

HEEGAARD FLOER THEORY AND PROPERTY P

SIDDHARTHA GADGIL

ABSTRACT. Property P says that a surgery with slope ± 1 about a non-trivial knot in S^3 does not give a homotopy sphere. We give a proof of this using the Heegaard Floer theory of Ozsvath and Szabo.

This is a preliminary version. Comments are very welcome.

The property P for knots says that a surgery with slope ± 1 about a non-trivial knot in S^3 does not give a homotopy sphere. As such surgeries about knots are a basic way to construct homology spheres, this can be regarded as a basic special case of the Poincaré conjecture. This has been proved by Kronheimer and Mrowka in [3] using both Seiberg-Witten and Donaldson theory. We shall give a more topological proof using the Heegaard Floer theory of Ozsvath and Szabo.

Theorem 1. *[Property P] Let $\kappa \subset S^3$ be a non-trivial knot and let M be obtained from S^3 by surgery with slope ± 1 on κ . Then M is not a homotopy sphere.*

Suppose that κ and M are as above and assume that M is a homotopy sphere. We need the following topological lemma.

Lemma 2. *For some $n > 0$, there is an embedding of S^3 in $W = (M \times I) \#_n \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ which separates the two boundary components of W .*

Proof of Theorem 1. Assuming Lemma 2, we sketch a proof of Property P. Let N denote the result of 0-frame surgery on κ . Then, N carries a taut foliation and hence $N \times I$ is a symplectic manifold with convex boundary components by [2]. Hence, as in [1], [3], and [6], we can embed M in a closed symplectic manifold P so that it separates P with both complementary components having $b_1^+ > 0$. By Theorem 10.1 of [4] and the results of [5], we see that $HF_{\text{red}}(N)$ does not vanish.

Now by the exact sequence in Heegaard Floer theory, it follows that $HF_{\text{red}}(M)$ does not vanish. Further, by the blow-up formula of [4], there is a spin structure on W so that the homomorphism induced by W on $HF_{\text{red}}(M)$ is non-zero. But by Lemma 2, as Ozsvath-Szabo theory is a TQFT, this homomorphism factors through $HF_{\text{red}}(S^3) = 0$, giving the required contradiction. □

We now turn to the proof of Lemma 2

Proof of Lemma 2. Assume M is as in the hypothesis with $\pi_1(M) = 1$. As M is obtained from S^3 by ± 1 surgery, after possibly reversing the orientation of M , S^3 is obtained from M by surgery with slope -1 about a knot K in M .

As M is a homotopy sphere, K can be obtained from an unknot $K_0 \subset M$ by a sequence of (say n) crossings. Let K_1, \dots, K_n a collection of unknots in M so that

Date: December 2, 2024.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58J05 ; Secondary 32C05.

K_0, K_1, \dots, K_n forms an unlink. Let W be obtained by attaching a 2-handle with framing -1 to each of K_0, K_1, \dots, K_n . Note that $W = (M \times [0, 1]) \#_{n+1} \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$.

We shall construct a different Kirby diagram for W . Observe that each crossing of a knot κ is locally of a standard form. Namely, there is a ball $B \subset M$ which intersects κ in a pair of arcs c_1 and c_2 , and the crossing corresponds to a crossing of these arcs to give new arcs c'_1 and c'_2 with the same endpoints as c_1 and c_2 .

Further, if K_i is an unknot in B unlinked from the arcs c_i with framing -1 , then on performing the Kirby moves of sliding c_1 and c_2 over K_i , with opposite orientations, we get the knot obtained by crossing c_1 and c_2 . As the two crossings were performed with opposite orientations, the framing of κ does not change.

Now corresponding to the n crossings of K_0 required to make it isotopic to K we can find disjoint balls B_i in which the crossing is made and we can assume K_i is contained in B_i . Performing the Kirby moves as above in each of these B_i , we get a Kirby diagram for W with one component K with framing -1 . If we consider the corresponding Morse function for W with the 2-handle corresponding to K attached first, then the level set on attaching K is the result of -1 surgery about K . But this is S^3 , as claimed. \square

REFERENCES

1. Eliashberg, Yakov *A few remarks about symplectic filling*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 277–293.
2. Eliashberg, Yakov M.; Thurston, William P. *Confoliations*, University Lecture Series, **13**, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
3. Kronheimer, P. B.; Mrowka, T. S. *Witten's conjecture and property P*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 295–310.
4. Ozsvath, Peter; Szabo, Zoltan *Holomorphic triangles and invariants of smooth four-manifolds*, preprint
5. Ozsvath, Peter; Szabo, Zoltan *Holomorphic triangle invariants and the topology of symplectic four-manifolds*. Duke Math. J. 121(2004), no. 1, 1–34
6. Ozsvath, Peter; Szabo, Zoltan *Holomorphic disks and genus bounds*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 311–334

STAT MATH UNIT,, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE,, BANGALORE 560059, INDIA
E-mail address: `gadgil@isibang.ac.in`