

INVARIANCE OF A LENGTH ASSOCIATED TO A REDUCTION

TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL

ABSTRACT. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field and let J be a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} . We show that $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2)$ is independent of J .

The notion of minimal reduction of an ideal in a local Noetherian ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) was introduced by Northcott and Rees [5]. It has significant applications in the theory of Hilbert function of \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in A , particularly in the case when A is Cohen-Macaulay. Minimal reductions of an ideal I are highly non-unique. In fact if the residue field of A is infinite and I is \mathfrak{m} -primary then any d general linear combinations of generators of I , (here $d = \dim A$), gives a minimal reduction of I .

However when A is Cohen-Macaulay with infinite residue field there are some invariants of the ring and the ideal I which are independent of minimal reductions of I . To state it let us fix some notation. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A and let J be a minimal reduction of I . We let $\lambda(N)$ denote the length of an A -module N and $\mu(N)$ number of its minimal generators. Let $G_I(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ be the associated graded ring of A with respect to I . We let $e_0^I(A)$ denote the multiplicity of A with respect to I .

It is well known that

- (1) $\lambda(I/J) = e_0^I(A) - \lambda(A/I)$ due to Serre, cf. [1, Theorem 4.7.6] .
- (2) $\lambda(I^2/JI) = e_0^I(A) + (d-1)\lambda(A/I) - \lambda(I/I^2)$ [6, Lemma 1].

Furthermore if $\text{depth } G_I(A) \geq d-1$ then $\lambda(I^{n+1}/JI^n)$ is independent of J [4, Corollary 3.9]. Furthermore in this case the coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial of A with respect to I can also be expressed in terms of $\lambda(I^{n+1}/JI^n)$, with $n \geq 0$ [3, Corollary 2.1]. So it is of some interest to see how these lengths behave when we do not have any assumptions on $\text{depth } G_I(A)$. In general $\lambda(I^3/JI^2)$ is not independent of minimal reduction (see Example 2). Our result shows that $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2)$ is invariant of minimal reduction J of \mathfrak{m} .

The fact essentially used in our proof is the following result: let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A and let J be a reduction of I , and suppose that J is minimally generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Then J is a minimal reduction of I if and only if the elements x_1, \dots, x_n are analytically independent in I and $n = \dim A$. Recall that x_1, \dots, x_n are *analytically independent* in I if whenever $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m in

$A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ (m arbitrary) such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^m \mathfrak{m}$ then all coefficients of f are in \mathfrak{m} .

Theorem 1. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field. If J is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} then we have an equality*

$$\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) = e + (d-1)\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - \mu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - \binom{d-1}{2}.$$

Proof. When $d = 1$ we have to show that $\mu(\mathfrak{m}^2) + \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) = e$. This is well known cf. [7, Theorem 6.18]. So we assume that $\dim A \geq 2$. We assert that it suffices to construct an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \left(\frac{A}{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{\binom{d}{2}} \xrightarrow{\psi_d} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2}\right)^d \xrightarrow{\phi_d} \frac{J\mathfrak{m}}{J\mathfrak{m}^2} \longrightarrow 0$$

Suppose we have the exact sequence as claimed. Then we prove the result as follows: The exact sequence (1) gives that

$$(2) \quad \lambda\left(\frac{J\mathfrak{m}}{J\mathfrak{m}^2}\right) = d\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - \binom{d}{2}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(J\mathfrak{m}/J\mathfrak{m}^2) &= \lambda(A/J\mathfrak{m}^2) - \lambda(A/J\mathfrak{m}) \\ &= \lambda(A/\mathfrak{m}^3) + \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) - \lambda(A/\mathfrak{m}^2) - \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/J\mathfrak{m}) \\ &= \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/\mathfrak{m}^3) + \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) - \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/J\mathfrak{m}) \\ &= \mu(\mathfrak{m}^2) + \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) - (e - (1 + \mu(\mathfrak{m}) - d)) \end{aligned}$$

So by (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathfrak{m}^2) + \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) &= e - (1 + \mu(\mathfrak{m}) - d) + d\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - \binom{d}{2} \\ &= (d-1)\mu(\mathfrak{m}) + e + d - 1 - \binom{d}{2} \\ &= (d-1)\mu(\mathfrak{m}) + e - \binom{d-1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to construct the exact sequence (1).

The author thanks the referee for indicating a simpler proof than the original.

Denote the Koszul complex on x_1, \dots, x_d by $K_A(\mathbf{x})$. Consider part of $K_A(\mathbf{x})$

$$A^{\binom{d}{2}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_d} A^d \xrightarrow{\Phi_d} A.$$

Then $\text{Image } \Psi_d \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\oplus d}$ and $\Phi_d(\mathfrak{m}^{\oplus d}) \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}$, which gives a right exact sequence

$$A^{\binom{d}{2}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{\oplus d} \longrightarrow J\mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now tensoring this by A/\mathfrak{m} we get the sequence (1) which is right exact.

Hence we only need to prove that ψ_d is injective. Let $\{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ and $\{e_i \wedge e_j \mid i < j\}$ be the canonical bases of $(A/\mathfrak{m})^d$ and $(A/\mathfrak{m})^{\binom{d}{2}}$ respectively. Let $\sum_{i < j} m_{ij} e_i \wedge e_j \in \ker \psi_d$ then $\psi_d(\sum m_{ij} e_i \wedge e_j) = \sum_i n_i e_i$, where

$$n_i = m_{1i}x_1 + \cdots + m_{i-1,i}x_{i-1} - (m_{i,i+1}x_{i+1} + \cdots + m_{d,i}x_d).$$

By analyticity we get $m_{ij} \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all j and i . Therefore we have constructed an exact sequence (1) as claimed and as noted before this completes the proof. \square

Next we give an example to show that if $I \neq \mathfrak{m}$ then the assertion of Theorem 1. does not hold. This example was constructed by Huckaba [2, 3.1] to show that reduction number of I with respect to to minimal reduction J of I , depends on J .

Example 2. Let $A = k[[x, y]]$ and $I = (x^7, x^6y, x^2y^5, y^7)A$. The ideals $J_1 = (x^7, y^7)A$ and $J_2 = (x^7, x^6y + y^7)$ are minimal reductions of I . Note that all the ideals involved are homogeneous. So to compute lengths $\lambda(I^3/J_1I^2)$ and $\lambda(I^3/J_2I^2)$ we may work in the polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ and use some computer algebra package (we used 'Singular') to get

$$\lambda(I^3/J_1I^2) = 3, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(I^3/J_2I^2) = 2.$$

Our theorem also prompts the following:

Question 3. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field. If J is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} then is $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^4/J\mathfrak{m}^3)$ independent of J ?*

Even though I personally think that this question does not have a positive answer, I have not been able to get a counter-example.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, vol. 39, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] S. Huckaba, *Reduction numbers for ideals of higher analytic spread*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc **102** (1987), 49–57.
- [3] ———, *A d -dimensional extension of a lemma of Huneke's and formulas for the Hilbert coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc **124** (1996), no. 5, 1393–1400.
- [4] T. Marley, *Hilbert functions of ideals in Coen-Macaulay rings*, Ph.D. thesis, Purdue University, 1989.
- [5] D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reduction of ideals in local rings*, Proc. Cambridge. Philos. Soc **50** (1954), 145–158.
- [6] G. Valla, *On Form rings which are Cohen-Macaulay*, J. Algebra **58** (1979), 247–250.
- [7] ———, *Problems and results on Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Six Lectures in Commutative Algebra, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 166, BIR, Basel, 1998; pp.293–344.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400 076
E-mail address: tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in