

Nagata dimension, quasisymmetric embeddings, and Lipschitz extensions

Urs Lang & Thilo Schlichenmaier

October 3, 2004

1 Introduction

The large-scale geometry of metric spaces has been the subject of intense investigation in recent years. In his essay on asymptotic invariants of infinite groups [10], Gromov gave a comprehensive account of the area and introduced a number of new concepts. Here we discuss a variation of his notion of asymptotic dimension, with a view towards applications in analysis on metric spaces, an equally active field of current research. The invariant considered was introduced and named Nagata dimension in a note by Assouad [2]; indeed it is closely related to a theorem of Nagata characterizing the topological dimension of metrizable spaces (cf. [18, Thm. 5] or [19, p. 138]). In contrast to the asymptotic dimension, the Nagata dimension of a metric space is in general not preserved under quasi-isometries, but it is still a bi-Lipschitz invariant and, as it turns out, even a quasisymmetry invariant. The class of metric spaces with finite Nagata dimension includes all doubling spaces, metric trees, euclidean buildings, and homogeneous or pinched negatively curved Hadamard manifolds, among others. One of our main results interposes between theorems of Assouad [3] and Dranishnikov [7]: Every metric space with Nagata dimension at most n admits in particular a quasisymmetric embedding into the product of $n + 1$ metric trees, cf. Theorem 1.3 below. Another result asserts that a complete metric space with Nagata dimension $\leq n$ is an absolute Lipschitz retract if and only if it is Lipschitz m -connected for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$; see Theorem 1.5.

We now give the precise definitions and outline the contents of the paper in more detail. Suppose that $X = (X, d)$ is a metric space and $\mathcal{B} = (B_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of subsets of X . The family \mathcal{B} is called *D -bounded* for some constant $D \geq 0$ if $\text{diam } B_i := \sup\{d(x, x') : x, x' \in B_i\} \leq D$ for all $i \in I$. The *multiplicity* of the family is the infimum of all integers $n \geq 0$ such that each point in X belongs to no more than n members of \mathcal{B} . For $s > 0$, the *s -multiplicity* of \mathcal{B} is the infimum of all n such that every subset of X with diameter $\leq s$ meets at most n members of the family. The *asymptotic dimension* $\text{asdim } X$ of X is defined as the infimum of all integers n such

that for all $s > 0$, X possesses a D -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$ for some $D = D(s) < \infty$. This imposes no condition on small scales as it is not required that $D(s) \rightarrow 0$ for $s \rightarrow 0$. This invariant was introduced (and denoted $\text{asdim}_+ X$) by Gromov in [10, 1.E]. He remarked that for various classes of spaces the function $D(s)$ can be chosen to be linear.

Definition 1.1. For a metric space X the *Nagata dimension* (or *Assouad–Nagata dimension*) $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X$ of X is the infimum of all integers n with the following property: There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $s > 0$, X has a cs -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$.

Note that this notion takes into account *all* scales of the metric space in an equal manner. Clearly $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \geq \text{asdim} X$. The number $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X$ is unaffected if the covering sets are required to be open, or closed, or if the ‘test set’ with diameter $\leq s$ is replaced by an open or closed ball of radius s (the minimal constant c may change, however). In particular, 1.1 agrees with the definition given in [2].

In section 2 we gather a number of basic properties of the Nagata dimension. It is easily seen that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y$ whenever $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map between metric spaces satisfying, for instance, $a d(x, x')^p \leq d(f(x), f(x')) \leq b d(x, x')^p$ for all $x, x' \in X$ and for some constants $a, b, p > 0$ (Lemma 2.1). For every metric space X , the topological dimension $\dim X$ never exceeds $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X$ (Proposition 2.2); as Assouad [2] observed, the argument implicitly occurs in [19, p. 149]. Each subset X of \mathbb{R}^n containing interior points satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = n$. Every doubling metric space has finite Nagata dimension (Lemma 2.3). In Proposition 2.5 we characterize spaces with Nagata dimension $\leq n$ in various ways. We obtain the product formula $\dim_{\mathbb{N}}(X \times Y) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} X + \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y$ (Theorem 2.6); the inequality may be strict. For $X = Y \cup Z$, the relation $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = \sup\{\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y, \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Z\}$ holds (Proposition 2.7). Hence, every compact n -dimensional riemannian manifold X satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = n$.

In section 3 we determine the Nagata dimension, or prove that it is finite, for certain hyperbolic or nonpositively curved spaces. This is again inspired by [10] where the arguments are sketched for the asymptotic dimension. Every product of n non-trivial metric trees and every euclidean building of rank n has Nagata dimension n (Propositions 3.2 and 3.3). By a *metric tree* we mean a geodesic metric space all of whose geodesic triangles are degenerate, i.e. isometric to tripods; no local finiteness or compactness assumption is made. A geodesic metric space X that is δ -hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov has finite Nagata dimension if it satisfies the respective condition up to scale δ , i.e. if there exist n and c such that for all $s \in (0, \delta]$, X has a cs -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$ (Proposition 3.5). Finally, every homogeneous Hadamard manifold has finite Nagata dimension (Proposition 3.6).

Section 4 contains the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 below. A map f from a metric space X into another metric space Y is called *quasisymmetric* if it is injective and there exists a homeomorphism $\eta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $d(x, z) \leq t d(x', z)$ implies $d(f(x), f(z)) \leq \eta(t) d(f(x'), f(z))$ for all $x, x', z \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then $f^{-1}: f(X) \rightarrow X$ is also quasisymmetric, and f is a homeomorphism onto its image (see [20] or [11, Chapt. 10] for basic properties of quasisymmetric maps).

Theorem 1.2. *Let X, Y be two metric spaces, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasisymmetric homeomorphism. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y$.*

Recall that Assouad's theorem [3] asserts that for every doubling metric space (X, d) and every exponent $p \in (0, 1)$, there is an N such that the metric space (X, d^p) admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into \mathbb{R}^N . Dranishnikov [7] showed that every geodesic metric space with bounded geometry and asymptotic dimension at most n admits a large-scale uniform embedding into the product of $n + 1$ locally finite metric trees.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, d) be a metric space with $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n < \infty$. Then for all sufficiently small exponents $p \in (0, 1)$, there exists a bi-Lipschitz embedding of (X, d^p) into the product of $n + 1$ metric trees.*

In particular, (X, d) admits a quasisymmetric embedding into the product of $n + 1$ metric trees. In the general case, 1.3 is optimal with respect to the number of trees. On the other hand, the n -dimensional hyperbolic space admits a quasi-isometric embedding into the product of n copies of a simplicial metric tree, see [6].

Finally, in section 5, we resume our investigation [14], [15], [16], [17] of the extendability of Lipschitz maps. We say that a pair of metric spaces (X, Y) possesses the *Lipschitz extension property* if there is a constant C such that for every subset $Z \subset X$ and for every Lipschitz map $f: Z \rightarrow Y$, there is a Lipschitz extension $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow Y$ of f with constant $\text{Lip}(\bar{f}) \leq C \text{Lip}(f)$. A comprehensive characterization of such pairs is still missing. However, we obtain complete results if one of the two spaces has finite Nagata dimension. We call a metric space Y *Lipschitz m -connected* for some integer $m \geq 0$ if there is a constant c_m such that every Lipschitz map $f: S^m \rightarrow Y$ has a Lipschitz extension $\bar{f}: B^{m+1} \rightarrow Y$ with constant $\text{Lip}(\bar{f}) \leq c_m \text{Lip}(f)$; here S^m and B^{m+1} denote the unit sphere and closed ball in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} equipped with the induced metric. This condition is easily verified in the presence of an appropriate weak convexity property of the metric. In particular, every Banach space and every geodesic metric space with convex metric (cf. [5, II.1.3]) is Lipschitz m -connected for all $m \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that X, Y are metric spaces, $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n < \infty$, and Y is complete. If Y is Lipschitz m -connected for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, then the pair (X, Y) has the Lipschitz extension property.*

As a corollary we obtain the fact that for a complete metric space Y , the pair (\mathbb{R}^n, Y) has the Lipschitz extension property if and only if Y is Lipschitz m -connected for $m = 0, \dots, n - 1$. This can be shown more directly by means of a Whitney cube decomposition of the complement of a closed set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, cf. [1, Thm. (1.2)] and [13, Thm. 2]. The proof of Theorem 1.4 may be viewed as a generalization of that argument. An application of 1.4 is the density of Lipschitz maps in various Sobolev classes of maps from doubling metric measure spaces into Banach spaces or convex metric spaces, see for instance [12, Sect. 6].

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that Y is a complete metric space with $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y \leq n < \infty$, and Y is Lipschitz m -connected for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Then Y is an absolute Lipschitz retract; equivalently, the pair (X, Y) has the Lipschitz extension property for every metric space X .*

This result is obtained as a corollary of Theorem 5.1 which provides Lipschitz extensions for maps $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ defined on a set $Z \subset X$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Z \leq n$. When combined with the results of section 3, Theorem 1.5 unifies and generalizes the results obtained in [16, 1.2] and [17, 4.6 and 6.5].

Acknowledgements. We thank Sergei Buyalo, Alexander Dranishnikov, Bruce Kleiner and Viktor Schroeder for inspiring discussions. Thanks to [8] we became aware of [2].

2 Basic properties

In this section we record a number of basic properties of the Nagata dimension defined in 1.1. We start with a simple lemma on the behavior under mappings.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that X, Y are metric spaces, f maps X into Y , and there are two homeomorphisms $\varphi, \psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\varphi(d(x, x')) \leq d(f(x), f(x')) \leq \psi(d(x, x')) \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X$$

and $\sup_{s>0} \frac{1}{s} \varphi^{-1}(\bar{c}\psi(s)) < \infty$ for every constant $\bar{c} > 0$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y$.

For instance, the condition on φ and ψ is satisfied if $\varphi(s) = as^p$ and $\psi(s) = bs^p$ for all $s \geq 0$ and for some constants $a, b, p > 0$. Taking $p = 1$, we see that bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms preserve $\dim_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y = n < \infty$. Let $s > 0$. By definition there is a constant $\bar{c} > 0$, independent of s , and a $\bar{c}\psi(s)$ -bounded covering $(C_i)_{i \in I}$ of Y with $\psi(s)$ -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. Put $B_i := f^{-1}(C_i)$; $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ is a covering of X with s -multiplicity $\leq n + 1$. Whenever $x, x' \in B_i$, then

$$d(x, x') \leq \varphi^{-1}(d(f(x), f(x'))) \leq \varphi^{-1}(\bar{c}\psi(s)) \leq cs$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of s . Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n$. \square

Next we show that for every metric space X , $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X$ is at least as large as the topological dimension $\dim X$ of X . For two families $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$ of subsets of X we write $\mathcal{U}' < \mathcal{U}$ if each member of \mathcal{U}' is contained in some member of \mathcal{U} . Recall that $\dim X$ equals the infimum of all integers n such that for every open covering \mathcal{U} of X there is an open covering $\mathcal{U}' < \mathcal{U}$ of X with multiplicity at most $n + 1$. A theorem due to Vopěnka, cf. [19, p. 126], states that $\dim X \leq n$ if and only if there is a sequence of open coverings $\mathcal{U}^1 > \mathcal{U}^2 > \dots$ of X such that each \mathcal{U}^k has multiplicity at most $n + 1$ and is D_k -bounded, where $D_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We denote by $U(x, r)$ and $B(x, r)$ the open and closed ball, respectively, with center x and radius r in X . Similarly, $U(A, r)$ will denote the open r -neighborhood of a set $A \subset X$.

Proposition 2.2. *For every metric space X , $\dim X \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} X$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = n < \infty$. Let $s > 0$. Choose a cs -bounded covering $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ of X with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. Denote by U_i the open $s/2$ -neighborhood of B_i ; then $\text{diam } U_i \leq (c + 1)s$. The open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ has multiplicity at most $n + 1$, and

$$(U(x, s/2))_{x \in X} < (U_i)_{i \in I} < (U(x, (c + 1)s))_{x \in X}.$$

Repeating this construction for $s_k := (2(c + 1))^{-k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we find a sequence of open coverings \mathcal{U}^k satisfying the assumption of Vopěnka's theorem mentioned above. Compare [19, p. 149]. \square

The set $X = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$, equipped with the metric induced from \mathbb{R} , is an example of a compact metric space with $\dim X = 0$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = 1$. Note also that for every metric space X , $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X$ equals the Nagata dimension of the metric completion \bar{X} of X .

The following lemma shows in particular that every doubling metric space (cf. [11, 10.13]) has finite Nagata dimension.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that X is a metric space, $n \geq 0$, and $s > 0$. If for every $x \in X$ the closed ball $B(x, 3s)$ can be covered by $n + 1$ sets of diameter $\leq s$, then X admits a covering \mathcal{B} by closed balls of radius s such that $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathcal{B}_k$ and each family \mathcal{B}_k has s -multiplicity at most 1; in particular, \mathcal{B} has s -multiplicity $\leq n + 1$.*

Proof. Choose a maximal set $Z \subset X$ with the property that $d(z, z') > s$ whenever $z, z' \in Z$ and $z \neq z'$. The family $\mathcal{B} := (B(z, s))_{z \in Z}$ covers X . For every $z \in Z$, the ball $B(z, 3s)$ can be covered by $n + 1$ sets of diameter $\leq s$, each of which contains no more than one element of Z . Thus $Z \cap B(z, 3s)$ has cardinality at most $n + 1$. Therefore there exists a coloring $\chi: Z \rightarrow$

$\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\chi(z) \neq \chi(z')$ whenever $z, z' \in Z$ and $0 < d(z, z') \leq 3s$ (cf. [3, 2.4]). Then for every $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, the family \mathcal{B}_k of all balls $B(z, s)$ with $\chi(z) = k$ has s -multiplicity at most 1. \square

Next we discuss various characterizations of the inequality $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n$, see Proposition 2.5 below. This is a variation of the discussion in [10, 1.E, 1.E₁] for the asymptotic dimension. Our rendition is designed to facilitate the proof of the product formula $\dim_{\mathbb{N}}(X \times Y) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} X + \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y$, cf. Theorem 2.6.

We use the following notion of a piecewise euclidean polyhedral complex. By a *convex euclidean polyhedral cell* C we mean a compact metric space isometric to the convex hull of a positive finite number of points in some euclidean space. Faces, edges, vertices, the interior $\text{int } C$, and the dimension of such a cell C are defined in an obvious way. An m -dimensional convex euclidean polyhedral cell with exactly $m + 1$ vertices is called a *euclidean simplex*. By a *piecewise euclidean polyhedral complex* $Z = (Z, \mathcal{C})$ we mean a metric space Z together with a covering $\mathcal{C} \subset 2^Z$ of Z such that each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is a convex euclidean polyhedral cell, every face of C is in \mathcal{C} , and any two elements of \mathcal{C} either are disjoint or intersect in a common face. Note that we do not require the metric on Z to be intrinsic; on the other hand, the cells C are already euclidean when equipped with the induced metric rather than the induced intrinsic metric. For $z \in Z$ we denote by $\text{st}(z, Z)$ the *open star* of z in Z , i.e. the union of all $\text{int } C$ such that $z \in C \in \mathcal{C}$. The dimension of the complex Z is the supremum of the dimensions of its cells. If each cell is a euclidean simplex, then Z is called a *piecewise euclidean simplicial complex*. Given a piecewise euclidean polyhedral complex $Z = (Z, \mathcal{C})$, we may pass to its *first barycentric subdivision* $Z_1 = (Z, \mathcal{C}_1)$ to obtain a piecewise euclidean simplicial complex isometric to Z .

For the proofs of 2.5 and 2.6 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let Z be a piecewise euclidean simplicial complex of dimension $\leq n < \infty$. Suppose there are constants $\delta, \gamma > 0$ such that the following two properties hold for each pair of simplices S, T of Z :*

- (i) *If $S \cap T = \emptyset$, then $d(S, T) \geq \delta$.*
- (ii) *If $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$, then $d(x, S \cap T) \leq \gamma d(x, y)$ for all $x \in S$ and $y \in T$.*

Then there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ depending only on γ and n such that every open ball of radius $\lambda\delta$ in Z is contained in the open star $\text{st}(z, Z)$ of some vertex z of Z .

Proof. Let $p \in Z$, and let λ be a positive constant, to be determined later. Denote by \mathcal{S} the set of all simplices S of Z with $U(p, \lambda\delta) \cap \text{int } S \neq \emptyset$. We show that $\bigcap \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$; then $U(p, \lambda\delta) \subset \text{st}(z, Z)$ for every vertex z in $\bigcap \mathcal{S}$. Since Z has dimension $\leq n$, it suffices to prove that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} S_i \neq \emptyset$ whenever

$S_1, \dots, S_{n+2} \in \mathcal{S}$. Given such S_1, \dots, S_{n+2} , pick $x_i \in B(p, \lambda\delta) \cap S_i$ for every i , and let $k \in \{1, \dots, n+2\}$ be the maximal index such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k S_i \neq \emptyset$. We claim that for $j = 1, \dots, k$, there is point $y_j \in \bigcap_{i=1}^j S_i =: T^j$ such that

$$d(p, y_j) \leq \gamma_j \lambda \delta,$$

where $\gamma_1 = 1$ and $\gamma_j = 1 + \gamma + \gamma\gamma_{j-1}$ for all integers $j \geq 2$. For $j = 1$, put $y_1 := x_1$; then $d(p, y_1) \leq \lambda\delta = \gamma_1 \lambda \delta$. For $j = 2, \dots, k$, if $y_{j-1} \in T^{j-1}$ satisfies $d(p, y_{j-1}) \leq \gamma_{j-1} \lambda \delta$, then condition (ii) provides a point $y_j \in S_j \cap T^{j-1} = T^j$ such that $d(x_j, y_j) \leq \gamma d(x_j, y_{j-1})$. Hence, $d(p, y_j) \leq d(p, x_j) + d(x_j, y_j) \leq d(p, x_j) + \gamma d(x_j, y_{j-1}) \leq (1 + \gamma)d(p, x_j) + \gamma d(p, y_{j-1}) \leq \gamma_j \lambda \delta$. This proves the claim. Now if $k < n+2$, then we have $S_{k+1} \cap T^k = \emptyset$, therefore $d(S_{k+1}, T^k) \geq \delta$ by condition (i). On the other hand, $d(p, y_k) \leq \gamma_k \lambda \delta \leq \gamma_{n+1} \lambda \delta$, thus $d(S_{k+1}, T^k) \leq d(x_{k+1}, y_k) \leq d(p, x_{k+1}) + d(p, y_k) < \lambda\delta + \gamma_{n+1} \lambda \delta$. Choosing the constant $\lambda > 0$ so that $\lambda(1 + \gamma_{n+1}) = 1$ we obtain a contradiction. Hence $k = n + 2$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a metric space, and let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n$, i.e. there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $s > 0$, X has a $c_1 s$ -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$.
- (2) There exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that for all $s > 0$, there exists a 1-Lipschitz map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ into some piecewise euclidean simplicial complex Y of dimension $\leq n$ such that every finite subcomplex of Y is isometric to a subcomplex of some regular euclidean simplex of edge length s , and $\text{diam } f^{-1}(\text{st}(y, Y)) \leq c_2 s$ for every vertex y of Y .
- (3) There exists a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that for all $s > 0$, there exists a 1-Lipschitz map $g: X \rightarrow Z$ into some piecewise euclidean polyhedral complex Z of dimension $\leq n$ such that every open ball of radius s in Z is contained in the open star $\text{st}(z, Z_1)$ of some vertex z of the first barycentric subdivision Z_1 , and $\text{diam } g^{-1}(\text{st}(z, Z_1)) \leq c_3 s$ for every vertex z of Z_1 .
- (4) There exists a constant $c_4 > 0$ such that for all $s > 0$, X admits a $c_4 s$ -bounded covering of the form $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathcal{B}_k$ where each family \mathcal{B}_k has s -multiplicity at most 1.

In view of characterization (3) we have $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^n \leq n$. It follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} A = n$ whenever $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ contains interior points, for $n = \dim A \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} A \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^n \leq n$.

Proof. Clearly (4) implies (1) for $c_1 = c_4$.

We show that (1) implies (2). Let $r > 0$, and let $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ be a $c_1 r$ -bounded covering of X with r -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. For each $i \in I$, define $\sigma_i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\sigma_i(x) := \sup\{0, \frac{r}{2} - d(x, B_i)\}$. For every $x \in X$ we have $\sigma_i(x) > 0$ for at most $n + 1$ indices i , and the sum $\bar{\sigma} := \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i$ satisfies $\bar{\sigma} \geq \frac{r}{2}$. Consider the Hilbert space $\ell^2(I)$. There exists a constant $\lambda > 0$, depending only on n , such that the map $f: X \rightarrow \ell^2(I)$ defined by $f(x) := (\lambda r \sigma_i(x) / \bar{\sigma}(x))_{i \in I}$ is 1-Lipschitz. The image $f(X)$ lies in the n -skeleton $\Sigma^{(n)}$ of the simplex $\Sigma = \{(y_i)_{i \in I} : y_i \geq 0, \sum_i y_i = \lambda r\}$ with edge length $s = \sqrt{2} \lambda r$. Denote by Y the minimal subcomplex of $\Sigma^{(n)}$ containing $f(X)$. Let y be the vertex of Y corresponding to the index i . If $x \in f^{-1}(\text{st}(y, Y))$, then $\sigma_i(x) > 0$, hence $\text{diam } f^{-1}(\text{st}(y, Y)) \leq \text{diam } B_i + 2\frac{r}{2} \leq (c_1 + 1)r$. This yields (2).

Next we show that (2) implies (3). Let $r > 0$. By (2) there exists a 1-Lipschitz map $g: X \rightarrow Z$ into some piecewise euclidean simplicial complex Z of dimension $\leq n$ such that every finite subcomplex of Z is isometric to a subcomplex of some regular euclidean simplex of edge length r , and $\text{diam } g^{-1}(\text{st}(z, Z)) \leq c_2 r$ for every vertex z of Z . It follows that there exist constants $\epsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ depending only on n such that the conditions of Lemma 2.4 are satisfied with $\delta = \epsilon r$ and γ for the first barycentric subdivision Z_1 of Z . Hence, every open ball of radius $s = \lambda \epsilon r$ in Z is contained in the open star $\text{st}(z, Z_1)$ of some vertex z of Z_1 , where the constant $\lambda > 0$ depends only on n . Moreover, every such star $\text{st}(z, Z_1)$ is contained in $\text{st}(z', Z)$ for some vertex z' of Z , thus $\text{diam } g^{-1}(\text{st}(z, Z_1)) \leq \text{diam } g^{-1}(\text{st}(z', Z)) \leq c_2 r$.

It remains to show that (3) implies (4). Let $s > 0$. Choose $r > s$, and let $g: X \rightarrow Z$ be given as in (3), with s replaced by r . For every vertex z of Z_1 , denote by B_z the set of all $x \in X$ such that $U(g(x), r) \subset \text{st}(z, Z_1)$. The sets B_z cover X , and their diameter is $\leq c_3 r$. Each vertex z of Z_1 is the barycenter of a unique cell C_z of Z . For $k = 0, \dots, n$, define \mathcal{B}_k as the family of all B_z such that C_z has dimension k . Whenever $B_z, B_{z'} \in \mathcal{B}_k$ for some k and $z \neq z'$, then $\text{st}(z, Z_1) \cap \text{st}(z', Z_1) = \emptyset$. It follows that $d(x, x') \geq d(g(x), g(x')) \geq r > s$ for all $x \in B_z$ and $x' \in B_{z'}$, so \mathcal{B}_k has s -multiplicity at most 1. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let X and X' be two (non-empty) metric spaces. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}}(X \times X') \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} X + \dim_{\mathbb{N}} X'$.*

In general, the inequality may be strict, as the following simple example shows. Equip \mathbb{Z} and $I = [0, 1]$ with the metric induced from \mathbb{R} . Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} = \dim_{\mathbb{N}} I = 1$, and it is easily checked that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Z} \times I) = 1$ as well.

Proof. Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = n < \infty$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X' = n' < \infty$. Let $r > 0$. According to 2.5(2) there exists a 1-Lipschitz map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ into some piecewise euclidean simplicial complex Y of dimension $\leq n$ such that every finite subcomplex of Y is isometric to a subcomplex of some regular euclidean

simplex of edge length r , and $\text{diam } f^{-1}(\text{st}(y, Y)) \leq c_2 r$ for every vertex y of Y . There is a similar map $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ into some complex Y' of dimension $\leq n'$, where we assume the corresponding diameter bound to hold for the same constant c_2 independent of r . The product $Z := Y \times Y'$ is a piecewise euclidean polyhedral complex of dimension $\leq n + n'$. From the properties of Y and Y' it follows that there exist constants $\epsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ depending only on n and n' such that the conditions of Lemma 2.4 are satisfied with $\delta = \epsilon r$ and γ for the first barycentric subdivision Z_1 of Z . Hence, every open ball of radius $s = \lambda \epsilon r$ in Z is contained in the open star $\text{st}(z, Z_1)$ of some vertex z of Z_1 , where the constant $\lambda > 0$ depends only on n and n' . The product map $g := f \times f': X \times X' \rightarrow Y \times Y' = Z$ is 1-Lipschitz. Every vertex z of Z_1 is of the form (y, y') for some vertices y of Y_1 and y' of Y'_1 ; then $\text{st}(z, Z_1) \subset \text{st}(y, Y_1) \times \text{st}(y', Y'_1)$. Hence, $\text{diam } g^{-1}(\text{st}(z, Z_1)) \leq \sqrt{2} c_2 r$. The result follows from characterization 2.5(3). \square

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that X is a metric space, and $X = Y \cup Z$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = \sup\{\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y, \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Z\}$.*

Proof. Clearly $\sup\{\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y, \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Z\} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} X$. To prove the other inequality, suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y, \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Z \leq n < \infty$. There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $s > 0$, Z has a cs -bounded covering $(D_k)_{k \in K}$ with s -multiplicity at most $n+1$, and Y has a $c(3+2c)s$ -bounded covering $(C_j)_{j \in J}$ with $(3+2c)s$ -multiplicity at most $n+1$. Given such collections, define a covering $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ of X as follows. Assume that $J \cap K = \emptyset$. Denote by L the set of all indices $k \in K$ for which there is no pair of points $y' \in \bigcup_{j \in J} C_j$, $z' \in D_k$ with $d(y', z') \leq s$. Put $I := J \cup L$. Choose maps $j: K \setminus L \rightarrow J$ and $y, z: K \setminus L \rightarrow X$ such that $y(k) \in C_{j(k)}$, $z(k) \in D_k$, and $d(y(k), z(k)) \leq s$. Now define $B_i := C_i \cup \bigcup_{k \in j^{-1}\{i\}} D_k$ for $i \in J$ and $B_i := D_i$ for $i \in L$. Each B_i has diameter at most $c(3+2c)s + 2(1+c)s$. Let $E \subset X$ be a set of diameter $\leq s$. If E is disjoint from $\bigcup_{i \in J} C_i$, then E meets at most $n+1$ members of $(D_k)_{k \in K}$, each of which belongs to exactly one B_i . If $E \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in J$, then $k \in K \setminus L$ whenever $D_k \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $E \cup \{y(k) : D_k \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$ has diameter $\leq (3+2c)s$ and, hence, meets no more than $n+1$ members of $(C_i)_{i \in J}$. This shows that $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ has s -multiplicity at most $n+1$. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 2.7, every compact n -dimensional riemannian manifold X satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = n$. The following result shows in particular that all uniform (in a suitable sense) n -dimensional riemannian manifolds have ‘Nagata dimension n in the small’.

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be a metric space. Suppose there exist constants $c, r > 0$ and integers $n, N \geq 0$ such that for all $x \in X$,*

- (i) *the ball $B(x, r)$ has a cs -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n+1$ for every $s \in (0, r]$, and*

(ii) the ball $B(x, 3r)$ can be covered by $N + 1$ sets of diameter $\leq r$.

Then there exist $c', r' > 0$ such that for every $s \in (0, r']$, X has a $c's$ -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$.

Proof. By (ii) and Lemma 2.3, X admits a covering \mathcal{B} by closed balls of radius r such that $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{k=0}^N \mathcal{B}_k$ and each family \mathcal{B}_k has r -multiplicity at most 1. For $k = 0, \dots, N$, put $Y_k := \bigcup \mathcal{B}_k$. Using (i) we see that for every $s \in (0, r]$, Y_k has a cs -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. Since $X = \bigcup_{k=0}^N Y_k$, by applying the procedure from the proof of Proposition 2.7 N times we obtain the result. \square

3 Buildings, hyperbolic and homogeneous spaces

In this section we consider various classes of hyperbolic or nonpositively curved spaces. The following technical lemma is used in the proofs of 3.2 and 3.3 which determine the Nagata dimension for products of metric trees and euclidean buildings.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X and Y be metric spaces, and let $\lambda, \mu > 0$. Suppose that there exist a 1-Lipschitz map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and a map $h: X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ with the following three properties:*

- (i) *Whenever $C \subset Y$ is a non-empty bounded set, there exists a point $y \in Y$ such that for all $x \in f^{-1}(C)$ there is an $x' \in f^{-1}\{y\}$ with $d(x, x') \leq \lambda \operatorname{diam} C$.*
- (ii) *For all $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$, $d(h(x, t), x) \leq t$.*
- (iii) *If $x, x' \in X$, $f(x) = f(x')$, and $t \geq \mu d(x, x')$, then $h(x, t) = h(x', t)$.*

Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y$.

Proof. Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y = n < \infty$. There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $s > 0$, Y has a cs -bounded covering $(C_j)_{j \in J}$ with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. Let such a collection of non-empty sets C_j be given. For every $j \in J$, put $X^j := f^{-1}(C_j)$ and pick a point $y_j \in Y$ and a map $\pi^j: X^j \rightarrow f^{-1}\{y_j\}$ such that

$$d(x, \pi^j(x)) \leq \lambda cs \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x \in X^j$; see condition (i). Since f is 1-Lipschitz, the covering $(X^j)_{j \in J}$ of X has s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. Hence, in order to prove $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n$, it suffices to show that each X^j can be partitioned into a $\tilde{c}s$ -bounded collection \mathcal{B}^j with s -multiplicity at most 1, for some $\tilde{c} > 0$. Fix an index $j \in J$. Let $t := \mu(2\lambda c + 1)s$, and define an equivalence relation on X^j such that $x \sim x'$ if and only if $h(\pi^j(x), t) = h(\pi^j(x'), t)$. By (3.1) and condition (ii), every

equivalence class has diameter at most $2(\lambda cs + t) = 2(\lambda c + \mu(2\lambda c + 1))s =: \tilde{c}s$. Moreover, every set $D \subset X^j$ of diameter $\leq s$ meets at most one such class. For if $x, x' \in D$, then $d(\pi^j(x), \pi^j(x')) \leq 2\lambda cs + s$, thus $x \sim x'$ by condition (iii) and the choice of t . \square

Recall that by a *metric tree* T we mean a geodesic metric space such that every geodesic triangle Δ in T is degenerate, in the sense that each side of Δ is contained in the union of the remaining two. Equivalently, T is a 0-hyperbolic geodesic metric space, cf. (3.3).

Proposition 3.2. *Let T_1, \dots, T_n be metric trees, each containing more than one point. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}}(T_1 \times \dots \times T_n) = n$.*

Proof. Let T be a metric tree. To see that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} T \leq 1$, choose a basepoint $z \in T$ and denote by $f: T \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ the distance function to z . Define $h: T \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow T$ such that $h(x, t)$ is the point at distance $\min\{t, d(x, z)\}$ from x on the geodesic segment $[x, z]$. Then the conditions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied with $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$; as for condition (i), choose $y = \inf C$ for $C \subset [0, \infty)$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} T \leq 1$. Given non-trivial metric trees T_1, \dots, T_n , we conclude that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}}(T_1 \times \dots \times T_n) \leq n$ by Theorem 2.6 (product). On the other hand, $T_1 \times \dots \times T_n$ contains a set isometric to a product of n non-trivial intervals, so $\dim_{\mathbb{N}}(T_1 \times \dots \times T_n) \geq n$. \square

The proof of the following result relies partly on [16, Sect. 6]; we refer to that paper and to [5] for information on euclidean buildings and nonpositively curved metric spaces.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a (simplicial or affine) euclidean building of rank n . Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X = n$.*

Proof. The building X can be written as the union $\bigcup_{\nu \in N} A_{\nu}$ of a family of n -flats A_{ν} , each asymptotic to a fixed chamber $\Delta \subset \partial_{\infty} X$ at infinity. There is a 1-Lipschitz map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f|_{A_{\nu}}: A_{\nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isometry for every $\nu \in N$, cf. [16, 6.1]. Then condition (i) of Lemma 3.1 is satisfied with $\lambda = 1$; given $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, pick $y \in C$ arbitrarily. Denote by $\xi \in \partial_{\infty} X$ the center of Δ , and define $h: X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ such that $t \mapsto h(x, t)$ is the (unit speed) ray from x to ξ . Then condition (ii) of 3.1 holds as well. To verify condition (iii), suppose that $x \in A_{\nu}$, $x' \in A_{\nu'}$, and $f(x) = f(x')$. Denote by $[x, \Delta) \subset A_{\nu}$ the Weyl chamber with basepoint x asymptotic to Δ , and put $a(t) := d(h(x', t), [x, \Delta))$. There exist a constant $\mu > 0$, depending only on X , and a $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $a(t) = 0$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $a(t) \geq \frac{1}{\mu}(t_0 - t)$ for $t \in [0, t_0]$, cf. [16, 6.2 and (18)]. In particular, $h(x', t) \in A_{\nu}$ for $t \geq \mu d(x, x')$ since $\mu d(x, x') \geq \mu a(0) \geq t_0$. As $f|_{A_{\nu}}$ is an isometry, $t \mapsto f(h(x, t))$ is a ray in \mathbb{R}^n ; similarly $t \mapsto f(h(x', t))$ is a ray. The two are asymptotic and start at the same point $f(x) = f(x')$, so they coincide. Since $h(x', t) \in A_{\nu}$ for $t \geq \mu d(x, x')$, it follows that $h(x, t) = h(x', t)$ for $t \geq \mu d(x, x')$. Now

Lemma 3.1 shows that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq \dim_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^n = n$. Since each apartment $A_\nu \subset X$ is isometric to \mathbb{R}^n , it is clear that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \geq n$. \square

The next result is a variation of Lemma 3.1; it is used in the proofs of 3.5 and 3.6 which deal with Gromov hyperbolic spaces and homogeneous Hadamard manifolds.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X and Y be metric spaces, and let $\lambda, \mu, \delta > 0$. Suppose that there exist a 1-Lipschitz map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a map $h : X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ with the following three properties:*

- (i) *Whenever $C \subset Y$ is a non-empty bounded set, there exists a point $y \in Y$ such that for all $x \in f^{-1}(C)$ there is an $x' \in f^{-1}\{y\}$ with $d(x, x') \leq \lambda \operatorname{diam} C$.*
- (ii) *For all $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$, $d(h(x, t), x) \leq t$.*
- (iii) *If $x, x' \in X$, $f(x) = f(x')$, and $t \geq \mu d(x, x')$, then $d(h(x, t), h(x', t)) \leq \delta$.*

Suppose further that there exist $n \geq 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for all $s \in (0, \delta]$, X has a cs -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y < \infty$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X < \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Y = n_Y < \infty$. In view of the assumption on X , it suffices to show that there exist $n_X \geq 0$ and $c_X > 0$ such that for all $s > \delta$, X has a $c_X s$ -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n_X + 1$. Given $s > \delta$, choose a $c_Y s$ -bounded covering $(C_j)_{j \in J}$ of Y with s -multiplicity at most $n_Y + 1$, $C_j \neq \emptyset$. For every $j \in J$, put $X^j := f^{-1}(C_j)$ and pick a point $y_j \in Y$ and a map $\pi^j : X^j \rightarrow f^{-1}\{y_j\}$ such that

$$d(x, \pi^j(x)) \leq \lambda c_Y s \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x \in X^j$; see condition (i). Since f is 1-Lipschitz, the covering $(X^j)_{j \in J}$ of X has s -multiplicity at most $n_Y + 1$. Hence, it suffices to show that for some $c_X > 0$, each X^j has a $c_X s$ -bounded covering \mathcal{B}^j with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$; then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n_X := (n + 1)(n_Y + 1) - 1$. Fix an index $j \in J$. Choose a $c\delta$ -bounded covering $(D_k)_{k \in K}$ of X with δ -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. Let $t := \mu(2\lambda c_Y + 1)s$, and define $B_k^j := \{x \in X^j : h(\pi^j(x), t) \in D_k\}$. By (3.2) and condition (ii), each B_k^j has diameter $\leq 2\lambda c_Y s + 2t + c\delta \leq (2\lambda c_Y + 2\mu(2\lambda c_Y + 1) + c)s =: c_X s$. Let $E \subset X^j$ be a set of diameter $\leq s$. If $x, x' \in E$, then $d(\pi^j(x), \pi^j(x')) \leq 2\lambda c_Y s + s$, thus $d(h(\pi^j(x), t), h(\pi^j(x'), t)) \leq \delta$ by condition (iii) and the choice of t . This shows that the set $h(\pi^j(E), t)$ has diameter $\leq \delta$. Since $(D_k)_{k \in K}$ has δ -multiplicity $\leq n + 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{B}^j := (B_k^j)_{k \in K}$ has s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. \square

We turn to Gromov hyperbolic spaces. For $\delta \geq 0$, we call a geodesic metric space X δ -hyperbolic if

$$d(y, y') \leq \delta \quad (3.3)$$

whenever $x, x', z \in X$, y and y' lie on geodesics from z to x and x' , respectively, and $d(y, z) = d(y', z) \leq \frac{1}{2}(d(x, z) + d(x', z) - d(x, x'))$, cf. [9].

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a δ -hyperbolic geodesic metric space for some $\delta > 0$. Suppose that there exist $n \geq 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for all $s \in (0, \delta]$, X has a cs -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n + 1$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X < \infty$.*

Note that the condition involving s is satisfied in particular if X is doubling up to some scale, cf. Lemma 2.3.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, choose a basepoint $z \in X$ and denote by $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ the distance function to z . Define $h: X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ such that $h(x, t)$ is the point at distance $\min\{t, d(x, z)\}$ from x on the geodesic segment $[x, z]$. Then the conditions of Lemma 3.4 are satisfied with $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$. \square

Finally, we consider homogeneous Hadamard manifolds, i.e., complete simply connected riemannian manifolds with nonpositive sectional curvature and transitive isometry group.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be a homogeneous Hadamard manifold. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X < \infty$.*

Proof. The argument is very similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3, where Lemma 3.4 is used in place of 3.1. Every homogeneous Hadamard manifold X of algebraic rank $n \geq 1$ is foliated by a family $(A_\nu)_{\nu \in N}$ of n -flats A_ν , each asymptotic to a fixed chamber $\Delta \subset \partial_\infty X$ at infinity. Again there is a 1-Lipschitz map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f|_{A_\nu}: A_\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isometry for every $\nu \in N$, cf. [16, 6.1]. Then condition (i) of Lemma 3.4 is satisfied with $\lambda = 1$. Denote by $\xi \in \partial_\infty X$ the center of Δ , and define $h: X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ such that $t \mapsto h(x, t)$ is the ray from x to ξ . Then condition (ii) of 3.4 holds as well. Since X is homogeneous, it follows from Proposition 2.8 that there exist constants $\delta, c > 0$ such that for all $s \in (0, \delta]$, X has a cs -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $\dim X + 1$. Hence the last assumption of 3.4 is satisfied. Finally, to verify condition (iii), suppose that $x \in A_\nu$, $x' \in A_{\nu'}$, and $f(x) = f(x')$. Denote by $[x, \Delta] \subset A_\nu$ the Weyl chamber with basepoint x asymptotic to Δ , and put $a(t) := d(h(x', t), [x, \Delta])$. There exist a constant $\mu > 0$, depending only on X and δ , and a $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $a(t) \leq \delta/2$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $a(t) \geq \frac{1}{\mu}(t_0 - t)$ for $t \in [0, t_0]$, cf. [16, 6.3 and (18)]. In particular, $d(h(x', t), A_\nu) \leq \delta/2$ for $t \geq \mu d(x, x')$ since $\mu d(x, x') \geq \mu a(0) \geq t_0$. As $f|_{A_\nu}$

is an isometry, $t \mapsto f(h(x, t))$ is a ray in \mathbb{R}^n ; similarly $t \mapsto f(h(x', t))$ is a ray. The two are asymptotic and start at the same point $f(x) = f(x')$, so they coincide. Let $t \geq \mu d(x, x')$. If p_t denotes the point in A_ν closest to $h(x', t)$, then $d(h(x, t), p_t) = d(f(h(x, t)), f(p_t)) = d(f(h(x', t)), f(p_t)) \leq d(h(x', t), p_t) \leq \delta/2$, thus $d(h(x, t), h(x', t)) \leq \delta$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X < \infty$ by Lemma 3.4. \square

4 Quasisymmetric embeddings

In this section we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We need the following iterated version of property 2.5(4). A corresponding result for the asymptotic dimension was shown in [7, Prop. 1].

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that X is a metric space with $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n < \infty$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large $r > 1$, there exists a sequence of coverings \mathcal{B}^j of X , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, with the following four properties:*

- (i) *For every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathcal{B}^j = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathcal{B}_k^j$ where each \mathcal{B}_k^j is a cr^j -bounded family with r^j -multiplicity at most 1.*
- (ii) *For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in X$, there exists a $C \in \mathcal{B}^j$ that contains the closed ball $B(x, r^j)$.*
- (iii) *For every $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and every bounded set $B \subset X$, there is a $C \in \mathcal{B}_k := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_k^j$ such that $B \subset C$.*
- (iv) *Whenever $B \in \mathcal{B}_k^i$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$ for some k and $i < j$, then either $B \subset C$ or $d(x, y) > r^i$ for every pair of points $x \in B, y \in C$.*

Proof. First we choose coverings \mathcal{B}^j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that (i) holds with r^j and c replaced by $5r^j$ and c' , where $r > 1$ is to be specified below and c' is the constant from Proposition 2.5(4). We fix a basepoint $z \in X$ and assume without loss of generality that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, there is a $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^{m(n+1)+k}$ that contains z . Replacing each $C \in \mathcal{B}^j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $\bigcup_{x \in C} B(x, r^j)$, without changing the notation, we obtain (ii) and (iii). After this modification, each family \mathcal{B}_k^j is $(5c' + 2)r^j$ -bounded and has $3r^j$ -multiplicity at most 1.

We write $C \succ B$ if $B \in \mathcal{B}_k^i$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$ for some k and $i < j$ and there is a pair of points $x \in B, y \in C$ with $d(x, y) \leq 3r^i$. Given $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$, we denote by \hat{C} the union of C with all B 's for which there exists a chain

$$C \succ C_1 \succ \dots \succ C_{m-1} \succ C_m = B$$

for some $m \geq 1$ and $C_h \in \mathcal{B}_k^{jh}$, $h = 1, \dots, m$. In this situation it follows that $B \subset B(y, R(j_1))$ for some $y \in C$, where

$$R(j_1) := (5c' + 5) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} r^{j_1 - q} = \frac{5c' + 5}{r - 1} r^{j_1 + 1}.$$

We choose the constant r initially so large that $R(j_1) \leq r^{j_1+1}$. Note that $r^{j_1+1} \leq r^j$. Hence, for each \mathcal{B}_k^j , the corresponding family of all \hat{C} with $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$ is $(5c' + 4)r^j$ -bounded and has r^j -multiplicity at most 1. Now let $B \in \mathcal{B}_k^i$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$ for some k and $i < j$. Suppose that there is a pair of points $x \in \hat{B}$, $y \in \hat{C}$ with $d(x, y) \leq r^i$. Since $B \in \mathcal{B}_k^i$, we have that $d(x, x') \leq r^i$ for some $x' \in B$. There is a chain $C = C_0 \succ C_1 \succ \dots \succ C_m$ for some $m \geq 0$ and $C_h \in \mathcal{B}_k^{j_h}$, $h = 0, \dots, m$, such that $y \in C_m$. Let $l \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ be the largest index with $j_l \geq i$; note that $j_0 = j > i$. Then there is a point $y' \in C_l$ such that $d(y, y') \leq r^i$; for if $l < m$, then $j_{l+1} + 1 \leq i$. Hence, $d(x', y') \leq 3r^i$. As \mathcal{B}_k^i has $3r^i$ -multiplicity at most 1, this implies that $i < j_l$ and $C_l \succ B$. In other words, $\hat{B} \subset \hat{C}$. Replacing each C by the corresponding \hat{C} we obtain (iv). The result holds for $c = 5c' + 4$ and for all $r \geq 5c' + 6$. \square

Now let f be a quasisymmetric embedding from a metric space X into another metric space Y . By definition, there is a homeomorphism $\eta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$d(x, z) \leq t d(x', z) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(f(x), f(z)) \leq \eta(t) d(f(x'), f(z)) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x, x', z \in X$ and $t \geq 0$. Denoting by $\bar{\eta}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ the homeomorphism satisfying $\bar{\eta}(t) = 1/\eta^{-1}(1/t)$ for all $t > 0$, we obtain that

$$d(f(x), f(z)) \leq t d(f(x'), f(z)) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(x, z) \leq \bar{\eta}(t) d(x', z) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x, x', z \in X$ and $t \geq 0$. Quasisymmetric maps take bounded sets to bounded sets (cf. [20] or [11, 10.8]); moreover, if $B, B' \subset X$ are two bounded sets with $B \cap B' \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\text{diam } B \leq t \text{diam } B' \quad \text{implies} \quad \text{diam } f(B) \leq 2\eta(2t) \text{diam } f(B'). \quad (4.3)$$

To see this, suppose that $\text{diam } B < t \text{diam } B'$ for some $t > 0$. Take $z \in B \cap B'$ and $x \in B$; then there is a point $x' \in B'$ such that $d(x, z) \leq 2t d(x', z)$. Hence $d(f(x), f(z)) \leq \eta(2t) d(f(x'), f(z)) \leq \eta(2t) \text{diam } f(B')$ by (4.1). As this holds for arbitrary $x \in B$, (4.3) follows. Analogously, by (4.2),

$$\text{diam } f(B) \leq t \text{diam } f(B') \quad \text{implies} \quad \text{diam } B \leq 2\bar{\eta}(2t) \text{diam } B'. \quad (4.4)$$

Now we are in the position to prove the quasisymmetry invariance of the Nagata dimension.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\text{diam } X > 0$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n < \infty$. Let $\eta, \bar{\eta}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be homeomorphisms such that (4.3) and (4.4) hold for the homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Let numbers c, r and coverings $\mathcal{B}^j = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathcal{B}_k^j$ of X , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, be given as in Proposition 4.1. Choose constants $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and $\bar{c} > 0$ such that

$$2\bar{\eta}(2\delta)c \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\eta(4cr) \leq \delta\bar{c}.$$

Fix $s > 0$ such that $\bar{c}s < \text{diam } Y \in (0, \infty]$; we show that Y has a $\bar{c}s$ -bounded covering with s -multiplicity at most $n+1$. Using 4.1(ii) we see that for every $x \in X$ there is a maximal index $j(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that there exist $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^{j(x)}$ with $B(x, r^{j(x)}) \subset C$ and $\text{diam } f(C) \leq \bar{c}s$; we pick such k and C and denote them by $k(x)$ and C_x . Then we choose a set $Z \subset X$ such that for every $x \in X$ there is a $z \in Z$ with $C_x \subset C_z$, and $C_z \not\subset C_{z'}$ whenever $z, z' \in Z, z \neq z'$. (For the existence of such a set Z , note that every strictly increasing sequence $C_{x_1} \subset C_{x_2} \subset \dots$ is finite; this follows from 4.1(i) since the set $\{j(x_1), j(x_2), \dots\}$ is bounded.) The family $(f(C_z))_{z \in Z}$ covers Y and is $\bar{c}s$ -bounded. To prove that it has s -multiplicity at most $n+1$, we show that for every $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, the subfamily consisting of all $f(C_z)$ with $k(z) = k$ has s -multiplicity at most 1. By 4.1(i) and (iv), the members of this subfamily are pairwise disjoint. Let $D \subset X$ be a set with $\text{diam } f(D) \leq s$; we assume that $Z_{k,D} := \{z \in Z : k(z) = k, C_z \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$ is non-empty and that $D \not\subset C_z$ for all $z \in Z_{k,D}$, in particular $\text{diam } D > 0$. We must show that $Z_{k,D}$ consists of a single element. Let $z \in Z_{k,D}$. By 4.1(ii) and the definition of $j(z)$, there is a $C' \in \mathcal{B}^{j(z)+1}$ such that $B(z, r^{j(z)+1}) \subset C'$ and $\text{diam } f(C') > \bar{c}s$. First we show that $\text{diam } C_z \geq \frac{1}{2}r^{j(z)}$. If this were not true, then the fact that $B(z, r^{j(z)}) \subset C_z \subset B(z, \frac{1}{2}r^{j(z)})$ and $D \not\subset C_z$ would imply $\text{diam } D \geq \frac{1}{2}r^{j(z)}$, thus $\text{diam } C' \leq cr^{j(z)+1} \leq 2cr \text{diam } D$. Moreover, D would meet $C' \supset B(z, \frac{1}{2}r^{j(z)}) \supset C_z$, therefore (4.3) would lead to the contradiction $\bar{c}s < \text{diam } f(C') \leq 2\eta(4cr) \text{diam } f(D) \leq \delta\bar{c}s \leq \bar{c}s$. Hence, we have that $\text{diam } C' \leq cr^{j(z)+1} \leq 2cr \text{diam } C_z$. Since $z \in C_z \cap C'$, using (4.3) we infer that

$$\bar{c}s \leq \text{diam } f(C') \leq 2\eta(4cr) \text{diam } f(C_z) \leq \delta\bar{c} \text{diam } f(C_z),$$

thus $\text{diam } f(D) \leq s \leq \delta \text{diam } f(C_z)$. Now (4.4) yields

$$\text{diam } D \leq 2\bar{\eta}(2\delta) \text{diam } C_z \leq 2\bar{\eta}(2\delta)cr^{j(z)} \leq r^{j(z)}.$$

Since $\text{diam } D > 0$, this gives a lower bound on $j(z)$. If we choose $z \in Z_{k,D}$ so that $j(z)$ is minimal, the inequality $\text{diam } D \leq r^{j(z)}$ together with 4.1(i) and (iv) implies that $Z_{k,D} = \{z\}$. \square

We proceed to the proof of the embedding theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let numbers c, r and coverings $\mathcal{B}^j = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathcal{B}_k^j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, be given as in Proposition 4.1. Now we write $B \prec C$ (or $C \succ B$) if $B \in \mathcal{B}_k^i$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$ for some k and $i < j$, $B \subset C$, and if there is no $B' \in \mathcal{B}_k^{i'}$ with $i < i' < j$ and $B \subset B' \subset C$. Note that if $B \in \mathcal{B}_k^i, B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_k^{i_1}, i \leq i_1, B \neq B_1$, and $B, B_1 \prec C$, then $d(B, B_1) \geq r^i$ due to 4.1(i) and (iv).

Let $p \in (0, 1)$. Given $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$, we denote by $d_{C,p}$ the largest pseudometric on X satisfying $d_{C,p} \leq d^p$ and $\sup\{d_{C,p}(x, x') : x, x' \in B\} = 0$ for all $B \prec C$.

More explicitly,

$$d_{C,p}(x, x') = \inf \left\{ d(x, x')^p, \inf \left(d(x, B_1)^p + \sum_{h=1}^{m-1} d(B_h, B_{h+1})^p + d(x', B_m)^p \right) \right\}, \quad (4.5)$$

where the second infimum is taken over all $m \geq 1$ and all sequences $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \prec C$. We claim that if p is chosen sufficiently small, then

$$d_{C,p}(x, x') \geq (c+1)^{-p} (d(x, x') - cr^{j-1})^p \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x, x' \in X$ with $d(x, x') \geq cr^{j-1}$. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{B}_k^i$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_k^{i_1}$, $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_k^{i_2}$, $i \leq i_1, i_2$, $B_1 \neq B \neq B_2$, and $B, B_1, B_2 \prec C$. Then $b_h := d(B, B_h) \geq r^{i_h}$ for $h = 1, 2$. The function $\varphi(b_1, b_2) := b_1^p + b_2^p - (b_1 + b_2 + cr^i)^p$ on $[r^i, \infty)^2$ achieves its infimum at $(b_1, b_2) = (r^i, r^i)$; it is nonnegative if $p \leq \log(2)/\log(2+c)$. Since $\text{diam } B \leq cr^i$, we infer that

$$d(B_1, B_2)^p \leq (b_1 + b_2 + cr^i)^p \leq d(B_1, B)^p + d(B, B_2)^p$$

for this choice of p . This means that in (4.5), it suffices to consider sequences $B_1, \dots, B_m \prec C$ such that the corresponding indices satisfy $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_l$ and $i_l \geq \dots \geq i_m$ for some $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. For every such sequence,

$$d(x, x') \leq d(x, B_l) + cr^{j-1} + d(x', B_l)$$

since $\text{diam } B_l \leq cr^{i_l} \leq cr^{j-1}$. Moreover,

$$d(x, B_l) \leq d(x, B_1) + \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} (c+1)d(B_h, B_{h+1})$$

since $\text{diam } B_h \leq cr^{i_h} \leq cd(B_h, B_{h+1})$, and a similar estimate holds for $d(x', B_l)$. We conclude that

$$d(x, x') - cr^{j-1} \leq (c+1) \left(d(x, B_1) + \sum_{h=1}^{m-1} d(B_h, B_{h+1}) + d(x', B_m) \right).$$

Together with the subadditivity of the function $d \mapsto d^p$, this gives (4.6).

For every index $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ we define a metric tree T_k as follows. For $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$ we define $\tau_C: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tau_C(x) := \sup \left\{ 0, \inf \left\{ d_{C,p}(x, X \setminus C), r^{pj} \right\} - r^{p(j-1)} \right\}, \quad (4.7)$$

and we denote by I_C an isometric copy of the possibly degenerate segment $[0, \sup_{x \in C} \tau_C(x)]$. The tree T_k is then obtained by gluing $0 \in I_B$ to $\tau_C(B) \in I_C$ whenever $B, C \in \mathcal{B}_k = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_k^j$ and $B \prec C$, where $\tau_C(B)$ denotes the unique value of τ_C on B . Indeed T_k is connected due to 4.1(iii), and it contains no non-trivial loop by the definition of the relation \prec . For each $C \in \mathcal{B}_k$, we have a canonical isometric inclusion $I_C \subset T_k$, and we denote by

z_C the point $0 \in I_C \subset T_k$. Let \bar{T}_k denote the metric completion of T_k . Given an infinite sequence $C_1 \succ C_2 \succ \dots$ with $C_h \in \mathcal{B}_k^{j_h}$ for all h , the sequence z_{C_1}, z_{C_2}, \dots is Cauchy since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} d(z_{C_h}, z_{C_{h+1}}) &= \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \tau_{C_h}(C_{h+1}) \leq \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} r^{pj_h} \\ &\leq \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} r^{p(j_1-q)} = \frac{r^p}{r^p-1} r^{pj_1}. \end{aligned}$$

We define a map $f_k: X \rightarrow \bar{T}_k$ as follows. Let $x \in X$. If there is an infinite sequence $C_1 \succ C_2 \succ \dots$ with $x \in C_h \in \mathcal{B}_k$ for all h , then $f_k(x)$ is defined as the limit point of the sequence z_{C_1}, z_{C_2}, \dots in \bar{T}_k . If there is no such sequence, then by 4.1(iii) there exists a minimal $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x \in C$ for some uniquely determined $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$, and $f_k(x)$ is defined as the point $\tau_C(x) \in I_C \subset T_k$.

We show that f_k is Lipschitz with respect to d^p on X . Let $x, x' \in X$, $x \neq x'$. By 4.1(i) and (iii) there is a minimal $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x, x' \in C$ for some $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$. We consider the case that there exist infinite sequences $C \succ C_1 \succ C_2 \succ \dots$ and $C \succ C'_1 \succ C'_2 \succ \dots$ such that $x \in C_h \in \mathcal{B}_k^{j_h}$ and $x' \in C'_h \in \mathcal{B}_k^{j'_h}$ for all h ; the other cases are similar. We assume without loss of generality that $j_1 \leq j'_1$. Then $d(C_1, C'_1) \geq r^{j_1}$ by the choice of j and by 4.1(i) and (iv). We have that

$$d(f_k(x), f_k(x')) = d(f_k(x), z_{C_1}) + d(z_{C_1}, z_{C'_1}) + d(z_{C'_1}, f_k(x')).$$

Using the triangle inequality for $d_{C,p}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_{C_1}, z_{C'_1}) &= |\tau_C(C_1) - \tau_C(C'_1)| \\ &\leq d_{C,p}(C_1, C'_1) \leq d(C_1, C'_1)^p \leq d(x, x')^p. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $r^{j_1} \leq d(C_1, C'_1) \leq d(x, x')$,

$$d(f_k(x), z_{C_1}) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} d(z_{C_h}, z_{C_{h+1}}) \leq \frac{r^p}{r^p-1} r^{pj_1} \leq \frac{r^p}{r^p-1} d(x, x')^p.$$

Finally, if $d(f_k(x'), z_{C'_1}) > 0$, then there is a smallest index $l \geq 1$ with $d(z_{C'_l}, z_{C'_{l+1}}) > 0$, and

$$d(f_k(x'), z_{C'_1}) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} d(z_{C'_h}, z_{C'_{h+1}}) \leq \frac{r^p}{r^p-1} r^{pj'_l}.$$

Since $\tau_{C'_l}(C'_{l+1}) = d(z_{C'_l}, z_{C'_{l+1}}) > 0$, it follows from (4.7) that

$$r^{p(j'_l-1)} \leq d_{C'_l,p}(C'_{l+1}, X \setminus C'_l) \leq d(C'_{l+1}, X \setminus C'_l)^p \leq d(x, x')^p.$$

Hence,

$$d(f_k(x'), z_{C'_1}) \leq \frac{r^p}{r^p-1} r^p d(x, x')^p.$$

Combining these estimates we obtain that

$$d(f_k(x), f_k(x')) \leq \left(1 + \frac{r^p}{r^p - 1}(1 + r^p)\right) d(x, x')^p.$$

In particular, the map

$$f := (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n): (X, d^p) \rightarrow \bar{T}_0 \times \bar{T}_1 \times \dots \times \bar{T}_n$$

is Lipschitz.

We show that f is actually bi-Lipschitz. Let $x, x' \in X$. Choose $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $cr^j < d(x, x') \leq cr^{j+1}$. By 4.1(ii) there exist a $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and a $C \in \mathcal{B}_k^j$ such that $U(x, r^j) \subset C$. Since $\text{diam } C \leq cr^j < d(x, x')$ we have $x' \notin C$ and therefore

$$d(f(x), f(x')) \geq d(f_k(x), f_k(x')) \geq d(f_k(x), z_C) \geq \tau_C(x).$$

Using (4.6) and the fact that $d(x, X \setminus C) \geq r^j$ we infer that

$$d_{C,p}(x, X \setminus C) \geq (c+1)^{-p} (d(x, X \setminus C) - cr^{j-1})^p \geq \left(\frac{r-c}{c+1}\right)^p r^{p(j-1)}$$

for $r \geq c$. Recalling (4.7) and the inequality $d(x, x') \leq cr^{j+1}$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), f(x')) &\geq \tau_C(x) \geq \left(\left(\frac{r-c}{c+1}\right)^p - 1\right) r^{p(j-1)} \\ &\geq c^{-p} r^{-2p} \left(\left(\frac{r-c}{c+1}\right)^p - 1\right) d(x, x')^p \end{aligned}$$

for r sufficiently large. \square

5 Lipschitz extensions

Finally, we prove the extension results for Lipschitz maps stated in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed set, and let $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ be a λ -Lipschitz map. Let $r \geq 2$ be a fixed number, to be specified below. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $R_i := \{x \in X : r^i \leq d(x, Z) < r^{i+1}\}$. Pick a set $N \subset X \setminus Z$ that is maximal subject to the following condition: Whenever $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x, x' \in N \cap (R_i \cup R_{i+1})$, $x \neq x'$, then $d(x, x') \geq \frac{1}{4}r^i$. Let $N_i := N \cap R_i$. Note that the family of open neighborhoods $U(N_i, \frac{1}{4}r^i)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, covers $X \setminus Z$. Choose a retraction $\rho: Z \cup N \rightarrow Z$ such that $d(x, \rho(x)) \leq r^{i+1}$ if $x \in N_i$. For $z \in Z$, $x \in N_i$ and $x' \in N_j$, $j \geq i$, it follows that

$$d(\rho(x), z) \leq d(x, z) + r^{i+1} \leq (1+r)d(x, z) \leq 2r d(x, z) \quad (5.1)$$

since $d(x, z) \geq r^i$, and

$$d(\rho(x), \rho(x')) \leq d(x, x') + r^{i+1} + r^{j+1} \leq (1+4r+4r^2)d(x, x')$$

since $d(x, x') \geq \frac{1}{4}r^i$ if $i \leq j \leq i+1$ and $d(x, x') \geq r^j - r^{j-1} \geq r^{j-1}$ if $j \geq i+2$. Hence, ρ is Lipschitz with constant $\text{Lip}(\rho) \leq 1 + 4r + 4r^2$.

Now we use the assumption $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} X \leq n$; let c be the constant from Definition 1.1. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we pick a $2cr^i$ -bounded covering $(D_l^i)_{l \in L_i}$ of R_i with $2r^i$ -multiplicity at most $n+1$. Let $C_l^i := U(D_l^i \cap N_i, \frac{1}{2}r^i)$; then

$$\text{diam } C_l^i \leq (2c+1)r^i. \quad (5.2)$$

By choosing r sufficiently large, depending on c , we arrange that

- (i) each family $(C_l^i)_{l \in L_i}$ has $2(2c+1)r^{i-1}$ -multiplicity at most $n+1$, and
- (ii) there is no triple of sets $C_j^{i-1}, C_k^i, C_l^{i+1}$ with $C_j^{i-1} \cap C_k^i \neq \emptyset \neq C_k^i \cap C_l^{i+1}$.

The respective conditions are $2(2c+1)r^{i-1} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}r^i \leq 2r^i$ and $r^{i+1} - r^i \geq \frac{1}{2}r^{i-1} + (2c+1)r^i + \frac{1}{2}r^{i+1}$. The family $\mathcal{C} := (C_l^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in L_i}$ covers $X \setminus Z$; in fact the following stronger property holds. Define $\tau_l^i: X \setminus Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tau_l^i(x) := \sup\{0, 2 - \frac{4}{r^i}d(x, D_l^i \cap N_i)\};$$

note that $C_l^i = \{\tau_l^i > 0\}$. Then $\sup\{\tau_l^i : i \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in L_i\} \geq 1$ on $X \setminus Z$.

Clearly \mathcal{C} has multiplicity at most $2(n+1)$. To obtain the sharp result, we must get rid of the factor 2; we proceed similarly as in the proof of Proposition 2.7. Each family $(C_l^i)_{l \in L_i}$ is replaced by a new family $(B_k^i)_{k \in K_i}$ as follows. The new index set K_i is the set of all $k \in L_i$ such that $C_k^i \neq \emptyset$ and $C_k^i \cap C_l^{i+1} = \emptyset$ for all $l \in L_{i+1}$. For every $j \in L_{i-1} \setminus K_{i-1}$ with $C_j^{i-1} \neq \emptyset$, choose an index $k_j \in L_i$ such that $C_j^{i-1} \cap C_{k_j}^i \neq \emptyset$. By (ii), $k_j \in K_i$. For $k \in K_i$, define

$$B_k^i := C_k^i \cup \bigcup_{k_j=k} C_j^{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k^i := \sup\{\tau_k^i, \sup_{k_j=k} \tau_j^{i-1}\}.$$

Note that $B_k^i = \{\sigma_k^i > 0\}$. Let A be the set of all pairs (i, k) with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in K_i$. The family $\mathcal{B} := (B_k^i)_{(i,k) \in A}$ covers $X \setminus Z$; in fact $\sup\{\sigma_k^i : (i, k) \in A\} \geq 1$ on $X \setminus Z$. We claim that \mathcal{B} has multiplicity at most $n+1$. Let $x \in X \setminus Z$. Let i be the maximal index such that $x \in C_l^i$ for some $l \in L_i$. For every C_j^{i-1} containing x , there is a point $x_j \in C_j^{i-1} \cap C_{k_j}^i$ with $d(x, x_j) \leq \text{diam } C_j^{i-1} \leq (2c+1)r^{i-1}$. Now the claim follows from (i).

Consider the Hilbert space $\ell^2(A)$. We know that $\bar{\sigma} := \sum_{(i,k) \in A} \sigma_k^i \geq 1$, and we define $g: X \setminus Z \rightarrow \ell^2(A)$ by

$$g(x) := (\sigma_k^i(x)/\bar{\sigma}(x))_{(i,k) \in A}.$$

The image of g lies in the n -skeleton $\Sigma^{(n)}$ of the simplex $\Sigma := \{(v_{(i,k)})_{(i,k) \in A} : v_{(i,k)} \geq 0, \sum_{(i,k) \in A} v_{(i,k)} = 1\} \subset \ell^2(A)$. For every $(i, k) \in A$, we choose a point $x_k^i \in D_k^i \cap N_i \subset C_k^i \subset B_k^i$. Let $h^{(0)}: \Sigma^{(0)} \rightarrow Y$ be the map that sends the vertex $e_{(i,k)}$ of Σ to the point $f(\rho(x_k^i))$. For $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, we

successively extend $h^{(m)}$ to a map $h^{(m+1)}: \Sigma^{(m+1)} \rightarrow Y$ by means of the Lipschitz m -connectedness of Y . The resulting map $h := h^{(n)}: \Sigma^{(n)} \rightarrow Y$ is Lipschitz on every closed simplex S of $\Sigma^{(n)}$, with

$$\text{Lip}(h|S) \leq C_1 \text{diam } h(S^{(0)})$$

for some constant C_1 depending on n and the Lipschitz connectedness constants c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} . Finally, we define the extension $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow Y$ of f such that

$$\bar{f} = h \circ g$$

on $X \setminus Z$.

It remains to show that \bar{f} is Lipschitz. Let $x \in R_q$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let S be the minimal closed simplex of the complex $\Sigma^{(n)}$ containing $g(x)$. If $e_{(i,k)}$ is a vertex of S , then $\sigma_k^i(x) > 0$ and $i \leq q+1$. Using (5.2) and the fact that $B_k^i = \{\sigma_k^i > 0\}$ we see that

$$d(x, x_k^i) \leq (2c+1)(r^{i-1} + r^i) \leq (4c+2)r^{q+1}.$$

Since $h(e_{(i,k)}) = f(\rho(x_k^i))$, it follows that

$$\text{Lip}(h|S) \leq C_1 \text{diam } h(S^{(0)}) \leq C_1(8c+4) \text{Lip}(\rho)\lambda r^{q+1} =: C_2\lambda r^{q+1}$$

whenever $x \in R_q$ and S is the minimal closed simplex of $\Sigma^{(n)}$ containing $g(x)$. Thus, for x_k^i as above,

$$d(\bar{f}(x), f(\rho(x_k^i))) = d(h(g(x)), h(e_{(i,k)})) \leq 2 \text{Lip}(h|S) \leq 2C_2\lambda r^{q+1}.$$

Using (5.1) we conclude that if $z \in Z$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(z)) &\leq d(\bar{f}(x), f(\rho(x_k^i))) + d(f(\rho(x_k^i)), f(z)) \\ &\leq 2C_2\lambda r^{q+1} + 2r\lambda d(x_k^i, z) \\ &\leq 2(C_2 + (4c+2)r)\lambda r^{q+1} + 2r\lambda d(x, z) \\ &\leq 2(C_2 + (4c+2)r + 1)r\lambda d(x, z) \\ &=: C_3\lambda d(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

since $d(x_k^i, z) \leq d(x, z) + (4c+2)r^{q+1}$ and $d(x, z) \geq r^q$.

Finally, let $x \in R_q$ and $x' \in R_p$, $p \leq q$. Suppose first that $d(x, x') \geq \frac{1}{4}r^{q-2}$. Choose points $z, z' \in Z$ with $d(x, z), d(x', z') \leq r^{q+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) &\leq d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(z)) + d(\bar{f}(x'), \bar{f}(z')) + d(f(z), f(z')) \\ &\leq C_3\lambda(d(x, z) + d(x', z')) + \lambda d(z, z') \\ &\leq (C_3 + 1)\lambda(d(x, z) + d(x', z')) + \lambda d(x, x') \\ &\leq ((C_3 + 1)8r^3 + 1)\lambda d(x, x') \end{aligned}$$

since $d(x, z) + d(x', z') \leq 2r^{q+1} \leq 8r^3 d(x, x')$. Now assume that $d(x, x') < \frac{1}{4}r^{q-2}$. Let S, S' be the minimal closed simplices of $\Sigma^{(n)}$ containing $g(x), g(x')$, respectively. Choose $(i, k) \in A$ such that $\sigma_k^i(x) \geq 1$; then $\sigma_k^i(x') > 0$ since $d(x, x') < \frac{1}{4}r^{q-2} \leq \frac{1}{4}r^{i-1}$. This means that $e_{(i,k)}$ is a common vertex of S and S' , in particular $S \cap S' \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a point $v \in S \cap S'$ such that $d(g(x), v) + d(g(x'), v) \leq \hat{c} d(g(x), g(x'))$ for some constant \hat{c} depending only on n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) &\leq d(h(g(x)), h(v)) + d(h(g(x')), h(v)) \\ &\leq C_2 \lambda r^{q+1} (d(g(x), v) + d(g(x'), v)) \\ &\leq C_2 \hat{c} \lambda r^{q+1} d(g(x), g(x')). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that every σ_k^i with $\sigma_k^i(x) > 0$ or $\sigma_k^i(x') > 0$ is Lipschitz with constant $\text{Lip}(\sigma_k^i) \leq 4r^{-(i-1)} \leq 4r^{-(q-2)}$, we infer that $d(g(x), g(x')) \leq \bar{c} r^{-(q-2)} d(x, x')$ for some constant \bar{c} depending only on n . Hence,

$$d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) \leq C_2 \hat{c} \bar{c} r^3 \lambda d(x, x').$$

We conclude that \bar{f} is a Lipschitz extension of f with $\text{Lip}(\bar{f}) \leq C\lambda$ for some constant C depending only on n, c , and the Lipschitz connectedness constants c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} of Y . \square

Theorem 1.5 is in fact a special case of the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that X, Y are metric spaces, $Z \subset X$ is a closed set with $\dim_{\mathbb{N}} Z \leq n < \infty$, and $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ is a Lipschitz map. If Y is Lipschitz m -connected for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$, then there is a Lipschitz extension $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow Y$ of f .*

Unlike in Theorem 1.4, the assumption on the Nagata dimension now refers to the domain of f rather than \bar{f} , at the cost of the additional condition that Y be Lipschitz n -connected. The proof is similar to the foregoing and would be shorter if we assumed Y to be Lipschitz m -connected for $m = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$.

Proof. Every metric space admits an isometric embedding into some Banach space. Thus, for simplicity, we assume without loss of generality that X is a geodesic metric space. Suppose that $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ is λ -Lipschitz. For some fixed number $r \geq 3$, to be specified below, and for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define sets $R_i, N, N_i = N \cap R_i$ and a Lipschitz retraction $\rho: Z \cup N \rightarrow Z$ exactly as in the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 1.4. Recall that the family of open neighborhoods $U(N_i, \frac{1}{4}r^i)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, covers $X \setminus Z$.

For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, pick a $3cr^{i+1}$ -bounded covering $(D_l^i)_{l \in L_i}$ of Z with $3r^{i+1}$ -multiplicity at most $n+1$. Let $C_l^i := U((\rho|_{N_i})^{-1}(D_l^i), \frac{1}{2}r^i)$ and $K_i := \{k \in L_i : C_k^i \neq \emptyset\}$. Note that

$$\text{diam } C_k^i \leq (3cr + 2r + 1)r^i \leq 3(c+1)r^{i+1}. \quad (5.3)$$

By choosing r sufficiently large, depending on c , we arrange that each family $(C_k^i)_{k \in K_i}$ has $6(c+1)r^i$ -multiplicity at most $n+1$. This is the case if $6(c+1)r^i + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}r^i + 2r^{i+1} \leq 3r^{i+1}$.

We assume that $K_{i-1} \cap K_i = \emptyset$. Let J_{i-1} be the set of all $j \in K_{i-1}$ with $C_j^{i-1} \cap C_k^i = \emptyset$ for all $k \in K_i$. Choose a map

$$\alpha_i: K_{i-1} \rightarrow K_i \cup J_{i-1} =: A_i$$

such that $C_j^{i-1} \cap C_{\alpha_i(j)}^i \neq \emptyset$ for all $j \in K_{i-1} \setminus J_{i-1}$ and $\alpha_i(j) = j$ for all $j \in J_{i-1}$. Let

$$B_k^i := C_k^i \cup \bigcup_{\alpha_i(j)=k} C_j^{i-1}$$

for $k \in K_i$ and $B_k^i := C_{\alpha_i(k)}^{i-1} = C_k^{i-1}$ for $k \in J_{i-1}$. We claim that the family $(B_k^i)_{k \in A_i}$ has multiplicity at most $n+1$. Let $x \in X \setminus Z$. If $x \notin \bigcup_{l \in K_i} C_l^i$, then x belongs to at most $n+1$ members of $(C_j^{i-1})_{j \in K_{i-1}}$, each of which is contained in exactly one B_k^i . Now suppose that $x \in C_l^i$ for some $l \in K_i$. For every C_j^{i-1} containing x , there is a point $x_j \in C_j^{i-1} \cap C_{\alpha_i(j)}^i$ with $d(x, x_j) \leq \text{diam } C_j^{i-1} \leq 3(c+1)r^i$. Then it follows from the choice of r that x belongs to no more than $n+1$ members of $(B_k^i)_{k \in A_i}$.

Let $t_i := \frac{1}{2}r^i$ and $T_i := \{x \in X : t_i \leq d(x, Z) \leq t_{i+1}\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that if $T_i \cap C_k^{i'} \neq \emptyset$ for some $i' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in K_{i'}$, then $i' \in \{i-1, i\}$, because $r^{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}r^{i-2} \leq \frac{3}{2}r^{i-1} \leq t_i$ and $r^{i+1} - \frac{1}{2}r^{i+1} \geq t_{i+1}$. In particular, the family $(B_k^i)_{k \in A_i}$ covers T_i . As in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we construct for every B_k^i a Lipschitz function $\sigma_k^i: X \setminus Z \rightarrow [0, 2]$ with $B_k^i = \{\sigma_k^i > 0\}$ and $\text{Lip}(\sigma_k^i) \leq 4r^{-(i-1)}$. Moreover, for all $x \in T_i$ there is a $k \in A_i$ such that $\sigma_k^i(x) \geq 1$, hence $\bar{\sigma}^i(x) := \sum_{k \in A_i} \sigma_k^i(x) \geq 1$. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain a Lipschitz map

$$g_i: T_i \rightarrow \ell^2(A_i), \quad g_i(x) := (\sigma_k^i(x)/\bar{\sigma}^i(x))_{k \in A_i},$$

whose image lies in the n -skeleton $\Sigma_i^{(n)}$ of the simplex $\Sigma_i = \{(v_k)_{k \in A_i} : v_k \geq 0, \sum_{k \in A_i} v_k = 1\} \subset \ell^2(A_i)$. Then we define

$$\bar{g}_i: T_i \rightarrow \Sigma_i^{(n)} \times [0, 1]$$

such that $\bar{g}_i(x) = (g_i(x), \gamma_i(x))$, where $\gamma_i(x) := (d(x, Z) - t_i)/(t_{i+1} - t_i)$. Note that γ_i is Lipschitz with constant $\text{Lip}(\gamma_i) \leq 1/(t_{i+1} - t_i) \leq r^{-i}$. Let $\tilde{\Sigma}_i$ be the subsimplex of Σ_i corresponding to the subset $K_i \subset A_i$. The map $\alpha_{i+1}: K_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ defined above induces a canonical simplicial map

$$\beta_{i+1}: \tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(n)} \times \{1\} \rightarrow \Sigma_{i+1}^{(n)} \times \{0\}.$$

We have that $\bar{g}_i(T_i \cap T_{i+1}) \subset \tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(n)} \times \{1\}$. For every $x \in T_i \cap T_{i+1}$, $\beta_{i+1}(\bar{g}_i(x)) = \bar{g}_{i+1}(x)$ since $\sigma_k^i(x) = \sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}(k)}^{i+1}(x)$ for all $k \in K_i$.

We construct a sequence of maps

$$h_i: \Sigma_i^{(n)} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y,$$

$i \in \mathbb{Z}$, as follows. In a first step, each h_i is defined on $\Sigma_i^{(n)} \times \{0\}$. Choose points $x_k^i \in (\rho|N_i)^{-1}(D_k^i) \subset C_k^i \subset B_k^i$ for $k \in K_i$ and $x_k^i \in (\rho|N_{i-1})^{-1}(D_k^{i-1}) \subset C_k^{i-1} = B_k^i$ for $k \in J_{i-1}$. We define h_i on $\Sigma_i^{(0)} \times \{0\}$ so that $h_i(e_k, 0) = f(\rho(x_k^i))$ for every vertex e_k of Σ_i . Then we extend h_i to $\Sigma_i^{(n)} \times \{0\}$ by means of the Lipschitz m -connectedness of Y for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. For the second step, we observe that for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we already have a map $h_{i+1} \circ \beta_{i+1}: \tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(n)} \times \{1\} \rightarrow Y$. This gives h_i on $\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(n)} \times \{1\}$. In the last step, we put $h_i(e_k, 1) := f(\rho(x_k^i))$ for every vertex $e_k \in \Sigma_i^{(0)} \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(0)}$, i.e. $k \in A_i \setminus K_i = J_{i-1}$. Then we extend h_i to the whole $(n+1)$ -dimensional polyhedral complex $\Sigma_i^{(n)} \times [0, 1]$ by means of the Lipschitz m -connectedness of Y for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$. For every closed simplex S of the complex $\Sigma_i^{(n)}$,

$$\text{Lip}(h_i|S \times [0, 1]) \leq C_1 \text{diam } h_i(S^{(0)} \times \{0, 1\})$$

for some constant C_1 depending on n and the Lipschitz connectedness constants c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n . We define the extension $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow Y$ of f such that

$$\bar{f}|T_i = h_i \circ \bar{g}_i$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is consistent as $h_i \circ \bar{g}_i = h_{i+1} \circ \beta_{i+1} \circ \bar{g}_i = h_{i+1} \circ \bar{g}_{i+1}$ on $T_i \cap T_{i+1}$.

It remains to show that \bar{f} is Lipschitz. Since we assumed X to be a geodesic space, it suffices to prove that all $\bar{f}|Z \cup T_i$ are Lipschitz with uniform constants. Let $x \in T_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let S be the minimal closed simplex of the complex $\Sigma_i^{(n)}$ containing $g_i(x)$. If e_k is a vertex of S , then $\sigma_k^i(x) > 0$. Using (5.3) and the fact that $B_k^i = \{\sigma_k^i > 0\}$ we see that

$$d(x, x_k^i) \leq 3(c+1)(r^i + r^{i+1}) \leq 4(c+1)r^{i+1}.$$

Moreover, in case $k \in K_i$,

$$d(x, x_{\alpha_{i+1}(k)}^{i+1}) \leq 3(c+1)(r^i + r^{i+1} + r^{i+2}) \leq 5(c+1)r^{i+2}.$$

According to the definition of h_i we have $h_i(e_k, 0) = f(\rho(x_k^i))$,

$$h_i(e_k, 1) = h_{i+1}(\beta_{i+1}(e_k, 1)) = h_{i+1}(e_{\alpha_{i+1}(k)}, 0) = f(\rho(x_{\alpha_{i+1}(k)}^{i+1}))$$

in case $k \in K_i$, and $h_i(e_k, 1) = f(\rho(x_k^i))$ in case $k \in J_{i-1}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}(h_i|S \times [0, 1]) &\leq C_1 \text{diam } h_i(S^{(0)} \times \{0, 1\}) \\ &\leq 10C_1(c+1) \text{Lip}(\rho)\lambda r^{i+2} =: C_2\lambda r^{i+2} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $x \in T_i$ and S is the minimal closed simplex of $\Sigma^{(n)}$ containing $g_i(x)$. Thus, for x_k^i as above,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{f}(x), f(\rho(x_k^i))) &= d(h_i(\bar{g}_i(x)), h_i(e_k, 0)) \\ &\leq 2 \operatorname{Lip}(h_i|_S \times [0, 1]) \leq 2C_2\lambda r^{i+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.1) we conclude that if $z \in Z$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(z)) &\leq d(\bar{f}(x), f(\rho(x_k^i))) + d(f(\rho(x_k^i)), f(z)) \\ &\leq 2C_2\lambda r^{i+2} + 2r\lambda d(x_k^i, z) \\ &\leq 2(C_2 + 4(c+1))\lambda r^{i+2} + 2r\lambda d(x, z) \\ &\leq 2(2C_2r + 8(c+1)r + 1)r\lambda d(x, z) \\ &=: C_3\lambda d(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

since $d(x_k^i, z) \leq d(x, z) + 4(c+1)r^{i+1}$ and $d(x, z) \geq t_i = \frac{1}{2}r^i$.

Finally, let $x, x' \in T_i$. Suppose first that $d(x, x') \geq \frac{1}{4}r^{i-1}$. Choose points $z, z' \in Z$ with $d(x, z), d(x', z') \leq t_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2}r^{i+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) &\leq d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(z)) + d(\bar{f}(x'), \bar{f}(z')) + d(f(z), f(z')) \\ &\leq C_3\lambda(d(x, z) + d(x', z')) + \lambda d(z, z') \\ &\leq (C_3 + 1)\lambda(d(x, z) + d(x', z')) + \lambda d(x, x') \\ &\leq ((C_3 + 1)4r^2 + 1)\lambda d(x, x') \end{aligned}$$

since $d(x, z) + d(x', z') \leq r^{i+1} \leq 4r^2 d(x, x')$. Now assume that $d(x, x') < \frac{1}{4}r^{i-1}$. Let S, S' be the minimal closed simplices of $\Sigma_i^{(n)}$ containing $g_i(x), g_i(x')$, respectively. Choose $k \in A_i$ such that $\sigma_k^i(x) \geq 1$; then $\sigma_k^i(x') > 0$ since $d(x, x') < \frac{1}{4}r^{i-1}$. This means that e_k is a common vertex of S and S' , in particular $S \cap S' \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a point $\bar{v} \in (S \cap S') \times [0, 1]$ such that $d(\bar{g}_i(x), \bar{v}) + d(\bar{g}_i(x'), \bar{v}) \leq \hat{c}d(\bar{g}_i(x), \bar{g}_i(x'))$ for some constant \hat{c} depending only on n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) &\leq d(h_i(\bar{g}_i(x)), h_i(\bar{v})) + d(h_i(\bar{g}_i(x')), h_i(\bar{v})) \\ &\leq C_2\lambda r^{i+2}(d(\bar{g}_i(x), \bar{v}) + d(\bar{g}_i(x'), \bar{v})) \\ &\leq C_2\hat{c}\lambda r^{i+2} d(\bar{g}_i(x), \bar{g}_i(x')). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Lip}(\sigma_k^i) \leq 4r^{-(i-1)}$ for all $k \in A_i$ and $\operatorname{Lip}(\gamma_i) \leq r^{-i}$, we see that \bar{g}_i is $\bar{c}r^{-(i-1)}$ -Lipschitz for some constant \bar{c} depending only on n . Hence,

$$d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) \leq C_2\hat{c}\bar{c}r^3\lambda d(x, x').$$

We conclude that \bar{f} is a Lipschitz extension of f with $\operatorname{Lip}(\bar{f}) \leq C\lambda$ for some constant C depending only on n, c , and the Lipschitz connectedness constants c_0, \dots, c_n of Y . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Whenever Y sits isometrically in some metric space X , then the identity map on Y can be extended to a Lipschitz retraction from X onto Y according to Theorem 5.1. Hence Y is an absolute Lipschitz retract. Equivalently, the pair (X, Y) has the Lipschitz extension property for every metric space X (cf. [4, pp. 11ff] for background on absolute Lipschitz retracts and various equivalent properties). \square

References

- [1] F. J. Almgren, The homotopy groups of the integral cycle groups, *Topology* 1 (1962), 257–299.
- [2] P. Assouad, Sur la distance de Nagata, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 294 (1982), no. 1, 31–34.
- [3] P. Assouad, Plongements lipschitziens dans \mathbb{R}^n , *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 111 (1983), 429–448.
- [4] Y. Benjamini, J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 48, 2000.
- [5] M. R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Springer 1999.
- [6] S. Buyalo, V. Schroeder, Embedding of hyperbolic spaces in the product of trees, preprint 2003, math.GT/0311524.
- [7] A. Dranishnikov, On hypersphericity of manifolds with finite asymptotic dimension, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 155–167.
- [8] A. Dranishnikov, M. Zarichnyi, Universal spaces for asymptotic dimension, preprint 2002, math.GT/0211069.
- [9] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic groups, pp. 75–263 in: S. M. Gersten (Ed.), *Essays in Group Theory*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Vol. 8, Springer 1987.
- [10] M. Gromov, Asymptotic Invariants of Infinite Groups, pp. 1–295 in: G. A. Niblo, M. A. Roller (eds.), *Geometric Group Theory*, Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, no. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press 1993.
- [11] J. Heinonen, *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*, Springer 2001.
- [12] J. Heinonen, P. Koskela, N. Shanmugalingam, J. T. Tyson, Sobolev classes of Banach space-valued functions and quasiconformal mappings, *J. Anal. Math.* 85 (2001), 87–139.

- [13] W. B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, G. Schechtman, Extensions of Lipschitz maps into Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 54 (1986), 129–138.
- [14] U. Lang, V. Schroeder, Kirszbraun’s theorem and metric spaces of bounded curvature, *Geom. Funct. Anal. (GAFA)* 7 (1997), 535–560.
- [15] U. Lang, Extendability of large-scale Lipschitz maps, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 351 (1999), 3975–3988.
- [16] U. Lang, B. Pavlović, V. Schroeder, Extensions of Lipschitz maps into Hadamard spaces, *Geom. Funct. Anal. (GAFA)* 10 (2000), 1527–1553.
- [17] U. Lang, C. Plaut, Bilipschitz embeddings of metric spaces into space forms, *Geom. Dedicata* 87 (2001), 285–307.
- [18] J. Nagata, Note on dimension theory for metric spaces, *Fund. Math.* 45 (1958) 143–181.
- [19] J. Nagata, *Modern Dimension Theory*, Noordhoff and North-Holland 1965.
- [20] P. Tukia, J. Väisälä, Quasisymmetric embeddings of metric spaces, *Ann. Acad. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 5 (1980), 97–114.

Departement Mathematik
ETH Zentrum
Rämistrasse 101
CH-8092 Zürich
Switzerland