

# INTERSECTIONS OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES AND HIGHEST WEIGHT VECTORS IN TENSOR PRODUCTS OF $sl_{N+1}$ -REPRESENTATIONS

I. SCHERBAK

ABSTRACT. There is a correspondence between highest weight vectors in the tensor product of finite-dimensional irreducible  $sl_{N+1}$ -modules labeled by distinct complex numbers, on the one hand, and elements of the intersection of the Schubert varieties taken with respect to the osculating flags of the normal rational curve at the points corresponding to these complex numbers, on the other hand, [MV1], [Sc1], [Sc3]. The highest weight vectors are the Bethe vectors of the  $sl_{N+1}$  Gaudin model and the elements are the  $(N+1)$ -dimensional non-degenerate planes in the vector space of complex polynomials.

The Bethe ansatz conjecture says that for generic choice of the complex numbers the Bethe vectors form a basis in the subspace of highest weight vectors of a given weight, for every weight. The corresponding Schubert calculus conjecture says that for generic choice of the complex numbers the intersection of the Schubert varieties is transversal and consists of non-degenerate planes only. By the moment, the both conjectures are proved for  $N = 1$  ([ScV], [Sc2]) and in some particular cases when  $N > 1$  ([MV2], [CSc]).

In the proofs of the Bethe Ansatz conjecture for  $N = 1$  ([ScV]) and for the tensor products of first and last fundamental representations for  $N > 1$  ([MV2]), the initial and the most sophisticated step was to find a Bethe vector in the tensor product of two representations. Relations to the Schubert calculus suggest to look for non-degenerate planes in place of Bethe vectors. In this work we find explicitly the non-degenerate planes that correspond to the Bethe vectors in the tensor products of two irreducible finite-dimensional  $sl_{N+1}$  representations such that one of them is a symmetric power of the standard one.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *partition*  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$  is a collection of non-negative integers in weakly decreasing order,  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_N$ . A partition with at most one non-zero entry is called *special* and denoted by  $(w_1)$ .

As it is well-known ([Fu]), any partition  $\mathbf{w}$  defines the finite-dimensional irreducible  $sl_{N+1}$ -representation  $L_{\mathbf{w}}$  with highest weight  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{w}} = w_1\lambda_1 + \dots + w_N\lambda_N \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , where

$$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1}\} / (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{N+1} = 0)$$

is the dual to the Cartan subalgebra. The same partition determines also a Schubert variety  $\Omega_{\mathbf{w}}$  of the complex codimension

$$|\mathbf{w}| = w_1 + \dots + w_N$$

in the Grassmannian of  $(N + 1)$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ , where  $d \geq N + w_1$ . If a partition is special,  $\mathbf{w} = (m)$ , then  $L_{(m)}$  is the  $m$ -th symmetric power of the standard  $sl_{N+1}$ -representation, and  $\Omega_{(m)}$  is a special Schubert variety.

This correspondence between highest weight representations and Schubert varieties can be extended to a correspondence between highest weight vectors in the tensor product of finite-dimensional irreducible  $sl_{N+1}$ -modules labeled by distinct complex numbers, on the one hand, and elements of the intersection of the Schubert varieties taken with respect to the osculating flags of the normal rational curve at the points corresponding to these complex numbers, on the other hand, [MV1, Section 5], [Sc1] (the case  $N = 1$  has been done in [Sc3]).

Such highest weight vectors are common eigenvectors of certain operators in the Gaudin model of statistical mechanics. They are obtained by the Bethe Ansatz method and called *Bethe vectors*, see [FaT], [FeFR], [RV] and references therein. The corresponding elements of the intersection of Schubert varieties are the *non-degenerate planes* in the vector space of complex polynomials, [Sc1], [Sc3].

The relation between Bethe vectors and non-degenerate planes, which is crucial in this work, is given by a remarkable symmetric rational function called *the master function of the model* in the Bethe Ansatz ([ScV], [MV1]) and *the generating function of the Schubert intersection* in the Schubert calculus ([Sc2]–[Sc4]). Both the Bethe vectors and the non-degenerate planes are in a one-to-one correspondence with orbits of critical points with non-zero critical value of this function.

Fix partitions  $\mathbf{w}(1), \dots, \mathbf{w}(n), \mathbf{w}(n+1)$  such that the sum of codimensions equals the dimension of the Grassmannian,

$$|\mathbf{w}(1)| + \dots + |\mathbf{w}(n+1)| = (N + 1)(N - d).$$

Let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be distinct complex numbers,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . These data determine a master function, as well as the Bethe vectors and the non-degenerate planes. Everywhere in the text, the words “generic  $z$ ” mean “ $z$  does not belong to a suitable proper algebraic surface in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ”.

**Conjecture 1.** (The Schubert calculus conjecture, [Sc1], [Sc4]) *For generic  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  the intersection of Schubert varieties*

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{w}(1)}(z_1) \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(n)}(z_n) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(n+1)}(\infty),$$

*where  $\Omega_{\mathbf{w}}(\xi)$  is taken with respect to the osculating flag of the normal rational curve at  $\xi$ , is transversal and consists of non-degenerate planes only.*

In the case when at least  $n - 1$  of partitions  $\mathbf{w}(1), \dots, \mathbf{w}(n+1)$  are special, transversality of the intersection has been proved in [EH].

Denote by  $\mathbf{w}^*(n+1)$  the partition dual to  $\mathbf{w}(n+1)$ .

**Conjecture 2.** (The Bethe Ansatz conjecture, [RV], [F2]) *For generic choice of  $n$  distinct complex numbers  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , the Bethe vectors of the weight  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{w}^*(n+1)}$  form a basis in the subspace of singular vectors of this weight in the tensor product  $L = L_{\mathbf{w}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\mathbf{w}(n)}$ .*

**Conjecture 3.** (Non-triviality of Bethe vectors, [CSc]) *In the  $sl_{N+1}(\mathbb{C})$  Gaudin model, every Bethe vector is non-zero, for any  $z$ . For some values of  $z$  the number of Bethe vectors may decrease, but the Bethe vectors still are non-zero.*

Conjecture 1 follows from Conjecture 2, according to [Sc1] or [MV1, Section 5]. Results of E. Frenkel ([F2], see also [F3]) on Bethe vectors allow to deduce the Bethe Ansatz conjecture from the Schubert calculus conjecture and Conjecture 3.

The Bethe Ansatz and the Schubert calculus conjectures have been proved for  $N = 1$  ([ScV], [Sc2]) and in some particular cases when  $N > 1$  ([MV2], [CSc]). Conjecture 3 was verified in certain examples in [MV2] and [CSc].

Case  $n = 2$  is basic. In this case all values of  $z$  are generic. In the Gaudin model, the operators are reduced to the Casimir operator. Let us reformulate Conjecture 2 for  $n = 2$ .

**Conjecture 4.** *The restriction of the Casimir operator to the subspace of singular vectors of a given weight in the tensor product of two irreducible finite-dimensional  $sl_{N+1}$  representations has an eigenbasis of Bethe vectors.*

The Bethe Ansatz conjecture could be deduced from this statement and Conjecture 3 by the method of iterated singular vectors of E. Mukhin, N. Reshetikhin and A. Varchenko ([RV], [MV2]). In the Schubert calculus, according to B. Osserman, transversality of the intersection of every three Schubert varieties implies, for generic  $z$ , transversality of the intersection of  $n + 1 > 3$  Schubert varieties, [O, Theorem 1.3].

In the proofs of Conjecture 2 for  $N = 1$  ([ScV]) and for the tensor product of several copies of first and last fundamental  $sl_{N+1}$ -modules ([MV2]), to find a Bethe vector for  $n = 2$  was a most sophisticated part of the proofs.

Relations to the Schubert calculus suggest to look for non-degenerate planes in place of Bethe vectors. In this paper first steps in this direction are done. We calculate explicitly the (single element of the) intersection of three Schubert varieties in the case when at least one of the partitions is special, these are Theorems 4, 5. The relation to Bethe vectors (Corollary 1) and results of E. Frenkel ([F2], see also our Sec. 2.6) imply that the obtained element is necessarily non-degenerate. Thus our calculation gives also a Bethe vector in the tensor product of two irreducible  $sl_{N+1}$ -representations where at least one of them is a symmetric power of the standard one. For  $N = 1$  the Bethe vector was calculated explicitly in [V], by another method.

Let us mention purely algebraic corollaries of our study (see Sec. 6.1). Define a “truncated binomial”

$$P_{m;d}(x) := 1 + dx + \binom{d}{2}x^2 + \cdots + \binom{d}{m}x^m,$$

where  $m, d$  are positive integers,  $m \leq d$ .

**Proposition 1.** (Truncated binomial properties)

- For  $0 < m < d$ , the truncated binomial  $P_{m;d}(x)$  do not have multiple roots.
- For  $0 \leq k < m \leq d$  the truncated binomials  $P_{m;d}(x)$  and  $P_{k;d}(x)$  do not have common roots.

- If  $0 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_N < m_{N+1} = d$ , then the Wronski determinant of polynomials  $P_{m_1;d}(x), \dots, P_{m_N;d}(x), P_{d;d}(x)$  is

$$\det \left( \frac{d^j}{dx^j} P_{m_i;d}(x) \right) = c \cdot x^{m_1 + \dots + m_N - N(N-1)/2} (x+1)^{d-N},$$

here  $0 \leq j \leq N$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N+1$ , and  $c$  is a non-zero constant.

While the first and the third items are easy, our proof of the second property is extremely non-direct and essentially uses results on Bethe vectors and Schubert intersections. The author tried to find a more direct proof but did not succeed.

**Plan of the paper** In Section 2 we collect data on Bethe vectors in the  $sl_{N+1}$  Gaudin model. In Section 3 we describe Schubert intersections and the generating function, following [Sc1], [Sc4]. In Section 4 relations between non-degenerate planes and Bethe vectors are explained. Section 5 is devoted to the basic case  $n = 2$ , and in Section 6 we calculate the intersection of three Schubert varieties when one of them is special.

**Acknowledgments.** This work has been done in April–June 2004, when the author visited the Mathematical Department of the Ohio State University. I am grateful to this institution for hospitality and excellent working conditions. It is my pleasure to thank S. Chmutov and E. Frenkel for many useful discussions.

## 2. BETHE VECTORS

**2.1. Finite-dimensional irreducible  $sl_{N+1}$ -modules.** For the basic notions of representation theory see for example [FuH].

Denote by  $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^N$  the standard Chevalley generators of the Lie algebra  $sl_{N+1}(\mathbb{C})$ ,

$$[h_i, e_i] = 2e_i, [h_i, f_i] = -2f_i, [e_i, f_i] = h_i; \quad [h_i, h_j] = 0, [e_i, f_j] = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j;$$

denote by  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  the dual to the Cartan subalgebra,

$$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1}\} / (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{N+1} = 0),$$

equipped with the standard bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . The simple positive roots are  $\alpha_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2; \quad (\alpha_i, \alpha_{i\pm 1}) = -1; \quad (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0, \text{ if } |i - j| > 1.$$

A partition  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$  defines the finite-dimensional irreducible  $sl_{N+1}$ -representation  $L_{\mathbf{w}}$  with highest weight  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{w}} = w_1\lambda_1 + \dots + w_N\lambda_N \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ .

**2.2. The Gaudin model** [G], [F3]. Fix  $n$  partitions  $\mathbf{w}(1), \dots, \mathbf{w}(n)$  and consider the tensor product

$$L = L_{\mathbf{w}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\mathbf{w}(n)},$$

where  $L_{\mathbf{w}(j)}$  is a finite-dimensional irreducible  $sl_{N+1}$ -module with the highest weight

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{w}(j)} = w_1(j)\lambda_1 + \dots + w_N(j)\lambda_N, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

In the Gaudin model of statistical mechanics,  $L_{\mathbf{w}(1)}, \dots, L_{\mathbf{w}(n)}$  are labeled by distinct complex numbers  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ , and  $L$  is called the space of states of the model. Write

$z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Bethe vectors are common eigenvectors of the mutually commuting linear operators  $H_1(z), \dots, H_n(z)$  in  $L$  which are defined as follows,

$$(1) \quad H_j(z) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{C_{ij}}{z_j - z_i}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

here  $C_{ij}$  acts as the Casimir operator on factors  $L_{\mathbf{w}(i)}$  and  $L_{\mathbf{w}(j)}$  of the tensor product and as the identity on all other factors.

The main problem in the Gaudin model is to find a common eigenbasis and the spectrum of  $H_1(z), \dots, H_n(z)$ . The operators commute with the diagonal action of  $sl_{N+1}$  in  $L$ , therefore it is enough to construct common eigenvectors in the subspace of singular vectors of a given weight, for every weight.

Choose highest weight vectors  $\mathbf{v}_j \in L_{\mathbf{w}(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Clearly  $\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{v}_n \in L$  has the maximal weight and is a common eigenvector of  $H_j(z)$ 's. The idea of the *Bethe Ansatz* is to construct eigenvectors of other weights by applying certain operators (depending on auxiliary parameters) to vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ . This idea was realized in [SV, Sections 6,7] for any simple Lie algebra, in the context of the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation, cf. [BaFl]. We explain the construction of V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko in the next subsection.

**2.3. The universal weight function** [SV, Sections 6,7], [MV2]. Highest weight vectors in the tensor product  $L$  have weights of the form

$$(2) \quad \Lambda(k) = \Lambda(1) + \dots + \Lambda(n) - k_1\alpha_1 - \dots - k_N\alpha_N,$$

where  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_N$  are non-negative integers such that  $(\Lambda(k), \alpha_j) \geq 0$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Fix such  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$ . We shall construct a function  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  depending on some auxiliary variables  $\mathbf{t}$  and taking values in the weight subspace  $L_{\Lambda(k)} \subset L$  of weight  $\Lambda(k)$ . As we will see in the next subsection, for certain values of the auxiliary variables, the values of this *universal weight function* will be common eigenvectors of the Gaudin operators  $H_j(z)$ 's. The universal weight function is constructed in four steps described below.

Step I is to choose vectors that generate the weight subspace  $L_{\Lambda(k)}$ .

Consider all  $n$ -tuples of words  $(\mathbf{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n)$  in letters  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  subject to the condition that the total number of occurrences of letter  $f_i$  is precisely  $k_i$ . Our vectors will be labeled by these  $n$ -tuples.

Namely, we may think about  $\mathbf{F}_i$  as an element of the universal enveloping algebra of  $sl_{N+1}$  that naturally acts on the space  $L_{\mathbf{w}(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the vector

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{u}_{(\mathbf{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n)} := \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{F}_n \mathbf{v}_n$$

has weight  $\Lambda(k)$ , and all such vectors generate the weight subspace  $L_{\Lambda(k)}$ . In general, their number is greater than the dimension of that subspace, so they are linearly dependent.

Step II For every  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , introduce a set of  $k_i$  auxiliary variables associated with the root  $\alpha_i$ ,

$$t(i) := (t_1(i), \dots, t_{k_i}(i)),$$

and write  $\mathbf{t} := (t(1), \dots, t(N))$ .

We define vector  $\mathbf{v}_{k,z}(\mathbf{t})$  as a linear combination of the vectors constructed in the first step,

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{v}_{k,z}(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{(\mathbf{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n)} \omega_{(\mathbf{F}_1, z_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n, z_n)}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{u}_{(\mathbf{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n)},$$

where  $\omega_{(\mathbf{F}_1, z_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n, z_n)}(\mathbf{t})$  are certain rational functions. These functions are constructed in the two next steps.

Step III Let us write-down the words

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = f_{i_{1,1}} \dots f_{i_{1,s_1}}; \dots; \mathbf{F}_n = f_{i_{n,1}} \dots f_{i_{n,s_n}}; \mathbf{F}_1 \dots \mathbf{F}_n = f_{i_{1,1}} \dots f_{i_{1,s_1}} \dots f_{i_{n,1}} \dots f_{i_{n,s_n}}.$$

The length of the word  $\mathbf{F}_1 \dots \mathbf{F}_n$  equals  $s_1 + \dots + s_n = k_1 + \dots + k_N$ .

Now we translate  $\mathbf{F}_1 \dots \mathbf{F}_n$  and  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  into a rational function  $g_{(\mathbf{F}_1, z_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n, z_n)}(\mathbf{t})$  of  $\mathbf{t}$  in the following way. For every  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , we replace the first occurrence (from left to right) of  $f_i$  in the word  $\mathbf{F}_1 \dots \mathbf{F}_n$  by the variable  $t_1(i)$ ; the second occurrence by the variable  $t_2(i)$ ; and so on up to the last,  $k_i$ -th, occurrence, where  $f_i$  will be replaced by  $t_{k_i}(i)$ . We will get a certain  $n$ -tuple of words in  $\mathbf{t}$ . Augmenting the  $j$ -th word in this  $n$ -tuple by  $z_j$ , we get the row,

$$t_{a_{1,1}}(i_{1,1}) t_{a_{1,2}}(i_{1,2}) \dots t_{a_{1,s_1}}(i_{1,s_1}) z_1, \dots, t_{a_{n,1}}(i_{n,1}) t_{a_{n,2}}(i_{n,2}) \dots t_{a_{n,s_n}}(i_{n,s_n}) z_n,$$

in which every variable  $t_a(i)$  from  $\mathbf{t}$  appears precisely once. This row defines the product of fractions

$$(5) \quad g_{(\mathbf{F}_1, z_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n, z_n)}(\mathbf{t}) := \prod_{j=1}^{s_1-1} \frac{1}{(t_{a_{1,j}}(i_{1,j}) - t_{a_{1,j+1}}(i_{1,j+1}))} \times \frac{1}{(t_{a_{1,s_1}}(i_{1,s_1}) - z_1)} \dots$$

$$\dots \prod_{j=1}^{s_n-1} \frac{1}{(t_{a_{n,j}}(i_{n,j}) - t_{a_{n,j+1}}(i_{n,j+1}))} \times \frac{1}{(t_{a_{n,s_n}}(i_{n,s_n}) - z_n)}.$$

Step IV is symmetrization of  $g_{(\mathbf{F}_1, z_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n, z_n)}(\mathbf{t})$ . Let  $S_{(k)}$  denote the group of permutations of variables

$$\mathbf{t} = (t_1(1), \dots, t_{k_1}(1), t_1(2), \dots, t_{k_2}(2), \dots, t_1(N), \dots, t_{k_N}(N))$$

that permute variables  $t_1(i), \dots, t_{k_i}(i)$  within their own,  $i$ -th, set, for every  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Thus  $S_{(k)}$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $S_{k_1} \times S_{k_2} \times \dots \times S_{k_N}$  of  $N$  complete groups of permutations.

For a function  $g(\mathbf{t})$  define the symmetrization by the formula

$$\mathbf{s}_{(k)}[g] := \sum_{\pi \in S_{(k)}} g(\pi(t_1(1)), \dots, \pi(t_{k_N}(N))).$$

Finally we set

$$(6) \quad \omega_{(\mathbf{F}_1, z_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n, z_n)}(\mathbf{t}) := \mathbf{s}^{(k)} \left[ g_{(\mathbf{F}_1, z_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n, z_n)}(\mathbf{t}) \right].$$

The function  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{v}_{k,z}(\mathbf{t})$  given by (3) – (6) was called in [MV2] *the universal weight function*.

**2.4. Bethe vectors of the weight  $\Lambda(k)$ .** For convenience, in what follows we set  $w_{N+1}(j) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $k_0 = k_{N+1} = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** [BaFl], [FeFR], [RV] *Vector  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  given by (3) – (6) is a common eigenvector of  $H_1(z), \dots, H_n(z)$  if and only if the Bethe equations*

$$-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(\alpha_i, \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}(j)})}{t_l(i) - z_j} + \sum_{s \neq l} \frac{2}{t_l(i) - t_s(i)} - \sum_{s=1}^{k_{i-1}} \frac{1}{t_l(i) - t_s(i-1)} - \sum_{s=1}^{k_{i+1}} \frac{1}{t_l(i) - t_s(i+1)} = 0,$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k_i$ , are satisfied. Moreover, if  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  is an eigenvector, then it is a highest weight vector.

**Remark 1.** For  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we have

$$(\alpha_i, \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}(j)}) = w_i(j) - w_{i+1}(j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

The weight  $\Lambda(k)$  given by (2) corresponds to the partition  $\mathbf{w}(k) = (w_1(k), \dots, w_N(k))$ , where

$$w_i(k) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_i(j) - k_i + k_{i-1} - k_N, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N,$$

and thus we write  $\Lambda(k) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}(k)}$ .

**Definition 1.** *The value of the universal weight function  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  at a solution of the Bethe equations is called a Bethe vector.*

**2.5. Master function** [RV], [MV1]. The function

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{t}) &= \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{l=1}^{k_i} (t_l(i) - z_j)^{-(\alpha_i, \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}(j)})} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{1 \leq l < s \leq k_i} (t_l(i) - t_s(i))^2 \times \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{l=1}^{k_i} \prod_{s=1}^{k_{i+1}} (t_l(i) - t_s(i+1))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is called the *master function* associated with  $L_{\Lambda(k)} \subset L$  and  $z$ . The Bethe equations are exactly the defining equations of the critical points with non-zero critical values of  $\Psi(\mathbf{t})$ . This function is symmetric with respect to the action of group  $S_{(k)}$ , defined in Step IV, Sec. 2.3, and the critical points belonging to the same orbit clearly define the same Bethe vector. On critical points of the master function see [MV1], [ScV], [Sc1].

**2.6. Theorem of E. Frenkel.** The following result holds for the Gaudin model associated with any simple Lie algebra.

**Theorem 2.** [F2, Sec. 4.5], [F3] *For generic  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , if the Gaudin hamiltonians (1) have a common eigenvector with a given eigenvalue, then there exists a Bethe vector with the same eigenvalue.*

In particular, under these assumptions the master function has a critical point with non-zero critical value.

### 3. NON-DEGENERATE PLANES IN SCHUBERT INTERSECTIONS

**3.1. Schubert cells in the Grassmannian of  $(N + 1)$ -dimensional planes in  $\text{Poly}_d$ .** For the Schubert calculus see, for example, [Fu].

We identify  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^d$  endowed with the embedded normal rational curve,

$$x \mapsto [1 : x : x^2 : \dots : x^d], \quad \infty \mapsto [0 : 0 : \dots : 0 : 1], \quad x \in \mathbb{C},$$

and the vector space  $\text{Poly}_d$  of complex polynomials in  $x$  of degree at most  $d$ , considered up to a non-zero factor.

Fix  $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$  and take the flag  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet(\xi) = \{\mathcal{F}_0(\xi) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\xi) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_d(\xi) = \text{Poly}_d\}$  defined by the order of polynomials at  $\xi$ . That is, if  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_i(\xi)$  consists of the polynomials of the form  $a_i(x - \xi)^{d-i} + \dots + a_0(x - \xi)^d$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_i(\infty) = \text{Poly}_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq d$  (by definition, the order of  $f(x) \in \text{Poly}_d$  at  $\infty$  is  $d - \deg f(x)$ ). Thus  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet(\xi)$  is the osculating flag of the normal rational curve in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^d$  at  $\xi$ .

Denote by  $Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$  the Grassmannian of  $(N + 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\text{Poly}_d$ . This is an algebraic variety of dimension  $(d - N)(N + 1)$ .

The integers  $w_1, \dots, w_{N+1}$  determine the *Schubert cell* with respect to flag  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet(\xi)$ . This cell is formed by the elements  $V \in Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$  satisfying the conditions

$$\dim(V \cap \mathcal{F}_{d-N-1+i-w_i}(\xi)) = i, \quad \dim(V \cap \mathcal{F}_{d-N-1+i-w_{i-1}}(\xi)) = i - 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, N + 1.$$

Clearly the Schubert cell may be non-empty only if  $d - N \geq w_1 \geq \dots \geq w_{N+1} \geq 0$ . Notice also that if  $w_{N+1} > 0$ , then all polynomials in  $V$  are divisible by  $(x - \xi)^{w_{N+1}}$ , that is  $V$  has a *base point* at  $\xi$ .

**3.2. Schubert intersections.** In what follows, we will consider Schubert cells such that  $w_{N+1} = 0$  and will denote by

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{w}}^\circ(\xi) \subset Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$$

the Schubert cell corresponding to the flag  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet(\xi)$  and to the partition  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$  with  $d - N \geq w_1 \geq \dots \geq w_N \geq 0$ . In other words, we will consider elements  $V \in Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$  *with no base point*. This means that for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$  there is a polynomial in  $V$  which does not vanish at  $\xi$ , and  $V$  contains a polynomial of degree  $d$  (by definition,  $f(x) \in \text{Poly}_d$  has a root of multiplicity  $d - \deg f(x)$  at  $\infty$ ; so every polynomial in  $\text{Poly}_d$  has exactly  $d$  roots counting with multiplicities). This assumption is not very restrictive in fact; it says that  $d$  is chosen as small as possible and that the polynomials of  $V$  do not have any common factor.

The number  $|\mathbf{w}| = w_1 + \dots + w_N$  is the complex codimension of  $\Omega_{\mathbf{w}}^{\circ}(\xi)$  in  $Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$ . The closure of a Schubert cell in the Grassmannian,  $\Omega_{\mathbf{w}}(\xi) = \overline{\Omega_{\mathbf{w}}^{\circ}(\xi)}$ , is called a *Schubert variety*. In particular,  $\Omega_{(0,\dots,0)}(\xi) = Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$ . The cohomology class  $\sigma_{\mathbf{w}}$  of  $\Omega_{\mathbf{w}}(\xi)$  does not depend on flag choice and is called the *Schubert class*, see [Fu].

For every  $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$  and every  $V \in Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$  with no base point there exists the unique partition  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}(\xi; V)$  such that  $V \in \Omega_{\mathbf{w}}^{\circ}(\xi)$ .

The *Wronskian* of  $V \in Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$  is defined as a monic polynomial  $W_V(x)$  which is proportional to the Wronski determinant of some (and hence, any) basis of  $V$ . On relations of the Wronskian to the Schubert calculus see [ErGa], [KSo]. In particular the following result can be easily obtained.

**Lemma 1.** *For every  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ , the Wronskian  $W_V(x)$  has the order  $|\mathbf{w}(\xi; V)|$  at  $\xi$ , and the order of  $W_V(x)$  at  $\infty$  is  $(\dim Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d) - \deg W_V)$ , so that*

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty} |\mathbf{w}(\xi; V)| = \dim Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d).$$

If  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\mathbf{w}(\xi; V) = (0, \dots, 0)$  if and only if  $W_V(\xi) \neq 0$ , and  $\mathbf{w}(\infty; V) = (0, \dots, 0)$  if and only if  $\deg W_V(x) = \dim Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$ , i.e. the degree is the maximal possible.  $\square$

The roots of  $W_V(x)$  (including  $\infty$ ) are called *singular* (or *ramification*) points of  $V$ . Let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be the finite singular points of  $V$ . The intersection of the Schubert cells  $\Omega_{\mathbf{w}(\xi; V)}^{\circ}(\xi)$ , for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ , coincides with the intersection

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{w}(z_1; V)}(z_1) \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(z_n; V)}(z_n) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(\infty; V)}(\infty)$$

(otherwise the Wronskian would have more roots than its degree). This intersection is zero-dimensional, however it may contain more than one element; the cardinality of this intersection is bounded from above by the intersection number of the corresponding Schubert classes,

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{w}(z_1; V)} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{w}(z_n; V)} \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{w}(\infty; V)}.$$

Any other element of this intersection has the same Wronskian, so it lies in the preimage of a given Wronskian under the map sending  $V \in Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$  into  $W_V(x)$ , cf. [ErGa], [KSo], [Sc3].

Fix  $\{\mathbf{w}\} = \{\mathbf{w}(1), \dots, \mathbf{w}(n), \mathbf{w}(n+1)\}$ , the set of  $n+1$  partitions  $\mathbf{w}(j) = (w_1(j), \dots, w_N(j))$ , such that

$$(7) \quad |\mathbf{w}(1)| + \dots + |\mathbf{w}(n+1)| = \dim Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d).$$

Let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be distinct complex numbers. Write  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ .

**Definition 2.** *We call the intersection of Schubert varieties*

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z) = \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(1)}(z_1) \cap \dots \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(n)}(z_n) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(n+1)}(\infty) \subset Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$$

a Schubert intersection.

If  $V \in \mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z)$ , then  $V$  has no base point and its Wronskian is

$$(9) \quad W_V(x) = W_{|\mathbf{w}|, z}(x) = (x - z_1)^{|\mathbf{w}(1)|} \dots (x - z_n)^{|\mathbf{w}(n)|}.$$

**3.3. More Wronskians.** Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$  and  $V \in G_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$ . The collection of orders at  $\xi$  of all polynomials in  $V$  consists of  $N + 1$  distinct numbers, and the smallest order is always 0 as  $V$  does not have a base point. Clearly  $\xi$  is not singular if and only if the orders at  $\xi$  are the minimal possible, i.e.  $0, 1, \dots, N$ .

Consider the Schubert intersection  $\mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z)$  given by (7), (8). For any  $V \in \mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z)$ , all finite singular points are  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and the Wronskian is as in (9). The non-zero orders  $\rho_l(z_j)$  at the finite singular points and  $d - d_l$  at infinity are as follows,

$$(10) \quad \rho_l(z_j) = w_l(j) + N + 1 - l, \quad d - d_l = w_l(n + 1) + N + 1 - l, \quad 1 \leq l \leq N, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Here  $d_l$ 's are degrees of polynomials in  $V$ . We have

$$(11) \quad 0 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_N < d_{N+1} = d, \quad 0 = \rho_{N+1}(z_j) < \rho_N(z_j) < \dots < \rho_1(z_j) \leq d.$$

Denote by  $V_\bullet$  the flag obtained by the intersection of  $V$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet(\infty)$  (recall that  $\mathcal{F}_l(\infty) = \text{Poly}_l$ ),

$$(12) \quad V_\bullet = \{V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_{N+1} = V\}, \quad \dim V_l = l.$$

The degrees of polynomials in  $V_l$  are  $d_1, \dots, d_l$ . Denote by  $W_l(x)$  the Wronskian of  $V_l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq N + 1$ . In particular,  $W_{N+1}(x)$  coincides with  $W_{|\mathbf{w}|, z}(x)$  given by (9).

**Remark 2.** Every  $(N + 1)$ -dimensional plane  $V$  in the vector space of polynomials is determined by its Wronskians  $W_1(x), \dots, W_{N+1}(x)$ . Indeed,  $V$  is the solution space of the ordinary differential equation of order  $N + 1$  (here we set  $W_0(x) = 1$ ),

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{W_N^2(x)}{W_{N-1}(x)W_{N+1}(x)} \cdots \frac{d}{dx} \frac{W_2^2(x)}{W_3(x)W_1(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{W_1^2(x)}{W_2(x)W_0(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{u(x)}{W_1(x)} = 0.$$

As  $N + 1$  linearly independent solutions, i.e. a basis of  $V$ , one can take

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x) &= W_1(x), \\ u_2(x) &= W_1(x) \int^x \frac{W_2 W_0}{W_1^2}, \\ u_3(x) &= W_1(x) \int^x \left( \frac{W_2(\xi) W_0(\xi)}{W_1^2(\xi)} \int^\xi \frac{W_1 W_3}{W_2^2} \right), \\ &\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ u_{N+1}(x) &= W_1(x) \int^x \left( \frac{W_2(\xi) W_0(\xi)}{W_1^2(\xi)} \int^\xi \left( \frac{W_1(\tau) W_3(\tau)}{W_2^2(\tau)} \int^\tau \cdots \int^\eta \frac{W_{N-1} W_{N+1}}{W_N^2} \right) \cdots \right), \end{aligned}$$

see [PSz, Part VII, Sec. 5, Problem 62] or [Sc4].

Define polynomials

$$(13) \quad Z_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - z_j)^{m_j(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N + 1,$$

where

$$m_j(1) = 0, \quad m_j(i) = \sum_{l=N+2-i}^N w_l(j), \quad 2 \leq i \leq N + 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

In particular,  $Z_1(x) = 1$  and  $Z_{N+1}(x)$  coincides with (9).

**Lemma 2.** [Sc1], [Sc4] *The ratio  $W_i(x)/Z_i(x)$  is a polynomial of degree*

$$(14) \quad i(d - N) - \sum_{l=1}^i w_l(n + 1) - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l=N+2-i}^N w_l(j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N + 1.$$

**3.4. Non-degenerate planes** [Sc1], [Sc4]. Denote  $T_{N+1-i}(x) := W_i(x)/Z_i(x)$ . It is a polynomial of degree  $k_{N+1-i}$  given by (14), according to Lemma 2. In particular,  $T_0(x) = 1$ , i.e.  $k_0 = 0$ . We will mark out some elements of Schubert intersections. Denote by  $\Delta(f)$  the discriminant of polynomial  $f(x)$  and by  $\text{Res}(f, g)$  the resultant of polynomials  $f(x)$ ,  $g(x)$ .

**Definition 3.** *We call  $V$  a nondegenerate plane in  $\mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z)$  if the polynomials  $T_1(x), \dots, T_N(x)$*

- *do not vanish at the finite singular points,  $T_i(z_j) \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ;*
- *do not have multiple roots,  $\Delta(T_i) \neq 0$ ;*
- *any two neighboring of them do not have common roots,  $\text{Res}(T_i, T_{i\pm 1}) \neq 0$ .*

**3.5. Generating function.** The generating function of a Schubert intersection is a rational function such that its critical points determine the nondegenerate elements in this intersection. It was defined in [Sc1], [Sc4] as follows.

For fixed  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , any monic polynomial  $f(x)$  can be presented in a unique way as the product of two monic polynomials  $T(x)$  and  $Z(x)$  which satisfy

$$(15) \quad f(x) = T(x)Z(x), \quad T(z_j) \neq 0, \quad Z(x) \neq 0 \quad \text{for any } x \neq z_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Define *the relative discriminant of  $f(x)$  with respect to  $z$*  as

$$\Delta_z(f) = \frac{\Delta(f)}{\Delta(Z)} = \Delta(T)\text{Res}^2(Z, T),$$

and *the relative resultant of  $f_i(x) = T_i(x)Z_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with respect to  $z$*  as

$$\text{Res}_z(f_1, f_2) = \frac{\text{Res}(f_1, f_2)}{\text{Res}(Z_1, Z_2)} = \text{Res}(T_1, T_2)\text{Res}(T_1, Z_2)\text{Res}(T_2, Z_1).$$

If  $V \in \mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z)$  is nondegenerate, then the decomposition  $W_i(x) = T_{N+1-i}(x)Z_i(x)$  is exactly the presentation of  $W_i(x)$  in the form (15).

**Definition 4.** *The function*

$$(16) \quad \Phi_{\{\mathbf{w}\}, z}(T_1, \dots, T_N) = \frac{\Delta_z(W_1) \cdots \Delta_z(W_N)}{\text{Res}_z(W_1, W_2) \cdots \text{Res}_z(W_N, W_{N+1})}$$

*is called the generating function of the Schubert intersection  $\mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z)$ .*

**Theorem 3.** ([Sc1], [Sc4]) *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the critical points with non-zero critical values of the function  $\Phi_{\{\mathbf{w}\}, z}(T_1, \dots, T_N)$  and the nondegenerate planes in  $\mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z)$ .  $\square$*

**Remark 3.** Originally, a part of this theorem was obtained by A. Gabriellov, [Ga], in his study of the Wronski map.

## 4. NON-DEGENERATE PLANES AND BETHE VECTORS

The partition  $\mathbf{w}^*$  dual to  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$  is defined by the formula

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (w_1, w_1 - w_N, w_1 - w_{N-1}, \dots, w_1 - w_2).$$

In Remark 1, the partition  $\mathbf{w}(k)$  such that  $\Lambda(k) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}(k)}$  has been written explicitly. The following result can be checked by a direct calculation based on the formula (14) for  $k_{N+1-i}$  of Lemma 2.

**Lemma 3.** *We have  $\mathbf{w}(k) = \mathbf{w}^*(n+1)$ .* □

The next claim is a manifestation of the well-known relation of the Schubert calculus to representation theory via the famous Littlewood–Richardson coefficients ([Fu]).

**Proposition 2.** *The dimension of the subspace of singular vectors of the weight  $\Lambda(k) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}^*(n+1)}$  in  $L = L_{\mathbf{w}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\mathbf{w}(n)}$  coincides with the intersection number of the Schubert classes  $\sigma_{\mathbf{w}(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{w}(n)} \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{w}(n+1)}$ .* □

We try to make this relation more precise. Let us re-write the function (16) in terms of unknown roots of polynomials  $T_1, \dots, T_N$ . Recall that if  $f(x) = (x - a_1) \dots (x - a_A)$  and  $g(x) = (x - b_1) \dots (x - b_B)$ , then

$$\Delta(f) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq A} (a_i - a_j)^2, \quad \text{Res}(f, g) = \prod_{i=1}^A \prod_{j=1}^B (a_i - b_j).$$

Denote by

$$t^{(i)} = \left( t_1^{(i)}, \dots, t_{k_i}^{(i)} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

the roots of  $T_i(x)$ , that is the *additional* (not prescribed by  $\{\mathbf{w}\}$  and  $z$ ) roots of the Wronskian  $W_{N+1-i}(x)$ . Write  $\mathbf{t} = (t^{(1)}, \dots, t^{(N)})$ . With this notation, the function (16) becomes a rational function in  $k_1 + \dots + k_N$  variables,

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad \Phi_{\{\mathbf{w}\}, z}(\mathbf{t}) &= \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{1 \leq l < s \leq k_i} (t_l^{(i)} - t_s^{(i)})^2 \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{l=1}^{k_i} \prod_{s=1}^{k_{i+1}} (t_l^{(i)} - t_s^{(i+1)})^{-1} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^{k_i} (t_l^{(i)} - z_j)^{w_{i+1}(j) - w_i(j)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is nothing but the master function associated with  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $L_{\Lambda(k)} \subset L$  of Sec. 2.5. Summarizing, we arrive at the following conclusion.

**Corollary 1.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the nondegenerate planes in  $\mathcal{I}_{\{\mathbf{w}\}}(z)$  given by (7), (8) and the Bethe vectors of the Gaudin model associated with  $z$  and  $L_{\Lambda(k)} \subset L_{\mathbf{w}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\mathbf{w}(n)}$ , where  $\Lambda(k) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{w}^*(n+1)}$ . More precisely, every critical point*

$\mathbf{t}^{(0)}$  with non-zero critical value of the function  $\Phi_{\{\mathbf{w}\}, z}(\mathbf{t})$  determines (the additional roots of) the Wronskians  $W_1, \dots, W_N$  of a non-degenerate plane  $V = V(\mathbf{t}^{(0)})$  (and hence  $V$  itself, according to Remark 2) as well as a Bethe vector  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t}^{(0)})$  where  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  is the universal weight function defined in Subsection 2.3.  $\square$

Thus the auxiliary variables introduced in Step II of Sec. 2.3 appear to be the additional roots of the Wronskians, and in a certain sense, the Wronskians  $W_1, \dots, W_N$  correspond to the simple roots  $\alpha_N, \dots, \alpha_1$ , respectively.

## 5. BASIC CASE: $n = 2$

**5.1. Bethe vectors for the Casimir operator.** If  $n = 2$ , then all values of  $z$  are generic. Indeed, as it was pointed out in [RV, Sec. 5], for any fixed  $z_1 \neq z_2$  the linear change of variables  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{t} - z_1)/(z_1 - z_2)$  turns the Bethe system on  $\mathbf{t}$  with  $z = (z_1, z_2)$  into the Bethe system on  $\mathbf{u}$  with  $z = (0, -1)$ . Therefore one can assume  $L = L_{\Lambda(0)} \otimes L_{\Lambda(-1)}$ , where  $L_{\Lambda(0)}$  is associated with  $z_1 = 0$  and  $L_{\Lambda(-1)}$  with  $z_2 = -1$ .

According to the definition (see (1)), for  $z = (0, -1)$  the Gaudin hamiltonians  $H_1, H_2$  satisfy  $H_1 = -H_2 = C$ , where  $C$  is the Casimir operator. Furthermore,  $C$  acts in any irreducible submodule of the tensor product by multiplication by a constant and hence definitely has eigenvectors. Theorem 2 of E. Frenkel asserts then that a Bethe vector does exist. We arrive at the following conclusion.

**Proposition 3.** *For the Casimir operator there exists an eigenvector which is a Bethe vector.*  $\square$

Notice that for the multiplicity-free situation (i.e. when for  $n = 2$  there exists at most one highest weight vector of every given weight) non-triviality of any Bethe vector for  $n = 2$  would imply the Bethe Ansatz conjecture.

In the proofs of the Bethe Ansatz conjecture based on the relation to the master function ([ScV], [MV2]), the most sophisticated part was to find a Bethe vector for  $n = 2$ . Relations to the Schubert calculus suggest to look for non-degenerate planes in place of Bethe vectors.

**5.2. Basic Schubert intersections.** If  $n = 2$ , then (8) is the intersection of three Schubert varieties and we call it a *basic Schubert intersection*. Again, by the same reason as in Sec. 5.1, one can always assume  $z_1 = 0, z_2 = -1$ . (In fact, algebraic geometers traditionally prefer 0, 1 rather than 0, -1; we choose here -1 in order not to take care about sings in the polynomials in our further calculation.)

Proposition 3 can be reformulated in terms of the Schubert calculus, via Corollary 1.

**Proposition 4.** *Let partitions  $\mathbf{w}(0), \mathbf{w}(-1)$  and  $\mathbf{w}(\infty)$  satisfy*

$$|\mathbf{w}(0)| + |\mathbf{w}(-1)| + |\mathbf{w}(\infty)| = \dim Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d).$$

*If the intersection number  $\sigma_{\mathbf{w}(0)} \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{w}(-1)} \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{w}(\infty)}$  is positive, then the basic Schubert intersection*

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{w}(0)}(0) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(-1)}(-1) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}(\infty)}(\infty)$$

*contains a non-degenerate plane, and its Wronskian is  $x^{|\mathbf{w}(0)|}(x+1)^{|\mathbf{w}(-1)|}$ .*  $\square$

**5.3. Special Schubert intersections.** Consider the basic Schubert intersections such that at least one of the Schubert varieties corresponding to  $z_1, z_2$  is special. Without loss of generality we can and will assume that this intersection has the form

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}} = \Omega_{\mathbf{a}}(0) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}}(\infty) \cap \Omega_{(m)}(-1) \subset Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d),$$

where  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$  and  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$  are partitions,  $d - N \geq a_1$ ,  $d - N \geq w_1$ , and  $m = (N + 1)(d - N) - |\mathbf{a}| - |\mathbf{w}| > 0$ . We call such intersection a *special Schubert intersection*.

If  $V \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}}$ , then the degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_N, d$  and the orders  $0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_N$  at 0 of polynomials in  $V$  are related to  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{a}$  as follows, see (10),

$$(19) \quad d_l = d - w_l + l - N - 1, \quad \rho_{N+1-l} = a_l + N + 1 - l, \quad 1 \leq l \leq N.$$

The Pieri formula ([Fu]) can be reformulated now in terms of degrees and orders at 0.

**Lemma 4.** (Pieri formula) *If a special Schubert intersection (18) is non-empty, then it consists of a single element  $V$ , and*

$$(20) \quad 0 \leq d_1 < \rho_N \leq d_2 < \rho_{N-1} \leq d_3 < \dots < \rho_1 \leq d,$$

where  $d_1, \dots, d_N, d$  are the degrees and  $0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_N$  are the orders at 0 of  $V$ . The Wronskian of  $V$  is

$$W_V(x) = x^{|\mathbf{a}|}(x+1)^m = x^{\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_N - N(N+1)/2}(x+1)^m.$$

□

## 6. A BASIS OF THE SPECIAL SCHUBERT INTERSECTION

In this section we produce explicitly a basis of the (single element of the) special Schubert intersection  $V = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}}$  satisfied (18)–(20). Sec. 6.1 is devoted to the simplest case, when the value of  $m$  is the maximal possible, i.e.  $m = d - N$ ; in Sec. 6.2 the generic case is done.

**6.1. Truncated binomials.** Let  $m, d$  be positive integers,  $m \leq d$ . In the introduction we called the polynomial

$$P_{m;d}(x) := 1 + dx + \binom{d}{2}x^2 + \dots + \binom{d}{m}x^m$$

a truncated binomial. In particular,  $P_{d;d}(x) = (x+1)^d$ .

**Theorem 4.** *The polynomials*

$$P_{m_1;d}(x), \dots, P_{m_N;d}(x), P_{d;d}(x),$$

where  $0 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_N < d$ , span the (single element of the) Schubert intersection

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}(0) \cap \Omega_{(d-N)}(-1) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}}(\infty) \subset Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} &= (m_N + 1 - N, \dots, m_2 - 1, m_1), \\ \mathbf{w} &= (d - m_1 - N, \dots, d - m_{N-1} - 2, d - m_N - 1). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Notice that  $|\mathbf{a}| + |\mathbf{w}| + d - N = \dim Gr_{N+1}(\text{Poly}_d)$ , therefore it is enough to check that

$$V = \text{Span}\{P_{m_1;d}(x), \dots, P_{m_N;d}(x), P_{d;d}(x)\}$$

belongs to this Schubert intersection. Consider polynomials

$$Q_1(x) = P_{m_1;d}(x), \quad Q_i(x) = P_{m_i;d}(x) - P_{m_{i-1};d}(x), \quad 2 \leq i \leq N + 1.$$

These polynomials lie in  $V$ . Their orders at 0 are  $0, m_1 + 1, \dots, m_N + 1$ , and their orders at  $\infty$  are  $0, d - m_N, \dots, d - m_1$ ; moreover  $P_{d;d}(x) \in V$  has order  $d$  at  $-1$ . Therefore we conclude that the other orders of polynomials of  $V$  at  $-1$  are  $0, 1, \dots, N - 2$  (otherwise the intersection of the corresponding Schubert varieties would have a negative dimension) and that the considered Schubert intersection coincides with  $V$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Polynomials  $P_{k;d}(x)$  and  $P_{m;d}(x)$  do not have multiple roots and do not have common roots for  $0 < k < m < d$ .*

**Proof.** We deduce the statements from Theorem 4, Proposition 3 and Corollary 1.

First of all, according to Theorem 4,

$$V = \text{Span}\{P_{k;d}(x), P_{m;d}(x), P_{d;d}(x)\} \subset Gr_3(\text{Poly}_d)$$

is the intersection  $\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}(0) \cap \Omega_{(d-2)}(-1) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}}(\infty)$ , where

$$\mathbf{a} = (m - 1, k), \quad \mathbf{w} = (d - k - 2, d - m - 1).$$

Next, Proposition 3 says that there exists a Bethe vector of the weight

$$\Lambda(m - 1, k) = (d - 2 - k)\lambda_1 + (m - 1 - k)\lambda_2$$

in the Gaudin model associated with  $z = (0, -1)$  and with the tensor product  $L_0 \otimes L_{-1}$  of the  $sl_3$ -representations with highest weights  $\Lambda_0 = (m - 1)\lambda_1 + k\lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_{-1} = (d - 2)\lambda_1$ , respectively. We have

$$\Lambda(m - 1, k) = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_{-1} - (m - 1)\alpha_1 - k\alpha_2.$$

Corollary 1 says then that  $V$  corresponds to the Bethe vector; hence  $V$  is non-degenerate. Let us apply the construction of Sec. 3.3 to  $V$ . For the flag  $V_{\bullet}$  we have

$$V_1 = \text{Span}\{P_{k;d}(x)\}, \quad V_2 = \text{Span}\{P_{k;d}(x), P_{m;d}(x)\}.$$

In particular,

$$W_1(x) = W_{V_1}(x) = P_{k;d}(x), \quad W_2(x) = W_{V_2}(x) = P'_{k;d}(x)P_{m;d}(x) - P_{k;d}(x)P'_{m;d}(x).$$

By Definition 3 (of a non-degenerate plane),  $P_{k;d}(x) = W_1(x)$  do not have multiple roots.

Furthermore, the same definition says that  $W_1(x)$  and  $W_2(x)$  do not have common roots distinct from 0 and 1. But the condition that  $P_{k;d}(x)$  does not have multiple roots implies that a common root of  $W_1(x)$  and  $W_2(x)$  should be a common root of  $P_{k;d}(x)$  and  $P_{m;d}(x)$  as well.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** It is easy to check directly that  $P_{k;d}(x)$  do not have multiple roots for  $1 \leq k < d$ . This immediately follows also from [Sc2]. However we do not know another proof of the statement that  $P_{k;d}(x)$  and  $P_{m;d}(x)$  do not have common roots for  $0 < k < m < d$ .

**6.2. A basis in  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}}$ .** Consider the special basic Schubert intersection (18)–(20). Let us begin with the condition  $V \in \Omega_{(m)}(-1)$ . This means that  $V$  contains a polynomial of order  $m + N$  at  $-1$ , that is

$$(21) \quad P(x) = (x + 1)^{m+N} + C_1(x + 1)^{m+N+1} + \dots + C_{d-m-N}(x + 1)^d \in V,$$

where  $C_1, \dots, C_{d-m-N}$  are some constants.

The constants can be calculated due to Lemma 4. Indeed, the condition (20) says that  $P(x)$  does not contain terms  $x^l$  with  $l \in \mathcal{L}$ , where

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{L} = \{d_1 + 1, \dots, \rho_N - 1, d_2 + 1, \dots, \rho_{N-1} - 1, \dots, d_N, \dots, \rho_1 - 1\}.$$

We obtain  $d - m - N$  equations on  $C_1, \dots, C_{d-m-N}$ . The determinant of this linear system is  $|A_{ij}|$ , where

$$A_{ij} = \binom{d+1-j}{l_i}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d - m - N,$$

here  $l_j$  stands for the  $j$ -th entry in  $\mathcal{L}$  given by (22). An exercise in linear algebra is to show that  $|A_{ij}| \neq 0$  (for example, induction by the dimension of the matrix  $(A_{ij})$  works). Therefore the values of  $C_1, \dots, C_{d-m-N}$  are uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}}$ . If we substitute these values into (21), then  $P(x)$  becomes the sum of  $N + 1$  polynomials,

$$P(x) = Q_1(x) + \dots + Q_{N+1}(x)$$

such that for every  $1 \leq l \leq N + 1$  the polynomial  $Q_l(x)$  has degree  $d_l$  and order  $\rho_{N+2-l}$  at 0 (here we set  $d_{N+1} = d$  and  $\rho_{N+1} = 0$ ). This means that

$$\text{Span}\{Q_1(x), \dots, Q_{N+1}(x)\} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{a}}(0) \cap \Omega_{(m)}(-1) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{w}}(\infty),$$

and we arrive at the following result.

**Theorem 5.** *Every special basic Schubert intersection  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}}$  given by (18)–(20) is non-empty, and the polynomials  $Q_1(x), \dots, Q_{N+1}(x)$  defined above provide a basis of (the single element of)  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}}$ .  $\square$*

**6.3. Examples.** We discuss first decompositions of  $(x + 1)^5$ .

### 1. Polynomials

$$Q_1(x) = 1 + 5x, \quad Q_2(x) = 10x^2 + 10x^3, \quad Q_3(x) = 5x^4 + x^5$$

span the special Schubert intersection  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}} \in Gr_3(\text{Poly}_5)$ , where  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{w} = (2, 1)$  (as Theorem 4 or an easy direct calculation shows). The corresponding Bethe vector is a highest weight vector of the weight  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{w}^*} = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  in the tensor product  $L_{\Lambda(0)} \otimes L_{\Lambda(-1)}$  of  $sl_3$  representations, where  $\Lambda(0) = \Lambda(-1) = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ . We have

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{w}^*} = \Lambda(0) + \Lambda(-1) - 2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2.$$

In order to find the Bethe vector, we have to calculate values of the variables  $t_1(1)$ ,  $t_2(1)$  corresponding to  $\alpha_1$  and of the variable  $t(2)$  corresponding to  $\alpha_2$ , according to Theorem 1. These values are the additional roots of the Wronskians  $W_2(x) = Q_1(x)Q_2'(x) - Q_1'(x)Q_2(x)$  and  $W_1(x) = Q_1(x)$ , respectively, as Corollary 1 says. We have (up to a constant factor)

$$W_1(x) = 1 + 5x, \quad W_2(x) = 10x(10x^2 + 8x + 2),$$

i.e. the value of  $t(2)$  is  $-1/5$  and the values of  $t_{1,2}(1)$  are  $(-2 \pm i)/5$ . The substitution into the function  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  defined in Sec. 2.3 gives the Bethe vector.

**2.** Another decomposition of  $(x+1)^5$  into the sum of three polynomials,

$$Q_1(x) = 1, \quad Q_2(x) = 5x + 10x^2 + 10x^3 + 5x^4, \quad Q_3(x) = x^5,$$

gives  $\text{Span}\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}} \in Gr_3(\text{Poly}_5)$  with  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{w} = (3, 0)$ . Now  $\mathbf{w}^* = (3, 3)$  and the corresponding Bethe vector has the weight

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{w}^*} = 3\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 = 6\lambda_1 - 3\alpha_1 = \Lambda(0) + \Lambda(-1) - 3\alpha_1.$$

There are no variables corresponding to  $\alpha_2$  (indeed,  $W_1(x) = Q_1(x) = 1$  has no roots), and there are three variables corresponding to  $\alpha_1$ . The values of these variables that give the Bethe vector are the roots of  $W_2(x) = Q_2'(x) = 5(4x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 1)$ .

**3.** If we take

$$Q_1(x) = 1, \quad Q_2(x) = 5x + 10x^2, \quad Q_3(x) = 10x^3 + 5x^4, \quad Q_4(x) = x^5,$$

we get  $\text{Span}\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4\} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}} \in Gr_4(\text{Poly}_5)$  with  $\mathbf{a} = (2, 1, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{w} = (2, 1, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^* = (2, 2, 1)$ . We have

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{w}^*} = \Lambda(0) + \Lambda(-1) - 2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2.$$

The corresponding Bethe vector is  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t}^0)$  where  $t^0(2)$  is the root of  $W_2(x) = Q_2'(x)$  and  $t_{1,2}^0(1)$  are the non-zero roots of  $W_3(x) = Q_2'(x)Q_3''(x) - Q_2''(x)Q_3'(x)$ .

Now let us take  $(x+1)^4 + c(x+1)^5$  and choose  $c$  in such a way that  $x^3$  disappears.

**4.** Consider

$$(x+1)^4 - \frac{2}{5}(x+1)^5 = \left(\frac{3}{5} + 2x\right) + 2x^2 - \left(x^4 + \frac{2}{5}x^5\right) = Q_1(x) + Q_2(x) - Q_3(x).$$

According to Theorem 5, we have  $\text{Span}\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}} \in Gr_3(\text{Poly}_5)$  where  $\mathbf{a} = (2, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = (2, 2)$  (of course it is easy to check this directly). Hence  $\mathbf{w}^* = (2, 0)$  and in order to obtain the Bethe vector of the weight  $2\lambda_1 = \Lambda(0) + \Lambda(-1) - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$  one has to substitute the roots of two linear equations,  $3/5 + 2x = 0$  and  $3/5 + x = 0$ , into the weight function  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  of Sec. 3.2.

**Remark 5.** In more complicated examples, with larger numbers  $N$  and  $d$ , it is still easy to calculate the elementary symmetric functions in the auxiliary variables  $t(i)$ , that is the coefficients of the polynomials  $T_{N+1-i}(x)$ . But the functions  $\omega(\mathbf{t})$  entering the universal weight function, see (6), are in fact functions in the same elementary symmetric functions, as Step IV of Sec. 2.3 shows. It would be interesting to re-write  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  in terms of polynomials  $T_{N+1-i}(x)$ . With S. Chmutov, we obtained the corresponding expressions for some of  $\omega(\mathbf{t})$ 's in [CSc].

## REFERENCES

- [BaFl] H.M. Babujian and R. Flüme, Off-shell Bethe Ansatz equation for Gaudin magnets and solutions of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **9** (1994), 2029–2039.
- [CSc] S. Chmutov and I. Scherbak, On Bethe vectors in the  $sl_{N+1}$  Gaudin model, *preprint* (2004) [math.RT/0407367](#).
- [ErGa] A. Eremenko and A. Gabrielov, Degrees of real Wronski maps, *Discrete and Computational Geometry* **28** (2002), 331–347.
- [EH] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, Divisors on general curves and cuspidal rational curves, *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), 371–418.
- [FaT] L. Faddeev and L. Takhtajan, Quantum inverse problem method and the Heisenberg XYZ-model, *Russian Math. Surveys* **34**, no. 5, 11–68.
- [FeFR] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, Gaudin model, Bethe ansatz and critical level, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **166** (1994), 27–62.
- [F1] E. Frenkel, Affine algebras, Langlands duality and Bethe ansatz. *Proceedings of CMP, Paris 1994*, International Press, 1995, 606–642.
- [F2] E. Frenkel, Opers on the projective line, flag manifolds and Bethe Ansatz, *preprint* (2003) [math.QA/0308269](#), to appear in *Moscow Math. Journal*.
- [F3] E. Frenkel, Gaudin model and opers, *preprint* (2004) [math.QA/0407524](#).
- [FuH] W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation theory: a first course, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [Fu] W. Fulton, “Young Tableaux”, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [G] M. Gaudin, Diagonalization d’une class hamiltoniens de spin. *Journ. de Physique* **37**, no. 10 (1976), 1087 – 1098.
- [Ga] A. Gabrielov, private communication, 2002.
- [KSo] V. Kharlamov and F. Sottile, Maximally inflected real rational curves, *Moscow Math. Journal* **3** No 3 (2003), 947–987 .
- [MV1] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, Critical points of master functions and flag varieties, *preprint* (2002), [math.QA/0209017](#).
- [MV2] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, Norm of a Bethe vector and the Hessian of the master function, *preprint* (2004), [math.QA/0402349](#).
- [O] B. Osserman, The number of linear series with given ramification, *IMRN*, **47** (2003), 2513–2527.
- [PSz] G. Pólya and G. Szego, ‘Problems and Theorems in Analysis II’, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [RV] N. Reshetikhin and A. Varchenko, Quasiclassical Asymptotics of Solutions to the KZ Equations. In: *Geometry, Topology, and Physics for Raoul Bott*, International Press, 1994, 293–322.
- [SV] V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko, Arrangements of hyperplanes and Lie algebra homology. *Invent. Math.* **106** (1991), 139–194.
- [Sc1] I. Scherbak, A theorem of Heine–Stieltjes, the Schubert calculus, and Bethe vectors in the  $sl_p$  Gaudin model, *preprint* (2002), [math.AG/0211377](#).
- [Sc2] I. Scherbak, Rational functions with prescribed critical points, *Geom. funct. anal.*, **12** (2002), 1365–1380.
- [Sc3] I. Scherbak, Gaudin’s model and the generating function of the Wrónsky map, *Caustics-2002, Banach Center Publications*, **62** (2004), 249–262.
- [Sc4] I. Scherbak, Intersections of Schubert varieties and critical points of the generating function, to appear.
- [ScV] I. Scherbak and A. Varchenko, Critical points of functions,  $sl_2$  representations, and Fuchsian differential equations with only univalued solutions, *Moscow Math. Journal* **3** No 2 (2003), 621–645.
- [V] A. Varchenko, Critical points of the product of powers of linear functions and families of bases of singular vectors. *Compositio Mathematica* **97** (1995), 385–401.

Inna Scherbak  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Tel Aviv University  
Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel