

Countable Tightness, Elementary Submodels and Homogeneity

Ramiro de la Vega

November 21, 2018

Abstract

We show (in *ZFC*) that the cardinality of a compact homogeneous space of countable tightness is no more than 2^{\aleph_0} .

1 Introduction

A space X is said to have *countable tightness* ($t(X) = \aleph_0$) if whenever $A \subseteq X$ and $x \in \overline{A}$, there is a countable $B \subseteq A$ such that $x \in \overline{B}$. A space X is *homogeneous* if for every $x, y \in X$ there is a homeomorphism f of X onto X with $f(x) = y$. It is known (see [3]) that any compact space of countable tightness contains a point with character at most 2^{\aleph_0} ; if the space is also homogeneous then it follows that $|X| \leq 2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$. In [1], Arhangel'skii conjectured that in fact $|X| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ for any such space. The main goal of this paper is to give a proof (in *ZFC*) to Arhangel'skii's conjecture. This is achieved in Theorem 3.2. As a corollary of this we also confirm a conjecture of I. Juhász, P. Nyikos and Z. Szentmiklóssy (see [4]), stating that it is consistent that every homogeneous T_5 compactum is first countable.

Our main tool will be the “Elementary Submodels technique”: Given a topological space (X, τ) , let M be an elementary submodel of $H(\theta)$ (i.e. the set of all sets of hereditary cardinality less than θ) for a “large enough” regular cardinal θ . Then one uses properties of $X \cap M$, $\tau \cap M$ and M itself to get results about (X, τ) . A model M is *countably closed* if any countable sequence of elements of M is in M (i.e. $M^\omega \subseteq M$). For more details and a good introduction to the technique see [2]. Let us just say that in each

specific application, one takes θ large enough for $H(\theta)$ to contain all sets of interest in the context under discussion. In this sense we will just say $M \prec \mathbf{V}$. In Section 2 we prove some basic facts in the context of elementary submodels of countably tight compact spaces; we also give answer (Theorem 2.2) to a question of L.R. Junqueira and F. Tall.

2 Elementary submodels

Fix a compact Hausdorff space (X, τ) with $t(X) = \aleph_0$ and fix a countably closed $M \prec \mathbf{V}$ with $X, \tau \in M$. Let $Z = \overline{X \cap M} \subseteq X$ with the subspace topology.

One of the main goals of this section is to show that Z is a retract of X . The following result suggests what the retraction will be.

Lemma 2.1 *For every $x \in X$ there is a $q_x \in Z$ such that for all $U \in \tau \cap M$ either $q_x \notin U$ or $x \in U$.*

Proof. Fix $x \in X$ and assume there is not such a q_x . Then for each $q \in Z$ we can fix a $U_q \in \tau \cap M$ such that $q \in U_q$ and $x \notin U_q$. Since Z is compact we get that $Z \subseteq \bigcup_{q \in Q} U_q$ for some finite $Q \subseteq Z$. On the other hand $x \notin \bigcup_{q \in Q} U_q \in M$ so by elementarity there is an $x' \in (X \cap M) \setminus \bigcup_{q \in Q} U_q$ which is impossible. ♠

Just by elementarity and the fact that X is Hausdorff it is immediate that $\tau \cap M$ separates points in $X \cap M$. We prove now that in fact $\tau \cap M$ separates points in Z .

Lemma 2.2 *If $p_0, p_1 \in Z$ and $p_0 \neq p_1$ then there are $U_0, U_1 \in \tau \cap M$ such that $p_0 \in U_0$, $p_1 \in U_1$ and $U_0 \cap U_1 = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Given $p_0, p_1 \in Z$ with $p_0 \neq p_1$ we use regularity of X to fix $U'_i, V_i \in \tau$ for $i \in 2$ such that $p_i \in V_i$, $\overline{V_i} \subseteq U'_i$ and $U'_0 \cap U'_1 = \emptyset$. Since $t(X) = \aleph_0$, there are countable $A_0, A_1 \subseteq M$ such that $A_i \subseteq V_i$ and $p_i \in \overline{A_i}$ for $i \in 2$. Since M is countably closed we have that $A_0, A_1 \in M$ and hence by elementarity $M \models \exists U_0, U_1 \in \tau [\overline{A_0} \subseteq U_0, \overline{A_1} \subseteq U_1, U_0 \cap U_1 = \emptyset]$. ♠

Corollary 2.1 *For every $x \in X$ there is a unique $q_x \in Z$ such that for all $U \in \tau \cap M$ either $q_x \notin U$ or $x \in U$.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. ♠

Definition 2.1 *In view of the last result we define the function $r_M : X \rightarrow Z$ by $r_M(x) = q_x$ for $x \in X$.*

Lemma 2.3 *r_M is continuous.*

Proof. Fix $W \in \tau$ (not necessarily in M) with $W \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, fix $x \in r_M^{-1}(W)$ and let $q = r_M(x)$. We need to show that there is a $V \in \tau$ such that $x \in V \subseteq r_M^{-1}(W)$.

For each $p \in Z \setminus W$ we use Lemma 2.2 to get $U_p, V_p \in \tau \cap M$ such that $p \in U_p$, $q \in V_p$ and $U_p \cap V_p = \emptyset$. Since $Z \setminus W$ is closed (and hence compact) we get that $Z \setminus W \subseteq U := \bigcup_{p \in P} U_p$ for some finite $P \subseteq Z \setminus W$. Clearly $q \in V := \bigcap_{p \in P} V_p$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Also by elementarity we have that $U, V \in M$.

Since $q \in V \in M$ we get (from the definition of r_M) that $x \in V$. To see that $V \subseteq r_M^{-1}(W)$, fix $y \in V$ and note that if $r_M(y)$ was in $Z \setminus W$ then it would be in U and since $U \in M$ we would get that $y \in U$; but this is impossible since $U \cap V = \emptyset$ and hence $r_M(y) \in W$. ♠

It is clear that $r_M(x) = x$ for all $x \in Z$. So we actually have that r_M is a retraction. Looking closer at the last proof, we see that $q \in V \cap Z \subseteq W \cap Z$, so we also showed the following

Corollary 2.2 *The set $\{U \cap Z : U \in \tau \cap M\}$ is a base for the topology of Z .*

For reference, we summarize our results in the following

Theorem 2.1 *Let (X, τ) be a compact space of countable tightness and let $M \prec \mathbf{V}$ be countably closed with $X, \tau \in M$. Then $r_M : X \rightarrow \overline{X \cap M}$ is a retraction and $\{U \cap \overline{X \cap M} : U \in \tau \cap M\}$ is a base for $\overline{X \cap M}$.*

In [5], Junqueira and Tall define the space X_M as the set $X \cap M$ with the topology τ_M generated by $\{U \cap M : U \in \tau \cap M\}$. They ask (Problem 7.22) if there is a consistent example of a compact T_2 space X with countable tightness and a countably closed M such that X_M is not normal. A consequence of Theorem 2.1 is that X_M is a subspace of X ; this was already proved in [5] (Theorem 2.11). In the next theorem we make use of this fact to give a negative answer to their question.

Theorem 2.2 *If (X, τ) is a compact Hausdorff space of countable tightness and M is countably closed then $X \cap M$ ($= X_M$) is normal.*

Proof. Fix two disjoint closed $E, F \subseteq X \cap M$. We claim that \overline{E} and \overline{F} are still disjoint. Therefore, since $\overline{X \cap M}$ is compact (and hence normal), \overline{E} and \overline{F} (and hence E and F) can be separated.

Now suppose (seeking a contradiction) that $p \in \overline{E} \cap \overline{F}$. Since X is countably tight, there are countable $C_E \subseteq E$ and $C_F \subseteq F$ such that $p \in \overline{C_E} \cap \overline{C_F}$. Since C_E and C_F are countable, they are in M and thus by elementarity there is a $q \in X \cap M$ with $q \in \overline{C_E} \cap \overline{C_F}$. But this is impossible since E and F are closed and disjoint in $X \cap M$. Hence $\overline{E} \cap \overline{F} = \emptyset$. ♠

3 Homogeneity

The following lemma was proved in [3].

Lemma 3.1 *If X is a compact T_2 space of countable tightness then there are a countable set $S \subseteq X$ and a non-empty closed G_δ set H in X with $H \subseteq \overline{S}$.*

In general, given a point $p \in X$ one cannot expect to get $p \in H$ in the previous lemma. For example if $X = \kappa \cup \{\infty\}$ is the one point compactification of an uncountable discrete κ then $p = \infty$ is a counter example. In this space the closure of any countable set is countable, but on the other hand any G_δ subset containing p must be uncountable. However the following is obvious.

Corollary 3.1 *If X is a compact homogeneous T_2 space of countable tightness and $p \in X$ then there are a countable set $S \subseteq X$ and a closed G_δ set H in X with $p \in H$ and $H \subseteq \overline{S}$.*

Now we are ready to prove our first important result.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose (X, τ) is a compact homogeneous Hausdorff space of countable tightness. Then $w(X) \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.1 and regularity of X we can fix functions $\psi : X \times \omega \rightarrow \tau$ and $S : X \rightarrow [X]^{\aleph_0}$ such that for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \omega$:

1. $x \in \psi(x, n)$ and $\overline{\psi(x, n+1)} \subseteq \psi(x, n)$.
2. $H(x) := \bigcap_{m \in \omega} \psi(x, m) = \bigcap_{m \in \omega} \overline{\psi(x, m)} \subseteq \overline{S(x)}$.

Now fix a countably closed $M \prec \mathbf{V}$ with $X, \tau, \psi, S \in M$ and $|M| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$. Let $Z = \overline{X \cap M}$ and $r_M : X \rightarrow Z$ as in Section 2. We know (by Theorem 2.1) that $w(Z) \leq |\tau \cap M| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$. We will show that in fact $X = Z$ and hence $w(X) \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Fix now $x \in X$ and let $p = r_M(x) \in Z$. By Theorem 2.1, for each $n \in \omega$ we can find $U_n \in \tau \cap M$ such that $\overline{\psi(p, n+1)} \cap Z \subseteq U_n \cap Z \subseteq \psi(p, n) \cap Z$. Also by countable tightness of X we can fix $A \in [X \cap M]^{\aleph_0}$ such that $p \in \overline{A}$. Now since A, ψ, H and $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ are all in M (since M is countably closed), we get by elementarity that there must be a $q \in X \cap M$ such that (note that the three conditions are true of p in \mathbf{V}):

1. $q \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n$.
2. $q \in \overline{A}$.
3. $\overline{A} \cap \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n = \overline{A} \cap H(q)$.

Since p satisfies conditions 1 and 2, we get that $p \in H(q)$ and hence $x \in H(q)$ by definition of r_M . But on the other hand $S(q) \subseteq X \cap M$ and $H(q) \subseteq \overline{S(q)}$ and therefore $x \in Z$. This shows that $X = Z$ which is what we wanted. ♠

Remark 3.1 *With more work one can show that in fact $X \subseteq M$, showing then that $|X| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$. However we shall use a different (perhaps simpler) strategy to get this result.*

The following is a well known fact about compact homogeneous spaces. In fact it was shown in [6] that it also holds for any compact power homogeneous space.

Lemma 3.2 *If X is compact and homogeneous, then $|X| \leq w(X)^{\pi\chi(X)}$.*

Putting together the two previous results and the fact that $\pi\chi(X) \leq t(X)$ for any compact space, we immediately get our main result.

Theorem 3.2 *If X is compact, homogeneous and $t(X) = \aleph_0$ then $|X| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$.*

Using the fact that $|X| = 2^{\chi(X)}$ for compact homogeneous spaces, we get:

Corollary 3.2 ($2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$) *If X is compact, homogeneous and $t(X) = \aleph_0$ then X is first countable.*

In [6], J. van Mill asked whether every T_5 (i.e. hereditarily normal) homogeneous compact space has cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} . In [4], I. Juhász, P. Nyikos and Z. Szentmiklóssy proved that the answer is yes in forcing extension resulting by adding $(2^{\aleph_1})^{\mathbf{V}}$ Cohen reals. They also showed that after adding \aleph_2 Cohen reals, every T_5 homogeneous compact space has countable tightness. Putting this together with Theorem 3.2 and assuming for example that $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ and $2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_3$ in the ground model, we get a confirmation of a conjecture proposed by them in the same paper.

Theorem 3.3 *It is consistent that every homogeneous T_5 compact space is first countable.*

References

- [1] A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, Topological homogeneity. Topological groups and their continuous images (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 42:2 (1987) 69-105 (English translation: *Russian Math. Surveys* 42:2 (1987) 83-131).
- [2] A. Dow, An introduction to applications of elementary submodels to topology, *Topology Proc.* 13 (1988) 17-72.
- [3] I. Juhász, On the minimum character of points in compact spaces, *Topology. Theory and applications II* (Pécs, 1989), 365-371.
- [4] I. Juhász, P. Nyikos and Z. Szentmiklóssy, Cardinal restrictions on some homogeneous compacta, *Preprint*.
- [5] L.R. Junqueira and F.D. Tall, The topology of elementary submodels, *Top. Appl.* 82 (1998) 239-266.
- [6] J. van Mill, On the cardinality of power homogeneous compacta, *Top. Appl.* 146-147 (2005) 421-428.