

# VALUES OF ZETA FUNCTIONS AT $s = \frac{1}{2}$

NIRANJAN RAMACHANDRAN

*To PVR and SS, in gratitude*

ABSTRACT. We study the behaviour near  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of zeta functions of varieties over finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q$  a square. The main result is an Euler-characteristic formula for the square of the special value at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ . The Euler-characteristic is constructed from the Weil-étale cohomology of a certain supersingular elliptic curve.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $V$  be a integral scheme of finite type over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . The special values  $a_V(n)$  at integers  $s = n$  of the zeta function  $\zeta(V, s)$  of  $V$  are conjecturally related to deep arithmetical invariants of  $V$ . One may ask if the special values of  $\zeta(V, s)$  at non-integral values of  $s$ , e.g.  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , also admit an arithmetical interpretation. The simplest example – and perhaps the most interesting – arises from the central value of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s) = \zeta(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}, s)$ :

*Is there a motivic interpretation of  $\zeta(\frac{1}{2})$ ?*

For instance, it is unknown if  $\zeta(\frac{1}{2})$  is a period in the sense of [9]; however, for certain triple product L-functions, the work of M. Harris and S. Kudla [7] relates the central critical value (at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ) to periods, cf. [22, Eq. (48), p.459].

The motivic philosophy indicates that  $a_V(n)$  depends on the interaction of the motive  $h(V)$  of  $V$  with  $\mathbb{Z}(\pm n)$  (power of the Tate motive). This leads us to suspect that the special value at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  is governed by an exotic object (unknown to exist, as yet): a square root  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$  of the Tate motive  $\mathbb{Z}(1)$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ .

It is clear that the investigation of special values at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  should begin with the important case of varieties over finite fields. Namely, consider the zeta function  $\zeta(X, s)$  of a smooth projective variety  $X$  over a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . The function  $Z(X, t)$ , defined by  $Z(X, q^{-s}) := \zeta(X, s)$ , is a rational function of  $t$  with integer coefficients. For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , the order of the pole  $\rho_n := -\text{ord}_{s=n} \zeta(X, s)$  at  $s = n$  and the special value  $a_X(n)$  of  $Z(X, t)$  at  $t = q^{-n}$  conjecturally admit a motivic interpretation.

The Tate conjecture (Conjecture 2) predicts that

$$\rho_n = \text{rank } \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(-n), h^{2n}(X)),$$

in the category of motives and  $h^{2n}(X)$  is part of the motive of  $X$ . A related variant is that  $\rho_n$  is the rank of the Chow group  $CH^n(X)$  of algebraic cycles of codimension  $n$  on  $X$ .

The Lichtenbaum-Milne conjecture (Conjecture 3) expresses  $a_X(n)$  as an Euler-characteristic of étale motivic cohomology  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(n))$ ; i.e., the cohomology of the (étale) motivic complexes  $\mathbb{Z}_X(n)$  of S. Lichtenbaum;  $\mathbb{Z}_X(0)$  is the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_X(1) = \mathbb{G}_m[-1]$  is the sheaf  $\mathbb{G}_m$  in degree one. Their conjecture is known for  $n = 0$  (unconditionally) and for  $n = 1$  (modulo the Tate conjecture for

---

*Date:* September 3, 2018.

Partially supported by MPIM, IHES, and a GRB Summer Fellowship from the University of Maryland.

divisors on  $X$ ); cf. [11, 19]. For  $n = 0, 1$ , it takes the form

$$a_X(0) = \pm \chi(X, \mathbb{Z}), \quad a_X(1) = \pm \frac{\chi(X, \mathbb{G}_a)}{\chi(X, \mathbb{G}_m)} = \pm \frac{q^{\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)}}{\chi(X, \mathbb{G}_m)}.$$

Lichtenbaum [12] has provided another elegant interpretation of  $a_X(0)$  using his Weil-étale topology (cf. Theorem 5).

Let us now turn to the special value at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $t = 1/\sqrt{q}$ . One can ask for a motivic description of

- the order of vanishing  $\rho_X := \text{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(X, s)$  and
- the corresponding special value  $c_X$  at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , viz.,  $c_X := \lim_{t \rightarrow 1/\sqrt{q}} (1 - \sqrt{qt})^{-\rho_X} Z(X, t)$ .

The main result of this paper provides such a description, under the condition that  $q = p^{2f}$ , i.e., that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ . We note that  $c_X$  may not be rational, if the condition on  $q$  is dropped.

Our paper is an exploration, using the methods of [11, 19, 12, 21], of a beautiful *suggestion* of Yuri Manin that “a certain supersingular elliptic curve  $E$  might be useful in finding the expression for  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$ ”, because its one-motive  $h_1(E)$  is the square root of Tate’s motive in the same sense as the Dirac operator is the square root of a Laplacian of a spin manifold. The rank of  $h_1(E)$  is two whereas  $\mathbb{Z}(1)$  has rank one. Spin structures on a curve involve a square root of the canonical bundle, the dualizing object or the orientation sheaf. The  $\ell$ -adic analogue  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$  of the dualizing sheaf, on a curve, comes from  $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ . This suggests that a motivic interpretation of  $\zeta(\frac{1}{2})$  should involve a spin structure on the “curve”  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . We shall refer to  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$  as the Manin motive. Going to the double cover  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$  seems essential in obtaining the square root  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$  of  $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ : the elliptic curve  $E$  exists only over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ .

Our starting point was the observation that  $E$  provides the required motivic interpretation of  $\rho_X$  :

$$2\rho_X = \text{rank Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E, \text{Pic}(X)),$$

(Lemma 6) where the abelian variety  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is the Picard variety of  $X$ . This suggests that the étale sheaf  $E$  on  $X$  may provide an interpretation of  $c_X$ .

Our main theorem is an analogue of Lichtenbaum’s result (Theorem 5):

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = p^{2f}$  and  $E$  as before. Write  $\theta$  for the generator of the Weil-étale cohomology group  $H_W^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ ; cf. [12, §8].*

- (i) *The Weil-étale cohomology groups  $H_W^i(X, E)$  are finitely generated.*
- (ii)  *$H_W^i(X, E) = 0$  for  $i > 1 + 2 \dim X$ .*
- (iii) *The alternating sum  $\sum (-1)^i r_i$  of the ranks  $r_i$  of  $H_W^i(X, E)$  is zero.*
- (iv) *The secondary Euler-characteristic  $\sum (-1)^i i r_i$  is equal to  $-2 \text{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(X, s) = -2\rho_X$ . In fact,  $r_0 = r_1 = 2\rho_X$  and  $r_i = 0$  for  $i > 1$ .*
- (v) *The cohomology groups  $h^i$  of the complex*

$$H_W^0(X, E) \xrightarrow{\cup \theta} H_W^1(X, E) \xrightarrow{\cup \theta} H_W^2(X, E) \rightarrow \dots$$

*are finite; the special value at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , viz.,  $c_X := \lim_{t \rightarrow 1/\sqrt{q}} (1 - \sqrt{qt})^{-\rho_X} Z(X, t)$  is given by*

$$c_X^2 = \frac{q^{\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)}}{\chi(X, E)}$$

*where*

$$\chi(X, E) = \prod [h^i]^{(-1)^i}.$$

Tate’s theorem [24] on abelian varieties is crucial here; since this result of Tate is a special case (of divisors on abelian varieties) of his conjecture, the case of  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  is intermediate between the case of  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$ . As  $h_1(E)$  has rank two, it is  $2\rho_X$  and  $c_X^2$  that arise rather than  $\rho_X$  and  $c_X$ . Note that the Weil-étale motivic cohomology groups  $H_W^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(n))$  are known to be finitely generated only for  $n = 0$  [12, §8]; the case  $n \neq 0$  requires the Tate conjecture [5].

The proof of the main theorem in §5 depends on the results in §4. As usual, the main difficulties lie in the  $p$ -part. This involves the nontrivial computation of the cohomology of the flat group scheme  $p^n E$  on  $X$ ; we do this by using the de Rham-Witt complex of  $X$ ; our approach was suggested by [17, §13]. The special values at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  for the L-functions of curves and motives are treated first in §2, 3, using the work of Milne [14, 15, 21], after some preliminaries in §1. The reader may be amused by the results in §2.2: the square root of the order of a Tate-Shafarevich group turns up in the description of the special value at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Even though  $\zeta(X, 1/3)$  will be a nonzero rational number when  $q = p^{3f}$ , one does not have  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{3})$  over such a field; similar comments apply to  $1/4, 1/5, \dots$ . The case of  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$  is special and its existence ultimately has its origins in the structure of Weil  $q$ -numbers [20]. We refer to C. Deninger [3, §7] and Manin [13] for ideas on the exotic Tate motives  $\mathbb{C}(s)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}$ .

Supersingular elliptic curves are important examples of motives [20, Theorem 2.41]. For instance, as indicated by J.-P. Serre [6], their existence implies the non-neutrality of the Tannakian category  $\mathcal{M}$  of motives over a finite field (i.e. that  $\mathcal{M}$  does not have a fibre functor to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces).

Finally, elliptic curves or abelian varieties cannot provide  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ : the Hodge numbers are incompatible. Since elliptic curves with noncommutative endomorphism rings provide  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$  over a finite field, it may be that the arithmetic theory of non-commutative tori (initiated by Manin) holds the key to the definition of  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Notations.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For any scheme  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we write  $\bar{V}$  for its base change to  $\mathbb{F}$ . Write  $\Gamma$  for the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $\Gamma_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$  for the subgroup (the Weil group) generated by the Frobenius automorphism  $\gamma: x \mapsto x^q$ . The additive and multiplicative valuations of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are normalized so that  $|p|_p = 1/p$  and  $\text{ord}_p(p) = 1$ . We write  $H$  (resp.  $H_{fl}, H_W$ ) for the étale (resp. flat, Weil-étale [12]) cohomology groups. Finally,  $|S|$  denotes the order of a finite set  $S$ .

From §2 onwards, we assume that  $q$  is a square, i.e., that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ .

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Here we recall some relevant facts and conjectures. We begin with an example.

**1.1. Example.** Let us consider the scheme  $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$ . The value  $(1 - q^n)^{-1}$  of  $\zeta(X, s) = (1 - q^{-s})^{-1}$  at any negative integer  $-n$  has many interpretations:

$$-\frac{1}{\zeta(X, -n)} = (q^n - 1) = [K_{2n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)] = [\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}(n))] = [\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})] = [T_n(\mathbb{F}_q)]$$

where  $K_{2n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is the Quillen K-group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the category of effective integral motives over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of [21],  $T_n$  is the torus over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  obtained from  $\mathbb{G}_m$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  via Weil restriction of scalars.

The value of  $\zeta(X, s)$  at  $s = -\frac{1}{2}$  is  $(1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}$  which is not rational unless  $q$  is an even power of  $p$ . So assume that  $q = p^{2f}$ . Even then, one cannot interpret  $p^f - 1$  as the order of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of any group (for varying  $f$ ). But all is not lost. Consider  $\zeta(X, -\frac{1}{2})^{-2} = (p^f - 1)^2$  which could be the order of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of an elliptic curve  $E$ . In fact, one has

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(X, -\frac{1}{2})^2} = (p^f - 1)^2 = [E(\mathbb{F}_q)] = [\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, h_1(E))]$$

for an elliptic curve  $E$  with Frobenius eigenvalues  $(p^f, p^f)$ . Such an  $E$  is supersingular.

As for  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , we find that

$$\zeta(X, \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{q}{(1 - p^f)^2} = \frac{q^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)}}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q)]}$$

where  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is the Euler-characteristic of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Note that the numerator  $q^m$  of  $\zeta(X, m) = \frac{q^m}{q^m - 1}$  for any positive integer  $m$  is an Euler-characteristic by Conjecture 3; cf. [19, Thm. 7.2].  $\square$

**1.2. Supersingular elliptic curves and  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$ .** We indicate the relations of supersingular elliptic curves to the motive  $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2})$  by quoting the email message (dated 10 August 2004) of Milne:

“A. Grothendieck (and P. Deligne) knew already in the 1960’s that a supersingular elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  provides a square root of the Tate motive in the following precise sense: after extending the coefficient field to a field  $k \supset \mathbb{Q}$  splitting  $\text{End}(E)$ ,  $h_1(E)$  decomposes into  $\mathbb{Q}(\frac{1}{2}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\frac{1}{2})$  where  $\mathbb{Q}(\frac{1}{2})^{\otimes 2} = \mathbb{Q}(1)$ . This is exploited throughout [20]. For example, as for any category of motives, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\omega} P \xrightarrow{t} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0$$

such that  $t \circ \omega = 2$  where  $P$  is the kernel of the Tannakian groupoid. The map  $\omega$  is given by the weight gradation and  $t$  by the Tate motive. From  $E$  one gets a homomorphism  $P \xrightarrow{e} \mathbb{G}_m$  such that  $e \circ \omega = 1$ , and so  $P$  decomposes into  $P = P^0 \times w(\mathbb{G}_m)$ ; cf. [20, 2.41].

Over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , supersingular elliptic curves come in three types:

- (a) eigenvalues  $\pm\sqrt{-q}$ ;
- (b)  $q = p^{2f}$ ; eigenvalues  $-p^f, -p^f$ ;
- (c)  $q = p^{2f}$ ; eigenvalues  $p^f, p^f$ .

This follows from Tate’s theorem [24, Thm. 1(a)] but was probably known to Deuring. The ones in (c) play the same role over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2f}}$  as  $E$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ .”

*Remark.* The elliptic curves in (c) are all  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isogenous. Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2f}}$  of type (c). It is actually defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and its endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(E)$  is a maximal order in a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , ramified only at  $p$  and  $\infty$ ; cf. p. 528 and Theorems 4.1, 4.2 of [25].

*We fix an elliptic curve of type (c) over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and we refer to it throughout as  $E$ .*

*Remark.* An abelian variety  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2f}}$  whose Frobenius spectrum is pure with support  $p^f$  is, by Tate’s theorem [24, Thm. 1(a)] (cf. [25, pp.526-27]), isogenous to a power of  $E$ .

**1.3. Conjectures of Tate and Lichtenbaum-Milne.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective (geometrically connected) variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\zeta(X, s)$  be its zeta function. The associated function  $Z(X, t)$ , defined by  $\zeta(X, s) = Z(X, q^{-s})$ , is known to be a rational function of  $t$  with integer coefficients. The special value of  $\zeta(X, s)$  at  $s = n$  is the special value  $a_X(n)$  of  $Z(X, t)$  at  $t = q^{-n}$ , up to powers of  $\log(q)$ .

A motivic description of  $a_X(n)$  requires a knowledge of the poles of  $\zeta(X, s)$  given by

**Conjecture 2.** (Tate)  $T(X, r)$  : *For any integer  $r \geq 0$ , the dimension of the subspace of  $H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))$  generated by algebraic cycles is equal to the order  $\rho_r$  of the pole of  $\zeta(X, s)$  at  $s = r$ .*

This conjecture provides a motivic description of  $\rho_r$ , i.e.,  $\rho_r = \text{rank Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(-r), h^{2r}(X))$  in the category of motives over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . While Conjecture 2 is unknown in general, even for divisors  $r = 1$ , it does hold for divisors on abelian varieties [24, Thm. 1(a)].

In the case of a curve  $X$ , it is well known that  $a_X(0), a_X(1)$  are related to the class number  $h$  of  $X$  [11, p. 191].

The description of  $a_X(1)$  for a surface  $X$  can be considered, following M. Artin and J. Tate [23], as a geometric analogue of the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. Inspired by [23], Lichtenbaum [11] and Milne [19] have conjectured (Conjecture 3) a complete description (including the  $p$ -part) of  $a_X(n)$  for any  $X$  as an Euler-characteristic in étale motivic cohomology  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(n))$ . Namely, Lichtenbaum (resp. Milne) has defined a non-zero rational number  $\chi(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))$  (resp. an integer  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}, r)$ ) via motivic cohomology  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_X(r))$  (resp.  $\sum_{i=0}^{i=r} (r-i)\chi(X, \Omega^i)$ ) of  $X$ ; we refer to [19, §0] for the precise definitions. These are related to  $a_X(n)$  via the

**Conjecture 3.** (Lichtenbaum-Milne) [19, 0.1] *The special value  $a_X(r)$  of  $Z(X, t)$  at  $t = q^{-r}$  can be given as an Euler-characteristic of motivic cohomology. Namely, one has*

$$(LM(X, r)) \quad Z(X, t) \sim \pm \chi(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \cdot q^{\chi(X, \mathcal{O}, r)} (1 - q^r t)^{-\rho_r}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow q^{-r}$$

where the terms on the right are defined in [19, Conj. 0.1]

This conjecture generalizes [11] that of Artin-Tate [23] for the case of  $r = 1$  and  $X$  a surface. We recall one result about Conjecture 3 and refer to [11, 18, 19, 21] for other results.

**Theorem 4.** [11, 18] (a) *Conjecture 3 is true for  $r = 0$ .*

(b) *If  $T(X, 1)$  holds, then the terms in  $(\text{LM}(X, 1))$  are finite and  $(\text{LM}(X, 1))$  is true.*

Part (b) generalises the result of Artin-Tate [23] for surfaces, and includes the  $p$ -part [16].

**1.4. Weil-étale cohomology.** As before,  $X$  is a smooth projective geometrically connected variety. Lichtenbaum [12] has given a beautiful interpretation, via his Weil-étale cohomology groups  $H_W^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , of the behaviour of  $Z(X, t)$  at  $t = 1$ . Namely, cup-product with the generator  $\theta$  of  $H_W^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  gives a complex  $(H_W^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z}), \theta)$  of finitely generated abelian groups whose cohomology groups  $h_W^*(X)$  are finite; write  $\chi_W(X) = \frac{[h_W^0(X)] \cdots}{[h_W^*(X)] \cdots}$  for the alternating product of the orders  $[h_W^*(X)]$  of  $h_W^*(X)$ . Then, Lichtenbaum's result<sup>1</sup> on the behaviour of  $Z(X, t)$  at  $t = 1$  is as follows:

**Theorem 5.** (Lichtenbaum)

(i) *the usual Euler characteristic  $\Sigma(-1)^i r_i$  of  $X$  is zero; here  $r_i = \text{rank } H_W^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

(ii) *the order of the zero of  $Z(X, t)$  at  $t = 1$  is given by the secondary Euler characteristic  $\Sigma(-1)^i i \cdot r_i$ . (In our case, this order is minus one.)*

(iii) *the special value  $a_X(0) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} Z(X, t)(1 - t)$  is the multiplicative Euler characteristic:*

$$a_X(0) = \pm \chi_W(X).$$

**1.5. Behaviour at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ : basic invariants.** Our aim in this paper is a motivic description, when  $q = p^{2f}$ , of the following arithmetic invariants of  $X$ :

- the integer  $\rho_X :=$  the order of the zero of  $\zeta(X, s)$  at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ .
- the special value at  $t = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  of  $Z(X, t)$ , viz.,  $c_X := \lim_{t \rightarrow 1/\sqrt{q}} (1 - \sqrt{qt})^{-\rho_X} Z(X, t)$ .

As before,  $c_X$  differs from the special value at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of  $\zeta(X, s)$  by factors of  $\log p$ . As  $q = p^{2f}$ , one has  $c_X \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . Unlike  $\rho_r$ , the integer  $\rho_X$  has an unconditional motivic description, viz.,

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $q = p^{2f}$  and let  $\text{Pic}(X)$  be the Picard variety of  $X$ . One has*

$$2\rho_X = \text{rank } \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E, \text{Pic}(X)).$$

For instance,  $\rho_E = 2$  and  $\text{rank } \text{End}(E) = 4$ . The RHS is always divisible by 4 because  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E, \text{Pic}(X))$  is a module over  $\text{End}(E)$ . Similarly, the LHS is divisible by 4: the integer  $\rho_X$  is even because the roots  $\alpha \neq \sqrt{q}$  of the factor  $P_1(t)$  of  $Z(X, t)$  come in pairs  $(\alpha, q/\alpha)$  and the degree of  $P_1(t)$  is even.

*Proof.* This follows from Tate's theorem [24, Thm. 1(a)]: by the Weil conjectures,  $\rho_X$  is the multiplicity of the root  $\sqrt{q}$  in the factor  $P_1(t)$  of  $Z(X, t)$  which is the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius on  $\text{Pic}(X)$ . For  $A = E$  and  $B = \text{Pic}(X)$ , the integer  $r(f_A, f_B)$  defined by Tate [24, (4), p. 138] is  $2\rho_X$ .  $\square$

Thus, the vanishing of  $\zeta(X, s)$  at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  is controlled by the (non)-ordinarity of  $\text{Pic}(X)$ . The study of  $c_X$  occupies the rest of the article.

## 2. CURVES

In this section, the work of Milne [14, 15] is used to provide motivic interpretations of  $c_X$  in the case of curves. Let  $X$  be a curve of genus  $g$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = p^{2f}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ . Write  $J$  for its Jacobian.

---

<sup>1</sup>His results include the case of arbitrary curves and smooth surfaces.

## 2.1. Extensions and $c_X$ .

**Theorem 7.** (i)  $\rho_X = \frac{1}{2} \text{rank Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E, J)$ .

(ii) the special value at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of  $\zeta(X, s)$  is given by

$$c_X^2 = q^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)} \cdot \frac{[\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(J, E)]}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q)]^2} \cdot D$$

where  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - g$  is the Euler-characteristic of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $\text{Ext}^1$  is computed in the category of group schemes over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $D$  is the discriminant of the pairing

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E, J) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(J, E) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E) \xrightarrow{\text{trace}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

(iii) one has

$$c_X^2 = q^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)} \cdot \frac{[H^1(X, E)]}{[H^0(X, E)_{\text{tors}}]^2} \cdot D$$

where  $H^*(X, E)$  is the étale cohomology of the sheaf defined by  $E$  and  $D$  is as in (ii).

*Proof.* (i) was proved earlier.

(ii) When  $g = 0$ , one easily verifies that

$$c_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2 = \frac{q}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q)]^2}.$$

When  $g \neq 0$ ,  $Z(X, t) = P(t) \cdot Z(\mathbb{P}^1, t)$  where  $P(t) = \prod (1 - \alpha_i t)$ , the numerator of  $Z(X, t)$ , is the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius on  $J$ . The result now follows from Lemma (6) and [14, Thm. 3].

(iii) As  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(J, E) \cong H^1(X, E)$  [15, Cor. 3] and  $E(\mathbb{F}_q) = H^0(X, E)_{\text{tors}}$  [15, p. 120], this is clear.  $\square$

*Remark.* If  $X$  is ordinary, i.e., its Hasse-Witt matrix is invertible or the abelian variety  $J$  is ordinary, then the formula simplifies to

$$\zeta(X, \frac{1}{2})^2 = c_X^2 = q^{1-g} \frac{[\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(J, E)]}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q)]^2}.$$

Since  $J$  is ordinary, the roots of  $P$  satisfy:  $\alpha_i \neq \sqrt{q}$ ; thus  $\zeta(X, \frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$ . For an ordinary elliptic curve  $X$ , a simple computation yields

$$\pm c_X = 1 - \frac{[X(\mathbb{F}_q)]}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q)]}.$$

**2.2. BSD and  $c_X$ .** These formulas for  $c_X^2$  are very reminiscent of the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) conjecture. In fact, Milne [15] has shown  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(J, E) \cong \text{III}(E/K)$  of the constant elliptic curve  $E$  over the function field  $K = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ . Since the order of  $\text{III}(E/K)$  is a square,  $[\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(J, E)] = m^2$  for a positive integer  $m$ . This gives a motivic interpretation of  $c_X$  for  $X$  ordinary:

$$c_X = \pm p^{(1-g)f} \frac{m}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q)]}.$$

Thus, the square root of the order of  $\text{III}$  is related to special values at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Theorem 8.** The special value at  $s = 1$  of the  $L$ -function  $L(E/K, s)$  of  $E$  over  $K$  is:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} L(E/K, s) \cdot (s-1)^{-2\rho_X} = c_X^2 \cdot (\log q)^\rho$$

where  $\rho = \text{rank } E(K) = 2\rho_X = \text{rank Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(J, E)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from [15, Thm. 3] and the first equation on page 102 of [15].  $\square$

## 3. INTEGRAL MOTIVES

Some of the results in the previous section generalize to effective integral motives [21].

Recall the category  $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{F}_q; \mathbb{Z})$  of effective integral motives over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and the category  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_q; \mathbb{Z})$  of integral motives (see [21]). Assume that  $q = p^{2f}$ . The elliptic curve  $E$  defines an effective integral motive [21, 5.16] via its  $h^1$  which is still denoted  $E$ . Its dual  $E^\vee = h_1(E)$  is just  $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}(1)$ . The special value at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of the L-function  $L(M, s)$  of any effective integral motive  $M$  is related to the order of Ext-groups in  $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{F}_q; \mathbb{Z})$ :

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $q = p^{2f}$  and let  $r$  be the rank of  $M$  and  $\rho_M := \text{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(M, s)$ . One has*

- (i)  $2\rho_M = \text{rank Hom}(E, M)$ .
- (ii)

$$q^r L(M, s + \frac{1}{2})^2 \sim \frac{[\text{Ext}^1(E, M)] \cdot D(M)}{[\text{Hom}(E, M)_{\text{tors}}] \cdot [\text{Hom}(M, E)_{\text{tors}}]} \cdot (1 - q^{-s})^\rho \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $D(M)$  is the discriminant of the pairing

$$\text{Hom}(E, M) \times \text{Hom}(M, E) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{End}(E) \xrightarrow{\text{trace}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

- (iii) One has  $L(M, s + \frac{1}{2})^2 = L(M \otimes E^\vee, s)$ .

*Proof.* If  $L(M, s) = \prod (1 - a_i q^{-s})$ , then by [21, 9.2(d)],

$$L(M \otimes E^\vee, s) = \prod_{i,j} (1 - \frac{a_i}{b_j} q^{-s}) = \prod_i (1 - a_i q^{-s - \frac{1}{2}})^2$$

because  $L(E, s) = \prod (1 - b_j q^{-s}) = (1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-s})^2$ . This proves (iii).

Now it is clear that (i) and (ii) follow from [21, Thm 10.1]. □

It is possible to prove a Weil-étale variant [12] of the above result using [21, Thm. 10.5].

*Remark.* (i) One has

$$L(\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{2})^2 = (1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 = \frac{[E(\mathbb{F}_q)]}{q}$$

By the proposition,  $qL(\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{2})^2$  is  $[\text{Ext}^1(E, \mathbb{Z})]$  which, by [21, 8.7], is  $[E(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ .

- (ii) Let  $A$  be an ordinary abelian variety of dimension  $d$ . One computes  $L(h_1(A), \frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$  to be [14]

$$L(h_1(A), \frac{1}{2})^2 = q^{-d} [\text{Ext}^1(A, E)]$$

where the Ext-group is computed in the category of group schemes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

(iii) For any motive  $M$  over a global (or finite) field and for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we write  $M(n)$  for its (Tate) twist by  $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ . On the level of L-functions, twisting corresponds to translations on the  $s$ -axis:  $L(M, s + n) = L(M(n), s)$ . The above proposition computes special values of  $L(M, s)$  at other half-integers as well. □

4. THE  $p$ -ADIC TATE MODULE OF  $E$ 

As before,  $X$  is a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = p^{2f}$ , and  $E$  is our fixed elliptic curve.

The main result (Theorem 13) of this section relates  $c_X$  to the cohomology groups  $H_{fl}^*(X, {}_p^n E)$  of the finite flat group scheme  ${}_p^n E$  on  $X$ . This result is the technical core of the paper.

We shall freely use the results and methods of [18]. We recall from [18, §1] the category  $Pf$  of perfect affine schemes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , endowed with the étale topology. The computation of  $H_{fl}^*(\bar{X}, {}_p^n E)$  here was inspired by [17, §13 (a)].

For any perfect field  $k$  of positive characteristic, we write  $W(k)$  for the Witt ring of  $k$ . Recall the Dieudonne ring  $A = W(\mathbb{F}_q)_\sigma[F, V]$ ; put  $\bar{A} = A \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} W(\mathbb{F})$ . Note that the relation  $FV = p = VF$  holds in  $A$  and  $\bar{A}$ .

**Lemma 10.** (i) For  $n \geq 1$  and  $i \geq 0$ , one has an exact sequence

$$\cdots H_{fl}^i(\bar{X}, {}_p^n E) \rightarrow H^i(\bar{X}, W_{2n}\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{F-V} H^i(\bar{X}, W_{2n}\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where  $W_{2n}\mathcal{O}$  is the Witt vector sheaf of order  $2n$  on  $\bar{X}$ .

(ii) The presheaf  $T \mapsto H^i(X_T, {}_p^n E)$  on  $Pf$  is represented by an affine perfect group scheme [18, pp.303-4].

*Proof.* (i) This follows from the exact sequence of flat sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow {}_p^n E \rightarrow W_{2n}\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{F-V} W_{2n}\mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

on  $\bar{X}$ , a consequence of the fact that the Dieudonne module of the  $p$ -divisible group  $E(p^\infty)$  (resp.  $W_{2n}$ ) is  $E' := \bar{A}/(F - V)$  (resp.  $\bar{A}/(V^{2n})$ ). The cohomology of  $W_{2n}\mathcal{O}$  is the same in the étale and flat topologies.

(ii) Follows from (i) in a standard manner, cf. [18, Lemma 1.8], because the presheaf  $T \mapsto H^i(X_T, W_{2n}\mathcal{O})$  is representable.  $\square$

As in [18, p. 322], the long exact sequence of Lemma 10 (i) can be regarded as one of affine perfect group schemes. Write  $\mathcal{H}^i(X, W\mathcal{O}) := \varprojlim \mathcal{H}^i(X, W_{2n}\mathcal{O})$  and  $\mathcal{H}^i(X, {}_p^n E)$  for the perfect group scheme in (ii). Write  $b_i$  for the dimension of the perfect pro-algebraic group scheme  $\mathcal{H}^i(X, T_p E) := \varprojlim \mathcal{H}^i(X, {}_p^n E)$ . Thus,  $b_i = \infty$  unless the neutral component  $\mathcal{H}^i(X, T_p E)^0$  of  $\mathcal{H}^i(X, T_p E)$  is algebraic, in which case  $b_i$  is the number of copies of  $\mathbb{G}_a^{pf}$  occurring as quotients in a composition series for  $\mathcal{H}^i(X, T_p E)^0$ .

Write  $W$  for  $W(\mathbb{F})$ . There is an exact sequence [18, p. 321]

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})_t \rightarrow H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow 0,$$

of  $W[[V]]$ -modules in which  $B_i$  is a free  $W$ -module of finite rank and  $H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})_t$  is a finitely generated  $(W/p^n W)[[V]]$ -module for some  $n$ . Write  $d_i$  for the length of  $H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})_t \otimes_{W[[V]]} W((V))$  as a  $W((V))$ -module. The integer  $d_i$  is equal to the number of copies of  $\mathbb{F}[[V]]$  occurring in a composition series for  $H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})_t$ .

**Proposition 11.** With notations as above,  $b_i$  is finite for all  $i$  and  $\Sigma(-1)^i b_i = -\Sigma(-1)^i d_i$ .

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [18, Prop. 3.1] to which we refer for the properties of the integer  $\chi(\alpha) := \dim \text{Ker}(\alpha) - \dim \text{Coker}(\alpha)$  attached to a morphism  $\alpha$  of group schemes.

We first compute  $\chi(F - V)$  on  $H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})$ , in the terminology of [18, Prop. 3.1]. By [18, 3.2 (b)], it is possible to neglect finitely generated torsion  $W$ -modules which arise as subquotients of  $H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})$ . As  $F = 0$  on  $\mathbb{F}[[V]]$ , one has  $\chi(F - V|\mathbb{F}[[V]]) = \chi(-V|\mathbb{F}[[V]]) = -1$ . So  $\chi(F - V|H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})_t) = -d_i$ .

We claim that  $\chi(F - V|H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})) = \chi(F - V|H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})_t)$ . Namely, we claim that  $\chi(F - V|B_i) = 0$ . This is a consequence of the semisimplicity of the category of isocrystals over  $\mathbb{F}$ : namely, the kernel (resp. cokernel) of  $F - V$  on  $B_i$  is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E', B_i)$  (resp.  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}}^1(E', B_i)$ ) in the category of Dieudonne modules over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Here  $E' := \bar{A}/(F - V)$  denotes the Dieudonne module of the  $p$ -divisible group  $E(p)$ . As  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E', B_i)$  is a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}}^1(E', B_i)$  a  $p$ -primary torsion group whose  $p$ -torsion is finite, one has  $\chi(F - V|B_i) = 0$ .

Writing  $K_i$  and  $C_i$  for the kernel and cokernel of  $F - V$  on  $\mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})$ , one has an exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E) \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow 0.$$

This, as in [18, p. 323], proves the proposition.  $\square$

Any group  $G$  in (2) is an extension of an étale group  $G^{et}$  by a connected group  $G^0$ . Modulo finite groups, one has  $K_i^{et}(\mathbb{F}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E', B_i)$  and  $C_i^{et}(\mathbb{F}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}}^1(E', B_i)$ , and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}}^1(E', B_{i-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)^{et}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E', B_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note  $H^i(\bar{X}, T_p E) = \mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)(\mathbb{F})$ . Set  $H^*(X, T_p E) := \varprojlim H_{f_i}^i(X, T_p E)$ .

**Lemma 12.** *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H^{i-1}(\bar{X}, T_p E)_{\Gamma} \rightarrow H^i(X, T_p E) \rightarrow H^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)_{\Gamma} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Easy adaptation of the proof of [19, Lemma 3.4].  $\square$

**4.1. The action of  $\gamma$  on  $H^*(\bar{X}, T_p E)$ .** We now come to the main step in relating the  $p$ -adic Tate module of  $E$  to the special value  $c_X$ .

Consider the map  $\alpha_i : H^i(X, T_p E) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(X, T_p E)$  defined by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(X, T_p E) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & H^{i+1}(X, T_p E) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ H^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)_{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & H^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)_{\Gamma}; \end{array}$$

the vertical maps arise from the previous lemma. As the lower map is cup-product with the canonical generator  $\theta_p \in H^1(\Gamma, W)$  and  $\theta_p^2 = 0$ ,

$$M^{\bullet} : \dots \rightarrow H^i(X, T_p E) \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} H^{i+1}(X, T_p E) \rightarrow \dots$$

is a complex; cf. [21, pp. 544-545] for details. Define

$$z = \prod [H^i(M^{\bullet})]^{(-1)^i}$$

when these numbers are finite. Write, as usual,  $Z(X, t) = \prod P_i(t)^{(-1)^{i+1}}$  and  $P_i(t) = \prod_j (1 - \omega_{ij} t)$  the characteristic polynomial of  $\gamma$  on  $\ell$ -adic cohomology  $H^i(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ . Our next result is a variant of [21, Theorem 9.6] (cf. §1.4).

**Theorem 13.** (i)  $H^*(X, T_p E)$  are finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules.

(ii) the usual Euler characteristic  $\Sigma(-1)^i \text{rank } H^i(X, T_p E)$  is zero.

(iii) the secondary Euler characteristic  $\Sigma(-1)^i i \cdot \text{rank } H^i(X, T_p E)$  is  $2\rho_X$ .

(iv) the cohomology groups  $H^i(M^{\bullet})$  are finite.

(v) the value of  $z$  is given by

$$z = \prod_i ([H^i(M^{\bullet})])^{(-1)^i} = \left| \frac{q^{X(X, 0_X)}}{c_X^2} \right|_p.$$

(vi)  $H^i(X, T_p E)$  is finite for  $i \neq 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* One can write  $z$  as a product  $z = z_0 z_{et}$  corresponding to the decomposition

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)^{et} \rightarrow 0.$$

of  $\mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)$  into its connected and étale parts. For each of the groups in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_{i-1}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)^0 \rightarrow K_i^0 \rightarrow 0$$

of connected group schemes, the map  $\gamma - 1$  on the  $\mathbb{F}$ -points is surjective because it is an étale endomorphism of a connected group. Therefore, we obtain that  $\mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)_{\Gamma}^0 = 0$ ,  $[(\mathcal{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_p E)^0)_{\Gamma}] = q^{b_i}$ , and

$$z_0 = q^{\Sigma(-1)^i b_i} = \left| q^{-\Sigma(-1)^i b_i} \right|_p.$$

The finite  $W$ -torsion in  $H^*(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O})$  does not contribute to  $z$  [14, pp. 80-81]. Thus, we may assume that  $K_i^{et}(\mathbb{F}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E', B_i)$  and  $C_i^{et}(\mathbb{F}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}}^1(E', B_i)$ , and that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(E', B_{i-1}) \rightarrow H^i(X, T_p E)^{et} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E', B_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the first term is finite and the last term is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. As  $H^i(X, T_p E)^{et}$  and  $H^i(X, T_p E)$  differ only by a finite group of order  $q^{b_i}$ , this proves (i).

The étale part of  $M^\bullet$  sits in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E', B_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(E', B_1) & & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E', B_3) \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ H^0(X, T_p E)^{et} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & H^1(X, T_p E)^{et} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & H^2(X, T_p E)^{et} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & H^3(X, T_p E)^{et} \cdots \\ & \downarrow & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E', B_0) & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(E', B_0) & & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E', B_2) & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(E', B_2) \cdots \end{array}$$

where the maps  $\beta_i$  are the ones in [14, Lemma 4] for the  $p$ -divisible groups  $G_i$  (whose dimension we denote by  $g_i$ ) whose dual corresponds to  $B_i$ , and  $H = E(p)$ . We shall prove that  $z_{et}$  is defined and calculate its value by appealing to [14, Lemma 4]. Let us recall two relevant facts for this purpose.

- [18, Remark 5.5] the characteristic polynomial  $P_i(t) = \prod_j (1 - \omega_{ij} t) := \det(1 - \gamma t)$  of  $\gamma$  on  $H^i(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is the same as the characteristic polynomial of  $\gamma$  on the crystalline cohomology  $H_{crys}^i(\bar{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $\bar{X}$ .
- [8, 3.5.3, p. 616] the characteristic polynomial of  $\gamma$  on  $H^i(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  (by (1), this is the same as on  $B_i$ ) is  $\prod_j (1 - \omega_{ij})$  where the product is over all  $\omega_{ij}$  with  $\text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij}) < 1$ .

We can now apply [14, Lemma 4] to obtain that  $z_{et}$  is defined (which proves (iv)) and given by:<sup>2</sup>

$$z_{et} = \left| q^{\sum (-1)^i g_i} \prod_i \left( \prod_{\omega_{ij} \neq \sqrt{q}} (1 - \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\sqrt{q}}) \right)^{(-1)^i} \right|_p^2$$

where the product is over all  $\omega_{ij}$  with  $\text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij}) < 1$ . Using

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\sqrt{q}} \right|_p = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij}) > \frac{1}{2},$$

this condition  $\text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij}) < 1$  may be disregarded in  $z_{et}$ . This proves (iv).

We now complete the proof of (v); given the formulas for  $z_{et}$  and  $z_0$ , it remains to show that  $\sum (-1)^i (g_i - b_i) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . As this will use the case  $r = 1$  of [18, Proposition 4.1], we translate that result into our context. First, we observe that our  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X, 1)$  of [18, Proposition 4.1] – the definition of the latter is on top of page 325 of [18]. Next, our  $d_i$  (defined just before Proposition 11) is Milne's  $d^i(0)$  (defined on [18, p. 321], just before Proposition 3.1).

Now, it remains to interpret the two terms on the right hand side of [18, Proposition 4.1] with  $r = 1$ . The second term  $\sum_i (-1)^i d^i(0)$ , which is our  $\sum_i (-1)^i d_i$ , can be replaced by  $-\sum (-1)^i b_i$  by Proposition 11. For the first term, we recall that for any  $p$ -divisible group  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the dimension of the dual group  $A^\dagger$  is given by the well known (see, for example, [18, p. 81]; it also follows from [18, Thm. 1 (e)])

$$\dim(A^\dagger) = \text{height}(A) - \dim(A).$$

Now consider the first term in the right hand side of [18, Proposition 4.1] with  $r = 1$ . The numbers  $\lambda_{ij}$  relevant here are those which satisfy  $\lambda_{ij} \leq 1$ ; by the result [8, 3.5.3, p. 616] also mentioned earlier, these are exactly the slopes of the roots of the characteristic polynomial of  $\gamma$  acting on  $H^*(\bar{X}, W\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  or

<sup>2</sup>In the formula for  $z(g)$  in [14, Lemma 4], the exponent of  $q$  should read  $d(G^t) \cdot d(H)$  – in his notation, this is  $n_2 m_1$ , as follows from an inspection of the calculation at the bottom of p. 81 of [14].

on the associated  $p$ -divisible groups  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  defined earlier. Milne's  $\lambda_{ij}$  are our  $\text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij})$ . The first term can therefore be written as

$$\sum_{\text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij}) \leq 1} (-1)^i m_{ij} (1 - \text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij})),$$

where  $m_{ij}$  is the multiplicity of  $\text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij})$ . It is now an easy exercise to see that this sum is  $\sum_i (-1)^i \dim(B_i^t)$ . But  $g_i$  is the dimension of the  $p$ -divisible group whose dual corresponds to  $B_i$ . We summarise our discussion

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) &= \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X, 1) \\ &= \sum_{\text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij}) \leq 1} (-1)^i m_{ij} (1 - \text{ord}_q(\omega_{ij})) + \sum_i (-1)^i d^i(0) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i (\dim(B_i^t) + d^i(0)) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i g_i + \sum_i (-1)^i d_i \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i (g_i - b_i). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (v).

We note that the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -ranks of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E', B_i)$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(E', B_i)$  are equal (the ranks are unchanged by an isogeny and one reduces to the cases treated in [14, pp.81-83]). While the first contributes to  $H^i(X, T_p E)^{et}$ , the second contributes to  $H^{i+1}(X, T_p E)^{et}$ , which proves (ii).

Now, the rank of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E', B_i)$  is non-zero only if  $\sqrt{q}$  – a Weil number of weight one – is a root of the minimal polynomial of Frobenius on  $B_i$  [14, p. 81]. But a root  $\omega_{ij}$  of Frobenius on  $B_i$  (or  $H^i(X, W\mathcal{O})$ ) is a Weil number of weight  $i$ . Thus, the rank is zero for  $i \neq 1$ . This proves (vi). The  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E', B_1)$  is, by Tate's theorem [2], equal to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rank of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E, \text{Pic}(X))$  which is  $2\rho_X$ . As  $(-1)^0 0 + (-1)^1 1 \times 2\rho_X + (-1)^2 2 \times 2\rho_X = 2\rho_X$ , this proves (iii).  $\square$

## 5. THE WEIL-ÉTALE COHOMOLOGY OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

As before,  $q = p^{2f}$ ,  $X$  is a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $E$  is our fixed elliptic curve. In this section, we compute  $H^*(X, E)$  and the Weil-étale [12] cohomology groups  $H_W^*(X, E)$  and prove Theorem 1. We write  $A$  for the Albanese variety of  $X$ .

**5.1. Cohomology of the elliptic curve  $E$ .** The basic properties of  $H^*(X, E)$  are given the following result whose formulation was inspired by [11, Proposition 2.1].

**Theorem 14.** (a) *The étale cohomology of  $E$  is given as follows:*

(i)  $H^0(X, E)$  is finitely generated and

$$\text{rank } H^0(X, E) = \text{rank } \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A, E) = \text{rank } \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E, \text{Pic}(X)) = 2\rho_X.$$

(ii)  $H^j(X, E)$  is torsion for  $j > 0$  and zero for  $j > 1 + 2 \dim X$ .

(iii)  $H^j(X, E)$  is finite for  $j \geq 3$ .

(iv)  $H^1(X, E)$  is finite.

(v)  $H^2(X, E)$  is co-finite of corank  $2\rho_X$ .

(vi) The  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules  $H^i(X, TE) = \prod_l H^i(X, T_l E)$  (all primes  $l$  including  $l = p$ ) are finite for  $i \neq 1, 2$ .

(b) *The Weil-étale cohomology groups  $H_W^i(X, E)$  of  $E$  are finitely generated. The rank of  $H_W^i(X, E)$  is zero for  $i \neq 0, 1$  and  $2\rho_X$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .*

*Remark.* If the Néron-Severi group  $NS(X)$  is torsion-free and the Picard scheme  $\text{Pic}_X$  is smooth, then  $H^1(X, E)$  is isomorphic to the finite group  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(A, E)$  [15, Thm. 1].  $\square$

*Proof.* Part (b) will be proved later (as part of Theorem 1). We prove part (a).

(i) This is well known (Mordell-Weil theorem); cf. for instance, [10].

(ii) It is straightforward that  $H^j(X, E)$  and  $H^j(\bar{X}, E) = H^j_W(\bar{X}, E)$  are torsion for  $j > 0$  using the fact that  $E$  over  $X$  is the Neron model of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ ; cf. [1, p. 41]. Consider the Kummer sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow {}_n E \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{n} E \rightarrow 0, \quad (n > 0)$$

which is exact in the étale (for  $n$  coprime to  $p$ ) and flat topologies. When  $(n, p) = 1$ , the identity  ${}_n E = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  tells us that  $H^j(\bar{X}, {}_n E)$  are finite (zero for  $j > 2 \dim X$ ). This implies (ii) up to  $p$ -torsion using the spectral sequence

$$(4) \quad H^r(\Gamma_0, H^s(\bar{X}, E)) \Rightarrow H^{r+s}(X, E).$$

By [15, VI, Rmk. 1.5],  $H^j_{fl}(X, {}_p E) = 0$  for  $j > 2 + \dim X$ . As  $E$  is smooth,  $H^j_{fl}(X, E) = H^j(X, E)$  [15, p. 92] and (ii) follows.

(iii) It suffices to consider the non- $p$  torsion. The  $p$ -part can be treated similarly, given the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{j-1}(X, E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow H^j(X, T_p E) \rightarrow T_p H^j(X, E) \rightarrow 0$$

and the results of the previous section on  $H^*(X, T_p E)$ . Though the proof of the non- $p$ -part is standard (cf. [18, Cor. 6.4]), nevertheless we recall it for the convenience of the reader.

Let  $\gamma$  be the Frobenius automorphism on the Tate module  $T_\ell E$  of  $E$ ; here  $\ell$  is a prime distinct from  $p$ . By the Riemann hypothesis,  $(1 - \gamma)$  is a quasi-isomorphism of  $H^j(\bar{X}, T_\ell E) = H^j(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes T_\ell E$  for  $j \neq 1$ ; thus, if  $j \neq 1$ , then  $H^j(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)^\Gamma$  and  $H^j(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)_\Gamma$  are finite. From

$$0 \rightarrow H^{j-1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)_\Gamma \rightarrow H^j(X, T_\ell E) \rightarrow H^j(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)^\Gamma \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain that  $H^j(X, T_\ell E)$  is finite for  $j \neq 1, 2$ . Now, (3) provides the exact sequence

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^{j-1}(X, E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^j(X, T_\ell E) \rightarrow T_\ell H^j(X, E) \rightarrow 0,$$

whereby, for  $j > 2$ ,  $H^j(X, T_\ell E)$  is finite and hence  $T_\ell H^j(X, E)$ , being torsion-free, is zero. As the  $\ell$ -torsion of  $H^j(X, E)$  is finite, the  $\ell$ -primary subgroup  $H^j(X, E)(\ell)$  itself is finite for  $j > 2$  and isomorphic to  $H^{j+1}(X, T_\ell E)$ . For the finiteness of non- $p$ -part of  $H^j(X, E)$  for  $j \geq 3$ , we need the following lemma whose formulation and proof are as in [11, Lem. 1.1, pp.176-7].

**Lemma 15.** *Write  $P_j(t) = \det(1 - \gamma t)$  for the characteristic polynomial of  $\gamma$  on  $H^j(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . Similarly, we define  $Q_j(t)$  for  $H^j(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)$ . For all  $j \neq 0, 1$ , we have*

$$[H^j(\bar{X}, E(\ell))^\Gamma] = [H^{j+1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)^\Gamma] \cdot |P_j(q^{-\frac{1}{2}})|_\ell^{-2},$$

where  $|\cdot|_\ell$  is the absolute value normalized so that  $|\ell|_\ell = \ell^{-1}$ .

One has  $|P_j(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}t)|_\ell^2 = |Q_j(t)|_\ell$ .

As in [11, (c), pp.181], for  $j > 2$ ,  $H^j(X, E)(\ell)$  is trivial unless  $\ell$  divides  $P_j(q^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  or  $H^*(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  has torsion. By O. Gabber [4],  $H^j(X, E)(\ell)$  is nontrivial only for a finite number of primes  $\ell$  thereby showing the finiteness of the non- $p$  part of  $H^j(X, E)$  for  $j > 2$ .

(iv) Recall that the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H^i(\Gamma, H^j(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, T_\ell E)$$

yields the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)_\Gamma \rightarrow H^1(X, T_\ell E) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)^\Gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

But

$$H^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)^\Gamma = \text{Hom}(T_\ell A, T_\ell E)^\Gamma \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A, E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell.$$

As  $H^0(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)_\Gamma$  is finite, the rank of  $H^1(X, T_\ell E)$  is  $2\rho_X = \text{rank } H^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)^\Gamma$ . The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(X, T_\ell E) \rightarrow T_\ell H^1(X, E) \rightarrow 0,$$

given (i), shows that  $T_\ell H^1(X, E)$  has rank zero and, being torsion-free, is zero. This proves (iv).

(v) A similar argument as in (iv) using that the rank of  $H^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell E)_\Gamma$  is  $2\rho_X$  shows that the rank of  $T_\ell H^2(X, E)$  is  $2\rho_X$  thereby proving (v).

(vi) was proved in the course of the proof of (iii).  $\square$

We can now formulate an adelic version of Theorem 13.

**Theorem 16.** *The cohomology groups  $H^i(N^\bullet)$  of the complex*

$$N^\bullet : \quad \cdots H^i(X, TE) \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} H^{i+1}(X, TE) \rightarrow \cdots$$

are finite; the maps  $\alpha_i$  are induced by cup-product with the generator of  $H^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ . One has

$$c_X^2 = q^{\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)} \prod ([H^i(N^\bullet)]^{(-1)^i}.$$

*Proof.* The first statement needs verification only in the cases  $i = 1, 2$ , given (vi) of Theorem 14. We need to check that the kernel and cokernel of  $H^1(X, TE) \rightarrow H^2(X, TE)$  are finite. The  $p$ -part is proved in Theorem 13. The non- $p$  part is straightforward, given the previous lemma and the obvious variant of the non- $p$ -part of [18, Lemma 6.2] (or the étale case of [14, Lemma 4]); see the proof of [18, Theorem 0.1].  $\square$

**5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Recall [12, §8] the generator  $\theta$  of  $H_W^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . It is clear that  $H_W^0(X, E) = H^0(X, E)$  is finitely generated.

The groups  $H^i(\bar{X}, E)$  are torsion for  $i > 0$  [1, p. 41]. By Theorem 14 and [12, Prop. 2.3],  $H^i(X, E) \cong H_W^i(X, E)$  for  $i \geq 3$  and thus proves (ii). To prove (i), it remains to show that  $H_W^1(X, E)$  and  $H_W^2(X, E)$  are finitely generated. Now, [12, Prop. 2.3] provides a spectral sequence

$$H^r(\Gamma_0, H_W^s(\bar{X}, E)) \Rightarrow H_W^{r+s}(X, E)$$

with a map from (4) of spectral sequences. This gives exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, H^0(\bar{X}, E)) \rightarrow H^2(X, E) \rightarrow H_W^2(X, E) \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, E) \rightarrow H_W^1(X, E) \rightarrow \frac{H^1(\Gamma_0, H^0(\bar{X}, E))}{H^1(\Gamma, H^0(\bar{X}, E))} \rightarrow 0.$$

As  $H^0(\bar{X}, E)$  differs from  $Y := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{A}, \bar{E})$  by torsion, we have

$$H^2(\Gamma, H^0(\bar{X}, E)) \cong H^1(\Gamma_0, H^0(\bar{X}, E)) \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}} \cong H^1(\Gamma_0, Y) \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}},$$

using [12, Lemma 1.2]. The sequence of  $\Gamma_0$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{n} Y \rightarrow Y/nY \rightarrow 0$  gives

$$H^1(\Gamma_0, Y) \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}} \cong H^1(\Gamma_0, Y \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

and, by Tate's theorem [24], the corank of  $H^1(\Gamma_0, Y \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}})$  is  $2\rho_X$ . This proves that  $H_W^2(X, E)$  is finite, the rank of  $H_W^1(X, E)$  is  $2\rho_X$  thereby finishing the proof of (i), (iii) and (iv).

Part (v) follows from Theorem 16 and the isomorphisms  $H_W^i(X, E) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong H^{i+1}(X, TE)$  (see (5)); the nontrivial cases are  $i = 0, 1$  which are compatible with the maps  $\cup\theta$  and  $\alpha_i$ . In more detail, combining  $TH^1(X, E) = 0$  (Theorem 14 (iv)), the isomorphism  $H^0(X, E) = H_W^0(X, E)$ , and (5) proves the case  $i = 0$ . The exactness of (3) in the Weil-étale or Weil-flat topology gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_W^i(X, E) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow H_W^{i+1}(X, TE) \rightarrow TH_W^{i+1}(X, E) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the first map is an isomorphism because  $H_W^*(X, E)$  are finitely generated abelian groups and so  $TH_W^*(X, E) = 0$ . As  $H^*(X, TE) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_W^*(X, TE)$ , this finishes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** I heartily thank P. Cartier, C. Deninger, O. Gabber, M. Kontsevich, S. Lichtenbaum, Yu. I. Manin, and J. Milne for comments and suggestions. I am grateful to the referees for many constructive comments. This work was begun at Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik, Bonn and completed at Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques; I thank both institutions for their hospitality and support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Breen, *Extensions of abelian sheaves and Eilenberg-MacLane algebras*, Invent. Math. **9** (1969), 15–44.
- [2] A.J. de Jong, *Homomorphisms of Barsotti-Tate groups and crystals in positive characteristic*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), 301–333. Erratum ibid. **138** (1999), 225.
- [3] C. Deninger, *Motivic L-functions and regularized determinants II*, Arithmetic geometry (Cortona, 1994), 138–156, Sympos. Math., XXXVII, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [4] O. Gabber, *Sur la torsion de la cohomologie  $\ell$ -adique d'une variété*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **297** (1983), no. 3, 179–182.
- [5] T. Geisser, *Weil-étale cohomology over finite fields*, Math. Ann. **330** (2004), 665–692.
- [6] A. Grothendieck, *Crystals and the de Rham cohomology of schemes*, Dix Exposes sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam; Masson, Paris, 1968, pp. 306 – 358.
- [7] M. Harris and S. Kudla, *The central critical value of a triple product L-function*, Ann. of Math. (2) **133** (1991), no. 3, 605–672.
- [8] L. Illusie, *Complex de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **12** (1979), 501–661.
- [9] M. Kontsevich and D. Zagier, *Periods*, Mathematics unlimited — 2001 and beyond, 771–808, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [10] S. Lang, *Diophantine Geometry*, Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons), New York, 1962.
- [11] S. Lichtenbaum, *Zeta functions of varieties over finite fields at  $s = 1$* , Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. I, 173–194, Progr. Math., 35, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [12] ———, *The Weil-étale topology*, Compositio Math. (to appear), 2004.
- [13] Yu. I. Manin, *Lectures on zeta functions and motives (according to Deninger and Kurokawa)*, Columbia University Number Theory Seminar (New York, 1992), Astérisque No. 228, (1995), 121–163.
- [14] J. S. Milne, *Extensions of abelian varieties defined over a finite field*, Invent. Math. **5** (1968), 63–84.
- [15] ———, *The Tate-Safarevic group of a constant abelian variety*, Invent. Math. **6** (1968), 91–105.
- [16] ———, *On a conjecture of Artin and Tate*, Ann. of Math. (2) **102** (1975), no. 3, 517–533.
- [17] ———, *Flat homology*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1976), no. 1, 118–120.
- [18] ———, *Values of zeta functions of varieties over finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **108** (1986), no. 2, 297–360.
- [19] ———, *Motivic cohomology and values of zeta functions*, Compositio Math. **68** (1988), no. 1, 59–100, 101–102.
- [20] ———, *Motives over finite fields*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), 401–459, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [21] J. S. Milne and N. Ramachandran, *Integral motives and special values of zeta functions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 3, 499–555.
- [22] P. Sarnak, *Spectra of hyperbolic surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **40** (2003), no. 4, 441–478.
- [23] J. Tate, *On the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer and a geometric analog*, Séminaire Bourbaki no. 306, February 1966.
- [24] ———, *Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **2** (1966), 134–144.
- [25] W. Waterhouse, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **2** (1969), 521–560.

N. RAMACHANDRAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20912 USA

INSTITUT DES HAUTES ÉTUDES SCIENTIFIQUES, ROUTE DE CHARTRES, F-91440, BURES-SUR-YVETTE, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* atma@math.umd.edu