

# On compression of Bruhat–Tits buildings

YURI A. NERETIN

Consider an affine Bruhat–Tits building  $\text{Lat}_n$  of the type  $A_{n-1}$  and the complex distance in  $\text{Lat}_n$ , i.e., the complete system of invariants of a pair of vertices of the building. An element of the Nazarov semigroup is a lattice in the duplicated  $p$ -adic space  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ . We investigate behavior of the complex distance with respect to the natural action of the Nazarov semigroup on the building.

It is well known that affine Bruhat–Tits buildings (see, for instance, [1], [3], [7]) are right  $p$ -adic analogs of Riemannian noncompact symmetric spaces. This analogy has no a priori explanations, but it exists on the levels of geometry, harmonic analysis, and special functions. Some known examples of this parallel are

- Gindikin–Karpelevich’s and Macdonald’s Plancherel formulae, see [7];
- existing of a general theory including Hall–Littlewood polynomials and zonal spherical functions, see [8];
- similarity in the geometries at infinity (Semple–Satake type boundaries, Martin boundary etc.), see [4], [13];
- parallel between Horn–Klyachko (see [2]) triangle inequality for eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices<sup>1</sup> and the triangle inequality for complex distance in buildings<sup>2</sup>
- Existence of a precise parallel of the harmonic analysis of the Berezin kernels and of the matrix beta-functions on the level of buildings<sup>3</sup>, see [16].

In this note, we continue this parallel and obtain a (quite simple) analog of the compression of angles theorem (this theorem appeared in [11] as a lemma for boundedness of some integral operators in Fock spaces, see its proof in [12], 6.3, and some geometrical discussion in [14], see also [5] on its extension to exceptional groups) for buildings of the type  $A_n$ .

## 1. Formulation of result

**1.1. Notation.** We denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the  $p$ -adic field. By  $|\cdot|$ , we denote the norm on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $\mathbb{O}_p$  be the ring of  $p$ -adic integers. Recall that  $\mathbb{O}_p$  consists of elements with norm  $\leq 1$ . By  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$  we denote the coordinate  $n$ -dimensional linear space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

By  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  we denote the group of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . By  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{O}_p)$  we denote the group of matrices  $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that all the matrix elements of  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are integer.

<sup>1</sup>Interpretation of this inequality in terms of geometry of symmetric spaces is discussed in [15]

<sup>2</sup>Let  $R, S, T \in \text{Lat}_n$  (see notations below). To describe possible collections of  $3n$  integers  $\{k_j(R, S)\}, \{k_j(R, T)\}, \{k_j(S, T)\}$ . It can be easily reduced to the following (unexpectedly nontrivial) question solved in 1960-s by Ph.Hall and T.Klein. Let  $A, B$  be finite Abelian  $p$ -groups. For which Abelian  $p$ -groups  $C$  there exists a subgroup  $A'$  isomorphic to  $A$  such that  $C/A' \simeq B$ , for a solution, see [8], II.3.

<sup>3</sup>The matrix gamma-function corresponds to the zeta-function of Tamagawa, see [8], V.4.

**1.2. Space of lattices.** A lattice in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$  is a compact open  $\mathbb{O}_p$ -submodule. Recall, that any lattice  $R$  can be represented in the form

$$R = \mathbb{O}f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{O}f_n, \quad (0.1)$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is some basis in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ , see [18], §II.2. We denote by  $\text{Lat}_n$  the space of lattices in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$  (this space is countable, and a natural topology on  $\text{Lat}_n$  is discrete).

The group  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  acts transitively on  $\text{Lat}_n$ . The stabilizer of the lattice  $\mathbb{O}_p^n$  is  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{O})$ . Thus,

$$\text{Lat}_n = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{O}_p).$$

### 1.3. Complex distance.

**THEOREM** (see, for instance, [18], Theorem 2.2.2). *Let  $R, S$  be two lattices in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ .*

a) *There exists a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$  such that*

$$R = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{O}_p f_j, \quad S = \sum_{j=1}^n p^{-k_j(R,S)} \mathbb{O}_p f_j \quad (0.2)$$

*Integer numbers*

$$k_1(R, S) \geq k_2(R, S) \geq \dots \geq k_n(R, S)$$

*are uniquely determined by the lattices  $R, S$ .*

b) *If  $S, R$  and  $S', R'$  be elements of  $\text{Lat}_n$  satisfying*

$$k_j(R, S) = k_j(R', S')$$

*then there exists  $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that*

$$R = g \cdot R', \quad S = g \cdot S'$$

We say that  $k_j(R, S)$  is the *complex distance* between  $S, R$  (this structure is the analog of the complex distance or stationary angles in symmetric spaces, see, for instance, [15]).

**1.4. Product of relations.** Let  $V, W$  be sets. A relation  $H : V \rightrightarrows W$  is a subset in  $V \times W$ .

Let  $H : V \rightrightarrows W, G : W \rightrightarrows Y$  be relations. Their product  $G \cdot H : V \rightrightarrows Y$  is a relation consisting of all pairs  $v \times y \in V \times Y$  for which there exists  $w \in W$  satisfying  $v \times w \in H, w \times y \in G$ .

**1.5. Nazarov semigroup.** An element of the Nazarov semigroup  $\Gamma_n$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n \times \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ . The product in the Nazarov semigroup is the product of relations.

**REMARK.** It is possible to consider elements of the group  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  as points of the Nazarov semigroup at infinity. Indeed, for  $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  consider a sequence of lattices  $Z_j \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p^n$  such that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} Z_j$$

coincides with the graph of  $g$ . For each lattice  $R \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ , we have  $Z_j \cdot R = g \cdot R$  starting from some place  $j$ .

REMARK. Nazarov semigroups are  $p$ -adic analogues of semigroups of linear relations (see [12]). The main nontrivial property of the Nazarov semigroups is the following statement. The Weil representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  (see [17]) admits a canonical extension to representation of a Nazarov semigroup related to buildings of the type  $C_n$ , see [10], [9]. It seems that this statement must have many corollaries (due to possibilities of the Howe duality), these corollaries never were discussed in literature.

**1.6. Action of the Nazarov semigroup on  $\mathrm{Lat}_n$ .** Consider  $H \in \Gamma_n$ ,  $R \in \mathrm{Lat}_n$ . We define  $HR \in \mathrm{Lat}_n$  as set of all  $w \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$  such that there exists  $v \in R$  satisfying  $v \times w \in H$ .

**1.7. Result of the paper.** The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let  $H$  be an element of the Nazarov semigroup  $\Gamma_n$ . Let  $R, S \in \mathrm{Lat}_n$ . Then for each  $j$  the number  $k_j(H \cdot R, H \cdot S)$  lies between 0 and  $k_j(R, S)$ , i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned} k_j(R, S) \geq 0 & \quad \text{implies} & \quad 0 \leq k_j(H \cdot R, H \cdot S) \leq k_j(R, S) \\ k_j(R, S) \leq 0 & \quad \text{implies} & \quad 0 \geq k_j(H \cdot R, H \cdot S) \geq k_j(R, S) \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. In the case of Riemannian symmetric spaces, there exists an inverse theorem about characterization of all angle-compressive maps [14]. I do not know such statements in the  $p$ -adic case.

## 2. Proof

**2.1. Norms.** A *norm* on  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$  is a function  $v \mapsto \|v\|$  from  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$  to the set

$$\{\dots, p^{-1}, 1, p, p^2, \dots\}$$

satisfying the conditions

1.  $\|v + w\| \leq \max(\|v\|, \|w\|)$
2.  $\|\lambda v\| = |\lambda| \|v\|$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ .

The set of all  $v$ , such that  $\|v\| \leq 1$ , is a lattice.

Conversely, let  $L$  be a lattice. We define the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|_L$  by the rule

$$\|v\|_L := \left( \min_{k: p^k v \in L} p^k \right)^{-1}$$

**2.2. Minimax characterization of the complex distance.** The following statement is an exact analogue of the standard minimax characterization of the eigenvalues (see, for instance, [6]) and of the stationary angles (see, for instance [15]). The proofs also is similar.

LEMMA 1. *For  $L, M \in \mathrm{Lat}_n$*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } p^{k_j(R,S)} &= \max_{W: \dim W=j, W \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n} \left\{ \min_{v \in W, v \neq 0} \frac{\|v\|_S}{\|v\|_R} \right\} \\ \text{b) } p^{k_j(R,S)} &= \min_{W: W \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n, \text{codim } W=j-1} \left\{ \max_{v \in W, v \neq 0} \frac{\|v\|_S}{\|v\|_R} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $R, S$  have the form (0.2). Consider the subspace  $Y = \mathbb{Q}_p f_j \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p f_n$ . We have  $\|v\|_R/\|v\|_S \leq p^{k_j}$  for each  $v \in Y$

If  $\dim W_j = j$ , then  $Y \cap W \neq 0$ , and hence  $\min_{v \in W} \|v\|_R/\|v\|_S \leq p^{k_j}$ .

For  $W = \mathbb{Q}_p f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p f_j$  we have  $\min_{v \in W} \|v\|_R/\|v\|_S = p^{k_j}$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Another characterization of the complex distance.** The following statement is obvious.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $L, M \in \text{Lat}_n$ . Then we have the following isomorphisms of finite Abelian  $p$ -groups:*

$$\begin{aligned} M/(L \cap M) &= \bigoplus_{j: k_j(L,M) > 0} \mathbb{Z}/p^{k_j(L,M)}\mathbb{Z} \\ L/(L \cap M) &= \bigoplus_{j: k_j(L,M) < 0} \mathbb{Z}/p^{-k_j(L,M)}\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

**2.4. Duality and complex distance.** Denote by  $(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)^\square$  the linear space dual to  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ . For a lattice  $L \in \text{Lat}_n$  we consider the *dual lattice*  $L^\square \subset (\mathbb{Q}_p^n)^\square$  consisting of linear functionals  $f \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^n)^\square$  such that

$$v \in L \implies f(v) \in \mathbb{O}_p$$

LEMMA 3.  $k_j(L, M) = k_j(M^\square, L^\square)$ .

**2.5. Complex distance and intersections of lattices.**

LEMMA 4. *Let  $L, M, N \in \text{Lat}_n$ . Then for all  $j$ , the number  $k_j(L \cap M, L \cap N)$  lies between 0 and  $k_j(M, N)$ .*

This statement is a corollary of Lemma 1 and the following Lemma 5.

LEMMA 5. *For  $v \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ , the number  $\|v\|_{L \cap M}/\|v\|_{L \cap N}$  lies between 1 and  $\|v\|_M/\|v\|_N$ .*

PROOF. Denote

$$\log_p \|v\|_L = l, \quad \log_p \|v\|_M = m, \quad \log_p \|v\|_N = z,$$

Then

$$\log_p \|v\|_{L \cap M} = \max(l, m), \quad \log_p \|v\|_{L \cap N} = \max(l, z)$$

It remains to observe that  $\max(l, m) - \max(l, z)$  lies between 0 and  $l - z$ .

**2.6. Complex distance and sums of lattices.**

LEMMA 6. *Let  $L, M, N \in \text{Lat}_n$ . Then  $k_j(L + M, L + N)$  lies between 0 and  $k_j(M, N)$ .*

PROOF. For  $P, Q \in \text{Lat}_n$ , we have

$$(P + Q)^\square = P^\square \cap Q^\square$$

By the duality consideration, our lemma is equivalent to Lemma 4.

**2.7. Notation.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p^n$  be an element of the Nazarov semigroup. We define

- its *kernel*  $\text{Ker } S = S \cap (\mathbb{Q}_p^n \oplus 0)$ ,
- the *domain*  $\text{Dom } S$  be the image of  $S$  under the projection  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^n \oplus 0$ ,
- the *image*  $\text{Im } S$  be the image of  $S$  under the projection  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p^n \rightarrow 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ ,
- the *indefiniteness*  $\text{Indef } S$  be the intersection  $S \cap 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p^n$

Evidently, all the sets  $\text{Dom } S$ ,  $\text{Ker } S$ ,  $\text{Indef } S$ ,  $\text{Im } S$  are lattices in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ .

**2.8. Proof of Theorem.** Let  $S \in \Gamma_n$ . We have

$$S \subset \text{Dom } S \oplus \text{Im } S, \quad S \supset \text{Ker } S \oplus \text{Indef } S.$$

Consider the (finite) factor-group

$$Y := (\text{Dom } S / \text{Ker } S) \oplus (\text{Im } S / \text{Indef } S)$$

and the image  $\sigma$  of  $S$  in  $Y$ . It can be easily be checked that  $\sigma$  is a graph of an isomorphism

$$\text{Dom } S / \text{Ker } S \rightarrow \text{Im } S / \text{Indef } S$$

In particular, these two groups are isomorphic.

Hence, by Lemma 2,

$$k_j(\text{Dom } S, \text{Ker } S) = k_j(\text{Im } S, \text{Indef } S)$$

This implies an existence of a (non canonical) element  $g_S \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that

$$g_S \cdot \text{Dom } S = \text{Im } S, \quad g_S \cdot \text{Ker } S = \text{Indef } S$$

For any  $L \in \text{Lat}_n$ , we have

$$S \cdot L = g_S \cdot (L \cap \text{Dom } S) + \text{Indef } S$$

It remains to apply Lemma 4 and 6.

## References

- [1] Brown, K., *Buildings*, Springer, 1989.
- [2] Fulton, W., *Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices (after A. Klyachko)*. Asterisque No. 252 (1998), Exp. No. 845, 5, 255–269.

- [3] Garret, P. *Buildings and classical groups*, 1996
- [4] Guivarch, Y., Ji, L., Taylor, J. *Compactifications of symmetric spaces*. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.
- [5] Koufany, Kh., *Contractions of angles in symmetric cones*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 38 (2002), no. 2, 227–243.
- [6] Lidskii, V.B. *Inequalities for eigenvalues and singular values*, addendum to Gantmaher F.R. *Theory of matrices*, Second (1966), Third (1976), Forth (1988) Russian editions.
- [7] Macdonald, I.G. *Spherical functions on a group of  $p$ -adic type*, Publ.Ramanujan Inst., Madras, 1972
- [8] Macdonald, I.G. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2-nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [9] Nazarov, M.L. *The oscillator semigroup over nonarchimedean field*. J. Funct. Anal., 128 (1995), 384–438
- [10] Nazarov, V., Yu. Neretin, Yu., G. Olshanskii, G., *Semi-groupes engendrés par la représentation de Weil du groupe symplectique de dimension infinie*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **309**(1989), no. 7, 443–446.
- [11] Neretin, Yu., *On a semigroup of operators in the boson Fock space*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **24**(1990), no. 2, 135–144.
- [12] Neretin Yu., *Categories of symmetries and infinite-dimensional groups*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, N. S., **16**, Oxford University Press, 1996.
- [13] Neretin, Yu. A. *Hinges and the Study-Semple-Satake-Furstenberg-De Concini-Procesi-Oshima boundary*. Kirillov’s seminar on representation theory, 165–230, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [14] Neretin, Yu. A. *Conformal geometry of symmetric spaces, and generalized linear-fractional Kreĭn-Shmulian mappings*. Mat. Sb. 190 (1999), no. 2, 93–122; transl. in Sb. Math. 190 (1999), no. 1-2, 255–283
- [15] Neretin, Yu.A. *Jordan angles and triangle inequality in Grassmannian manifold*, Geometria Dedicata, 86 (2001), 403–432
- [16] Neretin, Yu.A. *Beta-function of Bruhat–Tits buildings and deformation of  $L^2$  on the space of lattices*. Mat. Sbornik, 2003; preprint version is available via <http://xxx.arxiv.org/abs/math/0306079>.
- [17] Weil, A. *Sur certains groupes d’opérateurs unitaires*, Acta Math., 111 (1964), 143–211
- [18] Weil, A. *Basic number theory*, Springer, 1967;

Math. Phys. Group,  
Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics,  
B. Cheremushkinskaya, 25, Moscow -- 117259, Russia  
&  
University of Vienna  
neretin@mccme.ru,