## On powers of Stieltjes moment sequences, II

Christian Berg

August 27, 2018

#### Abstract

We consider the set of Stieltjes moment sequences, for which every positive power is again a Stieltjes moment sequence, we and prove an integral representation of the logarithm of the moment sequence in analogy to the Lévy-Khinchin representation. We use the result to construct product convolution semigroups with moments of all orders and to calculate their Mellin transforms. As an application we construct a positive generating function for the orthonormal Hermite polynomials.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 44A60; secondary 33D65. Keywords: moment sequence, infinite divisibility, convolution semigroup, q-series, Hermite polynomials.

#### **1** Introduction and main results

In his fundamental memoir [15] Stieltjes characterized sequences of the form

$$s_n = \int_0^\infty x^n \, d\mu(x), \ n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (1)

where  $\mu$  is a non-negative measure on  $[0, \infty]$ , by certain quadratic forms being non-negative. These sequences are now called Stieltjes moment sequences. They are called normalized if  $s_0 = 1$ . A Stieltjes moment sequence is called *S*determinate, if there is only one measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \infty[$  such that (1) holds; otherwise it is called *S*-indeterminate. It is to be noticed that in the S-indeterminate case there are also solutions  $\mu$  to (1), which are not supported by  $[0, \infty[$ , i.e. solutions to the corresponding Hamburger moment problem.

A Stieltjes moment sequence is either non-vanishing (i.e.  $s_n > 0$  for all n) or of the form  $s_n = c\delta_{0n}$  with  $c \ge 0$ , where  $(\delta_{0n})$  is the sequence (1, 0, 0, ...). The latter corresponds to the Dirac measure  $\delta_0$  with mass 1 concentrated at 0.

In this paper we shall characterize the set  $\mathcal{I}$  of normalized Stieltjes moment sequences  $(s_n)$  with the property that  $(s_n^c)$  is a Stieltjes moment sequence for each c > 0. The result is given in Theorem 1.4, from which we extract the following: A Stieltjes moment sequence  $(s_n)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  if and only if there exist  $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$  and an infinitely divisible probability  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$s_n = (1 - \varepsilon)\delta_{0n} + \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ny} d\omega(y).$$
(2)

We stress however that Theorem 1.4 also contains a kind of Lévy-Khintchine representation of  $\log s_n$  in the case  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , and this result is very useful for deciding if a given sequence belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

During the preparation of this paper our attention was drawn to the Ph.d.thesis [19] of Shu-gwei Tyan, which contains a chapter on infinitely divisible moment sequences. The set  $\mathcal{I}$  is the set of infinitely divisible Stieltjes moment sequences in the sense of Tyan. Theorem 4.2 in [19] is a representation of  $\log s_n$ similar to condition (ii) in Theorem 1.4. As far as we know these results of [19] have not been published elsewhere, so we discuss his results in Section 3.

The motivation for the present paper can be found in the paper [4] by Durán and the author and which provides a unification of recent work of Bertoin, Carmona, Petit and Yor, see [7],[8], [9]. We found a procedure to generate sequences  $(s_n) \in \mathcal{I}$ . To formulate the motivating result of [4] we need the concept of a Bernstein function.

Let  $(\eta_t)_{t>0}$  be a convolution semigroup of sub-probabilities on  $[0, \infty]$  with Laplace exponent or Bernstein function f given by

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \, d\eta_t(x) = e^{-tf(s)}, \ s > 0,$$

cf. [5], [6]. We recall that f has the integral representation

$$f(s) = a + bs + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-sx}) \, d\nu(x), \tag{3}$$

where  $a, b \ge 0$  and the Lévy measure  $\nu$  on  $]0, \infty[$  satisfies the integrability condition  $\int x/(1+x) d\nu(x) < \infty$ . Note that  $\eta_t([0,\infty[) = \exp(-at))$ , so that  $(\eta_t)_{t>0}$  consists of probabilities if and only if a = 0.

In the following we shall exclude the Bernstein function identically equal to zero, which corresponds to the convolution semigroup  $\eta_t = \delta_0, t > 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set of Bernstein functions which are not identically zero. For  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  we note that f'/f is completely monotonic as product of the completely monotonic functions f' and 1/f. Therefore there exists a non-negative measure  $\kappa$  on  $[0, \infty]$  such that

$$\frac{f'(s)}{f(s)} = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \, d\kappa(x). \tag{4}$$

It is easy to see that  $\kappa(\{0\}) = 0$  using (3) and  $f'(s) \ge \kappa(\{0\})f(s)$ .

**Theorem 1.1 (Berg-Durán [4], Berg [2])** Let  $\alpha \ge 0, \beta > 0$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  be such that  $f(\alpha) > 0$ . Then the sequence  $(s_n)$  defined by

$$s_0 = 1, s_n = f(\alpha)f(\alpha + \beta) \cdot \ldots \cdot f(\alpha + (n-1)\beta), \quad n \ge 1$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . Furthermore  $(s_n^c)$  is S-determinate for  $c \leq 2$ .

In most applications of the theorem we put  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  or  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ , the latter provided f(0) > 0. The moment sequence  $(s_n^c)$  of Theorem 1.1 can be S-indeterminate for c > 2. This is shown in [2] for the moment sequences

$$s_n^c = (n!)^c$$
 and  $s_n^c = (n+1)^{c(n+1)}$  (5)

derived from the Bernstein functions f(s) = s and  $f(s) = s(1 + 1/s)^{s+1}$ . For the Bernstein function f(s) = s/(s+1) the moment sequence  $s_n^c = (n+1)^{-c}$  is a Hausdorff moment sequence since

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^c} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \int_0^1 x^n (\log(1/x))^{c-1} \, dx,$$

and in particular it is S-determinate for all c > 0. In Section 2 we give a new proof of Theorem 1.1.

In Section 4 we use the Stieltjes moment sequence  $(\sqrt{n!})$  to prove non-negativity of a generating function for the orthonormal Hermite polynomials.

The sequence  $(a)_n := a(a+1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (a+n-1), a > 0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  and is a one parameter extension of n!. For 0 < a < b also  $(a)_n/(b)_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . These examples are studied in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we study a q-extension  $(a;q)_n/(b;q)_n \in \mathcal{I}$  for  $0 < q < 1, 0 \leq b < a < 1$ . In Section 7 we give some complementary examples.

Any normalized Stieltjes moment sequence  $(s_n)$  has the form  $s_n = (1-\varepsilon)\delta_{0n} + \varepsilon t_n$ , where  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  and  $(t_n)$  is a normalized Stieltjes moment sequence satisfying  $t_n > 0$ .

Although the moment sequence  $(s_n^c)$  of Theorem 1.1 can be S-indeterminate for c > 2, there is a "canonical" solution  $\rho_c$  to the moment problem defined by "infinite divisibility".

The notion of an infinitely divisible probability measure has been studied for arbitrary locally compact groups, cf. [12].

We need the product convolution  $\mu \diamond \nu$  of two measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $[0, \infty[$ : It is defined as the image of the product measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$  under the product mapping  $(s,t) \mapsto st$ . For measures concentrated on  $]0, \infty[$  it is the convolution with respect to the multiplicative group structure on the interval. It is clear that the *n*'th moment of the product convolution is the product of the *n*'th moments of the factors.

In accordance with the general definition we say that a probability  $\rho$  on  $]0, \infty[$  is infinitely divisible on the multiplicative group of positive real numbers, if it

has p'th product convolution roots for any natural number p, i.e. if there exists a probability  $\tau(p)$  on  $]0, \infty[$  such that  $(\tau(p))^{\diamond p} = \rho$ . This condition implies the existence of a unique family  $(\rho_c)_{c>0}$  of probabilities on  $]0, \infty[$  such that  $\rho_c \diamond \rho_d =$  $\rho_{c+d}, \rho_1 = \rho$  and  $c \mapsto \rho_c$  is weakly continuous. (These conditions imply that  $\lim_{c\to 0} \rho_c = \delta_1$  weakly.) We call such a family a product convolution semigroup. It is a (continuous) convolution semigroup in the abstract sense of [5] or [12]. A p'th root  $\tau(p)$  is unique and one defines  $\rho_{1/p} = \tau(p), \rho_{m/p} = (\tau(p))^{\diamond m}, m = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Finally  $\rho_c$  is defined by continuity when c > 0 is irrational.

The family of image measures  $(\log(\rho_c))$  under the log-function is a convolution semigroup of infinitely divisible measures in the ordinary sense on the real line considered as an additive group.

The following result generalizes Theorem 1.8 in [2], which treats the special case  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ . In addition we express the Mellin transform of the product convolution semigroup  $(\rho_c)$  in terms of the measure  $\kappa$  from (4).

**Theorem 1.2** Let  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta > 0$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  be such that  $f(\alpha) > 0$ . The uniquely determined probability measure  $\rho$  with moments

$$s_n = f(\alpha)f(\alpha + \beta) \cdot \ldots \cdot f(\alpha + (n-1)\beta), \quad n \ge 1$$

is concentrated on  $]0, \infty[$  and is infinitely divisible with respect to the product convolution. The unique product convolution semigroup  $(\rho_c)_{c>0}$  with  $\rho_1 = \rho$  has the moments

$$\int_0^\infty x^n \, d\rho_c(x) = \left(f(\alpha)f(\alpha+\beta)\cdot\ldots\cdot f(\alpha+(n-1)\beta)\right)^c, \quad c>0, n=1,2,\ldots.$$
(6)

The Mellin transform of  $\rho_c$  is given by

$$\int_0^\infty t^z \, d\rho_c(t) = e^{-c\psi(z)}, \text{ Re } z \ge 0, \tag{7}$$

where

$$\psi(z) = -z \log f(\alpha) + \int_0^\infty \left( (1 - e^{-z\beta x}) - z(1 - e^{-\beta x}) \right) \frac{e^{-\alpha x}}{x(1 - e^{-\beta x})} \, d\kappa(x), \quad (8)$$

and  $\kappa$  is given by (4).

Proof of the theorem is given in Section 2.

In connection with questions of determinacy the following result is useful.

**Lemma 1.3** Assume that a Stieltjes moment sequence  $(u_n)$  is the product  $u_n = s_n t_n$  of two Stieltjes moment sequences  $(s_n), (t_n)$ . If  $t_n > 0$  for all n and  $(s_n)$  is S-indeterminate, then also  $(u_n)$  is S-indeterminate.

This is proved in Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3 in [4]. It follows that if  $(s_n) \in \mathcal{I}$ and  $(s_n^c)$  is S-indeterminate for  $c = c_0$ , then it is S-indeterminate for any  $c > c_0$ . Therefore one of the following three cases occur

- $(s_n^c)$  is S-determinate for all c > 0.
- There exists  $c_0, 0 < c_0 < \infty$  such that  $(s_n^c)$  is S-determinate for  $0 < c < c_0$ and S-indeterminate for  $c > c_0$ .
- $(s_n^c)$  is S-indeterminate for all c > 0.

We have already mentioned examples of the first two cases, and the third case occurs in Remark 1.7.

The question of characterizing the set of normalized Stieltjes moment sequences  $(s_n)$  with the property that  $(s_n^c)$  is a Stieltjes moment sequence for each c > 0 is essentially answered in the monograph [3]. (This was written without knowledge about [19].) In fact,  $\delta_{0n}$  has clearly this property, so let us restrict the attention to the class of non-vanishing normalized Stieltjes moment sequences  $(s_n)$  for which we can apply the general theory of infinitely divisible positive definite kernels, see [3, Proposition 3.2.7]. Combining this result with Theorem 6.2.6 in the same monograph we can formulate the solution in the following way, where (iii) and (iv) are new:

**Theorem 1.4** For a sequence  $s_n > 0$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $s_n^c$  is a normalized Stieltjes moment sequence for each c > 0, i.e.  $(s_n) \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- (ii) There exist  $a \in \mathbb{R}, b \ge 0$  and a positive Radon measure  $\sigma$  on  $[0, \infty[\setminus\{1\}]$  satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty (1-x)^2 \, d\sigma(x) < \infty, \quad \int_2^\infty x^n \, d\sigma(x) < \infty, \ n \ge 3$$

such that

$$\log s_n = an + bn^2 + \int_0^\infty (x^n - 1 - n(x - 1)) \, d\sigma(x), \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$
(9)

(iii) There exist  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  and an infinitely divisible probability  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$s_n = (1 - \varepsilon)\delta_{0n} + \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ny} d\omega(y).$$
(10)

(iv) There exist  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  and a product convolution semigroup  $(\rho_c)_{c>0}$  of probabilities on  $]0, \infty[$  such that

$$s_n^c = (1 - \varepsilon^c)\delta_{0n} + \varepsilon^c \int_0^\infty x^n \, d\rho_c(x), n \ge 0, \ c > 0.$$
(11)

Assume  $(s_n) \in \mathcal{I}$ . If  $(s_n^c)$  is S-determinate for some  $c = c_0 > 0$ , then the quantities  $a, b, \sigma, \varepsilon, \omega, (\rho_c)_{c>0}$  from (ii)-(iv) are uniquely determined. Furthermore  $a = \log s_1, b = 0$  and the finite measure  $(1 - x)^2 d\sigma(x)$  is S-determinate.

**Remark 1.5** The measure  $\sigma$  in condition (ii) can have infinite mass close to 1. There is nothing special about the lower limit 2 of the second integral. It can be any number > 1. The conditions on  $\sigma$  can be formulated that  $(1-x)^2 d\sigma(x)$  has moments of any order.

**Remark 1.6** Concerning condition (iv) notice that the measures

$$\tilde{\rho}_c = (1 - \varepsilon^c)\delta_0 + \varepsilon^c \rho_c, \ c > 0 \tag{12}$$

satisfy the convolution equation

$$\tilde{\rho}_c \diamond \tilde{\rho}_d = \tilde{\rho}_{c+d} \tag{13}$$

and (11) can be written

$$s_n^c = \int_0^\infty x^n d\tilde{\rho}_c(x), \ c > 0.$$
 (14)

On the other hand, if we start with a family  $(\tilde{\rho}_c)_{c>0}$  of probabilities on  $[0, \infty[$ satisfying (13), and if we define  $h(c) = 1 - \tilde{\rho}_c(\{0\}) = \tilde{\rho}_c(]0, \infty[)$ , then h(c+d) = h(c)h(d) and therefore  $h(c) = \varepsilon^c$  with  $\varepsilon = h(1) \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\varepsilon = 0$  then  $\tilde{\rho}_c = \delta_0$  for all c > 0, and if  $\varepsilon > 0$  then  $\rho_c := \varepsilon^{-c}(\tilde{\rho}_c|]0, \infty[)$  is a probability on  $]0, \infty[$  satisfying  $\rho_c \diamond \rho_d = \rho_{c+d}$ .

**Remark 1.7** In [4] was introduced a transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  from normalized nonvanishing Hausdorff moment sequences  $(a_n)$  to normalized Stieltjes moment sequences  $(s_n)$  by the formula

$$\mathcal{T}[(a_n)] = (s_n); \quad s_n = \frac{1}{a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_n}, \ n \ge 1.$$
(15)

We note the following result:

If  $(a_n)$  is a normalized Hausdorff moment sequence in  $\mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{T}[(a_n)] \in \mathcal{I}$ .

As an example consider the Hausdorff moment sequence  $a_n = q^n$ , where 0 < q < 1 is fixed. Clearly  $(q^n) \in \mathcal{I}$  and the corresponding product convolution semigroup is  $(\delta_{q^c})_{c>0}$ . The transformed sequence  $(s_n) = \mathcal{T}[(q^n)]$  is given by

$$s_n = q^{-\binom{n+1}{2}},$$

which again belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . The sequence  $(s_n^c)$  is S-indeterminate for all c > 0. The family of densities

$$v_c(x) = \frac{q^{c/8}}{\sqrt{2\pi \log(1/q^c)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\log x)^2}{2\log(1/q^c)}\right], \ x > 0$$

form a product convolution semigroup because

$$\int_0^\infty x^z v_c(x) \, dx = q^{-cz(z+1)/2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular

$$\int_0^\infty x^n v_c(x) \, dx = q^{-c\binom{n+1}{2}}.$$

Each of the measures  $v_c(x) dx$  is infinitely divisible for the additive structure as well as for the multiplicative structure. The additive infinite divisibility is deeper than the multiplicative and was first proved by Thorin, cf. [18].

### 2 Proofs

We start by proving Theorem 1.4 and will deduce Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 from this result.

Proof of Theorem 1.4: The proof of "(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)" is a modification of the proof of Theorem 6.2.6 in [3]: For each c > 0 we choose a probability measure  $\tilde{\rho}_c$  on  $[0, \infty]$  such that for  $n \ge 0$ 

$$s_n^c = \int_0^\infty x^n \, d\tilde{\rho}_c(x),$$

hence

$$\int_0^\infty (x^n - 1 - n(x - 1)) \, d\tilde{\rho}_c(x) = s_n^c - 1 - n(s_1^c - 1).$$

(Because of the possibility of S-indeterminacy we cannot claim the convolution equation  $\tilde{\rho_c} \diamond \tilde{\rho_d} = \tilde{\rho_{c+d}}$ .) If  $\mu$  denotes a vague accumulation point for  $(1/c)(x-1)^2 d\tilde{\rho_c}(x)$  as  $c \to 0$ , we obtain the representation

$$\log s_n - n \log s_1 = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^n - 1 - n(x - 1)}{(1 - x)^2} d\mu(x),$$

which gives (9) by taking out the mass of  $\mu$  at x = 1 and defining  $\sigma = (x - 1)^{-2} d \mu(x)$  on  $[0, \infty[\setminus\{1\}]$ . For details see [3].

"(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)" Define  $m = \sigma(\{0\}) \ge 0$  and let  $\lambda$  be the image measure on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  of  $\sigma - m\delta_0$  under  $-\log x$ . We get

$$\int_{[-1,1]\setminus\{0\}} y^2 \, d\lambda(y) = \int_{[1/e,e]\setminus\{1\}} (1-x)^2 \left(\frac{-\log x}{1-x}\right)^2 \, d\sigma(x) < \infty,$$

and for  $n \ge 0$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus ]-1,1[} e^{-ny} \, d\lambda(y) = \int_{]0,\infty[\setminus]1/e,e[} x^n \, d\sigma(x) < \infty.$$
(16)

This shows that  $\lambda$  is a Lévy measure, which allows us to define a negative definite function

$$\psi(x) = i\tilde{a}x + bx^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(1 - e^{-ixy} - \frac{ixy}{1 + y^2}\right) d\lambda(y),$$

where

$$\tilde{a} := \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(\frac{y}{1+y^2} + e^{-y} - 1\right) \, d\lambda(y) - a.$$

Let  $(\tau_c)_{c>0}$  be the convolution semigroup on  $\mathbb{R}$  with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixy} d\tau_c(y) = e^{-c\psi(x)}, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Because of (16) we see that  $\psi$  and then also  $e^{-c\psi}$  has a holomorphic extension to the lower halfplane. By a classical result (going back to Landau for Dirichlet series), see [20, p.58], this implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ny} d\tau_c(y) < \infty, n = 0, 1, \dots$$

For  $z = x + is, s \leq 0$  the holomorphic extension of  $\psi$  is given by

$$\psi(z) = i\tilde{a}z + bz^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(1 - e^{-izy} - \frac{izy}{1+y^2}\right) d\lambda(y),$$

and we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-izy} \, d\tau_c(y) = e^{-c\psi(z)}$$

In particular we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\psi(-in) &= -\tilde{a}n + bn^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left( e^{-ny} - 1 + \frac{ny}{1+y^2} \right) d\lambda(y) \\ &= -\tilde{a}n + bn^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left( e^{-ny} - 1 - n(e^{-y} - 1) \right) d\lambda(y) \\ &+ n \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left( \frac{y}{1+y^2} + e^{-y} - 1 \right) d\lambda(y) \\ &= an + bn^2 + \int_{]0,\infty[\setminus\{1\}} \left( x^n - 1 - n(x-1) \right) d\sigma(x), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\log s_n = (n-1)m - \psi(-in) \text{ for } n \ge 1, \tag{17}$$

while  $\log s_0 = \psi(0) = 0$ .

The measure  $\omega = \delta_{-m} * \tau_1$  is infinitely divisible on  $\mathbb{R}$  and we find for  $n \ge 1$ 

$$s_n = e^{-m} e^{nm - \psi(-in)} = e^{-m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ny} d\omega(y),$$

so (10) holds with  $\varepsilon = e^{-m}$ .

"(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv)" Suppose (10) holds and let  $(\omega_c)_{c>0}$  be the unique convolution semigroup on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\omega_1 = \omega$ . Let  $(\rho_c)_{c>0}$  be the product convolution semigroup on  $]0, \infty[$  such that  $\rho_c$  is the image of  $\omega_c$  under  $e^{-y}$ . Then (11) holds for  $c = 1, n \ge 0$  and for c > 0 when n = 0. For  $n \ge 1$  we shall prove that

$$s_n^c = \varepsilon^c \int_0^\infty x^n \, d\rho_c(x), \quad c > 0,$$

but this follows from (10) first for c rational and then for all c > 0 by continuity.

"(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i)" is clear since  $(s_n^c)$  is the Stieltjes moment sequence of  $\tilde{\rho}_c$  given by (12).

Assume now  $(s_n) \in \mathcal{I}$ . We get  $\log s_1 = a + b$ . If b > 0 then  $(s_n^c)$  is S-indeterminate for all c > 0 by Lemma 1.3 because the moment sequence  $(\exp(cn^2))$  is S-indeterminate for all c > 0 by Remark 1.7.

If  $(1-x)^2 d\sigma(x)$  is S-indeterminate there exist infinitely many measures  $\tau$  on  $[0, \infty[$  with  $\tau(\{1\}) = 0$  and such that

$$\int_0^\infty x^n (1-x)^2 \, d\sigma(x) = \int_0^\infty x^n \, d\tau(x), \quad n \ge 0.$$

For any of these measures  $\tau$  we have

$$\log s_n = an + bn^2 + \int_0^\infty \frac{x^n - 1 - n(x - 1)}{(1 - x)^2} \, d\tau(x),$$

because the integrand is a polynomial. Therefore  $(s_n^c)$  has the S-indeterminate factor

$$\exp\left(c\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{x^{n}-1-n(x-1)}{(1-x)^{2}}\,d\tau(x)\right)$$

and is itself S-indeterminate for all c > 0.

We conclude that if  $(s_n^c)$  is S-determinate for  $0 < c < c_0$ , then b = 0 and  $(1-x)^2 d\sigma(x)$  is S-determinate. Then  $a = \log s_1$  and  $\sigma$  is uniquely determined on  $[0, \infty[\setminus\{1\}]$ . Furthermore, if  $\varepsilon, (\rho_c)_{c>0}$  satisfy (11) then

$$s_n^c = \int_0^\infty x^n \, d\tilde{\rho_c}(x), \quad c > 0$$

with the notation of Remark 1.6, and we get that  $\tilde{\rho}_c$  is uniquely determined for  $0 < c < c_0$ . This determines  $\varepsilon$  and  $\rho_c$  for  $0 < c < c_0$ , but then  $\rho_c$  is unique for any c > 0 by the convolution equation.

We see that  $\varepsilon, \omega$  are uniquely determined by (10) since (iii) implies (iv).  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2:

To verify directly that the sequence

$$s_n = f(\alpha)f(\alpha + \beta) \cdot \ldots \cdot f(\alpha + (n-1)\beta)$$

of the form considered in Theorem 1.1 satisfies (9), we integrate formula (4) from  $\alpha$  to s and get

$$\log f(s) = \log f(\alpha) + \int_0^\infty (e^{-\alpha x} - e^{-sx}) \frac{d\kappa(x)}{x}.$$

Applying this formula we find

$$\log s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log f(\alpha + k\beta)$$
  
=  $n \log f(\alpha) + \int_0^\infty \left( n(1 - e^{-\beta x}) - (1 - e^{-n\beta x}) \right) \frac{e^{-\alpha x} d \kappa(x)}{x(1 - e^{-\beta x})}$  (18)  
=  $n \log f(\alpha) + \int_0^1 (x^n - 1 - n(x - 1)) d \sigma(x),$ 

where  $\sigma$  is the image measure of

$$\frac{e^{-\alpha x}d\,\kappa(x)}{x(1-e^{-\beta x})}$$

under  $e^{-\beta x}$ . Note that  $\sigma$  is concentrated on ]0, 1[. This shows that  $(s_n) \in \mathcal{I}$ . It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.4 that the constant  $\varepsilon$  of (iii) is  $\varepsilon = 1$ , so (11) reduces to (6). The sequence  $(s_n^c)$  is S-determinate for  $c \leq 2$  by Carleman's criterion stating that if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{s_n^c}} = \infty, \tag{19}$$

then  $(s_n^c)$  is S-determinate, cf. [1],[14]. To see that this condition is satisfied we note that  $f(s) \leq (f(\beta)/\beta)s$  for  $s \geq \beta$ , and hence

$$s_n = f(\alpha)f(\alpha + \beta) \cdot \ldots \cdot f(\alpha + (n-1)\beta)$$
$$\leq f(\alpha)(\frac{f(\beta)}{\beta})^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\alpha + k\beta) = f(\alpha)f(\beta)^{n-1}(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta})_{n-1}$$

It follows from Stirling's formula that (19) holds for  $c \leq 2$ .

We claim that

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha x}}{x} \, d\kappa(x) < \infty. \tag{20}$$

This is clear if  $\alpha > 0$ , but if  $\alpha = 0$  we shall prove

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d\kappa(x)}{x} < \infty.$$

For  $\alpha = 0$  we assume that f(0) = a > 0 and therefore the potential kernel

$$p = \int_0^\infty \eta_t \, dt$$

has finite total mass 1/a. Furthermore we have  $\kappa = p * (b\delta_0 + x d\nu(x))$  since

$$f'(s) = b + \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} x \, d\nu(x),$$

so we can write  $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$  with

$$\kappa_1 = p * (b\delta_0 + x \mathbf{1}_{]0,1[}(x) \, d\nu(x)), \quad \kappa_2 = p * (x \mathbf{1}_{[1,\infty[}(x) \, d\nu(x))),$$

and  $\kappa_1$  is a finite measure. Finally

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d\kappa_2(x)}{x} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y}{x+y} \, dp(x) \right) d\nu(y) \le \frac{\nu([1,\infty[))}{a} < \infty.$$

The function  $\psi$  given by (8) is continuous in the closed half-plane Re  $z \ge 0$ and holomorphic in Re z > 0 because of (20). Note that  $\psi(n) = -\log s_n$  by (18). We also notice that  $\psi(iy)$  is a continuous negative definite function on the additive group ( $\mathbb{R}$ , +), cf. [5], because

$$1 - e^{-iyx} - iy(1 - e^{-x})$$

is a continuous negative definite function of y for each  $x \ge 0$ . Therefore there exists a unique product convolution semigroup  $(\tau_c)_{c>0}$  of probabilities on  $]0, \infty[$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty t^{iy} d\tau_c(t) = e^{-c\psi(iy)}, \ c > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(21)

By a classical result, see [20, p. 58]), the holomorphy of  $\psi$  in the right halfplane implies that  $t^z$  is  $\tau_c$ -integrable for Re  $z \ge 0$  and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z} d\tau_{c}(t) = e^{-c\psi(z)}, \ c > 0, \text{Re} \, z \ge 0.$$
(22)

In particular the n'th moment is given by

$$\int_0^\infty t^n \, d\tau_c(t) = e^{-c\psi(n)} = e^{c\log s_n} = s_n^c,$$

so by S-determinacy of  $(s_n^c)$  for  $c \leq 2$  we get  $\rho_c = \tau_c$  for  $c \leq 2$ . This is however enough to ensure that  $\rho_c = \tau_c$  for all c > 0 since  $(\rho_c)$  and  $(\tau_c)$  are product convolution semigroups.  $\Box$ 

#### 3 Tyan's thesis revisited

In [19] Tyan defines a normalized Hamburger moment sequence

$$s_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n \mu(x), \quad n \ge 0$$

to be *infinitely divisible* if

- (i)  $s_n \ge 0$  for all  $n \ge 0$
- (ii)  $(s_n^c)$  is a Hamburger moment sequence for all c > 0.

Since the set of Hamburger moment sequences is closed under limits and products, we can replace (ii) by the weaker

(ii')  $\sqrt[k]{s_n}$  is a Hamburger moment sequence for all  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ 

**Lemma 3.1 (Tyan)** Let  $(s_n)$  be an infinitely divisible Hamburger moment sequence. Then one of the following cases hold:

- $s_n > 0$  for all n.
- $s_{2n} > 0, s_{2n+1} = 0$  for all n.
- $s_n = 0$  for  $n \ge 1$ .

*Proof*: The sequence  $(u_n)$  defined by

$$u_n = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{s_n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_n > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } s_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a Hamburger moment sequence, and since it is bounded by 1 we have

$$u_n = \int_{-1}^1 x^n \, d\mu(x)$$

for some probability  $\mu$  on [-1, 1].

Either  $u_2 = 1$  and then  $\mu = \alpha \delta_1 + (1 - \alpha) \delta_{-1}$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , or  $u_2 = 0$ and then  $\mu = \delta_0$ , which gives the third case of the Lemma.

In the case  $u_2 = 1$  we have  $u_1 = 2\alpha - 1$ , which is either 1 or 0 corresponding to either  $\alpha = 1$  or  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , which gives the two first cases of the Lemma.  $\Box$ 

The symmetric case  $s_{2n} > 0, s_{2n+1} = 0$  is equivalent to studying infinitely divisible Stieltjes moment sequences, while the third case is trivial.

Theorem 4.2 of [19] can be formulated:

**Theorem 3.2** A Hamburger moment sequence  $(s_n)$  such that  $s_n > 0$  for all n is infinitely divisible if and only if the following representation holds

$$\log s_n = an + bn^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x^n - 1 - n(x - 1)) \, d\sigma(x), \quad n \ge 0.$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}, b \ge 0$  and  $\sigma$  is a positive measure on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$  such that  $(1-x)^2 d\sigma(x)$ is a measure with moments of any order. Furthermore  $(s_n)$  is a Stieltjes moment sequence if and only if  $\sigma$  can be chosen supported by  $[0, \infty]$ .

The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Tyan also discusses infinitely divisible multidimensional moment sequences and obtains analogous results.

#### 4 An application to Hermite polynomials

It follows from equation (5) that

$$\sqrt{n!} = \int_0^\infty u^n \, d\sigma(u) \tag{23}$$

for the unique probability  $\sigma$  on the half-line satisfying  $\sigma \diamond \sigma = \exp(-t)\mathbf{1}_{]0,\infty[}(t) dt$ . Even though  $\sigma$  is not explicitly known, it can be used to prove that a certain generating function for the Hermite polynomials is non-negative.

Let  $H_n, n = 0, 1, ...$  denote the sequence of Hermite polynomials satisfying the orthogonality relation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n(x) H_m(x) e^{-x^2} dx = 2^n n! \delta_{nm}.$$

The following generating function is well known:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_k(x)}{k!} z^k = e^{2xz - z^2}, \quad x, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (24)

The corresponding orthonormal polynomials are given by

$$h_n(x) = \frac{H_n(x)}{\sqrt{2^n n!}},$$

and they satisfy the following inequality of Szasz, cf. [17]

$$|h_n(x)| \le e^{x^2/2}, x \in \mathbb{R}, n = 0, 1, \dots$$
 (25)

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  denote the open unit disc in the complex plane.

**Theorem 4.1** The generating function

$$G(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x)t^k$$
(26)

is continuous for  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{R}$  and satisfies G(t, x) > 0 for  $-1 < t < 1, x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof:* The series for the generating function (26) converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{R}$  by the inequality of Szasz (25), so it is continuous.

By (23) we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} h_k(x) t^k = \int_0^\infty \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{H_k(x)}{k!} (\frac{tu}{\sqrt{2}})^k \right) \, d\sigma(u),$$

which by (24) converges to

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(\sqrt{2tux} - t^2 u^2/2) \, d\sigma(u) > 0 \text{ for } -1 < t < 1, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

provided we have dominated convergence. This follows however from (25) because

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{H_k(x)}{k!} (\frac{tu}{\sqrt{2}})^k \right| \, d\sigma(u) \le e^{x^2/2} \int_0^\infty \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(|t|u)^k}{\sqrt{k!}} \right) \, d\sigma(u) \\ = e^{x^2/2} (1-|t|)^{-1} < \infty.$$

# 5 The moment sequences $(a)_n^c$ and $((a)_n/(b)_n)^c$

For each a > 0 the sequence  $(a)_n := a(a+1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (a+n-1)$  is the Stieltjes moment sequence of the  $\Gamma$ -distribution  $\gamma_a$ :

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \int x^n \, d\gamma_a(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty x^{a+n-1} e^{-x} \, dx.$$

For a = 1 we get the moment sequence n!, so the following result generalizes Theorem 2.5 of [2].

**Theorem 5.1** The sequence  $(a)_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  for each a > 0. There exists a unique product convolution semigroup  $(\gamma_{a,c})_{c>0}$  such that  $\gamma_{a,1} = \gamma_a$ . The moments are given as

$$\int_0^\infty x^n \, d\gamma_{a,c}(x) = (a)_n^c, \quad c > 0,$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty x^z \, d\gamma_{a,c}(x) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(a+z)}{\Gamma(a)}\right)^c, \quad \text{Re}\, z > -a.$$

The moment sequence  $((a)_n^c)$  is S-determinate for  $c \leq 2$  and S-indeterminate for c > 2.

*Proof:* We apply Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 to the Bernstein function f(s) = a + s and put  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ . The formula for the Mellin transform follows from a classical formula about log  $\Gamma$ , cf. [11, 8.3417].

We shall prove that  $(a)_n^c$  is S-indeterminate for c > 2. In [2] it was proved that  $(n!)^c$  is S-indeterminate for c > 2, and so are all the shifted sequences  $((n+k-1)!)^c, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that

$$(k)_n^c = \left(\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(k-1)!}\right)^c$$

is S-indeterminate for  $k \in \mathbb{N}, c > 2$ . To see that also  $(a)_n^c$  is S-indeterminate for  $a \notin \mathbb{N}$ , we choose an integer k > a and factorize

$$(a)_n^c = \left(\frac{(a)_n}{(k)_n}\right)^c (k)_n^c.$$

By the following theorem the first factor is a non-vanishing Stieltjes moment sequence, and by Lemma 1.3 the product is S-indeterminate.  $\Box$ 

For 0 < a < b we have

$$\frac{(a)_n}{(b)_n} = \frac{1}{B(a,b-a)} \int_0^1 x^{n+a-1} (1-x)^{b-a-1} \, dx,\tag{27}$$

where B denotes the Beta-function.

**Theorem 5.2** Let 0 < a < b. Then  $((a)_n/(b)_n)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  and all powers of the moment sequence are Hausdorff moment sequences. There exists a unique product convolution semigroup  $(\beta(a,b)_c)_{c>0}$  on ]0,1] such that

$$\int_0^1 x^z \, d\beta(a,b)_c(x) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(a+z)}{\Gamma(a)} / \frac{\Gamma(b+z)}{\Gamma(b)}\right)^c, \quad \text{Re}\, z > -a.$$

*Proof:* We apply Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 to the Bernstein function f(s) = (a + s)/(b + s) and put  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ .

The Stieltjes moment sequences  $(((a)_n/(b)_n)^c))$  are all bounded and hence Hausdorff moment sequences. The measures  $\gamma_{b,c} \diamond \beta(a,b)_c$  and  $\gamma_{a,c}$  have the same moments and are therefore equal for  $c \leq 2$  and hence for any c > 0 by the convolution equations. The Mellin transform of  $\beta(a,b)_c$  follows from Theorem 5.1.

## 6 The q-extension $((a;q)_n/(b;q)_n)^c$

In this section we fix 0 < q < 1 and consider the q-shifted factorials

$$(z;q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1-zq^k), z \in \mathbb{C}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$$

and  $(z;q)_0 = 1$ . We refer the reader to [10] for further details about q-extensions of various functions.

For  $0 \le b < a < 1$  the sequence  $s_n = (a;q)_n/(b;q)_n$  is a Hausdorff moment sequence for the measure

$$\mu(a,b;q) = \frac{(a;q)_{\infty}}{(b;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b/a;q)_k}{(q;q)_k} a^k \delta_{q^k},$$
(28)

which is a probability on ]0, 1] by the *q*-binomial Theorem, cf. [10]. The calculation of the *n*'th moment follows also from this theorem since

$$s_n(\mu(a,b;q)) = \frac{(a;q)_{\infty}}{(b;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b/a;q)_k}{(q;q)_k} a^k q^{kn} = \frac{(a;q)_{\infty}}{(b;q)_{\infty}} \frac{((b/a)aq^n;q)_{\infty}}{(aq^n;q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(a;q)_n}{(b;q)_n}.$$

Replacing a by  $q^a$  and b by  $q^b$  and letting  $q \to 1$  we get the moment sequences  $(a)_n/(b)_n$ , so the present example can be thought of as a q-extension of the former. The distribution  $\mu(q^a, q^b; q)$  is called the q-Beta law in Pakes [13] because of its relation to the q-Beta function.

**Theorem 6.1** For  $0 \le b < a < 1$  the sequence  $s_n = (a;q)_n/(b;q)_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . The measure  $\mu(a,b;q)$  is infinitely divisible with respect to the product convolution and the corresponding product convolution semigroup  $(\mu(a,b;q)_c)_{c>0}$  satisfies

$$\int t^z d\mu(a,b;q)_c(t) = \left(\frac{(bq^z;q)_\infty}{(b;q)_\infty} / \frac{(aq^z;q)_\infty}{(a;q)_\infty}\right)^c, \quad \text{Re}\, z > -\frac{\log a}{\log q}.$$
 (29)

In particular

$$s_n^c = ((a;q)_n/(b;q)_n)^c$$
 (30)

is the moment sequence of  $\mu(a, b; q)_c$ , which is concentrated on  $\{q^k \mid k = 0, 1, ...\}$ for each c > 0.

*Proof*: It is easy to prove that  $(a;q)_n/(b;q)_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  using Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 applied to the Bernstein function

$$f(s) = \frac{1 - aq^s}{1 - bq^s} = 1 - (a - b) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b^k q^{(k+1)s},$$

but it will also be a consequence of the following considerations, which gives information about the support of  $\mu(a, b; q)_c$ .

For a probability  $\mu$  on ]0,1] let  $\tau = -\log(\mu)$  be the image measure of  $\mu$  under  $-\log$ . It is concentrated on  $[0,\infty]$  and

$$\int_0^1 t^{ix} d\mu(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-itx} d\tau(t).$$

This shows that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible with respect to the product convolution if and only if  $\tau$  is infinitely divisible in the ordinary sense, and in the affirmative case the negative definite function  $\psi$  associated to  $\mu$  is related to the Bernstein function f associated to  $\tau$  by  $\psi(x) = f(ix), x \in \mathbb{R}$ , cf. [5, p.69].

We now prove that  $\mu(a, b; q)$  is infinitely divisible for the product convolution. As noticed this is equivalent to proving that the measure

$$\tau(a,b;q) := \frac{(a;q)_{\infty}}{(b;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b/a;q)_k}{(q;q)_k} a^k \delta_{k\log(1/q)},$$

is infinitely divisible in the ordinary sense. To see this we calculate the Laplace transform of  $\tau(a, b; q)$  and get by the q-binomial Theorem

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} d\tau(a,b;q)(t) = \frac{(bq^{s};q)_{\infty}}{(b;q)_{\infty}} / \frac{(aq^{s};q)_{\infty}}{(a;q)_{\infty}}, \quad s \ge 0.$$
(31)

Putting

$$f_a(s) = \log \frac{(aq^s; q)_{\infty}}{(a; q)_{\infty}},$$

we see that  $f_a$  is a bounded Bernstein function of the form

$$f_a(s) = -\log(a;q)_{\infty} - \varphi_a(s),$$

where

$$\varphi_a(s) = -\log(aq^s; q)_{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k(1-q^k)} q^{ks}$$

is completely monotonic as Laplace transform of the finite measure

$$\nu_a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{k(1-q^k)} \delta_{k\log(1/q)}.$$

From (31) we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\tau(a,b;q)(t) = \frac{(a;q)_\infty}{(b;q)_\infty} e^{\varphi_a(s) - \varphi_b(s)},$$

and it follows that  $\tau(a, b; q)$  is infinitely divisible and the corresponding convolution semigroup is given by the infinite series

$$\tau(a,b;q)_c = \left(\frac{(a;q)_{\infty}}{(b;q)_{\infty}}\right)^c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^k (\nu_a - \nu_b)^{*k}}{k!}, \quad c > 0.$$

Note that each of these measures are concentrated on  $\{k \log(1/q) \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ . The associated Lévy measure is the finite measure  $\nu_a - \nu_b$  concentrated on  $\{k \log(1/q) \mid k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ . This shows that the image measures

$$\mu(a,b;q)_c = \exp(-\tau(a,b;q)_c), \quad c > 0$$

form a product convolution semigroup concentrated on  $\{q^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ .

The product convolution semigroup  $(\mu(a, b; q)_c)_{c>0}$  has the negative definite function f(ix), where  $f(s) = f_a(s) - f_b(s)$  for  $\operatorname{Re} s \ge 0$ , hence

$$\int t^{ix} d\mu(a,b;q)_c(t) = \left(\frac{(bq^{ix};q)_\infty}{(b;q)_\infty} / \frac{(aq^{ix};q)_\infty}{(a;q)_\infty}\right)^c, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the equation (29) follows by holomorphic continuation. Putting z = n gives (30).

#### 7 Complements

**Example 7.1** Let 0 < a < b and consider the Hausdorff moment sequence  $a_n = (a)_n/(b)_n \in \mathcal{I}$ . By Remark 1.7 the moment sequence  $(s_n) = \mathcal{T}[(a_n)]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . We find

$$s_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(b)_k}{(a)_k} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{b+k}{a+k}\right)^{n-k}$$

**Example 7.2** Applying  $\mathcal{T}$  to the Hausdorff moment sequence  $((a;q)_n/(b;q)_n)$  gives the Stieltjes moment sequence

$$s_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(b;q)_k}{(a;q)_k} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1-bq^k}{1-aq^k}\right)^{n-k}.$$
(32)

•

We shall now give the measure with moments (32). For  $0 \le p < 1, 0 < q < 1$  we consider the function of z

$$h_p(z;q) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - pzq^k}{1 - zq^k}\right)^k,$$

which is holomorphic in the unit disk with a power series expansion

$$h_p(z;q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k \tag{33}$$

having non-negative coefficients  $c_k = c_k(p,q)$ . To see this, notice that

$$\frac{1-pz}{1-z} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-p)z^k.$$

For  $0 \le b < a < 1$  and  $\gamma > 0$  we consider the probability measure with support in  $[0, \gamma]$ 

$$\sigma_{a,b,\gamma} = \frac{1}{h_{b/a}(a;q)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k a^k \delta_{\gamma q^k},$$

where the numbers  $c_k$  are the (non-negative) coefficients of the power series for  $h_{b/a}(z;q)$ .

The *n*'th moment of  $\sigma_{a,b,\gamma}$  is given by

$$s_n(\sigma_{a,b,\gamma}) = \gamma^n \frac{h_{b/a}(aq^n;q)}{h_{b/a}(a;q)}.$$

For  $\gamma = (b;q)_{\infty}/(a;q)_{\infty}$  it is easy to see that

$$s_n(\sigma_{a,b,\gamma}) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1-bq^k}{1-aq^k}\right)^{n-k},$$

which are the moments (32).

#### References

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer, *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis* (Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965).
- [2] C. Berg, On powers of Stieltjes moment sequences, I, J. Theoret. Probab. (To appear).
- [3] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen and P. Ressel, Harmonic analysis on semigroups. Theory of positive definite and related functions. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 100 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984).
- [4] C. Berg, A.J. Durán, A transformation from Hausdorff to Stieltjes moment sequences, Ark. Mat. 42 (2004) 239–257.

- [5] C. Berg, G. Forst, *Potential theory on locally compact abelian groups* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975).
- [6] J. Bertoin, *Lévy processes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
- [7] J. Bertoin, M. Yor, On subordinators, self-similar Markov processes and some factorizations of the exponential variable, *Elect. Comm. in Probab.* 6 (2001) 95–106.
- [8] P. Carmona, F. Petit and M. Yor, Sur les fonctionelles exponentielles de certains processus de Lévy, Stochastics and Stochastics Reports 47 (1994) 71-101.
- [9] P. Carmona, F. Petit and M. Yor, On the distribution and asymptotic results for exponential functionals of Levy processes. In: M. Yor (editor), Exponential functionals and principal values related to Brownian motion, pp. 73–121. Biblioteca de la Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 1997.
- [10] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990).
- [11] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Sixth Edition (Academic Press, San Diego, 2000).
- [12] H. Heyer, Probability measures on locally compact groups (Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977).
- [13] A.G. Pakes, Length biasing and laws equivalent to the log-normal, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 197 (1996) 825–854.
- [14] Shohat, J., Tamarkin, J.D., The Problem of Moments, revised edition (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1950).
- [15] T. J. Stieltjes, Recherches sur les fractions continues, Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse 8 (1894) 1–122, 9 (1895) 5–47.
- [16] J. Stoyanov, Krein condition in probabilistic moment problems, *Bernoulli* 6(5) (2000) 939–949.
- [17] O. Szasz, On the relative extrema of the Hermite orthogonal functions, J. Indian Math. Soc. 25 (1951) 129–134.
- [18] O. Thorin, On the infinite divisibility of the Pareto distribution, Scand. Actuarial. J. (1977) 31–40.
- [19] Shu-gwei Tyan, The structure of Bivariate distribution functions and their relation to Markov processes. Ph. D. Thesis, (Princeton University 1975).

[20] D.V. Widder, The Laplace Transform (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941).

C. Berg, Department of Mathematics, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100, Denmark; Email: berg@math.ku.dk