

INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY AND ALEXANDER MODULES OF HYPERSURFACE COMPLEMENTS

LAURENTIU MAXIM

Abstract. Let V be a degree d , reduced, projective hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , $n \geq 1$, and let H be a generic hyperplane. Denote by U the (affine) hypersurface complement, $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus V \cap H$, and let U° be the infinite cyclic covering of U corresponding to the kernel of the linking number homomorphism. Using intersection homology theory, we give a new construction of the homological Alexander modules $H_i(U^\circ; \mathbb{Q})$ of the hypersurface complement and show that, if $i \leq n$, these global Alexander modules are torsion over the ring of Laurent polynomials with rational coefficients. We also provide obstructions on the prime divisors of the associated global polynomials: their zeros are roots of unity of order d ; moreover, the prime divisors of the global Alexander polynomials are restricted to those of the local Alexander polynomials of the link knots around the singular strata of a stratification of the pair (\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}, V) . As an application, we obtain obstructions on the eigenvalues of monodromy operators acting on the homology of the Milnor fibre of a projective hypersurface arrangement.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Alexander modules of hypersurface complements	5
2.1. Definitions	5
2.2. Alexander modules of hypersurface complements	6
2.3. Rational homology manifolds	6
3. Intersection Homology and Alexander modules	9
3.1. Intersection Alexander Modules	9
3.2. Relation with the Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement	11
4. The Main Theorem	17
5. On the Milnor fibre of a projective arrangement of hypersurfaces	25
6. Examples	27
7. APPENDICES	30
Appendix A. Topology of complements to hypersurfaces	30
Appendix B. Intersection Homology	32
References	34

1. Introduction

Intersection homology is the correct theory to extend results from manifolds to singular varieties: e.g., Morse theory, Lefschetz (weak and hard) theorems, Hodge

decompositions, but especially Poincaré duality (which motivates the theory). It is therefore natural to use it in order to describe topological invariants associated with algebraic varieties.

Let V be a degree d , reduced, projective hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , $n \geq 1$; let H be a fixed hyperplane which we call ‘the hyperplane at infinity’; set $U := \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus V \cup H$. (Alternatively, let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a reduced affine hypersurface and $U := \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus X$.) Then $H_1(U) = \mathbb{Z}^s$, where s is the number of components of V , and one proceeds, as in classical knot theory, to define Alexander-type invariants of the hypersurface complement. More precisely, the rational homology groups of any finite cyclic cover of U become, under the action of the group of covering transformations, modules over the ring of Laurent polynomials with rational coefficients. The modules $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ associated to the finite cyclic cover U^c defined by the kernel of the total linking number homomorphism, are called the Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement. Note that, since U has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex of dimension $n+1$, the Alexander modules $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ are trivial for $i > n+1$ and $H_{n+1}(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is \mathbb{Q} -free. Thus, of a particular interest are the Alexander modules $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ for $i \leq n$.

It is known (see [26], [27], [28]) that, if V has only isolated singularities (including at infinity), then $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i < n$, and $H_n(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is a torsion module. If $\chi_n(t)$ is the polynomial associated to the torsion module $H_n(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$, then $\chi_n(t)$ divides (up to a power of $t-1$) the product of the local Alexander polynomials of the algebraic knots around the isolated singular points. Moreover, if we choose H to be a generic hyperplane, then the zeros of $\chi_n(t)$ are roots of unity of order d . The case $n=1$ is discussed in [27], [28]. The higher dimensional analogue is treated in [26].

The aim of this paper is to provide generalizations of these results to the case of hypersurfaces with non-isolated singularities. We will assume that H is generic, i.e., transversal to all strata of a Whitney stratification of V . Using intersection homology theory, we will provide a new description of the Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement: these will be realized as intersection homology groups of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , with a certain local coefficient system with stalk $\mathbb{Q}[t; t^{-1}]$, defined on U . The latter will be called the intersection Alexander modules of the hypersurface V . Therefore, we will have at our disposal the apparatus of intersection homology and derived categories to study the Alexander modules of the complement.

The structure of the paper is as follows:

In Section 2, we recall the definitions and main properties of the Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement, $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$. We also show that, if V is in general position at infinity, has no codimension 1 singularities, and if V and $V \setminus H$ are rational homology manifolds, then for $i \leq n$, the modules $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ are torsion and their associated polynomials do not contain factors of $t-1$ (see Proposition 2.1).

In Section 3, we realize the Alexander modules of complements to hypersurfaces in general position at infinity as intersection homology modules. In Section 3.1, we first construct the intersection Alexander modules of the hypersurface V . More precisely, by choosing a Whitney stratification S of V and a generic hyperplane, H , we obtain a stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V \cup H)$. We define a local system \mathcal{L}_H on U , with stalk $\mathbb{Q}[t; t^{-1}]$ and action by an element $\tau \in \pi_1(U)$ determined

by multiplication by $t^{lk(V \cap dH; \cdot)}$. Then, for any (super-)perversity p , the intersection homology complex $IC_p(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$ is defined (as an object in the derived category of bounded, constructible sheaves on \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}) by using Deligne's axiomatic construction ([2], [15]). The intersection Alexander modules of the hypersurface V are then defined as hypercohomology groups of the middle-perversity intersection homology complex: $IH_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) := H^{-i}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H))$.

In Section 3.2, we first prove the key technical lemma, which asserts that the restriction to $V \cap H$ of the intersection homology complex $IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the zero complex (see Lemma 3.1). As a corollary, it follows that the intersection Alexander modules of V coincide with the Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement, i.e. there is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules: $IH_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) = H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$. From now on, we will study the intersection Alexander modules in order to obtain results on the Alexander modules of the complement. Using the superduality isomorphism for the local finite type codimension two embedding $V \cap H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$, and the peripheral complex associated with the embedding (see [4]), we show that the \mathbb{Z} -modules $IH_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$ are torsion if $i \leq n$ (see Corollary 3.8). Therefore the classical Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement are torsion in the range $i \leq n$. We denote their associated polynomials by $\alpha_i(t)$ and call them the global Alexander polynomials of the hypersurface.

Section 4 contains the main theorems of the paper, which provide obstructions on the prime divisors of the polynomials $\alpha_i(t)$. Our results should be interpreted as extensions to the case of hypersurfaces with general singularities of the results proven by A. Libgober for hypersurfaces with isolated singularities ([26], [27], [28]). The first theorem gives a characterization of the zeros of the global polynomials. We prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. (see Theorem 4.1)

If V is an n -dimensional reduced projective hypersurface of degree d , transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, then any root of the global Alexander polynomials $\alpha_i(t)$, $i \leq n$, is a root of unity of order d .

In the case of hypersurfaces with only isolated singularities, this specializes to Corollary 4.8 of [26].

The underlying idea of this paper is to use local topological information associated with a singularity to describe some global topological invariants of algebraic varieties. Therefore, we provide a general divisibility result which restricts the prime factors of the global Alexander polynomial $\alpha_i(t)$ to those of the local Alexander polynomials of the link knots around the singular strata. More precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.2. (see Theorem 4.2)

Let V be a reduced hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , which is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, H , and X an arbitrary irreducible component, say \tilde{V} . Let S be a Whitney stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$. Then for any $i \leq n$, a prime $\ell \neq 2$ divides the order $\alpha_i(t)$ of the torsion \mathbb{Z} -module $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ only if ℓ divides one of the local Alexander polynomials $\alpha_{i-1}^s(t) = \text{order } H_{i-1}(S^{2n-2s+1} \setminus K^{2n-2s-1}; \mathbb{Q})$ associated to link pairs $(S^{2n-2s+1}, K^{2n-2s-1})$ of s -dimensional strata $S \supseteq S'$ with $S' \cap V_1$, for l and s satisfying the following conditions:

if $i < n$, then for any s such that $1 \leq s \leq n$, l satisfies: $0 \leq l \leq n - s$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2s - 1$;
 if $i = n$, then for any s such that $0 \leq s \leq n$, l satisfies: $n - 2s \leq l \leq n - s$.

For hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, the proof of the above theorem can be strengthened to obtain a result similar to Theorem 4.3 of [26] (in the absence of singular points at infinity):

Theorem 1.3. (see Theorem 4.5)

Let V be a reduced hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} ($n \geq 1$), which is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, H , and has only isolated singularities. Fix an irreducible component of V , say V_1 . Then $\chi_n(t)$ divides (up to a power of $(t-1)$) the product $\prod_{p \in V_1 \setminus \text{Sing}(V)} \chi_p(t)$ of the local Alexander polynomials of links of the singular points p of V which are contained in V_1 .

We end the section by relating the intersection Alexander modules of V to the modules 'at infinity'. We prove the following:

Theorem 1.4. (see Theorem 4.8)

Let V be a reduced hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , which is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, H . Let S_1 be a sphere of sufficiently large radius in $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} = \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \cup H$. Then for all $i < n$,

$$IH_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) = H^{i-1}(S_1; IC_m) = H_i(U_1^c; \mathbb{Q})$$

and $IH_n^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$ is a quotient of $H^{n-1}(S_1; IC_m) = H_n(U_1^c; \mathbb{Q})$, where U_1^c is the infinite cyclic cover of $S_1 \setminus (V \setminus S_1)$ corresponding to the linking number with $V \setminus S_1$ (cf. [26]).

In the particular case of hypersurfaces with only isolated singularities, this is a version of Theorem 4.5 of [26].

In Section 5, we apply the preceding results to the case of a hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$, which is a projective cone over a reduced hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$. We first note that Theorem 4.2 specializes to divisibility results for the characteristic polynomials of the monodromy operators acting on the Milnor fiber F of the projective arrangement defined by Y in \mathbb{CP}^n . We prove the following:

Proposition 1.5. (see Proposition 5.1)

Let $Y = (Y_i)_{i=1,s}$ be a hypersurface arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^n , and fix an arbitrary component, say Y_1 . Let F be the Milnor fibre of the arrangement. Fix a Whitney stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^n; Y)$ and denote by Y the set of (open) singular strata. Then for $q \leq n-1$, a prime ℓ divides the characteristic polynomial $P_q(t)$ of the monodromy operator h_q only if ℓ divides one of the polynomials $\chi_1^s(t)$ associated to the local Alexander modules $H_1(S^{2n-2s-1}; K^{2n-2s-3}; \cdot)$ corresponding to link pairs $(S^{2n-2s-1}; K^{2n-2s-3})$ of components of strata $V \supset Y$ of complex dimension s ($0 \leq s \leq n-1$) with $V \supset Y_1$, for l satisfying the following conditions: $0 \leq l \leq n-s-1$ and $0 \leq q-l \leq 2s+1$.

As a consequence, we provide obstructions on the eigenvalues of the monodromy operators:

Corollary 1.6. If $\epsilon \neq 1$ is a d -th root of unity such that ϵ is not an eigenvalue of any of the local monodromies corresponding to link pairs of singular strata of Y_1 in a stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^n; Y)$, then ϵ is not an eigenvalue of the monodromy operators acting on $H_q(F)$ for $q \leq n-1$.

For the special case of an arrangement with only normal crossing singularities, we obtain the following result from [5]:

Corollary 1.7. Let $A = (Y_i)_{i=1;s}$ be a hypersurface arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^n , and x one irreducible component, say Y_1 . Assume that $\bigcap_{i=1;s} Y_i$ is a normal crossing divisor at any point $x \in Y_1$. Then the monodromy action on $H_q(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial for $q \leq n-1$.

Section 6 deals with examples. We show, by explicit calculations, how to apply the above theorems in obtaining information on the global Alexander polynomials of a hypersurface in general position at infinity.

The paper ends with an appendix in which we recall the basic results on the topology of hypersurface complements, and the Deligne construction of intersection homology complexes.

Note. Our overall approach in proving the above results makes use of sheaf theory and the language of derived categories ([2], [4], [15]) and we rely heavily on the basic material in these references. We will always use the indexing conventions of [15].

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my deep gratitude to my advisor, Professor Julius Shaneson, for encouragement and advice. I am grateful to Greg Friedman, Alexandru Dimca, Anatoly Libgober, and Mark Andrea de Cataldo for useful discussions.

Note. After reading this paper, A. Dimca has obtained alternative proofs for some of the results, including Corollary 3.8, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. The details can be found at: <http://math.unice.fr/~dimca/add.html>

2. Alexander modules of hypersurface complements

In this section we recall the definition and main results on the Alexander modules and polynomials of hypersurface complements. We also treat the special case of hypersurfaces which are rational homology manifolds.

2.1. Definitions. Let X be a connected CW complex, and let $\pi_X : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow Z$ be an epimorphism. We denote by X° the Z -cyclic covering associated to the kernel of the epimorphism π_X . The group of covering transformations of X° is infinite cyclic and acts on X° by a covering homeomorphism h . Thus, all the groups $H^*(X^\circ; A)$, $H^*(X^\circ; A)$ and $\pi_j(X^\circ) \otimes A$ for $j > 1$ become in the usual way A -modules, where $A = A[t; t^{-1}]$, for any ring A . These are called the Alexander modules of the pair $(X; \pi_X)$.

If A is a field, then the ring A is a PID. Hence any finite type A -module M has a decomposition: $M = (A)^k \oplus (\bigoplus_p M_p)$, where k is the rank of M and the second sum is over all prime elements in A . M_p denotes the p -torsion part of M . Moreover, for each prime p with $M_p \neq 0$ we have a unique decomposition: $M_p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_p} A/p^{k_i}$ with $m_p > 0$ and $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq m_p k_1$. The Alexander polynomial of the A -module M is defined as the polynomial $\Delta(M) = \prod_p \prod_{i=1}^{m_p} p^{k_i}$.

With these notations, we have the following simple fact: let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an epimorphism of R -modules, where R is a PID and M is torsion of finite type. Then N is torsion of finite type and $\Delta(N)$ divides $\Delta(M)$.

2.2. Alexander modules of hypersurface complements. To fix notations for the rest of the paper, let V be a reduced hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , defined by a degree d homogeneous equation: $f = f_1 \cdots f_s = 0$, where f_i are the irreducible factors of f and $V_i = \{f_i = 0\}$ the irreducible components of V . We will assume that V is in general position at infinity, i.e. we choose a generic hyperplane H (transversal to all singular strata in a stratification of V) which we call 'the hyperplane at infinity'. Let U be the (affine) hypersurface complement: $U = \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H)$. Then $H_1(U) = \mathbb{Z}^s$ ([6], (4.1.3), (4.1.4)), generated by the meridian loops γ_i about the non-singular part of each irreducible component V_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$. If γ denotes the meridian about the hyperplane at infinity, then in $H_1(U)$ there is a relation: $\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^s d_i \gamma_i = 0$, where $d_i = \deg(V_i)$. We consider the infinite cyclic cover U^c of U defined by the kernel of the total linking number homomorphism $\text{lk} : H_1(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, which maps all the meridian generators to 1, and thus any loop to $\text{lk}(\gamma; V \cup H)$. Note that lk coincides with the homomorphism $H_1(U) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{C})$ induced by the polynomial map defining the affine hypersurface $V_{\text{aff}} := V \cap V \setminus H$ ([6], p. 76-77). The homological Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement are defined as $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$.

Since U has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex of dimension $n+1$ ([6] (1.6.7), (1.6.8)), it follows that all the associated Alexander modules are of finite type over \mathbb{A} , but in general not over \mathbb{A} . It also follows that the Alexander modules $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ are trivial for $i > n+1$ and $H_{n+1}(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is free over \mathbb{Q} ([8]).

Note that if V has no codimension 1 singularities (e.g., if V is normal), then the fundamental group of U is infinite cyclic ([26], Lemma 1.5) and U^c is the universal cover of U . In particular, this condition is satisfied if $n \geq 2$ and V has only isolated singularities (see also Appendix A): if this is the case, Libgober shows ([26]) that $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i < n$, and $H_n(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is a torsion \mathbb{Q} -module. Also, one has the isomorphisms of the Alexander \mathbb{Z} -modules: $H_n(U) = H_n(U^c) = H_n(U^c; \mathbb{Z})$; if we denote by $\chi_n(t)$ the polynomial associated to the torsion module $H_n(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$, then Theorem 4.3 of [26] asserts that $\chi_n(t)$ divides the product $\prod_{i=1}^s \chi_i(t) = (t-1)^k$ of the Alexander polynomials of links of the singular points of V . Moreover, the zeros of $\chi_n(t)$ are roots of unity of order $d = \deg(V)$ ([26], Corollary 4.9).

Note. Libgober's divisibility theorem ([26], Theorem 4.3) holds for hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, including at infinity (and $n \geq 1$). However, for non-generic H and for hypersurfaces with more general singularities, the Alexander modules $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ ($i \leq n$) are not torsion in general. Their \mathbb{Q} rank is calculated in [8], Theorem 2.10(v). We will show that if V is a reduced hypersurface, in general position at infinity, then the modules $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ with $i \leq n$, are torsion.

2.3. Rational homology manifolds. Recall that a n -dimensional complex variety V is called a rational homology manifold, or is said to be rationally smooth, if for all points $x \in V$ we have:

$$H_i(V; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & i = 2n \\ 0 & i \neq 2n \end{cases}$$

A rational homology manifold of dimension n has pure dimension n as a complex variety. Rational homology manifolds may be thought of as 'nonsingular for the purposes of rational homology'. For example, Poincaré and Lefschetz duality hold

for them in rational homology. The Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem also holds.

Examples of rational homology manifolds include complex varieties having rational homology spheres as links of singular strata. To see this, let c be the codimension of the stratum (in a Whitney stratification) of V containing x , and let L_x be its link in V . Then we have:

$$H_i(V; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} H_{i-2n+2c-1}(L_x; \mathbb{Q}); & i > 2n-2c \\ 0; & i \leq 2n-2c \end{cases}$$

Indeed, since a neighborhood of x in V is homeomorphic to $C^{n-c} \times C(L_x)$ (where $C(L_x)$ is the open cone on L_x),

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(V; \mathbb{Q}) &= H_i(C^{n-c} \times C(L_x); \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\quad \text{(excision and local normal triviality)} \\ &= H_{i-2n+2c}(C(L_x); \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{(Kunneth formula)} \\ &= H_{i-2n+2c-1}(L_x; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{(long exact sequence of pair)} \\ &= H_{i-2n+2c-1}(L_x; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{(deformation retract);} \end{aligned}$$

which can be non-zero only if $i > 2n-2c$. Therefore, the assumption that the links of singular strata are rational homology spheres gives the desired result.

Note that, if V is a projective hypersurface having rational homology spheres as links of singular strata and H is a generic hyperplane, then $V \setminus H$ is a rational homology manifold: indeed, by the transversality assumption, the link in $V \setminus H$ of a stratum $S \setminus H$ (for S a stratum of V) is the same as the link in V of S .

As a first example when the Alexander modules $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$, $i \leq n$, are torsion, we mention the following (compare [26], Lemma 1.7, 1.12):

Proposition 2.1. Let V be a degree d projective hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , and let H be a generic hyperplane. Assume that V has no codimension 1 singularities and that V and $V \setminus H$ are rational homology manifolds. Then for $i \leq n$, $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is a torsion $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ -module and $\chi_i(t) \neq 0$, where $\chi_i(t)$ is the associated Alexander polynomial.

Note. We regard the trivial module (0) as a torsion module whose associated polynomial is $\chi(t) = 1$.

Proof. Recall that, under our assumptions, U^c is the infinite cyclic and universal cover of $U = \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H)$. We will use Milnor's exact sequence ([26], [8]):

$$H_1(U^c; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(U; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(U^c; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0$$

where the first morphism is multiplication by $t-1$. We claim that $H_i(U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, hence the multiplication by $t-1$ in $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective ($2 \leq i \leq n$). Therefore its cyclic decomposition has neither free summands nor summands of the form $\mathbb{Q}[t]/(t-1)^r$, with $r \geq 2$. On the other hand, $H_1(U^c; \mathbb{Q}) = H_1(U^c) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$.

Suppose that k is the dimension of the singular locus of V . Then, by our assumptions, $n-k \geq 2$. Let $L = \mathbb{CP}^{n-k}$ be a generic linear subspace. Then, by transversality, $L \setminus V$ is a non-singular hypersurface in L , transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, $L \setminus H$. Therefore, by Corollary 1.2 of [26], $L \setminus U$ is homotopy

equivalent to $S^1 \times S^{n-k} \times S^{n-k}$. Thus, by Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem (applied $k+1$ times) we obtain: $H_i(U; \mathbb{Q}) = H_i(L \setminus U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$; $2 \leq i \leq n-k-1$.

For $n-k \leq i \leq n$ we have: $H_i(U; \mathbb{Q}) = H_{i+1}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus H; \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H); \mathbb{Q})$, as follows from the exact sequence of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus H; \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H))$. Using duality, one can identify this with $H^{2n+1-i}(V \cup H; H; \mathbb{Q})$. And by excision, this group is isomorphic to $H^{2n+1-i}(V; V \setminus H; \mathbb{Q})$. Let u and v denote the inclusion of $V \hookrightarrow V \setminus H$ and respectively $V \setminus H \hookrightarrow V$. Then the distinguished triangle $u_* u^! \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow v_* v^! \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow$ (where we regard \mathbb{Q} as a constant sheaf on V), upon applying the hypercohomology with compact support functor, yields the isomorphism: $H^{2n+1-i}(V; V \setminus H; \mathbb{Q}) = H_c^{2n+1-i}(V \setminus V \setminus H; \mathbb{Q})$ (see [7], Remark 2.4.5.(iii)). By Poincaré duality over \mathbb{Q} , the latter is isomorphic to $H_{i-1}(V \setminus V \setminus H; \mathbb{Q})$. The Lefschetz theorem on generic hyperplane complements in hypersurfaces ([9], p. 476) implies that $V \setminus V \setminus H$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres S^n . Therefore, $H_{i-1}(V \setminus V \setminus H; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $0 < i-1 < n$, i.e. for $2 \leq n-k \leq i \leq n$. This finishes the proof of the proposition.

(Note that the assertion also follows by an argument similar to the one used in Lemma 1.7 of [26]: indeed, from the long exact sequence of the pair $(V; V \setminus H)$, it suffices to show that the restriction map $H^j(V; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^j(V \setminus H; \mathbb{Q})$ is onto if $j = n$, bijective if $j = n+1, \dots, n+k$, and injective if $j = n+k+1$ (note that under our assumptions, $n+k+1 < 2n$); next note that from Poincaré duality over \mathbb{Q} and the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, the homology groups dual to the cohomology groups involved are isomorphic to either \mathbb{Q} or 0 depending on the parity of n).

Remark 2.2. There is a different construction of Alexander-type modules associated with a projective hypersurface (see [6]): if f is the degree d polynomial defining V and $F = ff = lg$ is the global Milnor fiber of the (non-isolated) hypersurface singularity at the origin in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} , A. Dimca defines the Alexander modules of V as being the modules $H_i(F; \mathbb{Q})$, with module structure induced by the monodromy action; the corresponding Alexander polynomials of the hypersurface are defined as being the characteristic polynomials $P_i(t)$ of the monodromy operators. He also shows that if V is a hypersurface with isolated singularities, then $P_n(t)$ divides the product of the reduced local Alexander polynomials of the singularities $(V; p)$, $p \in \text{Sing}(V)$. The relation with the divisibility theorem of Libgober is provided by the following observation ([26], Corollary 4.9): if V has only isolated singularities and is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, there is an isomorphism of modules

$$H_n(U^\infty; \mathbb{Q}) = H_n(F; \mathbb{Q})$$

Another interesting example when the Alexander modules $H_i(U^\infty; \mathbb{Q})$, $i \leq n$, are torsion is the following: let $Y = ff = 0g$ be a degree d reduced hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^n , and let X be the affine cone on Y , i.e. X is the affine hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} defined by the homogeneous polynomial f . We let V be the projective closure of X in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , i.e. V is the projective cone on Y . It's clear that V is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity. Moreover, the infinite cyclic cover U^∞ of the complement $U = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus X$ (corresponding to the evaluation of the linking number with X) is homotopy equivalent to the Milnor fiber F of the global Milnor fibration of the homogeneous polynomial f , $F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C}$, therefore it has the homotopy type of a finite dimensional CW complex. Hence the Alexander modules of

the complement of X , $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$, are finite dimensional over the field of rational numbers, thus torsion modules. Their orders are identified with the characteristic polynomials of the monodromy operators of the Milnor fibration. Note that these coincide with the Alexander-type invariants of the hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$, as considered by Dimca (see the previous remark). They also appear in the literature under the name of characteristic polynomials of the monodromy operators acting on the homology of the Milnor fiber F of the projective hypersurface arrangement defined by f in \mathbb{CP}^n (see also x5).

3. Intersection Homology and Alexander modules

Using intersection homology theory, we will give a new construction of the Alexander modules of complements of hypersurfaces in general position at infinity. The advantage of the new approach is the use of the powerful language of sheaf theory and derived categories ([15], [2]) in the study of the Alexander invariants associated with singular hypersurfaces. This will be done in the next section. In particular, we will provide generalizations to classical results known only in the case of hypersurfaces with isolated singularities ([26]). For a quick introduction to derived categories, the reader is advised to consult [31], x1. When dealing with intersection homology, we will always use the indexing conventions of [15].

3.1. Intersection Alexander Modules. (1) A knot is a sub-pseudomanifold $K \subset S^{n+2}$ of a sphere; it is said to be of finite (homological) type if the homology groups $H_i(S^{n+2} \setminus K; \mathbb{Q})$ with local coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[t; t^{-1}]$ are finite dimensional over \mathbb{Q} . Here \mathbb{Q} denotes the local system on $S^{n+2} \setminus K$, with stalk \mathbb{Q} , and it corresponds to the representation $\mathbb{Q}[t; t^{-1}]^{\text{lk}(K; \cdot)}$, $\mathbb{Q}[t; t^{-1}]^{\text{lk}(K; \cdot)}$, where $\text{lk}(K; \cdot)$ is the linking number (see [4]).

A sub-pseudomanifold X of a manifold Y is said to be of finite local type if the link of each component of any stratification of the pair $(Y; X)$ is of finite type. Note that a sub-pseudomanifold is of finite local type if and only if it has one stratification with links of finite type. It is also not hard to see that the link pairs of components of strata of a sub-pseudomanifold of finite local type also have finite local type ([4]). Algebraic knots are of finite type and of finite local type ([4]).

(2) Let V be a reduced projective hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} ($n \geq 1$). Choose a Whitney stratification S of V . Recall that there is such a stratification where strata are pure dimensional locally closed algebraic subsets with a finite number of irreducible nonsingular components. Together with the hypersurface complement, $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus V$, this gives a stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$, in which S is the set of singular strata. All links of strata of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$ are algebraic, hence of finite (homological) type, so $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$ is of finite local type (see [4], Proposition 2.2). We choose a generic hyperplane H in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , i.e., transversal to all the strata of V , and consider the induced stratification on the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V \cup H)$. We call H 'the hyperplane at infinity' and say that V is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity. Following [4], we define a local system L_H on $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H)$, with stalk $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[t; t^{-1}]$ and action by an element $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H))$ determined by multiplication by $t^{\text{lk}(V \cup H; \gamma)}$. Here $\text{lk}(V \cup H; \gamma)$ is the linking number of γ with the divisor $V \cup H$ of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . (Note that $V \cup H$ is a boundary in the homology of the projective space, as $[V \cup H] = d[H]$ for generic hyperplane H .) Then,

(using a triangulation of the projective space) $V \sqcup H$ is a (PL) sub-pseudomanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ and the intersection complex $IC_p := IC_p(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; L_H)$ is defined for any (super-)perversity p . The modules

$$IH_i^m(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; L_H) := H^{-i}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; IC_m)$$

will be called the intersection Alexander modules of the hypersurface V . Note that these modules are of finite type over \mathbb{Q} , since IC_p is cohomologically constructible ([2], V.3.12) and $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ is compact (see [2], V.3.4.(a), V.10.13).

It will be useful to describe the links of the pair $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \sqcup H)$ in terms of those of $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V)$. Because of the transversality assumption, there are stratifications $f_{Y_i}g$ of $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V)$ and $f_{Y_i}g$ of $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \sqcup H)$ with $Y_i = Z_i \sqcup (Z_{i+2} \setminus H)$ (here the indices indicate the real dimensions). The link pair of a point $y \in (Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1}) \setminus H = (Z_{i+2} \setminus Z_{i+1}) \setminus H$ in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \sqcup H)$ is $(G; F) = (S^1 \setminus G_1; (S^1 \setminus F_1) \sqcup G_1)$, where $(G_1; F_1)$ is the link pair of $y \in Z_{i+2} \setminus Z_{i+1}$ in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V)$. Points in $V \setminus V \setminus H$ have the same link pairs in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V)$ and $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \sqcup H)$. Finally, the link pair at any point in $H \setminus V \setminus H$ is $(S^1; \emptyset)$. (For details, see [4]).

By Lemma 2.3.1 of [4], $V \sqcup H \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ is of finite local type. Hence, by Theorem 3.3 of [4], we have the following isomorphism (the superduality isomorphism):

$$IC_m = D IC_1^{op}[2n+2]$$

(here A^{op} is the \mathbb{Q} -module obtained from the \mathbb{Q} -module A by composing all module structures with the involution $t \mapsto t^{-1}$.) Recall that the middle and logarithmic perversities are defined as: $m(s) = [(s-1)/2]$ and $l(s) = [(s+1)/2]$. Note $m(s) + l(s) = s-1$, i.e. m and l are superdual perversities.

(3) With the notations from §2, we have an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -modules:

$$H_i(U; L_H) = H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$$

where L_H is the local coefficient system on $U = \mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus (V \sqcup H)$ defined by the representation $\rho_1(U) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^\times$, $\rho_1(\gamma) = t^{lk(\gamma; V \sqcup H)}$.

Indeed, U^c is the covering associated to the kernel of the linking number homomorphism $lk: \rho_1(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $lk(\gamma; V \sqcup H)$, and note that ρ_1 factors through lk , i.e. ρ_1 is the composition $\rho_1(U) \xrightarrow{lk} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times$, with the second homomorphism mapping 1 to t . Thus $\text{Ker}(lk) = \text{Ker}(\rho_1)$. By definition, $H_i(U; L_H)$ is the homology of the chain complex $C_i(U; L_H)$ defined by the equivariant tensor product: $C_i(U; L_H) := C_i(U^c) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, where \mathbb{Z} stands for the group of covering transformations of U^c (see [7], page 50). Since $\mathbb{Q}^\times = \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}]$, the chain complex $C_i(U^c) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is clearly isomorphic to the complex $C_i(U^c) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$, and the claimed isomorphism follows. For a similar argument, see also [20], Example 3H.2.

(4) This is also a convenient place to point out the following fact: because $m(2) = 0$, the allowable zero- and one-chains ([14]) are those which lie in $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus (V \sqcup H)$. Therefore,

$$IH_0^m(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; L_H) = H_0(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus (V \sqcup H); L_H) = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1);$$

where the second isomorphism follows from the identification of the homology of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus (V \sqcup H)$ with local coefficient system L_H with the rational homology (viewed as a \mathbb{Q} -module) of the infinite cyclic cover U^c of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus (V \sqcup H)$, defined

by the linking number homomorphism.

3.2. Relation with the Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement. Let V be a degree d , reduced, projective hypersurface, and let H be a generic hyperplane, i.e. transversal to all the strata of a Whitney stratification of V . If S denotes the set of components of (open) singular strata in a stratification of $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$, then $V \cap H$ is of finite local type in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , and it has an induced stratification with (open) strata of the form $S \cap S \setminus H$, $S \setminus H$ and $H \cap V \setminus H$, for $S \in S$.

We are aiming to show that, in our setting, the intersection Alexander modules of a hypersurface coincide with the classical Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement. The key fact will be the following characterization of the support of the intersection homology complex IC_m :

Lemma 3.1. There is a quasi-isomorphism:

$$IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)_{\mathcal{V} \cap H} = 0$$

Proof. It suffices to show the vanishing of stalks of the complex IC_m at points in strata of $V \cap H$. We will do this in two steps:

Step 1.

$$IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)_H = 0$$

The link pair of $H \cap V \setminus H$ is $(S^1; \cdot)$ and this maps to t^d under L_H , therefore the stalk of $IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$ at a point in this stratum is zero. Indeed (cf. [2], V.3.15), for $x \in H \cap V \setminus H$:

$$H^q(IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H))_x = \begin{cases} 0; & q > 2n-2 \\ IH_{q-(2n+1)}^m(S^1; L); & q \leq 2n-2; \end{cases}$$

and note that $IH_j^m(S^1; L) = 0$ unless $j = 0$.

Next, consider the link pair $(G; F)$ of a point $x \in S \setminus H$, $S \in S$. Let the real codimension of S in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} be $2k$. Then the codimension of $S \setminus H$ is $2k+2$ and $\dim(G) = 2k+1$. The stalk at $x \in S \setminus H$ of the intersection homology complex $IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$ is given by the local calculation formula ([2], (3.15)):

$$H^q(IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H))_x = \begin{cases} 0; & q > k-2n-2 \\ IH_{q-(2n-2k+1)}^m(G; L); & q \leq k-2n-2; \end{cases}$$

We claim that:

$$IH_i^m(G; L) = 0; i \leq k-1$$

Then, by setting $i = q - (2n - 2k + 1)$, we obtain that $IH_{q-(2n-2k+1)}^m(G; L) = 0$ for $q \leq k - 2n - 2$, and therefore:

$$H^q(IC_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H))_x = 0$$

In order to prove the claim, we use arguments similar to those used in [4], p. 359–361. Recall that $(G; F)$ is of the form $(S^1 - G_1; S^1 - F_1) \cap (G_1)$, where $(G_1; F_1)$ is the link pair of $x \in S$ in $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$ (or equivalently, the link of $S \setminus H$ in $(H; V \setminus H)$). The restriction of L_H to $G - F$ is given by sending $\partial_1(G - F)$ to $t^{(S^1 - F_1 - dG_1)} \in \text{Aut}(\cdot)$.

Let $IC = IC_m(G; L)$. The link of the codimension two stratum $G_1 - G_1 \setminus (S^1 - F_1)$

of G is a circle that maps to t^d under L ; hence by the stalk cohomology formula ([2], (3.15)), for $y \in G_1 \setminus (S^1 \cap F_1)$, we have:

$$H^i(\mathrm{IC})_y = \begin{cases} \mathrm{IH}_{i-(\dim G_1+1)}^m(S^1; \mathbb{Z}); & i = \dim G \\ 0; & i > m(2) - \dim G = \dim G : \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathrm{IH}_{i-(\dim G_1+1)}^m(S^1; \mathbb{Z}) = H_{i-(\dim G-1)}(S^1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i - (\dim G - 1) \notin 0$, i.e., for $i \neq 1 - \dim G$, we obtain that:

$$\mathrm{IC}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus G_1 \setminus (S^1 \cap F_1)} = 0$$

Moreover, G_1 is a locally at submanifold of G and intersects $S^1 \cap F_1$ transversally. Hence the link pair in $(G; F)$ of a stratum of $G_1 \setminus (S^1 \cap F_1)$ and the restriction of L will have the same form as links of strata of $V \setminus H$ in $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V \setminus H)$. Thus, by induction on dimension we obtain:

$$\mathrm{IC}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus (S^1 \cap F_1)} = 0$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathbb{A}^1} = 0$.

Thus, denoting by i and j the inclusions of $G \rightarrow G_1$ and $G_1 \rightarrow G$, respectively, the distinguished triangle:

$$R_{i!} \mathrm{IC} \rightarrow \mathrm{IC} \rightarrow R_{j!} \mathrm{IC}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$$

upon applying the compactly supported hypercohomology functor, yields the isomorphism:

$${}^c\mathrm{IH}_i^m(G \rightarrow G_1; L) = \mathrm{IH}_i^m(G; L)$$

We have:

$$(G \rightarrow G_1; F \rightarrow F \setminus G_1) = (cG_1 \rightarrow S^1; cF_1 \rightarrow S^1)$$

and L is given on

$$(cG_1 \rightarrow cF_1) \rightarrow S^1 = (G_1 \rightarrow F_1) \rightarrow S^1$$

by sending $\mathbb{Z}_{-1}(G_1 \rightarrow F_1)$ to the multiplication by $t^{-(F_1)}$, and a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_1(S^1)$ to t^d .

We denote by L_1 and L_2 the restrictions of L to cG_1 and S^1 respectively. Note that $\mathrm{IH}_b^m(S^1; L_2) = 0$ unless $b = 0$, in which case it is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} . Therefore, by the Kunneth formula ([17]), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c\mathrm{IH}_i^m(cG_1 \rightarrow S^1; L) &= f^c\mathrm{IH}_i^m(cG_1; L_1) \oplus \mathrm{IH}_0^m(S^1; L_2)g \\ &= f^c\mathrm{IH}_{i-1}^m(cG_1; L_1) \oplus \mathrm{IH}_0^m(S^1; L_2)g \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, the formula for the compactly supported intersection homology of a cone yields ([2], [22], [10]): ${}^c\mathrm{IH}_i^m(cG_1; L_1) = 0$ for $i = \dim G_1 - m(\dim G_1 + 1) = 2k - 1 - m(2k) = k$ (as well as ${}^c\mathrm{IH}_i^m(cG_1; L_1) = \mathrm{IH}_i^m(G_1; L_1)$, for $i < \dim G_1 - m(\dim G_1 + 1) = k$) and, consequently: ${}^c\mathrm{IH}_{i-1}^m(cG_1; L_1) = 0$ for $i \leq k + 1$.

Altogether,

$$\mathrm{IH}_i^m(G; L) = {}^c\mathrm{IH}_i^m(G \rightarrow G_1; L) = {}^c\mathrm{IH}_i^m(cG_1 \rightarrow S^1; L) = 0 \text{ for } i \leq k + 1$$

as claimed.

Step 2.

$$\mathrm{IC}_m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$$

It suffices to show the vanishing of stalks of the complex IC_m at points in strata of the form $S \rightarrow S \setminus H$ of the affine part, V_{aff} , of V . Note that, assuming S

connected, the link pair of $S \cap S \setminus H$ in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \setminus H)$ is the same as its link pair in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V_{\text{aff}})$ with the induced stratification, or the link pair of S in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V)$. Let $x \in S \cap S \setminus H$ be a point in an affine stratum of complex dimension s . The stalk cohomology calculation yields:

$$H^q(IC_m)_x = \begin{cases} IH_j^m(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; \cdot) & q = n-s-2 \\ 0 & q > n-s-2; \end{cases}$$

where $(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; K_x)$ is the link pair of the component containing x .

To obtain the desired vanishing, it suffices to prove that: $IH_j^m(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; \cdot) = 0$ for $j \geq n-s+1$. We will show this in the following:

Lemma 3.2. If S is an s -dimensional stratum of V_{aff} and x is a point in S , then the intersection homology groups of its link pair $(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; K_x)$ in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V_{\text{aff}})$ are characterized by the following properties:

$$IH_j^m(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; \cdot) = 0 \quad ; \quad j \geq n-s+1$$

$$IH_j^m(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; \cdot) = H_j(S_x^{2n-2s+1} \setminus K_x; \cdot) \quad ; \quad j \leq n-s:$$

(here \cdot denotes the local coefficient system on the knot complement, with stalk and action of an element γ in the fundamental group of the complement given by multiplication by $t^{lk(\gamma, K)}$; [4], [10])

Note. The same property holds for link pairs of strata $S \subset S$ of a stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V)$ since all of these are algebraic knots and have associated Milnor fibrations.

Proof of lemma. We will prove the above claim by induction down on the dimension of singular strata of the pair $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V_{\text{aff}})$. To start the induction, note that the link pair of a component of the dense open subspace of V_{aff} (i.e., for $s = n$) is a circle $(S^1; \cdot)$, that maps to t under L_H . Moreover, the (intersection) homology groups $IH_i^m(S^1; \cdot) = H_i(S^1; \cdot)$ are zero, except for $i = 0$, hence the claim is trivially satisfied in this case.

Let S be an s -dimensional stratum of V_{aff} and let x be a point in S . Its link pair $(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; K_x)$ in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V_{\text{aff}})$ is a singular algebraic knot, with a topological stratification induced by that of $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V_{\text{aff}})$. The link pairs of strata of $(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; K_x)$ are also link pairs of higher dimensional strata of $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V_{\text{aff}})$ (see for example [10]). Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, the claim holds for such link pairs.

Let $IC = IC_m(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; \cdot)$ be the middle-perversity intersection cohomology complex associated to the link pair of S at x . In order to prove the claim, it suffices to show that its restriction to K is quasi-isomorphic to the zero complex, i.e. $IC_K = 0$. Then the lemma will follow from the long exact sequence of compactly supported hypercohomology and from the fact that the fiber F_x of the Milnor fibration associated with the algebraic knot $(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; K_x)$ has the homotopy type of an $(n-s)$ -dimensional complex ([32], Theorem 5.1) and is homotopy equivalent to the finite cyclic covering $S_x^{2n-2s+1} \setminus K_x$ of the knot complement, which is defined by the linking number homomorphism. More precisely, we obtain the isomorphism:

$$IH_j^m(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; \cdot) = H_j(S_x^{2n-2s+1} \setminus K_x; \cdot) = H_j(S_x^{2n-2s+1} \setminus K_x; \mathbb{Q}) = H_j(F_x; \mathbb{Q}):$$

Let $K^0 \subset K^\infty$ be two consecutive terms in the filtration of $(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; K_x)$. Say $\dim_R(K^0) = 2n - 2r - 1$, $r \geq s$. The stalk of IC at a point $y \in K^0 \setminus K^\infty$ is given by the following formula:

$$H^q(\mathrm{IC})_y = \begin{cases} \mathrm{IH}_{q-(2n-2r)}^m(S_y^{2r-2s+1}; K_y); & q = 2n + s + r - 1 \\ 0; & q > 2n + s + r - 1; \end{cases}$$

where $(S_y^{2r-2s+1}; K_y)$ is the link pair in $(S_x^{2n-2s+1}; K_x)$ of the component of $K^0 \setminus K^\infty$ containing y . Since $(S_y^{2r-2s+1}; K_y)$ is also the link pair of a higher dimensional stratum of $(C^{n+1}; V_{\mathrm{aff}})$, the induction hypothesis yields: $\mathrm{IH}_{q-(2n-2r)}^m(S_y^{2r-2s+1}; K_y) = 0$ if $q \neq 2n + s + r - 1$.

Remark 3.3. The proof of Step 1 of the previous lemma provides a way of computing the modules $\mathrm{IH}_i^m(G; L)$, $i \leq k$, for $G = S^{2k+1} = S^1 \times G_1$ the link of an $(n-k)$ -dimensional stratum $S \setminus H$, $S \geq S$:

$$\mathrm{IH}_k^m(G; L) = {}^c\mathrm{IH}_{k-1}^m(G_1; L_1) \quad \mathrm{IH}_0^m(S^1; L_2) = \mathrm{IH}_{k-1}^m(G_1; L_1) \quad \mathrm{IH}_0^m(S^1; L_2)$$

and, for $i < k$:

$$\mathrm{IH}_i^m(G; L) = f\mathrm{IH}_i^m(G_1; L_1) \quad \mathrm{IH}_0^m(S^1; L_2)g + f\mathrm{IH}_{i-1}^m(G_1; L_1) \quad \mathrm{IH}_0^m(S^1; L_2)g;$$

Note that the above formulas, as well as the claim of the first step of the previous lemma, can also be obtained from the formula for the intersection homology of a join ([17], Proposition 3), applied to $G = G_1 \times S^1$.

If we denote by $I_i^m(G) := \text{order } \mathrm{IH}_i^m(G; L)$, the intersection Alexander polynomial of the link pair $(G; F)$ (see [10]), then we obtain:

$$I_k^m(G) = \gcd(I_{k-1}^m(G_1); t^d - 1)$$

$$I_i^m(G) = \gcd(I_i^m(G_1); t^d - 1) \quad \gcd(I_{i-1}^m(G_1); t^d - 1); \quad i < k:$$

In particular, since $I_0^m(G_1) \leq t - 1$ ([10], Corollary 5.3), we have: $I_0^m(G) \leq t - 1$.

Note that the superduality isomorphism ([4], Corollary 3.4) yields the isomorphism: $\mathrm{IH}_j^1(G; L) = \mathrm{IH}_{2k-j}^m(G; L)^{\mathrm{op}}$. Hence $\mathrm{IH}_j^1(G; L) = 0$ if $j < k$.

From the above considerations, the zeros of the polynomials $I_i^m(G)$ and $I_i^1(G)$ (in the non-trivial range) are all roots of unity of order d .

Corollary 3.4. Let V be an n -dimensional reduced projective hypersurface, transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, H . Then the intersection Alexander modules of V are isomorphic to the classical Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{IH}^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) = H^*(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus V \cap H; L_H) = H^*(U^c; Q)$$

Note. Lemma 1.5 of [26] asserts that if k is the dimension of the singular locus and $n - k \geq 2$, then $H^*(U^c; Q) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < n - k$ (here we use the fact that, if $n - k \geq 2$, the infinite cyclic cover of $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus V \cap H$ is the universal cover).

Proof. The previous lemma and the hypercohomology spectral sequence yield:

$$H^i(V \cap H; \mathrm{IC}_m) = 0$$

Let u and v be the inclusions of $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H)$ and resp. $V \cap H$ into \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . The distinguished triangle $u_* u^! \rightarrow \text{id}^! \rightarrow v_* v^!$, upon applying the hypercohomology functor, yields the long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H); \mathcal{IC}_m) &\rightarrow H_c^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{IC}_m) \rightarrow H_c^i(V \cap H; \mathcal{IC}_m) \\ &\rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{IC}_m) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H); \mathcal{IC}_m) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IH}_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{L}_H) &\cong H_c^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{IC}_m) \\ &= H_c^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H); \mathcal{IC}_m) \\ &= {}^c\text{IH}_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H); \mathcal{L}_H) \\ &= H_i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H); \mathcal{L}_H) \\ &= H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

Our next goal is to show that under the hypotheses from the beginning of this section, the Alexander modules of the hypersurface complement, $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$, are torsion modules if $i \leq n$. Based on the above corollary, it suffices to show this for the modules $\text{IH}_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{L}_H)$, $i \leq n$. We will need the following:

Lemma 3.5.

$$\text{IH}_i^1(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{L}_H) = 0 \text{ for } i \leq n$$

Proof. The superduality isomorphism ([4], Theorem 3.3), when applied to the stratified pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V \cap H)$, yields:

$$\text{IH}_i^1(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{L}_H)^{\text{op}} = \text{free}(\text{IH}_{2n+2-i}^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{L}_H)) \oplus \text{tors}(\text{IH}_{2n+1-i}^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{L}_H));$$

and, using the previous corollary and the fact that $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial for $i > n+1$ and $H_{n+1}(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is free over \mathbb{Q} , this gives:

$$\text{IH}_i^1(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{L}_H)^{\text{op}} = 0 \text{ for } i \leq n$$

Remark 3.6. We can also reach the same conclusion as follows: let u and v be the inclusions of $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H)$ and respectively $V \cap H$ into \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . Since $v^! \mathcal{IC}_m = 0$, by superduality we obtain: $0 = v^! \mathcal{IC}_1[2n+2]^{\text{op}} = D v^! \mathcal{IC}_1[2n+2]^{\text{op}}$, so $v^! \mathcal{IC}_1 = 0$. Hence the distinguished triangle:

$$v^! \mathcal{IC}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{IC}_1 \rightarrow u_* u^! \mathcal{IC}_1 \rightarrow$$

upon applying the hypercohomology functor, yields the isomorphism:

$$\text{IH}_i^1(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; \mathcal{L}_H) = \text{IH}_i^1(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H); \mathcal{L}_H) = H_i^{\text{BM}}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H); \mathcal{L}_H)$$

where H^{BM} denotes the Borel-Moore homology. By Artin's vanishing theorem ([37], Example 6.0.6), the latter module is 0 for $i < n+1$, since $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus (V \cap H)$ is a Stein space of dimension $n+1$.

Recall that the peripheral complex R , associated to the finite local type codimension two embedding $V \subset \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$, is defined by the distinguished triangle ([4]):

$$IC_m \rightarrow IC_1 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

Moreover, R is a perverse (for an introduction to the theory of perverse sheaves, see [31]), self-dual (i.e., $R = DR^{\text{op}}[2n+3]$), torsion sheaf on \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} (i.e., the stalks of its cohomology sheaves are torsion modules). All these properties are preserved by restriction to open sets.

By applying the hypercohomology functor to the triangle defining the peripheral complex R , and using the vanishing of the previous lemma, we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.7. The natural maps:

$$H^{i-1}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; R) \rightarrow IH_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$$

are isomorphisms for all $i \leq n-1$ and epimorphism for $i = n$.

Now, since R is a torsion sheaf (having finite dimensional rational vector spaces as stalks), the spectral sequence for hypercohomology implies that the groups $H^q(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; R)$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, are also finite dimensional rational vector spaces, thus torsion modules. Therefore, the above proposition yields the following important feature of the (intersection) Alexander modules associated with reduced hypersurfaces in general position at infinity:

Corollary 3.8. Let $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$ be a reduced, n -dimensional projective hypersurface, transversal to the hyperplane at infinity. Then for any $i \leq n$, the module $IH_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) = H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is a finitely generated torsion module.

Note. For $i \leq n$, $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is actually a finite dimensional rational vector space, thus its order coincides with the characteristic polynomial of the \mathbb{Q} -linear map induced by a generator of the group of covering transformations (see [33]).

Definition 3.9. For $i \leq n$, we denote by $\chi_i(t)$ the polynomial associated to the torsion module $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$, and call it the i -th global Alexander polynomial of the hypersurface V . These polynomials will be well-defined up to multiplication by ct , $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$.

As a consequence of the previous corollary, we obtain the following relation between the Euler characteristic of the (affine) complement $U = \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus V \subset \mathbb{H}$ and the \mathbb{Q} -rank of $H_{n+1}(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$:

Corollary 3.10. Let $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$ be a reduced, n -dimensional projective hypersurface, in general position at infinity. Then the \mathbb{Q} -rank of $H_{n+1}(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is expressed in terms of the Euler characteristic $\chi(U)$ of the complement by the formula:

$$(-1)^{n+1} \chi(U) = \text{rank } H_{n+1}(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$$

Proof. The equality follows from the above corollary, from the fact that for $q > n+1$ the Alexander modules $H_q(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ vanish, and from the formula 2.10 (v) of [8]:

$$\chi(U) = \sum_q (-1)^q \text{rank } H_q(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$$

4. The Main Theorems

We will now state and prove the main theorems of this paper. These results are generalizations of the ones obtained by A. Libgober ([26], [27], [28]) in the case of hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, and will lead to results on the monodromy of the Milnor fiber of a projective hypersurface arrangement, similar to those obtained by Libgober ([29]), Dimca ([7], [5]) etc (see x5).

The first theorem provides a characterization of the zeros of global Alexander polynomials. For hypersurfaces with only isolated singularities, it specializes to Corollary 4.8 of [26]. It also gives a first obstruction on the prime divisors of the global Alexander polynomials of hypersurfaces:

Theorem 4.1. If V is an n -dimensional reduced projective hypersurface of degree d , transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, then for $i \leq n$, any root of the global Alexander polynomial $\chi_i(t)$ is a root of unity of order d .

Proof. Let k and l be the inclusions of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and respectively H into $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$. For a fixed perversity p , we will denote the intersection complexes $IC_p(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; L_H)$ by IC_p . We will also drop the letter R when using derived functors. The distinguished triangle: $l^! l^! \rightarrow id \rightarrow k^* k^* \xrightarrow{[1]}$, upon applying the hypercohomology functor, yields the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} & H^i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; IC_m) \rightarrow IH^m_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; L_H) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; k^* IC_m) \\ & \rightarrow H^{i+1}_H(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; IC_m) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the complex $k^* IC_m[n-1]$ is perverse with respect to the middle perversity (since k is the open inclusion and the functor k^* is t -exact; [1]). Therefore, by Artin's vanishing theorem for perverse sheaves ([37], Corollary 6.0.4), we obtain:

$$H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; k^* IC_m) = 0 \text{ for } i < n+1:$$

(Note that the above vanishing can also be obtained without using 'perverse sheaves'. Indeed, since \mathbb{C}^{n+1} is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, we obtain: $H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; k^* IC_m) = IH^m_i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; L)$, where L is the restriction of the local coefficient system. Moreover, since \mathbb{C}^{n+1} is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional affine space, Example 6.0.6 of [37] asserts that $IH^m_i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; L) = 0$ if $i < n+1$).

Hence:

$$H^i_H(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; IC_m) = IH^m_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; L_H) \text{ for } i < n;$$

and $IH^m_n(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; L_H)$ is a quotient of $H^{n+1}_H(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; IC_m)$.

The superduality isomorphism $IC_m = D IC_1^{op}[2n+2]$, and the fact that the stalks over H of the complex IC_1 are torsion modules (recall that $l^* IC_1 = l^* R$, and R is a torsion sheaf by [4]), yield the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} H^i_H(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; IC_m) &= H^i(H; l^! IC_m) \\ &= H^{i+2n+2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; D l^* IC_1^{op}) \\ &= Hom(H^{i-2n-2}(H; l^* IC_1^{op}); \mathbb{C}) \cong Ext(H^{i-2n-1}(H; l^* IC_1^{op}); \mathbb{C}) \\ &= Ext(H^{i-2n-1}(H; l^* IC_1^{op}); \mathbb{C}) \\ &= H^{i-2n-1}(H; l^* IC_1^{op}) \\ &= H^{i-2n-1}(H; l^* R^{op}): \end{aligned}$$

Then, in order to finish the proof of the theorem, it suffices to study the order of the module $H^{i-2n-1}(H; L R^{\text{op}})$, for $i \leq n$, and to show that the zeros of its associated polynomial are roots of unity of order d . This follows by using the hypercohomology spectral sequence, since the stalks of R^{op} at points of H are torsion modules whose associated polynomials have the desired property: their zeros are roots of unity of order d (see Remark 3.3 concerning the local intersection Alexander polynomials associated to link pairs of strata of $V \setminus H$).

Note. The above theorem is also a generalization of the following special case. If V is a projective cone on a degree d reduced hypersurface $Y = \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$, then as observed in [2], $H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q}) = H_i(F; \mathbb{Q})$, where F is the fiber of the global Milnor fibration $C^{n+1} \setminus f^{-1}(0) \xrightarrow{f} C$ of the homogeneous polynomial f . Therefore the zeros of the global Alexander polynomials of V coincide with the eigenvalues of the monodromy operators acting on the homology of F . Since the monodromy has finite order d , all these eigenvalues are roots of unity of order d .

Next we prove a divisibility theorem relating the prime divisors of the polynomials $\alpha_i(t)$ to the local (intersection) Alexander polynomials of the link knots around the strata of V in a stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$. This should be interpreted as an extension to the case of general singularities of a result due to A. Libgober ([26], Theorem 4.3; [28], Theorem 4.1 a), which gives a similar fact for the hypersurfaces with isolated singularities.

Theorem 4.2. Let V be a reduced projective hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , which is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, H , and fix an arbitrary irreducible component, say V_1 . Let S be a Whitney stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$. Then for any fixed positive integer $i \leq n$, a prime element ℓ divides the order $\alpha_i(t)$ of the torsion module $IH_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) = H_i(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ only if ℓ divides one of the polynomials α_l^s associated to the local (intersection) Alexander modules $IH_l^m(S^{2n-2s+1}; L) = H_l(S^{2n-2s+1} \cap K^{2n-2s+1}; \mathbb{Q})$ of link pairs $(S^{2n-2s+1}; K^{2n-2s+1})$ of components of strata $S \cap S$ of complex dimension s with $S \cap V_1$, for l and s satisfying the following conditions:

- if $i < n$, then for any s such that $1 \leq s \leq n$, ℓ satisfies: $0 \leq l \leq n-s$ and $0 \leq i-l \leq 2s-1$;
- if $i = n$, then for any s such that $0 \leq s \leq n$, ℓ satisfies: $n-2s \leq l \leq n-s$.

Note. (1) If k is the complex dimension of the singular locus of V and if $n-k \geq 2$, then $\alpha_i(t) \neq 1$ for $1 \leq i < n-k$. This is a consequence of [26], Lemma 1.5.

(2) The polynomials associated to the algebraic knots around the components of the zero stratum of V (if any), may only contribute to the global Alexander polynomial $\alpha_n(t)$.

Proof. Since V_1 is an irreducible component of V , it acquires the induced stratification from that of V . By the transversality assumption, the stratification S of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$ induces a stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V \cap H)$.

Let j and i be the inclusions of $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus V_1$ and respectively V_1 into \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . For a fixed perversity p we will denote the intersection complexes $IC_p(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$ by

IC_p . The distinguished triangle $i^! i^* i^! \rightarrow id^* j^* j^! \rightarrow [1]$, upon applying the hypercohomology functor, yields the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{V_1}^i(CP^{n+1}; IC_m) \rightarrow IH_i^m(CP^{n+1}; L_H) \rightarrow H^i(CP^{n+1} \setminus V_1; IC_m) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H_{V_1}^{i+1}(CP^{n+1}; IC_m) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note that the complex $j^* IC_m[-n-1]$ on $CP^{n+1} \setminus V_1$ is perverse with respect to the middle perversity (since j^* is the open inclusion and the functor j^* is t-exact; [1]). Therefore, by Artin's vanishing theorem for perverse sheaves ([37], Corollary 6.0.4) and noting that $CP^{n+1} \setminus V_1$ is a line ([6], (1.6.7)), we obtain:

$$H^i(CP^{n+1} \setminus V_1; j^* IC_m) = 0 \text{ for } i < n+1:$$

(As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, the above vanishing can also be obtained from [37], Example 6.0.6. Indeed, $CP^{n+1} \setminus V_1$ is an open subspace of the projective space, and also an $(n+1)$ -dimensional line (Stein) variety. Therefore, if $i < n+1$, $H^i(CP^{n+1} \setminus V_1; j^* IC_m) = IH_i^m(CP^{n+1} \setminus V_1; L) = 0$).

Therefore:

$$H_{V_1}^i(CP^{n+1}; IC_m) = IH_i^m(CP^{n+1}; L_H) \text{ for } i < n;$$

and $IH_n^m(CP^{n+1}; L_H)$ is a quotient of $H_{V_1}^n(CP^{n+1}; IC_m)$.

Now using the superduality isomorphism $IC_m = D IC_1^{op}[2n+2]$ and the fact that the stalks over V_1 of the complexes IC_m and IC_1 are torsion modules and $IC_m j_{V_1} = 0$, we have the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{V_1}^i(CP^{n+1}; IC_m) &= H^i(V_1; i^! IC_m) \\ &= H^{i+2n+2}(V_1; D i^! IC_1^{op}) \\ &= Hom(H^{i+2n-2}(V_1; i^! IC_1^{op}); \mathbb{C}) \cong Ext(H^{i+2n-1}(V_1; i^! IC_1^{op}); \mathbb{C}) \\ &= Ext(H^{i+2n-1}(V_1; i^! IC_1^{op}); \mathbb{C}) \\ &= H^{i+2n-1}(V_1; i^! IC_1^{op}) \\ &= H^{i+2n-1}(V_1; i^! R^{op}): \end{aligned}$$

Then, in order to finish the proof of the theorem it suffices to study the order of the module $H^{i+2n-1}(V_1; i^! R^{op})$, for fixed $i \leq n$.

By the compactly supported hypercohomology long exact sequence and induction on the strata of V_1 , the polynomial associated to $H^{i+2n-1}(V_1; i^! R^{op})$ will divide the product of the polynomials associated with all the modules $H_c^{i+2n-1}(V; R^{op} j_V)$, where V runs over the strata of V_1 in the stratification of the pair $(CP^{n+1}; V \cup H)$, i.e. V is of the form $S \setminus H$ or $S \cap H$, for $S \subset S$ and $S \subset V_1$. Moreover, if $i \leq n-1$, we can restrict only to strata of the form $S \setminus H$ contained in $V_1 \setminus H$, for $S \subset S$. The zero-dimensional strata of V_1 only contribute to the module $IH_n^m(CP^{n+1}; L_H)$. (This observation will play a key role in the proof of Proposition 5.1 of the next section.) Indeed, by replacing V_1 by V in the arguments from the beginning of the proof, we obtain in a similar way that, for $i \leq n$, the module $IH_i^m(CP^{n+1}; L_H)$ is a quotient of $H^{i+2n-1}(V; R^{op} j_V)$. Next, consider the long exact sequence of compactly supported hypercohomology groups associated to the disjoint union $V = V_{aff} \sqcup (V \setminus H)$:

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^{i+2n-1}(V_{aff}; R^{op}) \rightarrow H_c^{i+2n-1}(V; R^{op}) \rightarrow H_c^{i+2n-1}(V \setminus H; R^{op}) \rightarrow \dots$$

and note that

$$H_c^{i-2n-1}(V_{\text{aff}}; R^{\text{op}}) = H_c^{i-2n-1}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; R^{\text{op}}) = 0 \text{ if } i < n;$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the fact that the support on the peripheral complex is contained in $V \cup H$, and the vanishing follows from the Artin's theorem ([37], Corollary 6.0.4), applied to the perverse sheaf (in the sense of [1]) $R^{\text{op}}[n-1]$ on the affine space. Therefore, from the long exact sequence we have that, for $i < n$, $H_c^{i-2n-1}(V; R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}})$ is a submodule of the torsion module $H_c^{i-2n-1}(V \cup H; R^{\text{op}})$, and thus its associated polynomial is entirely determined by the polynomials associated to modules $H_c^{i-2n-1}(V; R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}})$, where V runs over the strata of $V \setminus H$ in the stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \cup H)$, i.e. V is of the form $S \setminus H$ for $S \geq S$. Therefore, our claim follows.

Next, we will need the following:

Lemma 4.3. Let V be a j -dimensional stratum of V_1 in the stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \cup H)$. Then the prime factors of the polynomial of $H_c^{i-2n-1}(V; R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}})$ must divide one of the polynomials $\frac{j}{1}(t) = \text{orderfIH}_1^m(S^{2n-2j+1}; L)g$, in the range $0 \leq i \leq n-j$ and $0 \leq i \leq 1-2j$, where $(S^{2n-2j+1}; K^{2n-2j-1})$ is the link pair of V in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \cup H)$.

Once the lemma is proved, the rest of the theorem follows from Remark 3.3 which describes the polynomials of link pairs of strata $S \setminus H$ of $V \setminus H$ in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \cup H)$ in terms of the polynomials of link pairs of strata $S \geq S$ of V in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V)$, and Lemma 3.2 which relates the local intersection Alexander polynomials of links of strata $S \geq S$ to the classical local Alexander polynomials.

Proof of lemma. For simplicity, we let $r = i - 2n - 1$. The module $H_c^r(V; R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}})$ is the abutment of a spectral sequence with E_2 term given by:

$$E_2^{pq} = H_c^p(V; H^q(R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}})):$$

Since R^{op} is a constructible complex, $H^q(R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}})$ is a local coefficient system on V . Therefore, by the orientability of V and the Poincaré duality isomorphism ([3], V.9.3), E_2^{pq} is isomorphic to the module $H_{2j-p}(V; H^q(R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}}))$. As in Lemma 9.2 of [10], we can show that the latter is a finitely generated module. More precisely, by deformation retracting V to a closed, hence finite, subcomplex of V_1 (or $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$), we can use simplicial homology with local coefficients to calculate the above E_2 terms.

We will keep the cohomological indexing in the study of the above spectral sequence (see, for example, [10]). By the above considerations, we may assume that V is a finite simplicial complex.

E_2^{pq} is the p -th homology of a cochain complex $C_c(V; H^q(R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}}))$ whose p -th cochain group is a subgroup of $C^p(V; H^q(R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}}))$, which in turn is the direct sum of modules of the form $H^q(R^{\text{op}})_{x(\cdot)}$, where $x(\cdot)$ is the barycenter of a p -simplex of $V \setminus V_1$. By the stalk calculation ([2], V.3.15) and using $IC_m \mathbb{J}_1 = 0$,

$$H^q(R^{\text{op}})_{x(\cdot)} = H^q(IC_1^{\text{op}})_{x(\cdot)} = \begin{cases} 0; & q > n-j-1 \\ IH_{2n+1+q}^m(L_{x(\cdot)}; L); & q \leq n-j-1 \end{cases}$$

(where $L_{x(\cdot)} = S^{2n-2j+1}$ is the link of V in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}; V \cup H)$). Given that E_2^{pq} is a quotient of $C_c^p(V; H^q(R^{\text{op}}_{\mathbb{J}}))$, we see that E_2^{pq} is a torsion module, and a prime

element 2 divides the order of $E_2^{p,q}$ only if it divides the order of one of the torsion modules $IH_{2n+1+q}^m(L_x(\cdot); L)$. Denote by $\frac{j}{2n+1+q}(t)$ the order of the latter module, where j stands for the dimension of the stratum.

Each $E_r^{p,q}$ is a quotient of a submodule of $E_{r-1}^{p,q}$, so by induction on r , each of them is a torsion module whose associated polynomial has the same property as that of E_2 . Since the spectral sequence converges in finitely many steps, the same property is satisfied by E_1 .

By spectral sequence theory,

$$E_1^{p,q} = F^p H_c^{p+q}(V; R^{-op} \frac{j}{2n+1+q}) = F^{p+1} H_c^{p+q}(V; R^{-op} \frac{j}{2n+1+q});$$

where the modules $F^p H_c^{p+q}(V; R^{-op} \frac{j}{2n+1+q})$ form a descending bounded filtration of $H_c^{p+q}(V; R^{-op} \frac{j}{2n+1+q})$.

Now set $A = H_c(V; R^{-op})$ as a graded module which is filtered by $F^p A$ and set $E_0^p(A) = F^p A = F^{p+1} A$. Then, for some N , we have:

$$0 = F^N A = F^{N-1} A = \dots = F^0 A = F^{-1} A = A :$$

This yields the series of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow F^N A \xrightarrow{\cong} E_0^N(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow F^N A \longrightarrow F^{N-1} A \longrightarrow E_0^{N-1}(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &\longrightarrow F^k A \longrightarrow F^{k-1} A \longrightarrow E_0^{k-1}(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &\longrightarrow F^1 A \longrightarrow F^0 A \longrightarrow E_0^0(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow F^0 A \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow E_0^{-1}(A) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let us see what happens at the r th grade of these graded modules. For clarity, we will indicate the grade with a superscript following the argument. For any p ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^p(A)^r &= (F^p A = F^{p+1} A)^r \\ &= F^p A^r = F^{p+1} A^r \\ &= F^p A^{p+r-p} = F^{p+1} A^{p+r-p} \\ &= E_1^{p+r-p} : \end{aligned}$$

We know that each of the prime factors of the polynomial of this module must be a prime factor of some $\frac{j}{2n+1+(r-p)}(t)$. Further, by dimension considerations and stalk calculation, we know that E_1^{p+r-p} can be non-trivial only if $0 \leq p+r-p \leq 2j$ and $2n-1 \leq r-p \leq n-j-1$. Hence, as p varies, the only prime factors under consideration are those of $\frac{j}{2n+1+(r-p)}(t)$ in this range, i.e. they are the only possible prime factors of the $E_0^p(A)^r$, collectively in p (but within the grade r).

By induction down the above list of short exact sequences, we conclude that $F^N A^r = E_0^N(A)^r$, and subsequently $F^{N-1} A^r, F^{N-2} A^r, \dots, F^0 A^r$, and A^r , have the property of being torsion modules whose polynomials are products of polynomials whose prime factors are all factors of one of the $\frac{j}{2n+1+a}(t)$, where a must be chosen in the range $0 \leq r-a \leq 2j$ and $2n-1 \leq a \leq n-j-1$. Since

$H_c^r(V; R^{op} j_!)$ is the submodule of A corresponding to the r th grade, it too has this property. Using the fact that $r = i - 2n - 1$ and reindexing, we conclude that the prime factors of the polynomial of $H_c^{i-2n-1}(V; R^{op} j_!)$ must divide one of the polynomials $j_!^j(t) = \text{order} \text{IH}_n^m(S^{2n-2j+1}; L)g$, in the range $0 \leq i-1 \leq n-j$ and $0 \leq i-1 \leq 2j$, where $S^{2n-2j+1}$ is the link of (a component of) V .

Remark 4.4. Isolated singularities

In the case of hypersurfaces with only isolated singularities, the previous theorem can be strengthened as follows.

Assume that V is an n -dimensional reduced projective hypersurface, transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, and having only isolated singularities. If $n \geq 2$ this assumption implies that V is irreducible. If $n = 1$, we fix an irreducible component, say V_1 . The only interesting global (intersection) Alexander module is $\text{IH}_n^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) = H_n(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$. As in the proof of the previous theorem, the latter is a quotient of the torsion module $H^{n-1}(V_1; R^{op})$. Let $\emptyset = \text{Sing}(V) \setminus V_1$ be the set of isolated singular points of V which are contained in V_1 . Note that V_1 has an induced stratification:

$$V_1 = (V_1 \setminus H) \sqcup \{\emptyset\} \sqcup \emptyset:$$

The long exact sequence of the compactly supported hypercohomology yields:

$$! H_c^{n-1}(V_1 \setminus \emptyset; R^{op}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(V_1; R^{op}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(\emptyset; R^{op}) \rightarrow$$

and by the local calculation on stalks we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{n-1}(\emptyset; R^{op}) &= \bigoplus_{p \in \emptyset} H^{n-1}(R_p^{op})_p^{op} \\ &= \bigoplus_{p \in \emptyset} \text{IH}_n^1(S_p^{2n+1}; \mathbb{Q})_p^{op} \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \bigoplus_{p \in \emptyset} \text{IH}_n^m(S_p^{2n+1}; \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{p \in \emptyset} H_n(S_p^{2n+1} \setminus S_p^{2n+1} \setminus V; \mathbb{Q}); \end{aligned}$$

where $(S_p^{2n+1}; S_p^{2n+1} \setminus V)$ is the (smooth) link pair of the singular point $p \in \emptyset$, and the module $H_n(S_p^{2n+1} \setminus S_p^{2n+1} \setminus V; \mathbb{Q})$ is the classical (local) Alexander polynomial of the algebraic link. (1) follows from the superduality isomorphism for intersection Alexander polynomials of link knots ([4], Corollary 3.4; [10], Theorem 5.1).

By Remark 3.3, Lemma 4.3 and the long exact sequences of compactly supported hypercohomology, it can be shown that the modules $H_c^{n-1}(V_1 \setminus \emptyset; R^{op})$ and $H_c^n(V_1 \setminus \emptyset; R^{op})$ are annihilated by powers of $t - 1$.

Thus we obtain the following divisibility theorem (compare [26], Theorem 4.3; [28], Theorem 4.1(1); [7], Corollary 6.4.16):

Theorem 4.5. Let V be a projective hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} ($n \geq 1$), which is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, H , and has only isolated singularities. Fix an irreducible component of V , say V_1 , and let $\emptyset = V_1 \setminus \text{Sing}(V)$. Then $\text{IH}_n^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) = H_n(U^c; \mathbb{Q})$ is a torsion module, whose associated polynomial $\gamma_n(t)$ divides the product $\bigoplus_{p \in \emptyset} \gamma_p(t) \cdot (t-1)^i$ of the local Alexander polynomials of links of the singular points of V which are contained in V_1 .

Moreover, the assumption that the links of singular points are rational homology spheres, in combination with Proposition 2.1, yields the fact that the global Alexander polynomial in discussion is not divisible by $t - 1$. Without this assumption, powers of $t - 1$ may appear in the Alexander polynomial.

An immediate consequence of the previous theorem is the vanishing of the global polynomials $\chi_i(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, if none of the roots of the local Alexander polynomials along some irreducible component of V is a root of unity of order d :

Example 4.6. (compare [25])

Suppose that V is a rational homology manifold having only isolated singularities. Assume V is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity and all the singularities p of V locally look like Brieskorn-type singularities:

$$x_1^{p_1} + \dots + x_{n+1}^{p_{n+1}} = 0$$

(i.e. near p a coordinate system can be selected so that V is given by this equation) and $\gcd(p_i, d) = 1$ for any i , where $d = \deg(V)$. If $\dim V = 1$, it suffices to make this assumption at all singular points along some irreducible component of V . Then $\chi_n(t) = 1$. Indeed, the characteristic polynomial of a Brieskorn singularity is $\chi_n(t) = \prod_{j_1, \dots, j_{n+1}} (t - \zeta_{j_1} \dots \zeta_{j_{n+1}})$, where ζ_{j_i} runs through all roots of unity of degree p_i different from 1 (see [32], theorem 9.1). Therefore, by the above divisibility theorem (4.5), none of the roots of $\chi_n(t)$ is a root of unity of degree d and, using Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 2.1, we conclude that χ_n must be 1.

Remark 4.7. This example can be generalized to hypersurfaces with non-isolated singularities, by requiring that the local monodromies of link pairs of strata contained in some irreducible component of V have orders which are relatively prime to d . Then Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 yield that all the global (intersection) Alexander polynomials associated to (the complement of) V are powers of $t - 1$.

We will end this section by relating the global (intersection) Alexander modules of the hypersurface V to the 'modules at infinity'. In the particular case of a hypersurface with only isolated singularities, our theorem is a version of Theorem 4.5 of [26] or, in the case $n = 1$, of Theorem 4.1 (2) of [28]. We will need Lemma 8.4.7 of [21] and Artin's vanishing theorem for perverse sheaves on Stein spaces ([37], Corollary 6.0.4).

Theorem 4.8. Let V be a reduced projective hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , which is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity, H . Let S_1 be a sphere of sufficiently large radius in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \cup H$ (or equivalently, the boundary of a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of H in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}). Then for all $i < n$,

$$IH_i^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H) = H^{i-1}(S_1; IC_m) = H_i(U_1^c; \mathbb{Q})$$

and $IH_n^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$ is a quotient of $H^{n-1}(S_1; IC_m) = H_n(U_1^c; \mathbb{Q})$, where U_1^c is the infinite cyclic cover of $S_1 \cap (V \setminus S_1)$ corresponding to the linking number with $V \setminus S_1$ (cf. [26]).

Note. If V is an irreducible curve of degree d in \mathbb{CP}^2 , in general position at infinity, then the associated 'polynomial at infinity', i.e. the order of $H_1(U_1^c; \mathbb{Q})$, is $(t-1)(t^d-1)^{d-2}$. This fact is used to show that the zeros of the global Alexander polynomial of the curve are roots of unity of order d (see [27], [28]).

Proof. Choose coordinates $(z_0 : \dots : z_n : z_{n+1})$ in the projective space such that $H = \{z_{n+1} = 0\}$ and $O = (0 : \dots : 0 : 1)$ is the origin in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . Define

$$\rho : \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+; \quad \rho := \frac{|z_{n+1}|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n |z_i|^2}$$

Note that ρ is well-defined, it is real analytic and proper,

$$\rho|_H = 1; \quad \rho^{-1}(0) = H \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^{-1}(1) = O.$$

Since ρ has only finitely many critical values, there is sufficiently small ϵ such that the interval $(0; \epsilon]$ contains no critical values. Set $U = \rho^{-1}((0; \epsilon])$, a tubular neighborhood of H in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} and note that $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus U$ is a closed large ball of radius $R = \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus H$.

Lemma 8.4.7 (a) of [21] applied to ρ and IC_m , together with $IC_m|_H = 0$, yield:

$$H^i(U; IC_m) = H^i(H; IC_m) = 0$$

and therefore, by the hypercohomology long exact sequence, we obtain the isomorphism:

$$H^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; IC_m) = H^i_{\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus U}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; IC_m)$$

Note that, for $i : \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$ the inclusion,

$$\begin{aligned} H^i_{\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus U}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; IC_m) &= H^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus U; i^! IC_m) = H^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; i_* i^! IC_m) \\ &= H^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; i_* i^! IC_m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H^i(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; U; IC_m) \\ &= H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; U \setminus H; IC_m); \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is the excision of H (see for example [31], x1; [6], Remark 2.4.2 (ii)).

If k is the open inclusion of the affine space in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , then $k_* IC_m[-n-1]$ is perverse with respect to the middle perversity (since k is the open inclusion and the functor k^* is t-exact). Therefore, by Artin's vanishing theorem for perverse sheaves ([37], Corollary 6.0.4), we obtain:

$$H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; k_* IC_m) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < n+1:$$

The above vanishing and the long exact sequence of the pair $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; U \setminus H)$ yield the isomorphisms:

$$H^i_{\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus U}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; IC_m) = H^{i-1}(U \setminus H; IC_m) \quad \text{if } i < n$$

and

$$H^{n-1}(U \setminus H; IC_m) \rightarrow H^n_{\mathbb{CP}^{n+1} \setminus U}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; IC_m) \text{ is an epimorphism.}$$

Note that $U \setminus H = \rho^{-1}((0; \epsilon])$ and by Lemma 8.4.7 (c) of [21] we obtain the isomorphism:

$$H^i(U \setminus H; IC_m) = H^i(S_1; IC_m)$$

where $S_1 = \rho^{-1}((0; \epsilon])$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$.

Next, using the fact that $IC_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = 0$, we obtain a sequence of isomorphisms as follows: for $i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{i-1}(S_1; IC_m) &= H_c^{i-1}(S_1 \cap (V \setminus S_1); IC_m) \\ &= H_c^{i-1}(S_1 \cap (V \setminus S_1); L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes 1-n}) \\ &= H_c^{i+2n+1}(S_1 \cap (V \setminus S_1); L) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} H_i(S_1 \cap (V \setminus S_1); L) \\ &= H_i(U_1^c; \mathbb{Q}); \end{aligned}$$

where L is given on $S_1 \cap (V \setminus S_1)$ by the linking number with $V \setminus S_1$, (1) is the Poincaré duality isomorphism ([3], Theorem V.9.3), and U_1^c is the infinite cyclic cover of $S_1 \cap (V \setminus S_1)$ corresponding to the linking number with $V \setminus S_1$ (cf. [26]).

5. On the Milnor fiber of a projective arrangement of hypersurfaces

In this section, we apply the preceding results to the case of a hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$, which is a projective cone over a reduced hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$. As an application to Theorem 4.2, we obtain restrictions on the eigenvalues of the monodromy operators acting on the homology of the Milnor fiber of the projective arrangement defined by Y in \mathbb{CP}^n .

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d > 1$, and let $Y = \{f = 0\}$ be the projective hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^n defined by f . Assume that the polynomial f is square-free and let $f = f_1 \cdots f_s$ be the decomposition of f as a product of irreducible factors. Then $Y_i = \{f_i = 0\}$ are precisely the irreducible components of the hypersurface Y , and we refer to this situation by saying that we have a hypersurface arrangement $A = (Y_i)_{i=1,s}$ in \mathbb{CP}^n .

The Milnor fiber of the arrangement A is defined as the fiber $F = f^{-1}(1)$ of the global Milnor fibration $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the (homogeneous) polynomial f ; here $U = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus f^{-1}(0)$ is the complement of the central arrangement $A = f^{-1}(0)$ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , the cone on A . F has as characteristic homeomorphism $h : F \rightarrow F$ the mapping given by $h(x) = \exp(2\pi i/d)x$ with $\exp(2\pi i/d) = \exp(2\pi i/d)$. This formula shows that $h^d = \text{id}$ and hence the induced morphisms $h_q : H_q(F) \rightarrow H_q(F)$ at the homology level are all diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} , with eigenvalues among the d -th roots of unity. Denote by $P_q(t)$ the characteristic polynomial of the monodromy operator h_q .

Note that the Milnor fiber F is homotopy equivalent to the infinite cyclic cover U^c of U , corresponding to the homeomorphism $Z^s = H_1(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ sending a meridian generator about a component of A to the positive generator of \mathbb{Z} . With this identification, the monodromy homeomorphism h corresponds precisely to a generator of the group of covering transformations (see [6], p. 106–107).

It's easy to see that $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$, the projective cone on Y , is in general position at infinity, where we identify the hyperplane at infinity, H , with the projective space on which Y is defined as a hypersurface. Denote the irreducible components of V by V_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$, each of which is the projective cone over the corresponding component of Y . Theorem 4.2 applied to $F \rightarrow U^c$ and to the hypersurface V , provides obstructions on the eigenvalues of the monodromy operators acting on the homology of the Milnor fiber F . More precisely, we obtain the following result

concerning the prime divisors of the polynomials $P_q(t)$, for $q \leq n-1$ (compare [29], Theorem 3.1):

Proposition 5.1. Let $Y = (Y_i)_{i=1,s}$ be a hypersurface arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^n , and X an arbitrary component, say Y_1 . Let F be the Milnor fibre of the arrangement. Fix a Whitney stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^n; Y)$ and denote by Y the set of (open) singular strata. Then for $q \leq n-1$, a prime ℓ divides the characteristic polynomial $P_q(t)$ of the monodromy operator h_q only if ℓ divides one of the polynomials $P_1^s(t)$ associated to the local Alexander modules $H_1(S^{2n-2s-1}; K^{2n-2s-3}; \cdot)$ corresponding to link pairs $(S^{2n-2s-1}; K^{2n-2s-3})$ of components of strata $V \supset Y$ of complex dimension s ($0 \leq s \leq n-1$) with $V \cap Y_1$, for ℓ satisfying the following conditions: $0 \leq \ell \leq n-s-1$ and $0 \leq q \leq 2s+1$.

Proof. There is an identification $P_q(t) = \chi_q(t)$, where $\chi_q(t)$ is the global Alexander polynomial of the hypersurface V , i.e. the order of the torsion module $H_q(U^c; \mathbb{Q}) = IH_q^m(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; L_H)$. We consider a topological stratification S on V induced by that of Y . From the proof of Theorem 4.2, we recall that, for $q \leq n-1$, only polynomials associated to local Alexander modules of link pairs of strata $S \setminus H$ of $V_1 \setminus H = Y_1$ in $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V \cup H)$ can contribute to the prime factors of the global polynomial $\chi_q(t)$. By Remark 3.3, these local polynomials can be expressed in terms of the local Alexander polynomials of link pairs of strata S of V_1 in $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$, or equivalently, in terms of the link pairs of strata of Y_1 in $(H = \mathbb{CP}^n; V \setminus H = Y)$. By Lemma 4.3 we obtain the desired conclusion.

Note. The polynomials $P_i(t)$, $i = 0; \dots, n$, are related by the following formula (see [6], (4.1.21) or [7], (6.1.10)):

$$\sum_{q=0}^n P_q(t)^{(-1)^{q+1}} = (1 - t^d)^{-(F) = d}$$

where (F) is the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fibre. If, in addition, we assume that Y has only isolated singularities in \mathbb{CP}^n , say at the points $p_1; \dots, p_m$, then the Euler characteristic of F can be calculated by the formula ([6], (5.4.5)):

$$(F) = 1 + (-1)^n [(d-1)^{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^m d^{n-1} \mu(p_i)]$$

where $\mu(p_i)$ is the Milnor number of the isolated singularity germ $(Y; p_i)$.

If $Y \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ has only isolated singularities, the proof of the previous proposition can be strengthened to obtain the following result, similar to [7], Corollary 6.4.16 (see also [6], (6.3.9)):

Proposition 5.2. With the above notations, if Y has only isolated singularities, then the polynomial P_{n-1} divides (up to a power of $t-1$) the product of the local Alexander polynomials associated to the singular points of Y contained in Y_1 .

A direct consequence of Proposition 5.1 is the following:

Corollary 5.3. If $\epsilon \neq 1$ is a d -th root of unity such that ϵ is not an eigenvalue of any of the local monodromies corresponding to link pairs of singular strata of Y_1 in a stratification of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^n; Y)$, then ϵ is not an eigenvalue of the monodromy operators acting on $H_q(F)$ for $q \leq n-1$.

Using the fact that normal crossing divisor germs have trivial monodromy operators ([6], (5.2.21.ii); [7], (6.1.8.i)), we also obtain the following (compare [5], Corollary 16):

Corollary 5.4. Let $A = (Y_i)_{i=1,s}$ be a hypersurface arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^n , and x one irreducible component, say Y_1 . Assume that $\bigcap_{i=1,s} Y_i$ is a normal crossing divisor at any point $x \in Y_1$. Then the monodromy action on $H_q(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial for $q \leq n-1$.

6. Examples

We will now show, by explicit calculations on examples, how to combine Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 in order to obtain information on the Alexander modules of a hypersurface. Our theorems provide obstructions on the prime factors of the global invariants: for examples, all such prime divisors of the global Alexander polynomials of a degree d hypersurface, must be also divisors of $t^d - 1$.

We start with few remarks on the local Alexander polynomials of link pairs of strata of $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$. Let s be the complex dimension of a (component of a) stratum $V \supset S$, and consider $(S^{2n-2s+1}; K) \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} (S; K)$, the link pair in $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$ of a point $x \in V$. This is in general a singular algebraic link, obtained by intersecting $(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}; V)$ with a small sphere centered at x , in a submanifold of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} of dimension $n-s+1$, which meets the s -dimensional stratum transversally at x . We define, as usual, a local system on the link complement, $S \setminus K$, with stalk and action of the fundamental group given by $\pi_1(S \setminus K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes k})$. The classical Alexander modules of the link pair are defined as $H^*(S \setminus K; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(\hat{S} \setminus K; \mathbb{Q})$, where $\hat{S} \setminus K$ is the infinite cyclic covering of the link complement defined geometrically by the total linking number with K , i.e. the covering associated to the kernel of the epimorphism $\pi_1(S \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, which maps the meridian generator loops around components of K to 1. The \mathbb{Q} -module structure on $H^*(\hat{S} \setminus K; \mathbb{Q})$ is induced by the action of the covering transformations. Note that $H^*(S \setminus K; \mathbb{Q})$ is torsion \mathbb{Q} -module since algebraic knots are of finite type ([4], Proposition 2.2).

For every algebraic link $(S; K)$ as above, there is an associated Milnor fibration ([32]): ${}^sF \rightarrow S \setminus K \rightarrow S^1$, where sF is the Milnor fiber. Whenever we refer to objects associated to an s -dimensional stratum, we will use the superscript s . It is known that sF has the homotopy type of a $(n-s)$ -dimensional CW complex ([32], Theorem 5.1). We regard $H^*({}^sF; \mathbb{Q})$ as a \mathbb{Q} -module, with the multiplication defined by the rule: $t \cdot a = {}^sh(a)$, where ${}^sh: {}^sF \rightarrow {}^sF$ is the monodromy homeomorphism of the Milnor fibration. Note that the inclusion ${}^sF \hookrightarrow S \setminus K$ is, up to homotopy equivalence, the infinite cyclic covering $\hat{S} \setminus K$ of the link complement defined by the total linking number with K (more precisely, $\hat{S} \setminus K$ is homotomorphic to ${}^sF \times \mathbb{R}$; note that sF is connected since we work with reduced singularities). With this identification, the monodromy homeomorphism of sF corresponds precisely to a generator of the group of covering transformations. It follows that the classical Alexander polynomials of the link pair can be identified with the characteristic polynomials ${}^s(t) = \det(tI - {}^sh)$ of the monodromy operators sh .

Note that, in general, the Milnor fibre associated to an algebraic knot has a certain degree of connectivity. For example, in the case of an isolated singularity,

the Milnor fibre is homotopy equivalent to a join of spheres of its middle dimension ([32]). Results on the homotopy type of the Milnor fibre of a non-isolated hypersurface singularity and homology calculations can be found, for example, in [34] and [36].

Example 6.1: One-dimensional singular locus

Let V be the trifold in $\mathbb{CP}^4 = f(x : y : z : t : v)g$, defined by the polynomial: $y^2z + x^3 + tx^2 + v^3 = 0$. The singular locus of V is the projective line $\text{Sing}(V) = f(0 : 0 : z : t : 0); z, t \in \mathbb{C}g$. We let $ft = 0g$ be the hyperplane H at infinity. Then $V \setminus H$ is the surface in $\mathbb{CP}^3 = f(x : y : z : v)g$ defined by the equation $y^2z + x^3 + v^3 = 0$, having the point $(0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$ as its singular set. Thus, $\text{Sing}(V \setminus H) = \text{Sing}(V) \setminus H$. Let X be the affine part of V , i.e., defined by the polynomial $y^2z + x^3 + x^2 + v^3 = 0$. Then $\text{Sing}(X) = f(0; 0; z; 0)g = f(0 : 0 : z : 1 : 0)g = \text{Sing}(V) \setminus X$ is the z -axis of $\mathbb{C}^4 = f(x; y; z; v)g$, and it's clear that the origin $(0; 0; 0; 0) = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$ looks different than any other point on the z -axis: the tangent cone at the point $(0; 0; \cdot; 0)$ is represented by two planes for $\neq 0$ and degenerates to a double plane for $= 0$. Therefore we give the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^4; V)$ the following Whitney stratification:

$$\mathbb{CP}^4 \supset V \supset \text{Sing}(V) \supset (0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$$

It's clear that V is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity.

In our example ($n = 3, k = 1$) we are interested in describing the prime factors of the global Alexander polynomials $\alpha_2(t)$ and $\alpha_3(t)$ (note that $\alpha_1(t) = 1$, as $n - k = 2$; cf. [26], see also Appendix A). In order to describe the local Alexander polynomials of link pairs of singular strata of V , we will use the results of [34] and [36]. We will not mention the trivial polynomials, produced by the (high) connectivity of the corresponding Milnor fibres (cf [26]).

The link pair of the top stratum of V is $(S^1; \cdot)$, and the only prime factor that may contribute to the global Alexander polynomials is t^{-1} , the order of $H_0(S^1; \cdot)$.

Next, the link of the stratum $\text{Sing}(V) = f(0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0)g$ is the algebraic knot in a 5-sphere $S^5 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ given by the intersection of the affine variety $fy^2 + x^3 + v^3 = 0g$ in $\mathbb{C}^3 = f(x; y; v)g$ (where $t = 0, z = 1$) with a small sphere about the origin $(0; 0; 0)$. (To see this, choose the hyperplane $V(t) = f(x : y : z : 0 : v)g$ which is transversal to the singular set $V(x; y; v)$, and consider an affine neighborhood of their intersection $(0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$ in $V(t) = \mathbb{CP}^3$.) The polynomial $y^2 + x^3 + v^3$ is weighted homogeneous of Brieskorn type, hence ([32], [34]) the associated Milnor fibre is simply-connected, homotopy equivalent to $f2 \text{ points}g \sqcup f3 \text{ points}g \sqcup f3 \text{ points}g$, and the characteristic polynomial of the monodromy operator acting on $H_2(F; \mathbb{Q})$ is $(t+1)^2(t^2 - t + 1)$.

Finally, the link pair of the zero-dimensional stratum, $f(0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0)g$, (the origin in the affine space $ft = 1g$), is the algebraic knot in a 7-sphere, obtained by intersecting the affine variety $y^2z + x^3 + x^2 + v^3 = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^4 = f(x; y; z; v)g$ with a small sphere about the origin. Since we work in a neighborhood of the origin, by an analytic change of coordinates, this is the same as the link pair of the origin in the variety $y^2z + x^2 + v^3 = 0$. Therefore the Milnor fibre of the associated Milnor fibration is the join of $fx^2 = 1g, fy^2z = 1g$ and $fv^3 = 1g$, i.e., it is homotopy equivalent to $S^2 \sqcup f3 \text{ points}g \sqcup f3 \text{ points}g$, i.e., $S^3 \setminus S^3$ ([32], [34]). Moreover, denoting by χ_f the characteristic polynomials of the weighted homogeneous polynomial f , we obtain ([34], Theorem 6): $\chi_{x^2 + v^3 + y^2z}(t) = \chi_{x^2 + v^3 + yz}(t) = \chi_{x^2 + v^3}(t) = t^2 - t + 1$.

Note that the above links, $K^3 \subset S^5$ and $K^5 \subset S^7$, are rational homology spheres since none of the characteristic polynomials of their associated Milnor fibers has the trivial eigenvalue 1 (see [36], Proposition 3.6). Therefore V , and hence $V \setminus H$, are rational homology manifolds (see the discussion preceding Proposition 2.1). Then, by Proposition 2.1, $t - 1$ cannot be a prime factor of the global Alexander polynomials of V . Also note that the local Alexander polynomials of links of the singular strata of V have prime divisors none of which divides $t^3 - 1$, thus, by Theorem 4.1, they cannot appear among the prime divisors of $\rho_2(t)$ and $\rho_3(t)$.

Altogether, we conclude that $\rho_0(t) = t - 1$, $\rho_1(t) = 1$, $\rho_2(t) = 1$ and $\rho_3(t) = 1$.

Note. The above example can be easily generalized to provide hypersurfaces of any dimension, with a one-dimensional singular locus and trivial global Alexander polynomials. This can be done by adding cubes of new variables to the polynomial $y^2z + x^3 + tx^2 + v^3$.

Example 6.2: An isolated singularity

Let V be the surface in \mathbb{CP}^3 , defined by the polynomial: $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + tz^2 = 0$. The singular locus of V is a point $\text{Sing}(V) = f(0 : 0 : 0 : 1)g$. We let $ft = 0g$ be the hyperplane H at infinity and note that $V \setminus H$ is a nonsingular hypersurface in H (defined by the zeros of polynomial $x^3 + y^3 + z^3$). Hence H is transversal on V (in the stratified sense). The only nontrivial global Alexander polynomial (besides $\rho_0(t) = t - 1$) may be $\rho_2(t)$, and its prime divisors are either $t - 1$ or prime divisors of the local Alexander polynomial of the link pair of the isolated singular point of V . The link pair of $f(0 : 0 : 0 : 1)g$ in $(\mathbb{CP}^3; V)$ is the algebraic knot in a 5-sphere, obtained by intersecting the affine variety $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + z^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{C}^3 with a small sphere about the origin. Since we work in a neighborhood of the origin, by an analytic change of coordinates, this is the same as the link pair of the origin in the variety $x^3 + y^3 + z^2 = 0$. The polynomial $x^3 + y^3 + z^2$ is weighted homogeneous of Brieskorn type, hence ([32], [34]) the characteristic polynomial of the monodromy homeomorphism of the associated Milnor fibration is $(t + 1)^2(t^2 - t + 1)$. Note that none of the roots of the latter polynomial is a root of unity of order 3, hence none of its prime factors may appear as a prime factor of $\rho_2(t)$ (by Theorem 4.1). Moreover, the link of the isolated singular point is a rational homology sphere, as the monodromy operator of the associated Milnor fibration has no trivial eigenvalue ([6], Theorem 3.4.10 (A)). Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, $t - 1$ is not a prime factor of $\rho_2(t)$. Altogether, we conclude that $\rho_2(t) = 1$ and the Alexander module is trivial.

Note that the same answer is obtained by using Corollary 4.9 of [26]. Indeed, we have an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules: $H_2(\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus V \cup [H; \infty)) = H_2(M_f; \mathbb{Q})$, where M_f is the Milnor fiber at the origin, as a non-isolated hypersurface singularity in \mathbb{C}^4 , of the polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f(x; y; z; t) = x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + tz^2$. The module structure on $H_2(M_f; \mathbb{Q})$ is given by the action on the monodromy operator. By a linear change of coordinates, we can work instead with the polynomial $x^3 + y^3 + tz^2$. Note that the Milnor fiber of the latter is 3 points \times 3 points \times \mathbb{S}^1 . Hence the formula of the homology of a join ([6], (3.3.20)) shows that the module $H_2(M_f; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial.

In the above examples, our theorems are used to show the triviality of the global Alexander modules. But these global objects are not always trivial.

Example 6.3: Manifold singularity

Consider the hypersurface V in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} defined by the zeros of the polynomial: $f(z_0; \dots, z_n) = (z_0)^2 + (z_1)^2 + \dots + z_n(\bar{z})^2$. Assume that $n - k - 2$ is even. The singular set $\Sigma = V(z_0; \dots, z_n; \bar{z}) = \mathbb{CP}^k$ is non-singular. Choose a generic hyperplane, H , for example $H = fz_n = 0$. The link of Σ is the algebraic knot in a $(2n - 2k + 1)$ -sphere $S^{2n - 2k + 1}$ given by the intersection of the affine variety $(z_0)^2 + (z_1)^2 + \dots + z_n(\bar{z})^2 = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n - k + 1}$ with a small sphere about the origin. As $n - k$ is even, the link of the singularity (in the sense of [32]) is a rational homology sphere and the associated Alexander polynomial of the knot complement is $t^{-(n - k + 1)} = t + 1$. Hence the prime factors of the intersection Alexander polynomials of the hypersurface are either $t + 1$ or $t - 1$. However, since the links of singular strata are rational homology spheres, we conclude (by using Proposition 2.1 and [26], Corollary 4.9) that $\mu_{n - k}(t) = t + 1$ and all the $\mu_j(t); n - k < j \leq n$, are multiples of $t + 1$. Also note that in this case, $\mu_j(t) = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - k - 1$.

Sometimes it is possible to calculate explicitly the global Alexander polynomials, as we will see in the next example:

Example 6.4: Let V be the surface in \mathbb{CP}^3 , defined by the homogeneous polynomial of degree d : $f(x; y; z; t) = x^{d-1}z + xt^{d-1} + y^d + xyt^{d-2}$, $d \geq 3$. The singular locus of V is a point: $\text{Sing}(V) = f(0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$. We fix a generic hyperplane, H . Then the (intersection) Alexander modules of V are defined and the only 'non-trivial' Alexander polynomial of the hypersurface is $\mu_2(t)$. Note that, by Corollary 4.9 of [26], there is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules: $H_2(\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus V; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(M_f; \mathbb{Q})$, where M_f is the Milnor fiber at the origin, as a non-isolated hypersurface singularity in \mathbb{C}^4 , of the polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f(x; y; z; t) = x^{d-1}z + xt^{d-1} + y^d + xyt^{d-2}$, and where the module structure on $H_2(M_f; \mathbb{Q})$ is given by the action on the monodromy operator. Moreover, by [6], Example 4.1.26, the characteristic polynomial of the latter is $t^{d-1} + t^{d-2} + \dots + 1$. Therefore, $\mu(t) = t^{d-1} + t^{d-2} + \dots + 1$.

7. APPENDICES

Appendix A. Topology of complements to hypersurfaces

In this section, we recall some basic facts on the topology of complements of hypersurfaces. The reference is [26], Section 1. See also [27], [28] for the case of projective curves in the projective plane.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $P(z_1; \dots, z_{n+1})$ be a reduced (square-free) polynomial of degree d . Let $X \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$ be the hypersurface defined by the zeros of P and \bar{X} its projective closure. Denote by $H = fz_{n+1} = 0$ the hyperplane at infinity. We will assume that \bar{X} is transversal to H and say that ' X is transversal to the hyperplane at infinity'.

Alternatively, we may consider a hypersurface V of degree d in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , with $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sing}(V)$. We shall fix a generic hyperplane H (i.e. transversal to the strata of the singular locus of V).

Lem m a A .1. (see [26], Lem m a 1.1)

Let X be a nonsingular hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$, with \overline{X} nonsingular and transversal to the hyperplane at infinity (resp. a nonsingular hypersurface V in $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$). Then:

$$H_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X) = 0 \text{ (resp: } H_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus V) = 0) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

and:

$$H_1(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X) = \mathbb{Z} \text{ (resp: } \mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}; d \text{ is the degree of } V \text{):}$$

As a consequence, if $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X$ is the infinite cyclic (and universal) cover of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X$, then $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X$ is n -connected. Therefore, by Hurewicz's theorem, we have: $H_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e. (after tensoring with \mathbb{Q}),

$$H_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X; \mathbb{Q}) = H_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n:$$

Here $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}] = \mathbb{Q}[t; t^{-1}]$ and we use the notations from [20], x3.1.

D e f i n i t i o n A .2. Let V be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, and let H be a hyperplane. A point of V is called 'a singular point at infinity' if it is a singular point of $V \setminus H$. The subvariety of singular points at infinity will be denoted as $\text{Sing}_\infty(V)$.

Alternatively, if X is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, the singularities at infinity of X will be defined as:

$$\text{Sing}_\infty(X) = \text{Sing}(\overline{X} \setminus H)$$

where $H \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ is the hyperplane at infinity and $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ is the projective closure of X .

R e m a r k A .3.

If the hyperplane at infinity is transversal to \overline{X} , then

$$\text{Sing}_\infty(X) = \text{Sing}(\overline{X}) \setminus H$$

On the other hand, tangency to the hyperplane at infinity yields singularities at infinity even if the projective closure is nonsingular.

Lem m a A .4. (see [26], Lem m a 1.5)

Let V be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$, $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sing}(V) [\text{Sing}_\infty(V)]$. If $\mathbb{C}P^{n-k+1}$ is a generic linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ of codimension k , then $\mathbb{C}P^{n-k+1} \setminus V$ has isolated singularities including at infinity and:

$$H_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H)) = H_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-k+1} \setminus (V \cup H) \setminus \mathbb{C}P^{n-k+1})$$

Moreover, if $n-k \geq 2$ and the hyperplane H is generic, then:

$$H_1(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H)) = \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus (V \cup H)) = 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq n-k:$$

R e m a r k A .5.

Alternatively, if $X \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ is an affine hypersurface with the dimension of the singular locus equal to k ($n-k \geq 2$) and the hyperplane at infinity is transversal to all strata of a stratification of X , then:

$$H_i(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-k$$

$$H_1(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1} \setminus X) = \mathbb{Z}:$$

This follows from the previous Lemma and a Zariski theorem of Lefschetz type. As a consequence, if $CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H)$ is the infinite cyclic (and universal) cover of $CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H)$, then $CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H)$ is $n-k-1$ -connected. Therefore, by Hurewicz's theorem, we have: $H_i(CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-k-1$ and $H_{n-k}(CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H); \mathbb{Z}) = H_{n-k}(CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H))$, i.e. (after tensoring with \mathbb{Q}),

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H); \mathbb{Q}) &= 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-k-1 \\ H_{n-k}(CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H); \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{n-k}(CP^{n+1} \wedge (V \sqcup H)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{aligned}$$

Similar considerations hold for the complement of the affine hypersurface.

Appendix B. Intersection Homology

In this section, we recall Deligne's construction of the intersection homology complex and the definition of the intersection homology groups. The main references are [2], [14] and [15].

Definition B.1. Let X be a Hausdorff space. A filtration $X = \{X_i\}$:

$$X = X_n \supset X_{n-2} \supset X_{n-3} \supset \dots \supset X_0 = X \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset;$$

by closed subspaces, is said to be an n -dimensional topological stratification if:

$S_{n-k} = X_{n-k} \setminus X_{n-k-1}$ is a topological manifold of dimension $n-k$ (or the empty set)

$X \setminus X_{n-2}$ is dense in X

Local normal triviality: for each $x \in S_{n-k}$, there is a compact stratified pseudomanifold L (called the link of x) of dimension $k-1$:

$$L = L_{k-1} \supset L_{k-3} \supset \dots \supset L_0 = L \supset L_{-1} = \emptyset;$$

and a homeomorphism h of an open neighborhood U of x on the product $B \times L$, where B is a ball neighborhood of x in S_{n-k} and $B \times L$ is the open cone over L . Moreover, h preserves the stratifications, i.e. h maps homeomorphically $U \setminus X_{n-1}$ on $B \times L_{k-1}$. (by definition, the cone on L is just a point)

This is an inductive definition. A choice of such a topological stratification makes X into an n -dimensional topological stratified pseudomanifold. $X_{n-2} = \emptyset$ is called the singular locus of the stratified pseudomanifold X . $S_{n-k} = X_{n-k} \setminus X_{n-k-1}$, $k \geq 2$, are called the singular strata of X .

A filtered Hausdorff space X is an n -dimensional PL stratified pseudomanifold if X is a PL space, each X_{n-k} is a PL space, and, in the preceding definition, we replace topological manifolds with PL manifolds and homeomorphisms by PL homeomorphisms.

One can similarly define the notion of a (PL) stratified pair (see [4]).

We are mainly interested in the study of singular spaces which arise as algebraic varieties. We list here a few theorems which make possible the use of either of the two definitions of the intersection homology groups, which we will present below.

Theorem B.2. (a) (Whitney) Any quasi-projective variety X of pure dimension n has a Whitney stratification.

(b) ([2]) Any Whitney stratification of a complex quasi-projective variety X of pure dimension n makes X into a topological pseudomanifold of dimension $2n$ with strata

of even dimension.

(c) (Lojasiewicz) If S is a Whitney stratification of a complex quasi-projective variety X of pure dimension n , then there is a triangulation of X compatible with the stratification.

Definition B.3. ([14]) A perversity is a sequence of integers $p = (p_0; \dots; p_n)$ satisfying:

$$p_0 = p_1 = p_2 = 0 \text{ and } p_k \leq p_{k+1} \leq p_k + 1; k \geq 2$$

In [14, 15] perversities always satisfy $p_2 = 0$. Here we will also need to allow perversities with $p_2 = 1$ (and $p_{k+1} = p_k$ or $p_k + 1$), which are called superperversities ([10], [4]). In both cases, we may take as the definition of the intersection homology complex IC_p , the Deligne construction:

Let X be an n -dimensional topological stratified pseudomanifold, let $U_k = X \setminus X_{n-k}$, and let $i_k : U_k \hookrightarrow U_{k+1}$ be the inclusion; suppose L is a local system of R -modules over U_2 , R a ring. Set:

$$IC_p(U_2; L) = L[n]$$

and inductively define:

$$IC_p(U_{k+1}; L) = \bigoplus_{p_k \leq n-R} (i_k^!) IC_p(U_k; L):$$

The intersection homology complex becomes an object in the derived category of bounded, constructible sheaves on X , and it is uniquely characterized in the derived category by the following set of axioms:

Definition B.4. Let S be a differential graded sheaf on X . The set of axioms (AX) consists of the following conditions:

(AX 0) Normalization: $S_j = L[n]$

(AX 1) Lower bound: $H^i(S) = 0$, for $i < -n$

(AX 2) Stalk vanishing condition: $H^i(S_{j_{k+1}}) = 0$, for $i > p_k - n$, $k \geq 2$

(AX 3) Costalk vanishing condition: $H^i(j_k^! S) = 0$, for $i \geq p_k - k + 1$, $x \in X_{n-k} \setminus X_{n-k-1}$, where $j_k : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion of a point.

Definition B.5. If S satisfies the set of axioms (AX) , we let

$$IH_i^p(X; L) := H^{-i}(X; S)$$

and call them the intersection homology groups of X , with coefficients in L and supports in \emptyset .

Alternatively, if X is a n -dimensional PL stratified pseudomanifold and p is a classical perversity (i.e., $p(2) = 0$), the intersection homology groups can be defined as the total homology of a subcomplex IC^p of the ordinary locally finite PL chains $C(X)$. More precisely, $IC_i^p(X)$ is the set of PL i -chains c that intersect each X_{n-k} ($k > 0$) in a set of dimension at most $i - k + p_k$, and whose boundary ∂c intersects each X_{n-k} ($k > 0$) in a set of dimension at most $i - k - 1 + p_k$. We call such chains 'allowable' (their intersection with the strata of X is not 'too big', being controlled by the perversity p). Since these conditions are local, the $IC^p(U)$, for U open in X , form a sheaf of chain complexes, denoted $IC^p(X)$. We renumber $IC_i^p := IC_i^p(X)$.

For any stratification of an i -dimensional chain c which satisfies the allowability conditions, each i -dimensional stratum of c and each $(i-1)$ -dimensional stratum of c are contained in X_{n-k} . Thus we may speak of chains c with coefficients in L , whenever L is a local system of coefficients on X . We form, as above, the

complex $IC_p^{-i}(L) := IC_i^p(X; L)$. It turns out that this complex satisfies the set of axioms (AX), therefore it is quasi-isomorphic to the Deligne's complex.

Note. The constructions in the last paragraph require the use of classical perversities. For a more general approach, including the case of superperversities, see [11].

Recall the formula for the stalk calculation of the intersection homology complex ([2], (3.15)): if $x \in X_{n-k} \setminus X_{n-k-1}$:

$$H^q(IC_p)_x = \begin{cases} IH_{q-(n-k+1)}^p(L_x; L|_{L_x}); & q \leq p_k - n \\ 0; & q > p_k - n \end{cases}$$

where L_x is the link of the component of $X_{n-k} \setminus X_{n-k-1}$ containing x .

We end this section by recalling Artin's vanishing results for the intersection homology groups of an affine algebraic variety.

Proposition B.6. ([37], Example 6.0.6) Let X be a complex affine variety (Stein space) of pure dimension n . Fix a Whitney stratification of X and a local system L defined on the top stratum. We may also assume that the base ring is a PID. Then:

$${}^cIH_k^m(X; L) = 0 \text{ for } k > n$$

$$IH_k^m(X; L) = 0 \text{ for } k < n:$$

If the stalks of L are torsion free, then ${}^cIH_k^m(X; L)$ is also torsion free.

Remark B.7.

- 1) The above result is also true for the logarithmic perversity l (see [37]).
- 2) In contrast, if the stalks of the local system L are torsion modules, and X is an affine algebraic of complex dimension n , then $IH_n^m(X; L)$ is also a torsion module ([37], Corollary 6.0.3 and Corollary 6.0.4).

References

- [1] Beilinson, A. A., Bernstein, J., Deligne, P., Faisceaux pervers, Asterisque 100 (1982)
- [2] Borel, A. et. al., Intersection cohomology, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 50, Birkhauser, Boston, 1984
- [3] Bredon, G., Sheaf Theory, Springer, GTM 170
- [4] Cappell, S., Shaneson, J., Singular spaces, characteristic classes, and intersection homology, Annals of Mathematics, 134 (1991), 325-374
- [5] Cohen, D. C., Dimca, A., Orlik, P., Nonresonance conditions for arrangements, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 53, 6 (2003), 1883-1896
- [6] Dimca, A., Singularities and Topology of Hypersurfaces, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 1992
- [7] Dimca, A., Sheaves in Topology, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 2004
- [8] Dimca, A., Nemethi, A., Hypersurface complements, Alexander modules and Monodromy, math.AG/0201291. to appear in \Proceedings of the 7th Workshop on Real and Complex Singularities, Sao Carlos, 2002, AmerMathSoc (2004).
- [9] Dimca, A., Papadima, S., Hypersurface complements, Milnor fibers and higher homotopy groups of arrangements, Ann. of Math. (2), Vol. 158 (2003), no. 2, 473-507
- [10] Friedman, G., Intersection Alexander polynomials, Topology 43 (2004), 71-117
- [11] Friedman, G., Singular chain intersection homology for traditional and super-perversities, available at 'http://www.math.yale.edu/~friedman/'
- [12] Fiesler, K., Kaur, L., Vanishing theorems for the intersection homology of Stein spaces, Math. Z. 197 (1988), 153-176
- [13] Fiesler, K., Kaur, L., Theorems of Lefschetz type in intersection homology, I. The hyperplane section theorem, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 33 (1988), 3, 175-195
- [14] Goresky, M., MacPherson, R., Intersection homology theory, Topology 19 (1980), 135-162

- [15] Goresky, M., MacPherson, R., Intersection homology II, *Invent. Math.* 72 (1983), 77-129
- [16] Goresky, M., MacPherson, R., *Stratified Morse Theory*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1988
- [17] Goresky, M., Cohen, D.C., Lizhen, J., On the Kunneth formula for Intersection Cohomology, *Trans. AMS*, vol. 333(1), 1992, 63-69
- [18] Goresky, M., MacPherson, R., Morse Theory and Intersection homology theory, *Asterisque* 101-102 (1983), 135-193
- [19] Goresky, M., Siegel, P., Linking pairings on singular spaces, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 58 (1983), 96-110
- [20] Hatcher, A., *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002
- [21] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P., *Sheaves on Manifolds*, A series of comprehensive studies in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1990
- [22] King, H., Topological invariance of intersection homology without sheaves, *Topology and its Applications* 20 (1985), 149-160
- [23] King, H., Intersection homology and homology manifolds, *Topology* 21 (1982), 229-234
- [24] Levine, J., Polynomial invariants of knots of codimension two, *Annals of Mathematics*, 84 (1966), no.2, 537-554
- [25] Libgober, A., Homotopy groups of the complements to singular hypersurfaces *Bulletin of the AMS*, 13(1), 1985
- [26] Libgober, A., Homotopy groups of the complements to singular hypersurfaces, II *Annals of Mathematics*, 139(1994), 117-144
- [27] Libgober, A., Alexander polynomials of plane algebraic curves and cyclic multiple planes, *Duke Math. J.*, 49 (1982), 833-851
- [28] Libgober, A., Alexander invariants of plane algebraic curves, *Singularities, Proc. Sym. Pure Math.*, Vol. 40(2), 1983, 135-143
- [29] Libgober, A., Eigenvalues for the monodromy of the Milnor fibers of arrangements *Trends in Singularities*, 141-150, 2002 Birkhauser Verlag.
- [30] MacPherson, R., Intersection Homology and Perverse Sheaves, unpublished AMS notes, 1990
- [31] Massey, D.B., Introduction to perverse sheaves and vanishing cycles in Singularity Theory, *ICTP 1991*, Ed. D.T. Le, K. Saito, B. Teissier, 487-509
- [32] Milnor, J., Singular points of complex hypersurfaces, *Annals of Mathematical Studies* 61, vol. 50, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1968
- [33] Milnor, J., Infinite cyclic coverings, *Topology of Manifolds*, Boston 1967
- [34] Oka, M., On the homotopy types of hypersurfaces defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials, *Topology* 12, 19-32
- [35] Oka, M., A survey on Alexander polynomials of plane curves, available at <http://vkampen2.math.metro-u.ac.jp/~oka/papers/Survey.ps>.
- [36] Randell, R., On the topology of non-isolated singularities, *Geometric Topology (Proc. Georgia Topology Conf., Athens, Ga., 1977)*, 445-473, Academic Press, New York-London, 1979
- [37] Schumann, J., Topology of Singular Spaces and Constructible Sheaves, *Birkhauser, Monograph in Mathematics*. Vol. 63, 2003

Department of Mathematics,
 University of Pennsylvania,
 209 S 33rd St,
 Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395, USA
 e-mail: lmaxim@math.upenn.edu