

A sufficient condition for pseudointegrable systems with weak mixing property

Minoru Ogawa*
 Department of Physics, Nagoya University,
 Nagoya 464-8602 JAPAN

Abstract

We present a sufficient condition that a pseudointegrable system has weak mixing property. The result is derived from Veech's weak mixing theorem for interval exchange [Veech, W.A. Amer.J.Math. **106**, 1331 (1984)]. We also present an example whose weak mixing property can be proved by the result.

1 Introduction

Billiards have attracted much attention in the recent decades as simple dynamical systems in classical and quantum mechanics. Depending on shapes of boundary, statistical property of billiards varies from integrable to strongly chaotic.

Plane polygonal billiards, or simply, polygonal billiards, is a typical class that is neither chaotic nor integrable except to a few integrable cases (regular triangular, $(\pi/6, \pi/3, \pi/2)$ -triangular, $(\pi/4, \pi/4, \pi/2)$ -triangular and rectangular tables only make their billiards integrable). Dynamics of billiards in a typical polygon is conjectured to be ergodic on the three dimensional energy surfaces. While dynamics of billiards in rational polygons are restricted to two dimensional invariant surfaces as same as integrable systems, but genres of the invariant surfaces are larger than 1 (except to integrable cases). Therefore billiards in rational polygons are characterized as pseudointegrable [9]. It is rigorously proven that the dynamics on these surfaces are ergodic and not mixing [7].

Every pseudointegrable system has continuous component of spectrum and may (or may not) have *non-trivial* discrete one because of its non-integrable and non-chaotic properties. Here a non-trivial discrete component of spectrum means a discrete one which does not correspond to almost everywhere constant functions. It turns out that a dynamics without

*electric address: mogawa@r.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

non-trivial discrete component of spectrum has weak mixing property. Here weak mixing property for a finite ergodic measure μ is defined as follows: If the condition

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |(U^t f, g) - (f, 1)(1, g)| dt = 0$$

is held for any μ -square integrable functions f, g , then it is said that the system has (measure theoretical) weak mixing property. Here (f, g) denotes $\int f \cdot g^* d\mu$, which represents the inner product on the Hilbert space composed of μ -square integrable functions. A weak mixing dynamics without stronger mixing has singular continuous spectrum.

Weak mixing is believed to be generic for billiards in rational polygons, or more generally, for geodesic flows on translation surfaces which is explained in next section, but this was only shown for *horizontal-vertical polygons* or *horizontal-vertical translation surfaces*. (See the Appendix.) Note that the genericity of weak mixing property in the parameter space of translation flows on translation surfaces is recently proved by A. Avila and G. Forni [2]. A horizontal-vertical polygon is a polygon all of whose sides are in horizontal or vertical direction, and a horizontal-vertical translation surface is, roughly speaking, an oriented surface constructed as compact subset on Euclidian 2D space with edges $\{l_i^\pm\}$ where for each i , l_i^+ and l_i^- are parallel and have the same length, and each pair (l_i^+, l_i^-) are topologically connected to each other and each "jump" from l_i^- to l_i^+ is horizontal or vertical in covering space. Any other results for weak mixing were obtained numerically, see the "square-ring billiard" [4]; see also related numerical studies on rational [1] and irrational triangles [3, 6].

Billiard in a horizontal-vertical polygon whose ratio of length of horizontal or vertical sides are rational respectively are called to be almost integrable (or A-integrable) billiard. We can extend this concept for horizontal-vertical translation surfaces; for horizontal-vertical translation surface, if the ratio of length of horizontal or vertical "jump" are rational respectively, then we call the translation surface almost integrable. Dynamics of almost integrable billiards have intensively studied, especially the dynamics of barrier billiards are well understood, having both discrete and singular continuous spectrum [10, 12] and calculability to fractal dimension (or decay rate of power-law correlation) of trajectories with quadratic irrational gradients were rigorously proven [8], and self-similarity of a trajectory were reported [5]; see also references therein.

In this article, the author report a new example of pseudointegrable systems with weak mixing, although the system is not horizontal-vertical type. To the best of the author's knowledge, this is the first time to give an explicit example of pseudointegrable systems with weak mixing without horizontal-vertical property.

The outline of this article is as follows. In Section 2 we describe the concept of translation surface which appears as invariant surfaces of billiard flows on rational polygons.

The concept of translation surface will be used as more general meaning in Section 4 and 5, that is, as orientable surface which have finitely many punctures and have flat metric except to the punctures. In Section 3 we describe the concept of interval exchange, and

then we review Veech's weak mixing theorem for interval exchange [11] which gives us a sufficient condition to weak mixing for interval exchanges. In section 4 the main result is stated, the sufficient condition to have weak mixing property for pseudointegrable systems is stated. Here the concept of pseudointegrability is extended to dynamics of geodesic flows on translation surfaces. To make sense of this sufficient condition, an example whose weak mixing is proved by the condition is proposed in Section 5. The conclusion is stated in Section 6. In Appendix we review spectral properties of horizontal-vertical billiards.

2 Translation Surfaces

Let P be a plane polygon, and let $T_{full}(P)$ be phase space of the billiard inside P . Then we can denote $T_{full}(P) = P \times \mathbf{R}^2$, here \mathbf{R}^2 represents the space of velocities. Because of motions of billiards, absolute value of velocity $|v|$ is conservative on time evolution, so $T_{|v|}(P) \subset T_{full}(P)$ are invariant, where $T_{|v|}(P)$ is defined by fixing the absolute value of velocity to $|v|$, and each $T_{|v|}(P)(v \neq 0)$ is equivalent to $T_1(P)$, which means $T_{|v|=1}(P)$, apart from time scaling. So we should only treat the dynamics on $T_1(P)$. From here, $T(P)$ will be used instead of $T_1(P)$ as the phase space. Clearly, $T(P) = P \times \mathbf{S}^1$, where \mathbf{S}^1 stands for set of directions.

If P is a rational polygon, any orbit on $T(P)$ has finite directions. For example, there exist four directions in generic orbit of rectangular or barrier billiards, while there exist six directions in generic orbit of regular triangular billiards. Each of these numbers of directions is equal to order of Coxeter group $G_c(P)$ of the billiard table P . Here Coxeter group is a discrete group each of whose elements is a rotation or a reflection acting on \mathbf{S}^1 . And $G_c(P)$ is defined as the group generated by reflections on the sides of P .

We can construct the invariant surfaces $S_\theta(P) = P \times \Gamma_P(\theta) \subset T(P)$ for $\theta \in \mathbf{S}^1$ with $\Gamma_P(\theta) = \{g\theta : g \in G_c(P)\}$. Naturally, if $\theta' \in \Gamma_P(\theta)$, then $S_{\theta'}(P) = S_\theta(P)$. In the cases of rectangular or barrier (or more generally, horizontal-vertical) billiards, for example, $G_c(P)$ is generated by

$$g_h : \theta \mapsto -\theta, \quad g_v : \theta \mapsto \pi - \theta, \quad (1)$$

and $\#(G_c(P)) = \#\{id., g_v, g_h, g_v \cdot g_h\} = 4$. Here $\#(\cdot)$ represents order of the set.

Flows on $S_\theta(P)$ for generic θ can be thought as geodesic flows on a Riemannian surface $S(P)$ with flat metric except to finitely many punctures. Such a surface is called *translation surface*. Let s_j be sides of P and let $g_j \in G_c(P)$ be the reflection on s_j . Then the translation surfaces $S(P)$ related to rational polygons P is defined as follow;

Definition 2.1. (Translation Surfaces of Rational Polygons) *The translation surface $S(P)$ of P is a topological surface with a metric defined as follows:*

1. Topological sense. $S(P)$ is topologically equivalent to $P \times G_c(P) = \{P(g)\}_{g \in G_c(P)}$, where every $P(g)$ is copy of P and j -th side $s_j(P(g))$ of $P(g)$ and j -th side $s_j(P(g_j \cdot g))$ of $P(g_j \cdot g)$ are topologically identified.

2. Metric sense. *The metric on $S(P)$ is defined as flat metric except to the vertices.*

For generic $\theta \in \mathbf{S}^1/\Gamma_P$, flow $S_\theta(P)$ is equivalent to geodesic flows on $S(P)$ directed to θ . And geodesic flows on $S(P)$ directed to generic directions are ergodic. Note that an orbit having less than $\#(G_c(P))$ directions in $T(P)$ may correspond to two or more orbits in $S(P)$, but they are out of discussions in this article because such orbits are peiodic and not generic.

Let l be a segment or a straight loop in a translation surface S and let θ be a direction transversal to l . Then the return map onto l of the translation flow S_θ is a interval exchange, which will be defined in next section.

3 Interval Exchange and Veech's theorem

If a map $T : I \rightarrow I$, where $I = [0, a)$, $a > 0$, is an one-to-one, onto map and deferential dT/dx is equal to 1 for Leb.-a.e. $x \in I$, then T is called an *Interval Exchange*. An interval exchange T with m partitions is denoted by a positive vector $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+^m$ and a permutation π on $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$; see [11] and refelences therein. Here $\mathbf{R}_+^m = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^m : \lambda_j > 0, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, and let $|\lambda|$ be $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j$. An interval exchange $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$ is *irreducible* if $T[0, \tau) = [0, \tau)$, $\tau > 0$, implies $\tau = |\lambda|$. It is equivalent to say π is *irreducible*, i.e., that $\pi\{1, \dots, k\} = \{1, \dots, k\}$ only for $k = m$. Π_m^0 denotes the set of irreducible permutations. For an interval exchange $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$, we must recall some notions for describing Veech's weak mixing theorem. Let σ_π be the permutation on $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ difined as

$$\sigma_\pi i = \pi^{-1}(\pi i + 1) - 1 \quad (0 \leq i \leq m).$$

Here π is extended to the permutation on $\{0, 1, \dots, m+1\}$ as $\pi(0) = 0$, $\pi(m+1) = m+1$. Then $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ are decomposed some σ_π -invariant subsets $\Sigma_\pi = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$. There are integral vektors b_{S_j} connected with each S_j by

$$b_{S_j, i} = \chi_{S_j}(i-1) - \chi_{S_j}(i) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Where χ_S denotes characteristic function.

Adopt the convection that $e(t) = \exp(2\pi it)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}^m$. If $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+^m$, $\nu \in \mathbf{R}^m$ set up on $I^\lambda \equiv [0, |\lambda|)$ a function, $\phi = \phi_{(\lambda, \nu)}$, as

$$\phi(x) = e(\nu_j) \quad (x \in I_j^\lambda, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m)$$

Here I_j^λ is defined as

$$I_j^\lambda = \begin{cases} [0, \lambda_1) & (j = 1), \\ \left[\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i, \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i \right) & (\text{else}). \end{cases}$$

Given also $\pi \in \Pi_m^0$, set $T = T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$, and suppose f is a measurable, complex-valued solution to the equation

$$f(Tx) = \phi(x)f(x) \quad (\text{Leb.-a.e. } x \in I^\lambda) \quad (2)$$

Then,

Theorem 3.1. (Veech's weak mixing theorem [11]) *Let $\pi \in \Pi_m^0$. For a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+^m$ it is true for all $\nu \in \mathbf{R}^m$ that if (2) admits a nonzero measurable solution, then $b_S \cdot \nu \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all $S \in \Sigma(\pi)$.*

Or conversely,

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\pi \in \Pi_m^0$. For a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+^m$ it is true for all $\nu \in \mathbf{R}^m$ that if $S \in \Sigma(\pi)$ exists such that $b_S \cdot \nu \notin \mathbf{Z}$, then (2) does not admit any nonzero measurable solution.*

With $\nu = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, this sufficient condition for weak mixing works on interval exchanges.

In next section, how this theorem works on pseudointegrable systems is described.

4 A New Approach to Weak Mixing for PseudoIntegrable Systems

Let S_θ be an ergodic component of a translation surface S , and l be a segment or a straight loop on S transversal to θ . Then the return map of S_θ onto l is an interval exchange $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$ with m disconnected points, where m is the number of punctures of S . It is obvious from the construction of $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$ that the length of l is equal to $|\lambda|$, and for each connecting component $l_i \subset l$, any points $x, y \in l_i$ are contemporaneously returned onto l by flows on S_θ . So the characteristic value problem of unitary operator $U^t : L^2(S) \rightarrow L^2(S)$

$$U^t f(x) = \exp(2\pi i \alpha) f(x), \quad f \in L^2(S), \quad (3)$$

on the translation flow S_θ on S is reduced on the interval exchange $T_{(\theta, \pi)}$ on l as the form of

$$\widehat{U} f_l(x) = \phi(x) f_l(x), \quad f_l \in L^2(l). \quad (4)$$

In the equation (3) and (4), U^t, \widehat{U} are defined as $U^t f(x) = f(\varphi^t x)$, $f \in L^2(S)$ where φ is the time evolution by the flow of S_θ and as $\widehat{U} f(x) = f(T_{(\lambda, \pi)} x)$, $f \in L^2(l)$ respectively, $\phi(x)$ in (4) corresponds to α in (3) as

$$\phi(x) = e(\alpha t_j), \quad (x \in I_j^\lambda \quad 1 \leq j \leq m), \quad (5)$$

and f_l in (4) is defined from f in (3) by restricting onto l ;

$$f_l(x) = f(x), \quad (x \in l \subset S).$$

To compare (2) and (4), we can observe that the equation (4) is of the form (2). Therefore Veech's weak mixing theorem works on S_θ in the sense describing bellow.

For ergodic S_θ , the map $T_{(\lambda,\pi)}$ on any l is naturally ergodic and, therefore, the permutation π is irreducible. So, it is true from Veech's weak mixing theorem that

Corrolary 4.1. *For $T_{(\lambda,\pi)}$ on l induced from an ergodic S_θ , if $S \in \Sigma_\pi$ satisfies the condition $b_S \cdot \alpha t \notin \mathbf{Z}$, where t is the positive vector whose j -th component is defined as t_j in (5), then (3) for S_θ doesn't have the characteristic value α and, moreover, doesn't have the αn for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

This collorary is available only if $b_S \neq 0$ exists for some $S \in \Sigma_\pi$.

If $S_j, S_k \in \Sigma_\pi$ exist such that $b_{S_j} \cdot t$ and $b_{S_k} \cdot t$ are linearly independent with integral coefficient, then the value β such that $b_{S_j} \cdot t\beta \in \mathbf{Z}$ isn't characteristic value because of that $b_{S_k} \cdot t\beta \notin \mathbf{Z}$. So, such an ergodic component is weakly mixing.

For the last of this section, let us summarize the above condition to weak mixing;

Theorem 4.2. (A Sufficient Condition for Weak Mixing) *If $S_j, S_k \in \Sigma_\pi$ exist such that $b_{S_j} \cdot t$ and $b_{S_k} \cdot t$ are linearly independent with integral coefficients, then the flow S_θ is weak mixing on the ergodic component.*

To indicate the availavility of this condition we will show an example of weak mixing pseudointegrable system whose weak mixing property is supported from above condition of weak mixing.

5 Example

In this section, we show an algorithm to construct pseudointegrable systems with weak mixing based on the sufficient condition in the last section. Note that this algorithm is incomplete to construct such systems in many points.

To construct such a system, first, we must find such a permutation π that there exist b_{S_j} and b_{S_k} ($S_j, S_k \in \Sigma_\pi$, $j \neq k$) and they are linearly independent. Since $\sum_i b_{S_i} = 0$, $\#(\Sigma_\pi)$ must be ≥ 3 . As such an example of π , let us choose

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Next, we must find a corresponding translation surface S , a direction of flow θ and a segment (or the loop) l , of which permutation π of the interval exchange $T_{(\lambda,\pi)}$ will become the permutation finded above. For our example of S_θ and l corresponding to our permutation (6), let us choose them as describing in Fig.1 and as x -axis.

The last, we must check whether there exist $b_{S_j} \cdot t$ and $b_{S_k} \cdot t$ ($S_j, S_k \in \Sigma_\pi$, $j \neq k$) such that they are linearly independent with integral coefficients. If they exist, then it is supposed




Figure 1: A translation surface with weak mixing for $\theta = \pi/2$ and for the other parameters as generic. Each pair of vectors a, b, \dots, e are identified each other. This system is parametrized by the 2D vectors a, b, \dots, e . And the outer square is unit square and horizontal or vertical sides of this square are identified respectively.

by theorem 4.2. that the flow S_θ is weak mixing. For our case, weak mixing is immediately proven for generic parameters. More explicitly, S_θ is ergodic if horizontal components of $\{a, b, \dots, e\}$ are linearly independent with integral coefficients, and in addition if vertical component of e is irrational, then S_θ is weak mixing.

6 Conclusion

On pseudointegrable systems, we were able to show weak mixing property only for horizontal-vertical cases. On the other hand, Veech's weak mixing theorem for interval exchange was used only for A-integrable systems to prove that the complement spectra of immediately given discrete component is singular continuous [13].

In this paper, the author established that Veech's weak mixing theorem (Theorem 3.1.) can be applicable to pseudointegrable systems for proving their weak mixing property, and that is stated as Theorem 4.2. To make sense of theorem 4.2., it is proposed that there really exists a translation flow of a translation surface whose weak mixing property is supported by this theorem. Furthermore, this translation surface is not horizontal-vertical type. This approach to weak mixing for pseudointegrable systems is the different way from previous works in this field.

The example which is proposed in section 5 is not billiard system, so it is still opened whether there exist some examples whose weak mixing property is supported by this theorem.

Note that this approach has further problems. First, theorem 4.2. gives us only the




Figure 2: A horizontal-vertical billiard table (left) and its translation surface (right) are presented. In the translation surface, every point on the horizontal, or vertical, sides are topologically identified to the opposite point which has the same value of x -, or y -, component, respectively. For generic θ , if a/b is rational S_θ is almost integrable, while if a/b is irrational S_θ is weak mixing.

sufficient condition for weak mixing property. Second, the algorithm shown in Section 5 is incomplete too, in other words, even if the algorithm failed with some segment l in S , the algorithm may succeed with other segment l .

To improve the first incompleteness, we have to extend Veech's weak mixing theorem (theorem 3.1.) to sufficient and necessary condition. And to improve the second incompleteness, we have to study the relation between interval exchange transformations on different segments in the same translation surface.

7 Acknowledgement

The Author would like to thank Tetsuro Konishi for checking this paper and giving many helpful advices for the author, and to thank Masashi Tachikawa for recommending this subject to the author.

Appendix: Spectral Properties of Horizontal-Vertical Translation Surfaces

In this section, we show the spectral properties of horizontal-vertical translation surfaces, whose definition is already stated in Section 2. We discuss only about the translation surfaces described in Fig.2, but any other cases can be discussed similarly.

Let S be the translation surface described in Fig.2 and θ be the direction of which S_θ is ergodic flow. Then the flow S_θ is almost integrable if and only if a/b is rational.

As the almost integrable case, let us choose the parameters a, b in Fig.2 as $b = 2a$. The vector field on S_θ is $v = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ uniformly. Therefore the eigenequation (3) admits infinitely many eigenfunctions $\{f_{jk}\}_{j,k \in \mathbf{Z}}$

$$f_{0;jk}(x, y) = e(jx + ky), \quad (7)$$

with corresponding eigenvalues

$$\alpha_{jk} = j \cos \theta + k \sin \theta. \quad (8)$$

Here $e(t) = e^{2\pi it}$, which appeared in section 3. Any other eigenfunction couldn't be admitted by the equation (3). To prove this, let $L_0^2 \subset L^2(S)$ be the subspace spanned by the eigenfunctions (7). Then the orthogonal complement subspace $L_0^{2\perp}$ is decomposed into two subspaces L_1^2 and L_2^2 which are orthogonal to each other. Where L_l^2 , $l = 1, 2$, are spanned by

$$f_{l;jk}(x, y) = \begin{cases} e(jx + ky) & (0 \leq x, y < 1) \\ e(jx + ky + l/3) & (0 \leq y < 1 \leq x < 2) \\ e(jx + ky + 2l/3) & (0 \leq x < 1 \leq y < 2) \end{cases}$$

respectively. Suppose $f \in \text{span}\{f_{l;jk} : l = 1, 2, j, k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ and $U^t f = e(\alpha t) f$, then

$$|f| = \text{const.} \quad \text{Leb.-a.e. } (x, y) \in S \quad (9)$$

is obvious from ergodicity, and

$$f(x, y) + f(x + 1, y) + f(x, y + 1) = 0, \quad \text{Leb.-a.e. } (x, y) \in [0, 1) \times [0, 1) \quad (10)$$

is immediately obtained by the definition of $f_{l;jk}$. Moreover f have to be $\in L_1^2$ or $\in L_2^2$ because if there exist $f_1 \in L_1^2$ and $f_2 \in L_2^2$ ($\|f_1\|, \|f_2\| \neq 0$) such that $f = f_1 + f_2$, then

$$f^2 = 2f_1 f_2 + f_2^2 + f_1^2$$

is also eigenfunction, however, $2f_1 f_2 \in L_0^2$ and $f_2^2 + f_1^2 \in L_0^{2\perp}$, so both $2f_1 f_2$ and $f_2^2 + f_1^2$ are the eigenfunctions having the same eigenvalue because of the invariance of L_0^2 under the action U , this situation is impossible because of ergodicity. So, we suppose that $f \in L_1^2 \pmod{0}$ (or $\in L_2^2 \pmod{0}$). Its orbit $U^t f$ have to be $\in L_1^2$ ($\in L_2^2$, respectively) in any $t \in \mathbf{R}$. For any point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in A_0 = \{(x, y) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \mid y - 1 - (x - 1) \tan \theta < 0\}$, we can take the positive numbers $t_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_0) \subset (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$, where \mathcal{U}_ε is the ε -neighborhood of p_0 , and $S_\theta^{t_0} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_0) \subset (0, 2) \times (0, 1)$ for any $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, and $S_\theta^{t_0} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_0) \subset (1, 2) \times (0, 1)$. Then, for $p_1 = (x_0 + 1, y_0)$ and $p_2 = (x_0, y_0 + 1)$ it is clear that $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_1) \subset (1, 2) \times (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_2) \subset (0, 1) \times (1, 2)$, $S_\theta^{t_0} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_1) \subset (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ and $S_\theta^{t_0} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_2) \subset$

$(0, 1) \times (1, 2)$. So we can conclude that $f|_{\mathcal{U}}$, here $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_0) \cup \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_1) \cup \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(p_2)$, have to be equal to 0, thus $f|_{A_0} = 0 \pmod{0}$. We may conclude the same result for $f|_{(0,1) \times (0,1) - A_0}$ by the same way. So, $f|_{(0,1) \times (0,1)} = 0 \pmod{0}$, and thus, $f = 0 \pmod{0}$, but such a function couldn't be an eigenfunction. Therefore, we obtain the result that any other eigenfunction does not exist.

In the case of that a/b is irrational, there is the sequence $\{n_i/m_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ which is the rational approximation by continued fraction. In each case of $a/b = n_i/m_i$, the system is A-integrable and there is the subspace $L_{d,i}^2(S) \subset L^2(S)$ which is spanned by the eigenfunctions. In each n_i/m_i , S is divided to N_i copies of fundamental rectangle. (In our case, $N_i = m_i^2 - n_i^2$, and the fundamental rectangle is sized as $\frac{b}{m_i} \times \frac{b}{m_i}$.) Then,

$$N_i \rightarrow \infty, \quad (i \rightarrow \infty),$$

and $\dim(L_{d,i}^2(S))$ shrinks more and more with i getting large. As $i \rightarrow \infty$, $\dim(L_{d,i}^2(S)) \rightarrow 0$.

So we reach the conclusion that an ergodic flow S_θ is A-integrable or weak mixing for any horizontal-vertical translation surface S .

References

- [1] Artuso, R. *Correlations and spectra of triangular billiards*, Physica D **109**, 1 (1997).
- [2] Avila, A. and Forni, G. *Weak Mixing for Interval Exchange Transformations and Translation Flows*, math.DS/0406326 (2004).
- [3] Artuso, R., Casati, G. and Guarneri, I. *Numerical study on ergodic properties of triangular billiards*, Phys. Rev. E **55**, 6384 (1997).
- [4] Artuso, R., Guarneri, I. and Rebuzzini, L. *Spectral properties and anomalous transport in a polygonal billiard*, Chaos **10**, 189 (2000).
- [5] Chapman, J. R. and Osbaldestin, A. H. *Self-Similar Correlations in a Barrier Billiard*, Physica D **180** 71 (2003).
- [6] Casati, G. and Prosen, T. *Mixing Property of Triangular Billiards*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4729 (1999).
- [7] Gutkin, E. *Billiards in Polygons: Survey of Recent Results*, J. Stat. Phys. **83**, 7 (1996).
- [8] Hannay, J. H. and McCraw, R. J. *Barrier billiards—a simple pseudo-integrable system*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **23**, 887 (1990).
- [9] Richens, P. J. and Berry, M. *Pseudointegrable Systems in Classical and Quantum Mechanics*, Physica D **2**, 495 (1981).

- [10] Riley, G. W. *on Spectral Properties of Skew Products over Irrational Rotations*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **17**, 152 (1978).
- [11] Veech, W. *The Metric Theory of Interval Exchange Transformations I. Generic Spectral Properties*, Amer. J. Math. **106**, 1331 (1984).
- [12] Wiersig, J. *Singular continuous spectra in a pseudointegrable billiard*, Phys. Rev. E **62** R21 (2000).
- [13] Zaslavsky, G. M. and Edelman, M. *Weak mixing and anomalous kinetics along filamented surfaces*, Chaos **11**, 295 (2001).