

International Conference on Group Theory – 1–6 June 2003 – Gaeta

**CUBATURE FORMULAS, GEOMETRICAL DESIGNS,  
REPRODUCING KERNELS, AND MARKOV OPERATORS**

PIERRE DE LA HARPE AND CLAUDE PACHE

ABSTRACT. Cubature formulas and geometrical designs are described in terms of reproducing kernels for Hilbert spaces of functions on the one hand, and Markov operators associated to orthogonal group representations on the other hand. In this way, several known results for spheres in Euclidean spaces, involving cubature formulas for polynomial functions and spherical designs, are shown to generalize to large classes of finite measure spaces  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  and appropriate spaces of functions inside  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ . The last section points out how spherical designs are related to a class of reflection groups which are (in general dense) subgroups of orthogonal groups.

Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  be a finite measure space and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a vector space of integrable real-valued functions on  $\Omega$ . It is a natural question to ask when and how integrals  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$  can be computed, or approximated, by sums  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi(x)$ , where  $X$  is a subset of  $\Omega$  and  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  a weight function, for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

When  $\Omega$  is an interval of the real line, this is a basic problem of numerical integration with a glorious list of contributors: Newton (1671), Cotes (1711), Simpson (1743), Gauss (1814), Chebyshev (1874), Christoffel (1877), and Bernstein (1937), to quote but a few; see [Gauts–97] for some historical notes, [Gauts–76], and [DavRa–84]. The theory is inseparable of that of orthogonal polynomials [Szegö–39].

When  $\Omega$  is a space of larger dimension, the problems involved are often of geometrical and combinatorial interest. One important case is that of spheres in Euclidean spaces, with rotation-invariant probability measure, as in [DeGoS–77] and [GoeSe–79]. Work related to integrations domains with  $\dim(\Omega) > 1$  goes back to [Maxwe–77] and [Appel–90]; see also the result of Voronoi (1908) recalled in Item 1.15 below. Examples which have been considered include various domains in Euclidean spaces (hypercubes, simplices, ..., Item 1.20), surfaces (e.g. tori), and Euclidean spaces with Gaussian measures (Item 3.11).

There are also interesting cases where  $\Omega$  itself is a finite set [Delsa–78].

Section 1 collects the relevant definitions for the general case  $(\Omega, \sigma)$ . It reviews several known examples on intervals and spheres.

Our main point is to show that there are two notions which are convenient for the study of cubature formulas, even if they are rarely explicitly introduced in papers of this subject.

First, we introduce in Section 2 the formalism of *reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces* ([Arons–50], [Krein–63], [BeHaG–03]), which is appropriate for generalizing to other spaces

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05B30, 65D32. Secondary 46E22.

*Key words and phrases*. Cubature formulas, spherical designs, reproducing kernels, Markov operators, group representations, reflection groups.

The authors acknowledge support from the *Swiss National Science Foundation*.

various results which are standard for spheres. See for example the existence theorem for cubature formulas  $(X, W)$  with bound on  $|X|$  (Items 2.6–2.8), Propositions 2.10 & 3.4 on tight cubature formulas being geometrical designs, Proposition 2.12 on the set of distances  $D_X = \{c \in ]0, \infty[ \mid c = d(x, y) \text{ for some } x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$  if  $\Omega$  is a metric space and if “Condition (M)” is satisfied, and Items 2.12–2.13 for a single equality which guarantees that a pair  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $k$ .

Section 3 concentrates on spheres, on consequences of the existence of the central symmetry, and in particular on spherical designs of odd strengths. An example of “lattice construction” (Item 3.10) provides a cubature formula in  $\mathbb{S}^7$  of strength 11 and size 2400. Item 3.11 hints at some very rudimentary constructions of *Gaussian designs*.

Secondly, we consider in Section 4 *Markov operators* (called elsewhere “convolution operators”, “difference operators”, “Hecke operators”, or “discrete Laplace operators”). On the one hand, they provide an alternative definition of cubature formulas on spheres [Pache–04], and at least part of this can be generalized to other Riemannian symmetric spaces of the compact type (work in progress); also, they show a natural connection with the work of Lubotzky, Phillips, and Sarnak (see Theorem 4.7). On the other hand, they suggest an interesting class of examples of infinite *reflection groups*, as shown in Section 5 where we state and prove an unpublished result of B. Venkov on remarkable sets of generators of the orthogonal group  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ .

The subject of cubature formulas has natural connections with several other subjects. We give brief mentions to:

- representations of finite groups (see [Banna79], [HarPa–04], and Example 1.14),
- lattices in Euclidean spaces (see [Venko–84], [VenMa–01], and Examples 1.16 & 3.10),
- Lehmer’s conjecture (Example 1.16) and Waring’s problem (Item 3.9) from number theory,
- Dvoretzky’s theorem from Banach space theory (see [LyuVa–93] and Proposition 3.12).

It is a pleasure to thank Boris Venkov, as well as Béla Bajnok, Eiichi Bannai, Gaël Collinet, and Martin Gander for many conversations and indications which have been most helpful during this work.

## 1. Cubature formulas, designs, and polynomial spaces

Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  be a finite measure space. By a **space of functions** in  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ , we mean a linear subspace of genuine<sup>1</sup> real-valued functions on  $\Omega$  given with an embedding in  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ .

**1.1. Definition.** A **cubature formula** for a finite dimensional space  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions in  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$  is a pair  $(X, W)$ , where  $X$  is a finite subset of  $\Omega$  and  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is a weight function, such that

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\omega)d\sigma(\omega)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $W : x \mapsto \sigma(\Omega)/|X|$  is the uniform weight, the set  $X$  is called a **design** for  $\mathcal{F}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Not functions modulo equality almost everywhere!

This definition would make sense for  $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1(\Omega, \sigma)$ . But the examples we have in mind are in  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ , and we will use Hilbert space methods for which we have to assume that  $\mathcal{F} \subset L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ ; two functions  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  have a scalar product given by

$$\langle f_1 | f_2 \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f_1(\omega) f_2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega).$$

There are other denominations for these and related notions, including ‘‘Chebyshev quadrature rule’’ (for our ‘‘designs’’) with appropriate ‘‘algebraic degree of exactness’’; see, e.g., [Gauts–76].

We write  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  to denote both the scalar product of two vectors in a Hilbert space of functions and the scalar product in the standard Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**1.2. Example (Simpson’s formula).** In the case of an interval  $\Omega = [a, b]$  of the real line ( $a < b$ ) and Lebesgue measure, *Simpson’s formula*

$$\frac{b-a}{6}\varphi(a) + \frac{4(b-a)}{6}\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{b-a}{6}\varphi(b) = \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt$$

provides a cubature formula for the space  $\mathcal{F}^{(3)}(a, b)$  of polynomial functions of degree at most 3 on  $[a, b]$ . More generally, if  $\varphi$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^4$  on  $[a, b]$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{b-a}{6}\varphi(a) + \frac{4(b-a)}{6}\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{b-a}{6}\varphi(b) - \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^5}{2880} \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |\varphi^{(4)}(t)|.$$

Simpson’s formula is most often used in its *compound form*, namely on the  $n$  subintervals  $[a + \frac{j-1}{n}(b-a), a + \frac{j}{n}(b-a)]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , for  $n$  large enough; in this form, it provides a very efficient tool in numerical analysis.

The following notion borrows some of the ingredients of the notion of ‘‘polynomial space’’ from [Godsi–93].

**1.3. Definition.** A sequence of polynomial spaces on a finite measure space  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  is a nested sequence of finite dimensional spaces

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}^{(k)} \subset \dots$$

of functions in  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$  with the following property:  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$  is linearly generated by products  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$  with  $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{F}^{(1)}$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}^{(k-1)}$ , for all  $k \geq 1$ .

**1.4. Remarks.** (i) For  $(\mathcal{F}^{(k)})_{k \geq 0}$  as in the definition, there is a natural mapping from the  $k$ th symmetric power of  $\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$  onto  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ . If  $n+1 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(1)})$ , it follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(k)}) \leq \binom{n+k}{k}$ .

(ii) Many examples of sequences of polynomial spaces have one more property: the union  $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ .

**1.5. Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  and  $(\mathcal{F}^{(k)})_{k \geq 0}$  be as in Definition 1.3. A **cubature formula of strength  $k$  on  $\Omega$**  is a pair  $(X, W)$ , consisting of a finite subset  $X \subset \Omega$  and a weight  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , which is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ . In case  $W(x) = \sigma(\Omega)/|X|$  for all  $x \in X$ , the set  $X$  is a **geometrical<sup>2</sup>  $k$ -design** on  $\Omega$ .

**1.6. Organising questions.** (i) For standard examples of finite subsets  $X$  of  $\Omega$ , compute the largest strength  $k$  for which  $X$  is a geometrical  $k$ -design.

(ii) For  $k$  given, find designs and cubature formulas  $(X, W)$  of strength  $k$  with  $|X|$  minimal. (More on this in Item 1.17, on tight spherical designs.) In case  $\Omega$  is moreover a metric space, describe the distance set

$$D_X = \{c \in ]0, \infty[ \mid c = d(x, y) \text{ for some } x, y \in X, x \neq y\}.$$

(iii) Dually, for given  $N$ , find designs and cubature formulas  $(X, W)$  with  $|X| = N$  of maximum strength.

(iv) Asymptotics and equidistribution. Find sequences  $(X^{(k)}, W^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}$ , where each  $(X^{(k)}, W^{(k)})$  is a cubature formula of strength  $k$ , such that the sequence of measures  $(\sigma^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}$  converges to  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma^{(k)} = \sum_{x \in X^{(k)}} W^{(k)}(x) \delta_x$  and where  $\delta_x$  denotes the Dirac measure of support  $\{x\}$ . Optimize in some sense the speed of convergence.

When each  $X^{(k)}$  is a geometrical  $k$ -design, this is the standard question of  $\sigma$ -equidistribution of a sequence of finite subsets of a measure space; see [Serre–97].

In the following proposition,  $\ell^2(X, W)$  stands for the Hilbert space of real-valued functions on  $X$ , with scalar product defined by  $\langle \psi_1 \mid \psi_2 \rangle = \sum_{x \in X} W(x) \psi_1(x) \psi_2(x)$ .

**1.7. Proposition.** Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  be a finite measure space. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional space of functions in  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ . Assume that there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{H}'$  of  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is linearly generated by products  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$  with  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}'$ . Let  $X$  be a finite subset of  $\Omega$  and let  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  be a weight.

Then  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if the restriction mapping

$$\rho : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \ell^2(X, W)$$

is an isometry. In particular, if  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{H}$ , then

$$(*) \quad |X| \geq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}').$$

*Proof.* The condition for  $\rho$  to be an isometry is

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_1(\omega) \varphi_2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

for all  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}'$ . By hypothesis on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$ , this condition is equivalent to

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

---

<sup>2</sup>In particular cases, we replace “geometrical” by more suggestive adjectives such as “**interval**” in the situation of Example 1.10 with  $\Omega$  an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , “**spherical**” in the situation of  $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , or “**Gaussian**” if  $\Omega$  is a real vector space and  $\sigma$  a Gaussian measure. However, it should be kept in mind that the “Euclidean designs” of [NeuSe–88] and [DelSe–89] (among others) are of a different nature, since the reference measure  $\sigma$  on the Euclidean space  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  depends in this case on the weighted set  $(X, W)$  through some radial factor.

**1.8. Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  and  $(\mathcal{F}^{(k)})_{k \geq 0}$  be as in Definition 1.3. A cubature formula  $(X, W)$  of strength  $2l$  is **tight** if equality holds in  $(*)$  above, with  $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{F}^{(l)}$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}^{(2l)}$ . For a spherical cubature formula of strength  $2l + 1$ , “tight” is defined in 1.11.

Tight cubature formulas are rare: see Discussion 1.17.

**1.9. Quadrature formulas on intervals.** Let  $\Omega$  be a real interval  $(a, b)$ , with  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$  (the interval can be open or closed). The spaces  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(a, b)$  of polynomial functions on  $\Omega$  of degree at most  $k$  provide the canonical example for Definition 1.3.

Consider in particular the case  $\Omega = [-1, 1]$ , with Lebesgue measure, and an integer  $l \geq 1$ . Let first

$$X^{(l)} = \{x_{1,l}, x_{2,l}, \dots, x_{l,l}\} \subset [-1, 1]$$

be an arbitrary subset of  $l$  distinct points in  $[-1, 1]$ . Let  $L_j(t) = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{t - x_{i,l}}{x_{j,l} - x_{i,l}}$  (product over  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}, i \neq j$ ) be the corresponding *elementary Lagrange interpolation polynomials*. Then  $(X^{(l)}, W^{(l)})$  is a “cubature formula” for  $\mathcal{F}^{(l-1)}(-1, 1)$ , with the “weight”  $W^{(l)}$  defined on  $X^{(l)}$  by

$$W^{(l)}(x_{j,l}) = \int_{-1}^1 L_j(t) dt \quad (1 \leq j \leq l).$$

But quotation marks are in order since the “weight” values need not be positive; for example, in case  $x_{j,l} = -1 + 2\frac{j-1}{l-1}$ , these **Newton-Cotes formulas** have all weights positive if and only if either  $l \leq 8$  or  $l = 10$  (see, e.g., Chapter 2 of [CroMi–84]).

Let now  $X^{(l)}$  be precisely the set of the roots of the Legendre polynomial of degree  $l$ , namely of  $P_l(t) = (-1)^l \frac{l!}{2^l l!} \frac{d^l}{dt^l} ((1-t^2)^l)$ . The pair  $(X^{(l)}, W^{(l)})$  is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{F}^{(2l-1)}(-1, 1)$ , known as a **Gauss quadrature**<sup>3</sup> (or sometimes *Gauss-Jacobi mechanical quadrature*); this is the unique cubature formula with  $\leq l$  points for  $\mathcal{F}^{(2l-1)}(-1, 1)$  and Lebesgue measure. The weights  $W^{(l)}(x_{j,l})$  of the Gauss formula are strictly positive; indeed, since the polynomial  $L_j$  defined above have now their *squares* in  $\mathcal{F}^{(2l-1)}(-1, 1)$ , we have

$$W^{(l)}(x_{j,l}) = \sum_{k=1}^l W^{(l)}(x_{k,l}) L_j(x_{k,l})^2 = \int_{-1}^1 L_j(t)^2 dt > 0$$

for  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . (See, e.g., [Gauts–97].)

In general, there are analogous formulas for other intervals of the real line and other measures with finite moments and with  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(L^2((a, b), \sigma)) = \infty$ . This is a part of the theory of orthogonal polynomials; see Section 3.4 in [Szegö–39]. There are also related results in larger dimensions; see Section 3.7 in [DunXu–01].

**1.10. Interval designs.** It is a particular case of a theorem of Seymour and Zaslavsky that interval designs (named “averaging sets” by these authors) exist for any finite-dimensional space of continuous functions on  $\Omega = ]0, 1[$ , with various measures; see [SeyZa–84] and [Arias–88].

An interval design for the space  $\mathcal{F}^{(2l-1)}(-1, 1)$  of polynomial functions of degree at most  $2l - 1$ , and Lebesgue measure, requires strictly more than  $\frac{1}{4}l^2$  points (this is a 1937 result of Bernstein for which we refer to [Krylo–62] and [Korev–94]), and there exists a

---

<sup>3</sup>If  $\Omega$  is an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the term “quadrature” is often used instead of “cubature” as in Definition 1.1.

constant  $c$  for which it is known that  $ck^3$  points suffice (Section 3.3 in [Korev–94], improving on Theorem 2 in [Bajn–91a]). For low values of  $k$ , as an answer to a question of Chebyshev (1874), Bernstein has shown that there exist interval designs for  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(-1, 1)$  with  $|X| = k$  if and only if  $k \leq 7$  or  $k = 9$ , and there is an explicit construction for these values of  $k$  (see Section 10.3 in [Krylo–62]).

**1.11. Cubature formulas on spheres and tightness.** Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be the unit sphere in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $\sigma$  denote the probability measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  which is invariant by the orthogonal group  $O(n)$ .

For spheres, the standard example for Definition 1.3 is given by

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{F}^{(0)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{F}^{(1)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \dots$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  denotes the space of restrictions to the sphere of polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of degree at most  $k$ . In this paper, when Definition 1.8 is particularized to spherical cubature formulas and designs, it is always with respect to this sequence of polynomial spaces; thus, a cubature formula  $(X, W)$  or strength  $2l$  is **tight** if

$$|X| = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) = \binom{n+l-1}{n-1} + \binom{n+l-2}{n-1}.$$

Consider the space  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  of restrictions to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which are homogeneous of degree  $k$ , and the space  $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  of restrictions to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which are homogeneous of degree  $k$  and harmonic<sup>4</sup>. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) &= \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \bigoplus \mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \\ \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \mathcal{H}^{(k-2j)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \geq 0$  (see e.g. Section IV.2 in [SteWe–71]). For reasons related to Proposition 3.2 and Remark 3.3 below, a cubature formula  $(X, W)$  or strength  $2l + 1$  is **tight** if

$$|X| = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) = 2 \binom{n+l-1}{n-1}.$$

Another example for Definition 1.3 is given by

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \dots$$

(observe that  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{P}^{(k+2)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , since the restriction of  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is the constant 1).

A subset  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is called **antipodal** if  $-X = X$ ; such a  $X$  can always be written (non-uniquely) as a disjoint union of some  $Y$  inside  $X$  and of  $-Y$ . A cubature formula  $(X, W)$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is **antipodal** if  $X$  is antipodal and if  $W(-x) = W(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . If  $(X, W)$  is antipodal,  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(\omega) d\omega = 0$  for any homogenous polynomial

<sup>4</sup>A smooth function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is **harmonic** if  $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_j^2} = 0$ .

function  $\varphi$  of odd degree; it follows that  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{F}^{(2l+1)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  if and only if it is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ .

**1.12. On strength values for spherical designs and cubature formulas.** The following existence result goes back essentially to the solution of Waring's problem by Hurwitz and Hilbert (see [Hurwi–08], [Hilbe–09], page 722 in [Dicks–19], [Ellis–71], and [Natha–96]):

for any  $l \geq 0$ , there exists a cubature formula  $(Y^{(l)}, W^{(l)})$  for  $\mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  with

$$\binom{n+l-1}{n-1} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) \leq |Y^{(l)}| \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) - 1 = \binom{n+2l-1}{n-1} - 1.$$

The lower bound is that of Proposition 1.7; the upper bound is a particular case of Theorem 2.8 below, and improves by 1 the bound of Theorem 2.8 in [LyuVa–93]. The relation with Waring's problem is alluded to in Item 3.9; for the application to Waring's problem, it is necessary for the weights of the cubature formula to be *rational* positive numbers; our proof of Theorem 2.8 does not show this, and we refer to Chapter 3 of [Natha–96] for a complete proof.

It follows that *there exists an antipodal cubature formula  $(X^{(l)}, W^{(l)})$  of strength  $2l+1$  with*

$$2 \binom{n+l-1}{n-1} \leq |X^{(l)}| \leq 2 \binom{n+2l-1}{n-1} - 2.$$

However, the proof of the existence of  $(Y^{(l)}, W^{(l)})$  does not provide nice constructions. For  $n \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 4$ , we do not know many good explicit cubature formulas of strength  $k$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

Note the relevant asymptotics. For  $n$  fixed:

$$\binom{n+l-1}{n-1} \approx \frac{l^{n-1}}{n!} \quad \text{if } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

For  $l$  fixed:

$$\binom{n+l-1}{n-1} \approx \frac{n^l}{l!} \quad \text{if } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The lower bound  $|X^{(l)}| \geq 2 \binom{n+l-1}{n-1}$  has been improved in some cases, in particular for  $n$  fixed and  $l \rightarrow \infty$  [Yudin–97].

The general result of Seymour and Zaslavsky already quoted in Item 1.10 implies:

for any  $n \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 0$ , there exists a spherical  $k$ -design  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

The proof of [SeyZa–84] is not constructive and does not give any bound on the size  $|X|$ .

Let  $X$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and let  $W$  be a weight on  $X$  such that  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x) = 1$ .

(i) The pair  $(X, W)$  is always a cubature formula of strength 0. It is a cubature formula of strength 1 if and only if the weighted barycentre  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x)x$  of  $(X, W)$  coincides with the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(ii) If  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength 2, then  $X$  generates  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, if there exists a non-zero vector  $\alpha$  orthogonal to  $X$ , the function  $\omega \mapsto \langle \alpha | \omega \rangle^2$  has a non-zero integral on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  but vanishes identically on  $X$ .

*Exercise.* Denote by  $Z$  the *Gram matrix* of  $X$ , defined by  $Z_{x,y} = \langle x | y \rangle$  for  $x, y \in X$ , and by  $J$  the matrix with rows and columns indexed by  $X$  and with all entries 1. Show that  $X$  is a spherical 2-design if and only if the three following conditions hold: (ii<sub>a</sub>)  $Z_{x,x} = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , (ii<sub>b</sub>)  $ZJ = 0$ , (ii<sub>c</sub>)  $Z^2 = n^{-1}|X|Z$ . (Solution in Lemma 13.6.1 of [Godsi–93].)

(iii) If  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength 4, then  $X$  cannot be a disjoint union of two orthogonal sets; more generally, in case there exist two vectors  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that  $X \subset \alpha^\perp \cup \beta^\perp$ , the function  $\omega \mapsto \langle \alpha | \omega \rangle^2 \langle \beta | \omega \rangle^2$  has a non-zero integral on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  but vanishes identically on  $X$ .

(iv) If  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of strength  $2l$  for some  $l \geq 1$ , then the set  $P^{(l)}(X) = \{\omega \mapsto \langle \omega | x \rangle^l\}_{x \in X}$  linearly generates  $\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Indeed, assume that some  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  is orthogonal to  $P^{(l)}(X)$ . If  $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  is defined by

$$\hat{\varphi}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \omega | u \rangle^l \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

the hypothesis means that the restriction of  $\hat{\varphi}$  to  $X$  vanishes; this implies that  $\hat{\varphi} = 0$  by Proposition 1.7. But  $\hat{\varphi} = 0$  implies  $\varphi = 0$  since  $\{\omega \mapsto \langle \omega | u \rangle^l\}_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$  linearly generates  $\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . With a terminology borrowed from the theory of lattices (see Reminder 1.15), the special case of Property (iv) for  $l = 2$  states that cubature formulas of strength 4 on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  provide perfect sets.

For cubature formulas on spheres, the following equivalences are useful. Since many natural examples are provided by intersections of lattices with spheres of various radii (see 1.16), we find it useful to consider for each  $\rho > 0$  the sphere  $\rho\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of radius  $\rho$  and centre the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; we denote again by  $\sigma$  the  $O(n)$ -invariant probability measure on  $\rho\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

**1.13. Proposition.** *Consider integers  $n \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 0$ , a positive number  $\rho$ , a non-empty finite subset  $X$  of  $\rho\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and a weight  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x) = 1$ . The following conditions are equivalent<sup>5</sup>.*

- (i)  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(x) = \int_{\rho\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(j)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , namely  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $k$  on  $\rho\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(x) = \sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(gx)$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(j)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , and  $g \in O(n)$ .
- (iii)  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(x) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^{(j)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .
- (iv) If  $l$  is the largest integer such that  $2l \leq k$ , there exists a constant  $c_{2l}$  such that

$$(iv)_a \quad \sum_{x \in X} W(x) \langle x | u \rangle^{2l} = c_{2l} \rho^{2l} \langle u | u \rangle^l \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

<sup>5</sup>Even if there are canonical identifications between  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , and  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\rho\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , see Example 1.11, we choose here the first notation.

and, if  $l'$  is the largest integer such that  $2l' + 1 \leq k$ , then

$$(iv)_b \quad \sum_{x \in X} W(x) \langle x | u \rangle^{2l'+1} = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(v) Condition  $(iv)_a$  holds for any even integer  $2l \leq k$  and Condition  $(iv)_b$  holds for any odd integer  $2l' + 1 \leq k$ .

Moreover, the constant in  $(iv)_a$  is

$$c_{2l} = \frac{1 \times 3 \times 5 \times \cdots \times (2l - 1)}{n(n+2)(n+4) \cdots (n+2l-2)}$$

if  $l > 0$ , and  $c_0 = 1$  if  $l = 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof of Theorem 3.2 in [VenMa–01], for spherical designs, readily carries over to cubature formulas.  $\square$

*Remarks.* If  $(X, W)$  is antipodal, then Equality  $(iv)_b$  is automatically satisfied.

Besides the conditions of Proposition 1.13, there are many other equivalent ones; see [DeGoS–77] and [GoeSe–79], as well as Item 2.14.

### 1.14. Examples of spherical designs: orbits of finite groups, inductive constructions, distance-regular graphs, and contact points of John's ellipsoids.

*Group orbits.*

It is easy to show that any orbit in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of any irreducible finite subgroup of  $O(n)$  is a 2-spherical design; see [Banna–79], but the result can already be found in a 1940 paper by R. Brauer and H.S.M. Coxeter (Theorem 3.6.6 in [Marti–03]). Moreover, a  $(2l)$ -spherical design which is antipodal is also a  $(2l+1)$ -spherical design. In particular, if  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the set  $\{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$  is a spherical 3-design on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of cardinality  $2n$  (hence a tight 3-design); the set  $\{\pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm \cdots \pm e_n\}$  (all choices of signs) is another spherical 3-design, of cardinality  $2^n$ , and therefore non tight when  $n \geq 3$ ; neither of these is a spherical 4-design.

Orbits of groups generated by reflections provide interesting spherical designs. In particular irreducible root systems of type  $A_n, D_n, E_n$  are spherical 3-designs (see Chapter V, § 6, Number 2 in [Bourb–68]). Moreover, roots systems of type  $A_2, D_4, E_6, E_7$  provide spherical 5-designs and the root system of type  $E_8$  provides a spherical 7-design.

For  $n \geq 3$ , there is a maximal strength  $k_{\max}(n)$  for spherical designs in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  which are orbits of finite subgroups of  $O(n)$ . It is moreover conjectured that  $\sup_{n \geq 3} k_{\max}(n) < \infty$ ; the maximal value of  $k$  we know is  $k = 19$  and occurs in dimension  $n = 4$  (see 3.8 below). For more on spherical designs which are orbits of finite groups, see [Banna–79] and [HarPa–04].

*Inductive constructions.*

Spherical designs can be constructed inductively as follows. On  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , the vertices of a regular  $N$ -gon constitute a  $k$ -design if and only if  $N \geq k + 1$ . For  $n \geq 3$  and some given  $k$ , assume that we have a spherical  $k$ -design  $Y$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ , as well as an interval design  $Z \subset ]-1, 1[$  for the space  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(-1, 1)$  of polynomial functions of degrees at most  $k$  and for the measure  $d\sigma(t) = (1 - t^2)^{(n-3)/2} dt$ . Then

$$X = \left\{ \left( \sqrt{1 - \|z\|^2} y, z \right) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \mid y \in Y \quad \text{and} \quad z \in Z \right\}$$

is a spherical  $k$ -design in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Denote by  $M'_n(k)$  the smallest integer such that, for any  $N \geq M'_n(k)$ , there exists a spherical  $k$ -design of size  $N$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . With the construction above, it can be shown that

$$M'_n(k) \leq O\left(k^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-2}\right).$$

It is conjectured that  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1$  can be reduced to  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . For all this, see [Bajn–91b] and other papers by Bajnok.

In case  $n = 3$ , it is a result of [KorMe–93] that, for all  $k \geq 0$ , there exists a spherical  $k$ -design of size  $O(k^3)$ .

Constructions of spherical designs appear also in [Mimur–90], [Bajno–92], and [Bajno–98].

#### *Distance regular graphs.*

Consider a distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$ , with vertex set  $V(\Gamma)$ , of valency  $k$ . Let  $\theta$  be an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\theta \neq k$ , of some multiplicity  $m$ ; let  $p$  denote the orthogonal projection of the space of functions  $V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to the  $\theta$ -eigenspace of the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $p(V(\Gamma))$  is a spherical 2-design in  $\rho\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$  for the appropriate radius  $\rho$  (Corollary 13.6.2 in [Godsi–93]).

#### *Contact points of John's ellipsoids.*

Let  $K$  be a convex body in  $n$ -space such that the maximal volume ellipsoid in  $K$  is the unit  $n$ -ball  $B$ . It is a theorem of John that there exist a finite subset  $X$  of  $K \cap \partial B$  and a positive weight function  $W$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula for  $\partial B = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of strength 2, indeed of strength 3 if  $K$  is assumed to be symmetric; see Lecture 3 in [Ball–97]. It could be interesting to investigate systematically polyhedra  $K$  such that  $K \cap \partial B$  is a spherical  $k$ -design, or such that there exists a weight  $W$  for which  $(K \cap \partial B, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $k$ , for various values of  $k$ .

**1.15. A reminder on lattices.** Let  $V$  be a Euclidean space of dimension  $n \geq 1$ . A **lattice** is a discrete subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $V$  which generates  $V$  as a vector space, and  $n$  is the **rank** of  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda \subset V$  is a lattice, so is its **dual**  $\Lambda^* = \{x \in V \mid \langle x \mid \Lambda \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ . A lattice  $\Lambda$  is **integral** if  $\langle \Lambda \mid \Lambda \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , equivalently if  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda^*$ . A lattice  $\Lambda$  is **unimodular** if  $\text{Vol}(V/\Lambda) = 1$ ; an integral lattice is unimodular if and only if  $\Lambda = \Lambda^*$ . A lattice  $\Lambda$  is **even** if  $\langle \lambda \mid \lambda \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ; an **odd** lattice is an integral lattice which is not even. Two lattices  $\Lambda \subset V$ ,  $\Lambda' \subset V'$  are **equivalent** if there exists an isometry  $g$  from  $V$  onto  $V'$  such that  $g(\Lambda) = \Lambda'$ . There is a natural notion of orthogonal direct sum of lattices, and a lattice is **irreducible** if it is not equivalent to a direct sum  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda'$  with  $\Lambda, \Lambda' \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\Lambda \subset V$  be an integral lattice; for  $m \geq 1$ , we denote by

$$\Lambda_m = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \lambda \mid \lambda \rangle = m\}$$

the **shell** of radius  $m$  (namely of radius  $\sqrt{m}$  in the usual sense of Euclidean geometry). For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ , there is an integral lattice  $\Lambda'$  in the orthogonal subspace  $\lambda^\perp$  such that  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\lambda \oplus \Lambda'$ ; in particular,  $\Lambda_1 = \emptyset$  for an irreducible lattice of rank at least 2.

A standard example of an odd unimodular lattice is the **cubical lattice**  $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $n \leq 11$ , any odd unimodular lattice is equivalent to one of these.

Let  $n$  be a multiple of 4. In the standard Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define the lattice  $D_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$  and the **Witt lattice**  $\Gamma_n = D_n \cup ((\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}) + D_n)$ . Then  $\Gamma_n$  is integral and unimodular; moreover, it is even if and only if  $n$  is a multiple of 8. Even unimodular lattices exist only in dimensions  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ . If  $n = 8$ , any even unimodular lattice is equivalent to  $\Gamma_8$ , also known as the **root lattice of type  $E_8$**  or the **Korkine-Zolotareff lattice**. If  $n = 16$ , any even unimodular lattice is equivalent to either  $\Gamma_{16}$  (which is irreducible) or to  $\Gamma_8 \oplus \Gamma_8$ . If  $n = 24$ , there are 24 equivalence classes of even unimodular lattices (Niemeier's classification, 1968); the most famous of them is the lattice discovered by **Leech** (1964), which is the only even unimodular lattice  $\Lambda$  in dimension  $n \leq 31$  such that  $\Lambda_2 = \emptyset$ , and which has a remarkably high density (see, e.g., Table 1.5 in Chapter 1 of [ConSl-99]). If  $n = 32$ , the number of equivalence classes of even unimodular lattices is larger than  $8 \times 10^7$ .

There is a classification of integral unimodular lattices of small rank. For  $n \leq 16$ , Kneser (1957) has shown that the only irreducible integral unimodular lattices are  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Gamma_n$  for  $n = 8, 12, 16$ , and three odd lattices in dimensions 14, 15, and 16. In particular, if  $9 \leq n \leq 11$ , any odd unimodular lattice is equivalent to either  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  or  $\Gamma_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n-8}$ . Integral unimodular lattices have been later classified for  $n \leq 23$  (Conway and Sloane, 1982) and  $n \leq 25$  (Borcherds, 1984). For  $n \leq 24$ , see Chapters 16 and 17 of [ConSl-99]; minor corrections to previous tables are given in [Bache-97].

Let  $\Lambda \subset V$  be a lattice (possibly neither integral nor unimodular). For  $r > 0$ , let  $B_r$  denote the ball or radius  $r$  centred at the origin of  $V$ . The **sphere packing** associated to  $\Lambda$  is  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (\lambda + B_r)$ , where  $r$  denotes the largest real number such that the balls  $\lambda + B_r$  have disjoint interiors ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ); the **density** of  $\Lambda$  is the number

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol} \left( \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (\lambda + B_r) \right) \cap B_R \right) / \text{Vol}(B_R) = \frac{\text{Vol}(B_r)}{\text{Vol}(V/\Lambda)}.$$

The lattice  $\Lambda$  is **extreme** if this density is a local maximum in the space of all lattices of dimension  $n$ ; since density is homothety-invariant, a unimodular lattice is extreme if its density is a local maximum in the space of all unimodular lattices of dimension  $n$ , a space which can be identified with the double coset space  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash SL(n, \mathbb{R}) / O(n)$ . Denote by

$$\Lambda_{\text{short}} = \{\mu \in \Lambda, \mu \neq 0 \mid \langle \mu \mid \mu \rangle \leq \langle \lambda \mid \lambda \rangle \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq 0\}$$

the shell of **short vectors** in  $\Lambda$ ; the lattice  $\Lambda$  is **eutactic** if there exists a weight  $W : \Lambda_{\text{short}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that  $(\Lambda_{\text{short}}, W)$  is a spherical cubature formula of strength 3, and **perfect** if the set  $\{\omega \mapsto \langle \omega \mid \lambda \rangle^2 \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_{\text{short}}\}$  linearly generates the space of homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 on  $V$ . The first result involving both lattices and cubature formulas is the following theorem of **Voronoi** (1908):

*a lattice is extreme if and only if it is both eutactic and perfect.*

For  $\Lambda$  to be extreme, it is sufficient that  $\Lambda$  is **strongly perfect**, which means that  $\Lambda_{\text{short}}$  is a spherical 5-design (Theorem 6.4 in [VenMa-01]). Strongly perfect lattices have been classified in dimensions  $n \leq 11$ , where they occur in dimensions  $n = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10$  only [VenMa-01], [NebVe-00]; other examples occur in [BacVe-01].

Standard references on lattices include [ConSl-99], [Ebeli-94], [Mart-03], [MilHu-73], [Serre-70], and [VenMa-01].

**1.16. Examples of spherical designs: lattice designs.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Any non-empty shell  $\Lambda_m$  provides a spherical design of some strength in the sphere  $\sqrt{m}\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

This connection goes back to [Venko–84]. See [Pache] for many examples; let us indicate here a sample.

If  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^8$  is a root lattice of type  $E_8$ , then  $\Lambda_{2m}$  is a spherical 7-design for any  $m \geq 1$ . Moreover, it can be shown that a shell  $\Lambda_{2m}$  is a 8-design if and only if the Ramanujan coefficient  $\tau(m)$  of the modular form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{24}(z) &= q^2 \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2m})^{24} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tau(m) q^{2m} \\ &= q^2 - 24q^4 + 256q^6 - 1472q^8 + \dots \quad (q = e^{i\pi z}) \end{aligned}$$

is zero. (See Example 3.10 below for a second appearance of this modular form  $\Delta_{24}$  of weight 12.) Now it is a famous conjecture of Lehmer [Lehme–47] that  $\tau(m) \neq 0$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . If  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{16}$  is a Witt lattice (an irreducible even unimodular lattice, uniquely defined up to isometry by these properties in dimension 16), then  $\Gamma_{2m}$  is a spherical 3-design for any  $m \geq 1$ , and the condition for one of these shells to be a 4-design happens to be again the vanishing of the corresponding Ramanujan coefficients. The same holds for the reducible even unimodular lattice  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{16}$ . Consequently, the following four claims are simultaneously true or not true:

- (i) *Lehmer’s conjecture holds, namely  $\tau(m) \neq 0$  for all  $m \geq 1$ ;*
- (ii) *no shell of the root lattice  $\Lambda$  of type  $E_8$  is a spherical 8-design;*
- (iii) *no shell of the lattice  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{16}$  is a spherical 4-design;*
- (iv) *no shell of the Witt lattice  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{16}$  is a spherical 4-design.*

Claim (i) has been checked for  $m \leq 10^{15}$  [Serre–85].

It is easy to formulate other equivalences of the same kind. For example, any shell of the Leech lattice  $L$  (see 1.15) is a spherical 11-design, and the condition for  $L_{2m}$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) to be a 12-design is that the  $m$ th coefficient  $\mu(m) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \tau(j)\tau(m-j)$  of  $(\Delta_{24})^2$  vanishes. Thus

*$\mu_m \neq 0$  for any  $m \geq 2$  if and only if  $L_{2m}$  is not a spherical 12-design*

and, moreover, we have checked that  $\mu_m \neq 0$  for  $m \leq 1200$ . We do not know of any other lattice with a shell which is a spherical design of strength  $k \geq 11$ .

Similarly, let now  $\Lambda$  denote a Niemeier lattice, namely an even unimodular lattice in dimension 24 with  $\Lambda_2 \neq \emptyset$  (up to isometry, there are 23 such lattices). Denote by  $Q$  the modular form of weight 4 defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(q) &= (\theta_3(q))^8 - \frac{1}{16} (\theta_2(q)\theta_4(q))^4 \\ &= \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2} \right)^8 - \left( \sum_{m \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2} \right)^4 \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-q)^{m^2} \right)^4 \\ &= 1 + 240q^2 + 2160q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$Q(q)\Delta_{24}(q) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \nu_m q^m.$$

Then

*$\nu_m \neq 0$  if and only if  $\Lambda_{2m}$  is not a spherical 4-design ( $m \geq 1$ )*

and, moreover, we have checked that  $\nu_m \neq 0$  for  $m \leq 1200$ . (Observe that the condition is the same for any of the 23 Niemeier lattices.)

For one more example in this class, consider the cubical lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . The criterion stated in 1.14 in terms of irreducible representations of finite subgroups of  $O(n)$  shows that all non-empty shells are spherical 3-designs. Moreover, it can be shown that there are two classes of “special shells” which are spherical 5-designs, namely  $(\mathbb{Z}^4)_m$  for  $m = 2a$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}^7)_m$  for  $m = 4^b(8a + 3)$ . Let us restrict now for brevity to  $n \geq 8$ , and denote by  $\Theta^{[n]}$  the modular form of weight  $4 + n/2$  defined by

$$\Theta^{[n]}(q) = \frac{1}{16} (\theta_2(q)\theta_4(q))^4 (\theta_3(q))^n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \kappa_m^{[n]} q^n.$$

Then

$$\kappa_m^{[n]} \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } (\mathbb{Z}^n)_m \text{ is not a spherical 4-design } (m \geq 1)$$

and, moreover, we have checked that these hold for all  $n \geq 8$  and  $m \leq 1200$ . (See [Pache], which contains a discussion including  $1 \leq n \leq 7$ .)

**1.17. Tight spherical designs on spheres of dimension  $n - 1 \geq 2$ .** (See [BaMuV–04] and references there.)

*Even strengths.*

Tight spherical  $(2l)$ -designs do not exist when  $2l \geq 6$ .

Tight spherical 4-designs in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  are of size  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) = \frac{1}{2}n(n+3)$ . They cannot exist unless  $n$  is of the form  $(2m+1)^2 - 3$ . If  $m = 1$  or  $m = 2$  examples are known, and known to be unique up to isometry; they are respectively of size 27 in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  and size 275 in  $\mathbb{S}^{21}$  (see below). If  $m = 3$  and  $m = 4$  (and infinitely many larger values), non-existence has been proved.

Tight spherical 2-designs exist in all dimensions, and are regular simplices.

*Odd strengths.*

For  $l \geq 0$ , a tight spherical  $(2l+1)$ -design is necessarily antipodal, by Theorem 5.12 in [DeGoS–77].

Tight spherical  $(2l+1)$ -designs do not exist when  $2l+1 \geq 9$ , up to one exception (which is unique up to isometry): the 196 560 short vectors of a Leech lattice which provide (after dividing all vectors by 2) an 11-design in  $\mathbb{S}^{23}$ . Observe that

$$196560 = 2 \binom{28}{5} = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(5)}(\mathbb{S}^{23})).$$

Tight spherical 7-designs in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  are of size  $\frac{1}{3}n(n+1)(n+2)$ . They cannot exist unless  $n$  is of the form  $3m^2 - 4$ . If  $m = 2$  or  $m = 3$ , examples are known, and known to be unique up to isometry. (Up to homothety, they are respectively a root system of type  $E_8$  and the short vertices of the unimodular integral lattice denoted by  $O_{23}$  in [VenMa–01]<sup>6</sup>.) If  $m = 4$  and  $m = 5$  (and infinitely many larger values), non-existence has been proved.

---

<sup>6</sup>Let  $L$  be a Leech lattice. Let first  $e \in L$  be a short vector, with  $\langle e | e \rangle = 4$ . Denote by  $p$  the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^{24}$  onto  $e^\perp$  and set  $L'_e = \{x \in L \mid \langle e | x \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$ . Then  $O_{23} = p(L'_e)$  is a unimodular integral lattice with  $\min\{\langle x | x \rangle \mid x \in O_{23}, x \neq 0\} = 3$  and  $|\{x \in O_{23} \mid \langle x | x \rangle = 3\}| = 4600$ . Let then  $f \in L$  be a vector such that  $\langle f | f \rangle = 6$ . Then  $M_{23} = L \cap f^\perp$  is an integral lattice with  $\min\{\langle x | x \rangle \mid x \in M_{23}, x \neq 0\} = 4$ ; if  $M_{23}^*$  denote its dual lattice, then  $[M_{23}^* : M_{23}] = 4$ , and there are 552 short vectors in  $M_{23}^*$ .

Tight spherical 5-designs in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  are of size  $n(n+1)$ . They cannot exist unless  $n$  is either 3 or of the form  $(2m+1)^2 - 2$ . If  $n = 3$ , or  $m = 1$ , or  $m = 2$ , examples are known, and known to be unique up to isometry. (They are respectively a regular icosahedron and, up to homothety, the short vectors of a lattice which is dual to a root lattice of type  $E_7$  and the short vectors of a lattice of type  $M_{23}^*$ , with the notation of [VenMa-01].) If  $m = 3$  and  $m = 4$  (and infinitely many larger values), non-existence has been proved.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be a tight spherical 5-design. It is a consequence of Proposition 3.4 that  $\langle x | y \rangle = \pm\alpha$  for any  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq \pm y$ , where  $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{n+2}$ . Choose  $e \in X$  and set  $X_0 = \{x \in X \mid \langle x | e \rangle = \alpha\}$ . Then  $X_0$  is a tight spherical 4-design in the sphere  $\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$  centred at  $\alpha e$  in the affine hyperplane  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x | e \rangle = \alpha\}$ , by Theorem 8.2 in [DeGoS-77].

Tight spherical 3-designs exist in all dimensions, and are of the form  $\{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Tight spherical 1-designs are of the form  $\{\pm e_1\}$ .

For results on tight designs on other spaces  $(\Omega, \sigma)$ , see [Baba1] and [Baba2].

### 1.18. Designs with few points on $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

Given an integer  $N \geq 1$ , it is a natural question to ask what is the largest integer  $k_N \geq 0$  for which there exists a spherical  $k_N$ -design with  $N$  points on a sphere, say here on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . We report now some answers to this question, from [HarSl-96].

$N = 1$	$k_1 = 0$	single point
$N = 2$	$k_2 = 1$	pair of antipodal points
$N = 3$	$k_3 = 1$	equatorial equilateral triangle
$N = 4$	$k_4 = 2$	regular tetrahedron (tight)
$N = 5$	$k_5 = 1$	
$N = 6$	$k_6 = 3$	regular octahedron (tight)
$N = 7$	$k_7 = 2$	
$N = 8$	$k_8 = 3$	cube (non-tight)
$N = 9$	$k_9 = 2$	
$N = 10$	$k_{10} = 3$	
$N = 11$	$k_{11} = 3$	
$N = 12$	$k_{12} = 5$	regular icosahedron (tight)
$13 \leq N \leq 23$	$k_N \in \{3, 4, 5\}$	
$N = 20$	$k_{20} = 5$	regular dodecahedron (non tight)
$N = 24$	$k_{24} = 7$	improved snub cube
$\dots$	$\dots$	
$N = 60$	$k_{60} = 10$	

*Remarks.* (i) The table shows that  $k_N$  is *not* monotonic as a function of  $N$ .

(ii) We know from Propositions 1.7 and 3.2 that, on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , any spherical  $(2l)$ -design has size  $N \geq (l+1)^2$  and any spherical  $(2l+1)$ -design has size  $N \geq (l+2)(l+1)$ . The table above shows that these bounds are not sharp unless  $2l \in \{0, 2\}$  or  $2l+1 \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ . For example, a 4-design has minimal size  $12(> 9)$  and a 7-design has minimal size  $24(> 20)$ .

(iii) The table refers to isolated examples; here is a continuous family, from [HarSl-92]. Distribute the 12 points of a regular icosahedron into two poles  $N$  and  $S$ , and two sets  $P, Q$  of 5 points each in planes orthogonal to the diameter joining  $N$  to  $S$ . Let  $X_\theta$  denote the union of the poles, the set  $P$ , and the image of the set  $Q$  by a rotation of angle  $\theta$  fixing

$N$  and  $S$ . If  $\theta$  is not a multiple of  $2\pi/5$ , then  $X_\theta$  is a spherical 4-design which is not a 5-design.

(iv) The Archimedes' regular snub cube<sup>7</sup> (24 vertices) is a spherical 3-design (not a 4-design), while the improved snub cube reported to in the table is indeed a spherical 7-design.

(v) The regular truncated icosahedron (= soccer ball, 60 vertices) is a spherical 5-design (not a 6-design). The “improved soccer ball” of [GoSe–81a/b], which is almost indistinguishable from the regular one with the naked eye, is a 9-design. The spherical 10-design of size 60 which appears in [HarSl–96] is quite different.

(vi) The same paper shows a 9-design with  $N = 48$  points; indeed  $k_{48} = 9$  and  $k_N < 9$  whenever  $N < 48$  or  $N \in \{49, 51, 53\}$ . On the other hand, there exists a cubature formula on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  of strength 9 and size 32 (Section 5 in [GoSe–81a], and Item 3.7 below).

(vii) It is conceivable that many values of  $N$  are relevant for extra-mathematical reasons:  
 $N \leq 12$  pores on pollen-grains (botany);  
 $N \geq 60$  atoms in various large carbon molecules (chemistry);  
 $N \sim 20\,000$  detectors for a PET tomography of the brain (medical imaging);  
 $100 \leq N \leq 10^{20}$  charged particles on a conducting sphere (electrostatics).

In Section 3 below, there are other examples of cubature formulas and designs on spheres.

**1.19. Several quality criteria for spherical configurations.** What is the best way to arrange a given number  $N$  of points on a sphere, say here on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ ? The answer depends of course of what is meant by “the best”. Besides maximizing the strength of the configuration viewed as a spherical design, we mention here two other criteria.

The **Tammes' problem** asks what are the configurations  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$  which maximize  $\min_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} (\text{distance}(x_i, x_j))$ , or equivalently minimize  $\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} (\langle x_i | x_j \rangle)$ . Configurations of  $N$  points on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  are discussed in [Tamme–28] for  $N \leq 12$ . Pieter L.M. Tammes (1903–1980) is a Dutch botanist who was interested in the distribution of places of exit on pollen grains (the most frequent case seems to be  $N = 3$ ); he should not be confused with his aunt Tine Tammes (1871–1947), who made important contributions to early genetics [Stamh–95].

Here are some of the configurations which are the best from the point of view of Tammes' problem: those of Example 1.18 for  $N = 4, 6, 12$  (regular polytopes with triangular faces), but other configurations for  $N = 8$  (square antiprisms),  $N = 20$  (unknown configurations which are not regular dodecahedras [vdWae–52]), and  $N = 24$  (snub cubes, see ([SchWa–51] and [Robin–61]). More on Tammes' problem in mathematics in [Coxet–62], in Section 35 of [Fejes–64], in Section 2.3 of Chapter 1 of [ConSl–99], and in Chapter 3 of [EriZi–01].

Let  $X$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . When the emphasis is on properties like those of Proposition 1.13, the set  $X$  is called a *spherical design*. However, when the emphasis is on the distance set  $D_X$  defined in 1.6, the standard name for  $X$  is that of **spherical code**. For many constructions of spherical codes, see [EriZi–01].

Problem 7 of Smale's *Mathematical problems for the next century* [Smale–98] asks what are the configurations which maximize  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \text{distance}(x_i, x_j)$ . The problem is motivated by complexity theory, and the search of algorithms related to the fundamental theorem of algebra.

<sup>7</sup>See for example <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/SnubCube.html>

For a discussion of related criteria, see [SaaKu–97].

**1.20. Cubature formulas on other spaces.** There are documented cubature formulas of strength  $3, 5, \dots$  (with respect to the space of polynomials) on the hypercube  $[-1, 1]^n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For compact subsets of the plane with positive area, there are cubature formulas of strength  $k$  and of size  $\frac{1}{2}(k+1)(k+2)$ . See [DavRa–84], in particular Section 5.7.

Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^2$  be a 2-torus of revolution embedded in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , together with its standard probability measure  $\sigma$  (up to a normalization factor,  $\sigma$  is the area given by the first fundamental form of the surface  $\mathbb{T}^2$  embedded in 3-space). Let  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(\mathbb{T}^2)$  be the space of functions on the torus which are restrictions of polynomial functions of total degree at most  $k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Kuijlaars [Kuijl–95] has shown that there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  with the following property: for any  $k \geq 0$ , there exists a geometrical design on  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(\mathbb{T}^2)$  with a number  $N$  of points satisfying  $C_1 k^2 \leq N \leq C_2 k^2$ .

## 2. Cubature formulas and reproducing kernels

This section begins with a reminder of standard material which goes back at least to [Arons–50] and [Krein–63]; see also [Stewa–76] and [BeHaG–03].

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space of functions on a set  $\Omega$ . We denote by  $\langle \varphi_1 | \varphi_2 \rangle$  the scalar product of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . We assume<sup>8</sup> that, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the evaluation  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(\omega)$  is continuous; this implies that there exists a function  $\varphi_\omega \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\varphi(\omega) = \langle \varphi | \varphi_\omega \rangle$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**2.1. Definition.** *With the notation above, the **reproducing kernel** of  $\mathcal{H}$  is the function  $\Phi : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\Phi(\omega', \omega) = \langle \varphi_\omega | \varphi_{\omega'} \rangle$  for all  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ , or equivalently by  $\Phi(\cdot, \omega) = \varphi_\omega(\cdot)$ .*

The terminology, “reproducing”, refers to the equality  $\varphi(\omega) = \langle \varphi(\cdot) | \Phi(\cdot, \omega) \rangle$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

**2.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\Omega, \mathcal{H}, (\varphi_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , and  $\Phi$  be as above.*

- (i) *The kernel  $\Phi$  is of positive type. In particular, its diagonal values  $\Phi(\omega, \omega)$  are positive, and  $|\Phi(\omega, \omega')|^2 \leq \Phi(\omega, \omega)\Phi(\omega', \omega')$  for all  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ .*
- (ii) *The family  $(\varphi_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega}$  generates  $\mathcal{H}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\mathcal{H} \neq 0$ , then  $\Phi(\omega, \omega) \neq 0$  for some  $\omega \in \Omega$ .*
- (iv) *If  $(e_j)_{j \in J}$  is any orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then*

$$\Phi(\omega, \omega') = \sum_{j \in J} e_j(\omega) e_j(\omega')$$

for all  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ .

(v) *In case there exists a finite positive measure  $\sigma$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ , with  $\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |\Phi(\omega, \omega')|^2 d\sigma(\omega) d\sigma(\omega') < \infty$ , then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\omega, \omega) d\sigma(\omega) < \infty.$$

---

<sup>8</sup>In all examples appearing below,  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite dimensional and this condition is therefore automatically fulfilled. Hence, the reader can assume to start with that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$ .

(vi) Assume moreover that  $\Omega$  is a topological space and that the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (vi<sub>a</sub>) all functions in  $\mathcal{H}$  are continuous;
- (vi<sub>b</sub>) the kernel  $\Phi : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous;
- (vi<sub>c</sub>) the mapping  $\omega \mapsto \varphi_\omega$  from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  is continuous.

*Proof.* (i) By definition, the kernel  $\Phi$  is of positive type if it is symmetric and if

$$(*) \quad \sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j \lambda_k \Phi(\omega_j, \omega_k) \geq 0$$

for all integers  $n$ , real numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and points  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  in  $\Omega$ . This is clear here, since the left-hand term  $\sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j \lambda_k \langle \varphi_{\omega_k} | \varphi_{\omega_j} \rangle$  of (\*) is equal to the square of the Hilbert-space norm of the sum  $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi_{\omega_k}$ . The last claim of (i) follows by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

(ii) Observe that, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ , the condition  $\langle \varphi | \varphi_\omega \rangle = 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  implies that  $\varphi(\omega) = 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

(iii) Assume that  $\Phi(\omega, \omega) = 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then, by (i),  $\Phi(\omega, \omega') = 0$  for all  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ , and it follows from (ii) that  $\mathcal{H} = 0$ .

(iv) Evaluate the Fourier expansion  $\varphi_{\omega'} = \sum_{j \in J} \langle \varphi_{\omega'} | e_j \rangle e_j$  at the point  $\omega$ , and obtain  $\Phi(\omega, \omega') = \sum_{j \in J} \langle \varphi_{\omega'} | e_j \rangle \langle e_j | \varphi_\omega \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} e_j(\omega) e_j(\omega')$ .

(v) Denote by  $K_\Phi : L^2(\Omega, \sigma) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$  the linear operator defined by the kernel  $\Phi$ , namely by  $(K_\Phi \varphi)(\omega) = \int_\Omega \Phi(\omega, \omega') \varphi(\omega') d\sigma(\omega')$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ . On the one hand,  $K_\Phi$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, because of the  $L^2$ -condition on  $\Phi$ ; on the other hand,  $K_\Phi$  is the identity, since the kernel  $\Phi$  is reproducing. It follows that the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite. Moreover, we have

$$\int_\Omega \Phi(\omega, \omega) d\sigma(\omega) = \sum_{j \in J} \int_\Omega |e_j(\omega)|^2 d\sigma(\omega) = \sum_{j \in J} \|e_j\|^2 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H})$$

by (iv).

(vi) Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$ , the mapping of (vi<sub>c</sub>) is continuous if and only if the real-valued functions  $\omega \mapsto \langle \varphi | \varphi_\omega \rangle = \varphi(\omega)$  are continuous for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ , so that (vi<sub>a</sub>) and (vi<sub>c</sub>) are equivalent.

If (vi<sub>c</sub>) holds, then  $\Phi$  is continuous since it is the composition of the continuous mapping  $(\omega', \omega) \mapsto (\varphi_\omega, \varphi_{\omega'})$  from  $\Omega \times \Omega$  to  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  with the scalar product. If (vi<sub>b</sub>) holds, then  $\varphi_\omega = \Phi(\cdot, \omega)$  is continuous for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and (vi<sub>a</sub>) follows by (ii).  $\square$

**2.3. Example: atomic measure.** Let  $X$  be a set and  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  a positive-valued function. The Hilbert space  $\ell^2(X, W)$ , with scalar product given by

$$\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = \sum_{x \in X} W(x) \psi_1(x) \psi_2(x) \quad \text{for all } \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \ell^2(X, W),$$

gives rise to the functions

$$\psi_x = \frac{1}{W(x)} \delta_x : y \mapsto \begin{cases} W(x)^{-1} & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and to the reproducing kernel  $\Psi$  with values

$$\Psi(x, y) = \langle \psi_y | \psi_x \rangle = \begin{cases} W(x)^{-1} & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if  $X$  is finite and  $W(x) = |X|^{-1}$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $|X|^{-1} \Psi$  is the characteristic function of the diagonal in  $X \times X$ .

**2.4. Example: standard reproducing kernels on spheres.** Let the notation be as in Example 1.11 and let  $k \geq 0$ . Each of the spaces  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  is a subspace of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \sigma)$ .

There exists a unique polynomial  $Q^{(k)}(T) \in \mathbb{R}[T]$  of degree  $k$  with the following properties: for any  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the polynomial function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is homogeneous of degree  $k$  and of which the restriction to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is given by<sup>9</sup>

$$\varphi_\omega : \omega' \mapsto Q^{(k)}(\langle \omega | \omega' \rangle)$$

is harmonic, and  $Q^{(k)}(1) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ ; the polynomial  $Q^{(k)}$  is a form of a Gegenbauer polynomial (see, e.g., Theorems IV.2.12 and IV.2.14 in [SteWe-71], or Section IX.3 in [Vilen-68]). It is routine to check that  $Q^{(0)}(X) = 1$ ,  $Q^{(1)}(X) = nX$ , and

$$\frac{k+1}{n+2k} Q^{(k+1)}(X) = XQ^{(k)}(X) - \frac{n+k-3}{n+2k-4} Q^{(k-1)}(X)$$

for  $k \geq 2$ . Observe that  $Q^{(k)}(T)$  is of the form

$$Q^{(k)}(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j c_j^{(k)} T^{k-2j}$$

with  $c_0^{(k)}, c_1^{(k)}, \dots > 0$ . The reproducing kernel of the space  $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  is given by

$$\Phi^{(k)}(\omega, \omega') = Q^{(k)}(\langle \omega | \omega' \rangle)$$

for all  $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . It is a restriction of the kernel

$$\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (\omega, \omega') \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j c_j^{(k)} \langle \omega | \omega' \rangle^{k-2j} \langle \omega | \omega \rangle^j \langle \omega' | \omega' \rangle^j \in \mathbb{R}$$

which is homogeneous of degree  $k$  in each variable  $\omega, \omega'$  separately.

The reproducing kernels of the spaces  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  are given similarly in terms of the polynomials

$$C^{(k)}(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} Q^{(k-2j)}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad R^{(k)}(T) = \sum_{j=0}^k Q^{(j)}(T).$$

<sup>9</sup>Where  $\langle \omega | \omega' \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \omega'_i$ .

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) &= Q^{(k)}(1) = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+k-3}{n-1} \\ \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) &= C^{(k)}(1) = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} \\ \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) &= R^{(k)}(1) = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} + \binom{n+k-2}{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.2.v.

This material is standard: see, e.g., [SteWe–71] and [DeGoS–77].

Proposition 2.6 is the first general existence result for cubature formulas of this exposition. (It is a minor strengthening of Theorems 2.8 and 3.17 in [LyuVa–93].)

**2.5. Lemma.** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional real vector space, let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $V$ , and let  $b = \int_V v d\mu(v)$  denote its barycentre. If  $C$  is a convex subset of  $V$  such that  $\mu(C) = 1$ , then  $b \in C$ .*

*Remarks.* (i) The lemma is probably well-known, but we haven't been able to trace it in print. The proof below was shown to us by Yves Benoist.

(ii) The point of the lemma is that  $b$  is not only in the closure of  $C$ , but in  $C$  itself.

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the minimal affine subspace of  $V$  such that  $\mu(C \cap U) = 1$ ; upon restricting  $C$ , we can assume that  $C \subset U$ . Upon translating  $\mu$  and  $C$ , we can assume that  $b = 0$ .

We claim that  $b = 0$  is in the interior of  $C$  inside  $U$ . If this was not true, there would exist by the Hahn-Banach theorem a non-zero linear form  $\xi$  on  $U$  such that  $\xi(c) \geq 0$  for all  $c \in C$ . The equality

$$0 = \xi(b) = \int_U \xi(w) d\mu(w) = \int_C \xi(c) d\mu(c)$$

would imply  $\xi(c) = 0$  for almost every  $c \in C$ ; thus, we would have  $\mu(C \cap \text{Ker}(\xi)) = 1$ , in contradiction with the definition of  $U$ .

Hence  $b$  is in the interior of  $C$  inside  $U$ , and in particular  $b \in C$ .  $\square$

**2.6. Proposition.** *Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  be a finite measure space. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space of functions on  $\Omega$  which is a subspace of  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$  and which contains the constant functions.*

*Then there exists a cubature formula  $(X, W)$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$|X| \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\sigma$  is a probability measure. Let  $\mathcal{H}^0$  be the orthogonal supplement of the constants in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $\Phi^0$  denote its reproducing kernel. Recall that, for  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the function  $\varphi_{\omega}^0 : \omega' \rightarrow \Phi^0(\omega', \omega)$  is in  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . The set

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^0 \mid \varphi = \varphi_{\omega}^0 \text{ for some } \omega \in \Omega\}$$

linearly generates  $\mathcal{H}^0$  by Proposition 2.2.ii. Observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{\omega}^0(\omega') d\sigma(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{\omega'}^0(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) = \langle 1 | \varphi_{\omega'}^0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^0} = 0$$

for all  $\omega' \in \Omega$ , by definition of  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . It follows from the previous lemma, applied to the image of  $\sigma$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , that 0 is in the convex hull of  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .

By Carathéodory's theorem (see e.g. Theorem 11.1.8.6 in [Berge–78]), there exist a finite subset  $X$  of  $\Omega$  of cardinality at most  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}^0) + 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H})$  and a weight function  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi_x^0 = 0 \in \mathcal{H}^0.$$

For all  $x \in X$  and  $\omega' \in \Omega$ , we have  $\varphi_x^0(\omega') = \Phi^0(\omega', x) = \Phi^0(x, \omega') = \varphi_{\omega'}^0(x)$ ; hence

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi_{\omega'}^0(x) = 0 = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{\omega'}^0(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

for all  $\omega' \in \Omega$ . Since  $(\varphi_{\omega'}^0)_{\omega' \in \Omega}$  generates  $\mathcal{H}^0$ , we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^0$ . Since the same equality holds obviously for constant functions, it holds also for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

In case  $\sigma$  is not a probability measure, we can multiply all values of  $W$  by  $\sigma(\Omega)$ . Then the previous equality holds again for constant functions on  $\Omega$ , and a posteriori for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

In the situation of Proposition 2.6, suppose moreover that we have a cubature formula  $(X', W')$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists a cubature formula  $(X, W)$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$X \subset X' \quad \text{and} \quad |X| \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

(This follows from the proof in [Berge–78] of Carathéodory's theorem. Alternatively, we can apply Proposition 2.6 to the subspace of  $\ell^2(X', W')$  of restrictions to  $X'$  of functions in  $\mathcal{H}$ .)

**2.7. Proposition.** *In the situation of the previous proposition, assume moreover that  $\Omega$  is a connected topological space and that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a space of continuous functions.*

*Then the bound on the size of  $X$  can be improved to*

$$|X| \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}) - 1.$$

*Proof.* With the notation of the proof of Proposition 2.6, the subspace  $\tilde{\Omega}$  of  $\mathcal{H}^0$  is a continuous image of  $\Omega$  by Proposition 2.2.vi, so that  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is connected. In this case, the Carathéodory bound on  $X$  can be lowered by 1, by a classical theorem of Fenchel. See, e.g., Theorem 18 in [Eggle–58].  $\square$

The following result is an immediate consequence of Propositions 1.7 and 2.7.

**2.8. Theorem (existence of cubature formulas, with bounds on sizes).** *Let  $\Omega$  be a connected topological space with a finite measure  $\sigma$  and let  $(\mathcal{F}^{(k)})_{k \geq 0}$  be a sequence of polynomial spaces on  $(\Omega, \sigma)$ . Assume that functions in  $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$  are continuous on  $\Omega$ . Choose  $l \geq 0$ .*

*Then there exists a finite subset  $X$  of  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(l)}) \leq |X| \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(2l)}) - 1.$$

*and a weight  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $2l$  on  $\Omega$ .*

Our next proposition (2.10) shows properties of cubature formulas  $(X, W)$  for which the previous lower bound is an equality, namely which are tight (Definition 1.8).

**2.9. Lemma.** *Consider data consisting of*

- (a) *a finite measure space  $(\Omega, \sigma)$ , a Hilbert space of functions  $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ , and the corresponding reproducing kernel  $\Phi$ ;*
- (b) *a finite subset  $X$  of  $\Omega$ , a weight  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(X, W)$ , and the kernel  $\Psi$  as in Example 2.3;*
- (c) *the restriction mapping  $\rho : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(X, W)$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi|_X$ , and the adjoint mapping  $\rho^* : \ell^2(X, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ .*

*With the previous notation, namely with  $\varphi_\omega$  as in 2.1 and  $\psi_x$  as in 2.3, we have*

- (i)  *$\rho^*(\psi_x) = \varphi_x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\rho^*$  is an isometry if and only if  $\Psi$  is the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $X \times X$ ;*
- (iii) *in case  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}) = |X|$ , the mapping  $\rho$  is an isometry if and only if  $\Psi$  is the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $X \times X$ .*

*Proof.* (i) By definition of  $\rho^*$ , we have for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$  and for all  $x \in X$

$$\langle \varphi | \rho^*(\psi_x) \rangle = \langle \rho(\varphi) | \psi_x \rangle = (\rho(\varphi))(x) = \varphi(x)$$

and therefore  $\rho^*(\psi_x) = \varphi_x$ .

(ii) Let  $x, y \in X$ . On the one hand,  $\langle \rho^*(\psi_x) | \rho^*(\psi_y) \rangle = \Phi(x, y)$  by (i); on the other hand,  $\langle \psi_x | \psi_y \rangle = \Psi(x, y)$ . Claim (ii) follows.

(iii) A linear mapping between two finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces of the same dimension is an isometry if and only if its adjoint is an isometry ; thus (iii) follows from (ii).

□

**2.10. Proposition.** *Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  be a finite measure space and let  $(\mathcal{F}^{(k)})_{k \geq 0}$  be a sequence of polynomial spaces on  $(\Omega, \sigma)$ ; assume that the corresponding reproducing kernels  $\Phi^{(k)}$  are constant on the diagonal of  $\Omega$ .*

*Choose  $l \geq 0$  and let  $(X, W)$  be a cubature formula of strength  $2l$  on  $\Omega$  which is tight.*

*Then  $W$  is uniform, namely  $X$  is a tight geometrical  $(2l)$ -design.*

*Proof.* The restriction mapping

$$\rho : \mathcal{F}^{(l)} \rightarrow \ell^2(X, W)$$

is an isometry by Proposition 1.7. If  $(X, W)$  is tight, the domain and the range of  $\rho$  have the same dimension, so that  $\rho$  is onto. Thus, if  $\Psi : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denotes as in Example 2.3 the reproducing kernel of  $\ell^2(X, W)$ , then

$$\Psi(x, x') = \Phi^{(l)}(x, x') \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X$$

by Lemma 2.9. It follows that  $\Psi$  is constant on the diagonal of  $X \times X$ , so that the weight  $W$  is constant by Example 2.3; otherwise said,  $X$  is a geometrical  $(2l)$ -design.  $\square$

**2.11. Definition.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a metric space, let  $\sigma$  be a finite measure on  $\Omega$ , let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space of functions on  $\Omega$  which is a subspace of  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ , and let  $\Phi$  denote the corresponding reproducing kernel. We say that **Condition (M) holds for  $\mathcal{H}$  and a function  $S$**  if the values of the kernel depend only on the distances:*

$$\Phi(\omega, \omega') = S(d(\omega, \omega'))$$

for all  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ , and for some function  $S : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Observe that, by Proposition 2.2.v, this implies that

$$\Phi(\omega, \omega) = S(0) = \sigma(\Omega)^{-1} \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H})$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

On spheres, Condition (M) holds<sup>10</sup> for the spaces  $\mathcal{H}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  [respectively  $\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ] with  $S = Q^{(l)}$  [respectively  $S = C^{(l)}$ ,  $S = R^{(l)}$ ]; the notation is that of Example 2.4.

**2.12. Proposition.** *Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}^{(k)})_{k \geq 0}$ , and  $(\Phi^{(k)})_{k \geq 0}$  be as in Proposition 2.10. Choose  $l \geq 0$  and assume that Condition (M) holds for  $\mathcal{F}^{(l)}$  and a function  $S^{(l)}$ .*

*If  $X$  is a tight geometrical  $2l$ -design on  $\Omega$ , the set of distances*

$$D_X = \{c \in ]0, \infty[ \mid c = d(x, y) \text{ for some } x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$$

*is contained in the set of zeros of the function  $S^{(l)}$ .*

*Proof.* Notation being as in the proof of Proposition 2.10, we have

$$\Psi(x, x') = \Phi^{(l)}(x, x') = S^{(l)}(d(x, x'))$$

for all  $x, x' \in X$ . Since  $\Psi(x, x') = 0$  when  $x \neq x'$  (see Example 2.3), the proposition follows.  $\square$

The last proposition of this section shows how the setting of reproducing kernels makes it straightforward to generalize a characterization which is well-known for spherical designs (Theorem 5.5 in [DeGoS-77], or Theorems 3.2 and 4.3 in [GoeSe-79]).

---

<sup>10</sup>Or rather the analogous condition for scalar products  $\langle \omega \mid \omega' \rangle$  rather than distances  $d(\omega, \omega')$ .

**2.13. Proposition.** *Let  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  be a finite measure space, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space of functions on  $\Omega$  which is a subspace of  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ , and let  $\Phi$  denote the corresponding reproducing kernel. Let  $X$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $\Omega$  and let  $W$  be a weight function on  $X$ .*

(i) *We have  $\sum_{x,y \in X} W(x)W(y)\Phi(x,y) \geq 0$ .*

(ii) *Equality holds in (i) if and only if  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(x) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality holds in (i) since  $\Phi$  is a kernel of positive type.

Assume now equality. For any orthonormal basis  $(e_j)_{j \in J}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , Proposition 2.2.iv implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x,y \in X} W(x)W(y)\Phi(x,y) &= \sum_{x,y \in X} W(x)W(y) \sum_{j \in J} e_j(x)e_j(y) \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \left( \sum_{x \in X} W(x)e_j(x) \right)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x)e_j(x) = 0$  for all  $j \in J$ , and therefore  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(x) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

The converse implication in (ii) is straightforward.  $\square$

**2.14. Particular case.** *Consider two integers  $n \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 1$ , a non-empty finite subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , a weight  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that  $\sum_{x \in X} W(x) = 1$ , and the polynomials  $Q^{(j)}$ ,  $R^{(j)}$  defined in Example 2.4. Then*

$$\sum_{x,y \in X} W(x)W(y)Q^{(j)}(\langle x | y \rangle) \stackrel{(*)}{\geq} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{x,y \in X} W(x)W(y)R^{(j)}(\langle x | y \rangle) \stackrel{(**)}{\geq} 1$$

for all  $j \geq 0$ . Moreover, the following properties are equivalent:

(i)  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $k$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ;

(ii) equality holds in  $(*)$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ;

(iii) equality holds in  $(**)$  for  $j = k$ .

### 3. The case of spheres

#### Antipodal cubature formulas and spherical designs

In this section, we assume that  $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , that  $\sigma$  is the rotation-invariant probability measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and that the notation is as in Examples 1.11 and 2.4.

For tight  $(2l)$ -spherical designs, the set  $D_X$  of Proposition 2.12 is precisely known, and  $|D_X| = l$ . Instead of distances and  $D_X$ , it is more convenient to use scalar products and the set

$$A_X = \{c \in [-1, 1[ \mid c = \langle x | y \rangle \text{ for some } x, y \in X, x \neq y\}.$$

The following proposition is Theorem 5.1 of [GoeSe-79].

**3.1. Proposition.** *If  $X$  is a tight spherical  $(2l)$ -design on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , then  $A_X$  coincides with the set of roots of the polynomial  $R^{(l)}$  defined in 2.4.*

*Proof.* Let  $Z_R^{(l)}$  denote the set of roots of  $R^{(l)}$ , which is of order  $l$ . Since  $A_X \subset Z_R^{(l)}$  by the proof of Proposition 2.12, it is enough to show that  $a = |A_X|$  is not less than  $l$ . More generally, let us show that  $a \geq l$  for any spherical  $(2l)$ -design.

Define for each  $x \in X$  a polynomial function  $\gamma_x$  of degree  $a$  by

$$\gamma_x(\omega) = \prod_{c \in A_X} \frac{\langle x | \omega \rangle - c}{1 - c} \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then  $\gamma_x(x') = \delta_{x,x'}$  for all  $x, x' \in X$ , so that the family  $(\gamma_x)_{x \in X}$  is linearly independent. Thus

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(a)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) \geq |X|.$$

Since  $|X| \geq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$  by Proposition 1.7, we have  $a \geq l$ .

In particular,  $A_X = Z_R^{(l)}$  for a tight spherical  $(2l)$ -design.  $\square$

Propositions 1.7, 2.10, 2.12, 3.1, and Theorem 2.8 apply to cubature formulas and spherical designs of *even strength*. We expose now the analogous facts for *odd strength*.

**3.2. Proposition.** (Compare with 1.7.) *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $l \geq 0$ , and  $\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \sigma)$  be as in Example 1.11. Let moreover  $X = Y \sqcup (-Y)$  be a non-empty antipodal finite subset of the sphere and  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  be a symmetric weight.*

*Then  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $2l + 1$  if and only if the restriction mapping*

$$\rho : \mathcal{P}^{(l)} \rightarrow \ell^2(Y, 2W)$$

*is an isometry. In particular, if  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $2l + 1$ , then*

$$(\sharp) \quad |X| \geq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) = 2 \binom{n+l-1}{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $\psi(-\omega) = (-1)^j \psi(\omega)$  for all  $j \geq 0$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{P}^{(j)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , and  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , so that

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(x) = 2 \sum_{y \in Y} W(y) \varphi_1(y) \varphi_2(y)$$

for  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ .

The condition for  $\rho$  to be an isometry is

$$2 \sum_{y \in Y} W(y) \varphi_1(y) \varphi_2(y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi_1(\omega) \varphi_2(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

for all  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . As in the proof of Proposition 1.7, this can be written

$$2 \sum_{y \in Y} W(y) \varphi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

or indeed

$$(*) \quad \sum_{x \in X} W(x)\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Now  $(*)$  holds for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(2l+1)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , since both terms are zero in this case by symmetry reasons. Hence  $\rho$  is an isometry if and only if  $(*)$  holds for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^{(2l+1)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Remarks and definition.** (i) Let  $(X, W)$  be an antipodal cubature formula on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and write  $X = Y \sqcup (-Y)$ . Observe that, since a function on  $X$  can be canonically written as the sum of an even function and an odd function, we have a decomposition of  $\ell^2(X, W)$  as the orthogonal direct sum of two copies of  $\ell^2(Y, 2W)$ . It follows from Propositions 1.7 and 3.3 that the restriction mapping

$$\mathcal{F}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{P}^{(l-1)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \ell^2(X, W) = \ell^2(Y, 2W) \oplus \ell^2(Y, 2W)$$

is an isometry if and only if the restriction mapping  $\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \ell^2(Y, 2W)$  is an isometry.

(ii) For a spherical  $(2l + 1)$ -design  $X$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  (not necessarily antipodal), it is known that  $|X| \geq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ ; moreover, in case equality holds, then  $X$  is antipodal. See Theorem 5.12 in [DeGoS-77]; the proof uses the “linear programming method”, which is more powerful than the “Fisher type method” used in our proof of Proposition 3.2.

(iii) A cubature formula  $(X, W)$  of strength  $2l + 1$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is **tight** (see the definition in 1.11) if equality holds in Equation  $(\#)$  of Proposition 3.2.

The following is Theorem 5.11 of [GoeSe-79].

**3.4. Proposition.** (Compare with 2.10, 2.12, and 3.1.) *Let  $(X, W)$  be a cubature formula of strength  $2l + 1$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  which is tight.*

*Then  $W$  is uniform, namely  $X$  is a tight spherical  $(2l + 1)$ -design. Moreover the set*

$$B_X = \{c \in ]-1, 1[ \mid c = \langle x \mid y \rangle \text{ for some } x, y \in X, x \neq \pm y\}$$

*coincides with the set of roots of the polynomial  $C^{(l)}$  defined in 2.4.*

*Proof.* Let  $Z_C^{(l)}$  denote the set of roots of  $C^{(l)}$ , which is of order  $l$ . Since  $B_X \subset Z_C^{(l)}$  by the argument used in the proof of Proposition 2.12, it is enough to show that  $b = |B_X|$  is not less than  $l$ . More generally, let us show that  $b \geq l$  for any antipodal  $(2l + 1)$ -design.

Define for each  $x \in X$  a polynomial function  $\tilde{\gamma}_x$  of degree  $b$  by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_x(\omega) = \prod_{c \in B_X} \frac{\langle x \mid \omega \rangle - c}{1 - c} \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

As  $-B_X = B_X$ , we have

$$\tilde{\gamma}_x(\omega) = \frac{\prod_{c \in B_X} (\langle x \mid \omega \rangle + c)}{\prod_{c \in B_X} (1 - c)} = (-1)^b \frac{\prod_{c \in B_X} (\langle x \mid -\omega \rangle - c)}{\prod_{c \in B_X} (1 - c)} = (-1)^b \tilde{\gamma}_x(-\omega)$$

for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus the restriction of  $\tilde{\gamma}_x$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}^{(b)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Decompose  $X$  as  $Y \sqcup (-Y)$  as in Item 1.12; since  $\tilde{\gamma}_y(y') = \delta_{y,y'}$  for all  $y, y' \in Y$ , we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(b)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) \geq |Y| \geq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{P}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$$

and therefore  $b \geq l$ .

In particular  $B_X = Z_C^{(l)}$  for a tight antipodal spherical  $(2l+1)$ -design.  $\square$

**3.5. Remark.** Given  $n$  and  $l$ , let  $(X, W)$  be a cubature formula of strength  $2l+1$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that  $|X| \leq |X'|$  for any cubature formula of strength  $2l+1$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . The weight  $W$  need not be uniform; see Example 3.7 for such a cubature formula of strength 7 on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

**3.6. Proposition.** (Compare with 2.8.) *For each  $l \geq 0$ , there exists a finite antipodal subset  $X = Y \sqcup (-Y)$  of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(P^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) \leq |Y| \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(P^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) - 1$$

and a symmetric weight  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that  $(X, W)$  is an antipodal cubature formula of strength  $2l+1$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

*Proof:* see Propositions 2.6 and 2.7.  $\square$

Similar estimates are known for other spaces, in particular for hypercubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; see page 366 of [DavRa–84].

**3.7. Example: cubature formula of strength 5, 7, and 9 on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .** A number of explicit cubature formulas can be collected from the literature, either directly or indirectly. Many of them are reviewed in [Dicks–19] (see in particular pages 717–724) and [Rezni–92]. Let us first write down an identity of Lucas (1877):

$$8(u_1^4 + u_2^4 + u_3^4) + \sum_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \in \{1, -1\}} (u_1 + \epsilon_2 u_2 + \epsilon_3 u_3)^4 = 12(u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2)^2.$$

Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{S}^2$  be the set of size 7 containing the three vectors  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  of the canonical orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and the four vectors  $3^{-1/2}(e_1 + \epsilon_2 e_2 + \epsilon_3 e_3)$ , for  $\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 = 1, -1$ . Define a weight  $W : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  by  $W(e_i) = \frac{8}{60}$  and  $W(3^{-1/2}(e_1 + \epsilon_2 e_2 + \epsilon_3 e_3)) = \frac{9}{60}$ . Then Lucas' identity can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{y \in Y} W(y) \langle y | u \rangle^4 = \frac{1}{5} \langle u | u \rangle^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

so that  $(Y, W)$  is a cubature formula of size 7 for  $\mathcal{P}^{(4)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  by the argument of Proposition 1.13. If  $X = Y \sqcup (-Y)$  and if  $W$  is extended by symmetry,  $(X, \frac{1}{2}W)$  is a cubature formula of size 14 and strength 5.

Size  $|Y| = 7$  [respectively  $|X| = 14$ ] is not optimal for cubature formulas for  $\mathcal{P}^{(4)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  [respectively  $\mathcal{F}^{(5)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ ]. Indeed, as already reported in Items 1.17 and 1.18, the 12 vertices of a regular icosahedron provide a tight spherical 5-design, and such a design is unique up to isometry [DeGoS–77, page 375]. The 6 vertices of a corresponding  $Y$  provide a design for

the space  $\mathcal{P}^{(4)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ . (Note that this is not a spherical 4-design, because  $\frac{1}{|Y|} \sum_{y \in Y} \varphi(y)$  is not always equal to  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$  for functions  $\varphi$  in the second summand of the decomposition  $\mathcal{F}^{(4)}(\mathbb{S}^2) = \mathcal{P}^{(4)}(\mathbb{S}^2) \oplus \mathcal{P}^{(3)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ .)

From [Rezni–92] and [HarSl–96] (see also Item 1.18), we collect the following facts.

◦ There exists a pair  $(Y, W)$  with  $|Y| = 11$  which is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{P}^{(6)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ , and 11 is the minimal possible size (pages 133–135 of [Rezni–92]). Hence there exists a cubature formula  $(X, \frac{1}{2}W)$  for  $\mathcal{F}^{(7)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  of size  $|X| = 22$ . On the other hand, a spherical design  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^2$  of size  $|X| \leq 22$  is of strength at most 5, and a spherical 7-design in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  has size at least 24 (compare with the lower bound of Proposition 3.3 for 7-designs in  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , which is 20).

◦ There exists a pair  $(Y, W)$  with  $|Y| = 16$  which is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{P}^{(8)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ , and 16 is the minimal possible size (pages 111 and 136 of [Rezni–92], referring to Finden, Sobolev, and McLaren). Hence there exists a cubature formula  $(X, \frac{1}{2}W)$  for  $\mathcal{F}^{(9)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  of size  $|X| = 32$ . On the other hand, a spherical design  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^2$  of size  $|X| \leq 32$  is of strength at most 7, and a spherical 9-design in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  has size at least 48 (compare with the lower bound of Proposition 3.2 for 9-designs in  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , which is 30).

**3.8. Example: cubature formulas of strengths 5, 7, 9 and 11 on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ .** The following identities go back respectively to Liouville (1859), Kempner (1912), Hurwitz (1908), and J. Schur (1909):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^4 (2u_i)^4 + \sum_{\epsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}} (u_1 + \epsilon_2 u_2 + \epsilon_3 u_3 + \epsilon_4 u_4)^4 &= 24 \left( \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i^2 \right)^2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 (2u_i)^6 + 8 \sum_I (u_i + \epsilon u_j)^6 + \sum_{\epsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}} (u_1 + \epsilon_2 u_2 + \epsilon_3 u_3 + \epsilon_4 u_4)^6 &= 120 \left( \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i^2 \right)^3, \\ 6 \sum_{i=1}^4 (2u_i)^8 + 60 \sum_I (u_i + \epsilon u_j)^8 + \sum_{II} (2u_i + \epsilon_j u_j + \epsilon_k u_k)^8 \\ &+ 6 \sum_{\epsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}} (u_1 + \epsilon_2 u_2 + \epsilon_3 u_3 + \epsilon_4 u_4)^8 = 5040 \left( \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i^2 \right)^4, \\ 9 \sum_{i=1}^4 (2u_i)^{10} + 180 \sum_I (u_i + \epsilon u_j)^{10} + \sum_{II} (2u_i + \epsilon_j u_j + \epsilon_k u_k)^{10} \\ &+ 9 \sum_{\epsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}} (u_1 + \epsilon_2 u_2 + \epsilon_3 u_3 + \epsilon_4 u_4)^{10} = 22680 \left( \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i^2 \right)^5. \end{aligned}$$

Summations  $\sum_I$  contain 12 terms  $u_i + \epsilon u_j$ , with  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$  and  $\epsilon = \pm 1$ . Summations  $\sum_{II}$  contain 48 terms of the form  $2u_i + \epsilon_j u_j + \epsilon_k u_k$ , with  $i, j, k$  pairwise distinct in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $j < k$ , and  $\epsilon_j, \epsilon_k = \pm 1$ . These four identities provide cubature formulas on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  of sizes and strengths

24	and	5	(Liouville),
48	and	7	(Kempner),
144	and	9	(Hurwitz),
144	and	11	(Schur).

Other examples appear in [Dicks–19] (pages 717–724).

Observe that Liouville’s identity provides a design for  $\mathcal{P}^{(4)}(\mathbb{S}^3)$  of size 12 and a spherical 5-design of size 24. The latter is (up to homothety) a root system of type  $D_4$ ; in other coordinates, it can also be written as

$$(L) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4, \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} (u_i + \epsilon u_j)^4 = 6 \left( \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i^2 \right)^2$$

(apparently written down first by Lucas in 1876). This is *not* a design for  $\mathcal{P}^{(6)}(\mathbb{S}^3)$ , otherwise there would exist a cubature formula of strength 7 on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  of size 24, but such cubature formulas cannot have size less than 40 by Proposition 3.2.

Kempner’s identity provides a spherical 7-design in  $\mathbb{S}^3$  of size 48. There is in [HarSl–94] a cubature formula of strength 7 in  $\mathbb{S}^3$  which is of size 46, conjecturally the optimal size.

Schur’s identity is not optimal for cubatures formulas of strength 11 on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ . Indeed, the 120 vertices of a regular polytope of Schläfli symbol<sup>11</sup>  $\{3, 3, 5\}$  provide an antipodal spherical 11-design (compare with the lower bound 112 of Proposition 3.3 for 11-designs in  $\mathbb{S}^3$ ).

Any orbit in  $\mathbb{S}^3$  for the natural action of the Coxeter group  $H_4$  is a 11-design, and there exists a particular orbit of size 1440 which is indeed a 19-design. This construction is apparently due to Salihov (1975). See the end of Section 5 in [GoSe–81a], page 112 of [Rezni–92], as well as [HarPa–04].

**3.9. A digression on Waring problem.** Liouville used his identity (see 3.8) and Lagrange’s theorem on the representation of integers as sums of four squares to show the following claim:

*any positive integers is a sum of at most 53 biquadrates (= fourth powers).*

Here is a proof of the claim, using Lucas’ form (L) of Liouville’s identity. Since any positive number is (by Lagrange’s theorem) of the form  $6(N_1^2 + N_2^2 + N_3^2 + N_4^2) + r$  with  $N_1, \dots, N_4 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , it is enough to check that any number of the form  $6N^2$  is a sum of 12 biquadrates. Using Lagrange’s theorem again,  $N$  can be written as a sum  $n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2$  of four squares. If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^4$  denotes the vector of coordinates  $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4$ , we have  $N = \langle n \mid n \rangle$  and

$$6N^2 = 6\langle n \mid n \rangle^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4, \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} (n_i + \epsilon n_j)^4 = \text{sum of 12 fourth powers}$$

by (L), and this ends the proof.

Today, we know how to make this bound sharp, reducing it from 53 to 19 [BDD–86a/b], or even to 16 if a *finite number* of exceptions is allowed [Daven–39]. More precisely, there are exactly 96 numbers which are not sums of 16 biquadrates, and the maximum of them is 13 792 ; their list is shown in [DeHeL–00].

**3.10. Lattice cubature formulas.** Here is a variation on the construction of lattice designs described in Example 1.16.

<sup>11</sup>See [Coxet–73], page 153.

Consider a lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  which is even and unimodular. For an integer  $l \geq 0$  and a harmonic homogeneous polynomial function  $P \in \mathcal{H}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the **theta series** is defined by

$$\Theta_{\Lambda, P}(z) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} P(\lambda) q^{\frac{1}{2}\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle} = P(0) + \sum_{x \in \Lambda_2} P(x)q + \sum_{x \in \Lambda_4} P(x)q^2 + \dots$$

where  $q = e^{2i\pi z}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ , and  $\Lambda_{2j} = \{x \in \Lambda \mid \langle x | x \rangle = 2j\}$ . It is a modular form for  $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  of weight  $\frac{n}{2} + 2l$ , and a parabolic modular form if moreover  $l > 0$ . In particular, we have  $\Theta_{\Lambda, P} = 0$  whenever  $l > 0$  and  $\frac{n}{2} + 2l \leq 10$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 2l = 14$  (see Corollary 3.3 in [Ebeli-94]).

Suppose now that  $n = 8$ , so that  $\Lambda$  is a root lattice of type  $E_8$ . The previous considerations show that  $\Lambda_{2j}$  is a spherical 7-design and a design for  $\mathcal{H}^{(10)}(\mathbb{R}^8)$  for any  $j \geq 1$ . Moreover, for  $P \in \mathcal{H}^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}^8)$ , the parabolic modular form  $\Theta_{\Lambda, P}$  is necessarily a constant multiple of

$$\Delta_{24}(z) = q^2 \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2m})^{24} = q^2 - 24q^4 + 252q^6 - 1472q^8 + \dots \quad (q = e^{i\pi z}).$$

In particular,  $24 \sum_{x \in \Lambda_2} P(x) + \sum_{x \in \Lambda_4} P(x) = 0$ . Observe that  $P(x) = 2^4 P(x/\sqrt{2})$  for  $x \in \Lambda_2$  and  $P(x) = 2^8 P(x/2)$  for  $x \in \Lambda_4$ . It follows that

$$\frac{3}{2} \sum_{x \in \Lambda_2} P\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \sum_{x \in \Lambda_4} P\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = 0$$

for all  $P \in \mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^8)$  and  $1 \leq k \leq 11$ . In other words, the first two shells of  $\Lambda$  provide a cubature formula in  $\mathbb{S}^7$  of strength 11, with underlying set  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Lambda_2 \sqcup \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_4$ , of size

$$|\Lambda_2| + |\Lambda_4| = 240 + 2160 = 2400,$$

and with exactly two different weights, namely  $1/1680$  on  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Lambda_2$  and  $1/2520$  on  $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_4$ . This size compares favourably with the bounds of Proposition 3.6, which read here

$$2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}^8) = 1584 \leq N \leq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}^{(10)}(\mathbb{R}^8) - 2 = 38894.$$

A similar lower bound shows that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Lambda_2 \sqcup \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_4$  cannot enter a cubature formula of strength 13 on  $\mathbb{S}^7$ .

The previous construction indicates clearly a general procedure.

**3.11. On Gaussian designs.** Let  $l$  be an integer,  $l \geq 0$ , and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . On the one hand, it is classical that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle \alpha | x \rangle^{2l} d\sigma(x) = \frac{(2l-1)!!}{n(n+2)\cdots(n+2l-2)} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle^l$$

(notation:  $(2l-1)!! = \prod_{j=1}^l (2l-2j+1)$ ). Indeed, the left hand side is clearly a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $2l$  in  $\alpha$  which is invariant by the orthogonal group  $O(n)$ , and therefore a constant multiple of  $\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle^l$ . If we apply both sides the Laplacian with respect to  $\alpha$ ,

we find a recurrence relation for the constants, and this provides the result. On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \alpha | x \rangle^{2l} e^{-\|x\|^2} dx = \frac{(2l-1)!!}{2^l} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle^l$$

(calculus, using integration by parts in case  $n = 1$ ). It follows that

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{2^l}{n(n+2) \cdots (n+2l-2)} \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{-\|x\|^2} dx$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $l \geq 0$ . [See the footnote in Proposition 1.13.] Observe that, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(2l+1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , both integrals in (\*) vanish for symmetry reasons.

Let now  $X$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of size  $N$ . If  $X$  is a spherical  $t$ -design with  $t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the set

$$X_G = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} X$$

is a *Gaussian  $t$ -design*. Indeed, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in X_G} \varphi(x) = \frac{n}{2N} \sum_{x \in X} \varphi(x) = \frac{n}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{-\|x\|^2} dx$$

(we leave to the reader the verifications with  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ).

If  $X$  is now a spherical 5-design, set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(n - \sqrt{2n})} & \text{so that} & \quad \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2) = \frac{n}{2} \\ \rho_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(n + \sqrt{2n})} & & \quad \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1^4 + \rho_2^4) = \frac{n(n+2)}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$X_{GG} = \rho_1 X \sqcup \rho_2 X \quad (\text{disjoint union})$$

is a *Gaussian 5-design* of size  $2N$ . Indeed, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{x \in X_{GG}} \varphi(x) &= \frac{\rho_1^4}{2N} \sum_{x \in X} \varphi(x) + \frac{\rho_2^4}{2N} \sum_{x \in X} \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1^4 + \rho_2^4) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(x) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1^4 + \rho_2^4) \frac{4}{n(n+2)} \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{-\|x\|^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{-\|x\|^2} dx \end{aligned}$$

(where the last equality is a consequence of the values chosen for  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ ). Similarly

$$\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{x \in X_{GG}} \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{-\|x\|^2} dx$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We leave to the reader the verifications with  $\varphi$  a homogeneous polynomial of odd degree.

We end the present chapter with a proposition and a construction suggesting one more connection with another subject.

For an integer  $N \geq 1$  and a real number  $p \geq 1$ , we denote by  $\ell^p(N)$  the classical Banach space of dimension  $N$ , with underlying space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and norm  $\|x\|_p$ , where

$$\left\| (x_j)_{1 \leq j \leq N} \right\|_p = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} |x_j|^p}.$$

A linear mapping from a real vector space to  $\ell^p(N)$  is said to be **degenerate** if its image is in a hyperplane of equation  $x_j = 0$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . The next proposition is from [LyuVa–93], and  $c_{2l}$  is the constant of our Proposition 1.13.

**3.12. Proposition.** *Consider integers  $n \geq 2$ ,  $l \geq 2$ , and  $N \geq 1$ .*

(i) *To any cubature formula  $(X, W)$  of size  $N$  for  $\mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  corresponds a non-degenerate isometric embedding  $J_{X,W} : \ell^2(n) \rightarrow \ell^{2l}(N)$ .*

(ii) *Conversely, to any non-degenerate isometric embedding  $J : \ell^2(n) \rightarrow \ell^{2l}(N)$  corresponds a cubature formula of size  $N$  in  $\mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, W)$  be as in (i) and let  $(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(N)})$  be an enumeration of the points in  $X$ . The linear mapping  $J_{X,W} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  defined by its coordinates

$$J_{X,W}(u)_k = \left( \frac{W(x^{(k)})}{c_{2l}} \right)^{1/2l} \langle x^{(k)} | u \rangle, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N,$$

is an isometry  $\ell^2(n) \rightarrow \ell^{2l}(N)$  by the argument of Proposition 1.13. It is non-degenerate since  $X^\perp = \{0\}$ .

Let  $J : \ell^2(n) \rightarrow \ell^{2l}(N)$  be a non-degenerate isometry as in (ii). For each  $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , let  $y^{(k)}$  be the unique vector in  $\ell^2(n)$  such that  $J(u)_k = \langle y^{(k)} | u \rangle$  for all  $u \in \ell^2(n)$ ; observe that  $y^{(k)} \neq 0$ , by the non-degeneracy condition on  $N$ . Set  $x^{(k)} = y^{(k)} / \|y^{(k)}\|_2$  and  $W^{(k)} = c_{2l} \|y^{(k)}\|_2^{2l}$ . Then  $X = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(N)}\}$  is a  $N$ -subset of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the  $W^{(k)}$  define a weight  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , and  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula for  $\mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  by Proposition 1.13.  $\square$

## 4. Markov operators

Given a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and by  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  its *unitary group*, consisting of all unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Given a group  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$  the *group algebra* of all functions  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of finite support, with multiplication the convolution; we consider  $\Gamma$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ , by identifying  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  with the function of value 1 on  $\gamma$  and 0 elsewhere. A *unitary representation* of a group  $\Gamma$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a group homomorphism  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ ; it extends to a morphism of algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , denoted by  $\pi$  again, and defined by  $\pi(f) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma) \pi(\gamma)$ . Recall that the norm of a unitary operator is 1, so that  $\|\pi(f)\| \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma)|$ . Recall also that, if  $f(\gamma^{-1}) = \overline{f(\gamma)}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the operator  $\pi(f)$

is self-adjoint; in particular, the spectrum of  $\pi(f)$  is then a closed subset of the real interval  $[-\|\pi(f)\|, \|\pi(f)\|]$ .

Up to minor terminological changes, this holds for a *real* Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , its *orthogonal group*  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ , *orthogonal representations*  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ , and corresponding morphisms  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**4.1. Definition.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group,  $S$  a finite subset of  $\Gamma$ , and  $W : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  a weight function; set  $M_{S,W} = \sum_{s \in S} W(s)s \in \mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ . The **Markov operator** associated to the pair  $(S, W)$  and an orthogonal (or unitary) representation  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  is the operator

$$\pi(M_{S,W}) = \sum_{s \in S} W(s)\pi(s)$$

on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Markov operators (often with constant weights) play an important role in the study of random walks on Cayley graphs of groups [Keste–59], and more generally in connection with unitary representations of groups. See for example [BarGr–00], [BeVaZ–97], [GaJaS–99], [GriZu–01], [GriZu–02], [GriZu], [HaRV1–93], and [HaRV2–93].

Consider a sigma-finite measure space  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  and a group  $G$  acting on  $\Omega$  by measurable transformations preserving the measure class of  $\sigma$ . The corresponding unitary representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$  is defined by

$$(\rho(g)\varphi)(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{dg\sigma}{d\sigma}} \varphi(g^{-1}\omega)$$

for  $g \in G$ ,  $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ , and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , where  $\frac{dg\sigma}{d\sigma}$  denotes the appropriate Radon-Nikodym derivative. Given a mapping  $s : \Omega \rightarrow G$ ,  $\omega \mapsto s_\omega$ , a finite subset  $X$  of  $\Omega$ , and a weight function  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , we have an element  $M_{X,W} = \sum_{x \in X} W(x)s_x$  in the real group algebra of the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $s(X)$ . Given moreover a representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ , we have a Markov operator

$$\pi(M_{X,W}) = \sum_{x \in X} W(x)\pi(s_x) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi).$$

The particular case studied in [Pache–04] is that of the orthogonal group  $G = O(n)$  acting on the unit sphere  $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , with  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  one of the finite-dimensional spaces introduced in Example 1.11 above. In this case, the image of a point  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  by the mapping  $s$  is the orthogonal reflection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which fixes the hyperplane orthogonal to  $x$ .

**4.2. Proposition.** Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $l \geq 0$  be integers and let  $\pi^{(l)}$  denote the natural representation of the group  $O(n)$  in the space  $\mathcal{H}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree  $l$ . For each  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , let  $s_x \in O(n)$  denote the reflection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that fixes the hyperplane  $x^\perp$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $2l$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , then

$$\pi^{(l)}(M_{X,W}) = \frac{n-2}{2l+n-2} \text{id}^{(l)}$$

where  $\text{id}^{(l)}$  denotes the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}^{(l)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ .

*Proof, repeated from [Pache–04] (see also [HarVe–01]).* For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define the operator  $\tilde{s}_x$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\tilde{s}_x(u) = \langle x | x \rangle u - 2\langle x | u \rangle x$ . Note that  $\tilde{s}_x$  is selfadjoint, that  $\tilde{s}_{\lambda x} = \lambda^2 \tilde{s}_x$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and that  $\tilde{s}_x = s_x$  if  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

For  $k \geq 0$ , define the selfadjoint operator

$$\overline{M}^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \pi^{(k)}(s_\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

on  $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $g \in O(n)$ , we have  $s_{g(\omega)} = g s_\omega g^{-1}$ . Since the measure  $\sigma$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant and the representation  $\pi^{(k)}$  is irreducible, it follows from Schur's lemma that  $\overline{M}^{(k)}$  is a homothety. By a simple computation, we obtain the trace of  $\pi^{(k)}(s_\omega)$ , which is independent of  $\omega$ , and therefore the trace of  $\overline{M}^{(k)}$ . It follows that

$$(1) \quad \overline{M}^{(k)} = \frac{n-2}{2k+n-2} \text{id}^{(k)}$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ .

For  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , set

$$\Psi^{(k)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(u) = \langle \varphi_1 | \varphi_2 \circ \tilde{s}_u \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi_1(\omega) \varphi_2(\tilde{s}_u(\omega)) d\sigma(\omega).$$

It is easy to check that the function  $\Psi^{(k)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  is polynomial, homogeneous of degree  $2k$ , and depends symmetrically on  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ . Thus, we have a linear mapping

$$\Psi^{(k)} : \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(2k)}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \Psi^{(k)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) = \langle \varphi_1 | \overline{M}^{(k)}(\varphi_2) \rangle$ .

Consider now a non-empty finite subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , a weight  $W : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , and an integer  $l \geq 0$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \text{ in the image of } \Psi^{(l)}$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x) \langle \varphi_1 | \varphi_2 \circ s_x \rangle = \langle \varphi_1 | \overline{M}^{(l)}(\varphi_2) \rangle \quad \text{for all } \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

namely, by the equality  $\pi^{(l)}(M_{X,W})\varphi_2 = \sum_{x \in X} W(x) \varphi_2 \circ s_x$  defining  $\pi^{(l)}(M_{X,W})$  and by (1), if and only if

$$(3) \quad \pi^{(l)}(M_{X,W}) = \overline{M}^{(l)} = \frac{n-2}{2l+n-2} \text{id}^{(l)}.$$

If  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $2l$ , then (2) holds for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{(2l)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and a fortiori for all  $\varphi$  in the image of  $\Psi^{(l)}$ , so that the proposition is proved.  $\square$

It is remarkable that a converse holds for  $n > 2$ .

**4.3. Proposition.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $l \geq 0$  be integers and let  $\pi^{(l)}$  be as in the previous proposition. Let  $X$  be a non-empty antipodal finite subset of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and let  $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  be a symmetric weight.*

*If  $\pi^{(l)}(M_{X,W})$  is a homothety, then  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula of strength  $2l + 1$ .*

*Proof.* See [Pache–04].  $\square$

More generally, consider a Riemannian symmetric pair  $(G, H)$  where  $G$  is a compact Lie group acting on  $\Omega = G/H$ , and the  $G$ -invariant probability measure  $\sigma$  on  $\Omega$ . Let  $s = s^{\text{symm}} : \Omega \rightarrow G$  be the mapping which associates to a point  $x \in G/H$  the symmetry of  $\Omega$  fixing  $x$ . (For spheres, observe that  $s^{\text{symm}}(x)$  is *minus* the reflection  $s_x$  fixing  $x^\perp$ .) There is an orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega, \sigma) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V^\lambda$$

in irreducible  $G$ -spaces. For a finite subset  $X$  of  $\Omega$  and a weight function  $W$  on  $X$ , there is an analogue of Proposition 4.2 concerning spaces  $V^\lambda$  for which  $(X, W)$  is a cubature formula and for which the Markov operator  $\pi^{(\lambda)}(M_{X,W}) \in \text{End}(V^{(\lambda)})$  is a constant multiple of the identity [Pache, in preparation]. For designs in  $G/H$  a Grassmannian, see [BaCoN–02] and [BaBaC–04].

Consider a non-empty finite subset  $S$  of  $O(n)$  which is symmetric ( $S^{-1} = S$ ) and denote by  $\Gamma_S$  the subgroup of  $O(n)$  it generates.

**From now on, we assume that  $W(s) = |S|^{-1}$  for all  $s \in S$**

**and we set  $M_S = |S|^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \in \mathbb{R}[\Gamma_S]$ .**

Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 relate the spectra of Markov operators  $\pi^{(k)}(M_S)$  for some small values of  $k$  to the cubature properties of  $(X, W)$ . It happens that the spectra for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  of the operators  $\pi^{(k)}(M_S)$  are also important, as shown by Lubotzky, Phillips, and Sarnak [LuPhS–86, 87] (see also [ColdV–88]). We formulate the weak Observation 4.4 before the stronger Proposition 4.5.

Let  $\pi_0$  denote the natural representation of  $O(n)$  in the space  $L_0^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \sigma)$  of  $L^2$ -functions of zero average. The orthogonal sum  $L_0^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \sigma) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  provides an orthogonal decomposition  $\pi_0 = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi^{(k)}$  into irreducible subrepresentations (see, e.g., [SteWe–71]), so that

$$\|\pi_0(M_S)\| = \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\| \pi^{(k)}(M_S) \right\|.$$

**4.4. Observation.** *For any finite symmetric subset  $S$  of  $O(n)$ , we have*

$$1 \geq \|\pi_0(M_S)\| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|S|}}.$$

*Proof.* (Compare with Proposition 2.3.2 in [Sarna–90].) For all  $s \in S$ , we have  $\|\pi_0(s)\| = 1$ , since the representation  $\pi_0$  is orthogonal. The upper bound follows.

Choose  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that  $s(\omega) \neq t(\omega)$  for all  $s, t \in S \cup \{id\}$ ,  $s \neq t$ . There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\omega$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  such that  $s(U) \cap t(U) = \emptyset$  for all  $s, t \in S \cup \{id\}$ ,  $s \neq t$ , and a function  $\varphi \in L_0^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \sigma)$  of norm 1 supported in  $U$ . Since  $\|\pi_0(M_S)\varphi\|^2 = |S|^{-1}$ , we have  $\|\pi_0(M_S)\| \geq |S|^{-1/2}$ .  $\square$

**4.5. Proposition.** *Let  $S$  be a symmetric finite subset of  $O(3)$ . Then*

$$\|\pi_0(M_S)\| \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \pi^{(k)}(M_S) \right\| \geq \frac{2\sqrt{|S| - 1}}{|S|}.$$

The proofs of [LuPhS–86] and [ColdV–88] are written up for the case of a subset  $S$  of  $SO(n)$ . The present generalization to  $O(n)$  is rather straightforward. We isolate part of the proof in the following lemma

**4.6. Lemma.** *(i) Let  $g \in SO(3)$  be a rotation of angle  $\theta_g \in [0, \pi]$ . Then*

$$\text{trace} \left( \pi^{(k)}(g) \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin[(2k+1)\theta_g/2]}{\sin[\theta_g/2]} & \text{if } \theta_g \neq 0 \\ 2k+1 & \text{if } \theta_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

*(ii) Let  $g \in O(3)$  have eigenvalues  $\exp(\pm i\theta_g)$  and  $-1$ . Then*

$$\text{trace} \left( \pi^{(k)}(g) \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\cos[(2k+1)\theta_g/2]}{\cos[\theta_g/2]} & \text{if } \theta_g \neq \pi \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } \theta_g = \pi. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* (i) The eigenvalues of  $g \in SO(3)$  are  $\exp(\pm i\theta_g)$  and 1. The space  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  has a linear basis of eigenvectors of the transformation induced by  $g$  of the form

$$\{x^a y^b z^{k-a-b} \mid a, b \geq 0 \text{ and } a + b \leq k\}.$$

For  $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ , the trace of the linear endomorphism defined by  $g$  on the linear span of  $\{x^a y^b z^{k-a-b} \mid a, b \geq 0 \text{ and } a + b = j\}$  is

$$\sigma_j(g) = e^{ij\theta_g} + e^{i(j-2)\theta_g} + \dots + e^{-ij\theta_g} = \frac{\sin[(j+1)\theta_g]}{\sin[\theta_g]}$$

so that the trace of the linear endomorphism defined by  $g$  on  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  is  $\sum_{j=0}^k \sigma_j(\theta_g)$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^2) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0, k-2j \geq 0} \mathcal{H}^{(k-2j)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ , we have  $\text{trace} \left( \pi^{(k)}(g) \right) = \sigma_k(\theta_g) + \sigma_{k-1}(\theta_g)$  and the formula of (i) follows.

(ii) Similarly, for  $g \in O(3)$ ,  $g \notin SO(3)$ , the trace of the linear endomorphism defined by  $g$  on  $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  is  $\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \sigma_j(\theta_g)$  so that

$$\text{trace} \left( \pi^{(k)}(g) \right) = \sigma_k(\theta_g) - \sigma_{k-1}(\theta_g).$$

(The *only* part of the proof which is not explicitly in [LuPhS–86] is (ii).)  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.5. Step one.* Recall that  $\Gamma_S$  is the subgroup of  $O(3)$  generated by  $S$ . For each integer  $N \geq 0$ , let  $W_N$  denote the number of words<sup>12</sup> in letters of  $S$ , of

<sup>12</sup>Words which need not be reduced in any sense.

length  $N$ . To each word  $w \in W_N$  corresponds naturally an orthogonal transformation  $g = g(w) \in \Gamma_S \subset O(3)$ . We have  $(M_S)^N = |S|^{-N} \sum_{w \in W_N} g(w)$  and therefore

$$|S|^N \operatorname{trace} \left( \pi^{(k)}((M_S)^N) \right) = \sum_{\substack{w \in W_N \\ g(w) \in SO(3)}} \frac{\sin[(2k+1)\theta_g/2]}{\sin[\theta_g/2]} + \sum_{\substack{w \in W_N \\ g(w) \notin SO(3)}} \frac{\cos[(2k+1)\theta_g/2]}{\cos[\theta_g/2]}$$

by the previous lemma.

Let  $m_N$  denote the quotient by  $|S|^N$  of the number of words  $w \in W_N$  such that  $g(w) = 1 \in O(3)$ . We have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2k+1} \operatorname{trace} \left( \pi^{(k)}((M_S)^N) \right) = m_N$$

for each  $N \geq 0$ . (This is Theorem 1.1 in [LuPhS-86]; observe however the change in notation:  $m_N$  there is  $|S|^N$  times what  $m_N$  is here and in [Keste-59].)

*Step two.* Consider the Cayley graph<sup>13</sup>  $\operatorname{Cay}_S$  of  $\Gamma_S$  with respect to  $S$ , the left regular representation  $\lambda_S$  of  $\Gamma_S$ , the corresponding Markov operator  $\lambda_S(M_S)$ , and its spectral measure  $\mu_S$ . Kesten has shown that

$$m_N = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^N d\mu_S(t) \text{ for all } N \geq 0,$$

$$\|\lambda_S(M_S)\| = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[N]{m_N},$$

$$\|\lambda_S(M_S)\| \geq 2\sqrt{|S|-1}/|S| \text{ with equality if and only if } \operatorname{Cay}_S \text{ is a tree.}$$

See [Keste-59].

*Step three.* For each  $k \geq 0$ , let  $\mu_{S,k}$  denote the quotient by  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^2) = 2k+1$  of the spectral measure of  $\pi^{(k)}(M_S)$ ; this can be written

$$\mu_{S,k} = \frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{2k+1} \delta(\lambda_{k,j})$$

where  $\delta(\lambda)$  denotes a Dirac measure of support  $\lambda$  and where  $(\lambda_{k,j})_{1 \leq j \leq 2k+1}$  are the eigenvalues of the endomorphism  $\pi^{(k)}(M_S)$  of  $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ . Let  $N \geq 0$ ; from the definition of  $\mu_{S,k}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2k+1} \operatorname{trace} \left( \pi^{(k)}((M_S)^N) \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^N d\mu_{S,k};$$

from the two previous steps, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2k+1} \operatorname{trace} \left( \pi^{(k)}((M_S)^N) \right) = m_N = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^N d\mu_S;$$

it follows that the sequence of measures  $(\mu_{S,k})_{k \geq 1}$  (all of mass at most 1 since  $\|\pi^{(k)}(M_S)\| \leq 1$  and  $\|\lambda_S(M_S)\| \leq 1$ ) converges vaguely to  $\mu_S$ , and in particular that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \pi^{(k)}(M_S) \right\| \geq \frac{2\sqrt{|S|-1}}{|S|}.$$

□

<sup>13</sup>Two elements  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_S$  viewed as vertices of  $\operatorname{Cay}_S$  are joined by an edge if  $\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2 \in S$ . We assume that  $1 \notin S$ , so that the Cayley graph is simple (= without loops), of degree  $|S|$ .

**4.7. Theorem (Lubotzky-Phillips-Sarnak).** *For each prime  $p$ , there exists a subset  $S_0$  of  $SO(3)$  of  $p+1$  elements such that, if  $S = S_0 \cup (S_0)^{-1}$ ,*

$$\left\| \pi^{(k)}(M_S) \right\| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{|S|-1}}{|S|} = \frac{\sqrt{2p+1}}{p+1}$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ .

**4.8. Open problem.** It is a natural problem, for each  $l \geq 0$ , to look for a finite set  $S \subset O(3)$  of reflections (depending on  $l$ ) such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$(*) \quad \pi^{(k)}(M_S) = \frac{1}{2k+1} \text{id}^{(k)} \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$$

(see Propositions 4.2 and 4.3),

$$(**) \quad \left\| \pi^{(k)}(M_S) \right\| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{|S|-1}}{|S|} \quad \text{for } k \text{ large enough}$$

(see Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 4.7), and  $|S|$  as small as possible.

Observe that (\*) is a way to write that all eigenvalues of  $\pi^{(k)}(M_S)$  are equal to  $1/(2k+1)$ , for  $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , and that (\*\*) is a bound on the eigenvalues of  $\pi^{(k)}(M_S)$ , for large values of  $k$ .

## 5. Reflection groups

Let  $V$  be a Euclidean space. The *reflection*  $s_x \in O(V)$  associated to  $x \in V$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , is defined as above by

$$s_x(y) = y - 2 \frac{\langle x | y \rangle}{\langle x | x \rangle} x \quad \text{for all } y \in V.$$

Observe that  $s_{x'} = s_x$  if and only if  $\mathbb{R}x' = \mathbb{R}x$ . In this chapter, a **reflection group** is a subgroup of  $O(V)$  generated by a finite set of reflections; it *need not* act properly on  $V$ , contrarily to what is assumed most often in [Bourb-68]. Thus, any finite subset  $X$  of  $V \setminus \{0\}$  defines a reflection group  $\Gamma_X \subset O(V)$  generated by  $(s_x)_{x \in X}$ .

In particular, let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $V$ . We assume that  $\Lambda$  is integral, so that  $\Lambda$  is the disjoint union of the origin and of its non-empty shells  $\Lambda_m$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , as defined in Item 1.15. Let  $\Gamma_{\Lambda, m}$  denote the reflection group generated by  $\{s_x \mid x \in \Lambda_m\}$ . For example, if  $\Lambda$  is a root lattice (namely an even lattice  $\Lambda$  generated by  $\Lambda_2$ ), then  $\Gamma_{\Lambda, 2}$  is a finite group, and indeed a direct product of Coxeter groups of types  $A_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ),  $D_n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ), and  $E_n$  ( $n = 6, 7, 8$ ); see for example [Ebeli-94]. In most cases however,  $\Gamma_{\Lambda, m}$  is an infinite group.

Let us specialize to  $m$  a power of 2. The scalar product on  $V$  defines a symmetric  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form on  $\Lambda$  which extends to a symmetric  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -bilinear form

$$\beta : (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \times (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/2].$$

We denote by  $O(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  the orthogonal group of  $\beta$ . Observe that  $\Gamma_{\Lambda, m} \subset O(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ .

In case of the cubical lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we write  $O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  rather than  $O(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ . Several lattices  $\Lambda$  define the same group  $O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ ; a sufficient condition for the group

$O(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  to be isomorphic to  $O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  is that  $2^k \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \Lambda \subset 2^{-l} \mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $k, l \geq 0$ . In particular, if  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^8$  is a root lattice of type  $E_8$ , then  $O(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) = O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ , since  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^8$  have a common sublattice of index 2 (which is a root lattice of type  $D_8$ ). Here are some properties of the group  $O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ :

- (o) it is generated by reflections for any  $n$ ;
- (i) it is infinite if and only if  $n \geq 5$ ;
- (ii) it is naturally<sup>14</sup> a discrete cocompact subgroups of the 2-adic group  $O(n, \mathbb{Q}_2)$ ;
- (iii) it is finitely presented;
- (iv) it is virtually torsion free, and more precisely  $\text{Ker}(O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \rightarrow O(n, \mathbb{Z}/3))$  is torsion free;
- (v) it has finite-type homology—more precisely, for any Noetherian ring  $A$  and any  $i \geq 0$ , the  $A$ -module  $H_i(O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), A)$  is finitely generated;
- (vi) it is of virtual cohomological dimension  $\frac{1}{2}(n - \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |n - 8m|)$ , and the group  $H_i(O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), \mathbb{Q})$  is zero in dimensions neither 0 and nor maximal;
- (vii) the natural inclusion of  $O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  into the compact orthogonal group  $O(n)$  has dense image if and only if the group  $O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  is infinite.

Several of these properties are straightforward consequences of (ii). Property (vii) follows from a strong approximation theorem due to Kneser [Knese–66]. For much more on these groups, see [Colli].

The next proposition shows a remarkable generating set of  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ ; it is an unpublished result of B. Venkov.

**5.1. Proposition (B. Venkov).** *Let  $L$  be a root lattice of type  $E_8$ . Then the group  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  is generated by  $\Gamma_{L,4}$  and a reflection with respect to one root, and also by the finite Weyl group  $\Gamma_{L,2}$  of type  $E_8$  and a reflection with respect to one element of  $L_4$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of even unimodular lattices  $M$  in  $V$  which are such that  $M \cap L$  is of index a power of 2 in both  $L$  and  $M$  (the same power since  $L$  and  $M$  are both unimodular). Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a metric space for the distance  $\delta$  defined by

$$\delta(M, N) = \log_2([M : M \cap N]) = \log_2([N : M \cap N]).$$

It is a theorem of Kneser [Knese–57, page 242] that  $\mathcal{L}$  is connected by steps of length one; more precisely, given  $M, N \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $d = \delta(M, N)$ , there exists a sequence  $M = M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(d)} = N$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\delta(M^{(j-1)}, M^{(j)}) = 1$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

Set  $G = O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ ; this group operates naturally on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Here is the synopsis of the proof: for  $g \in G$ , set  $M = g(L) \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $d = \delta(L, M)$ ; we show by induction on  $d$  that  $g$  is a product of appropriate reflections. More precisely, for  $M^{(0)} = L, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(d)} = M$  as above, we check that  $M^{(j)} = s_x(M^{(j-1)})$  for some  $x \in M^{(j-1)}$  with  $\langle x | x \rangle = 4$ .

*Step one:*  $\langle \Gamma_{L,2}, \Gamma_{L,4} \rangle = \langle s_r, \Gamma_{L,4} \rangle = \langle \Gamma_{L,2}, s_x \rangle$  for any  $r \in L_2$  and  $x \in L_4$ .

(For a subset  $S \subset O(V)$ , we denote by  $\langle S \rangle$  the subgroup of  $O(V)$  generated by  $S$ .)

---

<sup>14</sup>More generally, if  $\mathbb{P}$  is a finite set of distinct primes and if  $N$  denotes their product,  $O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/N])$  is naturally a discrete cocompact subgroup of the product of  $O(n)$  with  $\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} O(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and therefore also a discrete cocompact subgroup of  $\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} O(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . It follows that  $O(n, \mathbb{Z}[1/N])$  is finitely presented [Serre–71].

It is known that the group of all automorphisms of  $L$  coincides with the group  $\Gamma_{L,2}$  generated by the symmetries with respect to the roots. For  $g \in \text{Aut}(L)$  and  $x \in L$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , we have

$$(*) \quad s_{g(x)} = gs_xg^{-1}.$$

As  $\text{Aut}(L)$  acts transitively on  $L_4$ , we have  $\langle \Gamma_{L,2}, \Gamma_{L,4} \rangle = \langle \Gamma_{L,2}, s_x \rangle$  for any  $x \in L_4$ . (It is known that, more generally,  $\text{Aut}(L)$  acts transitively on each of  $L_2, L_4, L_6$ , the complement of  $2L_2$  in  $L_8, L_{10}$ , and  $L_{12}$ ; see page 122 of [ConSI–99].)

Relations  $(*)$  show that  $\Gamma_{L,2} \cap \Gamma_{L,4}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma_{L,2}$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_8$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V$  such that  $r = e_1 + e_2$  and  $r' = e_1 - e_2$  are in  $L_2$  (see page 268 of [Bourb–68]); then  $x = 2e_1$  and  $x' = 2e_2$  are in  $L_4$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $\text{id}_V \neq s_r s_{r'} = s_x s_{x'} \in \Gamma_{L,2} \cap \Gamma_{L,4}$ . As  $\Gamma_{L,2}$  is almost simple (by Exercise 2 of § VI.4 in [Bourb–68]), it follows that  $\Gamma_{L,2} \cap \Gamma_{L,4}$  is a subgroup of index<sup>15</sup> at most 2 in  $\Gamma_{L,2}$ , so that  $\Gamma_{L,2}$  is generated by  $\Gamma_{L,2} \cap \Gamma_{L,4}$  and  $s_r$  for any  $r \in L_2$ . A fortiori  $\langle \Gamma_{L,2}, \Gamma_{L,4} \rangle = \langle s_r, \Gamma_{L,4} \rangle$  for any  $r \in L_2$ .

*Step two: a reminder on neighbours.*

For this step,  $V$  can be a Euclidean space of any dimension  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and  $M$  any even unimodular lattice in  $V$ . We view  $\overline{M} = M/2M$  as a vector space of dimension  $n$  over the prime field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . There is a nondegenerate quadratic form  $q : \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  defined by  $q(\overline{z}) = \frac{1}{2}\langle z | z \rangle \pmod{2}$  for  $z \in M$  representing  $\overline{z} \in \overline{M}$ . For each  $z \in M$  such that  $z \notin 2M$  and  $\langle z | z \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , set

$$M_z = \{m \in M \mid \langle m | z \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\} \quad \text{and} \quad M^z = M_z \sqcup \left(\frac{1}{2}z + M_z\right).$$

Then  $M^z$  is an integral unimodular lattice in  $V$ , and  $M \cap M^z$  is of index 2 in both  $M$  and  $M^z$ . Moreover:

- (i)  $M^z$  is even if  $\langle z | z \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and odd if  $\langle z | z \rangle \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ ;
- (ii) for two  $z, z'$  in  $M$ , not in  $2M$ , with  $\langle z | z \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $\langle z' | z' \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $M^z = M^{z'}$  if and only if  $z' - z \in 2M$  and  $\langle z | z \rangle \equiv \langle z' | z' \rangle \pmod{8}$ ;
- (iii) any integral unimodular lattice  $M'$  in  $V$  such that  $M \cap M'$  is of index 2 in both  $M$  and  $M'$  appears as one of the lattices  $M^z$  ( $z \in M$ ,  $z \notin 2M$ ,  $\langle z | z \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ).

(For the analogous facts concerning an odd lattice  $M$ , see [Venkov–79]).

*Step three: short representatives in  $M$  of non-zero isotropic classes in  $\overline{M}$ .*

Let  $V$  be again of dimension 8, so that two even unimodular lattices in  $V$  are always isomorphic, and let  $M$  be such a lattice. The  $2^8$  elements of  $\overline{M}$  splits as

- (a) the origin,
- (b) 120 elements represented by pairs  $\pm r$  of roots, which are nonisotropic for  $q$ ,
- (c) 135 nonzero isotropic elements.

Let  $\psi : M_4 \rightarrow \overline{M}$  be the restriction to  $M_4$  of the canonical projection. We claim that each fiber of  $\psi$  has at most 16 elements. Indeed, for  $x_1, x_2 \in M_4$  such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $\overline{x_1} = \overline{x_2}$ , there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $x_2 - x_1 = 2m \neq 0$  and, upon changing  $x_2$  to  $-x_2$  if necessary,  $\langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle \geq 0$ . Then

- (i)  $\langle x_2 - x_1 | x_2 - x_1 \rangle = 4 + 4 - 2\langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle \leq 8$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle x_2 - x_1 | x_2 - x_1 \rangle = 4\langle m | m \rangle \geq 8$ ,

<sup>15</sup>We do not know if  $\Gamma_{L,2} \cap \Gamma_{L,4}$  is the whole of  $\Gamma_{L,2}$  or if it is the subgroup of elements which are products of even numbers of reflections.

so that  $\langle x_2 - x_1 \mid x_1 - x_2 \rangle = 8$  and  $x_1 \perp x_2$ . The claim follows.

Since  $M_4$  has exactly  $2160 = 135 \times 16$  elements and since the image of  $\psi$  is *a priori* inside the set of 135 nonzero isotropic elements of  $\overline{M}$ , it follows that  $\psi$  is onto this set.

*Step four:* Let  $M, M' \subset V \approx \mathbb{R}^8$  be two even unimodular lattices such that  $M \cap M'$  is of index 2 in both  $M$  and  $M'$ . Then there exists  $x \in M_4$  such that  $M' = s_x(M)$ .

Choose a nonzero isotropic element  $\bar{z} \in \overline{M}$  represented by an element  $x \in M_4$  (see Step three). Since  $M$  is unimodular, there exists a root  $r \in M_2$  such that  $\langle r \mid x \rangle = 1$ . The element  $z = x - 2r$  is also a representative of  $\bar{z}$ , and

$$\langle z \mid z \rangle = \langle x \mid x \rangle - 4\langle r \mid x \rangle + 4\langle r \mid r \rangle = 4 - 4 + 8 = 8.$$

Thus  $M^z$  is the even neighbour  $M'$  of  $M$  (see Step two).

To conclude the proof of Step four, we have to check that  $s_x(M) = M^z$ . For  $m \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_x(m) &= m - \frac{1}{2}\langle z + 2r \mid m \rangle(z + 2r) \\ &= m - \frac{1}{2}\langle z \mid m \rangle z - \langle z \mid m \rangle r - \langle r \mid m \rangle z - 2\langle r \mid m \rangle r \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle z \mid s_x(m) \rangle \equiv \langle z \mid m \rangle - \langle z \mid m \rangle \langle z \mid r \rangle \pmod{2}.$$

If  $\langle z \mid m \rangle$  is even, namely if  $m \in M_z$ , these formulas show that  $s_x(m) \in M_z$ , and consequently that  $s_x(m) \in M^z$ . If  $\langle z \mid m \rangle$  is odd, namely if  $m \in M \setminus M_z$ , they show that  $s_x(m) - \frac{1}{2}z \in M_z$ , and we have again  $s_x(m) \in M^z$ . Thus  $s_x(M) \subset M^z$ . Since  $s_x(M)$  and  $M^z$  are both unimodular, this shows that  $s_x(M) = M^z$ .

[Conversely, for any  $x \in M_4$ , we have  $\delta(M, s_x(M)) = 1$ .]

*End of proof of Proposition 5.1.*

Consider, as in the beginning of this proof, an element  $g \in O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ , the lattice  $M = g(L) \in \mathcal{L}$  at distance  $d$  from  $L$ , and a sequence  $(M^{(j)})_{0 \leq j \leq d}$  such that  $M^{(0)} = L$ ,  $M^{(d)} = M$ , and  $M^{(j-1)} \cap M^{(j)}$  of index 2 in both  $M^{(j-1)}$  and  $M^{(j)}$ . The previous steps show that there exists for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  an element  $x_j \in (M^{(j-1)})_4$  such that  $s_{x_j}(M^{(j-1)}) = M^{(j)}$ . Set  $g_j = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} s_{x_i}$ . Since  $g^{-1}g_d$  is in  $\text{Aut}(L)$ , and in particular in  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ , by Step one, it is enough to show that  $g_d \in O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ . We claim that  $g_j \in O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , and we prove the claim by induction on  $j$ .

The claim is clear for  $j = 1$ . Assume it holds for some value of  $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ , and let us check it for  $j+1$ . Let  $y_j \in L$  be the element such that  $g_j(y_j) = x_j \in M^{(j)}$ . Then  $g_{j+1} = s_{x_j}g_j = g_j s_{y_j} g_j^{-1} g_j$  is indeed in  $G$  since  $g_j \in G$  and  $s_{y_j} \in \Gamma_{L,4}$ . This shows that the claim holds for  $j+1$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Corollary.** *Let  $L$  be a root lattice of type  $E_8$ . Then the subgroup  $SO(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  of elements of determinant +1 in  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  is generated by the products  $s_x s_{x'}$ , where  $x, x'$  are in the shell  $L_4$  of  $L$ .*

**5.3. A set of 8 generators for  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ .** Let  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_8)$  denote the canonical

orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . As in [Bourb–68], set

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_8) - \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_7) \\ \alpha_2 &= \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \\ \alpha_j &= \epsilon_{j-1} - \epsilon_{j-2} \quad (j = 3, \dots, 8)\end{aligned}$$

so that  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8\}$  is a basis of a root system of type  $E_8$ , and therefore also a basis of a root lattice  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^8$  of type  $E_8$ . Let  $s_1, \dots, s_8$  denote the reflections associated to  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(L)$  has a Coxeter presentation with generators  $s_1, \dots, s_8$  and relations of the familiar form  $(s_i s_j)^{m_{i,j}} = 1$ .

Consider the vector  $2\epsilon_2 \in L_4$  and the corresponding reflection  $\tilde{s}_2 : x \mapsto x - \langle x | \epsilon_2 \rangle \epsilon_2$ . A simple computation shows that the conjugation by  $\tilde{s}_2$  exchanges  $s_2$  with  $s_3$  and leaves  $s_j$  invariant for  $j = 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$ . It follows from Proposition 5.1 that  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  has a generating set obtained from that of  $\text{Aut}(L)$  by replacing  $s_2$  by  $\tilde{s}_2$ . Moreover, the order  $\tilde{m}_{2,j}$  of  $\tilde{s}_2 s_j$  in  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  is equal to

$$\begin{array}{lll} \infty & \text{for} & j = 1 \\ 4 & \text{for} & j = 3, 4 \\ 3 & \text{for} & j = 5, 6, 7, 8. \end{array}$$

Thus, for the generators of  $O(8, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  described here, the orders  $\tilde{m}_{i,j}$  of the products of two generators coincide with the corresponding orders  $m_{i,j}$  for the Coxeter generators of  $\text{Aut}(L)$ , this for all but three pairs of indices, namely for all but  $(2, 1)$ ,  $(2, 3)$ , and  $(2, 4)$ .

## REFERENCES

- Appel–90. P. Appell, *Sur une classe de polynômes à deux variables et le calcul approché des intégrales doubles*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse **4** (1890), H1–H20.
- Arias–88. J. Arias de Reyna, *A generalized mean-value theorem*, Mh. Math. **106** (1988), 95–97.
- Arons–50. N. Aronszajn, *Theory of reproducing kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 337–404.
- Baba1. E. Bannai and E. Bannai, *On Euclidean tight 4-designs*, Preprint.
- Baba2. E. Bannai and E. Bannai, *Tight Gaussian 4-designs*, Preprint.
- BaBaC–04. C. Bachoc, E. Bannai, and R. Coulangeon, *Codes and designs in Grassmannian spaces*, Discrete Math. (2004), 15–28.
- Bache–97. R. Bacher, *Tables de réseaux entiers unimodulaires construits comme  $k$ -voisins de  $\mathbb{Z}^n$* , J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **9** (1997), 479–497.
- BaCoN–02. C. Bachoc, R. Coulangeon, and G. Nebe, *Designs in Grassmannian spaces and lattices*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **16** (2002), 5–19.
- BacVe–01. C. Bachoc and B. Venkov, *Modular forms, lattices and spherical designs*, in “Réseaux euclidiens, designs sphériques et formes modulaires”, Monographie de l’Enseignement mathématique **37** (2001), 87–111.
- Bajn–91a. B. Bajnok, *Construction of designs on the 2-sphere*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **12** (1991), 377–382.
- Bajn–91b. B. Bajnok, *Chebyshev-type quadrature formulas on the sphere*, Congr. Numer. **85** (1991), 214–218.
- Bajno–92. B. Bajnok, *Construction of spherical  $t$ -designs*, Geom. Dedicata **43** (1992), 167–179.
- Bajno–98. B. Bajnok, *Construction of spherical 3-designs*, Graphs and Combinatorics **14** (1998), 97–107.
- Ball–97. K. Ball, *An elementary introduction fo modern convex geometry*, in “Flavors of geometry”, MSRI Publications, Silvio Levy Editor, Cambridge Univ. Press (1997), 1–58.

- BaMuV-04. E. Bannai, A. Munemasa, and B. Venkov, *The nonexistence of certain tight spherical designs*, Algebra i Analys **16:4** (2004).
- Banna-79. E. Bannai, *On some spherical  $t$ -designs*, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A **26** (1979), 157–161.
- BarGr-00. L. Bartholdi and R. Grigorchuk, *On the spectrum of Hecke operators related to some fractal groups*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **231** (2000), 1–41.
- BDD-86a. R. Balasubramanian, J.-M. Deshouillers, and F. Dress, *Problème de Waring pour les bicarrés. I. Schéma de la solution*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math **303** (1986), 85–88.
- BDD-86b. R. Balasubramanian, J.-M. Deshouillers, and F. Dress, *Problème de Waring pour les bicarrés. II. Résultats auxiliaires pour le théorème asymptotique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math **303** (1986), 161–163.
- BeHaG-03. M. Bekka and P. de la Harpe (Appendix with R. Grigorchuk), *Irreducibility of unitary group representations and reproducing kernels Hilbert spaces*, Expo. Math. **21** (2003), 115–149.
- Berge-78. M. Berger, *Géométrie, volume 3*, Cedic / Fernand Nathan, 1978.
- BeVaZ-97. C. Béguin, A. Valette and A. Zuk, *On the spectrum of a random walk on the discrete Heisenberg group and the norm of Harper’s operator*, J. Geometry and Physics **21** (1997), 337–356.
- Bourb-68. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chapitres 4, 5 et 6*, Hermann, 1968.
- ColdV-88. Y. Colin de Verdière, *Distribution de points sur une sphère*, Séminaire Bourbaki 703 (1988), Astérisque **177–178** (1989), 83–93.
- Colli-02. G. Collinet, *Quelques propriétés homologiques du groupe  $O_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$* , Thèse (2002).
- ConSl-99. J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, Third Edition, Springer, 1999.
- Coxet-62. H.S.M. Coxeter, *The problem of packing a number of equal nonoverlapping circles on a sphere*, Trans. N.Y. Acad. Sci. **24** (1962), 320–331.
- Coxet-73. H.S.M. Coxeter, *Regular polytopes, third edition*, Dover, 1973.
- CroMi-84. M. Crouzeix and A.L. Mignot, *Analyse numérique des équations différentielles*, Masson, 1984.
- Daven-39. H. Davenport, *On Waring’s problem for fourth powers*, Annals of Math. (2) **40** (1939), 731–747 [= Collected Works, Volume III, 946–962].
- DavRa-84. P.J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of numerical integration*, Second edition, Academic Press, 1984.
- Delsa-78. P. Delsarte, *Hahn polynomials, discrete harmonics, and  $t$ -designs*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **34** (1978), 157–166.
- DeGoS-77. P. Delsarte, J.M. Goethals and J.J. Seidel, *Spherical codes and designs*, Geometriae Dedicata **6** (1977), 363–388.
- DeHeL-00. J.-M. Deshouillers, F. Hennecart, and B. Landreau, *Waring’s problem for sixteen biquadrates – numerical results*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **12** (2000), 411–422 [see also MathReviews 2002b:11133 by Koichi Kawada].
- DelSe-89. P. Delsarte and J.J. Seidel, *Fisher type inequalities for Euclidean  $t$ -designs*, Linear Algebra and its Appl. **114/115** (1989), 213–230.
- Dicks-19. L.E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers, Vol. II*, Carnegie Institution of Washington, 1919 [reprinted by Cehlse, 1966].
- DunXu-01. C.F. Dunkl and Y. Xu, *Orthogonal polynomials of several variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001.
- Ebeli-94. W. Ebeling, *Lattices and codes. A course partially based on lectures by F. Hirzebruch*, Vieweg, 1994.
- Eggle-58. H.G. Eggleston, *Convexity*, Cambridge University Press, 1958.
- Ellis-71. W.J. Ellison, *Waring’s problem*, American Monthly **78**<sup>1</sup> (1971), 10–36.
- EriZi-01. T. Ericson and V. Zinoviev, *Codes on Euclidean spheres*, Elsevier, 2001.
- Fejes-64. I. Fejes Tóth, *Regular figures*, Pergamon Press, 1964.
- GaJaS-99. A. Gambard, D. Jakobson and P. Sarnak, *Spectra of elements in the group ring of  $SU(2)$* , J. Eur. Math. Soc. **1** (1999), 51–85.
- Gauts-76. W. Gautschi, *Advances in Chebyshev quadrature*, in “Numerical analysis, Dundee 1975”, Lecture Notes in Math. **506** (1976), 100–121.
- Gauts-97. W. Gautschi, *Numerical analysis, an introduction*, Birkhäuser, 1997.
- Godsi-93. C.D. Godsil, *Algebraic combinatorics*, Chapman & Hall, 1993.
- GoeSe-79. J.M. Goethals and J.J. Seidel, *Spherical designs*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. A.M.S. **34** (1979), 255–272.

- GoSe–81a. J.M. Goethals and J.J. Seidel, *Cubature formulae, polytopes, and spherical designs*, in “The geometric vein, the Coxeter Festschrift”, Springer (1981), 203–218.
- GoSe–81b. J.M. Goethals and J.J. Seidel, *The football*, Nieuw. Arch. Wiskunde **29** (1981), 50–58.
- GriZu–01. R. Grigorchuk and A. Zuk, *The lamplighter group as a group generated by a 2-state automaton, and its spectrum*, Geom. Dedicata **87** (2001), 209–244.
- GriZu–02. R. Grigorchuk and A. Zuk, *Spectral properties of a torsion-free weakly branch group defined by a three state automaton*, in “Computational and statistical group theory”, Contemp. Math. **298** (Amer. Math. Soc. 2002), 57–82.
- GriZu. R. Grigorchuk and A. Zuk, *The Ihara zeta function of infinite graphs, the KNS spectral measure and integral maps*, Preprint.
- HarSl–92. R.H. Hardin and N.J.A. Sloane, *New spherical 4-designs*, Discrete Math. **106/107** (1992), 255–264.
- HarSl–94. R.H. Hardin and N.J.A. Sloane, *Expressing  $(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)^3$  as a sum of 23 sixth powers*, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A **68** (1994), 481–485.
- HarSl–96. R.H. Hardin and N.J.A. Sloane, *McLaren’s improved snub cube and other new spherical designs in three dimensions*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **15** (1996), 429–441.
- HarPa–04. P. de la Harpe and C. Pache, *Spherical designs and finite group representations (some results of E. Bannai)*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **25** (Issue 2, in memory of Jaap Seidel, 2004), 213–227.
- HaRV1–93. P. de la Harpe, G. Robertson and A. Valette, *On the spectrum of the sum of generators for a finitely generated group*, Israel J. Math. **81** (1993), 65–96.
- HaRV2–93. P. de la Harpe, G. Robertson and A. Valette, *On the spectrum of the sum of generators for a finitely generated group, part II*, Colloquium Math. **65** (1993), 87–102.
- HarVe–01. P. de la Harpe and B. Venkov, *Groupes engendrés par des réflexions, designs sphériques et réseau de Leech*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris **333** (2001), 745–750.
- Hilbe–09. D. Hilbert, *Beweis für die Darstellbarkeit der ganzen Zahlen durch eine feste Anzahl  $n^{\text{ter}}$  Potenzen (Waringsches problem)*, Math. Annalen **67** (1909), 281–300.
- Hurwi–08. A. Hurwitz, *Über die Darstellung der ganzen Zahlen als Summen von  $n^{\text{ten}}$  Potenzen ganzer Zahlen*, Math. Annalen **65** (1908), 424–427.
- Keste–59. H. Kesten, *Symmetric random walks on groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1959), 336–354.
- Knese–57. M. Kneser, *Klassenzahlen definiter quadratischer Formen*, Archiv der Mathematik **8** (1957), 241–250.
- Knese–68. M. Kneser, *Strong approximation*, in “Algebraic groups and discontinuous subgroups”, A. Borel and G.D. Mostow Editors, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **9** (Amer. Math. Soc. 1968), 187–196.
- Korev–94. J. Korevaar (Notes by A.B.J. Kuijlaars), *Chebyshev-type quadratures: use of complex analysis and potential theory*, in “Complex Potential Theory, P.M. Gauthier and G. Sabidussi Editors, Kluwer Academic Publ., NATO ASI Series **439** (1994), 325–364.
- KorMe–93. J. Korevaar and J.L.H. Meyers, *Spherical Faraday cage for the case of equal point charges and Chebyshev-type quadrature on the sphere*, Integral transforms and special Functions **1** (1993), 105–117.
- Krein–63. M.G. Krein, *Hermitian-positive kernels on homogenous spaces, I & II*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations (2) **34** (1963), 69–108 & 109–164 [Original Russian paper in Ukrain Math. Zurnal vol 1:4 (1949) pp. 64–98 and vol. 2 (1950) pp. 10–59].
- Krylo–62. V.I. Krylov, *Approximate calculation of integrals*, Macmillan, 1962.
- Kuijl–95. A. Kuijlaars, *Chebyshev-type quadrature and partial sums of the exponential series*, Math. of Computation **209** (1995), 251–263.
- Lehme–47. D.H. Lehmer, *The vanishing of Ramanujan’s function  $\tau(n)$* , Duke Math. J. **14** (1947), 429–433.
- LuPhS–86. A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak, *Hecke operators and distributing points on the sphere I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), S149–S186.
- LuPhS–87. A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak, *Hecke operators and distributing points on the sphere II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **40** (1987), 401–420.
- LyuVa–93. Y.I. Lyubich and L.N. Vaserstein, *Isometric embeddings between classical Banach spaces, cubature formulas, and spherical designs*, Geometriae Dedicata **47** (1993), 327–362.
- Marti–03. J. Martinet, *Perfect lattices in Euclidean spaces*, Springer, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 237, 2003.

- Maxwe–77. J.C. Maxwell, *On approximate multiple integration between limits of summation*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **3** (1877), 39–47.
- MilHu–73. J. Milnor and D. Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms*, Springer, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 73, 1973.
- Mimur–90. Y. Mimura, *A construction of spherical 2-designs*, Graphs and Combinatorics **6** (1990), 369–372.
- Natha–96. M.B. Nathanson, *Additive number theory, the classical bases*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 164, 1996.
- NebVe–00. G. Nebe and B. Venkov, *The strongly perfect lattices of dimension 10*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **12** (2000), 503–518.
- NeuSe–88. A. Neumaier and J.J. Seidel, *Discrete measures for spherical designs, eutactic stars and lattices*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 91, Indag. Math. **50** (1988), 321–334.
- Pache–04. C. Pache, *Sur le spectre des opérateurs de Markov de designs sphériques*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **25** (2004), 591–620.
- Pache. C. Pache, *Shells of selfdual lattices viewed as spherical designs*, Preprint (2004).
- Rezni–92. B. Reznick, *sums of even powers of real linear forms*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **463**, 1992.
- Robin–61. R.M. Robinson, *Arrangement of 24 points on a sphere*, Math. Annalen **144** (1961), 17–48.
- SaaKu–97. E.B. Saaf and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *Distributing many points on a sphere*, Mathematical Intelligencer **19(1)** (1997), 5–11.
- Sarna–90. P. Sarnak, *Some applications of modular forms*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- SchWa–51. K. Schütte and B.L. van der Waerden, *Auf welcher Kugel haben 5, 6, 7, 8 oder 9 Punkte mit Mindestabstand Eins Platz ?*, Math. Annalen **123** (1951), 96–124.
- Serre–70. J-P. Serre, *Cours d’arithmétique*, Presses univ. de France, 1970.
- Serre–71. J-P. Serre, *Cohomologie des groupes discrets*, In “Prospects in mathematics”, Annals of Math. Studies **70** (Princeton Univ. Press, 1971), 77–169 [= Oeuvres, Volume II, 593–685, see also 725–727].
- Serre–85. J-P. Serre, *Sur la lacunarité des puissances de  $\eta$* , Glasgow Math. J. **27** (1985), 203–221 [= Oeuvres, Volume IV, 66–84, see also 640].
- Serre–97. J-P. Serre, *Répartition asymptotique des valeurs propres de l’opérateur de Hecke  $T_p$* , Journal Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 75–102 [= Oeuvres, Volume IV, 543–570].
- SeyZa–84. P.D. Seymour and T. Zaslavsky, *Averaging sets: a generalization of mean values and spherical designs*, Adv. in Math. **152** (1984), 213–240.
- Smale–98. S. Smale, *Mathematical problems for the next century*, Mathematical Intelligencer **20(2)** (1998), 7–15.
- Stamh–95. I.H. Samhuis, *A female contribution to early genetics: Tine Tammes and Mendel’s laws for continuous characters*, J. for the History of Biology **28** (1995), 495–531.
- SteWe–71. E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.
- Stewa–76. J. Stewart, *Positive definite functions and generalizations, an historical survey*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **6** (1976), 409–434.
- Szegö–39. G. Szegö, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Colloquium Publications **23**, Amer. Math. Soc, 1939.
- Tamme–28. P.M.L. Tammes, *On the origin of number and arrangement of the places of exist on the surface of pollen grains*, Recueil des travaux botaniques néerlandais **27** (1930), 1–84.
- vdWae–52. B.L. van der Waerden, *Punkte auf der Kugel. Drei Zusätze*, Math. Ann. **123** (1952), 213–222.
- Venko–79. B. Venkov, *Odd unimodular lattices*, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI) **86** (1979), 40–48.
- Venko–84. B. Venkov, *On even unimodular extremal lattices*, Proc. Stekov Inst. Math. **165** (1985), 47–52.
- VenMa–01. B. Venkov (Notes par J. Martinet), *Réseaux et designs sphériques*, in “Réseaux euclidiens, designs sphériques et formes modulaires”, Monographie de l’Enseignement mathématique **37** (2001), 10–86.
- Vilen–68. N.J. Vilenkin, *Special functions and the theory of group representations*, Translations of Math. Monographs **22**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1968.
- Yudin–97. V.A. Yudin, *Lower bounds for spherical designs*, Investiya Math. **61:3** (1997), 213–223.

C.P. 240, CH-1211 GENÈVE 24, SUISSE.

E-MAIL: PIERRE.DELAHARPE@MATH.UNIGE.CH, CLAUDE.PACHE@MATH.UNIGE.CH