

MIXED RESOLUTIONS AND SIMPLICIAL SECTIONS

AMNON YEKUTIELI

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notions of mixed resolutions and simplicial sections, and prove a theorem relating them. This result is used (in another paper) to study deformation quantization in algebraic geometry.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic 0. In this paper we present several technical results about the geometry of \mathbb{K} -schemes. These results were discovered in the course of work on deformation quantization in algebraic geometry, and they play a crucial role in [Ye3]. This role will be explained at the end of the introduction. The idea behind the constructions in this paper can be traced back to old work of Bott [Bo, HY].

Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of \mathbb{K} -schemes, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{(0)}, \dots, U_{(m)}\}$ be an open covering of X . A *simplicial section* σ of π , based on the covering \mathcal{U} , consists of a family of morphisms $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}} : \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q \times U_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow Z$, where $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_q)$ is a multi-index; $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q$ is the q -dimensional geometric simplex; and $U_{\mathbf{i}} := U_{(i_0)} \cap \dots \cap U_{(i_q)}$. The morphisms $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}$ are required to be compatible with π and to satisfy simplicial relations. See Definition 5.1 for details. An important example of a simplicial section is mentioned at the end of the introduction.

Another notion we introduce is that of *mixed resolution*. Here we assume the \mathbb{K} -scheme X is smooth and separated, and each of the open sets $U_{(i)}$ in the covering \mathcal{U} is affine. Given a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{M} we define its mixed resolution $\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M})$. This is a complex of sheaves on X , concentrated in non-negative degrees. As the name suggests, this resolution mixes two distinct types of resolutions: a de Rham type resolution which is related to the sheaf \mathcal{P}_X of principal parts of X and its Grothendieck connection, and a simplicial-Čech type resolution which is related to the covering \mathcal{U} . The precise definition is too complicated to state here – see Section 4.

Let $\mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$ denote the abelian category of bounded below complexes of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules. For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$ the mixed resolution $\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M})$ is defined by totalizing the double complex $\bigoplus_{p,q} \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^q(\mathcal{M}^p)$. The derived category of \mathbb{K} -modules is denoted by $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$.

Theorem 0.1. *Let X be a smooth separated \mathbb{K} -scheme, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{(0)}, \dots, U_{(m)}\}$ be an affine open covering of X .*

Date: 8 December 2005.

Key words and phrases. Simplicial set, sheaf, differentials, resolutions.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000. Primary: 14F10; Secondary: 18G30, 16E45, 18G10.

This work was partially supported by the US - Israel Binational Science Foundation.

- (1) *There is a functorial quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})$ for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$.*
- (2) *Given $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$, the canonical morphism $\Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}))$ in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$ is an isomorphism.*
- (3) *The quasi-isomorphism in part (1) induces a functorial isomorphism $\Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \text{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M})$ in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$.*

This is repeated as Theorem 4.15 in the body of the paper. Note that part (3) is a formal consequence of parts (1) and (2).

A useful corollary of the theorem is the following (see Corollary 4.16). Suppose \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are two complexes in $\mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$, and $\phi : \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear quasi-isomorphism. Then

$$\Gamma(X, \phi) : \Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N}))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Here is the connection between simplicial sections and mixed resolutions.

Theorem 0.2. *Let X be a smooth separated \mathbb{K} -scheme, let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of schemes, and let \mathbf{U} be an affine open covering of X . Suppose σ is a simplicial section of π based on \mathbf{U} . Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_r, \mathcal{N}$ be quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and let*

$$\phi : \prod_{i=1}^r \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}_i) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N})$$

be a continuous \mathcal{O}_Z -multilinear sheaf morphism on Z . Then there is an induced \mathbb{K} -multilinear sheaf morphism

$$\sigma^*(\phi) : \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}_i) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})$$

on X .

In the theorem, the continuity and the complete pullback π^* refer to the dir-inv structures on these sheaves, which are explained in Section 1. A more detailed statement is Theorem 5.2 in the body of the paper.

Let us explain, in vague terms, how Theorem 0.2, or rather Theorem 5.2, is used in the paper [Ye3]. Let X be a smooth separated n -dimensional \mathbb{K} -scheme. As we know from the work of Kontsevich [Ko], there are two important sheaves of DG Lie algebras on X , namely the sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X}$ of poly derivations, and the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X}$ of poly differential operators. Suppose \mathbf{U} is some affine open covering of X . The inclusions $\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X} \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X} \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X})$ are then quasi-isomorphisms of sheaves of DG Lie algebras (cf. Theorem 0.1). The goal is to find an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism

$$\Psi : \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X}) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X})$$

between these sheaves of DG Lie algebras. Having such an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism pretty much implies the solution of the deformation quantization problem for X .

Let $\text{Coor } X$ denote the coordinate bundle of X . This is an infinite dimensional bundle over X , endowed with an action of the group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Let $\text{LCC } X$ be the quotient bundle $\text{Coor } X / \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$. In [Ye4] we proved that if the covering \mathbf{U} is fine

enough (the condition is that each open set $U_{(i)}$ admits an étale morphism to $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$), then the projection $\pi : \text{LCC } X \rightarrow X$ admits a simplicial section σ .

Now the universal deformation formula of Kontsevich [Ko] gives rise to a continuous L_∞ quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{U} : \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X})$$

on $\text{LCC } X$. This means that there is a sequence of continuous $\mathcal{O}_{\text{LCC } X}$ -multilinear sheaf morphisms

$$\mathcal{U}_r : \prod^r \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X}),$$

$r \geq 1$, satisfying very complicated identities. Using Theorem 5.2 we obtain a sequence of multilinear sheaf morphisms

$$\sigma^*(\mathcal{U}_r) : \prod^r \text{Mix}_U(\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X}) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_U(\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X})$$

on X . After twisting these morphisms suitably (this is needed due to the presence of the Grothendieck connection; cf. [Ye2]) we obtain the desired L_∞ quasi-isomorphism Ψ .

We believe that mixed resolutions, and the results of this paper, shall have additional applications in algebraic geometry (e.g. algebro-geometric versions of results on index theorems in differential geometry, cf. [NT]; or a proof of Kontsevich's famous yet unproved claim on Hochschild cohomology of a scheme [Ko, Claim 8.4]).

1. REVIEW OF DIR-INV MODULES

We begin the paper with a review of the concept of dir-inv structure, which was introduced in [Ye2]. A dir-inv structure is a generalization of adic topology.

Let C be a commutative ring. We denote by $\text{Mod } C$ the category of C -modules.

- Definition 1.1.** (1) Let $M \in \text{Mod } C$. An *inv module structure* on M is an inverse system $\{F^i M\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of C -submodules of M . The pair $(M, \{F^i M\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ is called an *inv C -module*.
- (2) Let $(M, \{F^i M\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ and $(N, \{F^i N\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ be two inv C -modules. A function $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ (C -linear or not) is said to be *continuous* if for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $i' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi(F^{i'} M) \subset F^i N$.
- (3) Define $\text{Inv Mod } C$ to be the category whose objects are the inv C -modules, and whose morphisms are the continuous C -linear homomorphisms.

There is a full and faithful embedding of categories $\text{Mod } C \hookrightarrow \text{Inv Mod } C$, $M \mapsto (M, \{\dots, 0, 0\})$.

Recall that a directed set is a partially ordered set J with the property that for any $j_1, j_2 \in J$ there exists $j_3 \in J$ such that $j_1, j_2 \leq j_3$.

- Definition 1.2.** (1) Let $M \in \text{Mod } C$. A *dir-inv module structure* on M is a direct system $\{F_j M\}_{j \in J}$ of C -submodules of M , indexed by a nonempty directed set J , together with an inv module structure on each $F_j M$, such that for every $j_1 \leq j_2$ the inclusion $F_{j_1} M \hookrightarrow F_{j_2} M$ is continuous. The pair $(M, \{F_j M\}_{j \in J})$ is called a *dir-inv C -module*.
- (2) Let $(M, \{F_j M\}_{j \in J})$ and $(N, \{F_k N\}_{k \in K})$ be two dir-inv C -modules. A function $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ (C -linear or not) is said to be *continuous* if for every $j \in J$ there exists $k \in K$ such that $\phi(F_j M) \subset F_k N$, and $\phi : F_j M \rightarrow F_k N$ is a continuous homomorphism between these two inv C -modules.

- (3) Define $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$ to be the category whose objects are the dir-inv C -modules, and whose morphisms are the continuous C -linear homomorphisms.

An inv C -module M can be endowed with a dir-inv module structure $\{F_j M\}_{j \in J}$, where $J := \{0\}$ and $F_0 M := M$. Thus we get a full and faithful embedding $\text{Inv Mod } C \hookrightarrow \text{Dir Inv Mod } C$.

Inv modules and dir-inv modules come in a few “flavors”: trivial, discrete and complete. A *discrete inv module* is one which is isomorphic, in $\text{Inv Mod } C$, to an object of $\text{Mod } C$ (via the canonical embedding above). A *complete inv module* is an inv module $(M, \{F^i M\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ such that the canonical map $M \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow i} F^i M$ is bijective. A *discrete* (resp. *complete*) *dir-inv module* is one which is isomorphic, in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$, to a dir-inv module $(M, \{F_j M\}_{j \in J})$, where all the inv modules $F_j M$ are discrete (resp. complete), and the canonical map $\lim_{j \rightarrow} F_j M \rightarrow M$ in $\text{Mod } C$ is bijective. A *trivial dir-inv module* is one which is isomorphic to an object of $\text{Mod } C$. Discrete dir-inv modules are complete, but there are also other complete modules, as the next example shows.

Example 1.3. Assume C is noetherian and \mathfrak{c} -adically complete for some ideal \mathfrak{c} . Let M be a finitely generated C -module, and define $F^i M := \mathfrak{c}^{i+1} M$. Then $\{F^i M\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called the *\mathfrak{c} -adic inv structure*, and of course $(M, \{F^i M\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a complete inv module. Next consider an arbitrary C -module M . We take $\{F_j M\}_{j \in J}$ to be the collection of finitely generated C -submodules of M . This dir-inv module structure on M is called the *\mathfrak{c} -adic dir-inv structure*. Again $(M, \{F_j M\}_{j \in J})$ is a complete dir-inv C -module. Note that a finitely generated C -module M is discrete as inv module iff $\mathfrak{c}^i M = 0$ for $i \gg 0$; and a C -module is discrete as dir-inv module iff it is a direct limit of discrete finitely generated modules.

The category $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$ is additive. Given a collection $\{M_k\}_{k \in K}$ of dir-inv modules, the direct sum $\bigoplus_{k \in K} M_k$ has a structure of dir-inv module, making it into the coproduct of $\{M_k\}_{k \in K}$ in the category $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$. Note that if the index set K is infinite and each M_k is a nonzero discrete inv module, then $\bigoplus_{k \in K} M_k$ is a discrete dir-inv module which is not trivial. The tensor product $M \otimes_C N$ of two dir-inv modules is again a dir-inv module. There is a completion functor $M \mapsto \widehat{M}$. (Warning: if M is complete then $\widehat{M} = M$, but it is not known if \widehat{M} is complete for arbitrary M .) The completed tensor product is $M \widehat{\otimes}_C N := \widehat{M \otimes_C N}$. Completion commutes with direct sums: if $M \cong \bigoplus_{k \in K} M_k$ then $\widehat{M} \cong \bigoplus_{k \in K} \widehat{M}_k$. See [Ye2] for full details.

A *graded dir-inv module* (or graded object in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$) is a direct sum $M = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k$, where each M_k is a dir-inv module. A *DG algebra* in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$ is a graded dir-inv module $A = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A^k$, together with continuous C -(bi)linear functions $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow A$ and $d : A \rightarrow A$, which make A into a DG C -algebra. If A is a super-commutative associative unital DG algebra in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$, and \mathfrak{g} is a DG Lie Algebra in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$, then $A \widehat{\otimes}_C \mathfrak{g}$ is a DG Lie Algebra in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$.

Let A be a super-commutative associative unital DG algebra in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$. A *DG A -module* in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$ is a graded object M in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$, together with continuous C -(bi)linear functions $\mu : A \times M \rightarrow M$ and $d : M \rightarrow M$, which make M into a DG A -module in the usual sense. A *DG A -module Lie algebra* in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$ is a DG Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } C$, together with a continuous C -bilinear function $\mu : A \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, such that \mathfrak{g} becomes a DG A -module,

and

$$[a_1\gamma_1, a_2\gamma_2] = (-1)^{i_2j_1} a_1a_2 [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$$

for all $a_k \in A^{i_k}$ and $\gamma_k \in \mathfrak{g}^{j_k}$.

All the constructions above can be geometrized. Let (Y, \mathcal{O}) be a commutative ringed space over \mathbb{K} , i.e. Y is a topological space, and \mathcal{O} is a sheaf of commutative \mathbb{K} -algebras on Y . We denote by $\mathbf{Mod} \mathcal{O}$ the category of \mathcal{O} -modules on Y .

Example 1.4. Geometrizing Example 1.3, let \mathfrak{X} be a noetherian formal scheme, with defining ideal \mathcal{I} . Then any coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module \mathcal{M} is an inv $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module, with system of submodules $\{\mathcal{I}^{i+1}\mathcal{M}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\mathcal{M} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$; cf. [EGA I]. We call an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module *dir-coherent* if it is the direct limit of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -modules. Any dir-coherent module is quasi-coherent, but it is not known if the converse is true. At any rate, a dir-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module \mathcal{M} is a dir-inv $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module, where we take $\{F_j\mathcal{M}\}_{j \in J}$ to be the collection of coherent submodules of \mathcal{M} . Any dir-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module is then a complete dir-inv module. This dir-inv module structure on \mathcal{M} is called the *\mathcal{I} -adic dir-inv structure*. Note that a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module \mathcal{M} is discrete as inv module iff $\mathcal{I}^i\mathcal{M} = 0$ for $i \gg 0$; and a dir-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module is discrete as dir-inv module iff it is a direct limit of discrete coherent modules.

If $f : (Y', \mathcal{O}') \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O})$ is a morphism of ringed spaces and $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{Dir} \mathbf{Inv} \mathbf{Mod} \mathcal{O}$, then there is an obvious structure of dir-inv \mathcal{O}' -module on $f^*\mathcal{M}$, and we define $f^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}} := \widehat{f^*\mathcal{M}}$. If \mathcal{M} is a graded object in $\mathbf{Dir} \mathbf{Inv} \mathbf{Mod} \mathcal{O}$, then the inverse images $f^*\mathcal{M}$ and $f^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ are graded objects in $\mathbf{Dir} \mathbf{Inv} \mathbf{Mod} \mathcal{O}'$. If \mathcal{G} is an algebra (resp. a DG algebra) in $\mathbf{Dir} \mathbf{Inv} \mathbf{Mod} \mathcal{O}$, then $f^*\mathcal{G}$ and $f^*\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are algebras (resp. DG algebras) in $\mathbf{Dir} \mathbf{Inv} \mathbf{Mod} \mathcal{O}'$. Given $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{Dir} \mathbf{Inv} \mathbf{Mod} \mathcal{O}'$ there is an obvious dir-inv \mathcal{O} -module structure on $f_*\mathcal{N}$.

Example 1.5. Let (Y, \mathcal{O}) be a ringed space and $V \subset Y$ an open set. For a dir-inv \mathcal{O} -module \mathcal{M} there is an obvious way to make $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{M})$ into a dir-inv \mathcal{O} -module. If \mathcal{M} is a complete inv \mathcal{O} -module then $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{M})$ is a complete inv \mathcal{O} -module. If V is quasi-compact and \mathcal{M} is a complete dir-inv \mathcal{O} -module, then $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{M})$ is a complete dir-inv $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O})$ -module.

2. COMPLETE THOM-SULLIVAN COCHAINS

From here on \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic 0. Let us begin with some abstract notions about cosimplicial modules and their normalizations, following [HS] and [HY]. We use the notation $\mathbf{Mod} \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbf{DGMod} \mathbb{K}$ for the categories of \mathbb{K} -modules and DG (differential graded) \mathbb{K} -modules respectively.

Let Δ denote the category with objects the ordered sets $[q] := \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$. The morphisms $[p] \rightarrow [q]$ are the order preserving functions, and we write $\Delta_p^q := \mathbf{Hom}_{\Delta}([p], [q])$. The i -th co-face map $\partial^i : [p] \rightarrow [p+1]$ is the injective function that does not take the value i ; and the i -th co-degeneracy map $s^i : [p] \rightarrow [p-1]$ is the surjective function that takes the value i twice. All morphisms in Δ are compositions of various ∂^i and s^i .

An element of Δ_p^q may be thought of as a sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_p)$ of integers with $0 \leq i_0 \leq \dots \leq i_p \leq q$. Given $\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_p^m$, $\mathbf{j} \in \Delta_m^p$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_p^q$, we sometimes write $\alpha_*(\mathbf{i}) := \mathbf{i} \circ \alpha \in \Delta_p^m$ and $\alpha^*(\mathbf{j}) := \alpha \circ \mathbf{j} \in \Delta_m^q$.

Let \mathbf{C} be some category. A *cosimplicial object* in \mathbf{C} is a functor $C : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We shall usually refer to the cosimplicial object as $C = \{C^p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$, and for any $\alpha \in \Delta_p^q$

the corresponding morphism in \mathbf{C} will be denoted by $\alpha^* : C^p \rightarrow C^q$. A *simplicial object* in \mathbf{C} is a functor $C : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The notation for a simplicial object will be $C = \{C_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\alpha_* : C_q \rightarrow C_p$.

Suppose $M = \{M^q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a cosimplicial \mathbb{K} -module. The *standard normalization* of M is the DG module NM defined as follows: $N^q M := \bigcap_{i=0}^{q-1} \text{Ker}(s^i : M^q \rightarrow M^{q-1})$. The differential is $\partial := \sum_{i=0}^{q+1} (-1)^i \partial^i : N^q M \rightarrow N^{q+1} M$. We get a functor $N : \Delta \text{Mod } \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \text{DGMod } \mathbb{K}$.

For any q let $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q$ be the *geometric q -dimensional simplex*

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q := \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[t_0, \dots, t_q] / (t_0 + \dots + t_q - 1).$$

The i -th vertex of $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q$ is the \mathbb{K} -rational point x such that $t_i(x) = 1$ and $t_j(x) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. We identify the vertices of $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q$ with the ordered set $[q] = \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$. For any $\alpha : [p] \rightarrow [q]$ in Δ there is a unique linear morphism $\alpha : \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^p \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q$ extending it, and in this way $\{\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a cosimplicial scheme.

For a \mathbb{K} -scheme X we write $\Omega^p(X) := \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{K}}^p)$. Taking $X := \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q$ we have a super-commutative associative unital DG \mathbb{K} -algebra $\Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega^p(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q)$, that is generated as \mathbb{K} -algebra by the elements $t_0, \dots, t_q, dt_0, \dots, dt_q$. The collection $\{\Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q)\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a simplicial DG algebra, namely a functor from Δ^{op} to the category of DG \mathbb{K} -algebras.

In [HY] we made use of the Thom-Sullivan normalization $\tilde{N}M$ of a cosimplicial \mathbb{K} -module M . For some applications (specifically [Ye3]) a complete version of this construction is needed. Recall that for $M, N \in \text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}$ we can define the complete tensor product $N \hat{\otimes} M$. The \mathbb{K} -modules $\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l)$ are always considered as discrete inv modules, so $\Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l)$ is a discrete dir-inv DG \mathbb{K} -algebra.

Definition 2.1. Suppose $M = \{M^q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a cosimplicial dir-inv \mathbb{K} -module, namely each $M^q \in \text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}$, and the morphisms $\alpha^* : M^p \rightarrow M^q$, for $\alpha \in \Delta_p^q$, are continuous \mathbb{K} -linear homomorphisms. Let

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{N}^q M \subset \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \hat{\otimes} M^l \right)$$

be the submodule consisting of all sequences (u_0, u_1, \dots) , with $u_l \in \Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \hat{\otimes} M^l$, such that

$$(2.3) \quad (\mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha^*)(u_k) = (\alpha_* \otimes \mathbf{1})(u_l) \in \Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^k) \hat{\otimes} M^l$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\alpha \in \Delta_k^l$. Define a coboundary operator $\partial : \hat{N}^q M \rightarrow \hat{N}^{q+1} M$ using the exterior derivative $d : \Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l)$. The resulting DG \mathbb{K} -module $(\hat{N}M, \partial)$ is called the *complete Thom-Sullivan normalization of M* .

The \mathbb{K} -module $\hat{N}M = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{N}^q M$ is viewed as an abstract module. We obtain a functor

$$\hat{N} : \Delta \text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \text{DGMod } \mathbb{K}.$$

Remark 2.4. In case each M^l is a discrete dir-inv module one has $\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \hat{\otimes} M^l = \Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \otimes M^l$, and therefore $\hat{N}M = \tilde{N}M$.

The standard normalization NM also makes sense here, via the forgetful functor $\Delta \text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \Delta \text{Mod } \mathbb{K}$. The two normalizations \hat{N} and N are related as follows. Let $\int_{\Delta^l} : \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be the \mathbb{K} -linear map of degree $-l$ defined by

integration on the compact real l -dimensional simplex, namely $\int_{\Delta^l} dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_l = \frac{1}{l!}$ etc. Suppose each dir-inv module M^l is complete, so that using [Ye2, Proposition 1.5] we get a functorial \mathbb{K} -linear homomorphism

$$\int_{\Delta^l} : \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} M^l \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \widehat{\otimes} M^l \cong M^l.$$

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose $M = \{M^q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a cosimplicial dir-inv \mathbb{K} -module, with all dir-inv modules M^q complete. Then the homomorphisms \int_{Δ^l} induce a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\int_{\Delta} : \widehat{N}M \rightarrow NM$$

in $\text{DGMod } \mathbb{K}$.

Proof. This is a complete version of [HY, Theorem 1.12]. Let Δ^l be the simplicial set $\Delta^l := \text{Hom}_{\Delta}(-, [l])$; so its set of p -simplices is Δ_p^l . Define C_l to be the algebra of normalized cochains on Δ^l , namely

$$C_l := N \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(\Delta^l, \mathbb{K}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(\Delta^{l, \text{nd}}, \mathbb{K}).$$

Here $\Delta^{l, \text{nd}}$ is the (finite) set of nondegenerate simplices, i.e. those sequences $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_p)$ satisfying $0 \leq i_0 < \cdots < i_p \leq l$. As explained in [HY, Appendix A] we have simplicial DG algebras $C = \{C_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}) = \{\Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l)\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$, and a homomorphism of simplicial DG modules $\rho : \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}) \rightarrow C$.

It turns out (this is work of Bousfield-Gugenheim) that ρ is a homotopy equivalence in $\Delta^{\text{op}} \text{DGMod } \mathbb{K}$, i.e. there are simplicial homomorphisms $\phi : C \rightarrow \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}})$, $h : C \rightarrow C$ and $h' : \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}) \rightarrow \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}})$ such that $\mathbf{1} - \rho \circ \phi = h \circ d + d \circ h$ and $\mathbf{1} - \phi \circ \rho = h' \circ d + d \circ h'$.

Now for $M = \{M^q\} \in \Delta \text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}$ and $N = \{N_q\} \in \Delta^{\text{op}} \text{Mod } \mathbb{K}$ let $N \widehat{\otimes}_{\leftarrow} M$ be the complete version of [HY, formula (A.1)], so that in particular $\Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\leftarrow} M \cong \widehat{N}M$ and $C \widehat{\otimes}_{\leftarrow} M \cong NM$. Moreover

$$\rho \widehat{\otimes}_{\leftarrow} \mathbf{1}_M = \int_{\Delta} : \widehat{N}M \rightarrow NM.$$

It follows that \int_{Δ} is a homotopy equivalence in $\text{DGMod } \mathbb{K}$. \square

Suppose $A = \{A^q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a cosimplicial DG algebra in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}$ (not necessarily associative nor commutative). This is a pretty complicated object: for every q we have a DG algebra $A^q = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{q,i}$ in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}$. For every $\alpha \in \Delta_p^q$ there is a continuous DG algebra homomorphism $\alpha^* : A^p \rightarrow A^q$, and the α^* have to satisfy the simplicial relations.

Anyhow, both $\widehat{N}A$ and NA are DG algebras. For $\widehat{N}A$ the DG algebra structure comes from that of the DG algebras $\Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} A^l$, via the embeddings (2.2). In case each A^l is an associative super-commutative unital DG \mathbb{K} -algebra, then so is $\widehat{N}A$. Likewise for DG Lie algebras. (The algebra NA , with its Alexander-Whitney product, is very noncommutative.)

Assume that each $A^{q,i}$ is complete, so that the integral $\int_{\Delta} : \widehat{N}A \rightarrow NA$ is defined. This is not a DG algebra homomorphism. However:

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose $A = \{A^q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a cosimplicial DG algebra in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}$, with all A^q complete. Then the homomorphisms \int_{Δ^i} induce an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$H\left(\int_{\Delta}\right) : H\hat{N}A \xrightarrow{\sim} HNA.$$

Proof. This is a complete variant of [HY, Theorem 1.13]. The proof is identical, after replacing “ \otimes ” with “ $\hat{\otimes}$ ” where needed; cf. proof of previous proposition. \square

Remark 2.7. If A is associative then presumably \int_{Δ} extends to an A_{∞} quasi-isomorphism $\hat{N}A \rightarrow NA$.

3. COMMUTATIVE ČECH RESOLUTIONS

In this section \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic 0 and X is a noetherian topological space. We denote by \mathbb{K}_X the constant sheaf \mathbb{K} on X . We will be interested in the category $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}_X$, whose objects are sheaves of \mathbb{K} -modules on X with dir-inv structures. Note that any open set $V \subset X$ is quasi-compact.

Let $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^m U_{(i)}$ be an open covering, which we denote by \mathbf{U} . For any $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_q) \in \Delta_q^m$ define $U_{\mathbf{i}} := U_{(i_0)} \cap \dots \cap U_{(i_q)}$, and let $g_{\mathbf{i}} : U_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Given a dir-inv \mathbb{K}_X -module \mathcal{M} and natural number q we define a sheaf

$$C^q(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}) := \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_q^m} g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}.$$

This is a finite product. For an open set $V \subset X$ we then have

$$\Gamma(V, C^q(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})) = \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_q^m} \Gamma(V \cap U_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathcal{M}).$$

For any \mathbf{i} the \mathbb{K} -module $\Gamma(V \cap U_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathcal{M})$ has a dir-inv structure. Hence $\Gamma(V, C^q(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}))$ is a dir-inv \mathbb{K} -module. If \mathcal{M} happens to be a complete dir-inv \mathbb{K}_X -module then $\Gamma(V, C^q(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}))$ is a complete dir-inv \mathbb{K} -module, since each $V \cap U_{\mathbf{i}}$ is quasi-compact.

Keeping V fixed we get a cosimplicial dir-inv \mathbb{K} -module $\{\Gamma(V, C^q(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}))\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Applying the functors N^q and \hat{N}^q we obtain \mathbb{K} -modules $N^q \Gamma(V, C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}))$ and $\hat{N}^q \Gamma(V, C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}))$. As we vary V these become presheaves of \mathbb{K} -modules, which we denote by $N^q C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$ and $\hat{N}^q C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$.

Recall that a simplex $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_q)$ is nondegenerate if $i_0 < \dots < i_q$. Let $\Delta_q^{m, \text{nd}}$ be the set of non-degenerate simplices inside Δ_q^m .

Lemma 3.1. *For every q the presheaves*

$$N^q C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}) : V \mapsto N^q \Gamma(V, C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}))$$

and

$$\hat{N}^q C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}) : V \mapsto \hat{N}^q \Gamma(V, C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}))$$

are sheaves. There is a functorial isomorphism of sheaves

$$(3.2) \quad N^q C(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}) \cong \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_q^{m, \text{nd}}} g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M},$$

and functorial embeddings of sheaves

$$(3.3) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{N}}^q \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_l^m} g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} (\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{N}}^0 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Proof. Since $\{C^q(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a cosimplicial sheaf we get the isomorphism (3.2).

As for $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}^q \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$, consider the sheaf $\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$ on X . Take any open set $V \subset X$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_q^m$. Since $V \cap U_{\mathbf{i}}$ is quasi-compact we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} \Gamma(V \cap U_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathcal{M}) &\cong \Gamma(V \cap U_{\mathbf{i}}, \Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) \\ &= \Gamma(V, g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} (\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M})). \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 2.1 there is an exact sequence of presheaves on X :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{N}}^q \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_l^m} g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} (\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) \\ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \alpha^* - \alpha_* \otimes 1} \prod_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_k^l} \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_l^m} g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} (\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^k) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the presheaves in the middle and on the right are actually sheaves, it follows that $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}^q \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$ is also a sheaf.

Finally the embedding (3.4) comes from the embeddings $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \Omega^0(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$, $w \mapsto 1 \otimes w$. \square

Thus we have complexes of sheaves $\mathbf{NC}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$. There are functorial homomorphisms $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{NC}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{N}}\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$. Note that the complex $\Gamma(X, \mathbf{NC}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}))$ is nothing but the usual global Čech complex of \mathcal{M} for the covering \mathbf{U} .

Definition 3.5. The complex $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M})$ is called the *commutative Čech resolution* of \mathcal{M} .

The reason for the name is that $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a sheaf of super-commutative DG algebras, as can be seen from the next lemma.

Lemma 3.6. Suppose $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_r, \mathcal{N}$ are dir-inv \mathbb{K}_X -modules, and $q_1, \dots, q_r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $q := q_1 + \dots + q_r$. Suppose that for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_l^m$ we are given \mathbb{K} -multilinear sheaf maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{q_1, \dots, q_r, \mathbf{i}} : (\Omega^{q_1}(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_1|_{U_{\mathbf{i}}})) \times \dots \times (\Omega^{q_r}(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_r|_{U_{\mathbf{i}}})) \\ \longrightarrow \Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{N}|_{U_{\mathbf{i}}}) \end{aligned}$$

that are continuous (for the dir-inv module structures), and are compatible with the simplicial structure as in Definition 2.1. Then there are unique \mathbb{K} -multilinear sheaf maps

$$\phi_{q_1, \dots, q_r} : \widehat{\mathbf{N}}^{q_1} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}_1) \times \dots \times \widehat{\mathbf{N}}^{q_r} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{M}_r) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{N}}^q \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{N})$$

that commute with the embeddings (3.3).

Proof. Direct verification. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_r, \mathcal{N}$ be dir-inv \mathbb{K}_X -modules, and $\phi : \prod \mathcal{M}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ a continuous \mathbb{K} -multilinear sheaf homomorphism. Then there is an induced homomorphism of complexes of sheaves*

$$\phi : \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}_r) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. Use Lemma 3.6. \square

In particular, if \mathcal{M} is a dir-inv \mathcal{O}_X -module then $\widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})$ is a DG $\widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -module.

If $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_p \mathcal{M}^p$ is a graded dir-inv \mathbb{K}_X -module then we define

$$\widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})^i := \bigoplus_{p+q=i} \widehat{\text{NC}}^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}^p)$$

and

$$\widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}) := \bigoplus_i \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})^i.$$

Due to Lemma 3.7, if \mathcal{M} is a complex in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}_X$, then $\widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})$ is also a complex (in $\text{Mod } \mathbb{K}_X$), and there is a functorial homomorphism of complexes $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be a noetherian topological space, with open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{(i)}\}_{i=0}^m$. Let \mathcal{M} be a bounded below complex in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}_X$, and assume each \mathcal{M}^p is a complete dir-inv \mathbb{K}_X -module. Then:*

- (1) *For any open set $V \subset X$ the homomorphism*

$$\Gamma(V, \int_{\Delta}) : \Gamma(V, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of \mathbb{K} -modules.

- (2) *There are functorial quasi-isomorphism of complexes of \mathbb{K}_X -modules*

$$\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\int_{\Delta}} \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Proof. (1) Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.5 imply that for any p the homomorphism of complexes

$$\Gamma(V, \int_{\Delta}) : \Gamma(V, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}^p)) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}^p))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Now use the standard filtration argument (the complexes in question are all bounded below).

- (2) From (1) we deduce that

$$(3.9) \quad \Gamma(V, \int_{\Delta}) : \Gamma(V, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence

$$\int_{\Delta} : \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves.

It is a known fact that $\mathcal{M}^p \rightarrow \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}^p)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of sheaves (see [Ha] Lemma 4.2). Again this implies that $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})$ is a quasi-isomorphism. And therefore the homomorphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})$ coming from (3.4) is also a quasi-isomorphism. \square

Now let us look at a separated noetherian formal scheme \mathfrak{X} . Let \mathcal{I} be some defining ideal of \mathfrak{X} , and let X be the scheme with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}$. So \mathfrak{X} and X have the same underlying topological space. Recall that a dir-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module which is the union of its coherent submodules.

Corollary 3.10. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a noetherian separated formal scheme over \mathbb{K} , with defining ideal \mathcal{I} and underlying topological space X . Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{(i)}\}_{i=0}^m$ be an affine open covering of X . Let \mathcal{M} be a bounded below complex of sheaves of \mathbb{K} -modules on X . Assume each \mathcal{M}^p is a dir-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module, and the coboundary operators $\mathcal{M}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{p+1}$ are continuous for the \mathcal{I} -adic dir-inv structures (but not necessarily $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -linear). Then:*

- (1) *The canonical morphism*

$$\Gamma(X, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}))$$

in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$ is an isomorphism.

- (2) *There is a functorial isomorphism*

$$\Gamma(X, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})) \cong \text{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M})$$

in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$.

Proof. (1) Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(X, f_{\Delta})} & \Gamma(X, \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{R}\Gamma(X, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})) & \xrightarrow{\text{R}\Gamma(X, f_{\Delta})} & \text{R}\Gamma(X, \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})) \end{array}$$

in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$, in which the vertical arrows are the canonical morphisms. By part (1) of the theorem (with $V = X$) the top arrow is a quasi-isomorphism. And by part (2) the bottom arrow is an isomorphism. Hence it is enough to prove that the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism.

Using a filtration argument we may assume that \mathcal{M} is a single dir-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module. Now $\Gamma(X, \text{NC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}))$ is the usual Čech resolution of the sheaf \mathcal{M} with respect to the covering \mathcal{U} (cf. equation 3.2)). So it suffices to prove that for all q and $\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_q^{m, \text{nd}}$ the sheaves $g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}$ are $\Gamma(X, -)$ -acyclic.

First let's assume \mathcal{M} is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module. Let $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be the open formal subscheme of \mathfrak{X} supported on $U_{\mathbf{i}}$. Then $g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ -module, and both $g_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathfrak{U}_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}$ are affine morphisms. By [EGA I, Theorem 10.10.2] it follows that $g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M} = \text{R}g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}$, and also

$$\Gamma(U_{\mathbf{i}}, g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}) = \text{R}\Gamma(U_{\mathbf{i}}, g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}) \cong \text{R}\Gamma(X, \text{R}g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}) \cong \text{R}\Gamma(X, g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}).$$

We conclude that $H^j(X, g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}) = 0$ for all $j > 0$.

In the general case when \mathcal{M} is a direct limit of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -modules we still get $H^j(X, g_{\mathbf{i}*} g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \mathcal{M}) = 0$ for all $j > 0$.

- (2) By part (2) of the theorem we get a functorial isomorphism $\text{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}) \cong \text{R}\Gamma(X, \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M}))$. Now use part (1) above. \square

4. MIXED RESOLUTIONS

In this section \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic 0 and X is a finite type \mathbb{K} -scheme.

Let us begin by recalling the definition of the sheaf of principal parts \mathcal{P}_X from [EGA IV]. Let $\Delta : X \rightarrow X^2 = X \times_{\mathbb{K}} X$ be the diagonal embedding. By completing X^2 along $\Delta(X)$ we obtain a noetherian formal scheme \mathfrak{X} , and $\mathcal{P}_X := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. The two projections $p_i : X^2 \rightarrow X$ give rise to two ring homomorphisms $p_i^* : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X$. We view \mathcal{P}_X as a left (resp. right) \mathcal{O}_X -module via p_1^* (resp. p_2^*).

Recall that a connection ∇ on an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{M} is a \mathbb{K} -linear sheaf homomorphism $\nabla : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule $\nabla(fm) = d(f) \otimes m + f \nabla(m)$ for local sections $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$.

Definition 4.1. Consider the de Rham differential $d_{X^2/X} : \mathcal{O}_{X^2} \rightarrow \Omega_{X^2/X}^1$ relative to the morphism $p_2 : X^2 \rightarrow X$. Since $\Omega_{X^2/X}^1 \cong p_1^* \Omega_X^1 = p_1^{-1} \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{p_1^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X^2}$ we obtain a \mathbb{K} -linear homomorphism $d_{X^2/X} : \mathcal{O}_{X^2} \rightarrow p_1^* \Omega_X^1$. Passing to the completion along the diagonal $\Delta(X)$ we get a connection of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$(4.2) \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X$$

called the *Grothendieck connection*.

Note that the connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}$ is $p_2^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X$ -linear. It will be useful to describe $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}$ on the level of rings. Let $U = \text{Spec } C \subset X$ be an affine open set. Then

$$\Gamma(U, \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X) \cong \Omega_C^1 \otimes_C (\widehat{C \otimes C}) \cong \widehat{\Omega_C^1 \otimes C},$$

the I -adic completion, where $I := \text{Ker}(C \otimes C \rightarrow C)$. And $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} : \widehat{C \otimes C} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega_C^1 \otimes C}$ is the completion of $d \otimes 1 : C \otimes C \rightarrow \Omega_C^1 \otimes C$.

As usual the connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}$ of (4.2) induces differential operators of left \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} : \Omega_X^i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X$$

for all $i \geq 0$, by the rule

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha \otimes b) = d(\alpha) \otimes b + (-1)^i \alpha \wedge \nabla_{\mathcal{P}}(b).$$

Theorem 4.4. Assume X is a smooth n -dimensional \mathbb{K} -scheme. Let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then the sequence of sheaves on X

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{m \mapsto 1 \otimes m} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}}} \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \\ \dots \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}}} \Omega_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [Ye1, Theorem 4.5]. We may restrict to an affine open set $U = \text{Spec } B \subset X$ that admits an étale coordinate system $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, i.e. $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{s}] \rightarrow B$ is an étale ring homomorphism. It will be convenient to have another copy of B , which we call C ; so that $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{P}_X) = \widehat{B \otimes C}$, the I -adic completion, where $I := \text{Ker}(B \otimes C \rightarrow B)$. We shall identify B and C with their images inside $B \otimes C$, and denote the copy of the element s_i in C by r_i . Letting $t_i := r_i - s_i \in B \otimes C$ we then have $t_i = \tilde{s}_i = 1 \otimes s_i - s_i \otimes 1$ in our earlier notation. Note that $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{s}]} \subset \Omega_B$ is a sub DG algebra, and $B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{s}]} \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{s}]} \rightarrow \Omega_B$ is a bijection.

By definition

$$(4.6) \quad \Gamma(U, \Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X) \cong \Omega_B \otimes_B (\widehat{B \otimes C}) \cong \widehat{\Omega_B \otimes C}.$$

The differential $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}$ on the left goes to the differential $d_B \otimes \mathbf{1}_C$ on the right. Consider the sub DG algebra $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]} \otimes C \subset \Omega_B \otimes C$. We know that $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}$ is a quasi-isomorphism; therefore so is $C \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]} \otimes C$.

Because $t_i + s_i = r_i \in C$ we see that $C[s] = C[t] \subset B \otimes C$. Therefore we obtain C -linear isomorphisms

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^p \otimes C \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^p \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[s]} C[s] = \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^p \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[s]} C[t].$$

So there is a commutative diagram

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow C & \longrightarrow & C[t] & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} & \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[s]} C[t] & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} & \cdots \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[s]} C[t] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow C & \longrightarrow & B \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} & \Omega_B^1 \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} & \cdots \Omega_B^n \otimes C \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of C -modules. The top row is exact, and the vertical arrows are inclusions. Let us introduce a new grading on $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^p \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[s]} C[t]$ as follows: $\deg(s_i) := 1$, $\deg(t_i) := 1$, $\deg(d(s_i)) := 1$ and $\deg(c) := 0$ for every nonzero $c \in C$. Since $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}(t_i) = -d(s_i)$ we see that $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}$ is homogeneous of degree 0, and thus the top row in (4.7) is an exact sequence in the category $\mathbf{GrMod} C$ of graded C -modules. Now each term in this sequence is a free graded C -module, and therefore this sequence is split in $\mathbf{GrMod} C$.

The t -adic inv structure on $C[t]$ can be recovered from the grading, and this inv structure is the same as the I -adic inv structure on $B \otimes C$. Therefore the completion is $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^p \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[s]} C[[t]] \cong \widehat{\Omega_B^p \otimes C}$. Thus the diagram (4.7) is transformed to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow C & \longrightarrow & C[[t]] & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} & \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[s]} C[[t]] & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} & \cdots \Omega_{\mathbb{K}[s]}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[s]} C[[t]] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow C & \longrightarrow & \widehat{B \otimes C} & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} & \widehat{\Omega_B^1 \otimes C} & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} & \cdots \widehat{\Omega_B^n \otimes C} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which the top row is continuously C -linearly split, and the vertical arrows are bijections. Hence the bottom row is split exact. Comparing this to (4.6) we conclude that the sequence of right \mathcal{O}_U -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{p_2^*} \mathcal{P}_X|_U \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} (\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X)|_U \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}} \cdots (\Omega_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X)|_U \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact.

Therefore it follows that for any \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{M} the sequence (4.5), when restricted to U , is split exact. \square

Let us now fix an affine open covering $U = \{U_{(0)}, \dots, U_{(m)}\}$ of X .

Let $\mathcal{I}_X = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$. This is a defining ideal of the noetherian formal scheme $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) := (X, \mathcal{P}_X)$. So \mathcal{P}_X is an inv module over itself with the \mathcal{I}_X -adic inv structure. Given quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , the tensor product $\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$ is a dir-coherent \mathcal{P}_X -module, and so it has the \mathcal{I}_X -adic dir-inv structure. See Example 1.4. In particular

$$\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Omega_X^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$$

becomes a dir-inv \mathbb{K}_X -module.

Lemma 4.8. $\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$ is a DG Ω_X -module in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}_X$, with differential $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proof. Because $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a differential operator of \mathcal{P}_X -modules, it is continuous for the \mathcal{I}_X -adic dir-inv structure. See [Ye2, Proposition 2.3]. \square

Henceforth we will write $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}$ instead of $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Definition 4.9. Let \mathcal{M} be a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. For any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{M}) := \widehat{\mathbf{N}}^q \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_X^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}).$$

The Grothendieck connection

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} : \Omega_X^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{p+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$$

induces a homomorphism of sheaves

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} : \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p+1,q}(\mathcal{M}).$$

We also have $\partial : \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q+1}(\mathcal{M})$. Define

$$\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^i(\mathcal{M}) := \bigoplus_{p+q=i} \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{M}),$$

$$\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}) := \bigoplus_i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^i(\mathcal{M})$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad d_{\text{mix}} := \partial + (-1)^q \nabla_{\mathcal{P}} : \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p+1,q} \oplus \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q+1}(\mathcal{M}).$$

The complex $(\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}), d_{\text{mix}})$ is called the *mixed resolution* of \mathcal{M} .

There are functorial embeddings of sheaves

$$(4.11) \quad \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{N}}^0 \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_X^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}) = \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{0,0}(\mathcal{M})$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{i \in \Delta_l^m} g_{i*} g_i^{-1} (\Omega^q(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \widehat{\otimes} (\Omega_X^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}));$$

see Lemma 3.1.

- Proposition 4.13.** (1) $\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a sheaf of super-commutative associative unital DG \mathbb{K} -algebras. There are two \mathbb{K} -algebra homomorphisms $p_1^*, p_2^* : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^0(\mathcal{O}_X)$.
 (2) Let \mathcal{M} be a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then $\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a left DG $\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -module.
 (3) If \mathcal{M} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of finite rank then the multiplication map

$$\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.7. \square

Note that $d_{\text{mix}} \circ p_2^* : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is zero, but $d_{\text{mix}} \circ p_1^* \neq 0$.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_r, \mathcal{N}$ be quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Suppose*

$$\phi : \prod_{i=1}^r (\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}_i) \rightarrow \Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N}$$

is a continuous Ω_X -multilinear sheaf morphism of degree d . Then there is a unique \mathbb{K} -multilinear sheaf morphism of degree d

$$\widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathbf{U}, \phi) : \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}_r) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})$$

which is compatible with ϕ via the embedding (4.12).

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.7. \square

Suppose we are given $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$. Define

$$\text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})^i := \bigoplus_{p+q=i} \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}^q(\mathcal{M}^p)$$

with differential

$$d_{\text{mix}} + (-1)^q d_{\mathcal{M}} : \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}^q(\mathcal{M}^p) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}^{q+1}(\mathcal{M}^p) \oplus \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}^q(\mathcal{M}^{p+1}).$$

Theorem 4.15. *Let X be a smooth separated \mathbb{K} -scheme, and let $\mathbf{U} = \{U_{(0)}, \dots, U_{(m)}\}$ be an affine open covering of X .*

- (1) *There is a functorial quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})$ for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$.*
- (2) *Given $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$, the canonical morphism $\Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}))$ in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$ is an isomorphism.*
- (3) *The quasi-isomorphism in part (1) induces a functorial isomorphism $\Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \text{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M})$ in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. (1) Write $\mathcal{N} := \Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$. A filtration argument and Theorem 4.4 show that the inclusion $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Next we view \mathcal{N} as a bounded below complex in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}_X$. By Theorem 3.8(2) we have a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{N}}^q \text{C}(\mathbf{U}, \mathcal{N}) = \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})$.

(2) This is due to Corollary 3.10(1), applied to the formal scheme (X, \mathcal{P}_X) and the complex \mathcal{N} of dir-coherent \mathcal{P}_X -modules defined above.

(3) This assertion is an immediate consequence of parts (1) and (2). \square

Corollary 4.16. *In the situation of the theorem, suppose $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{C}^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\phi : \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear quasi-isomorphism. Then*

$$\Gamma(X, \phi) : \Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N}))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(X, \phi)} & \Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{R}\Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})) & \xrightarrow{\text{R}\Gamma(X, \phi)} & \text{R}\Gamma(X, \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})) \end{array}$$

in $D(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$. By part (2) of the theorem the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Since ϕ is an isomorphism in $D(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K}_X)$ it follows that the bottom arrow is an isomorphism. \square

Given a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{M} and an integer i define

$$G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}) := \bigoplus_{q \geq i} \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}^q(\mathcal{M}).$$

Then $\{G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M})\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a descending filtration of $\text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M})$ by subcomplexes, satisfying $G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M})$ for $i \ll 0$ and $\bigcap_i G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$. For any i define

$$\text{gr}_G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}) := G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}) / G^{i+1} \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}).$$

The functor

$$\text{gr}_G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}} : \text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{Mod } \mathbb{K}_X$$

is additive, but we do not know whether it is exact. The next theorem asserts this in a very special case.

Consider the sheaves of DG Lie algebras $\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X}$ as complexes of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules (cf. [Ye3, Proposition 3.18]). According to [Ye1, Theorem 0.4] there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{U}_1 : \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X}.$$

Theorem 4.17. *For any i the homomorphism of complexes*

$$\text{gr}_G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{U}_1) : \text{gr}_G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X}) \rightarrow \text{gr}_G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Given a point $x \in X$ choose an affine open neighborhood V of x which admits an étale morphism $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$. By [Ye2, Theorem 4.11] the map of complexes

$$\mathcal{U}_1|_V : \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}, X}|_V \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}, X}|_V$$

is a homotopy equivalence in $C^+(\text{QCoh } \mathcal{O}_V)$. Since $\text{gr}_G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an additive functor we see that $\text{gr}_G^i \text{Mix}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{U}_1)|_V$ is a quasi-isomorphism. \square

Remark 4.18. We know very little about the structure of the sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^q C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M})$, even when $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Cf. [HS].

5. SIMPLICIAL SECTIONS

Let X be a \mathbb{K} -scheme, and let $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^m U_{(i)}$ be an open covering, with inclusions $g_{(i)} : U_{(i)} \rightarrow X$. We denote this covering by \mathcal{U} . For any multi-index $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_q) \in \Delta_q^m$ we write $U_{\mathbf{i}} := \bigcap_{j=0}^q U_{(i_j)}$, and we define the scheme $U_q := \coprod_{\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_q^m} U_{\mathbf{i}}$. Given $\alpha \in \Delta_p^q$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_q^m$ there is an inclusion of open sets $\alpha_* : U_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow U_{\alpha_*(\mathbf{i})}$. These patch to a morphism of schemes $\alpha_* : U_q \rightarrow U_p$, making $\{U_q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ into a simplicial scheme. The inclusions $g_{(i)} : U_{(i)} \rightarrow X$ induce inclusions $g_{\mathbf{i}} : U_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow X$ and morphisms $g_q : U_q \rightarrow X$; and one has the relations $g_p \circ \alpha_* = g_q$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_p^q$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of \mathbb{K} -schemes. A *simplicial section* of π based on the covering \mathcal{U} is a sequence of morphisms

$$\sigma = \{\sigma_q : \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q \times U_q \rightarrow Z\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$$

satisfying the following conditions.




FIGURE 1. An illustration of a simplicial section σ based on an open covering $U = \{U_{(i)}\}$. On the left we see two components of σ in dimension $q = 0$; and on the right we see one component in dimension $q = 1$.

(i) For any q the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q \times U_q & \xrightarrow{\sigma_q} & Z \\ p_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ U_q & \xrightarrow{g_q} & X \end{array}$$

is commutative.

(ii) For any $\alpha \in \Delta_p^q$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^p \times U_p & & \\ & \nearrow 1 \times \alpha^* & & \searrow \sigma_p & \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^p \times U_q & & & & Z \\ & \searrow \alpha_* \times 1 & & \nearrow \sigma_q & \\ & & \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q \times U_q & & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Given a multi-index $\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_q^m$ we denote by $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}$ the restriction of σ_q to $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^q \times U_{\mathbf{i}}$. See Figure 1 for an illustration.

As explained in the introduction, simplicial sections arise naturally in several contexts, including deformation quantization.

Let A be an associative unital super-commutative DG \mathbb{K} -algebra. Consider homogeneous A -multilinear functions $\phi : M_1 \times \cdots \times M_r \rightarrow N$, where M_1, \dots, M_r, N are DG A -modules. There is an operation of composition for such functions: given

functions $\psi_i : \prod_j L_{i,j} \rightarrow M_i$ the composition is $\phi \circ (\psi_1 \times \cdots \times \psi_r) : \prod_{i,j} L_{i,j} \rightarrow N$. There is also a summation operation: if $\phi_j : \prod_i M_i \rightarrow N$ are homogeneous of equal degree then so is their sum $\sum_j \phi_j$. Finally, let $d : \prod_i M_i \rightarrow \prod_i M_i$ be the function

$$d(m_1, \dots, m_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r \pm(m_1, \dots, d(m_i), \dots, m_r)$$

with Koszul signs. All the above can of course be sheafified, i.e. \mathcal{A} is a sheaf of DG algebras on a scheme Z etc.

As before let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of \mathbb{K} -schemes, and let $\mathbf{U} = \{U_{(i)}\}$ be an open covering of X . Suppose σ is a simplicial section of π based on \mathbf{U} . We consider Ω_X^p as a discrete inv \mathbb{K}_X -module, and $\Omega_X = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Omega_X^p$ has the \bigoplus dir-inv structure. Likewise for $\Omega_Z = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Omega_Z^p$.

Suppose \mathcal{M} is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then, as explained in Section 4, $\Omega_Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M})$ is a DG Ω_Z -module on Z , with the Grothendieck connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}$. And $\text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a DG $\text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -module on X , with differential d_{mix} .

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of schemes, and suppose σ is a simplicial section of π based on an open covering \mathbf{U} of X . Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_r, \mathcal{N}$ be quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and let*

$$\phi : \prod_{i=1}^r (\Omega_Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}_i)) \rightarrow \Omega_Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N})$$

be a continuous Ω_Z -multilinear sheaf morphism on Z of degree k . Then there is an induced $\text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -multilinear sheaf morphism of degree k

$$\sigma^*(\phi) : \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{M}_r) \rightarrow \text{Mix}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})$$

on X with the following properties:

- (i) *The assignment $\phi \mapsto \sigma^*(\phi)$ respects the operations of composition and summation.*
- (ii) *If $\phi = \pi^*(\phi_0)$ for some continuous Ω_X -multilinear morphism*

$$\phi_0 : \prod_{i=1}^r (\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}_i) \rightarrow \Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N}$$

then $\sigma^(\phi) = \widehat{\text{NC}}(\mathbf{U}, \phi_0)$.*

- (iii) *Assume that*

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \phi - (-1)^k \phi \circ \nabla_{\mathcal{P}} = \psi$$

for some continuous Ω_Z -multilinear sheaf morphism

$$\psi : \prod_{i=1}^r (\Omega_Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}_i)) \rightarrow \Omega_Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N})$$

of degree $k+1$. Then

$$d_{\text{mix}} \circ \sigma^*(\phi) - (-1)^k \sigma^*(\phi) \circ d_{\text{mix}} = \sigma^*(\psi).$$

Before the proof we need an auxiliary result.

Lemma 5.3. *Let A and B be complete DG algebras in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}$, and let $f^* : A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous DG algebra homomorphism. To any DG A -module M in $\text{Dir Inv Mod } \mathbb{K}$ we assign the DG B -module $f^*M := B \widehat{\otimes}_A M$. Then to any continuous A -multilinear function $\phi : \prod_i M_i \rightarrow N$ we can assign a continuous B -multilinear function $f^*(\phi) : \prod_i f^*(M_i) \rightarrow f^*(N)$. This assignment is functorial in f^* , and respects the operations of composition and summation. If ϕ and ψ are such continuous A -multilinear functions, homogeneous of degrees k and $k+1$ respectively and satisfying*

$$d \circ \phi - (-1)^k \phi \circ d = \psi,$$

then

$$d \circ f^*(\phi) - (-1)^k f^*(\phi) \circ d = f^*(\psi).$$

Proof. This is all straightforward, except perhaps the last assertion. For that we make the calculations. By continuity and multilinearity it suffices to show that

$$(d \circ f^*(\phi))(\beta) - (-1)^k (f^*(\phi) \circ d)(\beta) = f^*(\psi)(\beta)$$

for $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$, with $\beta_i = b_i \otimes m_i$, $b_i \in B^{p_i}$ and $m_i \in M^{q_i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (d \circ f^*(\phi))(\beta) &= d(\pm b_1 \dots b_r \cdot \phi(m_1, \dots, m_r)) \\ &= \pm d(b_1 \dots b_r) \cdot \phi(m_1, \dots, m_r) \pm b_1 \dots b_r \cdot d(\phi(m_1, \dots, m_r)) \end{aligned}$$

with Koszul signs. Since

$$d(\beta_i) = d(b_i) \otimes m_i \pm b_i \otimes d(m_i)$$

we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (f^*(\phi) \circ d)(\beta) &= \sum_i \pm f^*(\phi)(\beta_1, \dots, d(\beta_i), \dots, \beta_r) \\ &= \sum_i \left(\pm b_1 \dots d(b_i) \dots b_r \cdot \phi(m_1, \dots, m_r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \pm b_1 \dots b_r \cdot \phi(m_1, \dots, d(m_i) \dots m_r) \right) \\ &= \pm d(b_1 \dots b_r) \cdot \phi(m_1, \dots, m_r) \pm b_1 \dots b_r \cdot \phi(d(m_1, \dots, m_r)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$f^*(\psi)(\beta) = \pm b_1 \dots b_r \cdot \psi(m_1, \dots, m_r),$$

and the signs all match up. \square

Proof of the theorem. For a sequence of indices $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_l) \in \Delta_l^m$ let us introduce the abbreviation $Y_{\mathbf{i}} := \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l \times U_{\mathbf{i}}$, and let $p_2 : Y_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{i}}$ be the projection. The simplicial section σ restricts to a morphism $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}} : Y_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow Z$.

By Lemma 5.3, applied with respect to the DG algebra homomorphism $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^* : \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}\Omega_Z \rightarrow \Omega_{Y_{\mathbf{i}}}$, there is an induced continuous $\Omega_{Y_{\mathbf{i}}}$ -multilinear morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^*(\phi) : \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\Omega_{Y_{\mathbf{i}}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}\Omega_Z} \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(\Omega_Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}_j)) \right) \\ \rightarrow \Omega_{Y_{\mathbf{i}}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}\Omega_Z} \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(\Omega_Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N})) \end{aligned}$$

Now for any quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{M} we have an isomorphism of dir-inv DG $\Omega_{Y_{\mathbf{i}}}$ -modules

$$\Omega_{Y_{\mathbf{i}}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}\Omega_Z} \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(\Omega_Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M})) \cong \Omega_{Y_{\mathbf{i}}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_{\mathbf{i}}}} p_2^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}).$$

Under the DG algebra isomorphism $p_{2*}\Omega_{Y_i} \cong \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \otimes \Omega_{U_i}$ there is a dir-inv DG module isomorphism

$$p_{2*}(\Omega_{Y_i} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}} p_2^*(\mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M})) \cong \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \hat{\otimes} (\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M})|_{U_i}.$$

Thus we obtain a family of morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i^*(\phi) : \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \hat{\otimes} (\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}_j)|_{U_i} \right) \\ \rightarrow \Omega(\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^l) \hat{\otimes} (\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N})|_{U_i} \end{aligned}$$

indexed by i and satisfying the simplicial relations. Now use Lemma 3.6 to obtain $\sigma^*(\phi)$. Properties (i-iii) follow from Lemma 5.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [EGA I] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, “Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique I,” Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [EGA IV] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, “Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV,” Publ. Math. IHES **32** (1967).
- [Bo] R. Bott, “Lectures on Characteristic Classes and Polarizations”, Lecture Notes in Math. **279**, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, “Algebraic Geometry,” Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [HY] R. Hübl and A. Yekutieli, Adelic Chern forms and applications, *Amer. J. Math.* **121** (1999), 797-839.
- [HS] V. Hinich and V. Schechtman, Deformation theory and Lie algebra homology II, *Algebra Col.* **4** (1997), 291-316.
- [Ko] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **66** (2003), no. 3, 157-216.
- [NT] R. Nest and B. Tsygan, Deformations of symplectic Lie algebroids, deformations of holomorphic symplectic structures, and index theorems, *Asian J. Math.* **5** (2001), no. 4, 599-635.
- [Ye1] A. Yekutieli, The Continuous Hochschild Cochain Complex of a Scheme, *Canadian J. Math.* **54** (2002), 1319-1337.
- [Ye2] A. Yekutieli, Continuous and Twisted L-infinity Morphisms, to appear in *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, eprint math.QA/0502137 at <http://arxiv.org>.
- [Ye3] A. Yekutieli, Deformation Quantization in Algebraic Geometry, *Adv. Math.* **198** (2005), 383-432 (Michael Artin Volume).
- [Ye4] A. Yekutieli, An Averaging Process for Unipotent Group Actions, eprint math.AG/0505170 at <http://arxiv.org>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY, BE’ER SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL
E-mail address: amyekut@math.bgu.ac.il