

# CROSSED PRODUCTS BY ABELIAN SEMIGROUPS VIA TRANSFER OPERATORS

NADIA S. LARSEN

**ABSTRACT.** We propose a generalisation of Exel's crossed product by a single endomorphism and a transfer operator to the case of actions of abelian semigroups of endomorphisms and associated transfer operators. The motivating example for our definition yields new crossed products, not obviously covered by familiar theory. Our technical machinery builds on Fowler's theory of Toeplitz and Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of discrete product systems of Hilbert bimodules, which we need to expand to cover a natural notion of relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of product systems.

The crossed product of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  by an endomorphism  $\alpha$  was first constructed by Cuntz [7] as a corner in an ordinary group crossed product. Since then, other authors have proposed definitions of such a crossed product mainly in terms of universal properties of representations of  $A$  and the unital, additive semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$ , cf. [19, 22, 2, 18]. The construction introduced by Exel [8] adds to the pair  $(A, \alpha)$  a new ingredient, namely a *transfer operator*, which is a positive continuous linear map  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying  $L(\alpha(a)b) = aL(b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . The resulting crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$  generalises the previous constructions from [19, 22, 2, 18], see also the more recent [9].

In Stacey's theory of crossed products by single endomorphisms [22], the extension to other semigroups brought in a large number of new and interesting examples and applications, see for example [1, 2, 13, 14].

Here we seek an extension of Exel's theory, and we are motivated by the following situation. For a compact abelian group  $\Gamma$  there is always an action  $\beta : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(C(\Gamma))$  of the multiplicative semigroup  $\mathbb{N}^*$  given by  $\beta_n(f)(s) = f(s^n)$ . What we notice is that under certain finiteness conditions there is an action of transfer operators  $L_n$  for  $\beta_n$ , see Proposition 4.1, and then we wish to form and analyse a crossed product  $C(\Gamma) \rtimes_{\beta, L} \mathbb{N}^*$ . It is our goal here to adapt Exel's definition to the case of actions of abelian semigroups of endomorphisms and corresponding transfer operators. As applications, we take a closer look at the motivating example. Our construction allows us to view in a unified way the crossed product by two rather different types of actions, see Theorem 4.7, and for the motivating example we use this to show that in

---

*Date:* February 15, 2005.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L55.

Research partly supported by The Carlsberg Foundation and the Centre for Advanced Study, Oslo.

some cases the crossed product is covered by existing theory. In other cases modelled by our example the resulting crossed product is new, and therefore of interest for further analysis.

Our approach is based on Fowler's theory of discrete product systems of Hilbert bimodules over a semigroup [10], and is closely related to Brownlowe and Raeburn's work [6], where they show that Exel's crossed product by a single endomorphism is a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra as in [11, 17]. What we find is that developing a theory of crossed products by a semigroup of endomorphisms with associated transfer operators goes hand in hand with the need to introduce and study relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of product systems of Hilbert bimodules. Here we initiate this study along the lines of [10] (see also [4]).

The paper is organised as follows: in section 1 we consider a semigroup dynamical system  $(A, S, \alpha)$  consisting of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and an action of a unital semigroup  $S$  by endomorphisms of  $A$ , together with an action  $L$  of transfer operators for  $\alpha$ ; we associate to  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  a Toeplitz crossed product, which is a universal  $C^*$ -algebra for certain covariant representations. As in [8], we define the crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  to be a quotient of this Toeplitz crossed product by an ideal of redundancies. In section 2 we construct a product system  $X$  of Hilbert bimodules over  $S$  whose Toeplitz algebra [10] is a Toeplitz crossed product for  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$ .

In section 3 we identify  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  as a natural analogue of a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of the Toeplitz algebra of the product system  $X$ . In the case when  $L$  is implemented by an action of injective endomorphisms with hereditary range we show in Proposition 3.4 that the new crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  is the same as  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} S$  from [18, 13, 15]. Specialising to the semigroup  $S = \mathbb{N}$  this result says that the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$  of the product system  $X$  over  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$ , which by [8, Theorem 4.7] is isomorphic to Exel's  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ . Since [6, Proposition 3.10] shows that Exel's  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$  is isomorphic to the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of a bimodule and an ideal arising from  $A$ , we are guided to predict that our  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ , seen as a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of a product system  $X$  over  $\mathbb{N}$ , is isomorphic to the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra corresponding to the bimodule over the fibre at  $n = 1$  and its corresponding ideal. Indeed, we prove this as an application of the identification  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N} \cong A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$ , see Proposition 3.6. This collapsing of a quotient of the Toeplitz algebra of a product system  $X$  over the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$  to the algebra over the fibre at  $n = 1$  was noticed by Fowler in the case of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of a product system, see [10, Proposition 2.11]. Fowler's Proposition 2.11 has two sets of hypotheses, asking that the left action in the fibres is isometric or by compacts. We are grateful to Nathan Brownlowe for providing us with a copy of [4], where an extension of [10, Proposition 2.11] is obtained for relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras with the first set of hypotheses and some further conditions. Our situation of the specific product system  $X$  constructed from an action of  $\mathbb{N}$  by injective endomorphisms with hereditary range can be regarded as an extension to relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of [10, Proposition 2.11] under an appropriate variant of the second set of hypotheses.

Section 4 is devoted to our motivating class of examples. The general form of these semigroup actions of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by endomorphisms with associated transfer operators of  $C(\Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a compact abelian group, is described in Proposition 4.1. The class of examples of crossed products by endomorphisms and transfer operators arising from the actions in Proposition 4.2 is a new construction, not obviously covered by familiar theory. However, another large class of examples here, which is described in Proposition 4.3, recovers semigroup crossed products in the sense of [18, 2, 13] which model Hecke  $C^*$ -algebras, cf. [14, 16].

In extending Exel's  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$  corresponding to  $(A, \mathbb{N}, \alpha, L)$ , we chose to look at abelian semigroups first of all because the examples we have here involve the semigroups  $\mathbb{N}^k$  for  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ , and secondly, because in order to construct product systems of Hilbert bimodules over non-abelian semigroups as in [10] we would have to impose technical conditions on our actions. We expect that some of our constructions could be extended to cover the case of Ore semigroups.

We thank Iain Raeburn for many useful discussions and suggestions, Nathan Brownlowe for suggestions and for providing a copy of [4], and Magnus Landstad and Ola Bratteli for the invitation to attend the programme on “Non-commutative Phenomena in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics” at the Centre for Advanced Study, Oslo, during winter 2001, where the initial motivation for this work came about.

## 1. THE DYNAMICAL SYSTEM $(A, S, \alpha, L)$

Let  $A$  be a (not necessarily unital)  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $S$  an abelian semigroup with identity 0. Suppose that  $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$  is an action, i.e. a semigroup homomorphism, and assume that each  $\alpha_s$  is *extendible*, in the sense that it extends uniquely to an endomorphism  $\overline{\alpha}_s$  of the multiplier algebra  $M(A)$  such that  $\overline{\alpha}_s(1_{M(A)}) = \lim \alpha_s(u_\lambda)$  for some (and hence every) approximate unit  $(u_\lambda)$  in  $A$  and all  $s \in S$  (see [1]).

We also assume that there is an action  $L$  of  $S$  by continuous, linear, positive maps  $L_s : A \rightarrow A$  which admit linear continuous extensions  $\overline{L}_s : M(A) \rightarrow M(A)$  that satisfy the *transfer operator identity*

$$(1.1) \quad L_s(\alpha_s(a)u) = a\overline{L}_s(u)$$

for all  $a \in A, u \in M(A), s \in S$ . Note then that  $L_s(u\alpha_s(a)) = \overline{L}_s(u)a$  as well.

A representation of  $S$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is a map  $V : S \rightarrow M(B)$  such that  $V_{st} = V_s V_t$  for all  $s, t \in S$  and  $V_0 = 1_{M(B)}$ . If each  $V_s$  is an isometry, we say  $V$  is an isometric representation of  $S$  in  $B$ . A non-degenerate representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  and a representation  $V : S \rightarrow B(H)$  form a *Toeplitz covariant representation*, see [6, Definition 3.1], if they satisfy the following conditions (inspired in relations (i)-(ii) of [8, Definition 3.1]):

- (TC1)  $V_s \pi(a) = \pi(\alpha_s(a))V_s$ , and
- (TC2)  $V_s^* \pi(a) V_s = \pi(L_s(a))$ , for all  $a \in A$  and  $s \in S$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A Toeplitz crossed product for  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  is a triple  $(B, i_A, i_S)$ , where  $i_A : A \rightarrow B$  is a non-degenerate homomorphism and  $i_S : S \rightarrow M(B)$  is a representation satisfying the conditions

- (i) if  $\sigma$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $B$ , then  $(\sigma \circ i_A, \bar{\sigma} \circ i_S)$  is a Toeplitz covariant representation;
- (ii) for every Toeplitz covariant representation  $(\pi, V)$ , there is a representation  $\pi \times V$  of  $B$  such that  $(\pi \times V) \circ i_A = \pi$  and  $\overline{\pi \times V} \circ i_S = V$ ;
- (iii)  $B$  is generated as a  $C^*$ -algebra by  $\{i_A(a)i_S(s) \mid a \in A, s \in S\}$ .

We denote  $B$  by  $\mathcal{T}(A, S, \alpha, L)$ . If  $E$  is a subset of a  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\overline{E}$  is the closed linear span of elements in  $E$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A pair  $(a, k)$  where  $a, k \in \mathcal{T}(A, S, \alpha, L)$  is a redundancy if  $(a, k) \in i_A(A) \times \overline{i_A(A)i_S(s)i_S(s)^*i_A(A)}$  for some  $s \in S$ , and

$$(1.2) \quad ai_A(b)i_S(s) = ki_A(b)i_S(s), \text{ for all } b \in A.$$

Let  $I$  denote the two-sided ideal in  $\mathcal{T}(A, S, \alpha, L)$  generated by all possible differences  $a - k$ , where  $(a, k) \in \overline{i_A(A)\alpha_s(A)A} \times \overline{i_A(A)i_S(s)i_S(s)^*i_A(A)}$  is a redundancy.

The crossed product is defined to be

$$(1.3) \quad A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S := \mathcal{T}(A, S, \alpha, L)/I.$$

Our immediate goal is to follow [10, §3] and construct a product system  $X$  of Hilbert bimodules over  $S$ . We then show that the Toeplitz algebra  $\mathcal{T}_X$  of  $X$  together with appropriate maps  $(i_A, i_S)$  satisfies the conditions in Definition 1.1, and thus is a Toeplitz crossed product for  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$ . Then we identify the ideal  $I$  of redundancies in terms of an ideal in  $\mathcal{T}_X$ , and accordingly describe  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$ .

## 2. THE PRODUCT SYSTEM OF $(A, S, \alpha, L)$

**2.1. Preliminaries.** We recall some definitions and notation from [10]. A Hilbert bimodule over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a right Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X$  equipped with a left action given by a homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  via the formula  $a \cdot x := \phi(a)x$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a countable semigroup with identity 0. A family of Hilbert bimodules over  $A$  is a *product system* over  $S$  if there is a semigroup homomorphism  $p : X \rightarrow S$  such that, with  $X_s := p^{-1}(s)$  denoting the fibre over  $s$ , the following condition holds: for every  $s, t \in S \setminus \{0\}$ , the map

$$(x, y) \in X_s \times X_t \mapsto xy \in X_{st}$$

extends to an isomorphism  $X_s \otimes_A X_t \cong X_{st}$ . Here  $X_s \otimes_A X_t$  denotes the internal tensor product of Hilbert bimodules, in which elementary tensors  $x \otimes_A y$  satisfy that  $(x \cdot a) \otimes y = x \otimes (a \cdot y)$  in  $X \otimes Y$ , see for example [21, Proposition 3.16]. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_s : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_s), \phi_s(a)(x) = a \cdot x$$

denote the homomorphism which defines the left module action. We also require that  $X_0 = A$  with right-action  $x \cdot a = xa$  and left action  $\phi_0(a)(x) = ax$ , and that the maps  $X_0 \times X_s \rightarrow X_s$ ,  $X_s \times X_0 \rightarrow X_s$  satisfy

$$(2.2) \quad ax = \phi_s(a)x, \text{ and } xa = x \cdot a \text{ for } a \in A, x \in X_s.$$

In order for the map  $X_0 \times X_s \rightarrow X_s$  to induce an isomorphism of Hilbert bimodules  $X_0 \otimes_A X_s \cong X_s$ , it is necessary that the bimodule  $X_s$  be essential, in the sense that

$$(2.3) \quad X_s = \overline{\text{span}}\{\phi_s(a)x \mid a \in A, x \in X_s\}.$$

## 2.2. The construction of the product system associated with $(A, S, \alpha, L)$ .

We assume that a system  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  is given as described in Section 1. For each  $s \in S$  we endow  $A_s := A\overline{\alpha_s}(1)$  with a right  $A$ -module structure and a pre-inner product given by

$$(2.4) \quad x \cdot a := x\alpha_s(a) \text{ for } a \in A, \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle_s := L_s(x^*y), \forall x, y \in A_s.$$

Notice that when  $a$  runs over an approximate unit for  $A$  in (1.1) then  $L_s(\overline{\alpha_s}(1)b) = L_s(b)$  for all  $b \in A$ . Thus

$$(2.5) \quad \langle a\overline{\alpha_s}(1), b\overline{\alpha_s}(1) \rangle_s = L_s(a^*b)$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $s \in S$ . After modding out elements  $x \in A_s$  with  $\langle x, x \rangle_s = 0$  we let  $M_s$  denote the completion of  $A_s$  to a right Hilbert  $A$ -module. Since

$$\|\langle ax, ax \rangle_s\| = \|L_s(x^*a^*ax)\| \leq \|a^*a\| \|\langle x, x \rangle_s\|$$

by linearity and positivity of  $L_s$ , the formula  $x \in A_s \mapsto ax \in A_s$  extends to an operator  $\phi_s(a) : M_s \rightarrow M_s$ . A computation using (2.5) shows that  $\phi_s(a)$  is adjointable with adjoint satisfying  $\phi_s(a)^*(y) = a^*y$ , and we obtain a homomorphism  $\phi_s : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M_s)$ . Thus for each  $s \in S$ ,  $X_s := \{s\} \times M_s$  is a Hilbert bimodule over  $A$  with actions

$$(2.6) \quad (s, x) \cdot a = (s, x\alpha_s(a)) \text{ and } \phi_s(a)(s, x) = (s, ax)$$

for  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in A_s$ . We endow  $X := \bigsqcup_{s \in S} X_s$  with a semigroup structure as follows. For  $x = a\overline{\alpha_s}(1) \in A_s$  and  $y = b\overline{\alpha_t}(1) \in A_t$  we let

$$(2.7) \quad (s, x)(t, y) = (s + t, a\alpha_s(b\overline{\alpha_t}(1))) \in A_{s+t}.$$

Since  $S$  is abelian,  $L_{s+t} = L_t \circ L_s$  for all  $s, t \in S$ , and it follows from a straightforward computation that  $\|a\alpha_s(b\overline{\alpha_t}(1))\|_{s+t} \leq \|a\overline{\alpha_s}(1)\|_s \|b\overline{\alpha_t}(1)\|_t$ . For arbitrary elements  $x = \lim_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}\overline{\alpha_s}(1) \in M_s$  and  $y = \lim_{\lambda} b_{\lambda}\overline{\alpha_t}(1) \in M_t$ , this estimate shows that  $\lim_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}\alpha_s(b_{\lambda}\overline{\alpha_t}(1))$  is a well-defined element of  $M_{s+t}$ , and so we let

$$(2.8) \quad (s, x)(t, y) := (s + t, \lim_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}\alpha_s(b_{\lambda}\overline{\alpha_t}(1))).$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *The family  $X = \bigsqcup_{s \in S} X_s$  of Hilbert bimodules over  $A$  endowed with the operation defined in (2.8) is a product system over the semigroup  $S$ . Each fiber  $X_s$  is essential in the sense of (2.3).*

*Proof.* We let  $p(s, x) = s$  for  $(s, x) \in X_s$ . Using (2.7) we note that (2.2) is immediate when  $x \in A_s$ , and hence is true for all  $x \in X_s$ . Associativity of multiplication on  $X$  follows from a routine calculation, which we omit. Letting  $b$  run over an approximate unit for  $A$  in (2.7) shows that the map  $X_s \times X_t \rightarrow X_{s+t}$  has dense range, so  $X_s \otimes_A X_t \rightarrow X_{s+t}$  will be an isomorphism of Hilbert bimodules provided that it preserves inner products (see [10, Lemma 3.2] and [21, Remark 3.27]), that is, if

$$(2.9) \quad \langle\langle u \otimes_A v, u' \otimes_A v' \rangle\rangle = \langle uv, u'v' \rangle_{s+t},$$

for  $u, u' \in X_s$  and  $v, v' \in X_t$ . If we let  $u = (s, a\overline{\alpha_s}(1))$ ,  $u' = (s, a'\overline{\alpha_s}(1))$ ,  $v = (t, b\overline{\alpha_t}(1))$  and  $v' = (t, b'\overline{\alpha_t}(1))$  for  $a, a', b, b' \in A$ , then the left-hand side of (2.9) is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u', u \rangle_s \cdot \langle v, v' \rangle_t &= \langle\langle L_s((a')^*a) \cdot v, v' \rangle\rangle_t \\ &= \langle\langle (t, L_s((a')^*a))b\overline{\alpha_t}(1), (t, b'\overline{\alpha_t}(1)) \rangle\rangle_t \\ &= L_t(b^*L_s(a^*a')b') \\ &= L_t \circ L_s(\alpha_s(b^*)a^*a'\alpha_s(b')) \\ &= L_{s+t}((a\alpha_s(b))^*a'\alpha_s(b')) \text{ since } S \text{ is abelian.} \end{aligned}$$

The last expression equals

$$\langle\langle (s+t, a\alpha_s(b)\overline{\alpha_{s+t}}(1)), (s+t, a'\alpha_s(b')\overline{\alpha_{s+t}}(1)) \rangle\rangle_{s+t},$$

which is the right-hand side of (2.9), as wanted. Since  $\|\langle\langle u \otimes_A v, u \otimes_A v \rangle\rangle\| \leq \|u\|_s \|v\|_t$ , (2.9) will hold for arbitrary  $u \in X_s$  and  $v \in X_t$ . If  $(u_\lambda)$  denotes an approximate unit for  $A$ , then for every  $b \in A$ ,  $b\overline{\alpha_s}(1) = \lim \phi_s(u_\lambda)b\overline{\alpha_s}(1)$ , and it follows that  $X_s$  is essential.  $\square$

### 3. THE CROSSED PRODUCT $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$

We recall the ingredients necessary to describe the *Toeplitz algebra*  $\mathcal{T}_X$  from [10, Proposition 2.8] associated to an arbitrary product system  $X$  over a semigroup  $S$ . A map  $\psi : X \rightarrow B$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is called a Toeplitz representation of  $X$  if  $\psi(u)\psi(v) = \psi(uv)$  for all  $u, v \in X$ , and the pair  $(\psi_s, \psi_0) := (\psi|_{X_s}, \psi|_{X_0})$  is a Toeplitz representation of the bimodule  $X_s$  in the sense of [12], i.e.  $\psi_s$  is linear,  $\psi_0 : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism, and the conditions

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_s(u \cdot a) = \psi_s(u)\psi_0(a),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \psi_s(u)^*\psi_s(v) = \psi_0(\langle u, v \rangle_s), \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \psi_s(a \cdot u) = \psi_0(a)\psi_s(u)$$

are satisfied for all  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $u, v \in X_s$ . Note in particular that  $\psi_s$  is bounded. By [10, Proposition 2.8], there are a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and a Toeplitz representation  $i_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$  which enjoy the following universal property: for every Toeplitz representation  $\psi$  of  $X$  there is a homomorphism  $\psi_*$  on  $\mathcal{T}_X$  for which  $\psi_* \circ i_X = \psi$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is generated by  $i_X(X)$ , and  $i_X$  is isometric.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  is a dynamical system with extendible endomorphisms and an action of transfer operators as in (1.1). Let  $X$  be the product system over  $S$  from Proposition 2.1, let  $\mathcal{T}_X$  be the Toeplitz algebra of  $X$  and  $i_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$  be the universal Toeplitz representation.*

- (1). *If  $(u_\lambda)$  is an approximate unit for  $A$ ,  $(i_X(s, \alpha_s(u_\lambda)))_\lambda$  converges strictly in  $M(\mathcal{T}_X)$ .*
- (2). *Let  $i_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$  and  $i_S : S \rightarrow M(\mathcal{T}_X)$  be given by*

$$i_A(a) := i_X(0, a) \text{ and } i_S(s) := \lim i_X(s, \alpha_s(u_\lambda))$$

*for  $a \in A$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then  $(\mathcal{T}_X, i_A, i_S)$  is a Toeplitz crossed product for  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  in the sense of Definition 1.1, and  $i_A$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Part (1) follows as in [10, Lemma 3.3]. The rest of the proof will follow the argument in the proof of [10, Proposition 3.4]. We verify conditions (i)-(iii) from Definition 1.1. Since  $i_A(u_\lambda) \rightarrow i_X(0, 1_{M(A)})$  for any approximate unit  $(u_\lambda)$  in  $A$ ,  $i_A$  is non-degenerate. Given a non-degenerate representation  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{T}_X$ , the pair  $(\sigma \circ i_A, \bar{\sigma} \circ i_S)$  satisfies (TC1)-(TC2), because  $(i_A, i_S)$  does, as is seen from the calculations

$$\begin{aligned} i_S(s)i_A(a) &= \lim i_X(s, \alpha_s(u_\lambda))\alpha_s(a) = i_X(s, \overline{a_s}(1))\alpha_s(a) \\ &= \lim i_X(0, \alpha_s(a))i_X(s, \alpha_s(u_\lambda)) \\ &= i_A(\alpha_s(a))i_S(s), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} i_S(s)^*i_A(a)i_S(s) &= \lim i_X(s, \alpha_s(u_\lambda))^*i_X(s, a\alpha_s(u_\lambda)) \\ &= \lim i_X(0, \langle \alpha_s(u_\lambda), a\alpha_s(u_\lambda) \rangle_s) \text{ by (3.2)} \\ &= \lim i_X(0, L_s(\alpha_s(u_\lambda)^*a\alpha_s(u_\lambda))) \\ &= i_A(L_s(a)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). To prove (ii), suppose that  $(\pi, V)$  is a Toeplitz covariant representation of  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$ . Since  $\|\pi(a)V_s\| = \|L_s(a^*a)\|^{1/2} = \|a\|_s$  by (TC2), the equation

$$(3.4) \quad \psi(s, a\overline{a_s}(1)) := \pi(a)V_s$$

where  $s \in S$ ,  $a\overline{a_s}(1) \in A_s$ , extends to a well-defined map  $\psi : X \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$ , which is multiplicative by property (TC1). For  $u = (s, b\overline{a_s}(1)) \in X$  and  $a \in A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_s(u \cdot a) &= \pi(b\alpha_s(a))V_s \\ &= \pi(b)V_s\pi(a) \text{ by (TC1)} \\ &= \psi(s, b\overline{a_s}(1))\psi(0, a), \text{ since } V_0 = 1 \\ &= \psi_s(u)\psi_0(a), \end{aligned}$$

giving (3.1), and (3.3) is similar. With  $u = (s, b\overline{\alpha}_s(1))$  and  $v = (s, c\overline{\alpha}_s(1))$ , (3.2) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_s(u)^*\psi_s(v) &= V_s^*\pi(b^*c)V_s = \pi(L_s(b^*c)) \text{ by (TC2)} \\ &= \psi(0, \langle b\overline{\alpha}_s(1), c\overline{\alpha}_s(1) \rangle_s) \text{ since } V_0 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\psi$  is a Toeplitz representation of  $X$ . By the universal property of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  there is a homomorphism  $\psi_* : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow B(H)$  with  $\psi_* \circ i_X = \psi$ . It is immediate to check that  $\pi \times V := \psi_*$  satisfies the first equality in (ii), and the second follows upon evaluating both sides on elements in the dense subspace  $\{\pi(A)H\}$  of  $H$ . Finally,  $i_A(a)i_S(s) = i_X(s, a\overline{\alpha}_s(1))$ , and these products generate  $\mathcal{T}_X$  because  $i_X(X)$  does. The homomorphism  $i_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$  is injective because  $i_X$  is isometric by [10, Proposition 2.8].  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* Equation (3.4) shows how a Toeplitz representation  $\psi$  (or  $\psi_{\pi, V}$ ) of  $X$  arises from a Toeplitz covariant representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$ . Conversely, property (i) in the definition of  $\mathcal{T}(A, S, \alpha, L)$  implies that if  $\psi : X \rightarrow B(H)$  is a Toeplitz representation of the associated product system  $X$  over  $S$ , then the pair  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H), V : S \rightarrow B(H)$  given by

$$\pi(a) := (\psi_* \circ i_A)(a) = \psi_0(a) \text{ and } V_s = (\overline{\psi_*} \circ i_S)(s),$$

$a \in A, s \in S$ , is a Toeplitz covariant representation of  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  satisfying (3.4).

Proposition 3.1 shows that  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  is a quotient of  $\mathcal{T}_X$ , which we will now identify. Suppose that  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$  is a Toeplitz representation of  $X$ , and let  $(\psi_s, \psi_0) = (\psi|_{X_s}, \psi|_{X_0})$  be the pair which satisfies (3.1)-(3.3) for every  $s$ . Then there is a homomorphism  $\psi^{(s)} : \mathcal{K}(X_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$  such that  $\psi^{(s)}(\theta_{u,v}) = \psi_s(u)\psi_s(v)^*$  and

$$(3.5) \quad \psi^{(s)}(T)(\psi_s(u)) = \psi_s(Tu),$$

for  $u, v \in X_s$ , see [10, Equation (1.1)] and the references therein. Let  $K_s$  be an ideal in  $\phi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X_s))$  for each  $s \in S$ , and denote by  $K$  the family of ideals  $\{K_s \mid s \in S\}$  in  $A$ . We define a Toeplitz representation  $\psi$  of  $X$  to be *coisometric on  $K$*  if  $(\psi_s, \psi_0)$  is coisometric on  $K_s$  in the sense of [11, Definition 1.1] for all  $s \in S$ , that is if

$$(3.6) \quad \psi^{(s)}(\phi_s(a)) = \psi_0(a) \text{ for all } a \in K_s.$$

If  $(\psi_s, \psi_0)$  is coisometric on  $\phi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X_s))$  then it is called Cuntz-Pimsner covariant, see [20, 12, 11], and by [10, Proposition 2.9], there is a  $C^*$ -algebra, called the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of  $X$ , which is universal for Toeplitz representations of  $X$  such that  $(\psi_s, \psi_0)$  is Cuntz-Pimsner covariant for all  $s \in S$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose that  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  is a dynamical system with extendible endomorphisms and an action of transfer operators as in (1.1). Consider the product system  $X$  over  $S$  from Proposition 2.1, and the universal Toeplitz representation  $i_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ . Let*

$$(3.7) \quad K_s := \overline{A\alpha_s(A)A} \cap \phi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X_s))$$

for all  $s \in S$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{I}_K$  the closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  generated by

$$(3.8) \quad \{i_X(0, a) - i^{(s)}(\phi_s(a)) \mid s \in S, a \in K_s\}.$$

Then  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  coincides with  $\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{I}_K$ , and the map  $j_X : X \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  obtained by composing the quotient map  $\mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{I}_K$  with  $i_X$  is universal for Toeplitz representations of  $X$  which are coisometric on  $K = \{K_s\}_{s \in S}$ . In particular, if each  $\alpha_s$  is surjective or if  $A$  is unital and  $\alpha_s(1) = 1$  for all  $s \in S$ , then  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  is the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of  $X$ .

Note that this result motivates that we can regard  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  as the *relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra* of the product system  $X$  over  $S$  associated to  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  and the family of ideals  $\{K_s\}_{s \in S}$ , where  $K_s$  is given by equation (3.7).

In general, for an arbitrary product system  $X$  over  $S$  of Hilbert bimodules over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and for a given family  $\{K_s\}_{s \in S}$  of ideals  $K_s$  in  $\phi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X_s))$ , one can mimic the proof of [10, Proposition 2.9] to prove the existence of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}(X, \{K_s\}_{s \in S})$  and a map  $j_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X, \{K_s\}_{s \in S})$  which are universal for Toeplitz representations of  $X$  that are coisometric on  $\{K_s\}_{s \in S}$ . It seems natural to call  $\mathcal{O}(X, \{K_s\}_{s \in S})$  the *relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of  $X$  and  $\{K_s\}_{s \in S}$* .

*Proof.* It suffices by Proposition 3.1 to show that  $I = \mathcal{I}_K$  (see also [6, Lemma 3.5]). Let  $J_s := \overline{\text{span}\{i_A(A)i_S(s)\}}$  for every  $s \in S$ . By (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} i^{(s)}(\theta_{(s, c\overline{\alpha_s(1)}), (s, d\overline{\alpha_s(1)})}) &= i_s(c\overline{\alpha_s(1)})i_s(d\overline{\alpha_s(1)})^* \\ &= i_A(c)i_S(s)i_S(s)^*i_A(d)^*, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows by linearity and continuity that

$$(3.9) \quad i^{(s)}(\mathcal{K}(X_s)) = J_s J_s^*.$$

Let  $a \in K_s$ . Then  $(i_X(0, a), i^{(s)}(\phi_s(a))) \in \overline{i_A(A\alpha_s(A)A)} \times J_s J_s^*$  by (3.9), so to show that the pair is a redundancy we check for  $b \in A$  and  $\phi_s(a) = \theta_{u, v}$  with  $u, v \in X_s$  that

$$\begin{aligned} i^{(s)}(\phi_s(a))i_A(b)i_S(s) &= i_s(u)i_s(v)^*i_X(s, b\overline{\alpha_s(1)}) \text{ by (3.4)} \\ &= i_s(u)i_0(\langle v, b\overline{\alpha_s(1)} \rangle_s) \text{ by (3.2)} \\ &= i_s(u \cdot \langle v, b\overline{\alpha_s(1)} \rangle_s) \text{ by (3.1)} \\ &= i_s(\theta_{u, v}(b\overline{\alpha_s(1)})) \\ &= i_X(s, ab\overline{\alpha_s(1)}) \\ &= i_A(a)i_A(b)i_S(s). \end{aligned}$$

By linearity and continuity we can extend this identity to all  $\phi_s(a)$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X_s)$ , and so it follows that  $\mathcal{I}_K \subset I$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(i_A(a), k)$  is a redundancy in  $\overline{i_A(A\alpha_s(A)A)} \times J_s J_s^*$ . Thus by (3.9),  $k = i^{(s)}(T)$  with  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X_s)$ . Let  $x = (s, b\overline{\alpha_s}(1))$  in  $X_s$  for  $b \in A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} i_s(\phi_s(a)(x)) &= i_X(s, ab\overline{\alpha_s}(1)) = i_A(a)i_A(b)i_S(s) = \\ &= ki_A(b)i_S(s) = i^{(s)}(T)i_s(x) \text{ by (3.4)} \\ &= i_s(Tx) \text{ by (3.5),} \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that  $\phi_s(a) = T \in \mathcal{K}(X_s)$  because  $i_s$  is isometric. Hence  $a \in K_s$  and  $I \subset I_K$ , and therefore  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S = \mathcal{T}_X / \mathcal{I}_K$ . To prove that  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  is universal for Toeplitz representations on  $X$  which are coisometric on  $K$  it suffices by universality of  $(\mathcal{T}_X, i_X)$  to show that if  $\psi$  is coisometric, then the corresponding homomorphism  $\psi_*$  on  $\mathcal{T}_X$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{I}_K$ . This is easily verified on elements  $i_X(0, a) - i^{(s)}(\phi_s(a))$  when  $\phi_s(a) = \theta_{u,v} \in \mathcal{K}(X_s)$ , whence an  $\varepsilon/2$  argument and continuity of  $\psi_*$ ,  $i^{(s)}$  and  $\psi^{(s)}$  take care of arbitrary elements.

When  $\alpha_s$  is surjective or  $\alpha_s(1) = 1$  for all  $s$ ,  $K_s = \phi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X_s))$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We know from [8, Theorem 4.7] that Exel's crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$  of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  by a single endomorphism  $\alpha$  and a transfer operator  $L$  is isomorphic to  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$  from [2, 18]. We recall that given an action  $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$  of a unital semigroup  $S$ , the *semigroup crossed product*  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} S$  is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra for *covariant* representations  $(\pi, V) : (A, S) \rightarrow B(H)$ , where  $\pi$  is non-degenerate,  $V$  is an isometric representation of  $S$  and  $V_s \pi(a) V_s^* = \pi(\alpha_s(a))$ , for all  $a \in A$ ,  $s \in S$ , see for example [18, 13] for unital  $A$ , and [15] for non-unital  $A$ . The next result is the generalisation of [8, Theorem 4.7] to abelian semigroups.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose that  $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$  is an action by extendible injective endomorphisms such that  $\alpha_s$  has hereditary range for all  $s \in S$ . Let*

$$(3.10) \quad L_s(a) := \alpha_s^{-1}(\overline{\alpha_s}(1)a\overline{\alpha_s}(1)), \text{ for } a \in A, s \in S.$$

*Then  $L$  is an action by transfer operators as in (1.1), and  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S \cong A \rtimes_{\alpha} S$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from [8, Proposition 4.1] that  $\alpha_s(A) = \overline{\alpha_s}(1)A\overline{\alpha_s}(1)$  and  $L_s$  is a transfer operator for  $\alpha_s$ , for every  $s \in S$ . Since  $\alpha_{s+t} = \alpha_t \circ \alpha_s$ , a calculation shows that  $L_{s+t} = L_s \circ L_t$ ,  $\forall s, t \in S$ . Let  $X$  be the associated product system over  $S$  of Hilbert bimodules over  $A$ . We claim that

$$(3.11) \quad \phi_s(\overline{A\alpha_s(A)A}) \subset \mathcal{K}(X_s) \text{ for all } s \in S.$$

Indeed, let  $a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_s(b_i) c_i^* \in \text{span}\{A\alpha_s(A)A\}$  and  $x = (s, b\overline{\alpha_s}(1)) \in X_s$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_s(a)(x) &= (s, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_s(b_i) c_i^* b\overline{\alpha_s}(1)) \\
&= (s, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_s(b_i) \alpha_s \circ L_s(c_i^* b)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (s, a_i \alpha_s(b_i)) \cdot L_s(c_i^* b) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (s, a_i \alpha_s(b_i)) \cdot \langle (s, c_i \overline{\alpha_s}(1)), (s, b\overline{\alpha_s}(1)) \rangle_s \\
(3.12) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{(s, a_i \alpha_s(b_i)), (s, c_i \overline{\alpha_s}(1))}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

it follows by continuity that  $\phi_s(\overline{A\alpha_s(A)A}) \subset \mathcal{K}(X_s)$ . Thus Proposition 3.3 implies that  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} S$  is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra for coisometric Toeplitz representations of  $X$  on the family  $\{K_s := \overline{A\alpha_s(A)A}\}_s$  of ideals of  $A$ . The proof of the proposition will be concluded by a direct application of the next lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *With the hypotheses of Proposition 3.4, let  $X$  be the product system over  $S$  of Hilbert bimodules over  $A$  given by Proposition 2.1. Suppose that  $\psi : X \rightarrow B(H)$  is a Toeplitz representation of  $X$ , and let  $\pi(a) = \psi_0(a)$ ,  $V_s := \overline{\psi_*} \circ i_S(s)$ , for all  $a \in A$ ,  $s \in S$ . We have:*

(i) *For fixed  $s \in S$ ,  $(\psi_s, \psi_0)$  is coisometric on  $K_s = \overline{A\alpha_s(A)A}$  if and only if*

$$(3.13) \quad \pi(\alpha_s(c)) = V_s \pi(c) V_s^*, \forall c \in A.$$

(ii)  *$\psi$  is coisometric on  $\{K_s\}_{s \in S}$  if and only if  $(\pi, V)$  is a covariant representation of the triple  $(A, S, \alpha)$  in the sense of [18, 13].*

*Proof.* By Remark 3.2,  $(\pi, V)$  is a Toeplitz covariant representation of  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$ . Suppose that  $(\psi_s, \psi_0)$  is coisometric on  $K_s$ , and let  $a = b\alpha_s(c)d^* \in K_s$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(a) &= \psi^{(s)}(\phi_s(a)) = \psi^{(s)}(\theta_{(s, b\alpha_s(c)), (s, d\overline{\alpha_s}(1))}) \text{ by (3.12)} \\
&= \psi(s, b\alpha_s(c)) \psi(s, d\overline{\alpha_s}(1))^* \\
(3.14) \quad &= \pi(b\alpha_s(c)) V_s V_s^* \pi(d^*) \text{ by (3.4)}
\end{aligned}$$

from which by non-degeneracy of  $\pi$  we obtain  $\pi(b\alpha_s(c)) = \pi(b\alpha_s(c)) V_s V_s^*$ . Now letting  $b$  run over an approximate unit for  $A$  and invoking (TC1) gives (3.13).

Conversely, (3.13) and (TC1) imply that  $\pi(\alpha_s(c)) = \pi(\alpha_s(c)) V_s V_s^*$ , and we can reverse the computations in (3.14) and use continuity of  $\pi$  to conclude that  $(\psi_s, \psi_0)$  is coisometric on  $K_s$ , proving part (i).

Letting  $a$  run over an approximate unit for  $A$  in (TC2) gives that  $V_s^*V_s = 1$ , so  $V_s$  is an isometry. Part (ii) then follows from (i).  $\square$

The next result generalises [10, Proposition 2.11] to relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of product systems over the additive semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$  in the case of the product systems associated to the quadruples  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  described in Proposition 3.4. The generalisation of [10, Proposition 2.11] to relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of arbitrary product systems over  $\mathbb{N}$  could go two ways, when either the left action of each fibre is isometric, or the left action restricted to a family of ideals  $K_n$  of  $A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is by compacts in each fibre. In the first direction, N. Brownlowe has obtained a generalisation in [4].

**Proposition 3.6.** *Suppose that  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$  is an action by extendible injective endomorphisms such that  $\alpha_n$  has hereditary range for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $L$  be the action by transfer operators given in (3.10), let  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$  be the product system over  $\mathbb{N}$  of Hilbert bimodules over  $A$  associated to  $(A, \mathbb{N}, \alpha, L)$  by Proposition 2.1, and let  $K_n = \overline{A\alpha_n(A)}A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$  corresponding to the family of ideals  $(K_n)_n$  is isomorphic to the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}(X_1, K_1)$ , where  $X_1$  is the bimodule at the fibre  $n = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $j_X : X_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$  be the universal Toeplitz representation of  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$  which is coisometric on  $\{K_n\}_n$ , and let  $(j_{X_1}, j_A) : (X_1, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_1, X_1)$  be the universal representation of the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}(K_1, X_1)$ , see [11, Proposition 1.3]. As in the proof of the similar statement in [10, Proposition 2.11], the universal property of  $j_X$  yields a homomorphism  $j_0 \times j_1 : \mathcal{O}(K_1, X_1) \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$ , and the universal property of  $(j_{X_1}, j_A)$  combined with [12, Proposition 1.8(1)] produces a Toeplitz representation  $\psi : X_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_1, X_1)$  such that  $(\psi_1, \psi_0) = (j_{X_1}, j_A)$ . It remains to prove that  $\psi$  is coisometric on  $\{K_n\}_n$ , because then  $\psi_*$  will be the inverse of  $j_0 \times j_1$ , as required.

However, unlike the proof of [10, Proposition 2.11], we will not use the characterisation of coisometric representations given in [11, Lemma 1.9], since that doesn't seem helpful here. Instead we use the relationship between coisometric representations of  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$  and representations of  $(A, \mathbb{N}, \alpha, L)$  as encoded in Lemma 3.5.

By construction,  $(\psi_1, \psi_0)$  is coisometric on  $K_1$ . Denote  $(\pi, V) = (\psi_0, \overline{\psi_*} \circ i_{\mathbb{N}})$ . Lemma 3.5 (i) implies that  $\pi(\alpha(c)) = V_1\pi(c)V_1^*$ ,  $\forall c \in A$ . Iterating this equation gives  $\pi(\alpha^n(c)) = V_1^n\pi(c)(V_1^n)^*$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since by definition  $V_n = \lim \psi(n, \alpha^n(u_\lambda))$ , where  $(u_\lambda)$  is a fixed but arbitrary approximate unit for  $A$ , a computation using multiplicativity of  $\psi$  on  $X$  shows that  $V_n = V_1^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus

$$\pi(\alpha^n(c)) = V_n\pi(c)(V_n)^*, \forall c \in A, \forall n \geq 1,$$

and so  $(\pi, V)$  is a covariant representation of  $(A, \mathbb{N}, \alpha)$  as in [18, 13]. Applying Lemma 3.5 (ii) finishes the proof, because  $A \rtimes_{\alpha, L} \mathbb{N}$  is the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$  with respect to  $\{K_n\}_n$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.7.* This result confirms that our definition of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha,L} S$  is a good extension of Exel's construction from [8], as we shall now explain.

Suppose that  $(A, S, \alpha, L)$  is a system as in Proposition 3.4, so that  $A \rtimes_{\alpha,L} S \cong A \rtimes_{\alpha} S$ . We also assume that  $A$  is unital, and we specialise to the case  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . Thus we infer from [8, Theorem 4.7] that Exel's  $A \rtimes_{\alpha,L} \mathbb{N}$  is isomorphic to our  $A \rtimes_{\alpha,L} \mathbb{N}$ .

The point we make is that Proposition 3.6 recovers Brownlowe and Raeburn's identification in [6, Proposition 3.10] of Exel's  $A \rtimes_{\alpha,L} \mathbb{N}$  with the relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}(K_1, M_L)$  of a certain Hilbert bimodule  $M_L$  over  $A$ . To see this, we need to justify that  $X_1$  and  $M_L$  represent the same bimodule over  $A$ . Indeed, recall that  $M_L$  is the Hilbert module completion of  $A/N_L$  in the inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle_L = L(a^*b)$ , where  $N_L := \{a \mid \langle a, a \rangle_L = 0\}$ . In our setting, we have

$$\{a\alpha(1) \in A_s \mid \langle a\alpha(1), a\alpha(1) \rangle_1 = 0\} = \{a\alpha(1) \mid L(\alpha(1)a^*a\alpha(1)) = 0\} = 0,$$

so  $X_1$  is the completion of  $A\alpha(1)$  in the inner product given by the same formula, and the map  $a + N_L \mapsto a\alpha(1)$  from  $A/N_L$  to  $A\alpha(1)$  extends to a module isomorphism of  $M_L$  onto  $X_1$ .

*Remark 3.8.* With the hypotheses of Proposition 3.4, suppose that  $A$  is commutative and  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $X$  be the product system over  $\mathbb{N}$  associated to  $(A, \mathbb{N}, \alpha, L)$ . Then the left action in each fibre is by compacts. Indeed, simply verify that  $\phi(bc^*) = \theta_{b\bar{\alpha}(1), c\bar{\alpha}(1)}$  for all  $b, c \in A$ . Hence [10, Proposition 2.11] implies that the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of the product system  $X$  is canonically isomorphic to the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of the bimodule at fibre  $n = 1$ .

#### 4. APPLICATIONS AND EXAMPLES

We now return to our motivating example. We denote by  $\mathbb{N}^*$  the multiplicative semigroup of non-zero positive integers.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a compact abelian group, and denote by  $\varphi$  the action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by endomorphisms of  $\Gamma$  given by  $\varphi_n(s) = s^n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $\beta : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(C(\Gamma))$  be the action by endomorphisms defined by  $\beta_n(f)(s) := f(\varphi_n(s))$ . Suppose that the following three conditions are satisfied by  $\varphi$ .*

- (I)  $[\Gamma : \varphi_n(\Gamma)] < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ;
- (II)  $\ker \varphi_n$  is finite for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and
- (III)  $|\ker \varphi_{mn}| = |\ker \varphi_n| \cdot |\ker \varphi_m|$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

Then the formula

$$(4.1) \quad L_n(f)(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\{s \in \Gamma \mid \varphi_n(s) = t\}|} \sum_{\{s \in \Gamma \mid \varphi_n(s) = t\}} f(s) & \text{if } t \in \varphi_n(\Gamma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

defines an action by transfer operators  $L_n$  for  $\beta_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\varphi_n(\Gamma)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\Gamma$  which is also open because it has finite index. Thus  $L_n$  is well-defined for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . A direct computation shows that  $L_n(f\beta_n(g)) = L_n(f)g$  for all  $f, g \in C(\Gamma)$ , so  $L_n$  is a transfer operator for  $\beta_n$ . To see that  $L$  defines an action, we compute that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L_m(L_n(f))(t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\{s|s^m=t\}|} \frac{1}{|\{r|r^n=s\}|} \sum_{\substack{\{s|s^m=t\} \\ \{r|r^n=s\}}} f(r) & \text{if } t \in \varphi_m(\Gamma), s \in \varphi_n(\Gamma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\{r|r^{mn}=t\}|} \sum_{\{r|r^{mn}=t\}} f(r) & \text{if } t \in \varphi_{mn}(\Gamma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= L_{mn}(f)(t), \end{aligned}$$

because  $\{r \mid r^{mn} = t\} = \bigcup_{\{s|s^m=t\}} \{r \mid r^n = s\}$  and  $|\{r \mid r^{mn} = t\}| = |\{r \mid r^n = s\}| \cdot |\{s \mid s^m = t\}|$  by (III).  $\square$

It is important to stress that the crossed product  $C(\Gamma) \rtimes_{\beta, L} \mathbb{N}^*$  is a new example, as the theory we have so far does not obviously identify it with an algebra of the form  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}^*$  for some action  $\alpha$ . We will be able to say more if we concentrate attention to two special cases. One arises if we relax condition (I) to say that  $\varphi_n$  is surjective for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the other if we ask in (II) that  $\varphi_n$  is injective for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

**4.1. The case when all  $\varphi_n$  are surjective.** We first observe that if  $\varphi_n$  is a surjective homomorphism for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then the map  $z \mapsto \varphi_n(z)$  is a homomorphism of  $\ker \varphi_{mn}$  onto  $\ker \varphi_m$  with kernel equal to  $\ker \varphi_n$ . Thus, if in addition condition (II) holds, then (III) is also satisfied, and Proposition 4.1 takes the following form.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a compact abelian group, and suppose that  $\varphi_n(s) = s^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  defines an action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by surjective endomorphisms of  $\Gamma$  with finite kernel. Then  $\beta_n(f)(s) = f(\varphi_n(s))$  implements an action by (unital) injective endomorphisms of  $C(\Gamma)$ , and*

$$L_n(f)(t) = \frac{1}{|\{s \mid \varphi_n(s) = t\}|} \sum_{\{s \in \Gamma \mid \varphi_n(s) = t\}} f(s)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $f \in C(\Gamma)$ , is an action by transfer operators for  $\beta$ .

As an example we can take for  $\Gamma$  the compact divisible group  $\mathbb{T}$ . It would be interesting to identify  $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_{\beta, L} \mathbb{N}^*$  in terms of familiar  $C^*$ -algebras. Since  $\beta_n(1) = 1$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the crossed product by  $\beta$  in the sense of [18, 13] is not meaningful here, because the covariance relation  $V_n \pi(a) V_n^* = \pi(\beta_n(b))$  would imply that  $V_n$  is a unitary.

**4.2. The case when all  $\varphi_n$  are injective.** We now relax condition (II) to say that  $\varphi_n$  is injective for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Note in particular that (III) is trivially satisfied. Proposition 4.1 now takes the form of the following result, whose straightforward proof we omit.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a compact abelian group, let  $\varphi$  be the action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by endomorphisms of  $\Gamma$  given by  $\varphi_n(s) = s^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and let  $\beta : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(C(\Gamma))$  be given by  $\beta_n(f)(t) = f(\varphi_n(t))$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $f \in C(\Gamma)$ .*

*If  $\varphi_n$  is injective for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and if condition (I) holds, then  $\beta$  is an action by surjective endomorphisms, (4.1) becomes*

$$L_n(f)(t) = \begin{cases} f(\varphi_n^{-1}(t)) & \text{if } t \in \varphi_n(\Gamma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

*and  $L$  is an action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by endomorphisms of  $C(\Gamma)$ .*

The next proposition contains a general construction of systems  $(C(\Gamma), \mathbb{N}^*, \beta, L)$  of the form described in Proposition 4.3.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete abelian group, and let  $\phi$  be the action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by endomorphisms of  $G$  given by  $\phi_n(x) = nx$ , for  $x \in G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .*

*Suppose that  $\phi_n$  is surjective and  $\ker \phi_n$  is finite,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then the following hold.*

- (i) *The homomorphism  $\widehat{\phi}_n : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$  given by  $\chi \mapsto \chi \circ \phi_n$  is injective and satisfies that  $[\widehat{G} : \widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G})] = |\ker \phi_n| < \infty$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .*
- (ii) *There is an action  $\alpha : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(C^*(G))$  given by*

$$(4.3) \quad \alpha_n(\delta_y) = \frac{1}{|\ker \phi_n|} \sum_{\{x \in G | \phi_n(x) = y\}} \delta_x, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

- (iii) *The Fourier transform action of  $\alpha$  on  $C(\widehat{G})$  is given by*

$$(4.4) \quad \widehat{\alpha}_n(f)(\chi) = \begin{cases} f(\widehat{\phi}_n^{-1}(\chi)), & \text{if } \chi \in \widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G}) \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi \notin \widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G}). \end{cases}$$

Before proving this we make a note of an immediate consequence and we discuss the connection with [16].

**Corollary 4.5.** *With the notation and assumptions of Proposition 4.4, let  $\widehat{\beta}_n(f)(\chi) = f(\widehat{\phi}_n(\chi))$  for  $f \in C(\widehat{G})$ ,  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then  $(C(\widehat{G}), \mathbb{N}^*, \widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\alpha})$  is an example of a system as in Proposition 4.3.*

*Dually,  $\alpha$  from Proposition 4.4 (ii) is an action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by transfer operators for  $\beta : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(C^*(G))$  defined by  $\beta_n(\delta_x) = \delta_{nx}$ .*

*Remark 4.6.* It seems interesting to recall the construction of endomorphic actions of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  (indeed, of the additive semigroup  $\mathbb{N}^k$  for  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}^*$  is identified as  $\mathbb{N}^\infty$ ) from [16], and to discuss its possible connection with Proposition 4.4. In the setup of [16, §1], one considers an action  $\eta$  of a discrete abelian group  $G$  by injective endomorphisms whose range  $\eta_m(G)$  is a subgroup of  $G$  of finite index for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then [16, Proposition 1.3] establishes the existence of an action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by endomorphisms of  $C^*(G_\infty/G)$ , where  $G_\infty$  is a certain direct limit built from  $G$  and

$\eta$ . In the case when  $\eta_m(g) = mg$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $g \in G$ , Proposition 4.4 explains why the construction of [16] was possible: indeed, under the given assumptions  $\eta$  induces an action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  by surjective endomorphisms of  $G_\infty/G$  with finite kernel. Therefore equation (1.5) of [16] follows directly from Proposition 4.4 (ii).

*Proof of Proposition 4.4.* Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and suppose  $\widehat{\phi}_n(\chi) = 1$ . Then  $\chi(\phi_n(x)) = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ , and hence  $\chi(y) = 1$  for all  $y \in G$  because  $\widehat{\phi}_n$  is onto. Thus  $\widehat{\phi}_n$  is injective.

Since  $\phi_n$  is an isomorphism of  $G/\ker \phi_n$  onto  $G$ ,  $\widehat{\phi}_n$  is an isomorphism of  $\widehat{G}$  onto  $(G/\ker \phi_n)^\wedge$ . Therefore  $\widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G}) = (G/\ker \phi_n)^\wedge \cong (\ker \phi_n)^\perp$ . Because  $\chi \mapsto \chi|_{\ker \phi_n}$  is a surjection of  $\widehat{G}$  onto  $\widehat{\ker \phi_n}$  with kernel  $(\ker \phi_n)^\perp$ , it follows that  $\widehat{G}/\widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G}) = \widehat{G}/(\ker \phi_n)^\perp \cong \widehat{\ker \phi_n}$ , proving claim (i).

To prove (ii), we first show that the Fourier transform of the right hand side of (4.3) is given by the formula in the right hand side of (4.4). Since (4.4) is a well-defined endomorphism of  $C(\widehat{G})$  because  $\widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G})$  is clopen by (i), we conclude by taking the inverse Fourier transform that (4.3) is an endomorphism of  $C^*(G)$ . This also proves (iii).

Take  $z \in G$  such that  $\phi_n(z) = y$ . Then  $\{x \mid \phi_n(x) = y\} = z(\ker \phi_n)$ , and for  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{\phi_n(x)=y} \delta_x \right)^\wedge(\gamma) &= \sum_{\phi_n(x)=\phi_n(z)} \gamma(x) \\ &= \gamma(z) \sum_{\{x \in \ker \phi_n\}} \gamma(x) \\ &= \begin{cases} \gamma(z) |\ker \phi_n|, & \text{if } \gamma \in (\ker \phi_n)^\perp \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma \notin (\ker \phi_n)^\perp, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

because  $\{\gamma(x) \mid x \in \ker \phi_n\}$  is a non-trivial subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  when  $\gamma \notin (\ker \phi_n)^\perp$ . A routine calculation shows that  $\gamma(z) = \widehat{\delta_{\phi_n(z)}}(\widehat{\phi}_n^{-1}(\gamma))$  when  $\gamma \in \widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G}) = (\ker \phi_n)^\perp$ . So

$$\left( \frac{1}{|\ker \phi_n|} \sum_{\phi_n(x)=\phi_n(z)} \delta_x \right)^\wedge(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\delta_{\phi_n(z)}}(\widehat{\phi}_n^{-1}(\gamma)), & \text{if } \gamma \in \widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G}) \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma \notin \widehat{\phi}_n(\widehat{G}), \end{cases}$$

as claimed. To prove that  $\alpha_m \alpha_n = \alpha_{mn}$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we need to know that  $|\ker \phi_{mn}| = |\ker \phi_m| \cdot |\ker \phi_n|$ , and this follows from the observation at the beginning of section 4.1.  $\square$

Towards identifying the crossed product  $C^*(G) \rtimes_{\beta, \alpha} \mathbb{N}^*$  of the system obtained in Corollary 4.5 we first prove a general result, and then notice that we can apply it in our setting because  $\beta_n \circ \alpha_n = \text{id}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We stress that the setup of the next result combines two actions with different properties: one is by unital endomorphisms, for which a crossed product as in [18, 13] implemented by an isometric representation

of  $S$  is not meaningful, the other action is by injective endomorphisms with hereditary range, and this class fits very well the crossed product construction of [18, 13]. The point is that by viewing the two actions as transfer operators for each other we can treat them in a unified way using our generalisation of Exel's crossed product.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\beta, L : S \rightarrow \text{End } A$  are actions such that  $\beta_s(1) = 1$ ,  $L_s$  is a transfer operator for  $\beta_s$ , and  $\beta_s \circ L_s = \text{id}$ , so that in particular  $\beta_s$  is trivially a transfer operator for  $L_s$ , for all  $s \in S$ .*

*Then the map  $(\pi, V) \mapsto (\pi, V^*)$  is a bijective correspondence between Toeplitz covariant representations of  $(A, S, L, \beta)$  which satisfy*

$$(4.5) \quad V_s \pi(a) V_s^* = \pi(L_s(a)),$$

*for all  $s \in S, a \in A$ , and Toeplitz covariant representations of  $(A, S, \beta, L)$  such that*

$$(4.6) \quad \pi(a) = \pi(a) V_s^* V_s,$$

*for all  $s \in S, a \in A$ , and hence induces an isomorphism of  $A \rtimes_{L, \beta} S$  onto  $A \rtimes_{\beta, L} S$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $X$  and  $Y$  the product systems over  $S$  of Hilbert bimodules over  $A$  associated to  $(A, S, \beta, L)$  and  $(A, S, L, \beta)$ .

Since  $L_s$  is a transfer operator for  $\beta_s$ , we have  $L_s \circ \beta_s(b) = L_s(1)bL_s(1)$  for all  $s \in S, b \in A$ . Thus, since  $\beta_s$  is surjective, the range of  $L_s$  is the corner  $L_s(1)AL_s(1)$  of  $A$  and  $\beta_s(a) = L_s^{-1}(L_s(1)aL_s(1))$ , for all  $a \in A, s \in S$ . By the proof of Proposition 3.4,  $A \rtimes_{L, \beta} S$  is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra for Toeplitz representations of  $Y$  which are coisometric with respect to the family of ideals  $\{K_s = \overline{AL_s(A)A} \subset \phi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(Y_s)) \mid s \in S\}$ . Since  $\beta_s(1) = 1$  for all  $s$ , Proposition 3.3 says that  $A \rtimes_{\beta, L} S$  is the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of  $X$ , and is therefore universal for Toeplitz representations of  $X$  which are coisometric on the family of ideals  $\{J_s = \phi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X_s)) \mid s \in S\}$ .

Suppose first that  $(\pi, V)$  is a Toeplitz covariant representation of  $(A, S, L, \beta)$  which satisfies (4.5). Since  $\beta_s(1) = 1$ , (TC2) implies that  $V_s^* V_s = \pi(\beta_s(1)) = 1$ , so  $V_s$  is an isometry, hence (4.6) is trivial. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\beta_s(a)) V_s^* &= V_s^* \pi(L_s \circ \beta_s(a)) \text{ by (TC1) for } (\pi, V) \\ &= V_s^* \pi(L_s(1)a) \\ &= V_s^* \pi(a) \text{ by (4.5),} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $(\pi, V^*)$  is Toeplitz covariant for  $(A, S, \beta, L)$  because condition (TC2) is identical to (4.5).

Conversely, suppose that  $(\pi, V^*)$  is Toeplitz covariant for  $(A, S, \beta, L)$ . Then (TC2) gives (4.5). Using (4.6) and (TC2) gives  $V_s \pi(a) = V_s \pi(a) V_s^* V_s = \pi(L_s(a)) V_s$ , and by (TC1) and (4.6) we also have  $V_s^* \pi(a) V_s = \pi(\beta_s(a)) V_s^* V_s = \pi(\beta_s(a))$ , for all  $a \in A$  and  $s \in S$ . Therefore  $(\pi, V)$  is a Toeplitz covariant representation of  $(A, S, L, \beta)$  with the required properties.

For every Toeplitz representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $(A, S, L, \beta)$  which satisfies (4.5), let  $\psi_{\pi, V}$  denote the Toeplitz representation of  $Y$  given by (3.4) for  $(\pi, V)$ . By the first part

of the proof,  $\psi_{\pi, V^*}$  is a Toeplitz representation of  $X$ . Lemma 3.5 implies that  $\psi_{\pi, V}$  is coisometric on  $\{K_s\}_s$ , and we claim that  $\psi_{\pi, V^*}$  is coisometric on  $\{J_s\}_s$ . Indeed, for  $a \in J_s$  written as  $a = bc^*$  for  $b, c \in A$ , the claim follows from the computations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\pi, V^*}^{(s)}(\phi_s(a)) &= \psi_{\pi, V^*}^{(s)}(\theta_{(s,b), (s,c)}) \\ &= \psi_{\pi, V^*}(s, b)\psi_{\pi, V^*}(s, c)^* = \pi(b)V_s^*V_s\pi(c^*) \\ &= \pi(a) \text{ by (4.6).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the bijective correspondence  $(\pi, V) \mapsto (\pi, V^*)$  induces a bijective correspondence  $\psi_{\pi, V} \mapsto \psi_{\pi, V^*}$  from the Toeplitz representations of  $Y$  which are coisometric on  $\{K_s\}_s$  onto the Toeplitz representations of  $X$  which are coisometric on  $\{J_s\}_s$ . Hence the isomorphism  $A \rtimes_{L, \beta} S \cong A \rtimes_{\beta, L} S$  follows from universal properties.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.7, there are isomorphisms*

$$A \rtimes_{\beta, L} S \cong A \rtimes_{L, \beta} S \cong A \rtimes_L S.$$

*Proof.* The second isomorphism follows from Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

Notice that the above isomorphisms convert the isometric representation of  $S$  implementing  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} S$  into a representation of  $S$  by coisometries which implements  $A \rtimes_{\beta, L} S$ .

*Example 4.9.* The hypotheses of Proposition 4.4 are satisfied by the divisible group  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , since  $|\ker \phi_n| = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . The crossed product  $C((\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^\wedge) \rtimes_{\beta, \hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{N}^*$ , or dually,  $C^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\beta, \alpha} \mathbb{N}^*$ , is by Corollary 4.8 isomorphic to  $C^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}^*$ . By [14, Corollary 2.10], this last crossed product is isomorphic to the Hecke  $C^*$ -algebra of Bost and Connes from [3].

*Remark 4.10.* In Proposition 4.1 we can restrict attention to the unital subsemigroup  $\mathbb{N}^F$  of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  consisting of non-zero positive integers which have prime factorisation in a fixed subset  $F$  of the set  $\mathcal{P}$  of prime numbers. Then it is possible to translate the results of this section to the similar actions  $\beta$  and  $L$  of  $\mathbb{N}^F$ . In particular, the analogue of Example 4.9 would show that  $C(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\beta, L} \mathbb{N}^F$  is isomorphic to one of the Hecke  $C^*$ -algebras from [5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Adji, *Invariant ideals of crossed products by semigroups of endomorphisms*, Functional Analysis and Global Analysis (T. Sunada and P. W. Sy, Eds.), Springer Verlag, Singapore, 1997, pages 1–8.
- [2] S. Adji, M. Laca, M. Nilsen and I. Raeburn, *Crossed products by semigroups of endomorphisms and the Toeplitz algebras of ordered groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), 1133–1141.
- [3] J.-B. Bost and A. Connes, *Hecke algebras, type III factors and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory*, Selecta Math. (New Series) **1** (1995), 411–457.
- [4] N. Brownlowe, *Exel's crossed product for non-unital  $C^*$ -algebras*, in preparation.
- [5] N. Brownlowe, N. S. Larsen, I. F. Putnam and I. Raeburn, *Subquotients of Hecke  $C^*$ -algebras*, preprint math.OA/0309429.

- [6] N. Brownlowe and I. Raeburn, *Exel's Crossed Product and Relative Cuntz-Pimsner Algebras*, preprint math.OA/0408324.
- [7] J. Cuntz, *The internal structure of simple  $C^*$ -algebras*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982, pages 85–115.
- [8] R. Exel, *A new look at the crossed product of a  $C^*$ -algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **23** (2003), 1733–1750.
- [9] R. Exel, D. Royer, *The crossed product by a partial endomorphism*, preprint math.OA/0410192.
- [10] N. Fowler, *Discrete product systems of Hilbert bimodules*, Pacific J. Math. **204** (2002), 335–375.
- [11] N. Fowler, P. Muhly and I. Raeburn, *Representations of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 569–605.
- [12] N. Fowler and I. Raeburn, *The Toeplitz algebra of a Hilbert bimodule*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), 155–181.
- [13] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Semigroup crossed products and the Toeplitz algebras of nonabelian groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **139** (1996), 415–440.
- [14] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *A semigroup crossed product arising in number theory*, J. London Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 330–344.
- [15] N. S. Larsen, *Non-unital semigroup crossed products*, Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **100A** (2000), 205–218.
- [16] N. S. Larsen and I. Raeburn, *Faithful representations of crossed products by actions of  $\mathbb{N}^k$* . Math. Scand. **89** (2001), 283–296.
- [17] P. S. Muhly and B. Solel, *Tensor algebras over  $C^*$ -correspondences (representations, dilations and  $C^*$ -envelopes)*, J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), 389–457.
- [18] G. J. Murphy, *Crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras by endomorphisms*, Integral Equations Oper. Theory **24** (1996), 298–319.
- [19] W. L. Paschke, *The crossed products of a  $C^*$ -algebra by an endomorphism*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 113–118.
- [20] M. V. Pimsner, *A class of  $C^*$ -algebras generalising both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed products by  $\mathbb{Z}$* , Fields Institute Comm. **12** (1997), 189–212.
- [21] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.
- [22] P. J. Stacey, *Crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras by  $*$ -endomorphisms*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A **54** (1993), 204–212.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, P.O. BOX 1053 BLINDERN, N-0316 OSLO, NORWAY.

*E-mail address:* nadiasl@math.uio.no