

A'PRIORI ESTIMATES AND PRECISE REGULARITY FOR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS WITH DISCONTINUOUS DATA

D.K. PALAGACHEV AND L.G. SOFTOVA

ABSTRACT. We deal with linear parabolic (in sense of Petrovskii) systems of order $2b$ with discontinuous principal coefficients. A' priori estimates in Sobolev and Sobolev–Morrey spaces are proved for the strong solutions by means of potential analysis and boundedness of certain singular integral operators with kernels of mixed homogeneity. As a byproduct, precise characterization of the Morrey, *BMO* and Hölder regularity is given for the solutions and their derivatives up to order $2b - 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper deals with linear systems of order $2b$ which are parabolic in the sense of Petrovskii. The discontinuity of the principal coefficients $a_\alpha^{kj}(x, t)$ is expressed in terms of appurtenance of a_α^{kj} 's to the class of functions with *vanishing mean oscillation* which contains as a proper subset the space of uniformly continuous functions. We deal with strong solutions belonging to the Sobolev class $W_{p,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$, $p > 1$, or to Sobolev–Morrey's space $W_{p,\lambda,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$ where Q is a cylindrical domain of n -dimensional base Ω and height T . (Let us emphasize at the very beginning that throughout the paper “*local*” means “local in space variables x but global in time t ”.) It is proved that $a_\alpha^{kj}(x, t) \in VMO \cap L^\infty$ is a *sufficient* condition ensuring local Hölder regularity of such solutions and all their spatial derivatives up to order $2b - 1$.

Our approach makes use of the Calderón–Zygmund method of expressing highest order derivatives of the solution in terms of Gaussian-type potentials. These turn out to be singular integrals with *kernels of mixed homogeneity* of degree $-n - 2b$ (strongly defined by the system itself) and their commutators with the multiplication by the *VMO* functions a_α^{kj} which have *small integral oscillation* over small cylinders (cf. [11] for the L^p -theory of second-order elliptic equations, [10] and [27] for the case of elliptic systems and higher-order elliptic equations, respectively, and [3, 27, 30] for what concerns linear parabolic equations of second order). Employing results on boundedness of these singular integral operators in L^p (mainly due to Fabes–Rivière [16]) and in $L^{p,\lambda}$ (recently proven by the authors in [27]), we derive a' priori bounds of Caccioppoli type which yield estimates for the strong solutions in $W_{p,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$ (or $W_{p,\lambda,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$) by means of the $L_{\text{loc}}^p(Q)$ (respectively,

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K40 (primary); 35R05, 35B45 35B65, 42B20, 46E35 (secondary).

$L_{\text{loc}}^{p,\lambda}(Q)$) norm of the right-hand side plus a weaker norm of the solution itself. By virtue of embedding properties of Sobolev (Sobolev–Morrey) spaces into Hölder ones, these a priori bounds lead to a complete characterization of the Morrey, BMO and Hölder regularity of the solution and its spatial derivatives up to order $2b - 1$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we outline the functional frameworks and state the main results. A geometric characterization of solution’s regularity is given in terms of n , b , p and λ . Section 3 deals with the fundamental solution of parabolic systems with constant coefficients. We study various properties of that fundamental solution which conduct to a representation formula for solution’s derivatives of order $2b$ through singular integral operators with kernels of Calderón–Zygmund type. As far as Hölder’s regularity follows from known embedding between Sobolev and Besov spaces in the case of $W_{p,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$ solutions, it is a rather delicate matter to deal with solutions lying in $W_{p,\lambda,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$. For, we prove a Poincaré-type inequality (Lemma 2.8) which allows to employ recent results (cf. [23]) on Morrey, Campanato and Hölder classes on spaces of homogeneous type. As outgrowth, we get a complete description of Morrey, BMO and Hölder regularity of the lower-order derivatives. Section 4 contains various remarks regarding systems with lower order terms, non-zero initial conditions and non-parabolic systems. We show that the parabolicity condition (see (2.3) below) is not only sufficient but, in some context, also necessary for the validity of the a priori estimates obtained. Finally, a counter-example is given to statements on Hölder’s continuity that have appeared recently in the literature.

A complete version containing complete proves of the presented here results is submitted.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a domain (open connected set) and define $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ with $T > 0$. We consider the linear system

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{L}(x, t, D_t, D^\alpha) \mathbf{u} := D_t \mathbf{u}(x, t) - \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, t) D^\alpha \mathbf{u}(x, t) = \mathbf{f}(x, t)$$

for the unknown vector-valued function $\mathbf{u}: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ given by the transpose $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), \dots, u_m(x, t))^T$, $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)^T$, and $\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, t)$ stands for the $m \times m$ matrix $\{a_\alpha^{kj}(x, t)\}_{k,j=1}^m$ of the measurable coefficients $a_\alpha^{kj}: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Throughout the paper $b \geq 1$ is a fixed integer, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a multiindex of length $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $D_t := \partial/\partial t$ and $D^\alpha \equiv D_x^\alpha := D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $D_i := \partial/\partial x_i$. Further, $D^\alpha \mathbf{u} = (D^\alpha u_1, \dots, D^\alpha u_m)^T$ and $D^s \mathbf{u}$ substitutes *any* derivative $D^\alpha \mathbf{u}$ with $|\alpha| = s \in \mathbb{N}$. The boldface small roman letters \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{f} , \mathbf{g}, \dots denote m -dimensional vectors whereas boldface capital letters \mathbf{A} , $\mathbf{\Gamma}, \dots$ stand for $m \times m$ -matrices. The notation $|\cdot|$ is used to indicate the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^N and N will be clear from the context.

We assume that the system (2.1) is uniformly parabolic in the sense of Petrovskii (see [14, 15, 18, 31]). Namely, the p -roots of the m -degree polynomial

$$(2.2) \quad \det \left\{ p \mathbf{Id}_m - \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, t) (i\xi)^\alpha \right\} = 0 \quad (i = \sqrt{-1})$$

satisfy, for some $\delta > 0$ and all $s = 1, \dots, m$, the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} p_s(x, t, \xi) \leq -\delta |\xi|^{2b} \quad \text{for a.a. } (x, t) \in Q, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here \mathbf{Id}_m is the identity $m \times m$ matrix and $\xi^\alpha := \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \xi_n^{\alpha_n}$. Indeed, for fixed $(x, t) \in Q$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p_s(x, t, \xi)$ are nothing else than the eigenvalues of the $m \times m$ matrix $(-1)^b \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, t) \xi^\alpha$, and the parabolicity condition (2.3) means these have negative real part.

Our goal is to obtain interior Hölder regularity of the strong solutions to (2.1) as a byproduct of a priori estimates in Sobolev and Sobolev–Morrey spaces. Let us recall the definitions of these functional classes.

Definition 2.1. *The parabolic Sobolev space $W_p^{2b,1}(Q)$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$, is the collection of $L^p(Q)$ functions $u: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ all of which distribution derivatives $D_t u$ and $D_x^\alpha u$ with $|\alpha| \leq 2b$, belong to $L^p(Q)$. The norm in $W_p^{2b,1}(Q)$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W_p^{2b,1}(Q)} &:= \|D_t u\|_{p;Q} + \sum_{s=0}^{2b} \|D^s u\|_{p;Q} \\ &= \|D_t u\|_{p;Q} + \sum_{s=0}^{2b} \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \|D_x^\alpha u\|_{p;Q}, \quad \|\cdot\|_{p;Q} := \left(\int_Q |\cdot|^p dx dt \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of brevity, the cross-product of m copies of $L^p(Q)$ is denoted by the same symbol. Thus, if $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m)^\top$ is a vector-valued function, $\mathbf{u} \in L^p(Q)$ means that $u_k \in L^p(Q)$ for all $k = 1, \dots, m$, and $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p;Q} := \sum_{k=1}^m \|u_k\|_{p;Q}$.

When dealing with localized versions of $W_p^{2b,1}$ we always mean local in spatial variables x and global in time, that is, $u \in W_{p,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$ if $u \in W_p^{2b,1}(\Omega' \times (0, T))$ for any $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$.

Endow $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t$ with the parabolic metric $\varrho(x, t) = \max\{|x|, |t|^{1/2b}\}$. We will employ the system of parabolic cylinders

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{C}_r(x_0, t_0) := B_r(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^{2b}, t_0), \quad B_r(x_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r, \}.$$

Obviously, the Lebesgue measure $|\mathcal{C}_r|$ is comparable to r^{n+2b} .

Definition 2.2. *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $\lambda \in (0, n + 2b)$. The function $u \in L^p(Q)$ belongs to the parabolic Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(Q)$ if*

$$\|u\|_{p,\lambda;Q} := \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \int_{\mathcal{C}_r \cap Q} |u(x, t)|^p dx dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty$$

where \mathcal{C}_r ranges in the set of parabolic cylinders in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The Sobolev–Morrey space $W_{p,\lambda}^{2b,1}(Q)$ consists of all functions $u \in W_p^{2b,1}(Q)$ with generalized derivatives $D_t u$ and $D_x^\alpha u$, $|\alpha| \leq 2b$, belonging to $L^{p,\lambda}(Q)$. The norm in $W_{p,\lambda}^{2b,1}(Q)$ is given by $\|u\|_{W_{p,\lambda}^{2b,1}(Q)} := \|D_t u\|_{p,\lambda;Q} + \sum_{s=0}^{2b} \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \|D_x^\alpha u\|_{p,\lambda;Q}$.

We refer the reader to [8, 9, 12, 23, 26] for various properties of Morrey and Sobolev–Morrey spaces.

Definition 2.3. For a locally integrable function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define

$$\eta_f(R) := \sup_{r \leq R} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_r|} \int_{\mathcal{C}_r} |f(y, \tau) - f_{\mathcal{C}_r}| dy d\tau \quad \text{for every } R > 0,$$

where \mathcal{C}_r is any parabolic cylinder and $f_{\mathcal{C}_r}$ is the average $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_r|} \int_{\mathcal{C}_r} f(y, \tau) dy d\tau$. Then:

- $f \in BMO$ (bounded mean oscillation, see John–Nirenberg [22]) if $\|f\|_* := \sup_R \eta_f(R) < +\infty$. $\|f\|_*$ is a norm in BMO modulo constant functions under which BMO is a Banach space.
- $f \in VMO$ (vanishing mean oscillation, see Sarason [29]) if $f \in BMO$ and $\lim_{R \downarrow 0} \eta_f(R) = 0$. The quantity $\eta_f(R)$ is referred to as VMO -modulus of f .

The spaces $BMO(Q)$ and $VMO(Q)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{*;Q}$ are defined in a similar manner taking $\mathcal{C}_r \cap Q$ instead of \mathcal{C}_r above.

The main results of this paper are as follows.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose (2.3), $1 < q \leq p < +\infty$, $a_\alpha^{kj} \in VMO(Q) \cap L^\infty(Q)$, $\mathbf{f} \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(Q)$ and let $\mathbf{u} \in W_{q,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$ be a strong solution of (2.1) such that $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}$. Then the operator \mathfrak{L} improves integrability, that is, $\mathbf{u} \in W_{p,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$, and for any $Q' = \Omega' \times (0, T)$, $Q'' = \Omega'' \times (0, T)$, $\Omega' \Subset \Omega'' \Subset \Omega$, there is a constant C depending on $n, p, m, b, \delta, \|a_\alpha^{kj}\|_{\infty;Q}, \eta_{a_\alpha^{kj}}$ and $\text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega'')$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2b,1}(Q')} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p;Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p;Q''}).$$

We will show in Section 4 that the parabolicity condition (2.3) is also *necessary* in order to be valid the estimate (2.5).

Since $W_p^{2b,1}(Q')$ is contained into the Besov space $B_{\infty,\infty}^{\sigma,\sigma/2b}(Q')$ with $\sigma = 2b - \frac{n+2b}{p} > 0$ and $B_{\infty,\infty}^{\sigma,\sigma/2b}(Q')$ coincides with the Hölder space $C^{\sigma,\sigma/2b}(Q')$ for *non-integer* σ (see [20, 21], [32, Theorems 2.5, 2.7]), we get

Corollary 2.5. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, suppose $p > \frac{n+2b}{2b}$. Then $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(Q')$ and there is a constant C such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\infty;Q'} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p;Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p;Q''}).$$

Moreover, the x -derivatives of \mathbf{u} are Hölder continuous for large values of p . Precisely,

- if $p \in \left(\frac{n+2b}{2b-s}, \frac{n+2b}{2b-s-1}\right)$ for a fixed $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2b-2\}$ then $D^s \mathbf{u} \in C^{\sigma_s, \sigma_s/2b}(Q')$ with $\sigma_s = 2b - s - \frac{n+2b}{p}$;
- if $p \in (n+2b, +\infty)$ then $D^{2b-1} \mathbf{u} \in C^{\sigma_{2b-1}, \sigma_{2b-1}/2b}(Q')$ with $\sigma_{2b-1} = 1 - \frac{n+2b}{p}$

and in all cases

$$\sup_{\substack{(x,t) \neq (x',t') \\ (x,t), (x',t') \in Q'}} \frac{|D^s \mathbf{u}(x,t) - D^s \mathbf{u}(x',t')|}{(|x-x'| + |t-t'|^{1/2b})^{\sigma_s}} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p;Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p;Q''})$$

for $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2b-1\}$.

Our next result provides improving-of-integrability property of \mathfrak{L} and a priori estimates in Sobolev–Morrey spaces for solutions of (2.1) with Morrey right-hand side.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose (2.3), $1 < q \leq p < +\infty$, $\lambda \in (0, n+2b)$, $a_\alpha^{kj} \in VMO(Q) \cap L^\infty(Q)$, $\mathbf{f} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p,\lambda}(Q)$ and let $\mathbf{u} \in W_{q,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$ be a strong solution of (2.1) such that $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}$. Then $\mathbf{u} \in W_{p,\lambda,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$ and*

$$(2.6) \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_{p,\lambda}^{2b,1}(Q')} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p,\lambda;Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p,\lambda;Q''})$$

with a constant C depending on the quantities listed in Theorem 2.4 and on λ in addition.

An essential step in the prove of the a priori estimate (2.6) is obtaining of local Caccioppoli-type estimate for the upper derivatives of the solution

$$(2.7) \quad \|D^{2b} \mathbf{u}\|_{p,\lambda;\mathcal{C}_{r/2}} \leq C (\|\mathfrak{L}\mathbf{u}\|_{p,\lambda;\mathcal{C}_r} + Cr^{-2b} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p,\lambda;\mathcal{C}_r})$$

which holds for $\|D_t \mathbf{u}\|_{p,\lambda;\mathcal{C}_{r/2}}$ as well by virtue of the parabolic structure of (2.1).

As consequence of (2.6) we obtain precise characterization of Morrey, BMO and Hölder regularity of the derivatives $D^s \mathbf{u}$ with $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2b-1\}$. Precisely,

Corollary 2.7. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.6 fix an $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2b-1\}$. Then there is a constant C such that*

- if $p \in \left(1, \frac{n+2b-\lambda}{2b-s}\right)$ then $D^s \mathbf{u} \in L^{p,(2b-s)p+\lambda}(Q')$ and


$$\|D^s \mathbf{u}\|_{p,(2b-s)p+\lambda;Q'} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p,\lambda;Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p,\lambda;Q''});$$

- if $p = \frac{n+2b-\lambda}{2b-s}$ then $D^s \mathbf{u} \in BMO(Q')$ and

$$\|D^s \mathbf{u}\|_{*;Q'} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p,\lambda;Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p,\lambda;Q''});$$

- if $p \in \left(\frac{n+2b-\lambda}{2b-s}, \frac{n+2b-\lambda}{2b-s-1}\right)^1$ then $D^s \mathbf{u} \in C^{\sigma_s, \sigma_s/2b}(Q')$ with $\sigma_s = 2b - s - \frac{n+2b-\lambda}{p}$ and

$$\sup_{\substack{(x,t) \neq (x',t') \\ (x,t), (x',t') \in Q'}} \frac{|D^s \mathbf{u}(x,t) - D^s \mathbf{u}(x',t')|}{(|x-x'| + |t-t'|^{1/2b})^{\sigma_s}} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p,\lambda;Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p,\lambda;Q''}).$$

FIGURE 1. The plane $O_{p,\lambda}$

A simple geometric interpretation is proposed on Figure 1 of the results of Corollaries 2.7 and 2.5. A typical situation is considered for the couple (p, λ) lying in the semistrip $\{(p, \lambda): p > 1, 0 < \lambda < n + 2b\}$ and $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2b - 1\}$. The points B_s on the p -axis are simply $(\frac{n+2b}{2b-s}, 0)$, $B = (1, 0)$, and $A_s = (1, n + s)$ is the intersection of the line $\{p = 1\}$ with the line passing through $(0, n + 2b)$ and B_s .

If (p, λ) belongs to the open right triangle BB_sA_s then $D^s \mathbf{u} \in L^{p, (2b-s)p+\lambda}(Q')$. In particular, $(p, \lambda) \in \triangle BB_0A_0$ yields $\mathbf{u} \in L^{p, 2bp+\lambda}(Q')$ whereas $\mathbf{u} \in BMO(Q')$ if (p, λ) lies on the open line segment A_0B_0 .

Let $s \in \{0, \dots, 2b-2\}$ and take (p, λ) in the interior of the tetragon $R_s := B_sB_{s+1}A_{s+1}A_s$. Then the spatial derivatives $D^s \mathbf{u}$ are Hölder continuous with exponent σ_s given by Corollary 2.7, while $D^{s+1} \mathbf{u} \in L^{p, (2b-s-1)p+\lambda}(Q')$. Moreover, σ_s is the length $|CA_s|$ of the segment CA_s where $C = C(p, \lambda)$ is the intersection of the vertical line $\{p = 1\}$ with the line connecting the points (p, λ) and $(0, n + 2b)$. If $(p, \lambda) \in A_sB_s$ (the open line segment) we have $D^s \mathbf{u} \in BMO$, whereas $(p, \lambda) \in A_{s+1}B_{s+1}$ implies $D^{s+1} \mathbf{u} \in BMO$.

The situation is similar for the derivatives $D^{2b-1} \mathbf{u}$ as well (i.e., $s = 2b - 1$) but R_{2b-1} is now the shadowed *unbounded region* on the picture. Thus, $(p', \lambda') \in R_{2b-1}$ gives that

¹In the case $s = 2b - 1$ this inclusion rewrites naturally as $p \in (n + 2b - \lambda, +\infty)$.

$D^{2b-1}\mathbf{u}$ are Hölder continuous with exponent $\sigma_{2b-1} = |C'A_{2b-1}|$, $C' = C'(p', \lambda')$, while $(p', \lambda') \in A_{2b-1}B_{2b-1}$ yields $D^{2b-1}\mathbf{u} \in BMO$.

To interpret the statement of Corollary 2.5, we have simply to consider values of $p > 1$ lying on the p -axis. This way, $p > \frac{n+2b}{2b}$ implies $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(Q')$ whereas $D^s\mathbf{u}$ are Hölder continuous with exponent $\sigma_s = 2b - s - \frac{n+2b}{p}$ if p lies on the open line segment B_sB_{s+1} (with the setting $B_{2b} := +\infty$).

The proof of Corollary 2.7 relies on the next result which is a parabolic version of the classical Poincaré inequality.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathbf{u} \in W_p^{2b,1}(\mathcal{C}_r)$, then for each $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2b-1\}$ there is a constant $C = C(p, m, n, s)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{C}_r} |D^s\mathbf{u}(x, t) - (D^s\mathbf{u})_{\mathcal{C}_r}|^p dxdt &\leq C(r^{(2b-s)p} \left(\|D^{2b}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{C}_r)}^p + \|D_t\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{C}_r)}^p \right) \\ &\quad + r^p \|D^{s+1}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{C}_r)}^p). \end{aligned}$$

As consequence of Lemma 2.8 and the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral we have the following refinement of Corollary 2.5

Corollary 2.9. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, fix an $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2b-1\}$. Then there is a constant C such that*

- if $p \in (1, \frac{n+2b}{2b-s})$ then $D^s\mathbf{u} \in L^{p, (2b-s)p}(Q')$ and

$$\|D^s\mathbf{u}\|_{p, (2b-s)p; Q'} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p; Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p; Q''});$$

- if $p = \frac{n+2b}{2b-s}$ then $D^s\mathbf{u} \in BMO(Q')$,

$$\|D^s\mathbf{u}\|_{*, Q'} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p; Q''} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{p; Q''})$$

and moreover, $D^s\mathbf{u} \in VMO(Q')$.

Thus $D^s\mathbf{u} \in L^{p, (2b-s)p}(Q')$ if $p \in BB_s$ while $p = B_s$ gives $D^s\mathbf{u} \in VMO(Q')$.

3. GAUSSIAN-TYPE POTENTIALS

Consider now the metric $\rho(x, t)$ defined in [16]. The mixed homogeneity of ρ fits perfectly to the geometry of $2b$ -order parabolic operators and really, $\rho(x, t)$ was first introduced by Fabes and Rivière([16]) in the study of singular integral operators with kernels of mixed homogeneity.

Definition 3.1. *A function $k(x, t; y, \tau): \mathbb{R}_{x,t}^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{R}_{y,\tau}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a variable Calderón-Zygmund kernel of parabolic type if:*

- it is a constant Calderón-Zygmund kernel for a.a. fixed $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. That is,
 - $k(x, t; \cdot, \cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})$;
 - $k(x, t; \mu y_1, \dots, \mu y_n, \mu^{2b}\tau) = \mu^{-(n+2b)}k(x, t; y, \tau)$ for each $\mu > 0$;

$$i_c) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |k(x, t; y, \tau)| d\sigma_{(y, \tau)} < \infty \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} k(x, t; y, \tau) d\sigma_{(y, \tau)} = 0.$$

$$ii) \sup_{(y, \tau) \in \mathbb{S}^n} |D_{y, \tau}^\beta k(x, t; y, \tau)| \leq C(\beta) \forall \text{ multiindex } \beta, \text{ independently of } (x, t).$$

Turning back to the system (2.1), we fix a_α^{kj} 's at a point (x_0, t_0) , set

$$\mathbf{A}_\alpha^0 := \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x_0, t_0) = \{a_\alpha^{kj}(x_0, t_0)\}_{k, j=1}^m,$$

and consider the constant coefficients operator

$$\mathfrak{L}_0(D_t, D^\alpha) := \mathfrak{L}(x_0, t_0, D_t, D^\alpha) = \mathbf{Id}_m D_t - \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} \mathbf{A}_\alpha^0 D^\alpha.$$

It is known (see [31]) that the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{\Gamma}_0(x, t) = \{\Gamma_0^{kj}(x, t)\}_{k, j=1}^m$ of \mathfrak{L}_0 has entries

$$\Gamma_0^{kj}(x, t) = L_{jk}(D_t, D^\alpha) \tilde{\Gamma}_0(x, t),$$

where $\{L_{jk}(D_t, D^\alpha)\}_{j, k=1}^m$ is the *cofactor matrix* of

$$\mathfrak{L}_0(D_t, D^\alpha) = \left\{ \delta^{jk} D_t - \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} a_\alpha^{jk}(x_0, t_0) D^\alpha \right\}_{j, k=1}^m,$$

and $\tilde{\Gamma}_0(x, t)$ is the fundamental solution of the *parabolic equation*

$$(3.1) \quad \det \mathfrak{L}_0(D_t, D^\alpha) u = \det \left\{ \mathbf{Id}_m D_t - \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} \mathbf{A}_\alpha^0 D^\alpha \right\} u = 0.$$

(Note that L_{jk} is either a homogeneous differential operator of order $2b(m-1)$ or the operator of multiplication by 0.) Applying Fourier transform in x and Laplace transform in t , it is easy to get

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_0(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n 2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x \cdot \xi)} d\xi \int_{\mathfrak{C}(\xi)} \frac{e^{pt}}{\det \left\{ p \mathbf{Id}_m - \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} \mathbf{A}_\alpha^0 (i\xi)^\alpha \right\}} dp,$$

where $\mathfrak{C}(\xi)$ is a contour in the complex p -plane enclosing all the roots of (2.2) and therefore, in view of (2.3), could be taken to lie in the left half-plane.

The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{\Gamma}_0(x, t)$ possesses properties analogous to these of the Gauss kernel (see [14, 15, 17, 18, 31]). Precisely,

(\mathcal{P}_1) *Regularity:* $\mathbf{\Gamma}_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})$.

(\mathcal{P}_2) *Mixed homogeneity:* for any $\mu > 0$ and any multiindex β it holds

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_0(\mu x, \mu^{2b} t) = \mu^{-n} \mathbf{\Gamma}_0(x, t), \quad D^\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}_0(\mu x, \mu^{2b} t) = \mu^{-n-|\beta|} D^\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}_0(x, t).$$

(\mathcal{P}_3) *Vanishing property on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^n :*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} D^\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}_0(x, t) d\sigma_{(x, t)} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for any } \alpha, |\alpha| = 2b.$$

(\mathcal{P}_4) *Boundedness of the derivatives:*

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{S}^n} |D^\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}_0(x,t)| \leq C(n, \beta, \max_\alpha |\mathbf{A}_\alpha^0|) \quad \forall \text{ multiindex } \beta.$$

(\mathcal{P}_5) *Integrability:*

$$D^\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \quad \text{for } |\beta| < 2b, \quad D^\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}_0 \notin L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \quad \text{for } |\alpha| = 2b.$$

Take an arbitrary function $\mathbf{v} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ which is compactly supported in x and $\mathbf{v}(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}$. Let the point (x_0, t_0) , where the coefficient matrix $\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x_0, t_0)$ were frozen, belong to $\text{supp } \mathbf{v}$. Making use of a standard approach and unfreezing the coefficients (see [3, 24]) we obtain a representation formula for the derivatives of \mathbf{v} of order $2b$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad D^\alpha \mathbf{v}(x, t) &= p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} D^\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}(x, t; x-y, t-\tau) \mathbf{\mathfrak{L}} \mathbf{v}(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha'|=2b} p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} D^{\alpha'} \mathbf{\Gamma}(x, t; x-y, t-\tau) \\ &\quad \times (\mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}(y, \tau) - \mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}(x, t)) D_y^{\alpha'} \mathbf{v}(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} D^{\beta^s} \mathbf{\Gamma}(x, t; y, \tau) \nu_s d\sigma_{(y, \tau)} \mathbf{\mathfrak{L}} \mathbf{v}(x, t) \\ &=: \mathbf{\mathfrak{K}}_\alpha(\mathbf{\mathfrak{L}} \mathbf{v}) + \sum_{|\alpha'|=2b} \mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}_\alpha[\mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}, D^{\alpha'} \mathbf{v}] + \mathbf{F}(x, t) \mathbf{\mathfrak{L}} \mathbf{v}(x, t), \quad \forall \alpha: |\alpha| = 2b \end{aligned}$$

where the derivatives $D^\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot, \cdot)$ are taken with respect to the third variable.

Denote $\mathbf{k}(x, t; y, \tau) := D_y^\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}(x, t; y, \tau)$ with $|\alpha| = 2b$. Each entry of the $m \times m$ matrix \mathbf{k} is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel in the sense of the Definition 3.1. In fact, $i_a)$ and $i_b)$ are just properties (\mathcal{P}_1) and (\mathcal{P}_2) of the fundamental solution, while $i_c)$ and $ii)$ follow from (\mathcal{P}_3) and (\mathcal{P}_4). Finally, (\mathcal{P}_5) shows that $\mathbf{\mathfrak{K}}_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}_\alpha$ are really singular integral operators. For what concerns their boundedness in Lebesgue and Morrey spaces, we have

Lemma 3.2. *Let $|\alpha| = |\alpha'| = 2b$ and $\mathbf{A}_\alpha \in L^\infty(Q)$. For each $p \in (1, +\infty)$ there exists a constant $C = C(n, m, b, \delta, \|\mathbf{A}_\alpha\|_{\infty; Q}, p)$ such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \|\mathbf{\mathfrak{K}}_\alpha \mathbf{f}\|_{p; Q} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{p; Q},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}_\alpha[\mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}, \mathbf{f}]\|_{p; Q} \leq C \|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}\|_{*; Q} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{p; Q}$$

for any $\mathbf{f} \in L^p(Q)$.

For each $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and each $\lambda \in (0, n+2b)$ there is a constant C depending on $n, m, b, \delta, \|\mathbf{A}_\alpha\|_{\infty; Q}, p$ and λ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \|\mathbf{\mathfrak{K}}_\alpha \mathbf{f}\|_{p, \lambda; Q} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{p, \lambda; Q},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \|\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}_\alpha[\mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}, \mathbf{f}]\|_{p, \lambda; Q} \leq C \|\mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}\|_{*; Q} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{p, \lambda; Q}$$

for any $\mathbf{f} \in L^{p, \lambda}(Q)$.

Moreover, let $\mathbf{A}_\alpha \in VMO(Q) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ with VMO -modulus $\eta_{\mathbf{A}_\alpha}$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon, \eta_{\mathbf{A}_\alpha})$ such that if $r < r_0$ we have

$$(3.8) \quad \|\mathfrak{C}_\alpha[\mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}, \mathbf{f}]\|_{p; \mathcal{C}_r} \leq C\varepsilon \|\mathbf{f}\|_{p; \mathcal{C}_r} \quad \forall \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathcal{C}_r),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \|\mathfrak{C}_\alpha[\mathbf{A}_{\alpha'}, \mathbf{f}]\|_{p, \lambda; \mathcal{C}_r} \leq C\varepsilon \|\mathbf{f}\|_{p, \lambda; \mathcal{C}_r} \quad \forall \mathbf{f} \in L^{p, \lambda}(\mathcal{C}_r)$$

for any parabolic cylinder $\mathcal{C}_r \subset \overline{Q}$.

The bound (3.4) has been proved by Fabes and Rivière [16, Theorem 1] for general kernels of mixed homogeneity. In the case $b = 1$, (3.5) is obtained in [3, Theorem 2.12] while [4] deals with commutators of singular integrals with *constant* kernels on homogeneous spaces. (Let us note that \mathbb{R}^{n+1} endowed with the metric $\rho(x, t)$ is a homogeneous space.) The passage from *constant* to *variable* kernels is standard, it makes use of Calderón-Zygmund's approach ([5, 6]) of expansion into spherical harmonics and leads to (3.5) for $b > 1$ as well.

The estimates (3.6), (3.7) have been obtained in authors' paper [27, Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.7] in the general case of kernels with mixed homogeneity. Note that an adaptation of the proofs of [27] to the L^p -framework gives (3.4) and (3.5).

The estimates (3.8) and (3.9) follow from (3.5) and (3.7) on the base of $\mathbf{A}_\alpha \in VMO$ (see [11, Theorem 2.13], [27, Corollary 2.8], [30, Theorem 3.7]).

Remark 3.3. Employing density arguments, (3.4) and (3.5), it is easily seen that the representation formula (3.3) still holds true (almost everywhere) for *compactly supported in x functions* $\mathbf{v} \in W_p^{2b, 1}$ such that $\mathbf{v}(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}$.

4. REMARKS AND COUNTEREXAMPLES

4.1. *Parabolic systems with lower order terms.* All the results presented here could be extended, modulo unessential technicalities, to parabolic systems with lower order terms

$$D_t \mathbf{u} - \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, t) D^\alpha \mathbf{u} + \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2b-1} \mathbf{B}_\beta(x, t) D^\beta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}(x, t)$$

with $\mathbf{B}_\beta(x, t) = \left\{ b_\beta^{kj}(x, t) \right\}_{k, j=1}^m$. Indeed, the coefficients b_β^{kj} have to belong to suitable Lebesgue (Morrey) spaces chosen in such a way that $\mathbf{B}_\beta(x, t) D^\beta \mathbf{u}$ and the right-hand side \mathbf{f} stay at the same space for any $\mathbf{u} \in W_{p, \text{loc}}^{2b, 1}(Q)$ ($\mathbf{u} \in W_{p, \lambda, \text{loc}}^{2b, 1}(Q)$ respectively).

4.2. *Non-zero initial conditions.* From a physical point of view, (2.1) governs a forward deterministic process which evolves from its initial state. That is why our results regard strong solutions of the system (2.1) with *zero initial trace* ($\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}$). This is an important requirement ensuring us to deal only with Gaussian-type (volume) potential

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{u}(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_0(x - y, t - \tau) \mathfrak{L} \mathbf{u}(y, \tau) dy d\tau$$

in order to derive the representation formula (3.3) for the highest order derivatives $D^{2b}\mathbf{u}$. If $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ then, roughly speaking, one should add a *surface potential*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{\Gamma}_0(x - y, t) \boldsymbol{\psi}(y) dy$$

to the right-hand side of (4.1), where the density $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ depends of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ and $\mathbf{f}(x, t)$ (see [14, 15, 18, 24, 31] for details). It is clear that the representation formula (3.3) will change and this will reflect to the a priori estimates proved in Theorems 2.4 and 2.6 by adding the respective norm of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ on Ω'' to the right-hand sides of (2.5) and (2.6). (Note that the initial data $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ influence on the solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ at *any* instant $t > 0$ because *linear parabolic systems support infinite propagation speed of disturbances*.)

To obtain the exact dependence on $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ at (2.5) and (2.6), we follow an equivalent but more simple approach. In effect, the initial trace $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ belongs to the Besov space $B_{p,\text{loc}}^{2b-2b/p}(\Omega)$ (cf. [31, Theorem 5.1]) in case of Theorem 2.4, while $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is a trace on $\{t = 0\}$ of a function lying in Sobolev–Morrey class $W_{p,\lambda}^{2b,1}(Q)$ (cf. [7] in the situation studied by Theorem 2.6). Therefore, without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ is extended (first in \mathbb{R}^n according to Hestens–Whitney, and then in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ by solving Cauchy problem for suitable system with *constant* coefficients) to a function $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(x, t) \in W_{p,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$ ($\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in W_{p,\lambda,\text{loc}}^{2b,1}(Q)$) such that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$. Now, if \mathbf{u} solves (2.1) and $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ then $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} := \mathbf{u} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ is such that

$$\mathfrak{L}\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{f} - \mathfrak{L}\boldsymbol{\Phi} \quad \text{a.e. } Q, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(x, 0) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega$$

and therefore (2.5) (respectively, (2.6)) holds for it. In other words, \mathbf{u} will satisfy an a priori bound like (2.5) (or (2.6)) with the norm $\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}\|_{W_p^{2b,1}(Q'')} \sim \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{B_p^{2b-2b/p}(\Omega'')}$ (respectively, $\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}\|_{W_{p,\lambda}^{2b,1}(Q'')}$) added to the right-hand side.

4.3. Non-parabolic systems. We are going to show that the parabolicity condition (2.3) is *necessary* in order to be satisfied the a priori estimate (2.5).

Consider the system with constant coefficients

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{N}\mathbf{u} := (D_t - \mathbf{E}(D_x))\mathbf{u} = D_t\mathbf{u} - \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1\Delta & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2\Delta & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \delta_m\Delta \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$$

where $\delta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and Δ is the Laplace operator. Let us emphasize that, in contrast to the case of a single second-order equation ($m = b = 1$), the operator \mathfrak{N} is *not necessarily parabolic* even if the matrix operator $\mathbf{E}(D_x)$ is *strongly elliptic* in the sense that

$$(4.3) \quad \det(\mathbf{E}(\xi)) \geq K|\xi|^{2bm}, \quad K = \text{const} > 0$$

(cf. [1, 2, 10, 13]). In fact, (4.3) reads $\prod_{j=1}^m \delta_j \geq K > 0$ whereas \mathfrak{N} is a parabolic operator iff $\delta_j > 0$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ as it follows from (2.3).

To show that, in general, the estimate (2.5) *cannot hold for non-parabolic systems*, define $\Omega' = [-\pi, \pi]^n$, $\Omega'' = [-2\pi, 2\pi]^n$, $Q' = \Omega' \times (0, 1)$, $Q'' = \Omega'' \times (0, 1)$.

Roots of (2.2) with zero real part. Let $\delta_1 = 0$. For each integer N , $\mathbf{u}_N(x, t) := (t \sin(Nx_1), 0, \dots, 0)^\top$ solves (4.2) with $\mathbf{f}(x, t) = (\sin(Nx_1), 0, \dots, 0)^\top$ and $\mathbf{u}_N(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}$. Straightforward calculations give $\|\mathbf{u}_N\|_{W_2^{2,1}(Q')} \sim N^2(1 + o(1))$ as $N \rightarrow +\infty$ whereas $\|\mathbf{u}_N\|_{L^2(Q'')} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(Q'')} = \text{const}$. Hence (2.5) *cannot be satisfied* by \mathbf{u}_N for large N .

Roots of (2.2) with positive real part. Consider (4.2) with $\delta_1 = -1$. The function

$$\mathbf{u}_N(x, t) := ((e^{N^2 t} - 1) \sin(Nx_1), 0, \dots, 0)^\top \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$$

is a solution of (4.2) with $\mathbf{f}(x, t) = (N^2 \sin(Nx_1), 0, \dots, 0)^\top$ and $\mathbf{u}_N(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}$. However,

$$\|\mathbf{u}_N\|_{W_2^{2,1}(Q')} \sim N e^{N^2} (1 + o(1)), \quad \|\mathbf{u}_N\|_{L^2(Q'')} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(Q'')} \sim N^{-1} e^{N^2} (1 + o(1))$$

as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, and therefore (2.5) *fails* once again for \mathbf{u}_N when N is large enough. The first component $(u_N)_1(x, t)$ of \mathbf{u}_N solves the *backward* heat equation

$$(4.4) \quad (D_t + \Delta)(u_N)_1 = (f_N)_1 \quad \text{in } Q''$$

for which the Cauchy problem with initial data given at $t = 0$ is *not well-posed* in sense of Hadamard (the process $(u_N)_1(x, t)$ cannot be recovered from its *final* state $(u_N)_1(x, 0)$!). Actually, reversing the time $\tau = 1 - t$ and setting $\mathbf{U}_N(x, \tau) = \mathbf{u}_N(x, 1 - \tau)$ for $\tau \in [0, 1]$, it turns out that $(\mathbf{U}_N)_1(x, \tau)$ solves the *forward* heat equation

$$(D_\tau - \Delta)(U_N)_1 = -(f_N)_1 \quad \text{in } Q''$$

for which $(U_N)_1(x, \tau)|_{\tau=0}$ and $(U_N)_1(x, \tau)|_{\tau=1}$ are the *initial* and *final* state, respectively. The failure of Theorem 2.4 for $\mathbf{u}_N(x, t)$ is due, therefore, to initial data given at wrong instant — these are to be taken at $t = 1$. In fact, the function $\mathbf{v}_N(x, t) := ((e^{N^2 t} - e^{N^2}) \sin(Nx_1), 0, \dots, 0)^\top$ is a solution of (4.2) with $\delta_1 = -1$ and $\mathbf{f}(x, t) = (N^2 e^{N^2} \sin(Nx_1), 0, \dots, 0)^\top$, and it satisfies (2.5). Note that \mathbf{v} has zero trace on the plane $\{t = 1\}$.

Turning back to (4.2), suppose $\delta_j < 0$ for *each* $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Then \mathfrak{N} is not a parabolic operator, but a simple reversal of time as above reduces (4.2) to an equivalent parabolic system for which the initial data are to given at $t = T$. This procedure fails categorically when (4.2) contains *both* positive and negative δ_j and then also Theorem 2.4 collapses for \mathfrak{N} . In fact, for a fixed $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ the initial trace of the component $u_j(x, t)$ must be imposed at $t = 0$ if $\delta_j > 0$ or at $t = T$ if $\delta_j < 0$ and this contradicts to the evolutionary nature of the system.

4.4. *Counterexamples.* Estimates similar to our local bounds (2.7) have been announced recently by M.A. Ragusa in [28]. The author deals with systems of the type

$$(4.5) \quad D_t \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{E}(x, t, D_x) \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{B}(x, t, D_x) \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}(x, t)$$

where $\mathbf{E}(x, t, D) = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} a_{jk}^{(\alpha)}(x, t) D^\alpha \right\}_{j,k=1}^m$ and $\mathbf{B}(x, t, D)$ is a linear matrix-differential operator of order less than $2b$. The principal coefficients $a_{jk}^{(\alpha)}$ belong to $VMO \cap L^\infty$ while these of \mathbf{B} are in L^q with some q , and it is supposed that $\mathbf{E}(x, t, D)$ is a *strongly elliptic* operator.

Setting $Q_\sigma = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x - x_0| < \sigma, |t - t_0| < \sigma^2\}$, the author asserts

$$(4.6) \quad \|D^{2b}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(Q_{\sigma/2})} + \|D_t\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(Q_{\sigma/2})} \leq C(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^p(Q_\sigma)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(Q_\sigma)})$$

(compare with (2.7) and note the factor Cr^{-2b} of $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p,r}$ there) for any solution of (4.5) and any $Q_\sigma \Subset Q$, and

$$(4.7) \quad \|D^{2b}\mathbf{u}\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(Q_{\sigma/2})} \leq C(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^p(Q_\sigma)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(Q_\sigma)}) \quad \text{with } \gamma = 1 - (n+1)/p.$$

As (4.2) shows however, (4.5) is *not*, in general, a *parabolic* system. Thus, (4.3) means that the characteristic equation (2.2) corresponding to (4.5) could have roots with *positive* real part and then the contour $\mathfrak{C}(\xi)$ in (3.2) will necessarily pass through the right complex half-plane causing this way loss of analyticity of the integrand in (3.2) (cf. [31, Appendix I]). In effect, instead of (3.1) the author considers the equation $u_t - \det(\sum_{|\alpha|=2b} a_{jk}^{(\alpha)} D^\alpha)u = 0$ which is not parabolic in general, and therefore its fundamental solution cannot be analytic as affirmed.

Regarding the estimate (4.6), it is rough even if (4.5) was a parabolic system. In fact, (4.6) provides for a control of $D^{2b}\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ and $D_t\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ for $t \in (t_0 - \sigma^2/4, t_0 + \sigma^2/4)$ in terms of the future states $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ with $t \in (t_0 + \sigma^2/4, t_0 + \sigma^2)$ (compare the b -independent Q_σ 's with the cylinders (2.4) which depend on b and have the same upper base). Moreover, $Q_\sigma \Subset Q$ and this presumes the upper base $\{(x, T) : x \in \Omega\}$ of Q to be a part of the boundary which is not the case when dealing with parabolic operators. It is difficult therefore to imagine how a global-in-time estimate like (2.5) could be derived from (4.6).

As shown above, the mixed homogeneity of degree $-n-2b$ of the derivatives $D_y^{2b}\mathbf{\Gamma}(x, t; y, \tau)$ (cf. (\mathcal{P}_2)) is a crucial property ensuring these are kernels of Calderón–Zygmund type, and therefore validity of Lemma 3.2. Instead, the claim in [28] is that the $2bm$ -order derivatives of the fundamental solution of (4.5) (that is, $D_y^{2b}\mathbf{\Gamma}(x, t; y, \tau)$ when (4.5) is a parabolic system) are homogeneous of degree $-n$ which is false even for the single heat equation.

As consequence of all these gaps, also the Hölder continuity (4.7) is wrong as we are going to show on the level of a very classical example (see the Introductory Chapter in [24]). Let $p > n+1$, $Q = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ : |x| \leq 1, t \in (0, 2)\}$, and consider the function $v(x, t) = |x|^{2\mu} \frac{|x|^2}{|t-1|} \exp\left\{-\frac{|x|^2}{|t-1|}\right\}$ with $\mu \in \left(1 - \frac{n+2}{2p}, 1 - \frac{n+1}{2p}\right) \subset (0, 1)$. Straightforward analysis yields $D_tv - \Delta v = f(x, t)$ a.e. in Q , $f \in L^p(Q)$, and $|D_x v(x, t)| = |x|^{2\mu-1}(1+O(1))$ as $(x, t) \rightarrow (0, 1)$.

Let $p \geq n+2$. Then $1 - \frac{n+2}{2p} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $2\mu - 1 \in (0, 1)$. If $p \in (n+1, n+2)$ then $1 - \frac{n+2}{2p} < \frac{1}{2}$ and restricting μ to the interval $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{n+1}{2p}\right)$ we get $2\mu - 1 \in (0, 1)$ once again. In both cases, the gradient $D_x v(x, t)$ is Hölder continuous with exponent $2\mu - 1$ near the point

$(0, 1)$, but $2\mu - 1 < 1 - \frac{n+1}{p}$ and therefore $D_x v$ cannot be Hölder continuous with the exponent γ as (4.7) asserts. Moreover, taking $\mu \in \left(1 - \frac{n+2}{2p}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ when $p \in (n+1, n+2)$, $2\mu - 1$ becomes negative and $|D_x v(x, t)|$ even blows-up at $(0, 1)$ contrary to the statement (4.7).

In view of the above comments, the announcements of [28] can hardly be considered proven with the necessary rigour.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, *Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1965).
- [2] S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, ‘Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions’, I, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 12 (1959) 623–727; II, *ibid.* 17 (1964) 35–92.
- [3] M. Bramanti and M.C. Cerutti, ‘ $W_p^{2,1}$ -solvability for the Cauchy–Dirichlet problem for parabolic equations with VMO coefficients’, *Comm. Part. Diff. Equations* 18 (1993) 1735–1763.
- [4] M. Bramanti and M.C. Cerutti, ‘Commutators of singular integrals on homogeneous spaces’, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (VII)* 10 (1996) 843–883.
- [5] A.P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, ‘On the existence of certain singular integrals’, *Acta Math.* 88 (1952) 85–139.
- [6] A.P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, ‘Singular integral operators and differential equations’, *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957) 901–921.
- [7] S. Campanato, ‘Caratterizzazione delle tracce di funzioni appartenenti ad una classe di Morrey insieme con le loro derivate prime’, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* 15 (1961) 263–281.
- [8] S. Campanato, ‘Proprietà di Hölderianità di alcune classi di funzioni’, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* 17 (1963) 175–188.
- [9] S. Campanato, *Sistemi ellittici in forma divergenza. Regolarità all’interno*, (Pubblicazioni della Classe di Scienze: Quaderni, Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa, 1980).
- [10] F. Chiarenza, M. Franciosi and M. Frasca, ‘ L^p estimates for linear elliptic systems with discontinuous coefficients’, *Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei, Mat. Appl.* 5 (1994) 27–32.
- [11] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca and P. Longo, ‘Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for non divergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients’, *Ric. Mat.* 60 (1991) 149–168.
- [12] G. Da Prato, ‘Spazi $\mathcal{L}^{p,\theta}(\Omega, \delta)$ e loro proprietà’, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV)* 69 (1965) 383–392.
- [13] A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, ‘Interior estimates for elliptic systems of partial differential equations’, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 8 (1955) 503–538.
- [14] S.D. Ejdal’man, *Parabolic Systems*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, London, 1969).
- [15] S.D. Ejdal’man, ‘Parabolic Equations’, In: Yu. V. Egorov and M. A. Shubin (Eds.) *Partial Differential Equations VI: Elliptic and Parabolic Operators*, *Encycl. Math. Sci.*, **63**, pp. 203–316, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994).
- [16] E.B. Fabes and N. Rivière, ‘Singular integrals with mixed homogeneity’, *Studia Math.* 27 (1966) 19–38.
- [17] A. Friedman, ‘Interior estimates for parabolic systems of partial differential equations’, *J. Math. and Mech.* 7 (1958) 393–418.
- [18] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*, (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964).
- [19] I.M. Gel’fand and G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions. Vol. 2: Spaces of Fundamental and Generalized Functions*, (Academic Press, New York, London, 1968).

- [20] V.P. Il'in, 'Properties of certain classes of differentiable functions of several variables defined in an n -dimensional domain', *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* 66 (1962) 227–363.
- [21] V.P. Il'in and V.A. Solonnikov, 'Some properties of differentiable functions of several variables', *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* 66 (1962) 205–226.
- [22] F. John and L. Nirenberg, 'On functions of bounded mean oscillation', *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 14 (1961) 415–426.
- [23] M. Kronz, 'Some function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type', *Manuscr. Math.* 106 (2001) 219–248.
- [24] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Transl. Math. Monographs, **23**, (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968).
- [25] A. Maugeri, D.K. Palagachev and L.G. Softova, *Elliptic and Parabolic Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients*, (Wiley-VCH, Berlin, 2000).
- [26] C.B. Morrey Jr., *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966).
- [27] D. Palagachev and L. Softova, 'Singular integral operators, Morrey spaces and fine regularity of solutions to PDE's', *Potential Anal.* 20 (2004) 237–263.
- [28] M.A. Ragusa, 'Parabolic systems with non-continuous coefficients', In: *Proc. Conf. on Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations, (Wilmington, NC, 2002)*, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* suppl. (2003) 727–733.
- [29] D. Sarason, 'Functions of vanishing mean oscillation', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 207 (1975) 391–405.
- [30] L. G. Softova, 'Parabolic equations with VMO coefficients in Morrey spaces', *Electr. J. Diff. Equations* 2001 (2001), Paper No. 51.
- [31] V. A. Solonnikov, 'On the boundary value problems for linear parabolic systems of differential equations of general form', *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 83 (1965); English translation: O. A. Ladyzhenskaya (Ed.) *Boundary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics III*, (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967).
- [32] V. A. Solonnikov, 'Estimates in L_p of solutions of elliptic and parabolic systems', *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 102 (1967) 137–160; English translation: O. A. Ladyzhenskaya (Ed.) *Boundary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics V*, (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970).

DIAN K. PALAGACHEV, POLITECNICO DI BARI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA E. ORABONA,
4, 70 125 BARI, ITALY
E-mail address: dian@dm.uniba.it

LUBOMIRA G. SOFTOVA, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SOFIA,
BULGARIA
E-mail address: luba@dm.uniba.it