

## DERIVED FUNCTORS AND HILBERT POLYNOMIALS

EMANOIL THEODORESCU

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring,  $I$  an ideal,  $M$  and  $N$  finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Assume  $V(I) \cap \text{Supp}(M) \cap \text{Supp}(N)$  consists of finitely many maximal ideals and let  $\lambda(\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M))$  denote the length of  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$ . It is shown that  $\lambda(\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M))$  agrees with a polynomial in  $n$  for  $n \gg 0$ , and an upper bound for its degree is given. On the other hand, a simple example shows that some special assumption such as the support condition above is necessary in order to conclude that polynomial growth holds.

## INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is a commutative Noetherian ring,  $I$  an ideal,  $M, N$  are finitely generated  $R$ -modules, unless otherwise stated. It is proven in classical multiplicity theory (see [BH]) that  $\lambda(M/I^n M)$  is given by a polynomial in  $n$  for  $n \gg 0$ , where  $M$  is a finitely generated module over a local Noetherian ring  $R$ ,  $I$  an ideal and  $\lambda(M/IM)$  is finite. In his paper [Kod], Vijay Kodiyalam proves a generalized version of this result, by showing that  $\lambda(\text{Tor}^i(M, N/I^n N))$  is eventually polynomial, provided  $\lambda(M \otimes N)$  is finite, where  $M, N$  are finitely generated modules over  $R$ . His approach, which makes consistent use of graded module theory, also yields a similar result in the case of  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N)$ , but fails to work in the case of  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$ . This latter case is partially treated by D. Kirby in [Kir], for  $N = R$  and  $i = i_0$ , the first nonvanishing  $\text{Ext}$ . Kirby shows that polynomial growth follows for  $\lambda(\text{Ext}^{i_0}(R/I^n, M))$  from the mere assumption that  $\text{Ext}^{i_0}(R/I, M)$  has finite length. In the present paper we show that if  $M, N$  are finitely generated modules such that  $V(I) \cap \text{Supp}(M) \cap \text{Supp}(N)$  is a finite set of maximal ideals of  $R$ , then, for all  $i \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda(\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M))$  has polynomial growth for  $n \gg 0$  (see Corollary 6). We also give upper bounds for the degrees of the polynomials associated to the Hilbert functions occurring in this paper. The support condition above is a reasonable one, and generalizes Kodiyalam's condition  $\lambda(N \otimes M) < \infty$  to cover cases where  $N \otimes M$  does not have finite length and, in

some situations, for  $M$  infinitely generated. As it turns out, polynomial growth no longer holds true for  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$  without this kind of hypothesis, in other words, it does not follow from the simpler assumption that  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$  has finite length for all large  $n$ . The paper ends with an example that shows that the condition on supports can't be simply removed if we want to conclude that polynomial growth holds.

**Acknowledgement.** I would particularly like to thank my advisor, Prof. D. Katz, for his guidance in writing this paper.

### PRELIMINARIES

In this section we develop the method used to establish that polynomial growth holds for each of the following:  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N)$ ,  $\text{Tor}^i(M, N/I^n N)$  and  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$ . A crucial (though not difficult) result for the method of this paper is Proposition 3, which is used to obtain an explicit formula for  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N)$ ,  $\text{Tor}^i(M, N/I^n N)$  and the Matlis dual of  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$ , and to give a degree estimate for the polynomials associated to these modules. As a corollary, most of Kodiyalam's results are recovered with weaker hypotheses. Since Matlis duality is being consistently used throughout this paper, a Matlis duality type of lemma for complexes is proven first (for the sake of completeness, since this type of result is well-known, at least for modules).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  a complex of  $R$ -modules (not necessarily finitely generated),*

$$\mathcal{C} : \quad \cdots \rightarrow C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} C_i \xrightarrow{\partial_i} C_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

*Let  $E$  be an injective  $R$ -module and write  $M^\vee$  for  $\text{Hom}(M, E)$ , for any  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then we have an isomorphism of complexes*

$$\text{Hom}(R/I, \mathcal{C})^\vee \cong \mathcal{C}^\vee \otimes R/I.$$

*Proof.* First note that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a complex, then  $\mathcal{C} \otimes R/I$  is isomorphic to the complex

$$\mathcal{C}/IC : \cdots \rightarrow C_{i+1}/IC_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{i+1}} C_i/IC_i \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_i} C_{i-1}/IC_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where  $\overline{\partial}_i$  are the induced maps. Next, we prove that if we identify  $\text{Hom}(R/I, M)$  with  $(0 :_M I)$ , then the dual with respect to  $E$  of the inclusion  $(0 :_M I) \hookrightarrow M$  is a surjective map  $p$  with kernel  $IM^\vee$ .

Assume  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ ,  $a_i \in R$  and apply '  $\vee$  ' to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (0 :_M I) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{pmatrix}} \oplus_{i=1}^d M.$$

We get

$$\oplus_{i=1}^d M^\vee \xrightarrow{(a_1 \ \cdots \ a_d)} M^\vee \xrightarrow{p} (0 :_M I)^\vee \rightarrow 0,$$

which shows that the kernel of  $p$  is  $IM^\vee$ . This means that there is an isomorphism  $\varphi : M^\vee / IM^\vee \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/I, M)^\vee$  fitting in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^\vee & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M^\vee / IM^\vee \\ p \downarrow & & \\ \text{Hom}(R/I, M)^\vee & & \end{array}$$

where

$$(1) \quad \varphi := p \circ \pi^{-1}$$

is well-defined. Now, if  $M_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} M_2$  is a map of  $R$ -modules, we get the diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(R/I, M_1) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & M_1 \\ & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \partial \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(R/I, M_2) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & M_2 \end{array}$$

from which, dualizing, we get

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_1^\vee & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \text{Hom}(R/I, M_1)^\vee & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \partial^\vee & & \uparrow \delta^\vee & & \\ M_2^\vee & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \text{Hom}(R/I, M_2)^\vee & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

We also have the commutative diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_1^\vee & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & M_1^\vee / IM_1^\vee & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \partial^\vee & & \uparrow D & & \\ M_2^\vee & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & M_2^\vee / IM_2^\vee & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The fact that  $\delta$  in (2) is the restriction of  $\partial$  to the corresponding submodules is equivalent to the commutativity of (2):  $\partial \circ i_1 = i_2 \circ \delta$ . Dualizing, we get the commutativity of (3):  $p_1 \circ \partial^\vee = \delta^\vee \circ p_2$ . But this says that

$$(5) \quad \delta^\vee := p_1 \circ \partial^\vee \circ p_2^{-1}$$

is well-defined. Similarly, the map in (4)

$$(6) \quad D := \pi_1 \circ \partial^\vee \circ \pi_2^{-1}$$

is well-defined. An easy computation now shows that  $\varphi_1 \circ D \stackrel{(1),(6)}{=} (p_1 \circ \pi_1^{-1}) \circ (\pi_1 \circ \partial^\vee \circ \pi_2^{-1}) = p_1 \circ \partial^\vee \circ \pi_2^{-1} \stackrel{(5)}{=} \delta^\vee \circ p_2 \circ \pi_2^{-1} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \delta^\vee \circ \varphi_2$ . Applying all this to the complex  $\mathcal{C}$ , with  $M_1 = C_i$ ,  $M_2 = C_{i-1}$ , and  $\partial = \partial_i$ , gives that the two complexes  $\text{Hom}(R/I, \mathcal{C})^\vee$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\vee / I\mathcal{C}^\vee$  are isomorphic, the isomorphism being given by  $\{\varphi_i\}_i$ .

The following Lemma gives the required polynomial growth conclusion in all the situations occurring in this paper.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  an ideal,  $T$  an  $R$ -module,  $U, V, W$  and  $Z$  finitely generated submodules of  $T$ .*

- (a) *Assume that one of the following containments hold:  $Z \subset U$ , or  $W \subset V$ . Then there exist finite submodules  $A, B \subset T$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that, for all  $n \geq k$ , the following intersection formula holds:*

$$(U + I^n V) \cap (Z + I^n W) = A + I^{n-k} B.$$

- (b) *Assume  $Z \subset U$  and  $W \subset V$ . Set*

$$L_n := \frac{U + I^n V}{Z + I^n W}, \quad n \gg 0,$$

*and assume that  $L_n$  has finite length for all  $n \gg 0$ . Then the length of  $L_n$  has polynomial growth with respect to  $n$ ,  $n \gg 0$ .*

- (c) *Assume that  $R$  is local with maximal ideal  $m$ , and  $J \subseteq \text{ann}_R(V + Z)/Z$ . Then, with the notations and assumptions in (b),*

$$\deg \lambda(L_n) \leq \max\{\dim U/Z, \ell_{R/J}(I) - 1\},$$

*where  $\ell_{R/J}(I)$  denotes the analytic spread of  $I$  on the module  $R/J$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Assume first that  $Z \subset U$ . Using the modularity lemma, we obtain

$$(U + I^n V) \cap (Z + I^n W) = (U + I^n V) \cap I^n W + Z.$$

Note that over the Rees ring  $\mathcal{R}_I := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n$ ,  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (U + I^n V)$  and  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n W$  are graded  $\mathcal{R}_I$  submodules of  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} T$ . Then so is their intersection,  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (U + I^n V) \cap I^n W$ , which is finite over  $\mathcal{R}_I$ , since  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n W$  is finite over the Rees ring. But then

$$(U + I^n V) \cap I^n W = I^{n-k} ((U + I^k V) \cap I^k W)$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq k$ , by the graded Artin-Rees lemma. The conclusion follows with  $A = Z$  and  $B = (U + I^k V) \cap I^k W$ .

Now assume  $W \subset V$ . By the modularity lemma again, we get  $(U + I^n V) \cap (Z + I^n W) = (U + I^n V) \cap Z + I^n W$ , which reduces the problem to the case  $W = 0$ . In order to compute

$(U + I^n V) \cap Z$ , consider first that  $U \cap Z = 0$ . Let  $x \in (U + I^n V) \cap Z$ . Then  $x = u + \sum_r i_r v_r = z$ , where  $u \in U$ ,  $z \in Z$ ,  $i_r \in I^n$ ,  $v_r \in V$ . We obtain  $y := -u + z = \sum_r i_r v_r$ ,  $y \in (U \oplus Z) \cap I^n V = I^{n-k}((U \oplus Z) \cap I^k V)$ , for some  $k$  and  $n \geq k$ . Let  $\pi_2 : U \oplus Z \rightarrow Z$  be the second projection. Thus,  $x = z = \pi_2(y)$ ,  $x \in I^{n-k} \pi_2((U \oplus Z) \cap I^k V)$ . Conversely, let  $x \in I^{n-k} \pi_2((U \oplus Z) \cap I^k V) = \pi_2((U \oplus Z) \cap I^n V)$ . This means  $x = z$ ,  $z \in Z$ , and that for some  $u \in U$ ,  $-u + z = \sum_r i_r v_r$ ,  $i_r \in I^n$ ,  $v_r \in V$ . Hence,  $x = z = u + \sum_r i_r v_r$ , giving  $x \in (U + I^n V) \cap Z$ . We just proved that, if  $U \cap Z = 0$ , then  $(U + I^n V) \cap Z = I^{n-k} B$ , where  $B = \pi_2((U \oplus Z) \cap I^k V)$ ,  $\pi_2$  as above. Finally, in the general case, denote by  $\bar{U}$ ,  $\bar{V}$ ,  $\bar{Z}$ , the images in  $T/(U \cap Z)$  of  $U$ ,  $V$ ,  $Z$ , respectively. In  $T/(U \cap Z)$  we have  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{Z} = 0$ , so  $(\bar{U} + I^n \bar{V}) \cap \bar{Z} = I^{n-k} \bar{L}$ , for some  $\bar{L} \subset T/(U \cap Z)$ . If we take  $L$  to be the inverse image of  $\bar{L}$  in  $T$ , we finally obtain  $(U + I^n V) \cap Z = U \cap Z + I^{n-k} L$ , which is what we wanted.

(b) First reduce to the case  $Z = 0$ . Since  $Z \subset U$ , we have

$$L_n \cong \frac{(U + I^n V)/Z}{(Z + I^n W)/Z} \cong \frac{U/Z + I^n(V + Z)/Z}{I^n(W + Z)/Z}.$$

By replacing  $T$  by  $T/Z$ ,  $U$  by  $(U + Z)/Z$ ,  $V$  by  $(V + Z)/Z$ ,  $W$  by  $(W + Z)/Z$ , the inclusion  $W \subset V$  still holds. Note now that both

$$\frac{U + I^n W}{I^n W} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{I^n V}{I^n W}$$

have finite length, as submodules of  $L_n$ .

We have an obvious short exact sequence

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{U + I^n W}{I^n W} \cap \frac{I^n V}{I^n W} \rightarrow \frac{U + I^n W}{I^n W} \oplus \frac{I^n V}{I^n W} \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow 0,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{(U + I^n W) \cap I^n V}{I^n W} \rightarrow \frac{U + I^n W}{I^n W} \oplus \frac{I^n V}{I^n W} \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, it suffices to check that the lengths of the first two modules in the above short exact sequence are given by polynomials for  $n \gg 0$ . The desired conclusion follows for

$\lambda(I^n V/I^n W)$  since  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n V/I^n W$  is a finitely generated graded module over the Rees ring  $\mathcal{R}_I$ . Similarly, by using the modularity lemma and the Artin-Rees lemma, we get

$$(8) \quad \frac{(U + I^n W) \cap I^n V}{I^n W} = \frac{(U \cap I^n V) + I^n W}{I^n W} \cong \frac{U \cap I^n V}{U \cap I^n W} = \frac{I^{n-k}(U \cap I^k V)}{I^{n-k}(U \cap I^k W)}$$

for some  $k$  and all  $n \geq k$ , and so again

$$\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(U + I^n W) \cap I^n V}{I^n W}$$

is a graded, finitely generated module over  $\mathcal{R}_I$ , so the needed conclusion follows again.

Finally, using Artin-Rees, we get that for some  $k$  and all  $n \geq k$ ,

$$\frac{U + I^n W}{I^n W} \cong \frac{U}{U \cap I^n W} = \frac{U}{I^{n-k}(U \cap I^k W)},$$

and thus

$$(9) \quad \lambda\left(\frac{U + I^n W}{I^n W}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{U}{U \cap I^k W}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{U \cap I^k W}{I^{n-k}(U \cap I^k W)}\right).$$

Since the last term above is known to coincide with a polynomial for all large  $n$ , by general theory, it follows that  $\lambda(L_n)$  has polynomial growth, as stated.

(c) Denote the images of  $U, V, W$  mod  $Z$  again by  $U, V, W$ , respectively. Recalling that in the proof of (b) we have reduced modulo  $Z$ , the short exact sequence in (7) shows that

$$\deg \lambda(L_n) \leq \max\{\deg \lambda((U + I^n W)/I^n W), \deg \lambda(I^n V/I^n W)\}.$$

If  $U \cap I^k W = 0$  in (9), then  $U$  has finite length and  $\deg \lambda((U + I^n W)/I^n W) = \dim U$ . If we assume now that  $U \cap I^k W \neq 0$ , then  $\dim(U \cap I^k W) \leq \dim U$ . Actually, equality holds here, since  $U/(U \cap I^k W)$  has finite length, and thus every prime ideal in the support of  $U$  must also belong to the support of  $U \cap I^k W$ . So, again, (9) gives  $\deg \lambda((U + I^n W)/I^n W) = \dim U$ . On the other hand,  $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n V/I^n W$  is a finite graded module over  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_J$ , where ‘ $\bar{\phantom{x}}$ ’ denotes reduction mod  $J$ . Note that, after possibly dropping a few terms of  $\mathcal{M}$ , we may assume

that  $J_0 := \text{ann}_R I^n V / I^n W$  is an  $m$ -primary ideal independent of  $n$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a graded module over  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{I}} / \bar{J}_0 \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{I}}$ . Therefore  $\deg \lambda(I^n V / I^n W) = \dim \mathcal{M} - 1 \leq \dim(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{I}} / \bar{J}_0 \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{I}}) - 1$ . Note now that  $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{I}} / \bar{J}_0 \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{I}}) = \dim(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{I}} / \overline{m} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{I}})$ , since  $J_0$  is  $m$ -primary, and finally this equals  $\dim(\oplus I^n \overline{R} / m I^n \overline{R})$ , the analytic spread of  $I$  on  $R/J$ . The proof of the Lemma is now complete.

Proposition 3 below shows that polynomial growth holds eventually, for a particular homology module. It actually shows that this homology module has the form appearing in Lemma 2(b). This will turn out to be the kind of formula that holds for each of the following:  $Tor(M, N / I^n N)$ ,  $Ext(M, N / I^n N)$ , and the Matlis dual of  $Ext(N / I^n N, M)$ , the latter subject to a mild restriction on supports. It also gives a slightly refined estimate of the degree of the Hilbert polynomials associated to these modules.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $R$  be Noetherian and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ ,  $N$  a finite  $R$ -module, and moreover, let*

$$\mathcal{C} : F_2 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} F_0$$

be a complex of  $R$ -modules.

- (a) *Assume that  $F_0, F_1$  are finitely generated, and that  $H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes \frac{N}{I^n N})$  has finite length for  $n \gg 0$ . Then  $\lambda(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes \frac{N}{I^n N}))$  is given by a polynomial for  $n \gg 0$ .*
- (b) *Assume that  $F_0, F_1, F_2$  are finitely generated flat modules, and that  $H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes \frac{U + I^n V}{I^n W})$  has finite length for  $n \gg 0$ , where  $U, W$  are submodules of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $V$ . Then  $\lambda(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes \frac{U + I^n V}{I^n W}))$  is given by a polynomial for  $n \gg 0$ .*
- (c) *Assume that  $R$  is local and the hypotheses in (a) hold. Then*

$$\deg \lambda(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes \frac{N}{I^n N})) \leq \max\{\dim H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N), \ell_N(I) - 1\}.$$

*If  $\dim H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N) \geq \ell_N(I)$ , then the inequality above becomes an equality.*

*Proof.* (a) We may reduce to the case  $N = R$ , by replacing  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C} \otimes N$ .

Let  $K := \ker \phi$ ,  $L := \text{im} \psi$ , so  $L \subset K \subset F_1$  are finitely generated modules. For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have the induced complexes

$$\mathcal{C}'_n : \frac{F_2}{I^n F_2} \xrightarrow{\psi_n} \frac{F_1}{I^n F_1} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \frac{F_0}{I^n F_0}.$$

Clearly,

$$\text{im}\psi_n = \frac{L + I^n F_1}{I^n F_1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\ker\phi_n = \{\bar{x} \in \frac{F_1}{I^n F_1} : \phi(x) \in I^n F_0\}.$$

But

$$\phi(x) \in I^n F_0 \iff \phi(x) \in I^n F_0 \cap \text{im}\phi = I^{n-k}(I^k F_0 \cap \text{im}\phi),$$

by the Artin-Rees Lemma, for some  $k$  and all  $n \geq k$ . This means

$$\bar{x} \in \ker\phi_n \iff \phi(x) = \sum_j i_j \phi(y_j),$$

with  $i_j \in I^{n-k}$ ,  $y_j \in F_1$ ,  $\phi(y_j) \in I^k F_0$ , so

$$\phi(x - \sum_j i_j y_j) = 0,$$

where

$$y_j \in \phi^{-1}(I^k F_0) =: \tilde{K},$$

a finitely generated submodule of  $F_1$  containing  $K$ . Thus,  $\bar{x} \in \ker\phi_n \iff x \in K + I^{n-k}\tilde{K}$ , hence

$$\ker\phi_n = \frac{K + I^{n-k}\tilde{K}}{I^n F_1}.$$

It follows that

$$H_1(\mathcal{C}' \otimes R/I^n) = \frac{\ker\phi_n}{\text{im}\psi_n} \cong \frac{K + I^{n-k}\tilde{K}}{L + I^n F_1} = \frac{K + I^{n-k}\tilde{K}}{L + I^{n-k}I^k F_1}.$$

Note that  $I^k F_1 \subset \tilde{K}$ , since  $\phi(I^k F_1) \subset I^k F_0$ . By taking

$$U := K, \quad V := \tilde{K}, \quad Z := L, \quad W := I^k F_1$$

the desired conclusion now follows from Lemma 2(b). Also note that  $U/Z = K/L = H_1(\mathcal{C}') = H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N)$ .

(b) We will reduce the proof to the case where  $W = V$ . Assume that we already have the result when  $W = V$ . Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $A_n = I^n V / I^n W$ ,  $B_n = (U + I^n V) / I^n W$ ,  $C_n = (U + I^n V) / I^n V$ , and the maps are the canonical ones. By tensoring this with  $\mathcal{C}$ , using the flatness of its modules, we get an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow A_n \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B_n \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C_n \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0.$$

From the long exact sequence in homology, we obtain

$$\dots \rightarrow H_1(A_n \otimes \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} H_1(B_n \otimes \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\beta_n} H_1(C_n \otimes \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_n} H_0(A_n \otimes \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Moreover,  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H_1(A_n \otimes \mathcal{C})$  is a finite graded module over the Rees ring  $\mathcal{R}_I$  (because  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$  is so), and thus, the images of  $\alpha_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  are  $n$ -th degree pieces of finite  $\mathcal{R}_I$ -modules. It follows that their lengths are eventually given by polynomials. The conclusion follows by exactness, since we assumed we have the result in the case  $W = V$ . In order to complete the proof, it remains to treat the case  $W = V$ .

Denote  $V \otimes F_i$ ,  $U \otimes F_i$ , by  $\tilde{V}_i$ ,  $\tilde{U}_i$ , respectively, where  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . By the flatness of the  $F_i$  and the right exactness of the tensor product, we can view  $\tilde{U}_i$ ,  $I^n \tilde{V}_i$ , as submodules of  $\tilde{V}_i$ . Tensoring the complex  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $(U + I^n V) / I^n V$  gives

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n : \frac{\tilde{U}_2 + I^n \tilde{V}_2}{I^n \tilde{V}_2} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} \frac{\tilde{U}_1 + I^n \tilde{V}_1}{I^n \tilde{V}_1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \frac{\tilde{U}_0 + I^n \tilde{V}_0}{I^n \tilde{V}_0},$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  denote maps induced by  $\tilde{\phi} := \phi \otimes 1_V$  and  $\tilde{\psi} := \psi \otimes 1_V$ , respectively. Note that  $\tilde{\phi}$  maps  $\tilde{U}_1$  into  $\tilde{U}_0$ . Similarly,  $\tilde{\psi}$  maps  $\tilde{U}_2$  into  $\tilde{U}_1$ . An easy computation shows that

$$\text{Im} \tilde{\psi} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{U}_2) + I^n \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{V}_2) + I^n \tilde{V}_1}{I^n \tilde{V}_1} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{U}_2) + I^n \tilde{V}_1}{I^n \tilde{V}_1}.$$

We also get that

$$\text{Ker} \tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{U}_1 + I^n \tilde{V}_1}{I^n \tilde{V}_1} \cap \frac{\text{Ker} \tilde{\phi} + I^{n-l} \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(I^l \tilde{V}_0)}{I^n \tilde{V}_1},$$

for some  $l$  and all  $n \geq l$ . Since it is clear that  $I^l \tilde{V}_1 \subset \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(I^l \tilde{V}_0)$ , by using Lemma 2(a), we obtain that there is a finite submodule  $L$  of  $\tilde{V}_1$ , and some  $k$ , such that, for all  $n \geq k$ , we have

$$H_1(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \frac{(\tilde{U}_1 + I^n \tilde{V}_1) \cap (Ker \tilde{\phi} + I^{n-k} \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(I^k \tilde{V}_0))}{\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{U}_2) + I^n \tilde{V}_1} = \frac{\tilde{U}_1 \cap Ker \tilde{\phi} + I^{n-k}(I^k \tilde{V}_1 + L)}{\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{U}_2) + I^n \tilde{V}_1}$$

The hypotheses of Lemma 2(b) are easily seen to be satisfied with  $n - k$  replacing  $n$ , since it is immediate that  $\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{U}_2) \subset \tilde{U}_1 \cap Ker \tilde{\phi}$ .

Thus,  $\lambda(H_1(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n))$  is given by a polynomial for  $n \gg 0$ .

(c) Let  $J := ann_R N$ . The proof of (a) shows that  $H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N/I^n N)$  has the form given in 2(b) for  $L_n$ . Also note that  $J$  satisfies the hypothesis in 2(c).  $J$  kills every module in  $\mathcal{C} \otimes N$ , so it kills every subquotient of such a module. In particular, it kills  $(V + Z)/Z$ , where  $V, Z$  were defined at the end of 3(a). Then, by Lemma 2 (b), (c), and the fact that now  $U/Z = H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N)$ , we already know that  $\deg \lambda(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N/I^n N)) \leq \max\{\dim(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N)), \ell_{R/J}(I) - 1\}$ . It is enough to check that  $\ell_{R/J}(I) = \ell_N(I)$ . By definition,  $\ell_N(I)$  is the dimension of the graded module  $\mathcal{N} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n N/mI^n N$  over the Rees ring  $\mathcal{R}_I$ , or actually over  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n(R/J)/mI^n(R/J)$ , which is a homomorphic image of  $\mathcal{R}_I$ . Since  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n(R/J)/mI^n(R/J) \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n/(I^n \cap J + mI^n)$ , we see that  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (I^n \cap J + mI^n) \subseteq ann_{\mathcal{R}_I} \mathcal{N}$ . We are done if we prove that  $ann_{\mathcal{R}_I} \mathcal{N}$  is, up to radical, equal to  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (I^n \cap J + mI^n)$ . Note that  $ann_{\mathcal{R}_I} \mathcal{N}$  is a homogeneous ideal of  $\mathcal{R}_I$ , and pick  $xt^k \in (It)^k$ , such that  $xI^n N \in mI^{n+k} N$ , for all  $n$  ( $t$  is just a ‘‘place keeper’’ in  $\mathcal{R}_I$ ). By the determinant trick, we get  $x \in \overline{mI^k}$  modulo  $J$  (here the bar denotes the integral closure in  $R$  of  $mI^k$ ). Let

$$x^r + a_1 x^{r-1} + \cdots + a_r = j, \quad a_i \in (mI^k)^i, \quad j \in J$$

be some integral dependence relation for  $x$ . Multiplying through by  $t^{kr}$ , we obtain that  $(xt^k)^r \in (mI^{kr} + J \cap I^{kr})t^{kr}$ , which proves what we wanted.

Assume now that  $\dim(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N)) \geq \ell_N(I)$ . Note that  $H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N) = U$  in (7), since we reduced modulo  $Z$ . Using (7), it would be enough to prove that

$$\deg \lambda((U \cap I^n V)/(U \cap I^n W)) < \deg \lambda((U + I^n W)/I^n W).$$

By the proof of Lemma 2(c), we know that  $\deg \lambda((U \cap I^n V)/(U \cap I^n W))$  occurring in (7)

and (8) can not exceed  $\ell_{R/J_1}(I) - 1$ . Here we set  $J_1 := \text{ann}_R(U \cap I^k V)$ , so  $J_1 \supseteq J$ . Then  $\deg \lambda((U \cap I^n V)/(U \cap I^n W)) \leq \ell_{R/J_1}(I) - 1 \leq \ell_{R/J}(I) - 1 = \ell_N(I) - 1 < \dim(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N))$ . But  $\dim(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N)) = \dim U = \deg \lambda((U + I^n W)/I^n W)$ , according to the proof of 2(c), and the claim is proven. Similarly,  $\deg \lambda(I^n V/I^n W) < \deg \lambda((U + I^n W)/I^n W)$ . Now (7) shows that  $\deg \lambda(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes \frac{N}{I^n N})) = \dim(H_1(\mathcal{C} \otimes N))$ .

**Remark.** The following Corollary generalizes Kodiyalam's results for *Tor* and *Ext*.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $(R, m)$  be Noetherian local,  $I$  an ideal and  $N, M$  finitely generated  $R$ -modules.*

- (a) *If  $\text{Tor}_i(N/I^n N, M)$  has finite length for some  $i$  and  $n \gg 0$ , then  $\lambda(\text{Tor}_i(N/I^n N, M))$  has polynomial growth for all large  $n$ . Moreover,*

$$\deg \lambda(\text{Tor}_i(N/I^n N, M)) \leq \max\{\dim \text{Tor}_i(N, M), \ell_N(I) - 1\}.$$

*Equality holds, if  $\dim \text{Tor}_i(N, M) \geq \ell_N(I)$ .*

- (b) *If  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N)$  has finite length for some  $i$  and  $n \gg 0$ , then  $\lambda(\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N))$  has polynomial growth for all large  $n$ . Moreover,*

$$\deg \lambda(\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N)) \leq \max\{\dim \text{Ext}^i(M, N), \ell_N(I) - 1\}.$$

*Equality holds, if  $\dim \text{Ext}^i(M, N) \geq \ell_N(I)$ .*

*In particular, if  $\text{Supp}(N) \cap \text{Supp}(M) \cap V(I) = \{m\}$ , then both  $\lambda(\text{Tor}_i(N/I^n N, M))$  and  $\lambda(\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N))$  have polynomial growth.*

*Proof.*

- (a) Apply 3(a) and (c) to  $\mathcal{C}$ , a free resolution of  $M$ , consisting of finitely generated modules.  
 (b) If  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  is a free resolution of  $M$ ,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N/I^n N)$  is the  $i$ -th cohomology module of the complex

$$\text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \frac{N}{I^n N}) \cong \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, R) \otimes \frac{N}{I^n N} \cong (\text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, R) \otimes N) \otimes \frac{R}{I^n} \cong (\text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, N) \otimes \frac{R}{I^n}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{C} := \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, N)$  is a complex of finitely generated modules, Proposition 3 (a) and (c) applies.

**Example.** (a) In Corollary 4(a) above, take  $i = 1$ ,  $I = m$ ,  $M = R/m$  and  $N = R$ . Then  $Tor_1(R/m, R/m^n) = m^n/m^{n+1}$ , which shows that  $\deg(\lambda(Tor_1(R/m, R/m^n))) = \deg(\lambda(m^n/m^{n+1})) = \ell(I) - 1$ .

(b) Let  $(A, \tilde{m})$  be local, and  $J_1, J_2$  be two  $\tilde{m}$ -primary ideals of  $A$ , such that  $J_1 J_2 \subsetneq J_1 \cap J_2$ . Let  $X$  be an indeterminate over  $A$ . Define  $R := A[X]_{(\tilde{m}, X)}$ , and take in Corollary 4(a)  $i = 1$ ,  $M = R/J_1 R$ ,  $N = R/J_2 R$ ,  $I = XR$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tor_1(N/I^n N, M) &= Tor_1((R/J_2 R)/X^n(R/J_2 R), R/J_1 R) \\ &= Tor_1(R/(X^n R + J_2 R), R/J_1 R) = J_1 R \cap (X^n R + J_2 R)/J_1(X^n R + J_2 R). \end{aligned}$$

The numerator of the latter expression can be identified with all polynomials over  $A$  having coefficients in  $J_1 \cap J_2$  in degree less than  $n$ , and for which the coefficients in degree  $n$  and higher are in  $J_1$ . Similarly, the denominator can be viewed as polynomials over  $A$ , having coefficients in  $J_1 J_2$  in degree less than  $n$ , and all the other coefficients in  $J_1$ . Then the latter quotient above can be thought of as polynomials of degree less than  $n$ , with coefficients in  $(J_1 \cap J_2)/J_1 J_2$ . In other words,  $Tor_1(N/I^n N, M)$  is isomorphic to  $n$  copies of  $Tor_1^A(A/J_2, A/J_1)$ . Therefore  $\deg \lambda(Tor_1(N/I^n N, M)) = 1$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $\dim Tor_1(R/J_2 R, R/J_1 R) = 1 > \ell_{R/J_2 R}(XR) - 1 = 0$ . By Proposition 3(c) then,  $\deg \lambda(Tor_1(N/I^n N, M)) = 1$ . Hence, (a) and (b) show that both possible upper bounds given in 4(a) are actually attained.

#### THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we show that, for finite  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $\lambda(Ext^i(N/I^n N, M))$  is given by a polynomial for large  $n$ , provided

$$V(I) \cap Supp(M) \cap Supp(N) = \mathcal{S},$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a finite subset of the set of maximal ideals of  $R$ . This result is given in Corollary 6, while Theorem 5 gives the result in its maximum generality.

Recall that for an  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $E_R(M)$  denotes the injective hull of  $M$  over  $R$ . Also,  $\mu^j(P, M)$  denotes the  $j$ -th Bass number of  $M_P$ , that is, the number of copies of  $E_R(R/P)$  occurring at the  $j$ -th place in the minimal injective resolution of  $M$ . The following Theorem is the most general form of our result:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  an ideal,  $N$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $M$  an  $R$ -module such that  $V(I) \cap \text{Supp}(M) \cap \text{Supp}(N) = \mathcal{S}$ , a finite subset of maximal ideals of  $R$ . Assume that for all  $m \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\mu^j(m, M) < \infty$ , where  $j \in \{i-1, i\}$  for some  $i \geq 0$ . If  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N/I^n N, M)$  has finite length for large  $n$ , then  $\lambda(\text{Ext}_R^i(N/I^n N, M))$  coincides eventually with a polynomial.*

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is finitely generated, we can reduce the problem to the case  $(R, m)$  is Noetherian and local, and  $\mathcal{S} = \{m\}$ , by localizing at any prime  $P$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  and using the formula

$$\lambda(\text{Ext}^i(\frac{N}{I^n N}, M)) = \sum_P \lambda(\text{Ext}^i(\frac{N}{I^n N}, M))_P = \sum_P \lambda(\text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(\frac{N_P}{I_P^n N_P}, M_P)).$$

Here the sum ranges over  $V(I) \cap \text{Supp}(M) \cap \text{Supp}(N)$ .

Let now  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  be a minimal injective resolution of  $M$ . Then  $\text{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) \subset \text{Supp}(M)$ , where  $\text{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_P \text{ is not the zero complex}\}$ . In other words, if  $M_P = 0$  for some  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_P$  vanishes, too, by minimality of the injective resolution. Now recall that every injective  $R$ -module is a direct sum of indecomposable injectives of the form  $E_R(R/P)$ , where  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . It follows that all prime ideals of  $R$  associated to some module in the minimal injective resolution of  $M$  are among those in  $\text{Supp}(M)$ . Since  $N/I^n N$  is finitely generated,

$$\text{Supp}(\text{Hom}(\frac{N}{I^n N}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}})) \subset \text{Supp}(N) \cap V(I) \cap \text{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) \subset \text{Supp}(N) \cap V(I) \cap \text{Supp}(M) = \{m\}.$$

A typical injective in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  has the form  $E_l = \bigoplus_{P \in \text{supp}(M)} E_R(R/P)^{\mu^l(P, M)}$ ,  $l \geq 0$ , and since  $\text{Hom}(N/I^n N, -)$  distributes over direct sums, we get that the corresponding module in  $\text{Hom}(N/I^n N, \tilde{\mathcal{C}})$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{P \in \text{Supp}(M)} \text{Hom}(N/I^n N, E_R(R/P))^{\mu^l(P, M)}$ . But our support hypothesis says that every such module  $\text{Hom}(N/I^n N, E_R(R/P))$  vanishes, unless  $P = m$ . Hence, by the above remarks on the supports, we see that

$$\text{Hom}(\frac{N}{I^n N}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{Hom}(\frac{N}{I^n N}, \mathcal{C}),$$

as complexes, where  $\mathcal{C} := \Gamma_m(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ , with  $\Gamma_m$  the  $0^{-th}$  local cohomology functor. Thus,  $\mathcal{C}$  is the subcomplex of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  whose modules consist of direct sums of  $E_R(R/m)$ . The finiteness

assumption on the Bass numbers  $\mu^i(m, M)$  and  $\mu^{i-1}(m, M)$  simply says that there are only finitely many terms in  $\mathcal{C}$ , at the  $i$ -th and  $(i-1)$ -st locations. Hence the two modules at the  $i$ -th and  $(i-1)$ -st locations in  $\mathcal{C}$  are Artinian modules. Finally, note that

$$\mathrm{Hom}\left(\frac{N}{I^n N}, \mathcal{C}\right) = \mathrm{Hom}\left(\frac{R}{I^n}, \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C})\right)$$

and  $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C})$  is a complex with two Artinian modules at the  $i$ -th and  $(i-1)$ -st locations.

Passing to the Matlis dual preserves the length of the (co)homology modules. Thus,

$$(10) \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^i\left(\frac{N}{I^n N}, M\right)) := \lambda(H^i(\mathrm{Hom}\left(\frac{N}{I^n N}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}\right))) = \lambda(H^i(\mathrm{Hom}\left(\frac{N}{I^n N}, \mathcal{C}\right))) = \\ \lambda(H^i(\mathrm{Hom}\left(\frac{R}{I^n}, \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C})\right))) = \lambda(H_i(\mathrm{Hom}\left(\frac{R}{I^n}, \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C})\right)^\vee)) = \lambda(H_i(\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C})^\vee \otimes \frac{R}{I^n})),$$

the last equality by Lemma 1.

The hypotheses in Proposition 3 are now met, for the two modules in  $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C})^\vee$  at the  $i$ -th and  $(i-1)$ -st locations are finitely generated over  $\hat{R}$ , the completion of  $R$ . Indeed, the Matlis dual of an Artinian  $R$ -module is finitely generated over  $\hat{R}$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $R$  be Noetherian,  $I$  an ideal,  $N$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module.*

- (a) *If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $R/(I + \mathrm{ann}M + \mathrm{ann}N)$  is Artinian, then  $\mathrm{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$  has finite length for all  $i$  and  $n \geq 1$ , and  $\lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M))$  has polynomial growth for all large  $n$ .*
- (b) *If  $A$  is Artinian and  $\mathrm{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, A)$  has finite length for some  $i$  and  $n \gg 0$ , then  $\lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, A))$  has polynomial growth for all large  $n$ .*
- (c) *Assume  $(R, m)$  is complete, and  $M$  is Matlis reflexive (that is,  $M \hookrightarrow (M^\vee)^\vee$  is an isomorphism). Assume, furthermore, that  $\mathrm{Supp}(M) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(N) \cap V(I) = \{m\}$ . If  $\lambda(\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(N/I^n N, M))$  is finite for some  $i$  and  $n \gg 0$ , then  $\lambda(\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(N/I^n N, M))$  has polynomial growth for all large  $n$ .*

*Proof.*

- (a) Clearly, the condition  $R/(I + \mathrm{ann}M + \mathrm{ann}N)$  Artinian is equivalent to the support

condition given in Theorem 5, in the case where both  $M, N$  are finitely generated. Moreover, all the Bass numbers of  $M$  are finite, so Theorem 5 applies.

(b) All the Bass numbers of an Artinian module  $A$  are finite. To see this, recall that a module is Artinian if and only if it has finite socle and it is an essential extension of its socle. So, if  $E$  is an injective hull of  $A$ , then it has the same socle as  $A$  and, moreover,  $E/A$  is still Artinian, since  $E$  is so. It suffices to note that  $\mu^0(m, A) = \mu^0(m, E) < \infty$ , and  $\mu^i(m, A) = \mu^{i-1}(m, E/A)$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ . Thus, the finiteness of Bass numbers for  $A$  follows by induction, and Theorem 5 applies again. Also note that (b) follows from 4(a) by the duality formula connecting *Tor* and *Ext*.

(c) We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $R$  is complete. It is known (see [E]) that a module  $M$  is Matlis reflexive if and only if there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $A$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module and  $K$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -module. From the long exact sequence for *Ext* we see that all the Bass numbers of  $M$  are finite, and Theorem 5 applies.

The following Corollary gives a degree estimate for the “harder” *Ext*.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $(R, m)$  be a local Noetherian ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $I$  an ideal,  $M, N$  finite  $R$ -modules, such that  $I + \text{ann}M + \text{ann}N$  is  $m$ -primary. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a minimal injective resolution of  $M$ . Then, for each  $i \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\deg \lambda(\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)) \leq \max\{\dim(H_i(\Gamma_m(\mathcal{C})^\vee \otimes N)), \ell_N(I) - 1\}.$$

*In particular, if  $N = R$  and  $0 \leq i \leq \dim M$ , then*

$$\deg \lambda(\text{Ext}^i(R/I^n, M)) \leq \max\{\dim R/\text{ann}H_m^i(M), \ell(I) - 1\}.$$

*Moreover, if  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay,  $i = d$  and  $M = R$ , then  $\deg \lambda(\text{Ext}^d(R/I^n, R)) = d$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement follows from (10), using Proposition 3(c). The second statement follows then, since Matlis duality preserves annihilators. For the third statement, note that we may assume that  $R$  is complete, and then  $\text{ann}H_m^d(R) = \text{ann}(H_m^d(R))^\vee = \text{ann} \omega_R$ , where  $\omega_R$  denotes the canonical module of  $R$ . This has dimension  $d$ . On the other hand,  $\ell(I) \leq d$ , and Proposition 3(c) concludes the proof.

**Remark.** Kirby [Kir] also showed in his paper that  $\deg \lambda(\text{Ext}^d(R/I^n, R)) = d$ , in the case where  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay and  $I$  is  $m$ -primary.

Recall that, for an  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $\text{Soc}(M) := (0 :_M m)$  and  $\text{Top}(M) := M/mM$ , where  $m$  is the maximal ideal of a local ring  $R$ . In his paper, Kodiyalam also shows that, assuming  $\lambda(M \otimes N) < \infty$ , the top and the socle of  $\text{Tor}^i(N/I^n N, M)$  and  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N)$  have lengths eventually given by polynomials. More can be shown in this direction. With the technique already introduced, if  $L, M, N$  are finite  $R$ -modules and  $i, j$  are fixed nonnegative numbers, the usual conclusion holds for each of the following:  $\text{Tor}^j(L, \text{Tor}^i(N/I^n N, M))$  and  $\text{Ext}^j(L, \text{Tor}^i(N/I^n N, M))$ ,  $\text{Tor}^j(L, \text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N))$  and  $\text{Ext}^j(L, \text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N))$ . The only hypothesis needed is that they have finite length for all  $n \gg 0$ . This recovers Kodiyalam's top and socle results by taking  $i = j = 0$  and  $L = k$ , the residue field of  $R$ . In this paper we will only give the corresponding versions for  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$ , the module we are mainly interested in.

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $(R, m)$  be a local Noetherian ring,  $L, M, N$  be finite  $R$ -modules, and  $i, j$  fixed nonnegative integers. Assume  $\text{ann}_R M + \text{ann}_R N + I$  is an  $m$ -primary ideal. Then both  $\text{Tor}^j(L, \text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M))$  and  $\text{Ext}^j(L, \text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M))$  have finite lengths for all  $n \geq 1$ , given by polynomials for all large  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We may again assume that  $R$  is complete. Clearly, all the  $\text{Tor}$  and  $\text{Ext}$  modules in this Corollary have finite length for all  $n$ . By the proof of Theorem 5, we know that the Matlis dual of  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$  equals  $(U + I^n V)/I^n W$  for some finite  $U, V, W$ . By applying the Matlis dual to both  $\text{Tor}^j(L, \text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M))$  and  $\text{Ext}^j(L, \text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M))$ , we change the problem to showing that the conclusion of the Corollary holds for  $\text{Ext}^j(L, (U + I^n V)/I^n W)$  and  $\text{Tor}^j(L, (U + I^n V)/I^n W)$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a (minimal) free resolution of  $L$ . Then the  $\text{Ext}$  and  $\text{Tor}$  above are the  $j$ -th (co)homology modules of  $\mathcal{C}^T \otimes (U + I^n V)/I^n W$  and  $\mathcal{C} \otimes (U + I^n V)/I^n W$ , respectively. Here  $\mathcal{C}^T$  stands for  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, R)$ . Proposition 3(b) now finishes the proof.

#### AN EXAMPLE

The following example shows that  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$ , unlike  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N/I^n N)$ , may fail to have polynomial growth when we simply require that  $\text{Ext}^i(N/I^n N, M)$  have finite length

for all large  $n$ . Thus, in order to conclude that  $Ext^i(N/I^n N, M)$  has polynomial growth with respect to  $n$ , a more restrictive condition (such as the support condition in Theorem 5), beyond the obvious “finite length” condition, is necessary.

Consider  $R = k[[X^2, XY, Y^2]]$ , a subring of the power series ring  $k[[X, Y]]$ , where  $k$  is a field. It is easy to see that  $R \cong k[[U, V, W]]/(V^2 - UW)$ , so  $R$  is a two dimensional, Gorenstein, local ring. Take  $I = (X^2, XY)R$ , which clearly is a height one prime of  $R$ , and note that the maximal ideal  $m = (X^2, XY, Y^2)$  is associated to  $I^n$  for all  $n > 1$ . Indeed,

$$I^n = (X^{2n}, X^{2n-1}Y, X^{2n-2}Y^2, X^{2n-3}Y^3, \dots, X^n Y^n)R$$

and for  $a = X^{2n-2}$ ,  $a \in R \setminus I^n$ , we have  $am \subseteq I^n$ . Finally, take  $M = N = R$ . By local duality, we have

$$Ext^2(R/I^n, R)^\vee = H_m^0(R/I^n)$$

and the latter has finite length for all  $n \geq 2$ . Thus,  $Ext^2(R/I^n, R)$  has finite length for all  $n \geq 2$ , even though  $Supp(M) \cap Supp(N) \cap V(I) = \{I, m\}$  in this case. On the other hand,

$$H_m^0(R/I^n) = H_{(Y^2)R}^0(R/I^n) \cong \frac{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (I^n :_R Y^{2k})}{I^n}$$

is generated by (classes of) monomials. Note also that  $H_m^0(R/I^n)$  is equal to  $I^{(n)}/I^n$ .

Assume first that  $n$  is odd. Clearly, by dividing all the monomials generating  $I^n$  by  $Y^2$ , we get all the monomials in  $I^{(n)} \setminus I^n$  that are multiplied into  $I^n$  by  $Y^2$ , namely

$$X^{2n-2}, X^{2n-3}Y, \dots, X^{n+1}Y^{n-3}, X^n Y^{n-2}.$$

By repeating the procedure on these monomials, we get those monomials in  $I^{(n)} \setminus I^n$  that are multiplied into  $I^n$  by  $Y^4$  :

$$X^{2n-4}, X^{2n-5}Y, \dots, X^{n+1}Y^{n-5}, X^n Y^{n-4}.$$

Continuing, we can get all the monomials generating  $I^{(n)}/I^n$ , the last ones being

$$X^{n+1}, X^n Y.$$

If  $n$  is even, we similarly get that  $I^{(n)}/I^n$  is generated as a vector space by

$$X^{2n-2}, \quad X^{2n-3}Y, \dots, X^{n+1}Y^{n-3}, \quad X^nY^{n-2},$$

$$X^{2n-4}, \quad X^{2n-5}Y, \dots, X^{n+1}Y^{n-5}, \quad X^nY^{n-4},$$

and so on, the last generator being now

$$X^n.$$

Note that the monomials listed in each case actually form a basis of  $I^{(n)}/I^n$  as a  $k$ -vector space, so that the desired length is simply the number of these monomials. Thus,

$$\lambda(\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I^n, R)) = 2 + 4 + 6 + \dots + (n-3) + (n-1) = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4}$$

for odd  $n$ , while

$$\lambda(\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I^n, R)) = 1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (n-3) + (n-1) = \frac{n^2}{4}$$

for even  $n$ . This not only says that  $\lambda(\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I^n, R))$  is no longer a polynomial, but also that the leading “normalized” coefficient (that gives multiplicity in the classic theory) is no longer an integer. Here the normalized leading coefficient equals  $\frac{1}{2}$ . It would be interesting to know if in situations such as the one in the *Example*, the length formula is at least a “periodic polynomial”, that is, a polynomial with periodic coefficients.

#### REFERENCES

- [BH] Bruns, Winfried and Herzog, Juergen, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39** (1993).
- [E] Edgar Enochs, *Flat Covers and Flat Cotorsion Modules*, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **92** No. **2** (1984), 179-184.
- [Kir] David Kirby, *Hilbert functions and the extension functor*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **105**, No. **3** (1989), 441-446.
- [Kod] Vijay Kodiyalam, *Homological invariants of powers of an ideal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118**, No. **3** (1993), 757-764.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, LAWRENCE, KS, 66045

*E-mail address:* `theodore@math.ukans.edu`