# Finite good filtration dimension for modules over an algebra with good filtration.

#### Wilberd van der Kallen

Dedicated to Eric Friedlander on his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday

#### Abstract

Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group over a field k of characteristic p>0. Let p be large enough with respect to the root system. We show that if a finitely generated commutative k-algebra A with G-action has good filtration, then any noetherian A-module with compatible G-action has finite good filtration dimension.

# 1 Introduction

Consider a connected reductive linear algebraic group G defined over a field k of positive characteristic p. We say that G has the cohomological finite generation property (CFG) if the following holds: Let A be a finitely generated commutative k-algebra on which G acts rationally by k-algebra automorphisms. (So G acts on  $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ .) Then the cohomology ring  $H^*(G,A)$  is finitely generated as a k-algebra. Here, as in [9, I.4], we use the cohomology introduced by Hochschild, also known as 'rational cohomology'.

In [13] we have shown that  $SL_2$  over a field of positive characteristic has property (CFG), and in [14] we proved that  $SL_3$  over a field of characteristic two has property (CFG). We conjecture that every reductive linear algebraic group has property (CFG). In this paper we show that this is at least a good heuristic principle: We derive one of the consequences of (CFG) for any simply connected semisimple linear algebraic group G that satisfies the following

**Hypothesis 1.1** Assume that for every fundamental weight  $\varpi_i$  the symmetric algebra  $S^*(\nabla_G(\varpi_i))$  on the fundamental representation  $\nabla_G(\varpi_i)$  has a good filtration.

Recall that this hypothesis is satisfied if  $p \ge \max_i(\dim(\nabla_G(\varpi_i)))$ , by [1, 4.1(5) and 4.3(1)]. This inequality is not necessary. For instance,  $SL_n$  satisfies the hypothesis for  $n \le 5$ , by [13, Lemma 3.2]. When p = 2, the hypothesis does not hold for  $SL_n$  with  $n \ge 6$ , by [13, 3.3].

In the sequel let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group over a field k of characteristic p>0 with simply connected commutator subgroup for which hypothesis 1.1 holds. Let A be a finitely generated commutative k-algebra on which G acts rationally by k-algebra automorphisms. Let M be a noetherian A-module on which G acts compatibly. This means that the structure map  $A\otimes M\to M$  is a G-module map. Our main result is

**Theorem 1.2** If A has good filtration, then M has finite good filtration dimension and each  $H^i(G, M)$  is a noetherian  $A^G$ -module.

When A = k the theorem goes back to [5] and does not need hypothesis 1.1. Unlike the proofs in [13] and [14], the proof of our theorem does not involve any cohomology of finite group schemes and is thus independent of the work of Friedlander and Suslin [6]. But without their work we would not have guessed the theorem. For clarity we will pull some material of [13] free from finite group schemes.

## 2 Recollections

Some unexplained notations, terminology, properties, . . . can be found in [9]. We choose a Borel group  $B^+ = TU^+$  and the opposite Borel group  $B^-$ . The roots of  $B^+$  are positive. If  $\lambda \in X(T)$  is dominant, then  $\operatorname{ind}_{B^-}^G(\lambda)$  is the 'dual Weyl module' or 'costandard module'  $\nabla_G(\lambda)$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ . The formula  $\nabla_G(\lambda) = \operatorname{ind}_{B^-}^G(\lambda)$  just means that  $\nabla_G(\lambda)$  is obtained from the Borel-Weil construction:  $\nabla_G(\lambda)$  equals  $H^0(G/B^-, \mathcal{L})$  for a certain line bundle on the flag variety  $G/B^-$ . In a good filtration  $0 = V_{-1} \subseteq V_0 \subseteq V_1 \ldots$  of a G-module  $V = \bigcup_i V_i$  the nonzero layers  $V_i/V_{i-1}$  are of the form  $\nabla_G(\mu)$ . As in [12] we will actually also allow a layer to be a direct sum of any number of copies of the same  $\nabla_G(\mu)$ , cf. [9, II.4.16 Remark 1]. This is much more convenient when working with infinite dimensional G-modules. It is shown

in [4] that a module of countable dimension that has a good filtration in our sense also has a filtration that is a good filtration in the old sense. Note that the module M in our theorem has countable dimension. It would do little harm to restrict to modules of countable dimension throughout.

If V is a G-module, and  $m \geq -1$  is an integer so that  $H^{m+1}(G, \nabla_G(\mu) \otimes V) = 0$  for all dominant  $\mu$ , then we say as in [5] that V has good filtration dimension at most m. The case m = 0 corresponds with V having a good filtration. And for  $m \geq 0$  it means that V has a resolution

$$0 \to V \to N_0 \to \cdots \to N_m \to 0$$

in which the  $N_i$  have good filtration, in our sense. We say that V has good filtration dimension precisely m, notation  $\dim_{\nabla}(V) = m$ , if m is minimal so that V has good filtration dimension at most m. In that case  $H^{i+1}(G, \nabla_G(\mu) \otimes V) = 0$  for all dominant  $\mu$  and all  $i \geq m$ . In particular  $H^{i+1}(G, V) = 0$  for  $i \geq m$ . If there is no finite m so that  $\dim_{\nabla}(V) = m$ , then we put  $\dim_{\nabla}(V) = \infty$ .

#### 2.1 Filtrations

For simplicity assume also that G is semisimple. (Until remark 3.1.) If V is a G-module, and  $\lambda$  is a dominant weight, then  $V_{\leq \lambda}$  denotes the largest G-submodule all whose weights  $\mu$  satisfy  $\mu \leq \lambda$  in the dominance partial order [9, II.1.5]. For instance,  $V_{\leq 0}$  is the module of invariants  $V^G$ . Similarly  $V_{<\lambda}$  denotes the largest G-submodule all whose weights  $\mu$  satisfy  $\mu < \lambda$ . As in [12], we form the X(T)-graded module

$$\operatorname{gr}_{X(T)} V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X(T)} V_{\leq \lambda} / V_{<\lambda}.$$

Each  $V_{\leq \lambda}/V_{<\lambda}$ , or  $V_{\leq \lambda/<\lambda}$  for short, has a  $B^+$ -socle  $(V_{\leq \lambda/<\lambda})^U=V^U_\lambda$  of weight  $\lambda$ . We always view  $V^U$  as a  $B^-$ -module through restriction (inflation) along the homomorphism  $B^-\to T$ . Then  $V_{\leq \lambda/<\lambda}$  embeds naturally in its 'good filtration hull' hull $_\nabla(V_{\leq \lambda/<\lambda})=\operatorname{ind}_{B^-}^GV^U_\lambda$ . This good filtration hull has the same  $B^+$ -socle and by Polo it is the injective hull in the category  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$  of G-modules N that satisfy  $N=N_{\leq \lambda}$ . Compare [12, 3.1.10].

We convert the X(T)-graded module  $\operatorname{gr}_{X(T)}V$  to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded module through an additive height function  $\operatorname{ht}:X(T)\to\mathbb{Z}$ , defined by  $\operatorname{ht}=2\sum_{\alpha>0}\alpha^{\vee}$ , the sum being over the positive roots. (Our  $\operatorname{ht}$  is twice the one

used by Grosshans [7], because we prefer to get even degrees rather than just integer degrees.) The Grosshans graded module is now

$$\operatorname{gr} V = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} \operatorname{gr}_i V,$$

with

$$\operatorname{gr}_i V = \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}(\lambda)=i} V_{\leq \lambda/<\lambda}.$$

In other words, if one puts

$$V_{\leq i} := \sum_{\operatorname{ht}(\lambda) \leq i} V_{\leq \lambda},$$

then gr V is is the associated graded of the filtration  $V_{<0} \subseteq V_{<1} \cdots$ 

Let us apply the above to our finitely generated commutative k-algebra with G-action A. The Grosshans graded algebra gr A embeds in a good filtration hull, which Grosshans calls R, and which we call hull $_{\nabla}(\operatorname{gr} A)$ ,

$$\operatorname{hull}_{\nabla}(\operatorname{gr} A) := \operatorname{ind}_{B^{-}}^{G} A^{U} = \bigoplus_{i} \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}(\lambda) = i} \operatorname{hull}_{\nabla}(A_{\leq \lambda}/A_{< \lambda}).$$

Grosshans [7] shows that  $A^U$ ,  $\operatorname{gr} A$ ,  $\operatorname{hull}_{\nabla}(\operatorname{gr} A)$  are finitely generated k-algebras with  $\operatorname{hull}_{\nabla}(\operatorname{gr} A)$  finite over  $\operatorname{gr} A$ . Mathieu studied  $\operatorname{gr} A$  and  $\operatorname{hull}_{\nabla}(\operatorname{gr} A)$  earlier in [11].

Example 2.2 Consider the multicone [10]

$$k[G/U] := \operatorname{ind}_U^G k = \operatorname{ind}_{B^+}^G \operatorname{ind}_U^{B^+} k = \operatorname{ind}_{B^+}^G k[T] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \text{ dominant}} \nabla_G(\lambda).$$

It is its own Grosshans graded ring. Recall [10] that it is generated as a k-algebra by the finite dimensional sum of the  $\nabla_G(\varpi_i)$ , where  $\varpi_i$  denotes the ith fundamental weight.

**Lemma 2.3** Let A have a good filtration, so that  $\operatorname{gr} A = \operatorname{hull}_{\nabla}(\operatorname{gr} A)$ . Let  $R = \bigoplus_i R_i$  be a graded algebra with G-action such that  $R_i = (R_i)_{\leq i}$ . Then every T-equivariant graded algebra homomorphism  $R^U \to (\operatorname{gr} A)^U$  extends uniquely to a G-equivariant graded algebra homomorphism  $R \to (\operatorname{gr} A)$ .

# 2.4 A graded polynomial $G \times D$ -algebra with good filtration

We now extract a construction from [13]. It is hidden in the study of a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence which in the present situation would correspond with the case where as normal subgroup one takes the trivial subgroup!

As the algebra  $(\operatorname{gr} A)^U$  is finitely generated, it is also generated by finitely many weight vectors. Consider one such weight vector v, say of weight  $\lambda$ . Clearly  $\lambda$  is dominant. If  $\lambda = 0$ , map a polynomial ring  $P_v := k[x]$  with trivial G-action to  $\operatorname{gr} A$  by substituting v for x. Also put  $D_v := 1$ . Next assume  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Let  $\ell$  be the rank of G. Define a T-action on the X(T)-graded algebra

$$P = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} S^*(\nabla_G(\varpi_i))$$

by letting T act on  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} S^{m_i}(\nabla_G(\varpi_i))$  through weight  $\sum_i m_i \varpi_i$ . So now we have a  $G \times T$ -action on P. Observe that by our key hypothesis 1.1 and the tensor product property [9, Ch. G] the polynomial algebra P has a good filtration for the G-action. Let D be the scheme theoretic kernel of  $\lambda$ . So D has character group  $X(D) = X(T)/\mathbb{Z}\lambda$  and  $D = \text{Diag}(X(T)/\mathbb{Z}\lambda)$  in the notations of [9, I.2.5]. The subalgebra  $P^{1\times D}$  is a graded algebra with good filtration such that its subalgebra  $P^{U\times D}$  contains a polynomial algebra on one generator x of weight  $\lambda \times \lambda$ . In fact, this polynomial subalgebra contains all the weight vectors in  $P^{U\times D}$  of weight  $\mu \times \nu$  with  $\text{ht}(\mu) \geq \text{ht}(\nu)$ . The other weight vectors in  $P^{U\times D}$  also have weight of the form  $\mu \times \nu$  with  $\nu$  a multiple of  $\lambda$ . These other weight vectors span an ideal in  $P^{U\times D}$ . Now assume A has a good filtration. By lemma 2.3 one easily constructs a G-equivariant algebra homomorphism  $P^{1\times D} \to \text{gr } A$  that maps x to v. Write it as  $P_v^{1\times D_v} \to \text{gr } A$ , to stress the dependence on v.

As new P we take the tensor product of the finitely many  $P_v$  and as diagonalized group D we take the direct product of the  $D_v$ . Then we have a graded algebra map  $P^D \to \operatorname{gr} A$ . It is surjective because its image has good filtration ([9, Ch. A]) and contains ( $\operatorname{gr} A$ )<sup>U</sup>. The  $G \times D$ -algebra P is an example of what we called in [13] a graded polynomial  $G \times D$ -algebra with good filtration. We have proved

**Lemma 2.5** If A has a good filtration, then there is a graded polynomial  $G \times D$ -algebra P with good filtration and a graded G-equivariant surjection  $P^D \to \operatorname{gr} A$ .

Now recall M is a noetherian A-module on which G acts compatibly, meaning that the structure map  $A \otimes M \to M$  is a map of G-modules. Form the 'semi-direct product ring'  $A \ltimes M$  whose underlying G-module is  $A \oplus M$ , with product given by  $(a_1, m_1)(a_2, m_2) = (a_1a_2, a_1m_2 + a_2m_1)$ . By Grosshans  $\operatorname{gr}(A \ltimes M)$  is a finitely generated algebra, so we get

#### **Lemma 2.6** gr M is a noetherian gr A-module.

This is of course very reminiscent of the proof of the lemma [8, Thm. 16.9] telling that  $M^G$  is a noetherian module over the finitely generated k-algebra  $A^G$ . We will tacitly use its counterpart for diagonalized actions, cf. [2], [9, I.2.11].

Taking things together we learn that if A has a good filtration, then  $P \otimes_{P^D} \operatorname{gr} M$  is what we called in [13] a finite graded P-module. Lemma [13, Lemma 3.7] then tells us

**Lemma 2.7** Let A have good filtration. Then  $P \otimes_{P^D} \operatorname{gr} M$  has finite good filtration dimension and each  $H^i(G, P \otimes_{P^D} \operatorname{gr} M)$  is a noetherian  $P^G$ -module.

Extend the D-action on P to  $P \otimes_{P^D} \operatorname{gr} M$  by using the trivial action on the second factor. Then we have a  $G \times D$ -module structure on  $P \otimes_{P^D} \operatorname{gr} M$ . As D is diagonalized,  $P^D$  is a direct summand of P as a  $P^D$ -module [9, I.2.11] and  $(P \otimes_{P^D} \operatorname{gr} M)^{1 \times D} = \operatorname{gr} M$  is a direct summand of the G-module  $P \otimes_{P^D} \operatorname{gr} M$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{gr} M$  also has finite good filtration dimension and it follows that each  $H^i(G, P \otimes_{P^D} \operatorname{gr} M)^{1 \times D} = H^i(G, \operatorname{gr} M)$  is a noetherian  $P^{G \times D}$ -module. But the action of  $P^{G \times D}$  on  $\operatorname{gr} M$  factors through  $(\operatorname{gr} A)^G$ , so we see that each  $H^i(G, \operatorname{gr} M)$  is a noetherian  $(\operatorname{gr} A)^G$ -module. And one always has  $(\operatorname{gr} A)^G = (\operatorname{gr}_0 A)^G = A^G$ . We conclude

**Lemma 2.8** Let A have good filtration. Then gr M has finite good filtration dimension and each  $H^i(G, \operatorname{gr} M)$  is a noetherian  $A^G$ -module.

# 3 Degrading

We still have to get rid of the grading. The filtration  $M_{\leq 0} \subseteq M_{\leq 1} \cdots$  induces a filtration of the Hochschild complex [9, I.4.14] whence a spectral sequence

$$E(M): E_1^{ij} = H^{i+j}(G, \operatorname{gr}_{-i} M) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(G, M).$$

It lives in an unusual quadrant.

Assume that A has good filtration. Then by Lemma 2.8  $E_1(M)$  is a finitely generated  $A^G$ -module. So the spectral sequence lives in only finitely many bidegrees (i, j). Thus there is the same kind of convergence as one would have in a more common quadrant.

Choose  $A^G$  as ring of operators to act on the spectral sequence E(M). As  $E_1(M)$  is a noetherian  $A^G$ -module, it easily follows (even without the spectral sequence) that  $H^*(G,M)$  is a noetherian  $A^G$ -module. To finish the proof of the theorem, we note that  $A \otimes k[G/U]$  is also a finitely generated algebra with a good filtration and that  $M \otimes k[G/U]$  is a noetherian module over it. So what we have just seen tells that  $H^*(G,M \otimes k[G/U])$  is a noetherian  $(A \otimes k[G/U])^G$ -module. In particular, there is an  $m \geq -1$  so that  $H^{m+1}(G,M \otimes k[G/U]) = 0$ .

**Remark 3.1** Somewhere along the way we made the simplifying assumption that G is semisimple. So for the original G we have now proved that M has finite good filtration dimension with respect to the commutator subgroup H of G. But that is the same as having finite good filtration dimension with respect to G. Also, the fact that  $H^i(H, M)$  is a noetherian  $A^H$ -module implies that  $H^i(G, M)$  is a noetherian  $A^G$ -module by taking invariants under the diagonalizable center Z(G).

**Remark 3.2** We did not prove that M has a finite resolution by noetherian A-modules with compatible G-action and good filtration. We do not know how to start. One may embed M into the A-module  $M \otimes k[G]$  with compatible G-action. It has good filtration, but it is not noetherian as an A-module.

## References

[1] H. H. Andersen, J.-C. Jantzen, Cohomology of induced representations for algebraic groups, Math. Ann. 269 (1984), 487–525.

- [2] H. Borsari, W. Ferrer Santos, Geometrically reductive Hopf algebras, J. Algebra 152 (1992), 65–77.
- [3] L. Evens, The cohomology ring of a finite group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 224–23.
- [4] E. M. Friedlander, A canonical filtration for certain rational modules. Math. Z. 188 (1985), 433–438.
- [5] E. M. Friedlander, B. J. Parshall, Cohomology of Lie algebras and algebraic groups, Amer. J. Math. 108 (1986), 235–253 (1986).
- [6] E. M. Friedlander, A. A. Suslin, Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field, Invent. Math. 127 (1997), 209–270.
- [7] F. D. Grosshans, Contractions of the actions of reductive algebraic groups in arbitrary characteristic, Invent. Math. 107 (1992), 127–133.
- [8] F. D. Grosshans, Algebraic homogeneous spaces and invariant theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1673. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [9] J.-C. Jantzen, Representations of Algebraic Groups, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs vol. 107, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2003.
- [10] G. Kempf, A. Ramanathan, Multicones over Schubert varieties, Invent. Math. 87 (1987), 353–363.
- [11] O. Mathieu, Filtrations of G-modules, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 23 (1990), 625–644.
- [12] W. van der Kallen, Lectures on Frobenius splittings and *B*-modules. Notes by S. P. Inamdar, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, and Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [13] W. van der Kallen, Cohomology with Grosshans graded coefficients, In: Invariant Theory in All Characteristics, Edited by: H. E. A. Eddy Campbell and David L. Wehlau, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, Volume 35 (2004) 127-138.
- [14] W. van der Kallen, A reductive group with finitely generated cohomology algebras, arXiv:math.RT/0403361.