

Finite generation and the Nash process¹

Abstract: Let V be an irreducible affine variety of dimension r over a field k of characteristic zero. It is not known whether the inverse limit of the Nash blowups $\dots V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_0 = V$ is Proj of a graded ring. We construct a graded k algebra $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ which nevertheless is finite type if and only if the Nash resolution process finishes. The construction is reminiscent of Gaussian curvature and is also related to the combinatorics of geometric series to the base $(r+2)$. As applications we'll construct Hilbert series whose rationality controls finiteness of the resolution process for projective varieties and for foliations.

1. Introduction.

Let V be an irreducible affine variety of dimension r over a field k of characteristic zero. It is not known whether the inverse limit of the Nash blowups $\dots V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_0 = V$ is Proj of a graded ring. For surfaces it is known that the related normalized Nash process always converges in finitely many steps by Gonzales-Sprinberg [2], Hironaka [4] and Spivakovsky [3]. We construct a different graded k algebra $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ which nevertheless is finite type if and only if the Nash resolution process finishes. The construction is reminiscent of Gaussian curvature and is also related to the combinatorics of geometric series to the base $(r+2)$. As applications we'll construct Hilbert series whose rationality controls finiteness of the resolution process for projective varieties and foliations.

2. Standing definitions.

We take standing definitions in sufficient generality to avoid repetitions. However, in the affine case the main construction actually corresponds to something elementary involving determinants, ideals and derivatives (see section 6). Sheaves are needed in sections 10 and 11 but not in proving the affine case of the main theorem.

Throughout this paper let V be an irreducible variety over a field k and let $\mathit{Coh}(V)$ be the category of coherent sheaves on V . Choose a K linear sub Lie algebra² $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathit{Der}_k(K, K)$ where K is the function

¹John Atwell Moody, Maths, Warwick University, June 24, 2004 moody@maths.warwick.ac.uk

²It is not necessary to assume \mathcal{L} is closed under Lie brackets, we do so only so we can use the more familiar terminology of singular foliations rather than 'singular distributions.'

field of V . This corresponds to a singular foliation³ on V . Let

$$r = \text{dimension}_K(L).$$

For coherent sheaves A, B on V define the product

$$AB \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (A \otimes B)/\text{torsion}.$$

Let Ω be the torsion free sheaf of differentials along the foliation, ie the image of the natural evaluation map to the dual vector space \widehat{L} .

$$\begin{aligned} ev : \Omega_{V/k} &\rightarrow \widehat{L} \\ dx &\mapsto (\delta \mapsto \delta(x)). \end{aligned}$$

for x a local section of the struture sheaf of V .

For any torsion free rank one coherent sheaf I let $P(I)$ be the sheaf of first principal parts of I with respect to the foliation. Thus $P(I)$ is the middle term of the sequence

$$0 \mapsto I\Omega \rightarrow P(I) \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$$

defined by $\alpha = ev_*(\beta)$ where $\beta \in Ext^1(I, I \otimes \Omega_{V/k})$ is the Atiyah class of I . Now let

$$F(I) = \Lambda^{r+1}P(I)/\text{torsion}.$$

Note F defines a functor which acts on the full subcategory of torsion free rank one coherent sheaves on V .

1. Convention From now on when we write $\Lambda^r(M)$ for any coherent sheaf M we will mean the same thing as $\Lambda^r(M)/\text{torsion}$. Whenever we write π^* we implicitly refer to pulling back and then reducing modulo torsion. In other words implicitly when we take an exterior power or pullback we will reduce modulo torsion. Therefore we may write $F(I) = \Lambda^{r+1}P(I)$.

³See [1] for a discussion of the algebraic definition. Geometrically speaking when V is nonsingular a (nonsingular) *foliation* on V could also be define as a locally split sub-vector-bundle $L \subset T_V$ whose classifying section to the Grassmannian of r dimensional tangent planes $s : V \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_r(V)$ satisfies $L \subset T_V \xrightarrow{D^s} s^*T_{\mathbb{G}_r(V)}$ is zero. If V is only embedded in a nonsingular variety W a *singular foliation* on V could be defined as a rational section s of $\mathbb{G}_r(W) \rightarrow W$ over V whose restriction to a nonsingular dense open set defines a foliation.

3. Definition of R

Now that we have defined multiplication of coherent sheaves and the functor F , define torsion free rank one coherent sheaves J_i and L_i for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ by the inductive rules

$$\begin{cases} J_0 = I \\ J_{i+1} = IL_0L_1\dots L_i \\ L_i = F(J_i) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

These depend functorially on I and like $L_0 = F$ can be viewed as functors acting on rank one coherent sheaves. Also for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ define the rank one torsion free coherent sheaf R_i by the rule

$$\begin{cases} R_0 = \mathcal{O}_V \\ R_i = L_0^{a_0}L_1^{a_1}\dots L_s^{a_s} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where the numbers a_α are chosen so that the base $(r+2)$ expansion of i is

$$i = a_0 + a_1(r+2) + \dots + a_s(r+2)^s$$

with $0 \leq a_\alpha < (r+2)$.

2. Lemma If the characteristic of k is zero there is a multiplication map for each i, j

$$R_i R_j \rightarrow R_{i+j}$$

which makes

$$R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$$

into a graded sheaf of \mathcal{O}_V algebras.

3. Remark I do not know whether the hypothesis about the characteristic of k is needed.

Proof. Although the definition of the L_i is functorial it is necessary to work explicitly to construct the multiplication map. First suppose V is affine, with affine coordinates x_0, \dots, x_n . To make our formulas work assume x_0 is the constant function 1 from now on. Also choose a K basis $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r \in \mathcal{L}$. When $I \subset K$ is the fractional ideal generated by a sequence of rational functions y_0, \dots, y_m there is an embedding

$$F(I) \subset K$$

with image the fractional ideal generated by determinants

$$\text{determinant} \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & \delta_1 f_0 & \dots & \delta_r f_0 \\ f_r & \delta_1 f_r & \dots & \delta_r f_r \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

where f_0, \dots, f_r run over all possible pairwise products $x_i y_j$.

The inclusion in [1] Theorem 15 (i) (whose definition requires the hypothesis that the characteristic of k is zero) induces a map

$$F(I)^{r+2} \rightarrow F(IF(I))$$

and replacing I by J_i gives the map

$$L_i^{r+2} \rightarrow L_{i+1}$$

for each i .

Let's show the definition is independent of choice of the functions x_i and the rational functions f_j . A simpler but infinite formula not using either choice would have given the same answer as (3) if one allows the f_i on the right side of the equation to run over all elements of the fractional ideal (y_0, \dots, y_m) . (see [1] Proposition 11). This shows the choice of x_i and f_j is inessential.

We now need to show the map is independent of choice of basis of \mathcal{L} . If τ_1, \dots, τ_r were a different basis then

$$\tau_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \delta_j$$

with $a_{ij} \in K$. Then the images of $F(I)^{r+2}$ and $F(IF(I))$ in K are both multiplied by the same rational function

$$\det(a_{ij})^{r^2+3r+2}.$$

This same exponent will occur later in Lemma 20 when we need to untwist the map after pulling back to $Bl_I V$. Because the map is independent of all the choices. It then patches on affine open pieces and defines a map in the case V need not be affine.

Next use the map $L_i^{r+2} \rightarrow L_{i+1}$ to define a multiplication map $R_i R_j \rightarrow R_{i+j}$. For this we apply definition of the R_i in (2). Rather than give a rigorous definition it should certainly suffice to give an

example of how this is done in the case $r = 8$. Then $(r + 2) = 10$ and we are using familiar base ten expansions. If we wanted to calculate $98 + 3$ in the familiar way in base ten, we would ‘carry’ twice, writing

$$(9 \times 10 + 8) + 3 = (9 + 1) \times 10 + 1 = 10^2 + 1.$$

Correspondingly we define

$$R_{98}R_3 \rightarrow R_{101}$$

to be the composite

$$R_{98}R_3 = (L_1^9 L_0^8)(L_0^3) \rightarrow L_1^{10} L_0 \rightarrow L_2 L_0 = R_{101}$$

where the first map is induced by $L_0^{10} \rightarrow L_1$ and the second by $L_1^{10} \rightarrow L_2$.

It is technical to check the ring axioms hold. An easy way is to note that it suffices to treat the affine case. Then R with its multiplication law has the structure explicitly described in the next section. QED

4. Elementary Construction of R .

When V is affine with coordinate ring R_0 and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r$ are a K basis of \mathcal{L} we can define R in an elementary way to be the smallest subring of $K[T]$ such that

- i) R contains $R_0 \subset K$ in degree zero
- ii) Whenever the ideal $IR = I \oplus IR_1 \oplus IR_2 \oplus \dots$ contains homogeneous elements f_0, \dots, f_r of the same degree i where i is either zero or equal to a geometric series of the form

$$1 + (r + 2) + (r + 2)^2 + \dots + (r + 2)^j$$

then R must contain the product Td where d is the determinant displayed in (3).

4. Remark The construction of R appears mysterious for two reasons. Not only is the connection with the base $(r + 2)$ expansions unusual, also the choice of degrees for the grading is strange. For, given that L_0, \dots, L_i have degrees $1, (r + 2), \dots, (r + 2)^i$ and $F(IL_0L_1\dots L_i) = L_{i+1}$ has degree $(r + 2)^{i+1}$ we see from the formula

$$(r + 2)^{i+1} = 1 + (r + 1)(1 + (r + 2) + \dots + (r + 2)^i)$$

that the operation of F has the effect of multiplying degrees by $(r + 1)$ and then adding one. So the effect of F on degrees is neither addition or multiplication, but an affine transformation. This is related to twisting which occurs in passing between V and V_0 (see Lemma 18) which suggests the the terms of I should perhaps be viewed as having non-integer degree $1/(r + 1)$.

5. Statement of Theorem

To state the theorem we will need to speak of the Nash process of variety with respect to a foliation. This is defined in [1] where we happen to call it the Gauss process in the case the foliation has codimension greater than zero.

5. Theorem Suppose the characteristic of k is zero. Then R is finite type over k if and only if the Nash process of $V_0 = Bl_I V$ with respect to the foliation is finite.

Before we prove the theorem let us make the statement a little more explicit. We are asserting that R is finite type over k if and only if

$$\dots \rightarrow V_3 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow V$$

is finite where

$$\begin{cases} V_0 = Bl_I(V) \\ V_{i+1} = \text{the result of blowing up } V_i \text{ along the foliation } \mathcal{L} \end{cases}^4$$

6. Remark Take V to be affine, take I to be the unit ideal $I = R_0$, and take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D}er_k(K, K)$. The object $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ can be viewed as being an ordinary graded ring by identifying each sheaf R_i with its R_0 module of global sections. In this case the theorem says that the Nash process for V itself finishes in finitely many steps if and only if the graded ring R is finite type over k .

7. Remark Despite this, it is not the case that the resolution $\tilde{V} = \lim_{\leftarrow} V_i$ is the same as $Proj(R)$. When the variety \tilde{V} exists there is a natural map $\tilde{V} \rightarrow Proj(R)$ and both are ordinary varieties. Moreover this natural map commutes with the proper birational morphism from each variety to V . But the map need not be an isomorphism. In this sense $Proj(R)$ controls the limit from below, not from above.

8. Remark We will see later (Remark 25 and Proposition 26) that the condition that R is finite type over k is equivalent to the scheme $Proj(R)$ being finite type over k .

⁴The blowup of V along the singular foliation corresponding to a rational section $s : V \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_r(W)$ is the universal variety $\tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ over V such that s becomes a well defined map on \tilde{V} . It is the same as the blowup of V along the sheaf $\Lambda^r \Omega$ of torsion free differential along the foliation defined in section 2.

6. Applications

9. Application If W is a projective variety then choosing a global section s of a very ample line bundle L on W we obtain an affine cone V and a codimension one foliation \mathcal{L} on V transverse to the level sets of s (details will be given later on). Take I to be the ideal of definition of the cone point of V . The variety $V_0 = Bl_I V$ is the total space of the dual line bundle \widehat{L} over W . Applying the theorem to the variety V the ideal I and the codimension one foliation \mathcal{L} we find that the finite type property of the graded ring $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ controls the resolution process of the codimension one foliation on the line bundle. This corresponds to the Nash process for the projective variety W . The ring R_0 is graded and since the codimension one foliation \mathcal{L} on V_0 is invariant for the \mathbb{G}_m action on the fibres of the line bundle $V_0 \rightarrow W$ the R_i are graded R_0 modules, making R into a doubly graded ring with components which are finite dimensional over k . Therefore there is a Hilbert series whose rationality is a necessary condition for the Nash process of W to finish.

10. Application For foliations of codimension ≥ 1 the sequence of blowups along the foliation rarely finishes in finitely many steps. For example, dicritical vector fields on the plane cannot be resolved by blowing up reduced points [5], and the process of blowing up along the foliation is the same as blowing up the reduced singular locus of the foliation at each stage. For the vector field $x\partial/\partial x + 2y\partial/\partial y$ on the (x, y) plane the ring R is a monomial subring of the polynomial ring $k[x, y, T]$. Let $P(x, y, T)$ be the sum of the basic monomials. In section 13 we'll calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P(x, y, T)|_{x=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - yT} \left(\frac{yT}{y} + \frac{(yT)^3}{y^2} + \frac{(yT)^9}{y^3} + \frac{(yT)^{27}}{y^4} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

which is not a rational function. Although this is the simplest possible example it seems to illustrate a useful technique to determine whether the process of blowing up along a foliation should converge or not in particular cases.

7. Real motivation.

Let V be an irreducible affine variety with affine coordinates x_0, \dots, x_n with x_0 taken to be the constant function $x_0 = 1$. Let y_0, \dots, y_m be rational functions and let $V_0 = Bl_I V$. We obtain via the Segre embedding a rational map

$$V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{nm+m+n}$$

which lifts to an embedding

$$V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{nm+n+m}.$$

In the real case so $k = \mathbb{R}$ and the coordinate ring R_0 is such that no nonzero element vanishes at every real point of V , the manifold \mathbb{P}^{nm+n+m} can be given the standard metric coming from two sheeted covering by the unit sphere and the Gaussian curvature of V_0 at a point $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in V$ where the y_i are not all zero is the ratio of volume elements

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_0, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{|\delta_1 \frac{bv}{|bv|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{bv}{|bv|}|}{|\delta_1 \frac{v}{|v|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{v}{|v|}|} \\ &= \frac{|\frac{cv}{|cv|} \wedge \delta_1 \frac{cv}{|cv|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{cv}{|cv|}|}{|\frac{v}{|v|} \wedge \delta_1 \frac{v}{|v|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{v}{|v|}|} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v \text{ is the product sequence } v = xy; \text{ i.e., } v_{ij} = x_i y_j, \\ b = \delta_1 \frac{v}{|v|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{v}{|v|} \in K^\alpha \text{ for } \alpha = \binom{(n+1)(m+1)}{r} \\ c = \frac{v}{|v|} \wedge \delta_1 \frac{v}{|v|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{v}{|v|} \\ \delta_1, \dots, \delta_r \in \mathcal{D}er_k(K, K) \text{ is a } K \text{ basis.} \end{array} \right.$$

Since

$$\delta_i \frac{cv}{|cv|} = \frac{-1}{|cv|^2} \delta_i |cv| cv + \frac{1}{|cv|} \delta_i (cv)$$

we have

$$G(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \frac{|vd \wedge \delta_1(vd) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vd)|}{|d|^{r+2}}$$

with $d = v \wedge \delta_1(v) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(v)$. Let $L_0 = (d) \subset K$ viewed as a fractional ideal.

11. Definition The *real analytic closure* of I is the set of elements g in the coordinate ring of V such that

$$\text{ord}_t(g) \geq \text{ord}_t(I)$$

for all convergent real analytic arcs $(x_0(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ in V .

12. Corollary The Gaussian curvature of V_0 is bounded on compact sets if and only if the inclusion of ideals

$$L_0^{r+2} \rightarrow L_1$$

defined in section 3 becomes an equality of real analytic closures.

Proof. The inclusion of ideals is precisely the same as the inclusion

$$(d)^{r+2} \subset vd \wedge \delta_1(vd) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vd) \quad (4)$$

QED

If R is generated by elements of degree at most $r + 1$ then the Gaussian curvature of V_0 is bounded on compact sets

Proof. Looking at the definition of R we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= R_0 \\ R_1 &= L_0 \\ R_2 &= L_0^2 \\ &\dots \\ R_{r+1} &= L_0^{r+1} \\ R_{r+2} &= L_1 \end{aligned}$$

If R is generated by elements of degree at most $r + 1$ then the inclusion of ideals in the previous corollary is actually an equality.

QED

Viewing (y_0, \dots, y_m) as variables, the system of linear equations equivalent to the inclusion of ideals being equality corresponds to a system of partial differential equations in which the linear coefficients and the y_i occur as variables. The higher R_i are obtained by the same formula if (y_0, \dots, y_m) are taken to generate J_i instead of J_0 . The main theorem then implies

13. Corollary In characteristic zero, as long as V has a blowup V_0 whose Nash resolution converges there exists a corresponding number m and sequence (y_0, \dots, y_m) which solves this familiar system of second order partial differential equations precisely.

8. Connection with geometry.

When the characteristic of k is zero we have already defined maps

$$L_i^{r+2} \rightarrow L_{i+1}$$

Multiplying by a power J_α^N we obtain a map

$$S_{i \alpha N} : J_\alpha^N L_i^{r+2} \rightarrow J_\alpha^N L_{i+1}$$

defined for all $i, \alpha, N \geq 0$.

When the characteristic of k is finite the maps will only be defined for $\alpha \geq i$ and $N \gg 0$ depending on i and α . They could exist more generally but this is not known.

In sections 9 to 11, which make sense in any characteristic, we will assign a geometric interpretation to the question which $S_{i \alpha N}$ or $S_{i+1 \alpha N}$, respectively, are isomorphisms for large N in terms of the Atiyah class and ramification, respectively. This will explain the result from [1] geometrically and extend it to the case of finite characteristic. The same results can be used to explicitly bound the generating degrees of the subring $R^{[i]} \subset R$ in section 12. However the results of the next three sections are not needed for the actual proof of the main theorem or the applications in later sections.

9. Calculation of the coherent sheaves on V_0 .

Let V be an irreducible variety over any field k and let I be a torsion free rank one coherent sheaf on V . Let $\pi : V_0 = Bl_I(V) \rightarrow V$ be the natural map. Let us calculate the full subcategory of torsion free coherent sheaves on V_0 . This is a slight generalization of the result of [7]. During these sections the symbol π^* will refer to pulling back and reducing mod torsion.

14. Proposition Let $L = \pi^*I$. Then every torsion free object of $Coh(V_0)$ is isomorphic to some product $L^\alpha \pi^*M$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, The homomorphisms $L^\alpha \pi^*M \rightarrow L^\beta \pi^*N$ are bijective with elements of the limit as N tends to infinity $\lim_{\rightarrow} Hom(I^{N+\alpha}M, I^{N+\beta}N)$.

Note that a single map $I^m M \rightarrow I^n N$ can represent a map $L^\alpha \pi^*M \rightarrow L^\beta \pi^*N$ for any value of α whatsoever, and then β must equal $\alpha + n - m$. To compose maps in $Coh(\tilde{V})$ corresponding to $I^m M \rightarrow I^n N$ and $I^q N \rightarrow I^r Q$ multiply one or the other with $I^{|n-q|}$ to make them composable, compose and pull back.

15. Corollary A map $f : M \rightarrow N$ of torsion free coherent sheaves on V pulls back (modulo torsion) to an isomorphism if and only if it actually does become an isomorphism after multiplying with a suitable power of I .

16. Corollary (see [7]). The partial order on blowups of V is such that the blowup of a torsion-free rank one coherent sheaf I dominates that of another J if and only if J is a divisor of a power of I .

10. Local triviality of α .

In the notation of section 5. consider the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow V_3 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow V$$

and let Ω be torsion free differentials with respect to the foliation on V and Ω_i those for V_i . Let α be the Atiyah class of $\Lambda^r \Omega$ with respect to the foliation and let α_i be that for V_i . The main result of this section is Lemma 21 which implies that if α_i is locally trivial the map $S_{i, N}$ is an isomorphism for large N .

The next lemma requires a hypothesis about α .

17. Lemma If the Atiyah class α of I with respect to the foliation is trivial then in the sequence of maps above $V_1 = V_0$ if and only if $\Lambda^r \Omega$ is a divisor of a power of I .

Proof. Let $\pi : V_0 \rightarrow V$ be the natural map of blowing up I and let Ω and Ω_0 be the torsion free differentials of V and V_0 along the foliation, respectively. If the Atiyah class of I is trivial then

$$\begin{aligned} P(I) &\cong I \oplus (I\Omega) \\ F(I) &\cong \Lambda^{r+1}(I \oplus (I\Omega)) \\ &\cong I\Lambda^r(I\Omega) \\ &\cong I^{r+1}\Lambda^r\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In the next section we will see that

$$\pi^*F(I) \cong \Lambda^r\Omega_0(-rE - E)$$

where E is the exceptional Cartier divisor of the blowup. Therefore Ω_0 is locally free if and only if I and $\Lambda^r\Omega$ separately pull back to invertible sheaves on V_0 . By Corollary 16 this happens if and only if $\Lambda^r\Omega$ is a divisor of a power of I . If V is not affine, the same considerations on affine open sets prove the result for non affine V .

Let now α_i be the Atiyah class of $\Lambda^r \Omega_i$ with respect to the foliation on V_i . Suppose α_i is locally trivial. Lemma 17 with V replaced by V_i and I replaced by $\Lambda^r \Omega_i$ (which is now a divisor of a power of itself) shows that Ω_{i+1} or equivalently its highest exterior power, is locally free. Recall we are working modulo torsion according to our definition of exterior powers. QED

Before we can proceed further we need another lemma.

18. Lemma If $\pi : V_0 \rightarrow V$ is the blowing up of I and J is another torsion free rank one coherent sheaf on V then there is a natural isomorphism $\pi^*P(IJ) \cong P(\pi^*J)(-D)$ where D is the exceptional Cartier divisor and therefore

$$\pi^*F(IJ) \cong F(I)(-D - rD).$$

Proof. Choose a basis $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r \in \mathcal{L}$ as usual. If V is affine and R_0 is a coordinate ring of V say (f_0, \dots, f_m) generate I and (g_0, \dots, g_b) generate J . The R_0 module $P(IJ)$ can be viewed as the submodule of K^{r+1} generated by all sequences $(f_i g_j, \delta_1(f_i g_j), \dots, \delta_r(f_i g_j))$. Now [1] Proposition 11 (checking that the proof works any characteristic and for the module itself not only the highest exterior power) applies once extend the generating sequences by including the products of each generator with the generators of R_0 so assume they have been so extended. A typical coordinate ring of \tilde{V} is $R_0[f_1/f_0, \dots, f_m/f_0]$ and $\pi^*P(IJ)$ evaluated on this coordinate chart is generated by the same rows.

Again apply [1] Proposition 11 to calculate $P(\pi^*J)$ and here we have to be careful as we have to multiply the generating sequence of π^*J by a new sequence of k algebra generators of $R_0[f_1/f_0, \dots, f_m/f_0]$. Once we do so we see that it is generated by the same rows as in the other calculation with two changes. We take $i = 0$ alone, but we replace the g_j by all products of these with all f_i/f_0 . (This includes the g_j themselves since we include f_0/f_0). But look at what we have done. We have first replaced each f_i by f_0 , and then immediately afterwards we have multiplied each f_0 by all possible f_i/f_0 . So we get back exactly the same set of rows. QED

19. Definition For A, B torsion free coherent sheaves on V an element $\alpha \in Ext^1(A, B)$ is *multiplicatively trivial* if the natural map $\Lambda^a(A)\Lambda^b(B) \rightarrow \Lambda^{a+b}(C)$ is an isomorphism, where C is the extension and a, b, c are the ranks of A, B, C .

20. Lemma The class α_0 is multiplicatively trivial if and only if natural map $S_{0 \ 0 \ N} : J_i^N L_0^{r+2} \rightarrow J_i^N L_i$ is an isomorphism for some N .

Proof. The extension defined by α_0 is

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_0 \Lambda^r \Omega_0 \rightarrow P(\Lambda_r \Omega_0) \rightarrow \Lambda^r \Omega_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

On highest exterior powers we obtain the map whose surjectivity is equivalent to multiplicative triviality of α_0

$$(\Lambda_r \Omega_0)^{r+2} = \Lambda^r(\Omega_0 \Lambda^r \Omega_0) \Lambda^r \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} P(\Lambda^r \Omega_0) = F(\Lambda^r \Omega_0).$$

Lets' show the map above is the same as $\pi^* S_{0 \ 0 \ 0}(r^2 E + 3rE + 2E)$ where $r^2 E + 3rE + 2E$ denotes a degree of twisting by the exceptional Cartier divisor E . Applying Lemma 18. for $J = \mathcal{O}_V$ and using the formula $F(\mathcal{O}_V) \cong \Lambda^r \Omega$ gives $\pi^* F(I) \cong \Lambda^r \Omega_0(-E - rE)$. The left side of the formula is then

$$(\Lambda^r \Omega_i)^{r+2} \cong \pi^* F(I)^{r+2}(r^2 E + 3rE + 2E).$$

Turning to the right side of the formula we have, again using $F(\mathcal{O}_{V_0}) = \Lambda^r \Omega_0$ and applying Lemma 18 twice

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Lambda^r \Omega_0) &\cong FF\mathcal{O}_{V_0} \\ &\cong FF\pi^*\mathcal{O}_V \\ &\cong F(\pi^*FI(rE + E)) \\ &\cong F(\pi^*FI)((r+1)^2 E) \\ &\cong \pi^*F(FI)((r+1)^2 E + (r+1)E). \end{aligned}$$

The twisting matches and so the source and target of the desired map agree with those of $\pi^* S_{0 \ 0 \ 0}(r^2 E + 3rE + 2E)$. I have checked that the maps agree as well. The torsion free coherent sheaves on $V_0 = Bl_I(V)$ are obtained by universally inverting I as we have seen, and therefore $\pi^* S_{0 \ 0 \ 0}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $S_{0 \ 0 \ N}$ actually is an isomorphism for some sufficiently large N . This proves α_0 is multiplicatively trivial if and only if some $S_{0 \ 0 \ N}$ is an isomorphism. QED

As usual we not only let $V_0 = Bl_I V$ but we also let $\dots \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_0$ be the sequence of Nash blowups along the foliation. Let α_i be the Atiyah class of $\Lambda^r \Omega_i$ on V_i . We then obtain the analogous result about a later portion

$$V_{i+1} \rightarrow V_i$$

of the Nash sequence of V_0 along the foliation by replacing $I = J_0$ by the ideal J_i . Thus

21. Lemma $S_{i \ i \ N}$ is an isomorphism for some N if and only if α_i is multiplicatively trivial.

11. Ramification along the foliation

22. Discussion Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{i+1} \text{ locally trivial} \\ \Leftrightarrow & S_{i+1, i, N} \text{ is an isomorphism for some } N \\ \Rightarrow & S_{i+1, i, N} \text{ is an isomorphism for some } N \end{aligned}$$

In this section we'll show the final condition is equivalent to $V_{i+2} \rightarrow V_{i+1}$ being unramified along the foliation (which we define in perhaps an unusual way in finite characteristic) and for general k this implies Ω_{i+2} is locally free on V_{i+2} . This will complete the circle of implications via

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{i+1, i, N} \text{ is an isomorphism} \\ \Rightarrow & \Omega_{i+2} \text{ is locally free} \\ \Rightarrow & \alpha_{i+2} \text{ is locally trivial.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, stably, in any characteristic, all these conditions will be equivalent.

Take first the case $i = 0$. Label the maps in the usual sequence

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_0} V_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} V.$$

Since $V_1 = Bl_I V$ and $S_{0, 1, N} = J_1^N S_{0, 0, 0}$ we have by Corollary 16 that

$S_{0, 1, N}$ is an isomorphism for $N \gg 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi_0^* \pi^* S_{0, 0, 0}$ is an isomorphism.

From section 10 we have our map

$$\pi^* S_{0, 0, 0}(r^2 E + 3r E_2 E) : \Lambda_r \Omega_0^{r+2} \rightarrow F(\Lambda^r \Omega_0).$$

Using

$$\pi_0^* \Lambda^r \Omega_0 \cong \mathcal{O}_{V_1}(-D)$$

for D the appropriate Cartier divisor and

$$\pi_0^* F \Lambda^r \Omega_0 \cong \Lambda^r \Omega_1(-D - rD)$$

we obtain

$$\pi_0^* \pi^* S_{0, 0, 0}(r^2 E + 3r E + 2E) : \pi_0 \Lambda^r \Omega_0(-rD - D) \rightarrow \Lambda^r \Omega_1(-D - rD).$$

In other words we obtain a natural map

$$\pi_0^* \pi^* S_{0 \ 0 \ 0}(X) : \pi_0^* \Lambda^r \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Lambda^r \Omega_1$$

for some divisor $X = (r + 1)D + (r^2 + 3r + 2)\pi^*E$.

There is another well-known natural map with the same source and target, whose surjectivity in characteristic zero is equivalent to the map $V_1 \rightarrow V_0$ being unramified in the direction of the foliation. I have checked that it is the same map.

In any characteristic, when the map is an isomorphism it obviously exhibits an isomorphism between $\Lambda^r \Omega_1$ and the locally free module $\pi_0^* \Lambda^r \Omega_0$ proving it is locally free.

If one replaces $I = J_0$ by J_i and J_1 by J_{i+1} one finds instead that

23. Lemma The map $S_{i \ i+1 \ N}$ is an isomorphism for some N if and only if $\pi_i : V_{i+1} \rightarrow V_i$ is unramified along the foliation meaning $\pi_i^* \Lambda^r \Omega_{i+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^r \Omega_{i+2}$ is an isomorphism.

When true this implies Ω_{i+2} is locally free so α_{i+2} is locally trivial, completing the circle of implications and showing that stably with respect to Nash blowups the geometric conditions of the last few sections are all equivalent, without regard to the characteristic of k .

12. Proof of Theorem.

Let us first treat the affine case. Let V be an irreducible affine variety over a field k of characteristic zero with function field K . $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}er_k(K, K)$ a K linear Lie algebra, with fixed basis $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r$. We will call the coordinate ring of V R_0 in the expectation that it will later become the zero degree component of a graded ring R .

Step 1: When R is finite type the resolution process finishes essentially by construction, because R is generated by the L_i for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by the elementary properties of base $(r + 2)$ expansions, and so R being finite type implies condition (2) for $N = 0$.

Step 2: Suppose, conversely, that resolution process finishes in finitely many steps. Since Ω_i is locally free on V_i for some i we know α_i is locally trivial and by Lemma 21 for some N

$$S_{i \ i \ N} : J_i^N L_i^{r+2} \rightarrow J_i^N L_{i+1}$$

is an isomorphism. Fix the values of i and N here for the rest of the proof.

Let the graded subring $R^{[i+1]} \subset R$ be the subring which is obtained by multiplying each degree j component R_j by $(L_0 L_1 \dots L_i)^j = J_{i+1}^j$. We have

$$R^{[i+1]} = \bigoplus_j J_{i+1}^j R_j.$$

Before we prove R is finite type over k we will first prove the subring $R^{[i+1]} \subset R$ is finite type over k . Our proof will implicitly determine bounds for the degrees of the generators of $R^{[i+1]}$ which may be of independent use.

Step 3. Next we need a lemma

24. Lemma For any $s \geq 0$

$$J_{i+1}^{N(r+1)^s} L_{i+s+1} = J_{i+1}^{N(r+1)^s} L_i^{(r+2)^{s+1}}.$$

Proof. If $s = 0$ multiply the previous formula by L_i^N and use $J_{i+1} = L_i J_i$. If $s > 1$ assume the lemma true for smaller s and we have that

the left hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned} & J_{i+1}^{[N(r+1)^{s-1}-1](r+1)+(r+1)} L_{i+s+1} \\ &= J_{i+1}^{[N(r+1)^{s-1}-1](r+1)+(r+1)} F(L_0 L_1 \dots L_{i+s}) \end{aligned}$$

Now using Theorem 12 of [15] which implies $(J^{r+1})F(X) \subset F(JX)$ for any X we find this is

$$\subset J_{i+1}^{r+1} F(J_{i+1}^{N(r+1)^{s-1}-1} L_0 \dots L_{i+s})$$

Recall $J_{i+1} = L_0 \dots L_i$ so using this and the inductive hypothesis which implies $J_{i+1}^{N(r+1)^{s-1}} L_{i+\alpha} = J_i^{N(r+1)^{s-1}} L_i^{(r+2)^\alpha}$, the above is equal to

$$= J_{i+1}^{r+1} F((L_0 \dots L_i)^{N(r+1)^{s-1}} L_i^{(r+2)+(r+2)^2+\dots+(r+2)^s}).$$

This is of the form $J_i^{r+1} L_i^{r+1} F(J_i^a L_i^b)$ for some numbers a and b and here the way we proceed depends on whether a and b are odd or even. If they are both even we use the fact that $F(X^2) = X^{r+1}F(X)$ for any X , which is a special case of [1] Theorem 14, while if for example a is odd and b is even we first apply the inclusion $\subset L_i^{r+1} F(J_i^{a+1} L_i^b)$ and then apply the rule $F(X^2) = X^{r+1}F(X)$. In this way we obtain

$$\subset J_i^{N(r+1)^s} L_i^{(r+1)+[N(r+1)^{s-1}+(r+2)+\dots+(r+2)^{s-1}](r+1)} F(J_i L_i)$$

But $F(J_i L_i) = F(J_{i+1}) = L_{i+1}$ can be replaced by L_i^{r+2} because it occurs multiplied by at least J_{i+1}^N and we obtain

$$= J_{i+1}^{N(r+1)^s} L_i^{(r+2)^{s+1}}$$

as claimed.

Step 4. It follows from the lemma that for sufficiently large s we also have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{i+1}^{(r+2)^s} L_s &= J_{i+1}^{(r+2)^s} L_i^{(r+2)^{s-i}} \\ &= (J_{i+1}^{(r+1)^i} L_i)^{(r+2)^{s-i}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is because eventually the inequality

$$\left(\frac{r+2}{r+1}\right)^s \geq N.$$

will hold.

Step 5. Now we can show that the subring $R^{[i+1]} \subset R$ defined at the beginning of the proof is finite type. If the base $r + 2$ expansion of s is

$$a_0 + a_1(r + 2) + \dots + a_m(r + 2)^m$$

then the degree s component of $R^{[i+1]}$ is

$$R_s^{[i+1]} = (J_{i+1}L_0)^{a_0} \dots (J_{i+1}^{r+2}L_1)^{a_1} (J_{i+1}^{(r+2)^2}L_2)^{a_2} \dots (J_{i+1}^{(r+2)^m}L_m)^{a_m}$$

and so the formula in step 4 shows $R^{[i+1]}$ is generated by the $J_{i+1}^{(r+1)^j}L_j$ for

$$j \leq \max[i, (\log(r + 2) - \log(r + 1))\log(N)]$$

as needed.

Step 6. We deduce from this that R itself is finite type. Unfortunately this step does not give explicit bounds as it is based on Hilbert's basis theorem. To simplify notation, let us rename the graded ring $R^{[i+1]}$ by the name W . Since W is finite type over k and therefore over R_0 it has a sequence of homogeneous generators x_1, \dots, x_t of positive degree. Let d be the least common multiple of the $\text{degree}(x_i)$ and consider all possible elements of W of degree d which occur as monomials in the x_i . These monomials generate the 'truncated' ring $\oplus_j W_{dj}$. The fact that all generators belong to W_d implies

$$W_{dj} = W_d^j$$

Using the definition of $W = R^{[i+1]}$ this tells us that the inclusion

$$R_d^j \subset R_{dj}$$

becomes an equality after multiplying by $(IL_0 \dots L_{i+1})^{dj}$. Take $x \in R_{dj}$ and let e_1, \dots, e_n be a set of R_0 module generators of $(IL_0 \dots L_{i+1})^{dj}$. We have $xe_i = \sum a_{ij}e_j$ with $a_{ij} \in R_d^j$ and Zariski and Samuel's trick of taking determinant of the matrix $(a_{ij} - x\delta_{ij})$ gives $x^n = x^{n-1}b_{n-1} + \dots + b_0$ with $b_t \in R_d^{j(n-t)}$. We can view this as an equation of integral dependence where the coefficients b_i lie in the graded rings $\oplus_i R_d^i$ and this shows every element of R whose degree is a multiple of d is integral over this ring. Since R_d is finitely generated as R_0 module and R_0 is finite type, the ring $\oplus_i R_d^i$ is finite type over k and by the theorem of 'finiteness of normalization' we know the

truncation $\oplus_i R_{id}$ since it consists only of integral elements, is also finite over $\oplus R_d^j$. Therefore the truncation is finite type over k and therefore Noetherian. Finally, the ring R is isomorphic to a direct sum of d ideals over the truncated ring (multiplying by any element of degree congruent to $-i \pmod d$ gives an embedding of the sum of terms congruent to $i \pmod d$ into the truncated ring). Since ideals in a Noetherian ring are finitely generated, we conclude R is finite over the truncated ring. It follows then that R is finite type over k .

25. Remark There is no difference between saying R is finite type over k and saying the scheme $X = Proj(R)$ is finite type over k . This follows from the following proposition, which, however, is not used in the paper.

26. Proposition Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ be a graded ring such that R_0 is finite type over k and R_i is a finitely generated R_0 module for each i . Suppose $X = Proj(R)$ is finite type in the sense that it has a finite open cover by affine varieties. Then

- i) There is a number d such that for every number j there is a t with $R_d^{t-j} R_{dj} = R_d^t$.
- ii) Therefore R itself is finite type.

Proof. For part 1, choose $f_0, \dots, f_n \in R$ homogeneous of nonzero degree such that the open sets defined by the nonvanishing of the f_α are affine varieties which cover $Proj(R)$. We can assume the f_α all have the same positive degree d and that the sequence f_0, \dots, f_n to a generating sequence of R_e over R_0 . Since the nonvanishing sets are finite type for every number j there is an i such that

$$R_{dj}/f_\alpha^j \subset R_d^i/f_\alpha^i.$$

Since $n < \infty$ we may choose i independently of α . Let $(f_0^{i-j}, \dots, f_n^{i-j})$ the sub R_0 module of R_{di-dj} generated by the entries of this sequence, we then have

$$(f_0^{i-j}, \dots, f_n^{i-j})R_{dj} \subset R_d^i$$

and also

$$R_d^N(f_0^{i-j}, \dots, f_n^{i-j}) = R_d^{N+(i-j)}$$

once $N \geq n(i - j - 1)$, as then every monomial of degree $N + i - j$ in the f_α is divisible by some f_α^{i-j} . Taking $t = N + i$ and combining formulas establishes part 1.

Part 2 is similar to part of the proof of part 5 of the theorem. By part 1 all elements of R_{dj} are integral over the finite type algebra $\bigoplus_i R_d^i$ so by finiteness of normalization and the Noetherian property of the subring, $\bigoplus_j R_{dj}$ is finite over the subring and therefore is finite type over k . This is then Noetherian and so the larger ring R which is isomorphic to a sum of d ideals over the truncated subring is finite over this and therefore again finite type over k . QED

13. Illustration of the technique

27. Example In this example we'll examine the case of a singular foliation which is already known cannot be resolved by repeatedly blowing up along the foliation. This is the standard \mathbb{G}_m action of type (a, b) on the plane with $a \neq b$. It is known that this cannot be resolved by blowing up points [5] and the process of blowing up along the foliation is in this case the same as repeatedly blowing up reduced points. We will verify explicitly that the ring R is not finite type in the case $(a, b) = (1, 2)$. Thus algebraically we have the derivation on $k[x, y]$ given $\delta = x\partial/\partial x + 2y\partial/\partial y$. Let us write down the series $P(x, y, T)$ which is the sum of the set of monomials which forms a basis of R . In the explicit definition of R we may take the f_i to be monomials. Thus a k basis of R consists of the the smallest set of monomials in x, y, T which is closed under multiplication, such that all monomials $x^i y^j$ for $i, j \geq 0$ are included, and in addition if m_0, m_1 are two such monomials of distinct degree (where x is given degree 1 and y degree 2), and if $m_0 T^i$ and $m_1 T^i$ are included where i is a finite geometric series $1 + 3 + 3^2 + \dots$ then so is $T \cdot m_0 T^i \cdot m_1 T^i = T^{2i+1} m_0 m_1$.

If the monoid is finitely generated, the series $P(x, y, T)$ must be a rational function with denominator a product of $(m_i - 1)$ for m_i monomials in x, y, T . Let us consider the monomials of the form $x^v y^j T^k$ which occur for $v = 0$ and $v = 1$. For $v = 0$ we get the $y^j T^k$ for which $j \geq k \geq 0$ which arise as powers of y times powers of yT . The set of such monomials for $v = 1$, namely a subset of the $xy^j T^k$, is the smallest set of monomials containing xT and closed under multiplication by both y and yT and closed under the operation

$$xy^j T^i \mapsto xy^{j+i} T^{2i+1}$$

when i is of the form $1 + 3 + 3^2 \dots$. The latter rule comes from the product $T \cdot (m_0 T) \cdot (m_1 T)$ where $m_0 = y^i$ and $m_1 = xy^j$, as note that xy^j always has odd degree which is always distinct from that of y^i , which is even.

In terms of the series $P(x, y, T)$ we see that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} P(x, y, t)|_{x=0}$ is the sum of the smallest set of monomials in y and T which contains 1 and all $y^{3^i - i - 1} T^{3^i}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and is closed under multiplication

by y and yT . This is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P(x, y, T)|_{x=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-yT} \left(\frac{yT}{y} + \frac{(yT)^3}{y^2} + \frac{(yT)^9}{y^3} + \frac{(yT)^{27}}{y^4} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

This is not a rational function so this verifies the resolution process does not terminate. The example illustrates that it is possible to relate convergence of the resolution process to rationality of a formal power series.

14. The projective case

The example above showed a Hilbert series calculation can determine whether the resolution process stops for a codimension one foliation. A similar calculation applies to the Nash process for any projective variety. Let W be an irreducible projective variety over k and let s be a global section of a very ample line bundle. Let V be the associated affine cone and V_0 the blowup of the reduced cone point. Then V is the total space of the dual line bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ and the exceptional divisor D of $V \rightarrow V_0$ is the zero section of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Thus the map $V \rightarrow V_0$ is the result of contracting D to a point.

We let R_0 be the affine coordinate ring of V with its grading and I the maximal ideal generated by all positive degree elements. Letting K be the function field of V the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \{\delta : \delta(s) = 0\} \subset \text{Der}_k(S, S)$ is codimension one and corresponds to a codimension one foliation on V . There is a basis $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r$ of \mathcal{L} where the δ_i preserve the graded coordinate ring R_0 of V and preserve the grading. Since we have an affine variety V and an ideal I in the coordinate ring R_0 we obtain our a graded ring R and this is a doubly graded ring. The varieties $\dots V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow V$ are line bundles over the Nash blowups W_i of W in such a way that finiteness of the series V_i , which is controlled by whether R is finite type, is also equivalent to finiteness of the Nash process for W . Since the doubly graded ring R has finite dimensional components it has a Hilbert series $P(T)$ for the total degree.

28. Corollary The Nash process for W will never finish unless $P(T)$ a rational function.

- [1] J. Moody, *On Resolving Singularities* LMS Journal
- [2] G. Gonzales-Sprinberg, Resolution de Nash des points doubles rationels, Ann Inst Fourier Grenoble 32 (1982) 111-178
- [3] M. Spivakovsky, Sandwiched surface singularities and desingularization of surfaces by normalized Nash transformations, Annals of Math 131 411-491 (1990)
- [4] H. Hironaka, On Nash Blowing-Up, Progr Math 36 Vol 2, 103-111 (1983)
- [5] C. Camacho, L. Neto, P. Sad, Topological invariants and desingularization for holomorphic vector fields, J. Diff Geom 20 no. 1 (1984)
- [6] G. Gonzales-Sprinberg, Desingularization des surfaces par des modifications de Nash normalisees, Asterique 145-146 (1987) 4, 187-207
- [7] J. Moody, Divisibility of ideals and blowing up, Ill J. Math 45 163-165 (2001)