial W, \Phi)$  consisting of a compact, oriented 4-manifold  $W$  with boundary  $\partial W = (M_0 \amalg -M_1) \cup_{\partial M} M$  and a continuous map  $\Phi : (W, \partial W) \rightarrow (X, A)$  satisfying  $\Phi|_{M_i} = \phi_i$  and  $\Phi(M) \subset A$ . We also require that  $\partial M = \partial M_0 \amalg -\partial M_1$  so that  $\partial W$  is a closed 3-manifold.

FIGURE 5.1. A relative bordism over  $(X, A)$ 

A triple  $(M, \partial M, \phi)$  is said to be *null-bordant* (or trivial) over  $(X, A)$  if it bounds  $(W, \partial W, \Phi)$ , that is, if it is bordant to the empty set  $\emptyset$ . The set  $\Omega_3(X, A)$  forms a group with the operation of disjoint union and identity element  $\emptyset$ . In the case that  $A = \emptyset$ , we may write  $\Omega_3(X) = \Omega_3(X, \emptyset)$  and restrict our definition to pairs  $(M, \phi) = (M, \emptyset, \phi)$  of closed, oriented 3-manifolds.

**5.2. A Bordism Invariant of  $\mathcal{J}(k)$ .** The purpose of this section is to analyze  $\mathcal{J}(k)$  from the point of view of bordism theory. Let  $F = \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$  as before, and consider the pair  $(K(F/F_k, 1), \zeta)$ , where  $K(F/F_k, 1)$  is an Eilenberg-MacLane space and  $\zeta \subset K(F/F_k, 1)$  is an  $S^1$  corresponding to the image of  $\partial\Sigma_{g,1}$  under a continuous map  $\Sigma_{g,1} \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  induced by the canonical projection  $F \twoheadrightarrow F/F_k$ . We denote the bordism group over  $(K(F/F_k, 1), \zeta)$  by  $\Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$ . Moreover, we have an isomorphism  $j_* : \Omega_3(F/F_k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$  induced by the inclusion map  $j : (K(F/F_k, 1), \emptyset) \rightarrow (K(F/F_k, 1), \zeta)$ . We will make use of both of these groups in what follows, but our main focus will be on the group  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ .

Below, in Theorem 5.2, we define a homomorphism  $\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  whose kernel is  $\ker \sigma_k = \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ . Thus the image of  $\sigma_k$  is  $\mathcal{J}(k)/\mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ . We already saw that the image of the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_k$  is  $\mathcal{J}(k)/\mathcal{J}(k+1)$ . However, since we know  $[\mathcal{J}(k), \mathcal{J}(k)] \subset \mathcal{J}(2k-1) \subset \mathcal{J}(k+1)$ , the image of this new homomorphism  $\sigma_k$  is, in general, much closer to the abelianization of  $\mathcal{J}(k)$ .

Consider a surface homeomorphism  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . As before let  $T_{f,1}$  be the mapping torus of  $f$ , i.e.  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [0, 1]$  with  $x \times \{0\}$  glued to  $f(x) \times \{1\}$ . The boundary  $\partial T_{f,1}$  of  $T_{f,1}$  is the torus  $\partial\Sigma_{g,1} \times S^1$ , and the mapping torus  $T_{f,1}$  is

an (oriented) homology  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times S^1$ . Fixing a basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}\}$  for the free group  $F = \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$  gives a presentation of  $\pi_1(T_{f,1})$ :

$$\pi_1(T_{f,1}) = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}, \gamma \mid [\alpha_1, \gamma] f_*(\alpha_1) \alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, [\alpha_{2g}, \gamma] f_*(\alpha_{2g}) \alpha_{2g}^{-1} \rangle$$

where  $\gamma$  represents a generator of  $\pi_1(S^1)$ . We now wish to obtain a closed 3-manifold from  $T_{f,1}$  by filling in its boundary. Let  $T_f^\gamma = T_{f,1}^\gamma$  be the result of performing a Dehn filling along a curve on  $\partial T_{f,1}$  represented by the homotopy class  $\gamma$ . That is,  $T_f^\gamma$  is obtained by filling in the torus  $\partial T_{f,1} \simeq \partial \Sigma_{g,1} \times S^1$  with the solid torus  $\partial \Sigma_{g,1} \times D^2$ . Then we also have a presentation for  $\pi_1(T_f^\gamma)$ :

$$\pi_1(T_f^\gamma) = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g} \mid f_*(\alpha_1) \alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, f_*(\alpha_{2g}) \alpha_{2g}^{-1} \rangle$$

Note that if  $f$  is isotopic to the identity, then  $T_f^\gamma$  is homeomorphic to the connected sum of  $2g$   $(S^1 \times S^2)$ 's.

Now for all  $m \leq k$  we can define  $\phi_{f,m} : (T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}) \rightarrow (K(F/F_m, 1), \zeta)$  to be a continuous map induced by the canonical epimorphism

$$\pi_1(T_{f,1}) \twoheadrightarrow \frac{\pi_1(T_{f,1})}{\langle \gamma, (\pi_1(T_{f,1}))_m \rangle} \cong \frac{F}{F_m}$$

where the isomorphism requires the fact that  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k) \subset \mathcal{J}(m)$  (see Lemma 5.1 below.) Also, since we kill the homotopy class  $\gamma$  in our construction of  $T_f^\gamma$ , the map  $\phi_{f,m}$  extends to a continuous map  $\phi_{f,m}^\gamma : T_f^\gamma \rightarrow K(F/F_m, 1)$ , and  $\phi_{f,m}^\gamma$  induces the canonical epimorphism

$$\pi_1(T_f^\gamma) \twoheadrightarrow \frac{\pi_1(T_f^\gamma)}{(\pi_1(T_f^\gamma))_m} \cong \frac{F}{F_m}.$$

Moreover, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f \in \mathcal{J}(m)$ ,
- (b)  $\frac{\pi_1(T_f^\gamma)}{(\pi_1(T_f^\gamma))_m} \cong \frac{F}{F_m}$  and  $\frac{\pi_1(T_{f,1})}{\langle \gamma, (\pi_1(T_{f,1}))_m \rangle} \cong \frac{F}{F_m}$ , and
- (c) the continuous maps  $\phi_{f,m}^\gamma$  and  $\phi_{f,m}$  exist as defined.

*Proof.* This is an obvious fact, but we wish to emphasize it because of the important role it will play later.

(a)  $\iff$  (b). If  $f \in \mathcal{J}(m)$  then the relations  $[\alpha_i, \gamma] f_*(\alpha_i) \alpha_i^{-1}$  in  $\pi_1(T_{f,1})$  become trivial modulo  $\langle \gamma, (\pi_1(T_{f,1}))_m \rangle$  since  $f_*$  acts as the identity on  $F/F_m$ , and we clearly have a homomorphism (in fact, an isomorphism.) On the other hand, no such homomorphism exists if  $f \notin \mathcal{J}(m)$  because the relations  $[\alpha_i, \gamma] f_*(\alpha_i) \alpha_i^{-1} \equiv f_*(\alpha_i) \alpha_i^{-1} \pmod{\gamma}$  are certainly not trivial modulo  $(\pi_1(T_{f,1}))_m$ .

(b)  $\iff$  (c). It is a well-known property of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces that continuous maps into them are in one-to-one correspondence with homomorphisms into their fundamental group. (See [Wh] Theorem V.4.3.) Thus  $\phi_{f,m}$  (and similarly for  $\phi_{f,m}^\gamma$ ) is defined if and only if the homomorphism

$$\pi_1(T_{f,1}) \twoheadrightarrow \frac{\pi_1(T_{f,1})}{\langle \gamma, (\pi_1(T_{f,1}))_m \rangle} \cong \frac{F}{F_m}$$

exists. □

Let us now consider the pair  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) \in \Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . We introduce a new homomorphism giving a representation of  $\mathcal{J}(k)$  which is very geometric in nature.

**Theorem 5.2.** *The map*

$$\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right)$$

*defined by  $\sigma_k(f) = (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma)$  is a well-defined homomorphism.*

We point out that one can similarly define a homomorphism into the relative bordism group  $\mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$  which sends a mapping class  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$  to  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k})$ . However, we will mainly focus on the homomorphism given in Theorem 5.2.

*Proof.* Consider two homeomorphisms  $f, g \in \mathcal{J}(k)$  for the oriented surface  $\Sigma_1$  with one boundary component. If  $f$  and  $g$  are isotopic, i.e. they represent the same mapping class, then of course  $T_f^\gamma$  and  $T_g^\gamma$  are homeomorphic and  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma)$  and  $(T_g^\gamma, \phi_{g,k}^\gamma)$  are bordant. Thus  $\sigma_k$  is certainly well-defined.

To show  $\sigma_k$  is indeed a homomorphism we need to show that  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) \amalg (T_g^\gamma, \phi_{g,k}^\gamma)$  is bordant to  $(T_{f \circ g}^\gamma, \phi_{f \circ g,k}^\gamma)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$  for any mapping classes  $f, g \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ . To do so, we simply construct a bordism, i.e. we build a 4-manifold  $W$  and continuous map  $\Phi : W \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  with boundary given by

$$(\partial W, \Phi|_{\partial W}) = \left[ (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) \amalg (T_g^\gamma, \phi_{g,k}^\gamma) \right] \amalg - (T_{f \circ g}^\gamma, \phi_{f \circ g,k}^\gamma).$$

We begin by first constructing a 4-manifold between the mapping tori  $T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}$  and  $T_{f \circ g,1}$ . Recall that

$$T_{f,1} = \frac{\Sigma_1 \times [0, 1]}{(x, 0) \sim (f(x), 1)}.$$

We may also consider  $T_{f \circ g,1}$  in pieces as depicted in Figure 5.2.




FIGURE 5.2.  $T_{f \circ g, 1}$  considered in pieces

That is,

$$T_{f \circ g, 1} = \frac{\Sigma_1 \times [0, 1]}{(x, 0) \sim (f(g(x)), 1)} \cong \frac{(\Sigma_1 \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]) \cup (\Sigma_1 \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1])}{(x, 0) \sim (f(x), 1), (x, \frac{1}{2}) \sim (g(x), \frac{1}{2})}$$

We can assume there is a product neighborhood of  $\Sigma_1 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$  in  $T_{f,1}$ , i.e. a cylinder  $(\Sigma_1 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}) \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ . Let  $V = (T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}) \times [0, 1]$ . Then  $V$  has boundary

$$\partial V = (T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}) \times \{0\} \cup - (T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}) \times \{1\} \cup (\partial T_{f,1} \amalg \partial T_{g,1}) \times [0, 1].$$

Now consider the piece  $(T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}) \times \{1\}$  of  $\partial V$  and attach a 4-dimensional “strip”  $\Sigma_1 \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times [-\delta, \delta]$  to  $(T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}) \times \{1\}$  by gluing  $\Sigma_1 \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times \{-\delta\}$  to the neighborhood  $(\Sigma_1 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}) \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  in  $T_{f,1}$  and gluing  $\Sigma_1 \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times \{\delta\}$  to the neighborhood  $(\Sigma_1 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}) \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  in  $T_{g,1}$ . Let  $V'$  be the result of this gluing, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial V' = & ((T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}) \times \{0\}) \cup (-(T_{f \circ g,1}) \times \{1\}) \cup ((\partial T_{f,1} \amalg \partial T_{g,1}) \times [0, 1]) \\ & \cup (\partial \Sigma_1 \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times [-\delta, \delta]). \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 5.3. The 4-manifold  $V'$

We now fill in the boundary component  $(\partial T_{f,1} \amalg \partial T_{g,1}) \times [0, 1]$  with

$$(\star) \quad ((\partial \Sigma_1 \times D^2) \amalg (\partial \Sigma_1 \times D^2)) \times [0, 1]$$

to obtain a new 4-manifold  $W$ . At one end, this has the effect of filling in the boundary of  $(T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}) \times \{0\}$ , thus creating  $(T_f^\gamma \amalg T_g^\gamma) \times \{0\}$ . At the other end, we had already filled in *some* of the boundary of  $T_{f \circ g,1} \times \{1\}$  with  $(\partial \Sigma_1 \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times [-\delta, \delta])$  above, and the filling by  $(\star)$  has the effect of filling in the rest of the boundary of  $T_{f \circ g,1} \times \{1\}$ . Thus we have actually created  $T_{f \circ g}^\gamma \times \{1\}$ . Therefore we have created a 4-manifold  $W$  with boundary  $\partial W = (T_f^\gamma \amalg T_g^\gamma) \amalg -T_{f \circ g}^\gamma$ . Also, the continuous map  $\phi_{f,k} \amalg \phi_{g,k}$  clearly extends over  $V = (T_{f,1} \amalg T_{g,1}) \times [0, 1]$ . It is also easy to see that it extends over  $V'$  as well since  $\Sigma_1 \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times [-\delta, \delta]$  deformation retracts to  $\Sigma_1$ . Finally it extends to a continuous map  $\Phi : W \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  in a similar way that  $\phi_{f,k}$  extends to  $\phi_{f,k}^\gamma$ . Therefore  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) \amalg (T_g^\gamma, \phi_{g,k}^\gamma)$  is bordant to  $(T_{f \circ g}^\gamma, \phi_{f \circ g,k}^\gamma)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ , and we have completed the proof of Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

Notice that if a surface homeomorphism  $f$  is isotopic to the identity then its mapping class is in  $\mathcal{J}(k)$  for all  $k$ , and  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k}) = (T_{id,1}, \partial T_{id,1}, \phi_{id,k})$  and  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) = (T_{id}^\gamma, \phi_{id,k}^\gamma)$  are null-bordant in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$  and  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ , respectively, since they each bound  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times D^2$  and the respective maps clearly extend.

(The definition of relative bordism requires an “extra” boundary piece so that the boundary of the 4-manifold is a closed 3-manifold. In the case of  $(T_{id,1}, \partial T_{id,1})$ , the extra piece is simply the solid torus  $\partial \Sigma_{g,1} \times D^2$  used to construct  $T_{id,1}^\gamma$ .)

It seems logical to ask when  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k}) \in \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$  and  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) \in \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  are null-bordant for more general  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ . That is, what is the kernel of  $\sigma_k$ ? This is answered by the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.**  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k}) \in \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$  and  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) \in \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  are trivial if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *The kernel of the homomorphism  $\sigma_k$  is  $\mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ .*  $\square$

We also have the following generalization of Theorem 5.3 which is a corollary to the proof of Theorem 5.2.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Consider  $f, g \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f \circ g^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ ,
- (b)  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma)$  is bordant to  $(T_g^\gamma, \phi_{g,k}^\gamma)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ ,
- (c)  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k})$  is bordant to  $(T_{g,1}, \partial T_{g,1}, \phi_{g,k})$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we have  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) = (T_g^\gamma, \phi_{g,k}^\gamma)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . This is equivalent to having  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) \amalg (T_{g^{-1}}^\gamma, \phi_{g^{-1},k}^\gamma) = (T_g^\gamma, \phi_{g,k}^\gamma) \amalg (T_{g^{-1}}^\gamma, \phi_{g^{-1},k}^\gamma)$ . However, we showed in the proof of Theorem 5.2 that this is equivalent to  $(T_{f \circ g^{-1}}^\gamma, \phi_{f \circ g^{-1},k}^\gamma) = (T_{g \circ g^{-1}}^\gamma, \phi_{g \circ g^{-1},k}^\gamma)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . The latter is just  $(T_{id}^\gamma, \phi_{id,k}^\gamma)$ , which is nullbordant. Thus Theorem 5.3 says that this is equivalent to  $f \circ g^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ . The equivalence of (c) is proved similarly.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.3.* We prove the theorem for the pair  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) \in \Omega_3(F/F_k)$ , and the proof for the triple  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k}) \in \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$  is completely analogous. Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{J}(m)$ , then for  $l \leq m$  let  $\pi_{m,l} : K(F/F_m, 1) \rightarrow K(F/F_l, 1)$  be the projection map such that  $\phi_{f,l}^\gamma = \pi_{m,l} \circ \phi_{f,m}^\gamma$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let us first suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ . Then the pair  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,2k-1}^\gamma)$  is defined and is an element of  $\Omega_3(F/F_{2k-1})$ . The following lemma is due to K. Igusa and K. Orr ([IO], Theorem 6.7.)

**Lemma 5.6** (Igusa-Orr). *Let  $(\pi_{m,k})_*$  be the induced map on  $H_3$  and consider  $x \in H_3(F/F_m)$ . Then  $x \in \ker(\pi_{m,k})_*$  if and only if  $x \in \text{Image}(\pi_{2k-1,m})_*$  for  $k \leq m \leq 2k-1$ . In particular, the homomorphism  $(\pi_{2k-1,k})_* : H_3(F/F_{2k-1}) \rightarrow H_3(F/F_k)$  is trivial.*

We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.7.** *The homomorphism*

$$(\pi_{2k-1,k})_* : \Omega_3\left(\frac{F}{F_{2k-1}}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_3\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right)$$

*is trivial. Moreover, a bordism class is in  $\ker(\pi_{m,k})_*$  if and only if it lies in the image of  $(\pi_{2k-1,m})_*$  for  $k \leq m \leq 2k-1$ .*

*Proof.* In general,  $\Omega_n(X, A)$  is the  $n$ -dimensional bordism group, and it is an extraordinary homology theory. Using the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, (see G. Whitehead [Wh] for details,) one can express  $\Omega_n(X, A)$  in terms of ordinary homology with coefficient group  $\Omega_q$ , where  $\Omega_q = \Omega_q(\cdot)$  is the bordism group of a single point. In particular,  $E_{p,q}^2 \cong H_p(X, A; \Omega_q)$  and the boundary operator is  $d_{p,q}^2 : E_{p,q}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-2,q+1}^2$ , and  $\Omega_n(X, A)$  is built using  $H_p(X, A; \Omega_q)$  with  $p + q = n$ . Now  $\Omega_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ , and  $\Omega_3$  are all trivial. So in the case  $n = 3$  we have  $\Omega_3(X, A) \cong H_3(X, A; \Omega_0) \cong H_3(X, A)$ . In fact, the isomorphism is given by  $(M, \partial M, \phi) \mapsto \phi_*([M, \partial M])$  where  $[M, \partial M]$  denotes the fundamental class in  $H_3(M, \partial M)$ . Of course it follows directly that  $\Omega_3(F/F_k) \cong H_3(F/F_k)$  (and  $\Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta) \cong H_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$ ), and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_3\left(\frac{F}{F_{2k-1}}\right) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{2k-1,m})_*} & H_3\left(\frac{F}{F_m}\right) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{m,k})_*} & H_3\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ \Omega_3\left(\frac{F}{F_{2k-1}}\right) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{2k-1,m})_*} & \Omega_3\left(\frac{F}{F_m}\right) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{m,k})_*} & \Omega_3\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right) \end{array}$$

Since the map  $(\pi_{2k-1,k})_*$  on  $H_3$  is the zero-homomorphism, the conclusion of the first part of the corollary is proved. The proof of the latter part is also immediate.  $\square$

The image of  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,2k-1}^\gamma)$  under  $(\pi_{2k-1,k})_* : \Omega_3(F/F_{2k-1}) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  is

$$(\pi_{2k-1,k})_*(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,2k-1}^\gamma) = (T_f^\gamma, \pi_{2k-1,k} \circ \phi_{f,2k-1}^\gamma) = (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma),$$

and Corollary 5.7 tells us that this image is trivial in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . Thus the condition  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$  is certainly sufficient.

( $\implies$ ). The proof of the necessity of  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$  is much more subtle. If we assume that  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma)$  is trivial in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ , then Corollary 5.7 tells us that there is a pair  $(M, \phi) \in \Omega_3(F/F_{2k-1})$  that gets sent to  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma)$ , but we do not know anything more than that. We want to show that  $\phi_{f,2k-1}^\gamma$  is defined, and by Lemma 5.1 we may achieve the desired conclusion  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ .

**Lemma 5.8** (Cochran-Gerges-Orr). *Let  $M$  be any oriented manifold such that  $\pi_1(M) = G$ , and suppose  $F$  is a free group. Then for  $k > 1$ ,  $G/G_k \cong F/F_k$  if and only if  $H_1(M)$  is torsion-free and all Massey products for  $H^1(M)$  of length less than  $k$  vanish. Under the latter conditions, any isomorphism  $G/G_{k-1} \cong F/F_{k-1}$  extends to  $G/G_k \cong F/F_k$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G/G_k \cong F/F_k$  for  $k > 1$ , there is a continuous map  $\phi : M \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  that induces an isomorphism  $\phi^* : H^1(F/F_k) \rightarrow H^1(M)$  and  $H_1(M)$  is clearly torsion-free. In [Or] (Lemma 16) it is shown that Massey products for  $H^1(F/F_k)$  of length less than  $k$  vanish and length  $k$  Massey products generate  $H^2(F/F_k)$ . Consider  $x_i \in H^1(F/F_k)$ , then  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = 0$  for all  $n < k$ . Also, the naturality of Massey products (see property (2.2.2)) tells us that  $\phi^* \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \subset \langle \phi^* x_1, \dots, \phi^* x_n \rangle$ . Thus for  $n < k$  we certainly have  $0 \in \langle \phi^* x_1, \dots, \phi^* x_n \rangle$ . However, the uniqueness of Massey products given in property (2.2.1) tells us that

the first nonzero Massey product is uniquely defined, and we conclude that  $0 = \langle \phi^* x_1, \dots, \phi^* x_n \rangle$  for  $n < k$ . Therefore, since  $\phi^*$  is an isomorphism, all Massey products for  $H^1(M)$  of length less than  $k$  are zero.

On the other hand, if  $H_1(M)$  is torsion-free and all Massey products for  $H^1(M)$  of length less than  $k$  vanish then we easily see that  $H_1(M) \cong G/G_2 \cong F/F_2$ . Now assume by induction that  $G/G_{k-1} \cong F/F_{k-1}$ , and let  $\psi : F \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism that induces this isomorphism. We will extend this isomorphism to  $G/G_k \cong F/F_k$ . It is sufficient to show that  $G_{k-1}/G_k \cong F_{k-1}/F_k$ . We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2\left(\frac{F}{F_{k-1}}\right) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \frac{F_{k-1}}{F_k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong \psi_* & & \downarrow \psi_* & & \\ H_2(G) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_2\left(\frac{G}{G_{k-1}}\right) & \longrightarrow & \frac{G_{k-1}}{G_k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal maps are exact sequences. The fact that the sequences are exact is a result of J. Stallings [St]. This diagram shows us that it is sufficient to show that  $\pi_* : H_2(G) \rightarrow H_2(G/G_{k-1})$  is trivial. However, since  $H_2(M) \twoheadrightarrow H_2(G)$  is onto, we need only show that  $\pi_* : H_2(M) \rightarrow H_2(G/G_{k-1})$  is trivial. As mentioned above, length  $k-1$  Massey products  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle$  generate  $H^2(G/G_{k-1}) \cong H^2(F/F_{k-1})$ . Then  $\pi^* \langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle = \langle \pi^* x_1, \dots, \pi^* x_{k-1} \rangle = 0$  since length  $k-1$  Massey products vanish for  $M$ . Therefore  $\pi^*$  and  $\pi_*$  are trivial homomorphisms, and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

A slightly more general version of the following lemma is proved in [CGO] (Theorem 4.2), and we include a proof here for your convenience.

**Lemma 5.9** (Cochran-Gerges-Orr). *Suppose  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are closed, oriented 3-manifolds with  $\pi_1(M_0) = G_0$  and  $\pi_1(M_1) = G_1$ . Further suppose that there is an epimorphism  $\psi : G_1 \rightarrow G_0/(G_0)_k$ , and then let  $\phi_0 : M_0 \rightarrow K(G_0/(G_0)_k, 1)$  and  $\phi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow K(G_0/(G_0)_k, 1)$  be continuous maps so that  $(\phi_1)_* = \psi$  and  $(M_0, \phi_0) = (M_1, \phi_1)$  in  $\Omega_3(G_0/(G_0)_k)$ . Then  $(M_0, \phi_0)$  and  $(M_1, \phi_1)$  are bordant over  $K(G_0/(G_0)_k, 1)$  via a 4-manifold with only 2-handles (rel  $M_0$ ) whose attaching circles lie in  $(G_0)_k$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(M_0, \phi_0)$  and  $(M_1, \phi_1)$  are bordant, we know there exists a compact, oriented 4-manifold  $W$  and a continuous map  $\Phi : W \rightarrow K(G_0/(G_0)_k, 1)$  such that  $\partial(W, \Phi) = (M_0, \phi_0) \amalg (-M_1, \phi_1)$ .  $\Phi_*$  is already a surjection on  $\pi_1$ , and we can make it an injection by performing surgery on loops in  $W$ . Thus we may assume  $\Phi_*$  is an isomorphism. Now we choose a handlebody structure for  $W$  relative to  $M_0$  with no 0-handles or 4-handles. We then get rid of the 1-handles by trading them for 2-handles, i.e. we perform a surgery along a loop  $c$  passing over the 1-handles in the interior of  $W$ . In a similar manner, we can get rid of the 3-handles by thinking of them as 1-handles relative to  $M_1$ . Let  $V$  be the result of this handle swapping. We want to make sure  $\Phi$  extends to  $V$ , so because  $\Phi_*$  is an isomorphism it is necessary to make sure  $c$  was null-homotopic in  $W$  since it is null-homotopic in  $V$ . However, since  $(\phi_0)_*$  is surjective and  $c$  is in the interior of  $W$ , we can alter  $c$  by a loop in  $M_0$  so that the altered  $c$  is null-homotopic in  $W$ . Thus we may assume that the 2-handles are attached along loops  $c$  in  $(G_0)_k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $M_i$  and  $G_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) be as in Lemma 5.9. For some free group  $F$  suppose that  $\phi_0 : M_0 \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  and  $\phi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  are continuous maps such that  $\phi_0$  induces an isomorphism  $G_0/(G_0)_k \cong F/F_k$  and  $\phi_1$  extends to a continuous map  $\phi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow K(F/F_{k+1}, 1)$  inducing  $G_1/(G_1)_{k+1} \cong F/F_{k+1}$ . If  $(M_0, \phi_0)$  is bordant to  $(M_1, \phi_1)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ , then  $\phi_0$  also extends so that it induces  $G_0/(G_0)_{k+1} \cong F/F_{k+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 5.9 tells us there exists a bordism  $(W, \Phi)$  between  $(M_0, \phi_0)$  and  $(M_1, \phi_1)$  over  $K(F/F_k, 1)$  such that  $W$  contains only 2-handles with attaching circles in  $F_k$  and  $\pi_1(W) \cong F/F_k$ . Let  $j_i : M_i \rightarrow W$  be inclusion maps so that  $\Phi \circ j_i = \phi_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & & \\ \downarrow j_i & \searrow \phi_i & \\ W & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & K(F/F_k, 1) \end{array}$$

Consider any collection  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \in H^1(M_0)$  of cohomology classes. Then choose  $y_i \in H^1(F/F_k)$  so that  $\phi_0^*(y_i) = x_i$ . Since  $\pi_1(W) \cong F/F_k$  and  $G_0/(G_0)_k \cong F/F_k$ , Lemma 5.8 says that Massey products of length less than  $k$  vanish. Thus each of the following Massey products are uniquely defined:

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = \langle \phi_0^*(y_1), \dots, \phi_0^*(y_k) \rangle = j_0^* \langle \Phi^*(y_1), \dots, \Phi^*(y_k) \rangle.$$

If we can actually show that these Massey products vanish then we can use Lemma 5.8 to show that  $\phi_0$  also extends so as to induce  $G_0/(G_0)_{k+1} \cong F/F_{k+1}$ , thus completing the proof. We will show  $\langle \Phi^*(y_1), \dots, \Phi^*(y_k) \rangle = 0$ . Since  $G_1/(G_1)_{k+1} \cong F/F_{k+1}$ , Lemma 5.8 says Massey products for  $H^1(M_1)$  of length less than  $k + 1$  vanish. In particular, length  $k$  Massey products are zero, thus

$$j_1^* \langle \Phi^*(y_1), \dots, \Phi^*(y_k) \rangle = \langle \phi_1^*(y_1), \dots, \phi_1^*(y_k) \rangle = 0.$$

Now consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(M_1) \rightarrow H_2(W) \rightarrow H_2(W, M_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since we can view  $W$  as  $M_1 \times [0, 1]$  with 2-handles attached along circles in  $F_k$ , we see that  $H_2(W, M_1)$  is a free abelian group generated by the cores of the 2-handles (rel  $M_1$ ). Thus this sequence splits and we can write  $H_2(W) \cong H_2(M_1) \oplus H_2(W, M_1)$ . Because the attaching circles of the 2-handles lie in  $F_k$ , the images of the generators of the latter summand are clearly spheres in  $K(F/F_k, 1)$ . But since  $K(F/F_k, 1)$  has trivial higher homotopy groups, they must vanish in  $H_2(F/F_k)$ . Then by considering the dual splitting  $H^2(W) \cong H^2(M_1) \oplus H^2(W, M_1)$  we know that the image of  $H^2(F/F_k)$  must be contained in the summand  $H^2(M_1)$  of  $H^2(W)$ . Therefore  $j_1^* : H^2(W) \rightarrow H^2(M_1)$  must be injective on the image of  $H^2(F/F_k)$ , and we are able to conclude that  $\langle \Phi^*(y_1), \dots, \Phi^*(y_k) \rangle = 0$ .  $\square$

Consider the following result of V. Turaev [Tu].

**Lemma 5.11** (Turaev). *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated nilpotent group of nilpotency class at most  $k \geq 1$ , and let  $\alpha \in H_3(G)$ . Then there exists a closed, connected, oriented 3-manifold  $M$  and a continuous map  $\psi : M \rightarrow K(G, 1)$  such that  $\psi_*([M]) = \alpha$  and such that  $\psi$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(M)/(\pi_1(M))_k \cong G$  if and only if*



- (a) the homomorphism  $\text{Torsion}(H^2(G)) \rightarrow \text{Torsion}(H_1(G))$  defined by sending  $x$  to  $x \cap \alpha$  is an isomorphism, and  
 (b) for any  $h \in H_2(G)$ , there exists  $y \in H^1(G)$  such that

$$h - (y \cap \alpha) \in \ker \left( H_2(G) \rightarrow H_2 \left( \frac{G}{G_{k-1}} \right) \right).$$

**Corollary 5.12.** *For any bordism class  $\alpha \in \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  there exists a closed, connected, oriented 3-manifold  $M$  and a continuous map  $\psi : M \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  such that  $(M, \psi) = \alpha$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$  and  $\psi$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(M)/(\pi_1(M))_k \cong F/F_k$ .*

*Proof.* We simply use the fact proved earlier that  $\Omega_3(F/F_k) \cong H_3(F/F_k)$  and apply the lemma in the case that  $G \cong F/F_k$ . The group  $F/F_k$  is nilpotent with nilpotency  $k - 1$ . The groups  $H^2(F/F_k)$  and  $H_1(F/F_k)$  are each torsion-free. Thus condition (a) of Lemma 5.11 is satisfied trivially. Using Stallings' exact sequence given in [St], we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_2(F) = 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2 \left( \frac{F}{F_k} \right) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 - \text{map} & & \\ H_2(F) = 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2 \left( \frac{F}{F_{k-1}} \right) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \frac{F_{k-1}}{F_k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

which shows us that the map  $H_2(F/F_k) \rightarrow H_2(F/F_{k-1})$  is the zero homomorphism. Thus condition (b) of Lemma 5.11 is also satisfied trivially.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.13.** *Let  $M$  be any closed, oriented 3-manifold with  $\pi_1(M) = G$ , and suppose there is a continuous map  $\phi_k : M \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  inducing an isomorphism  $G/G_k \cong F/F_k$  for some free group  $F$ . For  $m \geq k$ ,  $(M, \phi_k)$  is in the image of  $(\pi_{m,k})_* : \Omega_3(F/F_m) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  if and only if the isomorphism  $G/G_k \cong F/F_k$  can be extended to an isomorphism  $G/G_m \cong F/F_m$  induced by a continuous map  $\phi_m : M \rightarrow K(F/F_m, 1)$  such that  $(\pi_{m,k})_*(M, \phi_m) = (M, \phi_k)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(M, \phi_k) = (\pi_{m,k})_*(\alpha)$ , for some  $\alpha \in \Omega_3(F/F_m)$ . By Corollary 5.12 there exists a closed, connected, oriented 3-manifold  $M'$  and a continuous map  $\psi : M' \rightarrow K(F/F_m, 1)$  that induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(M')/(\pi_1(M'))_m \cong F/F_m$  such that  $(M', \psi) = \alpha$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_m)$ . Therefore we have  $(M, \phi_k) = (\pi_{m,k})_*(\alpha) = (\pi_{m,k})_*(M', \psi) = (M', \pi_{m,k} \circ \psi)$ . Thus  $(M, \phi_k)$  and  $(M', \pi_{m,k} \circ \psi)$  are bordant in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . In the case  $m = k + 1$ , Lemma 5.10 gives the desired result. The case  $m > k + 1$  is achieved via induction. The converse is clear.  $\square$

We are now ready to continue our proof of Theorem 5.3. First, we are assuming that  $\phi_{f,k}^\gamma$  exists, so Lemma 5.1 tells us that at the very least  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ . We also assume that  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma)$  is trivial in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . In particular,  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) = (T_{id}^\gamma, \phi_{id,k}^\gamma)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . Also, we have

$$\frac{\pi_1(T_{id}^\gamma)}{(\pi_1(T_{id}^\gamma))_m} \cong \frac{F}{F_m}, \text{ for all } m, \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\pi_1(T_f^\gamma)}{(\pi_1(T_f^\gamma))_m} \cong \frac{F}{F_m}, \text{ for all } m \leq k.$$

Then by Lemma 5.10 we can extend the latter isomorphism to

$$\frac{\pi_1(T_f^\gamma)}{(\pi_1(T_f^\gamma))_{k+1}} \cong \frac{F}{F_{k+1}}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 we are able to conclude that  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k+1)$  and that the continuous map  $\phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma$  exists, allowing us to consider  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma) \in \Omega_3(F/F_{k+1})$ . Moreover, since we are assuming that  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma)$  is trivial in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ , we have

$$(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma) \in \ker \left( \Omega_3 \left( \frac{F}{F_{k+1}} \right) \xrightarrow{(\pi_{k+1,k})_*} \Omega_3 \left( \frac{F}{F_k} \right) \right),$$

and by Corollary 5.7

$$(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma) \in \text{Image} \left( \Omega_3 \left( \frac{F}{F_{2k-1}} \right) \xrightarrow{(\pi_{2k-1,k+1})_*} \Omega_3 \left( \frac{F}{F_{k+1}} \right) \right).$$

Thus Lemma 5.13 implies that the isomorphism

$$\frac{\pi_1(T_f^\gamma)}{(\pi_1(T_f^\gamma))_{k+1}} \cong \frac{F}{F_{k+1}}$$

extends to an isomorphism

$$\frac{\pi_1(T_f^\gamma)}{(\pi_1(T_f^\gamma))_{2k-1}} \cong \frac{F}{F_{2k-1}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, we are able to conclude that  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

**5.3. Relating  $\sigma_k$  to the Johnson Homomorphism.** The goal of this section is to describe how the homomorphism  $\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  relates to Johnson's homomorphism  $\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow D_k(H_1) \subset \text{Hom}(H_1, F_k/F_{k+1})$ . It turns out that  $\tau_k$  factors through  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . To see this, we will use Kitano's definition of  $\tau_k$  in terms of Massey products, which we reviewed in Section 2.3.

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  denote the ring of formal power series in the noncommutative variables  $t_1, \dots, t_{2g}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{X}_k$  denote the submodule of  $\mathfrak{X}$  corresponding to the degree  $k$  part. Because  $F_k/F_{k+1}$  is a submodule of  $\mathfrak{X}_k$ , we can consider the homomorphism

$$\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathfrak{X}_k)$$

defined in Theorem 2.1. Recall from Section 2.3 that we are considering the following dual bases:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_1, \dots, x_{2g}, y\} &\in H_1(T_{f,1}), \\ \{x_1^*, \dots, x_{2g}^*, y^*\} &\in H^1(T_{f,1}), \text{ and} \\ \{X_1, \dots, X_{2g}\} &\in H_2(T_{f,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\Psi' : \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathfrak{X}_k)$  to be the map that sends the bordism class  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k})$  to the homomorphism

$$x_i \longmapsto \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \langle \langle x_{j_1}^*, \dots, x_{j_k}^* \rangle, X_i \rangle t_{j_1} \cdots t_{j_k}.$$

Let  $i_* : \Omega_3(F/F_k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$  be the homomorphism induced by inclusion which sends  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma)$  to  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k})$ . Then we define the homomorphism  $\Psi : \Omega_3(F/F_k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathfrak{X}_k)$  to be the composition  $\Psi = \Psi' \circ i_*$ .

**Theorem 5.14.** *The map  $\Psi$  is a well-defined homomorphism. Moreover, the composition  $\Psi \circ \sigma_k$  corresponds to the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_k$  so that we have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Omega_3(F/F_k) & \\ \nearrow \sigma_k & \downarrow \Psi & \\ \mathcal{J}(k) & \xrightarrow{\tau_k} & \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathfrak{X}_k) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We only need to show that  $\Psi' : \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathfrak{X}_k)$  is a well-defined homomorphism, and the rest of the theorem clearly follows. We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.15.** *Suppose  $(M_0, \phi_0)$  and  $(M_1, \phi_1)$  are closed, oriented 3-manifolds with  $\pi_1(M_i) = G_i$  and continuous maps  $\phi_i : M_i \rightarrow K(G_0/(G_0)_k, 1)$ . Further suppose  $\phi_1$  induces an isomorphism  $G_1/(G_1)_k \cong G_0/(G_0)_k$ . If  $(M_0, \phi_0)$  is bordant to  $(M_1, \phi_1)$  in  $\Omega_3(G_0/(G_0)_k)$  and all Massey products for  $H^1(M_0)$  of length less than  $k$  vanish, then  $\phi = (\phi_0)_*^{-1} \circ (\phi_1)_* : H_1(M_1) \rightarrow H_1(M_0)$  is an isomorphism such that for  $x_i \in H^1(M_0)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_i \in H_2(M_0)$  Poincaré dual to  $x_i$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_i \in H_2(M_1)$  Poincaré dual to  $\phi^*(x_i) \in H^1(M_1)$  we have*

$$\langle \langle x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_k} \rangle, \mathcal{E}_i \rangle = \langle \langle \phi^*(x_{j_1}), \dots, \phi^*(x_{j_k}) \rangle, \mathcal{F}_i \rangle$$

where  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  is the dual pairing of  $H^2(M_i)$  and  $H_2(M_i)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(M_0, \phi_0)$  is bordant to  $(M_1, \phi_1)$  in  $\Omega_3(G_0/(G_0)_k)$ , we must also have  $(\phi_0)_*([M_0]) = (\phi_1)_*([M_1])$  in  $H_3(G_0/(G_0)_k)$  where  $[M_i]$  is the fundamental class in  $H_3(M_i)$ . The bordism  $(W, \Phi)$  between  $(M_0, \phi_0)$  and  $(M_1, \phi_1)$  can be chosen so that  $\Phi$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(W) \cong G_0/(G_0)_k$  and the inclusion maps  $j_i : M_i \rightarrow W$  induce isomorphisms  $G_i/(G_i)_k \cong \pi_1(W)/(\pi_1(W))_k$ .

W. Dwyer proves in [Dw] (Corollary 2.5) that for cohomology classes  $\alpha_i \in H^1(W)$  we have  $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $j_0^* \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle = 0$  for  $m < k$ . However, by the naturality of Massey products given in property (2.2.2), we know that  $j_0^* \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle \subset \langle j_0^*(\alpha_1), \dots, j_0^*(\alpha_m) \rangle$ , and the latter is 0 since Massey products of length less than  $k$  vanish for  $H^1(M_0)$ . Thus  $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle = 0$  for all  $\alpha_i \in H^1(W)$ . Moreover,  $j_1^* : H^1(W) \rightarrow H^1(M_1)$  is an isomorphism. Then for any  $y_i \in H^1(M_1)$  there exists an  $\alpha_i \in H^1(W)$  such that  $j_1^*(\alpha_i) = y_i$ . Thus for  $m < k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_1, \dots, y_m \rangle &= \langle j_1^*(\alpha_1), \dots, j_1^*(\alpha_m) \rangle \\ &= j_1^* \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from naturality. So then we have shown that all Massey products of length less than  $k$  vanish also for  $H^1(W)$  and  $H^1(M_1)$ . Thus Massey products for  $H^1(M_0)$ ,  $H^1(M_1)$ , and  $H^1(W)$  of length  $k$  are uniquely defined.

Consider  $x_i \in H^1(M_0)$  with Poincaré dual  $\mathcal{E}_i \in H_2(M_0)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_i \in H_2(M_1)$  be Poincaré dual to  $\phi^*(x_i) \in H^1(M_1)$ , where  $\phi$  is the isomorphism given by the composition  $\phi = (\phi_0)_*^{-1} \circ (\phi_1)_* : H_1(M_1) \rightarrow H_1(M_0)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_0)_*(\mathcal{E}_i) &= (\phi_0)_*(x_i \cap [M_0]) \\ &= (\phi_0^*)^{-1}(x_i) \cap (\phi_0)_*([M_0]) \\ &= \left((\phi_1^*)^{-1} \circ \phi^*\right)(x_i) \cap (\phi_1)_*([M_1]) \\ &= (\phi_1)_*(\phi^*(x_i) \cap [M_1]) \\ &= (\phi_1)_*(\mathcal{F}_i), \end{aligned}$$

where the second and fourth equalities follow from the naturality of cap products.

Now choose  $\beta_i \in H^1(G_0/(G_0)_k)$  such that  $\phi_0^*(\beta_i) = x_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_k} \rangle, \mathcal{E}_i \rangle &= \langle \langle \phi_0^*(\beta_{j_1}), \dots, \phi_0^*(\beta_{j_k}) \rangle, \mathcal{E}_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle \beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_k} \rangle, (\phi_0)_*(\mathcal{E}_i) \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle \beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_k} \rangle, (\phi_1)_*(\mathcal{F}_i) \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle \phi_1^*(\beta_{j_1}), \dots, \phi_1^*(\beta_{j_k}) \rangle, \mathcal{F}_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle (\phi^* \circ \phi_0^*)(\beta_{j_1}), \dots, (\phi^* \circ \phi_0^*)(\beta_{j_k}) \rangle, \mathcal{F}_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle \phi^*(x_{j_1}), \dots, \phi^*(x_{j_k}) \rangle, \mathcal{F}_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Consider the mapping classes  $f, h \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ . We have the dual bases mentioned above for specific homology and cohomology groups of  $T_{f,1}$ . Consider the following dual bases defined in the same manner for  $T_{h,1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \{w_1, \dots, w_{2g}, z\} &\in H_1(T_{h,1}), \\ \{w_1^*, \dots, w_{2g}^*, z^*\} &\in H^1(T_{h,1}), \text{ and} \\ \{W_1, \dots, W_{2g}\} &\in H_2(T_{h,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $T_f^\gamma$  was constructed from  $T_{f,1}$  by filling the boundary  $\partial T_{f,1} = \partial \Sigma_{g,1} \times S^1$  with a solid torus  $\partial \Sigma_{g,1} \times D^2$ . Let  $\psi_f : T_{f,1} \rightarrow T_f^\gamma$  be the inclusion map, and then we have a basis

$$\{a_1^*, \dots, a_{2g}^*\} \in H^1(T_f^\gamma)$$

where  $a_i^* = ((\psi_f)_*(x_i))^*$ . Since  $x_i^*$  is the Hom dual of  $x_i$ , by definition we have that the dual pairing is  $\langle x_i^*, x_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . Similarly  $a_i^*$  is the Hom dual of  $(\psi_f)_*(x_i)$ , and thus  $\langle \psi_f^*(a_i^*), x_j \rangle = \langle a_i^*, (\psi_f)_*(x_j) \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . Note that this implies that  $\psi_f^*(a_i^*) = x_i^*$ . Letting  $A_i$  denote the Poincaré dual of  $a_i^*$  gives a basis for  $H_2(T_f^\gamma)$ :

$$\{A_1, \dots, A_{2g}\} \in H_2(T_f^\gamma).$$

By carefully examining the following commutative diagram, we see  $(\psi_f)_*(X_i) = A_i$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H_1(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}) & \xrightarrow[\text{Hom dual}]{\cong} & H^1(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}) & \xrightarrow{\cap [T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}]} & H_2(T_{f,1}) \\
 \uparrow j_* & & \downarrow j^* & & \downarrow (\psi_f)_* \\
 H_1(T_{f,1}) & \xrightarrow[\text{Hom dual}]{\cong} & H^1(T_{f,1}) & & \\
 \downarrow (\psi_f)_* & & \uparrow \psi_f^* & & \\
 H_1(T_f^\gamma) & \xrightarrow[\text{Hom dual}]{\cong} & H^1(T_f^\gamma) & \xrightarrow{\cap [T_f^\gamma]} & H_2(T_f^\gamma)
 \end{array}$$

Finally, let  $\bar{A}_i \in H_2(T_h^\gamma)$  denote the Poincaré dual to  $\phi^*(a_i^*)$ , where  $\phi$  is the isomorphism guaranteed by the following corollary. Then for  $T_{h,1}$  we similarly have  $\psi_h^*(\phi^*(a_i^*)) = w_i^*$  and  $(\psi_h)_*(W_i) = \bar{A}_i$ . We have the following immediate corollary to Lemma 5.15.

**Corollary 5.16.** *If  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) = (T_h^\gamma, \phi_{h,k}^\gamma)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ , then the isomorphism  $\phi = (\phi_0)_*^{-1} \circ (\phi_1)_* : H_1(T_f^\gamma) \rightarrow H_1(T_h^\gamma)$  satisfies*

$$\langle \langle a_{j_1}^*, \dots, a_{j_k}^* \rangle, A_i \rangle = \langle \langle \phi^*(a_{j_1}^*), \dots, \phi^*(a_{j_k}^*) \rangle, \bar{A}_i \rangle$$

where  $\bar{A}_i$  is Poincaré dual to  $\phi^*(a_i^*)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.17.** *If  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}, \phi_{f,k}) = (T_{h,1}, \partial T_{h,1}, \phi_{h,k})$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$ , then*

$$\langle \langle x_{j_1}^*, \dots, x_{j_k}^* \rangle, X_i \rangle = \langle \langle w_{j_1}^*, \dots, w_{j_k}^* \rangle, W_i \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f, h \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ , Theorem 2.2 says that the Massey products of length less than  $k$  for  $(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$  and  $(T_{h,1}, \partial T_{h,1})$  must vanish. Thus  $\langle x_{j_1}^*, \dots, x_{j_k}^* \rangle$  and  $\langle w_{j_1}^*, \dots, w_{j_k}^* \rangle$  are uniquely defined. By Corollary 5.5, we know that  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma) = (T_h^\gamma, \phi_{h,k}^\gamma)$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$ . So we let  $\phi$  be the isomorphism guaranteed by Corollary 5.16. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \langle x_{j_1}^*, \dots, x_{j_k}^* \rangle, X_i \rangle &= \langle \langle \psi_f^*(a_{j_1}^*), \dots, \psi_f^*(a_{j_k}^*) \rangle, X_i \rangle \\
 &= \langle \langle a_{j_1}^*, \dots, a_{j_k}^* \rangle, (\psi_f)_*(X_i) \rangle \\
 &= \langle \langle a_{j_1}^*, \dots, a_{j_k}^* \rangle, A_i \rangle \\
 &= \langle \langle \phi^*(a_{j_1}^*), \dots, \phi^*(a_{j_k}^*) \rangle, \bar{A}_i \rangle \\
 &= \langle \langle \phi^*(a_{j_1}^*), \dots, \phi^*(a_{j_k}^*) \rangle, (\psi_h)_*(W_i) \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_h^* \langle \phi^*(a_{j_1}^*), \dots, \phi^*(a_{j_k}^*) \rangle, W_i \rangle \\
 &= \langle \langle \psi_h^*(\phi^*(a_{j_1}^*)), \dots, \psi_h^*(\phi^*(a_{j_k}^*)) \rangle, W_i \rangle \\
 &= \langle \langle w_{j_1}^*, \dots, w_{j_k}^* \rangle, W_i \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

This proves that  $\Psi' : \Omega_3(F/F_k, \zeta) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1, \mathfrak{X}_k)$  is a well-defined homomorphism and completes the proof of Theorem 5.14.  $\square$

**5.4. Relating  $\sigma_k$  to Morita's Homomorphism.** As we have already seen in the proof of Corollary 5.7, there is an isomorphism  $\Phi : \Omega_3(F/F_k) \rightarrow H_3(F/F_k)$  given by  $(M, \phi) \mapsto \phi_*([M])$ , where  $[M]$  is the fundamental class in  $H_3(M)$ . Because of this, one may guess that there is a relationship between  $\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  and Morita's refinement  $\tilde{\tau}_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow H_3(F/F_k)$  discussed in Section 2.4. This assumption turns out to be correct, and the two homomorphisms are in fact equivalent. However,  $\sigma_k$  gives a representation that is much more geometric, and as we will see in Section 6,  $\sigma_k$  leads to interesting questions that  $\tilde{\tau}_k$  does not.

**Theorem 5.18.** *The homomorphism  $\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  coincides with the Morita refinement of the Johnson homomorphism so that we have a commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Omega_3\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right) & \\ \nearrow \sigma_k & \cong \downarrow \Phi & \\ \mathcal{J}(k) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_k} & H_3\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right) \end{array}$$

**Corollary 5.19.** *The kernel of Morita's refinement  $\tilde{\tau}_k$  is  $\mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.18 and Corollary 5.4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.18.* Consider a genus  $g$  surface with one boundary component  $\Sigma = \Sigma_{g,1}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ . Let  $r : \Sigma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a retraction,  $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  be a continuous map that induces the canonical epimorphism  $F \twoheadrightarrow F/F_k$ , and  $i : K(F/F_k, 1) \rightarrow (K(F/F_k, 1), \zeta)$  be the inclusion map. Also let  $G : \Sigma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$  be the composition of the “gluing map”  $\Sigma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T_{f,1}$  and the inclusion  $T_{f,1} \rightarrow (T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$ . Recall that the maps  $\phi_{f,k}$  and  $\phi_{f,k}^\gamma$  defined at the beginning of Section 5.2 are defined only up to homotopy. We choose them so that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{r} & \Sigma & & \\ \downarrow G & & \searrow \psi & & \\ & & T_f^\gamma & \xrightarrow{\phi_{f,k}^\gamma} & K(F/F_k, 1) \\ & & & & \downarrow i \\ (T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{f,k}} & (K(F/F_k, 1), \zeta) \end{array}$$

That is, we have  $\phi_{f,k} \circ G = i \circ \psi \circ r$ .

Consider the fundamental class  $[T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}] \in H_3(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$ , and suppose that  $(t_f, \partial t_f) \in C_3(T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1})$  is a corresponding relative 3-cycle. Now we choose a 2-chain  $\sigma \in C_2(\Sigma \times [0, 1])$  so that  $\partial \sigma$  is in the homotopy class of a simple closed curve on  $\Sigma \times \{0\}$  parallel to the boundary  $\partial \Sigma \times \{0\}$ . Let  $\sigma$  also denote  $r_\#(\sigma) \in C_2(\Sigma)$ , and choose a 3-chain  $\rho \in C_3(\Sigma \times [0, 1])$  so that  $G_\#(\rho) = (t_f, \partial t_f)$  and  $\partial \rho = \sigma - f_\#(\sigma) + (\partial \sigma \times [0, 1])$ .

Consider the restriction  $r|_{\partial\Sigma \times [0,1]}$ . Then  $r_{\#}(\partial\sigma \times [0,1]) = \varepsilon \in C_2(\partial\Sigma)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial r_{\#}(\rho) &= r_{\#}\partial(\rho) \\ &= r_{\#}(\sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma) + (\partial\sigma \times [0,1])) \\ &= r_{\#}(\sigma) - r_{\#}(f_{\#}(\sigma)) + r_{\#}(\partial\sigma \times [0,1]) \\ &= \sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is the identity on the boundary, we must have  $\partial\sigma - f_{\#}(\partial\sigma) = 0$ , and therefore  $0 = \partial(\partial r_{\#}(\rho)) = \partial(\sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma) + \varepsilon) = \partial\sigma - f_{\#}(\partial\sigma) + \partial\varepsilon = \partial\varepsilon$ . Since  $H_2(\partial\Sigma)$  is trivial, there must be a 3-chain  $\eta \in C_3(\partial\Sigma)$  such that  $\partial\eta = \varepsilon$ . Let  $j : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma \times [0,1]$  be the inclusion map, and consider  $j_{\#}(\eta) \in C_3(\partial\Sigma \times [0,1]) \rightarrow C_3(\Sigma \times [0,1])$ . Define  $c_f \in C_3(\Sigma)$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} c_f &= r_{\#}(\rho - j_{\#}(\eta)) \\ &= r_{\#}(\rho) - r_{\#}j_{\#}(\eta) \\ &= r_{\#}(\rho) - \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\partial c_f = \partial r_{\#}(\rho) - \partial\eta = (\sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma) + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon = \sigma - f_{\#}(\sigma)$ .

Also, since  $j_{\#}(\eta) \in C_3(\Sigma \times [0,1])$  is carried by the subcomplex  $\partial\Sigma \times [0,1]$ ,  $G_{\#}(j_{\#}(\eta))$  must be carried by  $\partial T_{f,1}$ . Thus  $G_{\#}(j_{\#}(\eta)) = 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\#}(\rho - j_{\#}(\eta)) &= G_{\#}(\rho) - G_{\#}(j_{\#}(\eta)) \\ &= (t_f, \partial t_f). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\bar{c}_f = \psi_{\#}(c_f) \in C_3(F/F_k)$ . Then  $\bar{c}_f$  is a 3-cycle since  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$  induces the identity on  $F/F_k$ . Let  $[\bar{c}_f] \in H_3(F/F_k)$  denote the corresponding homology class, and

$$\begin{aligned} i_*([\bar{c}_f]) &= [i_{\#}(\bar{c}_f)] \\ &= [(i \circ \psi)_{\#}(c_f)] \\ &= [(i \circ \psi \circ r)_{\#}(\rho - j_{\#}(\eta))] \\ &= [(\phi_{f,k} \circ G)_{\#}(\rho - j_{\#}(\eta))] \\ &= [(\phi_{f,k})_{\#}(t_f, \partial t_f)] \\ &= (\phi_{f,k})_*([T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}]) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we also have  $i_*((\phi_{f,k}^{\gamma})_*([T_f^{\gamma}])) = (\phi_{f,k})_*([T_{f,1}, \partial T_{f,1}])$ , and since  $i_* : H_3(F/F_k) \rightarrow H_3(F/F_k, \zeta)$  is an isomorphism, we must have  $[\bar{c}_f] = (\phi_{f,k}^{\gamma})_*([T_f^{\gamma}])$ .

Finally, notice that our choices of  $\sigma \in C_2(\Sigma)$  and  $c_f \in C_3(\Sigma)$  certainly qualify as choices for  $\sigma \in C_2(F)$  and  $c_f \in C_3(F)$ , respectively, in the construction of Morita's homomorphism in Section 2.4. Thus we have  $(\Phi \circ \sigma_k)(f) = \Phi(T_f^{\gamma}, \phi_{f,k}^{\gamma}) = (\phi_{f,k}^{\gamma})_*([T_f^{\gamma}]) = [\bar{c}_f] = \tilde{\tau}_k(f)$ , and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

Now that we see that  $\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  and Morita's homomorphism are indeed equivalent, we can describe in a different way how  $\sigma_k$  relates to Johnson's homomorphism  $\tau_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow H_1 \otimes F_k/F_{k+1}$ . Recall the differential

$$d^2 : H_3\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right) \rightarrow H_1 \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}}$$

discussed in Section 2.4. Then  $\tau_k$  factors through  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$  so that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Omega_3\left(\frac{F}{F_k}\right) \\ & \nearrow \delta^k & \downarrow d^2 \circ \Phi \\ \mathcal{J}(k) & \xrightarrow{\tau_k} & H_1 \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} \end{array}$$

## 6. A SPIN BORDISM REPRESENTATION OF THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP

We introduced in Section 5 a new representation  $\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  which we then showed was equivalent to Morita's homomorphism  $\tilde{\tau}_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow H_3(F/F_k)$ . Because of the range of the latter homomorphism, it may seem preferable to those who have a firm understanding of homology. However,  $\sigma_k$  has its advantages. First, it simply has a more geometric nature to it. Second, and perhaps most importantly, it naturally leads to an interesting question that  $\tilde{\tau}_k$  does not. What happens when we add more structure to the bordism group? More specifically, what is the result of replacing the bordism group  $\Omega_3(F/F_k)$  with the spin bordism group  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$ ?

**6.1. A Spin Bordism Invariant of  $\mathcal{J}(k)$ .** Recall that a spin structure can be thought of as a trivialization of the stable tangent bundle restricted to the 2-skeleton, and every oriented 3-manifold has a spin structure. Since a spin structure on a manifold induces a spin structure on its boundary, we can define the *3-dimensional spin bordism group*  $\Omega_3^{spin}(X)$  in exactly the same way as the oriented bordism group  $\Omega_3(X)$  with the additional requirement that spin structures on spin bordant 3-manifolds must extend to a spin structure on the 4-dimensional bordism between them. That is, elements of  $\Omega_3^{spin}(X)$  are equivalence classes of triples  $(M, \phi, \sigma)$  consisting of a closed, spin 3-manifold  $M$  with spin structure  $\sigma$  and a continuous map  $\phi : M \rightarrow X$ . We say two elements  $(M_0, \phi_0, \sigma_0)$  and  $(M_1, \phi_1, \sigma_1)$  are equivalent, or *spin bordant over  $X$* , if there is a triple  $(W, \Phi, \sigma)$  consisting of a compact, spin 4-manifold  $(W, \sigma)$  with boundary  $\partial(W, \sigma) = (M_0, \sigma_0) \amalg - (M_1, \sigma_1)$  and a continuous map  $\Phi : W \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\Phi|_{M_i} = \phi_i$ .

Further recall that the spin structures for a spin manifold  $M$  are enumerated by  $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Thus, for example, the number of possible spin structures on an oriented surface  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  of genus  $g$  with one boundary component is  $|H^1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)| = |\mathbb{Z}_2^{2g}| = 2^{2g}$ . If we fix a spin structure on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ , then we can extend it to the product  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [0, 1]$ . Now consider the mapping class  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$  for  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ . For  $k \geq 2$ ,  $f$  acts trivially on  $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and on the set of spin structures. Thus the spin structure on  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [0, 1]$  can be extended to the mapping torus  $T_{f,1}$ . The number of possible spin structures for  $T_{f,1}$  is  $|H^1(T_{f,1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)| = |\mathbb{Z}_2^{2g+1}| = 2^{2g+1}$ , where the extra factor of 2 corresponds to the extra generator  $\gamma \in \pi_1(T_{f,1})$ . Remember that we construct  $T_f^\gamma$  from  $T_{f,1}$  by performing a Dehn filling along  $\gamma$ , i.e. filling the boundary  $\partial T_{f,1} = \partial \Sigma_{g,1} \times S^1$  with  $\partial \Sigma_{g,1} \times D^2$ . Then, as long as we choose the spin structure for  $\gamma$  which extends over a disk, we can extend the spin structure on  $T_{f,1}$  to a spin structure  $\sigma$  on  $T_f^\gamma$ . Again, the number of possible spin structures for  $T_f^\gamma$



is  $|H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z}_2)| = |\mathbb{Z}_2^{2g}| = 2^{2g}$ , and these exactly correspond to the spin structures on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ . Let  $\phi_{f,k}^\gamma : T_f^\gamma \rightarrow K(F/F_k, 1)$  be as before.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  be a surface of genus  $g$  with one boundary component and a fixed spin structure. Let  $\sigma$  denote the corresponding spin structure on  $T_f^\gamma$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . Then there is a finite family of well-defined homomorphisms*

$$\eta_{\sigma,k} : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin} \left( \frac{F}{F_k} \right)$$

defined by  $\eta_{\sigma,k}(f) = (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma, \sigma)$ .

*Proof.* This follows directly from the proof that  $\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  is a well-defined homomorphism (see Theorem 5.2) since the spin structure on  $T_f^\gamma \amalg T_h^\gamma$  naturally extends over the product  $(T_f^\gamma \amalg T_h^\gamma) \times [0, 1]$  and the spin structure on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  naturally extends over the product  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times [-\delta, \delta]$ .  $\square$

First, we point out that if we compose this homomorphism with a “forgetful” map which ignores the spin structure then we obtain our original homomorphism  $\sigma_k$ . Second, recall the proof of Corollary 5.7 where we pointed out that, by using the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, one could build the  $n$ -dimensional bordism group  $\Omega_n(X, A)$  using  $H_p(X, A; \Omega_q)$  with  $p + q = n$  as building blocks. In the same way, the  $n$ -dimensional spin bordism group  $\Omega_n^{spin}(X, A)$  is constructed out of  $H_p(X, A; \Omega_q^{spin})$  with  $p + q = n$ , where  $\Omega_q^{spin} = \Omega_q^{spin}(\cdot)$  is the spin bordism group of a single point. Unlike the previous case, all but one of these coefficient groups are nontrivial for  $n = 3$ . In particular, since  $\Omega_0^{spin} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Omega_1^{spin} \cong \Omega_2^{spin} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and  $\Omega_3^{spin} \cong \{e\}$ , we have that  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$  is built out of

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(F/F_k; \Omega_0^{spin}) &\cong H_3(F/F_k) \cong \Omega_3(F/F_k), \\ H_2(F/F_k; \Omega_1^{spin}) &\cong H_2(F/F_k) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong F_k/F_{k+1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2, \\ H_1(F/F_k; \Omega_2^{spin}) &\cong H_1(F/F_k) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g}, \text{ and} \\ H_0(F/F_k; \Omega_3^{spin}) &\cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

And so at the very least we see that there is potential for  $\eta_{\sigma,k}$  to give much more information about the structure of the group  $\mathcal{J}(k)$ .

**6.2. A Closer Look at  $\eta_{\sigma,2}$ .** We will now investigate the specific case when  $k = 2$  and see what information  $\eta_{\sigma,2} : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_2)$  gives us about the Torelli group  $\mathcal{J}(2)$ . We have already seen that the original Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_2$  factors through  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_2)$  (see Theorem 5.14.) In this section we will see that, in fact, the Birman-Craggs homomorphisms  $\{\rho_q\}$  also factor through  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_2)$ . Therefore, this new homomorphism  $\eta_{\sigma,2}$  combines the Johnson homomorphism and Birman-Craggs homomorphism into a single one.

Consider any mapping class  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2)$  and fix a spin structure on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the corresponding spin structure on  $T_f^\gamma$ . Finally let  $\phi_f^\gamma = \phi_{f,2}^\gamma : T_f^\gamma \rightarrow K(F/F_2, 1)$  be a continuous map which induces the canonical epimorphism  $\pi_1(T_f^\gamma) \twoheadrightarrow F/F_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .

Then the image under  $\eta_{\sigma,2}$  of  $f$  is  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_f^\gamma, \sigma)$ .

The group  $[T_f^\gamma, S^1]$  of homotopy classes of maps  $T_f^\gamma \rightarrow S^1$  is in one-to-one correspondence with  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(T_f^\gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ . In fact, there is an isomorphism  $[T_f^\gamma, S^1] \cong$

$H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\alpha \in H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  be a primitive cohomology class, then there is a continuous map  $\psi_\alpha : T_f^\gamma \rightarrow S^1$  corresponding to  $\alpha$ . There is a connected surface  $S$  embedded in  $T_f^\gamma$  which represents a class in  $H_2(T_f^\gamma)$  Poincaré dual to  $\alpha$ , and this surface  $S$  represents the same homology class in  $H_2(T_f^\gamma)$  as  $\psi_\alpha^{-1}(p)$  does, where  $p \in S^1$  is a regular value of  $\psi_\alpha$ . (If  $p \in S^1$  is a regular value of  $\psi_\alpha$ , then  $\psi_\alpha^{-1}(p)$  is an embedded, codimension 1 submanifold of  $T_f^\gamma$ . That is,  $\psi_\alpha^{-1}(p)$  is an embedded surface in  $T_f^\gamma$ .)




FIGURE 6.1. Embedding of  $S$  into  $T_{f,1} \hookrightarrow T_f^\gamma$  and the map  $\psi_\alpha$

Let  $\pi_\alpha : K(F/F_2, 1) \rightarrow S^1$  be a continuous map such that  $\psi_\alpha$  is homotopic to  $\pi_\alpha \circ \phi_f^\gamma$ , and let  $(\pi_\alpha)_* : \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_2) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$  denote the induced bordism homomorphism. Then we can define a homomorphism

$$\omega_{\sigma, \alpha} = (\pi_\alpha)_* \circ \eta_{\sigma, 2} : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$$

by sending  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2)$  to the bordism class  $(T_f^\gamma, \psi_\alpha, \sigma) \in \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$ . Again, using the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, we see that  $\Omega_3^{spin}(S^1) \cong \Omega_2^{spin} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . The specific isomorphism is given by  $(M, \phi, \sigma) \mapsto (\phi^{-1}(p), \sigma|_{\phi^{-1}(p)})$ , where  $p \in S^1$  is a regular value of  $\phi$ . We can see by this isomorphism that the spin structure on  $T_f^\gamma$  restricts to a spin structure on  $S = \psi_\alpha^{-1}(p)$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** *The fixed spin structure  $\sigma$  on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  has a canonically associated quadratic form  $q : H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ . If  $\text{Arf}(\Sigma_{g,1}, q) = 0$ , there is a primitive cohomology class  $\alpha \in H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  such that the homomorphism  $\omega_{\sigma, \alpha} : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$  is equivalent to the Birman-Craggs homomorphism  $\rho_q : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ .*

We note that the hypothesis  $\text{Arf}(\Sigma_{g,1}, q) = 0$  is necessary for the Birman-Craggs homomorphism  $\rho_q : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  to be defined. See Section 3 for details.

We have a surface  $S = \psi_\alpha^{-1}(p)$  embedded in  $T_f^\gamma$ . To determine whether the image of  $f$  under the homomorphism  $\omega_{\sigma, \alpha} : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$  is trivial or not, we simply need to determine  $(S, \sigma|_S) \in \Omega_2^{spin}$ . However, this is just the well-known Arf invariant of  $S$  with respect to  $\sigma|_S$ . We defined the Arf invariant  $\text{Arf}(\Sigma, q)$  for

a closed surface  $\Sigma$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form  $q : H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  in Section 3. For the spin structure  $\sigma|_S$  on  $S$  let  $q_\sigma : H_1(S; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form. Namely,  $q_\sigma$  is defined to be the quadratic form given by  $q_\sigma(x) = 0$  if  $\sigma|_x$  is the spin structure that extends over a disk and  $q_\sigma(x) = 1$  if  $\sigma|_x$  does not extend over a disk. It is the work of Johnson in [J1] that tells us this quadratic form is equivalent to the quadratic form discussed in Section 3. Then we have

$$\text{Arf}(S, q_\sigma) = \text{Arf}(S, \sigma|_S) = (S, \sigma|_S) \in \Omega_2^{\text{spin}}.$$

We will also need a more general definition of the Arf invariant which includes surfaces with boundary. The definition is the same except for a small change to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form  $q$ . In particular we have a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form

$$q : \frac{H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2)}{i_*(H_1(\partial\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2))} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

where  $i_*$  is induced by inclusion  $i : \partial\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Then for a symplectic basis  $\{x_i, y_i\}$  of the quotient  $H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2)/i_*(H_1(\partial\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2))$ , the *Arf invariant* of  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $q$  is defined to be

$$\text{Arf}(\Sigma, q) = \sum_{i=1}^g q(x_i)q(y_i) \pmod{2}.$$

Notice that if the surface  $\Sigma$  happens to be embedded in  $S^3$  then this definition is the same as the definition of the *Arf invariant*  $\text{Arf}(L)$  of an oriented link  $L$  in  $S^3$  with components  $\{L_i\}$  and satisfying the property that the linking number is  $\text{lk}(L_i, L - L_i) \equiv 0$  modulo 2. The surface  $\Sigma$  would be a Seifert surface for the link, and  $q$  would be the mod 2 Seifert self-linking form on  $H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2)/i_*(H_1(\partial\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2))$ , where the self-linking is computed with respect to a push-off in a direction normal to the surface. See the W. Lickorish text [Li] for more details.

Now consider the surface  $S = \psi_\alpha^{-1}(p)$  embedded in  $T_f^\gamma$ , and suppose that  $S$  has genus  $k$ . There exists a symplectic basis  $\{x_i, y_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , of  $H_1(S; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $x_k$  is homologous to the homology class  $[\gamma]$  corresponding to  $\gamma$  in  $T_f^\gamma$  and  $y_k$  is homologous to the homology class of  $\beta = S \cap \Sigma_{g,1} \subset T_f^\gamma$ . But  $\gamma$  was required to have the spin structure that extends over a disk (so the spin structure on  $T_{f,1}$  may be extended to a spin structure on  $T_f^\gamma$ .) Thus  $q_\sigma(x_k) = q_\sigma([\gamma]) = 0$ , and

$$\text{Arf}(S, q_\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^k q_\sigma(x_i)q_\sigma(y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} q_\sigma(x_i)q_\sigma(y_i).$$

If we cut  $S$  open along a simple closed curve parallel to  $\beta = S \cap \Sigma_{g,1}$  then the result deformation retracts to a surface  $S'$  with boundary  $\partial S' = \beta \amalg -f(\beta)$  and such that  $H_1(S'; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has symplectic basis  $\{x_i, y_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . (See Figure 6.2.) If we let  $q'_\sigma : H_1(S'; \mathbb{Z}_2)/i_*(H_1(\partial S'; \mathbb{Z}_2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be the induced  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form, then

$$\text{Arf}(S, q_\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} q_\sigma(x_i)q_\sigma(y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} q'_\sigma(x_i)q'_\sigma(y_i) = \text{Arf}(S', q'_\sigma).$$

According to Johnson in [J1], the quadratic form  $q$  (in the statement of Theorem 6.2) corresponds to a Heegaard embedding of  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  into  $S^3$ . Thus we get an induced embedding of  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [0, 1]$ , and thus of  $S'$ , into  $S^3$ , and the quadratic form  $q'_\sigma$  is precisely the same as the mod 2 Seifert self-linking form. Thus we see that to

calculate  $\text{Arf}(S, q_\sigma)$ , we really only need to calculate the Arf invariant of the link  $\{\beta, f(\beta)\}$  with Seifert surface  $S'$ .




FIGURE 6.2.  $S$  cut open along  $\beta$  to obtain  $S'$

Since there is an isomorphism  $H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\alpha \in H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  has a corresponding class in  $H^1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$  which we will also call  $\alpha$ . The homology class of  $\beta = S \cap \Sigma_{g,1}$  in  $H_1(T_f^\gamma)$  also has a corresponding class  $[\beta]$  in  $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$ . Since the homology class of  $S$  is Poincaré dual to  $\alpha \in H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $[\beta] \in H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$  must be Poincaré dual to  $\alpha \in H^1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof of Theorem 6.2.* We have a fixed spin structure  $\sigma$  on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ . Let  $q$  be the associated  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quadratic form. Recall from Section 3 that the hypothesis  $\text{Arf}(\Sigma_{g,1}, q) = 0$  was necessary for the Birman-Craggs homomorphism  $\rho_q : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  to be defined. We have already seen that the spin structure on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  induces a spin structure on  $T_f^\gamma$  which we will also denote by  $\sigma$  and which in turn induces a spin structure  $\sigma|_S$  on the surface  $S$  defined above. To prove the theorem, we need to find a primitive cohomology class  $\alpha \in H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\omega_{\sigma, \alpha}(f) = \rho_q(f)$ . To accomplish this we need to find a surface  $S$  that represents a homology class Poincaré dual to  $\alpha$  and such that  $\text{Arf}(S, q_\sigma) = \text{Arf}(S', q'_\sigma) = \rho_q(f)$ . To do so, we will construct a simple closed curve  $\beta$  on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  and calculate the Arf invariant  $\text{Arf}(\beta, f(\beta))$  with Seifert surface  $S'$  in  $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow S^3$ .

Recall that for genus  $g = 2$  surfaces, the Torelli group  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  is generated by the collection of all Dehn twists about bounding simple closed curves, and for genus  $g \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{J}(2)$  is generated by the collection of all Dehn twists about genus 1 cobounding pairs of simple closed curves, i.e. pairs of non-bounding, disjoint, homologous simple closed curves that together bound a genus 1 subsurface. Thus it is sufficient to prove the claim for such elements of  $\mathcal{J}(2)$ .

First assume that  $g = 2$  and  $C$  is a genus 1 bounding simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_{2,1}$ . Let  $f$  be a Dehn twist about  $C$ . Then  $C$  splits  $\Sigma_{2,1}$  into two genus 1 surfaces  $\Sigma_a$  and  $\Sigma_b$ . Let  $\{x_a, y_a\}$  and  $\{x_b, y_b\}$  be symplectic bases of  $H_1(\Sigma_a)/i_*(H_1(\partial\Sigma_a))$  and  $H_1(\Sigma_b)/i_*(H_1(\partial\Sigma_b))$ , respectively. Then we have two cases:

- (i)  $\rho_q(f) = \text{Arf}(\Sigma_a, q|_{\Sigma_a}) = \text{Arf}(\Sigma_b, q|_{\Sigma_b}) = 1$   
 $\iff q(x_a) = q(y_a) = q(x_b) = q(y_b) = 1$ , or
- (ii)  $\rho_q(f) = \text{Arf}(\Sigma_a, q|_{\Sigma_a}) = \text{Arf}(\Sigma_b, q|_{\Sigma_b}) = 0$   
 $\iff$  at least one of  $\{q(x_a), q(y_a)\}$  and one of  $\{q(x_b), q(y_b)\}$  are 0.

Without loss of generality, let us assume in case (ii) that  $q(x_a) = q(x_b) = 0$ . Then in either case we have  $\rho_q(f) = q(x_a)$ . Let  $\beta$  be a simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_{2,1} \hookrightarrow T_f^\gamma$

which intersects  $C$  exactly twice and such that  $[\beta] \in H_1(\Sigma_{2,1})$  is homologous to  $x_a + x_b$ . Then we also have the simple closed curve  $f(\beta)$  on  $f(\Sigma_{2,1}) \hookrightarrow T_f^\gamma$ . Near  $C$  the picture will always be as in Figure 6.3, and we choose  $S'$  to be this particular surface pictured in Figure 6.3 with boundary  $\partial S' = \beta \amalg -f(\beta)$ . This surface  $S'$  has




FIGURE 6.3. Surface  $S'$  in  $T_f^\gamma$  with boundary  $\beta \amalg -f(\beta)$  (for  $g = 2$ )

spin structure  $\sigma|_{S'}$  and a corresponding quadratic form

$$q'_\sigma : H_1(S'; \mathbb{Z}_2) / i_*(H_1(\partial S'; \mathbb{Z}_2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

given by the mod 2 self-linking form. Notice that  $\{x_a, [C]\}$  is a symplectic basis for the quotient  $H_1(S'; \mathbb{Z}_2) / i_*(H_1(\partial S'; \mathbb{Z}_2))$ . Then we have

$$\omega_{\sigma, \alpha}(f) = \text{Arf}(S', q'_\sigma) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Arf}(\beta, f(\beta)) = q'_\sigma(x_a) q'_\sigma([C]).$$

Note that, while  $C$  is a product of commutators on  $\Sigma_{2,1}$ , it is not a product of commutators on  $S'$ . But it is easy to see from Figure 6.3 that  $q'_\sigma([C]) = \text{lk}(C, C^+) \equiv 1$  modulo 2. It is also clear that  $q'_\sigma(x_a) = q(x_a)$ . Thus

$$\omega_{\sigma, \alpha}(f) = q'_\sigma(x_a) q'_\sigma([C]) = q(x_a) = \text{Arf}(\Sigma_a, q|_{\Sigma_a}) = \rho_q(f).$$

Now assume that  $g \geq 3$  and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are genus 1 cobounding pairs of simple closed curves on  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ . Let  $f$  be a composition of Dehn twists about  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  cobound a genus 1 subsurface  $\Sigma'$ . Let  $\{x, y\}$  be a symplectic basis of  $H_1(\Sigma') / i_*(H_1(\partial \Sigma'))$ . There are two cases:

- (1)  $q(C_1) = q(C_2) = 1$  and
- (2)  $q(C_1) = q(C_2) = 0$ .

For case (1), we simply let  $\beta$  be a simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_{g,1} \hookrightarrow T_f^\gamma$  which does not intersect  $C_1$  or  $C_2$ . Then  $f$  will not affect  $\beta$ , and we can choose  $S'$  to be a straight cylinder between  $\beta$  and  $f(\beta)$  so that  $H_1(S'; \mathbb{Z}_2) / i_*(H_1(\partial S'; \mathbb{Z}_2))$  is trivial. Thus  $\omega_{\sigma, \alpha}(f) = \text{Arf}(S', q'_\sigma) = 0$ . We also know from the end of Section 3 that in this case  $\rho_q(f) = 0$ .

For case (2), we have two subcases:

- (i)  $\rho_q(f) = \text{Arf}(\Sigma', q|_{\Sigma'}) = 1 \iff q(x) = q(y) = 1$ , or
- (ii)  $\rho_q(f) = \text{Arf}(\Sigma', q|_{\Sigma'}) = 0 \iff$  at least one of  $\{q(x), q(y)\}$  is 0.

Again without loss of generality, let us assume in case (ii) that  $q(x) = 0$ . In both cases let  $\beta$  be a simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_{g,1} \hookrightarrow T_f^\gamma$  which intersects each of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  exactly once and such that  $[\beta] \in H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$  is homologous to  $x + x'$ , where  $x'$  is any nontrivial homology class in  $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1} - \Sigma')$ . Then we also have the simple closed curve  $f(\beta)$  on  $f(\Sigma_{g,1}) \hookrightarrow T_f^\gamma$ . Near  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  the picture will always be as in Figure 6.4, and we choose  $S'$  to be this particular surface pictured in Figure 6.4 with boundary  $\partial S' = \beta \amalg -f(\beta)$ . Again this surface  $S'$  has spin structure  $\sigma|_{S'}$ .




FIGURE 6.4. Surface  $S'$  in  $T_f^\gamma$  with boundary  $\beta \amalg -f(\beta)$  (for  $g \geq 3$ )

and a corresponding quadratic form  $q'_\sigma : H_1(S'; \mathbb{Z}_2)/i_*(H_1(\partial S'; \mathbb{Z}_2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  given by the mod 2 self-linking form. Let  $y'$  be any homology class such that  $\{x, y'\}$  is a symplectic basis for  $H_1(S'; \mathbb{Z}_2)/i_*(H_1(\partial S'; \mathbb{Z}_2))$ . Then we have

$$\omega_{\sigma,\alpha}(f) = \text{Arf}(S', q'_\sigma) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Arf}(\beta, f(\beta)) = q'_\sigma(x)q'_\sigma(y').$$

Notice that  $\{x, y'\}$  is also a basis for  $H_1(\Sigma'; \mathbb{Z}_2)/i_*(H_1(\partial \Sigma'; \mathbb{Z}_2))$  and that  $q'_\sigma(x) = q(x)$  and  $q'_\sigma(y') = q(y')$ . Thus we see that

$$\omega_{\sigma,\alpha}(f) = q'_\sigma(x)q'_\sigma(y') = q(x)q(y') = \text{Arf}(\Sigma', q|_{\Sigma'}) = \rho_q(f).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.2.  $\square$

As a result of this theorem and Theorem 5.14, we see that  $\eta_{\sigma,2}$  contains the necessary information for determining both the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_2$  and the Birman-Craggs homomorphism  $\rho_q$ . Recall from Section 4 that the abelianization  $H_1(\mathcal{J}(2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{J}(2)/[\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)]$  of the Torelli group is completely determined by  $\tau_2$  and  $\rho_q$  (over all possible  $q$ ) since the commutator subgroup of the Torelli group is given by the kernels of these homomorphisms. Namely, we have  $[\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)] = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{J}(3)$ , where  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_q \ker \rho_q$ . Suppose we take a mapping class  $f \in \ker \eta_{\sigma,2}$ . Certainly it is true that  $f \in \ker \rho_q \cap \mathcal{J}(3)$  since  $\tau_2$  and  $\rho_q$  factor through  $\eta_{\sigma,2}$ . Moreover, if

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{\sigma} \ker \eta_{\sigma,2}$$

is the common kernel over all possible spin structures, then  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{J}(3)$ . Of course it would be nice to know if the converse is also true.

**Problem 6.3.** *What is  $\ker \eta_{\sigma,2}$ ? Is  $\ker \eta_{\sigma,2} = \ker \rho_q \cap \mathcal{J}(3)$ ?*

**Problem 6.4.** *Is it true that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{J}(3) = [\mathcal{J}(2), \mathcal{J}(2)]$ ?*

**6.3. Analysis of  $\eta_{\sigma,k}$ .** In this section we shift our focus to the general homomorphism  $\eta_{\sigma,k} : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$  for arbitrary values of  $k$ . We already know that  $\ker \eta_{\sigma,k} \subset \mathcal{J}(2k-1) = \ker \sigma_k$  since the oriented bordism homomorphism  $\sigma_k : \mathcal{J}(k) \rightarrow \Omega_3(F/F_k)$  factors through  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$ . However, the additional structure on the bordism given by the spin structures should refine the kernel of  $\eta_{\sigma,k}$ .

**Problem 6.5.** *What is the kernel of  $\eta_{\sigma,k}$ ?*

**Problem 6.6.** *Does  $\eta_{\sigma,k}$  give a faithful representation of the abelianization of  $\mathcal{J}(k)$ ? In other words, is  $\text{Im } \eta_{\sigma,k} \cong \mathcal{J}(k)/[\mathcal{J}(k), \mathcal{J}(k)]$ ?*

A sufficient condition for  $f \in \ker \eta_{\sigma,k}$  is given in the following theorem, but it is most likely not necessary. Consider the entire collection  $\{\omega_{\sigma,\alpha}\}$  of the homomorphisms  $\omega_{\sigma,\alpha} : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$  defined in Section 6.2, and let

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigcap_{\alpha} \ker \omega_{\sigma,\alpha}$$

be the common kernel of all  $\omega_{\sigma,\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Theorem 6.7.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{J}(2k+1)$ , then  $f \in \ker \eta_{\sigma,k}$ .*

Note that the hypothesis requires  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k+1)$ , not just  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ . The purpose of this will be revealed in the proof of the theorem, but it is probably not necessary. However, as stated above, it is certainly necessary that  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k-1)$ .

Before we give the proof of this theorem, let us first set up some necessary notation. For a more complete discussion, we refer the reader to Whitehead's book [Wh]. We will be using the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. In particular, let

$$(\star) \quad J_{p,q}^m = \text{Image} \left( (i_{p,q})_* : \tilde{\Omega}_{p+q}^{spin} \left( \left( \frac{F}{F_m} \right)^{(p)} \right) \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{p+q}^{spin} \left( \frac{F}{F_m} \right) \right).$$

Here  $(F/F_m)^{(p)}$  denotes the  $p$ -skeleton of  $K(F/F_m, 1)$ ,  $(i_{p,q})_*$  is induced by the inclusion map  $(F/F_m)^{(p)} \hookrightarrow K(F/F_m, 1)$ , and  $\tilde{\Omega}_n^{spin}(F/F_m)$  denotes the *reduced* spin bordism group defined by

$$\Omega_n^{spin} \left( \frac{F}{F_m} \right) \cong \Omega_n^{spin} \oplus \tilde{\Omega}_n^{spin} \left( \frac{F}{F_m} \right).$$

Note that if  $(M, \phi, \sigma) \in J_{p,q}^m$  then for  $l \leq m$  the triple  $(M, \pi_{m,l} \circ \phi, \sigma)$  is in  $J_{p,q}^l$ , where  $\pi_{m,l} : K(F/F_m, 1) \rightarrow K(F/F_l, 1)$  is the projection map. Let

$$E_{p,q}^2 \cong \tilde{H}_p(F/F_m; \Omega_q^{spin}),$$

and the boundary operator is

$$d_{p,q}^2 : E_{p,q}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-2,q+1}^2.$$

The groups  $E_{p,q}^2$  may be thought of as the building blocks for  $\tilde{\Omega}_n^{spin}(F/F_m)$  with  $p+q=n$ . In actuality, the building blocks are the groups  $E_{p,q}^\infty = \lim E_{p,q}^r$ , where

for  $r \geq 3$

$$E_{p,q}^r = \frac{\ker d_{p,q}^{r-1}}{\operatorname{Im} d_{p+r-1,q-r+2}^{r-1}} \text{ and } d_{p,q}^{r-1} : E_{p,q}^{r-1} \rightarrow E_{p-r+1,q+r-2}^{r-1}.$$

We also have an isomorphism

$$(\star\star) \quad E_{p,q}^\infty \cong J_{p,q}^m / J_{p-1,q+1}^m.$$

Since  $\Omega_3^{spin} = 0$ , we then have  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_m) \cong \tilde{\Omega}_3^{spin}(F/F_m)$  and

$$\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_m) = J_{3,0}^m \supseteq J_{2,1}^m \supseteq J_{1,2}^m \supseteq J_{0,3}^m = 0.$$

Then one can show that the relevant  $E_{p,q}^\infty$  are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} E_{3,0}^\infty &= E_{3,0}^3 = \ker d_{3,0}^2 \subset \tilde{H}_3(F/F_m) \cong H_3(F/F_m) \\ E_{2,1}^\infty &= E_{2,1}^3 = \operatorname{coker} d_{4,0}^2 \cong H_2(F/F_m; \Omega_1^{spin}) / \operatorname{Im} d_{4,0}^2 \\ E_{1,2}^\infty &= E_{1,2}^4 = \operatorname{coker} d_{4,0}^3 \cong H_1(F/F_m; \Omega_2^{spin}) / \operatorname{Im} d_{3,1}^2 \\ E_{0,3}^\infty &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We can now begin our proof of Theorem 6.7.

*Proof of Theorem 6.7.* We assume that  $f \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{J}(2k+1)$ , and we want to show that  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma, \sigma) = 0$  in  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$ . Since  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_m) = J_{3,0}^m$ , we may perturb any  $(M, \phi, \sigma) \in \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_m)$  to ensure that  $\phi(M)$  is contained in the 3-skeleton  $(F/F_m)^{(3)}$  of  $K(F/F_m, 1)$ . That is, by the definition of  $J_{3,0}^m$  given in  $(\star)$  we can choose  $\phi'$  homotopic to  $\phi$  so that  $(M, \phi', \sigma) \in \Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_m)^{(3)})$  and  $(i_{3,0})_*(M, \phi', \sigma) = (M, \phi, \sigma)$  in  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_m)$ .

Since  $f \in \mathcal{J}(2k+1) \subset \mathcal{J}(k+1)$ , Lemma 5.1 says  $\phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma$  exists. We start with  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma, \sigma) \in J_{3,0}^{k+1}$ . Then Theorem 5.3 says that the pair  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma) = 0$  in  $\Omega_3(F/F_{k+1})$ . Thus  $(\phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma)_*([T_f^\gamma]) = 0$  in  $H_3(F/F_{k+1}) \cong E_{3,0}^\infty$ , and we therefore know from  $(\star\star)$  that  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma, \sigma)$  must be in  $J_{2,1}^{k+1}$ . Thus by  $(\star)$  there exists a triple  $(M', \phi', \sigma') \in \Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_{k+1})^{(2)})$  such that  $(i_{2,1})_*(M', \phi', \sigma') = (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma, \sigma)$  in  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_{k+1})$  as indicated in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_{k+1})^{(2)}) & \\ & \downarrow & (M', \phi', \sigma') \\ \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_{2k+1}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_{k+1}) \\ & & \downarrow \\ (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,2k+1}^\gamma, \sigma) & \longmapsto & (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma, \sigma) \end{array}$$

**Lemma 6.8.** *The homomorphism  $(\pi_{k+1,k})_* : J_{2,1}^{k+1}/J_{1,2}^{k+1} \longrightarrow J_{2,1}^k/J_{1,2}^k$  is trivial.*



*Proof.* By  $(\star\star)$  we have  $J_{2,1}^{k+1}/J_{1,2}^{k+1} \cong E_{2,1}^\infty \cong H_2(F/F_{k+1}; \Omega_1^{spin})/\text{Im } d_{4,0}^2$ . Similarly, we have  $J_{2,1}^k/J_{1,2}^k \cong H_2(F/F_k; \Omega_1^{spin})/\text{Im } d_{4,0}^2$ . So this homomorphism is equivalent to

$$\frac{H_2(F/F_{k+1}; \Omega_1^{spin})}{\text{Im } d_{4,0}^2} \rightarrow \frac{H_2(F/F_k; \Omega_1^{spin})}{\text{Im } d_{4,0}^2}.$$

In the proof of Corollary 5.12 we showed that  $H_2(F/F_{k+1}) \rightarrow H_2(F/F_k)$  is the zero map. Thus  $H_2(F/F_{k+1}; \Omega_1^{spin}) \rightarrow H_2(F/F_k; \Omega_1^{spin})$  is also trivial, and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Consider the image of  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma, \sigma)$  in  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$  under the homomorphism  $(\pi_{k+1,k})_* : \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_{k+1}) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$ . This image is of course  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma, \sigma)$ , and since  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma, \sigma) \in J_{2,1}^{k+1}$ , Lemma 6.8 tells us  $(T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma, \sigma) \in J_{1,2}^k$ . By  $(\star)$  there is a triple  $(M'', \phi'', \sigma'') \in \Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_k)^{(1)})$  such that  $(i_{1,2})_*(M'', \phi'', \sigma'') = (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma, \sigma)$  in  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$  as indicated in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_k)^{(1)}) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 \Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_{k+1})^{(2)}) & & (M', \phi', \sigma') & & (M'', \phi'', \sigma'') \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_{2k+1}) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_{k+1}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,2k+1}^\gamma, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k+1}^\gamma, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma, \sigma)
 \end{array}$$

Now we use the fact that  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ . Recall  $\omega_{\sigma,\alpha} = (\pi_\alpha)_* \circ \eta_{\sigma,2} : \mathcal{J}(2) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$  and  $(\pi_\alpha)_* : \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_2) \rightarrow \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$ . Since the 1-skeleton  $(F/F_k)^{(1)}$  is homotopy equivalent to the wedge of  $2g$  circles, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_k)^{(1)}) &\cong \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1 \vee \dots \vee S^1) \\
 &\cong \bigoplus^{2g} \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \bigoplus^{2g} \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1) & & \\
 \downarrow (i_{1,2})_* & & \uparrow (\pi_\alpha)_* & & \\
 \mathcal{J}(k) \xrightarrow{\eta_{\sigma,k}} \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{k,2})_*} & \Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_2) & & 
 \end{array}$$

There is a basis of  $H^1(T_f^\gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  such that for each basis element  $\alpha_i$  the range of the homomorphism  $\omega_{\sigma, \alpha_i}$  corresponds to a summand of  $\Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_k)^{(1)}) \cong \bigoplus^{2g} \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $(M'', \phi'', \sigma'') \in \Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_k)^{(1)})$  must be trivial in each summand of  $\bigoplus^{2g} \Omega_3^{spin}(S^1)$ , and thus it must be trivial in  $\Omega_3^{spin}((F/F_k)^{(1)})$ . Therefore  $0 = (i_{1,2})_*(M'', \phi'', \sigma'') = (T_f^\gamma, \phi_{f,k}^\gamma, \sigma)$  in  $\Omega_3^{spin}(F/F_k)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BC] J. Birman and R. Craggs, *The  $\mu$ -invariant of 3-manifolds and certain structural properties of the group of homeomorphisms of a closed, oriented 2-manifold*, Trans. of the AMS 237 (1978) 283-309.
- [CGO] T. Cochran, A. Geriges, and K. Orr, *Dehn surgery equivalence relations on 3-manifolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 131 (2001) 97-127.
- [Dw] W. Dwyer, *Homology, Massey products, and maps between groups*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 6 (1975) 177-190.
- [Fe] R. Fenn, *Techniques of Geometric Topology*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 57, Cambridge University Press (1983).
- [IO] K. Igusa and K. Orr, *Links, pictures and the homology of nilpotent groups*, Topology 40, Issue 6 (2001) 1125-1166.
- [J1] D. Johnson, *Quadratic forms and the Birman-Craggs homomorphisms*, Trans. of the AMS 261, no. 1 (1980) 235-254.
- [J2] ———, *An abelian quotient of the mapping class group  $\mathcal{T}_g$* , Math. Annalen 249 (1980) 225-242.
- [J3] ———, *A survey of the Torelli group*, Contemporary Math. 20 (1983) 163-179.
- [J4] ———, *The structure of the Torelli group I: A finite set of generators for  $\mathcal{T}_g$* , Annals of Math. 118 (1983) 423-442.
- [J5] ———, *The structure of the Torelli group II: A characterization of the group generated by twists on bounding curves*, Topology 24, Issue 2 (1985) 113-126.
- [J6] ———, *The structure of the Torelli group III: The abelianization of  $\mathcal{T}_g$* , Topology 24, Issue 2 (1985) 127-144.
- [Ki] T. Kitano, *Johnson's homomorphisms of subgroups of the mapping class group, the Magnus expansion and Massey higher products of mapping tori*, Topology and its Applic. 69 (1996) 165-172.
- [Kr] D. Kraines, *Massey Higher Products*, Trans. of the AMS 124 (1966) 431-449.
- [Li] W.B.R. Lickorish, *An Introduction to Knot Theory*, Springer-Verlag (1997).
- [Mo] S. Morita, *Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mapping class group of surfaces*, Duke Math. Journal 70 (1993) 699-726.
- [Or] K. Orr, *Link concordance invariants and Massey products*, Topology 30, Issue 4 (1991) 699-710.
- [St] J. Stallings, *Homology and central series of groups*, J. Algebra 2 (1965) 170-181.
- [Su] D. Sullivan, *On the intersection ring of compact 3-manifolds*, Topology 14 (1975) 275-277.
- [Tu] V. Turaev, *Nilpotent homotopy types of closed 3-manifolds*, Proceedings of Topology Conference in Leningrad, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1060 (1982), Springer-Verlag, 355-366.
- [Wh] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Springer-Verlag (1978).