

Diffusion local time storage

M. Kozlova*, P. Salminen

*Department of Mathematics, Åbo Akademi University,
Turku, FIN-20500, Finland*

June 15, 2018

Abstract

In this paper we study a storage process or a liquid queue in which the input process is the local time of a positively recurrent stationary diffusion in stationary state and the potential output takes place with a constant deterministic rate. For this storage process we find its stationary distribution and compute the joint distribution of the starting and ending times of the busy and idle periods. This work completes and extends to a more general setting the results in Mannersalo, Norros, and Salminen [9].

MSC: 60G10; 60J55; 60J60; 60K25

Keywords: Brownian motion with drift; Busy and idle periods; Diffusion processes; Palm probability; Spectrally positive Lévy processes

*Corresponding author. Fax: +358-2-2154865. *E-mail address:* mkozlova@abo.fi

1 Introduction

Let $A = \{A_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $B = \{B_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be increasing stochastic processes. We view A as the input process to a storage or a buffer and B as the potential output. One of the standard ways to define a storage process associated to A and B is via Reich's formula (see Reich [11])

$$S_t := \sup_{-\infty < s \leq t} \{A_t - A_s - (B_t - B_s)\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We refer also to Prabhu [10] p. 114 for an approach in which the storage process is defined as a solution of a stochastic integral equation and the input process A is a subordinator without drift and $B_t = t$. In Harrison [7] p. 14 the case in which A is a Brownian motion and $B_t = \mu t$ is studied via Skorohod's reflection equation. Brownian motion, although not increasing, is much analyzed input process and its appearance in these models can be motivated in different ways, see, e.g., Harrison [7] p. 30, Roberts, Mocci and Virtamo [12] p. 377, and Salminen and Norros [?]. A new kind of a model is introduced in Mannersalo, Norros, and Salminen [9], in which the local time at 0 of a reflected Brownian motion with negative drift serves as the input process. Recall that this local time process is increasing and continuous but the points of its increase form a singular set.

In this paper, we consider a model of a storage or a fluid queue, where the input is the local time L at zero of an arbitrary one-dimensional positively recurrent stationary diffusion and the potential output $B_t = \mu t$, $\mu > 0$; thus extending the approach in [9]. Recall that any subordinator can be viewed as the inverse local time of a strong Markov process. Hence, in a sense, the local time storage models are companions of the subordinator storage models and as such interesting objects of research.

It is shown in [9] that the storage model studied therein can be obtained via a limiting procedure from some on/off processes. Especially, a priority discrete queueing system is constructed which, when in heavy traffic, leads to a storage process with local time input. The proof of weak convergence in [9] is based on the continuous mapping theorem and the interpretation of the Brownian local time as a supremum process. However, this approach is not applicable in our general case, and we do not discuss here the weak convergence aspects of our model.

Further, in [9] the joint distribution of the starting time g_i and the ending time d_i of an idle period and the marginal distributions of the starting time g_b

and the ending time d_b of a busy period are obtained. The *joint* distribution of g_b and d_b is not, however, given in [9]. The aim of this paper is to fill this gap and to generalize the results to an *arbitrary diffusion* local time storage.

We give explicit expressions for the joint Laplace transforms of the starting and the ending times of the busy and idle periods. Surprisingly, the answers have a short simple form, expressed in terms of the Lévy-Khinchin exponent of the inverse of the local time process L . By considering a marked point process obtained from the storage process S we make the simple but crucial observation needed for finding the joint distributions. This observation, formulated here only for the busy period, is that the random variable $(-g_b, d_b)$ is identical in law with $(UV, (1 - U)V)$, where U has the uniform distribution on $(0, 1)$ and V is a positive random variable independent of U having the distribution of the length of the busy period. In particular, which is important here, we show that the joint Laplace transform of such variables can be easily expressed in terms of the marginal Laplace transforms.

We remark also that in [9] the marginal distribution of $-g_b$ is computed by making use of Bertoin's path decomposition theorem (see Bertoin [3]). However, in this paper we focus instead on d_b . It is seen that the formula for the first time when a spectrally positive Lévy process jumps over a level, see (3.9), can be applied to find the Laplace transform of d_b .

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give some definitions and construct the storage process with a diffusion local time input. It is important to verify here that our local time process has stationary increments. It is also proved that the stationary distribution of this storage process is always exponential with an atom at zero - only the value of the parameter and the size of the atom vary when the underlying diffusion is changed. In Section 3, the Laplace transform of the ending time d_b of a busy period observed at time zero is computed. In Section 4, we find the Laplace transform of the starting time g_i of an idle period observed at time zero. Theorem 5.1 in Section 5 can be viewed as the main result of the paper, where the joint distributions of $(-g_b, d_b)$ and $(-g_i, d_i)$ are given via their Laplace transforms. Finally, in Section 6, we discuss the case treated in [9]. In particular, we invert the Laplace transforms to obtain the joint densities of $(-g_i, d_i)$ and $(-g_b, d_b)$.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

Let $X = \{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ be a one-dimensional time-homogeneous, regular, conservative diffusion living in an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We assume, without loss of generality, that $0 \in I$. The probability measure and the expectation associated with X started at x , are denoted by \mathbf{P}_x and \mathbf{E}_x , respectively. Recall that X has a symmetric transition density with respect to its speed measure m :

$$\mathbf{P}_x(X_t \in dy) = p(t; x, y)m(dy) = p(t; y, x)m(dy).$$

We introduce also the Green function

$$G_\alpha(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} p(t; x, y) dt, \quad x, y \in I, \alpha \geq 0.$$

Further, it is assumed that X is positively recurrent, that is, the speed measure is finite in I . Denote $M := m\{I\} < +\infty$. For more details on linear diffusions we refer to Itô and McKean [8] and Borodin and Salminen [5].

Let $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ be two copies of X . We take $X_0^{(1)} = X_0^{(2)}$ with the common distribution

$$\mathbf{P}(X_0^{(1)} \in dx) = \mathbf{P}(X_0^{(2)} \in dx) = \frac{m(dx)}{M} =: \hat{m}(dx)$$

but otherwise we let $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ be independent. We remark that \hat{m} is the stationary probability distribution of X . Define $Z = \{Z_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ as

$$Z_t := \begin{cases} X_{-t}^{(1)} & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ X_t^{(2)} & \text{if } t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

The process Z thus defined is a stationary process in stationary state.

Let $\{L_t^{(1)} : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{L_t^{(2)} : t \geq 0\}$ be the local times at zero of the processes $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$, respectively. We choose the normalization of $L^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$, with respect to the speed measure, that is,

$$L_t^{(i)} := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{m\{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(X_s^{(i)}) ds, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.1)$$

Using $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$, we define the local time process $L = \{L_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ via

$$L_t := \begin{cases} -L_{-t}^{(1)} & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ L_t^{(2)} & \text{if } t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

The process L is an increasing continuous process passing through zero at time zero. Next we give an important property of L , which allows us to construct a stationary storage process.

Proposition 2.1. *The process L has stationary increments, i.e., for all $t > s$,*

$$L_t - L_s \stackrel{d}{=} L_{t-s}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbf{E}(L_t) = t/M. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof: Consider first the case $t > s > 0$. Then, using that Z is a stationary process in stationary state, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(L_t - L_s \in dl) &= \int_I \widehat{m}(dx) \mathbf{P}(L_t - L_s \in dl \mid Z_s = x) \\ &= \int_I \widehat{m}(dx) \mathbf{P}_x(L_{t-s} \in dl) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(L_{t-s} \in dl). \end{aligned}$$

The case $s < t < 0$ is treated similarly. Assume next that $s < 0 < t$. Then by the conditional independence of $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ given Z_0 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha(L_t - L_s)}) &= \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha(L_t^{(1)} + L_{-s}^{(2)})}) \\ &= \int_I \widehat{m}(dx) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha L_t^{(1)}}) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha L_{-s}^{(2)}}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha L_{t-s}}) &= \mathbf{E}(e^{\alpha(L_{t-s} - L_{-s} + L_{-s})}) \\ &= \int_I \widehat{m}(dx) \int_I \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha L_{-s}}; Z_{-s} \in dy) \mathbf{E}(e^{\alpha(L_{t-s} - L_{-s})} \mid Z_{-s} = y). \end{aligned}$$

Let now τ be an exponentially distributed random variable with parameter α independent of $X^{(2)}$, and define the killed process

$$X_t^\bullet := \begin{cases} X_t^{(2)} & \text{if } L_t^{(2)} < \tau, \\ \triangle & \text{if } L_t^{(2)} \geq \tau, \end{cases}$$

where \triangle is a cemetery point. Then (see Borodin and Salminen [5] p. 28 or Itô and McKean [8] p. 179-183) X_t^\bullet is a diffusion having the same speed

measure as $X^{(2)}$. Let $p^\bullet(t; x, y)$ be its (symmetric) transition density with respect to m . We clearly have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_x(X_t^\bullet \in dy) &= \mathbf{P}_x(X_t^{(2)} \in dy, L_t^{(2)} < \tau) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha L_t^{(2)}}; X_t^{(2)} \in dy) \\ &= p^\bullet(t; x, y) m(dy).\end{aligned}$$

From the symmetry of $p^\bullet(t; x, y)$ it follows that

$$\int_I m(dx) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha L_{t-s}}; Z_{-s} \in dy) = m(dy) \mathbf{E}_y(e^{-\alpha L_{t-s}}),$$

and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha L_{t-s}}) &= \int_I \hat{m}(dy) \mathbf{E}_y(e^{-\alpha L_{t-s}}) \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha(L_{t-s}-L_{-s})} | Z_{-s} = y) \\ &= \int_I \hat{m}(dy) \mathbf{E}_y(e^{-\alpha L_{t-s}}) \mathbf{E}_y(e^{-\alpha L_t}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha(L_t-L_{-s})}),\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. Finally, to show (2.2) recall the formula (see Itô and McKean [8] p. 175 or Borodin and Salminen [5] p. 21)

$$\mathbf{E}_x(L_t) = \int_0^t p(s; x, 0) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}(L_t) &= \int_I \hat{m}(dx) \int_0^t ds p(s; x, 0) \\ &= \int_0^t ds \int_I \hat{m}(dx) p(s; x, 0) \\ &= \int_0^t ds \int_I \hat{m}(dx) p(s; 0, x) \\ &= t/M,\end{aligned}$$

because

$$\int_I m(dx) p(s; 0, x) = 1.$$

□

Definition 2.2. The process $S = \{S_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ defined as

$$S_t := \sup_{-\infty < s \leq t} \{L_t - L_s - \mu(t - s)\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is called a *storage process with local time input, constant service rate μ , and unbounded buffer associated with the process Z* .

Because the process L has stationary increments it follows from Definition 2.2 that S is a stationary process (in stationary state). Our next task is to find its stationary distribution, that is, the distribution of S_0 . Notice that S_0 is determined by the process $X^{(1)}$. With a slight and short abuse of our notations, let $\{L_t^{(1)} : t \geq 0\}$ be the local time of $X^{(1)}$ started at zero normalized as in (2.1). Then the process $A^{(1)}$ given by

$$A_t^{(1)} := \inf\{s : L_s^{(1)} > t\}, \quad t \geq 0$$

is a subordinator (a right-continuous increasing process on \mathbb{R}_+ with independent and stationary increments). When normalizing as in (2.1) we have (see Itô and McKean [8], p. 214) that

$$\mathbf{E}_0(\exp\{-\alpha A_t^{(1)}\}) = \exp\left\{-\frac{t}{G_\alpha(0, 0)}\right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

and, therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}_0\left(\exp\{-\alpha(A_t^{(1)} - t/\mu)\}\right) = \exp\left\{-t\left(\frac{1}{G_\alpha(0, 0)} - \frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)\right\} = \exp\{t\psi(\alpha)\},$$

where the function

$$\psi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\mu} - \frac{1}{G_\alpha(0, 0)} \quad (2.4)$$

is called the Lévy-Khintchin exponent of the spectrally positive Lévy process $\{A_t^{(1)} - t/\mu : t \geq 0\}$.

Proposition 2.3. Assume that $\mu > 1/M$, in other words $\mu > \mathbf{E}(L_1)$. Then the equation

$$\psi(\alpha) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

has a unique positive solution α^* . Moreover,

$$1) \mathbf{P}(S_0 > t | Z_0 = x) = \mathbf{E}\left(e^{-\alpha^* H_0} | Z_0 = x\right) e^{-\frac{\alpha^*}{\mu} t}, \quad t \geq 0, x \in I,$$

where $H_0 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Z_t = 0\}$;

2) the process S is stationary with the stationary distribution given by

$$\mathbf{P}(S_t > y) = \frac{1}{M\mu} e^{-\frac{\alpha^*}{\mu} y}, \quad y \geq 0,$$

and $\mathbf{P}(S_t = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{M\mu}$.

Proof: The stationarity follows from Proposition 2.1. The other claims follow from the fact that the spectrally positive Lévy process $\{A_t^{(1)} - t/\mu : t \geq 0\}$ drifts to $+\infty$ and its infimum is exponentially distributed in \mathbb{R}_- with parameter α^* . For further details, see [13]. \square

Remark 2.4. 1) The Laplace transform of H_0 appearing above can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha H_0}) = \frac{G_\alpha(x, 0)}{G_\alpha(0, 0)}, \quad (2.6)$$

cf. Borodin and Salminen [5], p. 18.

2) Recall (cf. Bingham [4] p. 720) that for $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$, the function $\psi(\alpha)$ is positive and increasing and, hence, has an inverse which we denote by $\alpha \mapsto \eta(\alpha)$.

3) From Proposition 2.3 it is clear that S_0 , conditionally on $S_0 > 0$, is exponentially distributed with parameter α^*/μ .

We assume throughout the paper that $\mu > 1/M$. Next we define the subject of our main interest in this work, the on-going busy and idle periods of S .

Definition 2.5. If $S_0 = 0$ then the random variables

$$g_i = \sup\{t < 0 : S_t > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad d_i = \inf\{t > 0 : S_t > 0\}$$

are called the *starting time* and the *ending time*, respectively, of the *on-going idle period* at time zero. If $S_0 > 0$ then the random variables

$$g_b = \sup\{t < 0 : S_t = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad d_b = \inf\{t > 0 : S_t = 0\}$$

are called the *starting time* and the *ending time*, respectively, of the *on-going busy period* at time zero.

3 Busy periods

In this section we compute the Laplace transform of the ending time of the on-going busy period. It is shown in Mannersalo, Norros, and Salminen [9] using a point process view on the busy and idle periods that $-g_b$ and d_b have the same law. In the present paper (in Section 5) we develop this approach in order to find the joint distribution of $-g_b$ and d_b .

From Proposition 2.3 it is seen that the probability that there is a busy period at time zero is $1/(M\mu)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let η be the inverse of ψ (cf. Remark 2.4.2). Then*

$$\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha d_b} \mid S_0 > 0) = \frac{\alpha^*}{\eta(\alpha/\mu)}. \quad (3.1)$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Assuming that there is a busy period at 0 we have (cf. [9])

$$S_t = L_t - \mu t + S_0, \quad g_b \leq t \leq d_b.$$

Let $H_0^{(2)}$ be the first hitting time of zero for the process $X^{(2)}$. Because

$$S_0 = \sup_{s \leq 0} \{\mu s - L_s\} = \sup_{s \leq 0} \{\mu s + L_{-s}^{(1)}\}$$

it follows that S_0 and $H_0^{(2)}$ are conditionally independent, given Z_0 . The local time $L_t = 0$ when $0 \leq t \leq H_0^{(2)}$. Hence,

$$S_t = S_0 - \mu t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \min\{H_0^{(2)}, S_0/\mu\}.$$

To proceed consider, therefore, two cases:

- 1) $S_0/\mu < H_0^{(2)}$,
- 2) $S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)}$ (see Figure 1).

In the case **1)** we obviously have

$$\{S_0/\mu < H_0^{(2)}, S_0 > 0\} = \{d_b = S_0/\mu, S_0 > 0\}. \quad (3.2)$$

To analyze the case **2)**, we study in detail some relationships between S_0 and $H_0^{(2)}$. Firstly, introduce

$$J(\alpha, \beta) := \int_I m(dx) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha H_0}) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\beta H_0}), \quad \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Proposition 3.2.

$$\mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha(S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}) - \beta H_0^{(2)}}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) = \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha + \alpha^*} \frac{1}{M} J(\alpha^*, \alpha^* + \beta). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof: Using Proposition 2.3 and the conditional independence of S_0 and $H_0^{(2)}$ given Z_0 , we compute the joint Laplace transform as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha(S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}) - \beta H_0^{(2)}}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \\ &= \int_I \widehat{m}(dx) \mathbf{E}_x \left(e^{-\alpha(S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}) - \beta H_0^{(2)}}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \\ &= \int_I \widehat{m}(dx) \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E}_x (e^{-\alpha(S_0/\mu - t)} e^{-\beta t}, S_0/\mu > t) \mathbf{P}_x(H_0^{(2)} \in dt) \\ &= \int_I \widehat{m}(dx) \int_0^\infty e^{(\alpha-\beta)t} \mathbf{P}_x(H_0^{(2)} \in dt) \int_t^\infty e^{-\alpha u} \mathbf{P}_x(S_0/\mu \in du) \\ &= \int_I \widehat{m}(dx) \int_0^\infty e^{(\alpha-\beta)t} \mathbf{P}_x(H_0^{(2)} \in dt) \int_t^\infty e^{-\alpha u} \alpha^* e^{-\alpha^* u} \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha^* H_0}) du \\ &= \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha + \alpha^*} \frac{1}{M} \int_I m(dx) \int_0^\infty e^{(\alpha-\beta)t} \mathbf{P}_x(H_0^{(2)} \in dt) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha^* H_0}) e^{-(\alpha+\alpha^*)t} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha + \alpha^*} \frac{1}{M} \int_I m(dx) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha^* H_0}) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-(\alpha^*+\beta)H_0}), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

An immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2 is the following

Corollary 3.3. 1) The random variables $S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}$ and $H_0^{(2)}$ are conditionally independent, given that $S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)}$.

2) The conditional distribution of $S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}$, given that $S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)}$, is exponential with parameter α^* .

$$3) \mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha H_0^{(2)}}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) = \frac{J(\alpha^*, \alpha^* + \alpha)}{M}.$$

$$4) \mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha S_0/\mu}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) = \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha + \alpha^*} \frac{J(\alpha^*, \alpha^* + \alpha)}{M}.$$

Proposition 3.4. The functions $J(\alpha^*, \alpha^* + \alpha)$ and $\psi(\alpha)$ defined in (3.3) and (2.4), respectively, satisfy the relationship:

$$J(\alpha^*, \alpha^* + \alpha) = \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{\psi(\alpha + \alpha^*)}{\alpha}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof: Using formula (2.6) and the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation, we compute for any positive $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I m(dx) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha_1 H_0}) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha_2 H_0}) \\
&= \int_I m(dx) G_{\alpha_1}(x, 0) G_{\alpha_2}(x, 0) / (G_{\alpha_1}(0, 0) G_{\alpha_2}(0, 0)) \\
&= \int_I m(dx) \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\alpha_1 t} p(t; x, 0) \int_0^\infty ds e^{-\alpha_2 s} p(s; x, 0) / (G_{\alpha_1}(0, 0) G_{\alpha_2}(0, 0)) \\
&= \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty ds e^{-\alpha_1 t - \alpha_2 s} \int_I m(dx) p(t; x, 0) p(s; x, 0) / (G_{\alpha_1}(0, 0) G_{\alpha_2}(0, 0)) \\
&= \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty ds e^{-\alpha_1 t - \alpha_2 s} p(t + s, 0, 0) / (G_{\alpha_1}(0, 0) G_{\alpha_2}(0, 0)) \\
&= \int_0^\infty du \left(\int_0^u e^{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)s} ds \right) e^{-\alpha_1 u} p(u; 0, 0) / (G_{\alpha_1}(0, 0) G_{\alpha_2}(0, 0)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \int_0^\infty (e^{-\alpha_2 u} - e^{-\alpha_1 u}) p(u; 0, 0) du / (G_{\alpha_1}(0, 0) G_{\alpha_2}(0, 0)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \left(\frac{1}{G_{\alpha_1}(0, 0)} - \frac{1}{G_{\alpha_2}(0, 0)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{G_\alpha(0, 0)} = \frac{\alpha}{\mu} - \psi(\alpha),$$

we have

$$J(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{\psi(\alpha_1) - \psi(\alpha_2)}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Substituting α^* for α_1 and $\alpha + \alpha^*$ for α_2 in (3.6) and using that $\psi(\alpha^*) = 0$ give (3.5). \square

To proceed with the proof of Theorem 3.1 write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha d_b} | S_0 > 0) &= \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha d_b}, S_0/\mu < H_0^{(2)} | S_0 > 0) \\
&\quad + \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha d_b}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} | S_0 > 0). \quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term we obtain by (3.2), Corollary 3.3.4, and Proposition 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha d_b}, S_0/\mu < H_0^{(2)} | S_0 > 0) \\
&= \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha S_0/\mu}, S_0/\mu < H_0^{(2)} | S_0 > 0)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha S_0/\mu} \mid S_0 > 0) - \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha S_0/\mu}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \mid S_0 > 0) \\
&= \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha S_0/\mu} \mid S_0 > 0) - \frac{\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha S_0/\mu}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)})}{\mathbf{P}(S_0 > 0)} \\
&= \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha + \alpha^*} (1 - \mu J(\alpha^*, \alpha^* + \alpha)). \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

To compute the second term in (3.7) consider the process

$$\tilde{X} = \{X_{H_0^{(2)}+t} : t \geq 0\}.$$

By the strong Markov property \tilde{X} has the same law as $X^{(2)}$ started at zero. Let $\tilde{L} = \{\tilde{L}_t : t \geq 0\}$ be the local time at zero of \tilde{X} , that is, the local time of $X^{(2)}$ started at zero. Let \tilde{A} be the right continuous inverse of \tilde{L} and introduce

$$T_t^+ := \inf\{s : \tilde{A}_s - s/\mu > t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Observe next that in the case $S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)}$ (see Figure 1) we have

$$d_b = \frac{1}{\mu} T_{S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}}^+ + \frac{S_0}{\mu}.$$

Using this representation and conditional independence stated in Corollary 3.3.1, it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha d_b}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) \\
&= \mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha d_b} \mid S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \mathbf{P} \left(S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) \\
&= \mathbf{E} \left(\exp \left\{ -\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mu} T_{S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}}^+ + \frac{S_0}{\mu} \right) \right\} \mid S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{P} \left(S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) \\
&= \mathbf{E} \left(\exp \left\{ -\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mu} T_{S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}}^+ + \frac{S_0}{\mu} - H_0^{(2)} \right) \right\} \mid S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha H_0^{(2)}} \mid S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \mathbf{P} \left(S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) \\
&= \mathbf{E} \left(\exp \left\{ -\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mu} T_{S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}}^+ + \frac{S_0}{\mu} - H_0^{(2)} \right) \right\} \mid S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha H_0^{(2)}}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) / \mathbf{P}(S_0 > 0).
\end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 3.3.2 and the fact that T^+ is independent of S_0 and $H_0^{(2)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left(\exp \left\{ -\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\mu} T_{S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)}}^+ + S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)} \right) \right\} \mid S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E} \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{\mu} T_t^+ - \alpha t \right\} \right) \mathbf{P} \left(S_0/\mu - H_0^{(2)} \in dt \mid S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \right) \\ &= \alpha^* \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E} \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{\mu} T_t^+ \right\} \right) e^{-(\alpha + \alpha^*)t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the last integral we recall the following formula for the double Laplace transform of the first time when the spectrally positive Lévy process $\{\tilde{A}_s - s/\mu : s \geq 0\}$ jumps over the level $t > 0$ (see Bingham [4], p. 732):

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\gamma T_t^+} \right) e^{-\beta t} dt = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma(\eta(\gamma) - \beta)}{\eta(\gamma)(\gamma - \psi(\beta))} \right), \quad (3.9)$$

where $\alpha \mapsto \psi(\alpha)$ is given by (2.4) and $\alpha \mapsto \eta(\alpha)$ is the inverse of $\psi(\alpha)$, $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$. Combining this with the results of Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 3.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha d_b}, S_0/\mu > H_0^{(2)} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha + \alpha^*} \left(1 - \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\mu} \left(\eta\left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right) - (\alpha + \alpha^*) \right)}{\eta\left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu} - \psi(\alpha + \alpha^*) \right)} \right) \mu J(\alpha^*, \alpha^* + \alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Finally, recalling (3.7), putting (3.10) together with (3.8), and using (3.5) complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.




Figure 1: The on-going busy period at time zero.

4 Idle periods

Let $H_0^{(1)}$ be the first hitting time of zero for the process $X^{(1)}$ and introduce

$$\xi := \sup_{t \leq 0} \{\mu t - L_{-H_0^{(1)}+t}\}.$$

By the strong Markov property of $X^{(1)}$ and Proposition 2.3.1, the random variable ξ is exponentially distributed with parameter α^*/μ (cf. Remark 2.4.3) and independent of $H_0^{(1)}$. The local time L_t is equal to zero when $-H_0^{(1)} \leq t \leq 0$, and for these values of t

$$S_t = \sup_{s \leq 0} \{\mu s - L_{s+t}\}.$$

Since $\xi = S_{-H_0^{(1)}} > 0$ it is clear that $-H_0^{(1)} \leq g_i \leq 0$, as displayed in Figure 2. Further, we have (see Figure 2) that

$$\{S_0 = 0\} = \{\xi/\mu < H_0^{(1)}\},$$

and that the conditional distribution of $-g_i$, given that $S_0 = 0$, is the same as the conditional distribution of $H_0^{(1)} - \xi/\mu$, given that $\xi/\mu < H_0^{(1)}$.

Theorem 4.1. *For $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$,*

$$\mathbf{E}(e^{\alpha g_i} \mid S_0 = 0) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\mu \alpha^* \psi(\alpha)}{(M\mu - 1)(\alpha - \alpha^*)}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof: Using the independence of ξ/μ and $H_0^{(1)}$ and (3.6), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}(e^{\alpha g_i}, S_0 = 0) \\ &= \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha(H_0^{(1)} - \xi/\mu)}, \xi/\mu < H_0^{(1)}) \\ &= \int_I \hat{m}(dx) \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha(H_0^{(1)} - \xi/\mu)}, \xi/\mu < H_0^{(1)}) \\ &= \int_I \hat{m}(dx) \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}_x(H_0^{(1)} \in dt) e^{-\alpha t} \mathbf{E}_0(e^{\alpha \xi/\mu}, \xi/\mu < t) \\ &= \int_I \hat{m}(dx) \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}_x(H_0^{(1)} \in dt) e^{-\alpha t} \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha^* - \alpha} (1 - e^{-(\alpha^* - \alpha)t}) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^*}{M(\alpha^* - \alpha)} \int_I m(dx) (\mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha H_0}) - \mathbf{E}_x(e^{-\alpha^* H_0})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\alpha^*}{M(\alpha^* - \alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{\psi(\alpha)}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{\psi(\alpha^*)}{\alpha^*} \right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha^* \psi(\alpha)}{M\alpha(\alpha - \alpha^*)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\mathbf{P}(S_0 = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{M\mu}$$

gives (4.1). □

Remark 4.2. In case $\alpha = \alpha^*$, (4.1) should be read as

$$\mathbf{E}(e^{\alpha^* g_i} \mid S_0 = 0) = \frac{\mu}{M\mu - 1} \psi'(\alpha^*).$$




Figure 2: The on-going idle period at time zero.

5 The joint distribution of the starting and the ending times of the on-going busy and idle periods

In this section, as our main result, we compute the joint distribution of the starting time and the ending time of the busy and idle periods. We remark that it is possible to compute the joint distribution directly in the case of the idle period, but we have not been able to do this in the busy period case. To resolve this difficulty we use the theory of Palm probability and properties of a special class of two-dimensional random variables to show that it is enough to know only the Laplace transforms of d_b (respectively, $-g_i$) in order to obtain the joint Laplace transforms of d_b and $-g_b$ (respectively, d_i and $-g_i$).

Theorem 5.1. 1) For $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$\mathbf{E} \left(e^{\alpha g_b - \beta d_b} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) = \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha - \beta} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\eta(\alpha/\mu)} - \frac{\beta}{\eta(\beta/\mu)} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

2) For $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$\mathbf{E} \left(e^{\alpha g_i - \beta d_i} \mid S_0 = 0 \right) = \frac{\alpha^* \mu}{(M\mu - 1)(\alpha - \beta)} \left(\frac{\psi(\alpha)}{\alpha - \alpha^*} - \frac{\psi(\beta)}{\beta - \alpha^*} \right). \quad (5.2)$$

Remark 5.2. Letting $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ in (5.1) and (5.2) gives us the Laplace transforms of the lengths of the busy and idle periods

$$\mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha(d_b - g_b)} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) = \alpha^* \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\eta(\alpha/\mu)} \right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha(d_i - g_i)} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) = \frac{\alpha^* \mu}{M\mu - 1} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\frac{\psi(\alpha)}{\alpha - \alpha^*} \right),$$

respectively.

To prove Theorem 5.1 we use the point process approach to the storage process S proposed in Mannersalo, Norros, and Salminen [9]. When S hits zero it stays there for a positive amount of time a.s. Hence, we can construct from S a stationary marked point process $N = \{(T_n, Z_n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with a finite intensity λ , where for every n , T_n and T_{n+1} are the starting and ending

times, respectively, of an idle or a busy period and Z_n is the mark associated with point T_n . We let $Z_n = 0$ if T_n is a starting point for an idle period, otherwise $Z_n = 1$. Let \mathbf{P}_N and \mathcal{F} denote the probability measure and the natural σ -algebra, respectively, induced by N . We assume that $\{T_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are ordered so that $-\infty < \dots < T_{-1} < T_0 \leq 0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots + \infty$. Let θ_t be the shift operator and \mathbf{P}_N^0 the Palm probability, which is related to the probability measure \mathbf{P}_N via Ryll-Nardzewski and Slivnyak's formula:

$$\mathbf{P}_N(A) = \lambda \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}_N^0(T_1 > t, \theta_t \in A) dt, \quad A \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (5.3)$$

Recall that the Palm probability \mathbf{P}_N can be interpreted as the conditional probability, given that there is a point at time zero, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{P}_N^0(\{T_0 = 0\}) = 1. \quad (5.4)$$

For more details about these concepts, see Baccelli and Brémaud [1] or Mannersalo, Norros, and Salminen [9]. From relationship (5.3) we obtain now the following property of the joint distribution of T_0 and T_1 .

Proposition 5.3. *For $z = 0$ or 1 and $v \geq 0$, $w \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbf{P}(T_1 > v, -T_0 > w, Z_0 = z) = \lambda \int_{v+w}^\infty \mathbf{P}_N^0(T_1 > t, Z_0 = z) dt. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof: To establish formula (5.5) we only need to take $A = \{T_1 > v, -T_0 > w, Z_0 = z\}$ in (5.3) and use that for all $t \in [0, T_1)$,

$$Z_0 \circ \theta_t = Z_0,$$

$$T_1 \circ \theta_t = T_1 - t,$$

and

$$-T_0 \circ \theta_t = t$$

\mathbf{P}_N^0 -a.s. (for T_0 , see (5.4)). \square

Consider now the on-going busy period of S . There are obvious analogous formulas for the idle period. By (5.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(-g_b > v, d_b > w, S_0 > 0) &= \mathbf{P}(T_1 > v, -T_0 > w, Z_0 = 1) \\ &= \lambda \int_{v+w}^\infty \mathbf{P}_N^0(T_1 > u, Z_0 = 1) du. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Consequently, letting

$$F_b^c(v, w) := \mathbf{P}(-g_b > v, d_b > w \mid S_0 > 0)$$

it is seen that

$$F_b^c(v, w) = F_b^c(v + w, 0). \quad (5.7)$$

Two-dimensional positive random variables with the property (5.7) form a special class of random variables studied, e.g., in Salminen and Vallois [14]. The alternative definition of this class, denoted by \mathcal{K} , is as follows.

Definition 5.4. A two-dimensional positive random variable (X, Y) belongs to the *class* \mathcal{K} if (X, Y) has the same distribution as $(UV, (1 - U)V)$, where the random variable U has the uniform distribution on $(0, 1)$, and V is an arbitrary positive random variable independent of U .

Remark 5.5. In reliability theory the functions with the property (5.7) are called Schur-constant functions (see, e.g., Bassan and Spizzichino [2]).

The next proposition describes the structure of the joint density of an element in \mathcal{K} (for the proof, see Salminen and Vallois [14]).

Proposition 5.6. *Let $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{K}$ be such that there exists the density $F_V'(v) =: p(v)$. Then*

$$\mathbf{P}(X \in dx, Y \in dy) = f(x + y) dx dy,$$

where

$$f(v) = v^{-1}p(v), \quad v > 0.$$

We have also the following characterization of elements in the class \mathcal{K} in terms of the Laplace transforms.

Proposition 5.7. *Let X and Y be positive random variables. Then $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if there exists a positive random variable V such that for all $\alpha \neq \beta$,*

$$\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha X - \beta Y}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}(e^{-\gamma V}) d\gamma. \quad (5.8)$$

In particular, $V \stackrel{d}{=} X + Y$.

Proof: \Rightarrow) Let $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{K}$. Then there exists a positive V such that

$$(X, Y) \stackrel{d}{=} (UV, (1 - U)V),$$

where $U \sim U(0, 1)$ is independent of V . Taking $\alpha > \beta$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha X - \beta Y}) &= \mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha UV - \beta(1-U)V}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}(e^{-(\alpha - \beta)UV - \beta V}) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E}(e^{-(\alpha - \beta)Uv - \beta v}) F_V(dv) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\beta v} - e^{-\alpha v}}{v} F_V(dv) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_\beta^\alpha e^{-v\gamma} d\gamma \right) F_V(dv) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_\beta^\alpha \mathbf{E}(e^{-\gamma V}) d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed in (5.8).

\Leftarrow) Now suppose that we have a pair (X, Y) of random variables such that (5.8) holds. Letting $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ in (5.8) and using the continuity of the Laplace transforms, we get that $X + Y$ has the same law as V . Further, let $U \sim U(0, 1)$ be independent of V . Then $(UV, (1 - U)V) \in \mathcal{K}$ and by the sufficiency part of the proof, for $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha UV - \beta(1-U)V}) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_\beta^\alpha \mathbf{E}(e^{-tV}) dt.$$

Hence, by uniqueness of the Laplace transforms

$$(X, Y) \stackrel{d}{=} (UV, (1 - U)V) \in \mathcal{K}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.8. If $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{K}$ and the Laplace transform of X is known, we can easily compute the joint Laplace transform. Indeed, denote $I(\alpha) := \int_0^\alpha \mathbf{E}(e^{-\gamma V}) d\gamma$. Then setting $\beta = 0$ in formula (5.8), we get

$$\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha X}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} I(\alpha)$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha X - \beta Y}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}(e^{-\gamma V}) d\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} (I(\alpha) - I(\beta)).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude now the proof of Theorem 5.1. By (5.5), the two-dimensional random variable $(-g_b, d_b) \in \mathcal{K}$ and the marginal Laplace transform of d_b is given by (3.1). Hence we can use Remark 5.8 to get the joint distribution of $-g_b$ and d_b . From Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\mathbf{E}(e^{-\alpha d_b} | S_0 > 0) = \frac{\alpha^*}{\eta(\alpha/\mu)}.$$

Therefore, the joint Laplace transform of $-g_b$ and d_b is

$$\mathbf{E}(e^{\alpha g_b - \beta d_b} | S_0 > 0) = \frac{\alpha^*}{\alpha - \beta} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\eta(\alpha/\mu)} - \frac{\beta}{\eta(\beta/\mu)} \right).$$

The corresponding formula for $(-g_i, d_i)$ is obtained similarly. The proof of Theorem 5.1 is now complete.

6 Example: reflected Brownian motion with negative drift

Let $Z = \{Z_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a reflected Brownian motion with drift $-c < 0$ in stationary state living on $I = [0, \infty)$. Let

$$S_t := \sup_{s \leq t} \{L_t - L_s - (t - s)\}$$

be the local time storage process (as introduced in Section 2), associated with Z . In this case we have

$$m(dx) = 2e^{-2cx} dx,$$

and $M := m\{I\} = 1/c$. The storage process S is well-defined if and only if $0 < c < 1$. For a reflected Brownian motion with drift $-c$ the Green function at $(0, 0)$ is

$$G_{\alpha}(0, 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha + c^2 - c}},$$

and the function ψ (cf. (2.4)) takes the form

$$\psi(\alpha) = \alpha - \sqrt{2\alpha + c^2} + c, \quad \alpha \geq 0$$

Hence,

$$\alpha^* = 2(1 - c)$$

and

$$\eta(\alpha) = \alpha + 1 - c + \sqrt{2\alpha + (1 - c)^2}.$$

Using (5.1), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left(e^{\alpha g_b - \beta d_b} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) \\ &= \frac{2(1 - c)}{\alpha - \beta} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1 - c + \sqrt{2\alpha + (1 - c)^2}} - \frac{\beta}{\beta + 1 - c + \sqrt{2\beta + (1 - c)^2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \left(\frac{4(1 - c)}{\sqrt{2\beta + (1 - c)^2} + 1 + c} - \frac{4(1 - c)}{\sqrt{2\alpha + (1 - c)^2} + 1 + c} \right) \\ &= \frac{8(1 - c)}{\sqrt{2\alpha + (1 - c)^2} + \sqrt{2\beta + (1 - c)^2}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\alpha + (1 - c)^2} + 1 + c)(\sqrt{2\beta + (1 - c)^2} + 1 + c)} \\ &=: F(\alpha, \beta; 1 - c). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

For the on-going idle period at zero, using (5.2), we obtain after some cancellations that

$$\mathbf{E} \left(e^{\alpha g_i - \beta d_i} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) = F(\alpha, \beta; c).$$

This formula can also be found in [9] but (6.1) is a new result.

To find the joint density of $(-g_b, d_b)$, given that $S_0 > 0$, first find the density of $V := -g_b + d_b$, given that $S_0 > 0$. Setting $\beta = \alpha$ in the right-hand side of (6.1), we get

$$\mathbf{E} \left(e^{-\alpha(d_b - g_b)} \mid S_0 > 0 \right) = \frac{4(1 - c)}{\sqrt{2\alpha + (1 - c)^2}(\sqrt{2\alpha + (1 - c)^2} + 1 + c)^2}. \tag{6.2}$$

Taking the inverse Laplace transform of (6.2) (cf. Erdlyi [6], p. 234) we obtain the density of the length of the busy period $d_b - g_b$, given that $S_0 > 0$, as

$$p_c(v) = 2(1 - c) \sqrt{\frac{2v}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{(1-c)^2}{2}v} - 4(1 - c^2) v e^{2cv} \Phi \left(-\frac{1 + c}{2} \sqrt{v} \right), \quad v > 0,$$

where $\Phi(v)$ is the standard normal distribution function. Note that the density of the length of the idle period $d_i - g_i$, given that $S_0 = 0$, is $p_{1-c}(v)$, $v > 0$. Using Proposition 5.6, we get the joint density of the starting and the ending points of the busy period as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(-g_b \in dx, d_b \in dy \mid S_0 > 0) \\ &= 2(1-c) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi(x+y)}} e^{-\frac{(1-c)^2}{2}(x+y)} \\ & \quad - 4(1-c^2) e^{2c(x+y)} \Phi\left(-\frac{1+c}{2} \sqrt{x+y}\right) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements The authors thank Ilkka Norros for a short but stimulating discussion, and Bruno Bassan and Fabio Spizzichino for pointing out the connection of the class \mathcal{K} and the Schur-constant functions.

References

- [1] F. Baccelli and P. Brémaud. *Elements of Queueing Theory. Second edition*. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2003.
- [2] B. Bassan and F. Spizzichino. Stochastic comparisons for residual lifetimes and bayesian notions of multivariate ageing. *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, 31:1078–1094, 1999.
- [3] J. Bertoin. Sur la décomposition de la trajectoire d’un processus de Lévy spectralement positif en son minimum. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 27(4):537–548, 1991.
- [4] N.H. Bingham. Fluctuation theory in continuous time. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 7:705–766, 1975.
- [5] A.N. Borodin and P. Salminen. *Handbook of Brownian Motion – Facts and Formulae, 2nd edition*. Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2002.
- [6] A. Erdélyi. *Tables of Integral Transforms*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
- [7] J.M. Harrison. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Flow Systems*. Wiley, New York, 1985.

- [8] K. Itô and H.P. McKean. *Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1974.
- [9] P. Mannersalo, I. Norros, and P. Salminen. A storage process with local time input. To appear in *Queueing Systems*, 2003.
- [10] N. U. Prabhu. *Stochastic Storage Processes*. Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998. 2nd edition.
- [11] E. Reich. On the integrodifferential equation of Takács I. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 29:563–570, 1958.
- [12] J. Roberts, U. Mocci, and J. Virtamo, editors. *Broadband Network Teletraffic*. Number 1155 in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, 1996.
- [13] P. Salminen. On the distribution of diffusion local time. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 18:219–225, 1993.
- [14] P. Salminen and P. Vallois. On first range times for linear diffusions. To appear in *J. Theoretical Probab.*