

IDEAL CLOSURES OF BUSEMANN SPACE AND SINGULAR MINKOWSKI SPACE

P.D.ANDREEV

ABSTRACT. We discuss two different in general natural approaches to the ideal closure and ideal boundary of Busemann nonpositively curved metric space. It is shown that the identity map of the space admits surjective continuation from its coarse ideal closure to the weak one. We consider some situations when these closures coincide, and when they are essentially different. In particular, the singular Minkowski space is studied as flat Busemann space, and some types of its ideal points are described.

INTRODUCTION

The boundary at infinity of a metric space X , i.e. a set of its points at infinity plays important role in solving a number of problems in metric geometry. Some notions of ideal boundary of metric space are defined in several situations. For example, hyperbolic boundary of Gromov hyperbolic spaces is defined in [G], the ideal boundary of simply connected Riemannian manifolds of nonpositive curvature in [BGS]. This book contains two descriptions of boundary at infinity of simply connected nonpositively curved manifold X . On the first hand, X , being metric space, can be embedded into the space $C(X)$ of continuous functions on X . The first closure of X is the closure of its image in $C(X)$, or more precisely in its factorspace $C^*(X) = C(X)/\{\text{constants}\}$. Such defined boundary and closure for general metric space is equivalent to the metric boundary and metric closure introduced in [WW]. We use the term "coarse closure" for this construction here.

On the other hand, there is well-defined relation "to be asymptotic" on the set of all rays of simply connected nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold X . This relation is really equivalence and the set of equivalence classes is the ideal boundary in its second definition. It is shown in [BGS] that the two boundaries are the same in the sense that identity map of X may be continued to homeomorphism of two its ideal closures.

Supported by RFBR Grant 04-01-00315-a.

This identity of two approaches to closure of the space remains true in the case of $CAT(0)$ -spaces, i.e. simply connected nonpositively curved Aleksandrov spaces. General theory of nonpositively curved Aleksandrov spaces is deeply developed in modern geometry, (see [ABN], [BH], [Ba] etc.) and is similar to theory of Riemannian manifolds of nonpositive curvature in a number of results.

The subject of presented paper is boundaries of nonpositively curved spaces in the sense of Busemann and in particular of singular Minkowski spaces. Busemann spaces ([Bu1], [Bo]) are more general then $CAT(0)$ -spaces and nonpositivity of curvature is defined here in more weak sense. One of consequences of this weakness is a possibility of difference between two mentioned approaches to ideal closure.

The simplest examples of such difference arise from singular Minkowski spaces. Minkowski spaces appear in Finsler geometry as flat Finsler manifolds. Finsler notion means regularity of their metric. Definition of Busemann spaces given in [Bo] and in [H] leads to consideration of singular Minkowski spaces, in which unit sphere is strictly convex symmetric compact C^0 -hypersurface but is not necessarily smooth and admits existence of parabolic points.

We call the boundary $\partial_c X$ of Busemann space X arising as boundary of its image when X is embedded into $C^*(X)$ coarse ideal boundary of X , and a set $\partial_w X$ of equivalence classes of asymptotic rays in X its weak ideal boundary. Coarse ideal closure $\bar{X}_c = X \cup \partial_c X$ has surjective projection $\text{Pr}: \bar{X}_c \rightarrow \bar{X}_w$ onto weak closure, which is the continuation of the identity map of X onto itself.

More precisely, the theorem holds:

Theorem 0.1. *Let X be locally compact Busemann space and $\bar{X}_c = X \cup \partial_c X$ and $\bar{X}_w = X \cup \partial_w X$ be correspondingly its coarse and weak closures. Then there is continuous surjective map $\text{Pr}: \bar{X}_c \rightarrow \bar{X}_w$, such that $\text{Pr}|_X = \text{Id}_X$.*

The simplest example when Pr is not a homeomorphism arises from the singular Minkowski space or affine space equipped with strictly convex but nonsmooth norm in its directing space. The projection Pr of coarse ideal boundary of singular Minkowski space occurs related with the inverse Gauss map of its unit sphere $\mathcal{S}$.

Let the directing space V^n of singular Minkowski space A^n be equipped with Euclidean structure and $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset V^n$ be the unit Euclidean sphere. The Gauss image of the point $y_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ is the set $\mu(y_0)$ of all vectors $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that there is support plane of $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ in the point y_0 with external normal $\vec{v}$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is strictly convex, the inverse relation μ^{-1} is a map from $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ to $\mathcal{S}$.

Given geodesic ray $c: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$, the Busemann function generated by c is the function $\beta_c(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (|yc(t)| - t)$. Every Busemann function represents some coarse ideal point $\phi = \beta_c$. We have following description of two types of Busemann functions on A^n .

Theorem 0.2. *Let A^n be singular Minkowski space. Then coarse ideal point ϕ is represented by Busemann function in following two situations:*

- (1) *the direction of $\text{Pr}(\phi)$ is regular;*
- (2) *ϕ is represented as the limiting horofunction of flag-directed sequence of level 1 with directing flag $\mathcal{F}(\phi) = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1(\phi))$ where the ray $\bar{\alpha}_1(\phi)$ has singular direction.*

See sections 6 and 7 for definitions.

Acknowledgment. *My great thanks to V.N.Berestovskii for stating the problem and collaboration.*

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, d) be a metric space. The distance between two points $x, y \in X$ will be denoted as $d(x, y) := |xy|$. From now on we will assume that X is locally compact.

A *geodesic* in X is locally isometric immersion $c: (a, b) \rightarrow X$ of real interval (a, b) , i.e. such immersion that every point $t \in (a, b)$ has a neighbourhood, which is embedded to X isometrically by c . Geodesic c is called *minimizing* if c is isometrical embedding. c is called *complete geodesic* if $(a, b) = \mathbb{R}$. The interval $[a, b]$ may occur a closed segment, in that case we say that geodesic c *connects points* $y = c(a)$ and $z = c(b)$. The image $c([a, b])$ is called *geodesic segment* in X . A *ray* in the space X is a minimizing $c: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$, i.e. isometric embedding of half a line $\mathbb{R}_+$ to X .

Metric space X is *geodesic* if every its point x has a neighbourhood $O(x)$ where any two points $y, z \in O(x)$ can be connected by geodesic segment in X (not necessarily unique). We say that geodesic metric space X is *geodesically complete* if every its geodesic segment is contained in some complete geodesic (not necessarily unique). The Hopf-Rinow theorem for metric spaces states that locally compact geodesically complete space X is complete and proper (finitely compact) metric space.

Definition 1.1. (cf. [H, p. 1904],) We say that a path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a geodesic segment parameterized proportionally to arc length, if there exists a geodesic $c: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(t) = c(a + t(b - a))$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 1.2. Geodesically complete metric space X is called *Busemann space* if for any two geodesic segments $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, parameterized proportionally to arc length and such that $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0)$ inequality

$$|\gamma_1(t)\gamma_2(t)| \leq t|\gamma_1(1)\gamma_2(1)|$$

holds for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

If X is a Busemann space, it evidently is contractible and any two its points are connected with unique geodesic segment. Moreover, the definition 1.2 imply that the metric of Busemann space is convex: for any two paths $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, parameterized proportionally to arc lengths, the function $d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is convex ([Bu2]).

Examples 1.3. Every $CAT(0)$ -space is a Busemann space. Some simply connected Finsler manifolds with reversible metric and nonpositive flag curvature is a Busemann spaces. In particular, every Minkowski space, i.e. finite dimensional affine space equipped with a Finsler metric invariant under translations is a Busemann space. Moreover, every finite dimensional affine space, equipped with strictly convex (not necessarily smooth) norm in the tangent space, which is invariant under translations, is a Busemann space. We will call such a space *singular Minkowski space* (in particular, usual Minkowski space is a singular Minkowski space without any singularity). It was shown in [Bo] that if X admits the action by isometries of cocompact group G , then either X is Gromov hyperbolic space or it contains Minkowski plane.

2. THE WEAK IDEAL BOUNDARY.

First we recall the definition of boundary of Busemann space given in [H].

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Busemann space pointed in $x_0 \in X$. The *boundary* of X is a set

$$\partial_{x_0}X = \{c : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X \mid c \text{ is a ray with } c(0) = x_0\}$$

endowed with the compact-open topology.

It was shown in [H] that the definition above is independent on the choice of marked point x_0 hence we have well-defined boundary $\partial_w X = \partial_{x_0} X$. We will call it *weak boundary* of the space X .

We will define the topology on the closure of X with this weak boundary.

Definition 2.2. We say that sequence $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ of length parameterizations $\gamma_k : [0, |x_0 x_k|] \rightarrow \bar{X}_w$ of segments or rays $[x_0 x_k] \subset \bar{X}_w$ converges

uniformly on compacts to the length parameterization $\gamma: [0, |x_0y|] \rightarrow \bar{X}_w$ of segment or ray $[x_0y]$ if for any closed finite segment $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ which is containing in infinitely many intervals $[0, |x_0x_k|]$ sequence of restrictions $\gamma_k|_{[a,b]}$ converges uniformly to restriction $\gamma|_{[a,b]}$. Here we denote $[x_0z]$ — a segment if $z \in X$ or a ray from x_0 to $z \in \partial_w X$ if z is a boundary point: $z \in \partial_w X$. In the last case we will mean $|x_0z| = +\infty$ and consider such a ray as segment connecting points x_0 and $z \in \partial_w X$.

Definition 2.3. The union $\bar{X}_w = X \cup \partial_w X$ will be called *weak closure* of X . The topology on $\bar{X}_w$ is a *topology of uniform convergence on compacts of segments*: a sequence $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \subset \bar{X}_w$ converges to a point $y \in \bar{X}_w$ if the sequence of segments $[x_0y_k]$ equipped with length parameterizations $\gamma_k: [0, |x_0x_k|] \rightarrow \bar{X}_w$ converges uniformly on compacts to the segment $[x_0y]$ with its length parameterization $\gamma: [0, |x_0y|] \rightarrow \bar{X}_w$.

There is another description of the weak boundary as a set of equivalence classes of asymptotic rays. Two rays $c, d: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$ are *asymptotic* if their Hausdorff distance is finite:

$$\text{Hd}(c, d) < +\infty.$$

The Hausdorff distance between subsets $A, B \subset X$ is

$$\text{Hd}(A, B) = \inf\{r \mid B \subset \mathcal{N}_r(A) \text{ and } A \subset \mathcal{N}_r(B)\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{N}_r(Y)$ is r -neighbourhood of a set $Y \subset X$:

$$\mathcal{N}_r(Y) = \left\{ z \in X \mid \inf_{y \in Y} |yz| < r \right\}.$$

The relation "to be asymptotic" is equivalence on the set of rays in X . Weak boundary $\partial_w X$ of X is a set of equivalence classes of asymptotic rays. This definition is evidently identic to 2.1 (cf. [H]).

The topology of the boundary $\partial_w X$ induced from $\bar{X}_w$ admits the basis of neighbourhoods $\mathcal{U}(t, \varepsilon)$ for a point $\xi = c(+\infty) \in \partial_w X$, endpoint of the ray c beginning in x_0 , where

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{U}(t, \varepsilon) = \{\eta = d(+\infty) \in \partial_w X \mid d(0) = x_0, |c(t), d(t)| < \varepsilon\}.$$

3. THE COARSE IDEAL BOUNDARY.

Let $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a topological vector space of continuous $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions on X endowed with topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, and $C^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ be its factorspace by the subspace of constants. The topology of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ arises from the sequence of supremum norms, where n -th item is the supremum-norm, defined by the ball of radius n centered in fixed point $x_0 \in X$. In particular, when X is proper, this

topology coincides with topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets.

Fix a marked point $x_0 \in X$. Let $d_y \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the distance function defined by the point $y \in X$ by $d_y(x) := |xy| - |x_0y|$. Every ball $\mathcal{B}(y, r)$ centered in y is its sublevel set:

$$\mathcal{B}(y, r) = \{x \in X \mid d_y(x) \leq r - |x_0y|\}.$$

For our space X we have a map $i: X \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$, defined as $i(y) = d_y \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$. i is embedding of X into $C(X, \mathbb{R})$. The superposition $p \circ i$, where $p: C(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a factorization map, defines embedding of X into $C^*(X, \mathbb{R})$, independent on the choice of marked point x_0 . From now on we will identify the space X with its image $X_* = (p \circ i)(X) \subset C^*(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 3.1. The family of all distance functions $D \subset C(X, \mathbb{R})$ is obviously equicontinuous when is restricted to arbitrary bounded set. Hence if X is locally compact, then by Arcela-Ascoli theorem this family is precompact on bounded sets, and pointwise convergence in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ of functions from D is sufficient for their uniform convergence on compacts.

Definition 3.2. *Coarse ideal closure* $\bar{X}_c$ of space X is by definition the closure of its image $X_* \subset C^*(X, \mathbb{R})$. The set $\partial_c X = \bar{X}_c \setminus X_*$ is called as *coarse ideal boundary* of X , functions forming it as *horofunctions* and we say that every horofunction *presents a coarse points at infinity*. Two horofunctions $\phi, \psi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ present the same coarse point at infinity iff they differs by the constant: $\phi - \psi = \text{const}$. The class of horofunction ϕ will be considered as a coarse point at infinity, but for simplicity we identify the horofunction ϕ and its class and write $\phi \in \partial_c X$.

In general every horofunction $\phi \in \partial_c X$ is *generated* by a some sequence $\Phi = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ as a limiting function of the sequence of corresponding distance functions $\{d_{x_k}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\phi \in C(x, \mathbb{R})$ be a horofunction, presenting a coarse point at infinity $\xi = [\phi]_s \in \partial_c X$. Sublevels of ϕ are called *horoballs centered in ξ* and their boundaries, i.e. levels of ϕ *horospheres*. We will use notation

$$\mathcal{HB}(\xi, x_0) = \{y \mid \phi(y) \leq \phi(x_0)\}$$

for horoballs with boundary point x_0 and

$$\mathcal{HS}(\xi, x_0) = \{y \mid \phi(y) = \phi(x_0)\}$$

for corresponding horospheres. This notations are independent on the choice of horofunction ϕ representing the point ξ . Also, we will consider *open horoballs*

$$\text{hb}(\xi, x_0) = \mathcal{HB}(\xi, x_0) \setminus \mathcal{HS}(\xi, x_0).$$

Example 3.4. Let $c : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$ be a ray. The function

$$\beta_c(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (|yc(t)| - t)$$

is called *Busemann function* corresponding to c . Evidently, every Busemann function is horofunction. The inverse is also right in simply connected complete nonpositively curved Riemannian manifolds and $CAT(0)$ -spaces.

The following counterexample shows that reverse statement may fault in general Busemann space, in particular in singular Minkowski space.

Counterexample 3.5. Let $X = A^2$ be two-dimensional singular Minkowski space (singular Minkowski plane) with norm

$$(3.6) \quad \|(y^1, y^2)\| = \sqrt{(y^1)^2 + 2(y^2)^2} + |y^2|$$

in the tangent space with coordinates (y^1, y^2) . The unit ball centered in the origin in this norm is the intersection of euclidean disks $(x^1)^2 + (x^2 \pm 1)^2 \leq 2$ in coordinates (x^1, x^2) on the plane. Their boundary cycles intersects in two points $(\pm 1, 0)$ orthogonally. Functions $\epsilon_1(x^1 + \epsilon_2 x^2) + C$, where $C = \text{const}$ and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1$, are horofunctions as limits of Busemann functions of type

$$\beta_{\lambda, \mu}(x^1, x^2) = \epsilon_1(\lambda x^1 + (\mu + \epsilon_2)x^2) + C.$$

Namely, $\beta_{\lambda, \mu} \rightarrow \epsilon_1(x^1 + \epsilon_2 x^2) + C$ when $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ and $\mu \rightarrow 0$ with condition $\lambda^2 + (\mu + \epsilon_2)^2 = 2$. However they are not Busemann functions theirselves, because there is no appropriate ray in A^2 to define them as corresponding Busemann functions.

The counterexample 3.5 shows that the origin point x_0 can be connected by the ray not with every coarse point at infinity.

Remark 3.7. In fact, our notion of the coarse ideal closure and coarse ideal boundary is exactly identic to the metric closure and metric boundary described in [WW], but we act with constructive approach of [BGS] to this metric boundary. It seems to be more convenient for description of asymptotic geometry of Busemann spaces.

4. PROJECTION OF COARSE BOUNDARY TO THE WEAK ONE

Here we will prove the Theorem 0.1. In other words we construct a surjective projection map from the coarse ideal boundary $\partial_c X$ to the weak ideal boundary $\partial_w X$ which maps every Busemann functions to classes of corresponding rays. However, this projection will not be injective in general, even in the set of Busemann functions. First, we will prove the lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let the space X be pointed in $x_0 \in X$ and $\phi \in \partial_c X$ be the horofunction with $\phi(x_0) = 0$. Then*

- (1) *The function ϕ is bounded on any ball in X ;*
- (2) *There exists unique ray $c : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$ beginning at $c(0) = x_0$ with following property. For every $t > 0$ the point $c(t)$ is the unique point of the ball $\mathcal{B}(x_0, t)$ where $\phi|_{\mathcal{B}(x_0, t)}$ attains its minimum and*

$$\min \phi|_{\mathcal{B}(x_0, t)} = -t;$$

- (3) *The weak ideal point $\xi \in \partial_w X$ represented by the ray c does not depend on the choice of marked point x_0 .*
- (4) *If $\phi(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (|yc(t)| - t)$ is a Busemann function defined by the ray $c : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$, then its restrictions to balls $\mathcal{B}(c(0), t)$ attain their minima in points $c(t)$*

Proof. The first claim of the lemma is the consequence of finitely compactness of the space X and continuity of horofunctions.

Every distance function $d_y(x) = |xy| - |x_0y|$ with $|x_0y| > r$ when restricted to the ball $\mathcal{B}(x_0, r)$ attains its unique minimum in the point where the segment $[x_0y]$ exits the ball. The minimal value is

$$\min d_y|_{\mathcal{B}(x_0, r)} = -r.$$

Hence the minimal value of limiting function $\phi = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{x_k}$ for a sequence of distance functions d_{x_k} is $-r$ and is attained in the boundary sphere.

Let ϕ be defined by

$$\phi(y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{x_k}(y),$$

with the sequence of distance functions d_{x_k} . Consider the sequence of segments $[x_0x_k]$ and sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$. Pick arbitrary $t > 0$. For every i there exists $K(i)$ such that for $k > K(i)$ for every $y \in \mathcal{B}(x_0, t)$

$$|d_{x_k}(y) - \phi(y)| < \varepsilon_i.$$

In particular, if $z_k \in \mathcal{S}(x_0, t)$ is exiting point of segment $[x_0 x_k]$ with $d_{x_k}(z_k) = -t$, then

$$\phi(z_k) > -t + \varepsilon_i.$$

Hence we have equality for limiting point z of the sequence z_k (it does exist and is unique):

$$\phi(z) = -t.$$

Since segments $[x_0 z_k]$ equipped with length parameterizations, converge to the segment $[x_0 z]$ and for $p_k \in [x_0 z_k]$ with $|x_0 p_k| = s \leq t$ we have $d_{x_k}(p_k) = -s$, then for limiting point $p \in [x_0 z]$ with $|x_0 p| = s$ equality $\phi(p) = -s$ holds. Since p is the unique point of the ball $\mathcal{B}(x_0, s)$ with $\phi(p) = -s = \min \phi|_{\mathcal{B}(x_0, s)}$, then we have well-defined one-parameter family of segments $[x_0 z(t)]$ of lengths $|x_0 z(t)| = t$ with $[x_0 z(s)] \subset [x_0 z(t)]$ when $s \leq t$ and $\phi(z(t)) = -t$ for all $t > 0$. Their union is the required ray.

Let $x'_0 \in X$ be another marked point and $c, c': \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ be rays beginning in x_0, x'_0 correspondingly, such that $c(t)$ and $c'(t)$ are minimal points of the horofunction ϕ in balls $\mathcal{B}(x_0, t)$ and $\mathcal{B}(x'_0, y)$. We prove that rays c and c' are asymptotic.

Pick $t > 0$ and a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ such that $\phi = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{x_k}$. Denote $p_k(t)$ a point of the segment $[x_0 x_k]$ with $|x_0 p_k(t)| = t$ and $p'_k(t)$ a point of segment $[x'_0 x_k]$ with $|x'_0 p'_k(t)| = t \cdot |x'_0 x_k| / |x_0 x_k|$. Since the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ is running to infinity, the fraction $|x'_0 x_k| / |x_0 x_k|$ tends to unity and both sequences $\{p_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ and $\{p'_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ converges to points $c(t)$ and $c'(t)$ correspondingly. We have

$$|p_k(t)p'_k(t)| \leq \frac{|x_0 x_k| - t}{|x_0 x_k|} \cdot |x_0 x'_0| < |x_0 x'_0|$$

and

$$|c(t)c'(t)| \leq |x_0 x'_0|$$

for all t . Hence, Hausdorff distance between rays c and c' is finite:

$$\text{Hd}(c, c') < +\infty.$$

Finally, assume that $\phi(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (|yc(t)| - t)$ is a Busemann function defined by the ray $c: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$. Obviously $\phi(c(t)) = -t$. $\square$

Now we apply the lemma 4.1 to prove the theorem 0.1

Theorem 0.1. *Let X be locally compact Busemann space and $\bar{X}_c = X \cup \partial_c X$ and $\bar{X}_w = X \cup \partial_w X$ be correspondingly its coarse and weak closures. Then there is continuous surjective map $\text{Pr}: \bar{X}_c \rightarrow \bar{X}_w$ such that $\text{Pr}|_X = \text{Id}_X$.*

Proof. We put $\text{Pr}(x) = x$ for $x \in X$ and $\text{Pr}(\phi) = c(+\infty)$ for $\phi \in \partial_c X$ with $\phi(x_0) = 0$, where $c : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$ is the ray with $c(0) = x_0$ such that for all $t > 0$

$$\phi(c(t)) = -t = \min \phi|_{\mathcal{B}(x_0, t)}.$$

This ray is defined by the lemma 4.1. The map Pr is surjective, since for any $\xi \in \partial_w X$

$$\xi = \text{Pr}(\beta_\xi),$$

where β_ξ is the Busemann function corresponding to the ray $[x_0\xi]$.

It remains to prove the continuity of the map Pr . Let $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \subset X$ be the sequence converging to $\phi \in \partial_c X$ in the coarse sense. Then for all $y \in X$

$$d_{x_k}(y) \rightarrow \phi(y)$$

and for all $t > 0$ restrictions of segments $[x_0x_k]$ to the subsegments of length t , converge to the segment $[x_0c(t)]$ of the ray c defined in the lemma 4.1. Hence

$$\text{Pr}(x_k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} c(+\infty) = \text{Pr}(\phi)$$

in the sense of topology of weak closure $\bar{X}_w$. If $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \subset \partial_c X$ is the sequence of horofunctions converging in the coarse sense to the horofunction $\phi \in \partial_c X$, then each its item is the limit of some sequence $\{x_{km}\}_{m=1}^{+\infty}$:

$$\phi_k = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} x_{km},$$

and we may choose mixed sequence $\{x_{km(k)}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ converging to ϕ . Here $m(k)$ is sufficiently large integer defined for all k . Since $\text{Pr}(x_{mk}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\phi_k)$ when $m \rightarrow +\infty$, and $\text{Pr}(x_{km(k)}) \rightarrow \phi$ when $k \rightarrow +\infty$, then $\text{Pr}(\phi_k) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\phi)$ in the weak sense. $\square$

Definition 4.2. Let $\xi \in \partial_c X$ be a coarse point at infinity, represented by the horofunction ϕ . The weak projection $\text{Pr}(\xi)$ of ξ is a weak point at infinity represented by the ray $c : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ such that for every $t > 0$ the point $c(t)$ is the minimal point of the function ϕ restricted onto the ball $\mathcal{B}(c(0), t)$.

Definition 4.3. The Busemann space X is called *regular* if the projection Pr constructed in the theorem 0.1 is homeomorphism of the coarse ideal boundary $\partial_c X$ to the weak one $\partial_w X$. Otherwise it is *singular*.

Example 4.4. Consider singular Minkowskian plane A^2 with coordinates $(x^1, x^2; y^1, y^2)$ in its tangent bundle TA^2 , where $x(x^1, x^2)$ are coordinates of point x and $\vec{y}(y^1, y^2)$ — coordinates of vector $\vec{y} \in T_x A^2$ which are invariant under translations of A^2 . The norm in TA^2 is defined by (3.6).

We describe preimage $\text{Pr}^{-1}(\xi)$ where $\xi \in \partial_w A^2$ is an infinite point defined by positively directed x^1 -axis. The obvious class of horofunctions defining a point in $\text{Pr}^{-1}(\xi)$ is the class of Busemann function β_0 of the ray $[x_0\xi]$, where $x_0(0,0)$ is the origin. The direct computation gives

$$\beta_0(x^1, x^2) = |x^2| - x^1.$$

This implies that axes codirected with $[x_0\xi]$ define different classes of horofunctions: the ray $[x'_0\xi]$ with $x'_0(0, x_0^2)$ generates Busemann function

$$\beta'_0(x^1, x^2) = |x^2 - x_0^2| - x^1 \neq \beta_0(x^1, x^2) + \text{const}.$$

Hence we have one-parameter infinite family of type β'_0 depending on the second coordinate x_0^2 .

Two more coarse ideal points which are generated by no ray as Busemann function are mentioned in counterexample 3.5. This horofunctions are

$$\phi_{\pm}(x^1, x^2) = \pm x^2 - x^1.$$

The first of them is generated as the limit of distance functions

$$\phi_+ = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d_{(f(t), -t)}$$

where $f(t)$ is arbitrary function such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = +\infty.$$

The second is the limit

$$\phi_- = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d_{(f(t), t)}.$$

5. INVERSE GAUSS MAP IN SINGULAR MINKOWSKI SPACE.

Assume that singular Minkowski space A^n is equipped with additional Euclidean structure: Euclidean scalar product $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle$ for two arbitrary vectors $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V^n$. This Euclidean structure has not any relation with Minkowski norm in V^n . The Euclidean norm of vector $\vec{v} \in V^n$ is denoted as

$$|\vec{v}| = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle^{1/2}.$$

The unit Euclidean sphere in A^n centered in the origin x_0 and unit Euclidean sphere in V^n are denoted as $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We will use standard notation $\vec{v} \perp \vec{w}$ for perpendicularity of vectors $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{w}$ in the Euclidean sense.

The Gauss image μ of surface $\mathcal{S}$ is defined for any its point as a set of external normal vectors for support hyperplanes to $\mathcal{S}$ in this point meant as a set of points of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In general the Gauss correlation is

not the map from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, but the inverse correlation is one. The inverse Gauss map

$$(5.1) \quad \mu^{-1}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

sends Euclidean unit vector $\vec{v}$ to the unique point $\mu^{-1}(\vec{v}) \in \mathcal{S}$ with support hyperplane α such that $\vec{v}$ is its external normal.

Next we study several functions related with the inverse Gauss map. Fix a hyperplane A_0^{n-1} and its Euclidean normal $\vec{v}_0$. A_0^{n-1} is parallel to support hyperplane to $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mu^{-1}(\vec{v}_0)$. Define the function $\theta: (\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(\vec{v} - \theta(\vec{v}, \vec{w})\vec{w}) \perp \vec{v}_0.$$

Here

$$(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})_+ = \{(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) \mid \langle \vec{v}_0, \vec{v} \rangle > 0, \langle \vec{v}_0, \vec{w} \rangle > 0\}.$$

$\theta(\vec{v}, \vec{w})$ is a norm of projection of $\vec{v}$ to the $\vec{w}$ -direction in A_0^{n-1} -direction. Set

$$(5.2) \quad \Theta(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \vec{v} = \vec{w} \\ \frac{1 - \theta(\vec{v}, \vec{w})}{\|\vec{v} - \theta(\vec{v}, \vec{w})\vec{w}\|} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let the vector $\vec{v}_0 = \mu^{-1}(\vec{v})$ goes in the regular direction. Then the function Θ is continuous in the point $(\vec{v}_0, \vec{v}_0) \in (\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})_+$.*

Proof. Let $\vec{v}_i = \vec{v}_0 + \delta_i \vec{v} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $i = \overline{1, 2}$, $\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_0 + \delta_1 \vec{v} = \mu^{-1}(\vec{v}_1) \in \mathcal{S}$ with $(\mu^{-1}(\vec{v}_1) \neq \mu^{-1}(\vec{v}_2))$. The elementary computation for Euclidean triangles gives

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{(1 - \theta(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2))\|\vec{v}_2\|}{\|\vec{v}_1 - \theta(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)\vec{v}_2\|} = \frac{\cos \angle(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1)}{\cos \angle(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_2)}.$$

All angles here are assumed as Euclidean angles. The denominator of the right-hand fraction is bounded from zero when the pair $(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)$ belongs to sufficiently small neighbourhood of $(\vec{v}_0, \vec{v}_0) \in (\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})_+$, and its numerator is equal to

$$\cos \angle(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1) = \cos \angle(\vec{v}, \frac{\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1}{\|\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1\|}).$$

The last item tends to the cosine of the angle between the vector $\vec{v} = \mu(\vec{v}_0)$ and the limiting plane A_0^{n-1} containing all limiting vectors for $\frac{\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1}{\|\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1\|}$, i.e. tangential plane to $\mathcal{S}$ in the end of $\vec{v}_0$. The limiting Euclidean angle $\angle(\vec{v}, A_0^{n-1}) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and

$$\frac{\cos \angle(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1)}{\cos \angle(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_2)} \rightarrow 0$$

when $(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \rightarrow (\vec{v}_0, \vec{v}_0)$ staying different. The left-hand fraction of (5.4) differs from the fraction

$$\Theta(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) = \frac{1 - \theta(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)}{\|\vec{v}_1 - \theta(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)\vec{v}_2\|}$$

only by scaling on bounded value: the correlation $|\vec{v}|/\|\vec{v}\|$ is bounded on the set of nonzero vectors since the unit sphere $\mathcal{S}$ is convex and compact. Hence

$$\Theta(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \rightarrow 0$$

when $(\vec{\nu}, \vec{v}) \rightarrow (\mu(\vec{v}_0), \vec{v}_0)$ in any way. $\square$

Consider the set

$$(5.5) \quad (\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathcal{S})_+ = \{(\vec{\nu}, \vec{v}) \mid |\vec{\nu}| = \|\vec{v}\| = 1, \langle \vec{\nu}, \vec{v} \rangle > 0\}$$

and the continuous function

$$(5.6) \quad \lambda: (\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathcal{S})_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$$

defined by

$$\vec{\nu} \perp (\lambda(\vec{\nu}, \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{v} - \mu^{-1}(\vec{\nu})).$$

The value $\lambda(\vec{\nu}, \vec{v})$ is the distance from x_0 to intersection point of corresponding support hyperplane to $\mathcal{S}$ in the point $\mu^{-1}(\vec{\nu})$ with the ray eliminating from x_0 in $\vec{v}$ -direction.

Set

$$(5.7) \quad \Lambda(\vec{\nu}, \vec{v}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu^{-1}(\vec{\nu}) = \vec{v} \\ \frac{\lambda(\vec{\nu}, \vec{v}) - 1}{\|\mu^{-1}(\vec{\nu}) - \vec{v}\|} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and for unit vector $\vec{v}_0 \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(5.8) \quad L_{\vec{v}_0}(\vec{\nu}) = \Lambda(\vec{\nu}, \vec{v}_0).$$

The proofs of both items of following lemma are similar to that of the lemma 5.3 and we omit them.

Lemma 5.9. (1) *Let the unit vector $\vec{v}_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ has regular direction.*

Then the function Λ is continuous in the point $(\mu(\vec{v}_0), \vec{v}_0) \in (\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathcal{S})_+$;

(2) *For any vector $\vec{v}_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ the function $L_{\vec{v}_0}$ is continuous on the set*

$$\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}(\vec{v}_0) = \{\vec{\nu} \mid |\vec{\nu}| = 1, \langle \vec{\nu}, \vec{v}_0 \rangle > 0\}.$$

Counterexample 5.10. Function Θ and Λ are not continuous in points of type $(\vec{\nu}, \mu^{-1}(\vec{\nu}))$, where the vector $\mu^{-1}(\vec{\nu})$ goes in singular direction. This can be easily seen in consideration of the counterexample 3.5: for singular vector $\vec{v}_0 = (1, 0)$ and any external normal $\vec{\nu}_0$ to its support direction one may find a sequence $\vec{v}_k \rightarrow \vec{v}_0$ such that

$\Lambda(\vec{\nu}_0, \vec{v}_k)$ does not converge to 0. For example if $\vec{\nu}_0 = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})$, such sequence is $\{(\sqrt{2}\cos(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{k}), 1 - \sqrt{2}\sin(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{k}))\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$, if $\vec{\nu}_0 = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})$ the sequence is $\{(\sqrt{2}\cos(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{k}), -1 + \sqrt{2}\sin(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{k}))\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$, and for all another normals for support lines in $\vec{v}_0$ one may take both mentioned sequences.

6. FLAG-DIRECTED SEQUENCES

It is convenient for us to use following notion of flag in affine space A^n .

Definition 6.1. k -flag in the space A^n is a $(k+1)$ -tuple

$$\mathcal{F}^k = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}^1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}^k),$$

where $\bar{\alpha}^1$ is a ray emanating from x_0 and contained in the line α^1 , and for all $i \in \overline{2, k}$ $\bar{\alpha}^i$ is i -dimensional half-plane bounded by $(i-1)$ -dimensional plane α^{i-1} and contained in i -dimensional plane α^i .

Definition 6.2. A sequence

$$(6.3) \quad \Phi = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \subset A^n$$

is called *almost flag-directed sequence of level 1* if the sequence of segments $[x_0 x_k]$ converges to a ray $\bar{\alpha}^1 = [x_0 \xi_0]$, where

$$\xi_0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_k \in \partial_w A^n$$

is a weak limit point at infinity of Φ . The 1-flag $\mathcal{F}^1 = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}^1)$ is called its *directing flag*.

The asymptotic behavior of the sequence (6.3) satisfying definition 6.2 can be described by two statements.

(1) For any hyperplane A_1^{n-1} transversal to a line α^1

$$(6.4) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_k, \beta) = +\infty.$$

(2) If $x_{1,k}$ is a projection of x_k to A_1^{n-1} in α^1 -direction, then

$$(6.5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|x_0 x_{1,k}|}{|x_{1,k} x_k|} = 0.$$

Definition 6.6. Let sequence (6.3) be almost flag-directed sequence of level 1 with directing flag $\mathcal{F}^1 = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}^1)$ and A_1^{n-1} be a hyperplane transversal to the line α^1 . The sequence Φ is called *flag-directed sequence of level 1* if the sequence

$$(6.7) \quad \Phi_1 = \{x_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \subset A_1^{n-1}$$

of projections of points of Φ to A_1^{n-1} is converging to a point

$$x_{1,0} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{1,k}.$$

The line $\alpha_{1,0}$ passing through $x_{1,0}$ in α_1 -direction is a *asymptotic line* of the sequence Φ .

Φ is called *almost flag-directed sequence of level 2*, if the sequence (6.7) is almost flag-directed sequence of level 1 with directing ray $\bar{\alpha}_{1,1} \subset A_1^{n-1}$. The *directing flag* of almost flag-directed sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ of level 2 is 2-flag $\mathcal{F}^2 = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2)$, where 2-dimensional half-plane $\bar{\alpha}_2$ is bounded by the line α_1 and contains the ray $\bar{\alpha}_{1,1}$.

The definition 6.6 is independent on the choice of the hyperplane A_1^{n-1} transversal to α^1 . Next we will continue this construction recurrently to define flag-directed sequences of arbitrary level $p \leq n$. Assume that we have already defined the notion of flag-directed sequences of levels up to $p-1$ and almost flag-directed surfaces of levels up to p and their directing flags. The directing flag $\mathcal{F}^{p-1} = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_{p-1})$ of flag-directed sequence $\Phi = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ includes $(p-1)$ half-planes of dimensions from 1 to $(p-1)$, and corresponding flag

$$(6.8) \quad \mathcal{F}^p = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_p)$$

for almost flag-directed sequence of level p includes half-planes of dimensions from 1 to p .

Definition 6.9. Let Φ as in (6.3) be almost flag-directed sequence of level $p-1$ with directing flag (6.8). Let A_p^{n-p} be $(n-p)$ -plane passing through x_0 transversal to α_p and $x_{p,k}$ be the projection of the point x_k to A_p^{n-p} in α_p direction. The sequence Φ is called *flag-directed of level p* with *directing flag* (6.8) if the sequence

$$\Phi_p = \{x_{p,k}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \subset A^{n-p}$$

is converging to a point

$$x_{p,0} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{p,k}.$$

The p -plane $\alpha_{p,0}$ passing through $x_{p,0}$ in α_p -direction is a *asymptotic plane* of the sequence Φ .

The sequence Φ is *almost flag-directed of level $(p+1)$* if Φ_p is almost flag-directed of level 1 with directing ray $\bar{\alpha}_{1,p} \subset A_p^{n-p}$. Its *directing flag* is

$$\mathcal{F}^{p+1} = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_p, \bar{\alpha}_{p+1})$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_{p+1}$ is $(p+1)$ -dimensional half-plane bounded by p -plane α_p and containing the ray $\bar{\alpha}_{1,p}$. Every almost flag directed sequence of

level n is defined *flag-directed of level n* . The *asymptotic plane* of such sequence is the whole space A^n .

This definition again is independent on the choice of the $(n - p)$ -plain A_p^{n-p} transversal to α_p . The theorem 6.12 below claims that every sequence of points in A^n admits picking out the subsequence which is converging or flag-directed. Hence from precompactness property of the set of distance functions, every coarse ideal point is the limiting point of some flag-directed sequence.

Main example 6.10. Let $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ be the affine coordinate system in A^n . Every sequence of points with coordinates

$$(6.11) \quad x_k = (f_1(k), \dots, f_p(k), g_1(k), \dots, g_{n-p}(k)),$$

where $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f_i(k) = +\infty$, functions $g_j(k)$ converges to a finite limit when $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_{i+1}(k)}{f_i(k)} = 0.$$

Then the sequence (6.11) is flag-directed sequence of level p . Moreover, every flag-directed sequence of level p admits the representation (6.11) in some affine coordinate system.

The following theorem and its corollary reveals the purpose of introduction of flag-directed sequences.

Theorem 6.12. *Given sequence $\Psi = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ of points in A^n one may extract the subsequence which is converging or flag-directed.*

Proof. Assume that Ψ contains no bounded subsequence.

Consider the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{\overrightarrow{x_0 y_m}}{|x_0 y_m|} \right\}_{m=1}^{+\infty} \subset \mathcal{S}$$

of unit vectors in y_m -directions from x_0 . By compactness of $\mathcal{S}$ it has converging subsequence corresponding to subsequence

$$\Psi_1 = \{y_{m_{i_1}}\}_{i_1=1}^{+\infty} \subset \{y_m\}_{m=1}^{+\infty}.$$

Set $\bar{\alpha}_1$ be the directing ray of

$$\vec{v}_1 = \lim_{i_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\overrightarrow{x_0 y_{m_{i_1}}}}{|x_0 y_{m_{i_1}}|}.$$

The subsequence Ψ is almost flag-directed of level 1. If it is flag-directed, we finish the procedure. If not, the following step is to choose its almost flag-directed subsequence of level 2 and so on. The procedure

will be finished in at most n steps. We will describe its second step and p -th step.

Consider the sequence of unit bivectors

$$(6.13) \quad \left\{ \frac{\vec{v}_1 \wedge \vec{w}_{m_{i_1}}}{\|\vec{v}_1 \wedge \vec{w}_{m_{i_1}}\|} \right\}_{i_1=1}^{+\infty} \subset G_+(2, n),$$

where $\vec{w}_{m_{i_1}}$ is the directing vector of 2-dimensional half-plane bounded by the line $\alpha_1 \supset \bar{\alpha}_1$ and containing the point $y_{m_{i_1}}$. Here $G_+(2, n)$ is Grassmannian manifold of oriented two-dimensional planes in A^n represented as the set of its unit bivectors. The norm of bivector may be considered in the sense of satellite Euclidean structure on A^n .

From compactness of $G_+(2, n)$ the sequence (6.13) contains converging subsequence corresponding to a subsequence

$$\Psi_2 = \left\{ y_{m_{i_1 i_2}} \right\}_{i_2=1}^{+\infty}.$$

The subsequence Ψ_2 is almost flag-directed of level 2 and its directing flag is

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_2$ is the limiting half-plane directed by the limiting bivector of the sequence (6.13). If the subsequence Ψ_2 is flag-directed, we finish the procedure.

Let we have already constructed the almost flag-directed subsequence of level $p - 1$

$$\Psi_{p-1} = \left\{ y_{m_{j_{p-1}}} \right\}_{j_{p-1}=1}^{+\infty} \subset \Psi.$$

Its directing flag is

$$\mathcal{F}_{p-1} = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_{p-1}).$$

Denote as $\vec{Q}$ the directing $(p - 1)$ -vector of the oriented $(p - 1)$ -plane α_{p-1} .

Consider the sequence of unit p -vectors

$$(6.14) \quad \left\{ \frac{\vec{Q} \wedge \vec{w}_{m_{j_{p-1}}}}{\|\vec{Q} \wedge \vec{w}_{m_{j_{p-1}}}\|} \right\}_{j_{p-1}=1}^{+\infty} \subset G_+(p, n),$$

constructed similarly to (6.13). By the compactness of the Grassmannian manifold $G_+(p, n)$ it has converging subsequence and hence we may choose almost flag-directed subsequence of Ψ of level p . Since every almost flag-directed sequence of level n is flag-directed, the procedure will be finished by finite number of steps with extracting of flag-directed subsequence from Ψ . $\square$

The proof of the corollary below is based on the theorem 7.9 which is proved independently.

Corollary 6.15. *Given horofunction $\phi \in \partial_c A^n$ there exists flag-directed sequence $\Phi = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ such that*

$$\phi = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{x_k}.$$

Proof. Every horofunction is by definition a limit of distance functions. Let the sequence $\Psi = \{y_m\}_{m=1}^{+\infty}$ satisfy $\phi = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} d_{y_m}$. If Ψ is flag-directed, the theorem is proved. Otherwise we will extract the flag-directed subsequence $x_k = y_{m_k}$ from Ψ . Application of the theorem 7.9 concludes the proof. $\square$

Definition 6.16. *The level of the horofunction $\phi \in \partial_c A^n$ is the minimal level of the flag-directed sequence $\Phi \in \text{pr}^{-1}(\phi) \subset \text{FD}$. The directing flag of such minimal level sequence Φ is called *directing flag* of the horofunction ϕ .*

Our next purpose is to establish some relations between coarse ideal points of singular Minkowski space A^n and flag-directed sequences.

7. DEFINING HOROFUNCTIONS VIA FLAG-DIRECTED SEQUENCES.

Here we will show that each flag-directed surface generates a horofunction as a limit function of corresponding sequence of distance functions. The space A^n is the singular Minkowski space. First we pay our attention on two simplest cases. Following lemma gives the proof of item 2 of the theorem 0.2.

Lemma 7.1. *Let (6.3) be flag-directed sequence of level 1. Then the sequence*

$$(7.2) \quad d_\Phi = \{d_{x_k}\} \subset C(A^n)$$

converges to a horofunction which is Busemann function.

Proof. The flag $\mathcal{F}^1 = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1)$ corresponding to the sequence Φ defines the direction of the ray $\bar{\alpha}_1$ and the weak ideal point $\xi = \bar{\alpha}_1(+\infty)$. Let $\beta_1 \subset A^n$ be arbitrary hyperplane transversal to $\bar{\alpha}_1$ and

$$x_{1,0} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{1,k}$$

where $x_{1,k}$ is the projection of x_k to β_1 in α_1 direction. Segments $[x_{1,0}x_k]$ converges to the ray $[x_{1,0}\xi]$ codirected with $\bar{\alpha}_1$. Set $c: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow A^n$ the arc length parameterization of $[x_{1,0}\xi]$. This defines Busemann function

$$\beta_{(c, x_{1,0})}(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (|yc(t)| - t).$$

Evidently we may choose the hyperplane A_1^{n-1} such that $\beta_{(c,x_1,0)}(x_0) = 0$. We claim that $\beta_{(c,x_1,0)}$ is the proposed horofunction. Really, for arbitrary $y \in A^n$

$$|d_{x_k}(y) - \beta_{(c,x_1,0)}(y)| = ||x_k y| - |x_k x_0| - \beta_{(c,x_1,0)}(y)|.$$

If $t_k \in [0, +\infty)$ is such a number that vector $\overrightarrow{c(t_k)x_k}$ is parallel to A_k^{n-1} , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |c(t_k)x_k| = 0$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that if $k > K_1$, then

$$|c(t_k)x_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and hence

$$(7.3) \quad ||x_k y| - |c(t_k)y|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

By definition of Busemann function there exists $K_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k > K_2$

$$(7.4) \quad ||c(t_k)y| - t_k - \beta_{(c,x_0,1)}(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and $K_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k > K_3$

$$(7.5) \quad |t_k - |x_0 c(t_k)|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

Combining estimations (7.3), (7.4) and (7.5) one gets for $k > K = \max\{K_1, K_2, K_3\}$

$$|d_{x_k}(y) - \beta_{(c,x_0,1)}(y)| < \varepsilon$$

and sequence of functions d_{x_k} converges to Busemann function $\beta_{(c,x_0,1)}$ pointwise. Using the remark 3.1 completes the proof. $\square$

Some more general statement is formulated in following lemma.

Lemma 7.6. *Let (6.3) be almost flag-directed surface of level 1 with directing flag $\mathcal{F} = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1)$. Then if the sequence d_{x_k} converges in the point y_0 , then it converges in any point y such that $(y_0 y) \parallel \alpha_1$ and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (d_{x_k}(y) - d_{x_k}(y_0)) = -(t - t_0)$$

where t, t_0 are parameters of points y, y_0 correspondingly in the arc length parameterization $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A^n$ of the line $(y_0 y)$ codirected with $\bar{\alpha}$.

Proof. The claim can be easily obtained from equality

$$d_{x_k}(y) - d_{x_k}(y_0) = |x_k y| - |x_k y_0|$$

and converging of both sequences of rays $\{[y_0 x_k]\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ and $\{[y x_k]\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ to rays codirected with $\bar{\alpha}$. $\square$

This lemma has a number of applications and the first one is the proof of the item 1 of the theorem 0.2.

Lemma 7.7. *Let Φ be almost flag-directed sequence of level 1 with directing flag $\mathcal{F}^1 = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1)$, where the ray $\bar{\alpha}_1$ has regular direction. Then the sequence (7.2) converges to Busemann function of the ray $\bar{\alpha}_1$.*

Proof. Regularity of direction for α_1 means that the sphere $\mathcal{S}$ has a tangential plane as support cone at the end of unit vector $\vec{v}$ codirected with $\bar{\alpha}_1$. Take a hyperplane A_1^{n-1} to be parallel to this tangent hyperplane. We will show that for all $y_0 \in A_1^{n-1}$

$$(7.8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{x_k}(y_0) = 0.$$

For this we consider the homothety $h_k : A^n \rightarrow A^n$ with coefficient $\kappa_k = |x_0 x_k|^{-1}$ which moves x_k to x_0 . Set $h_k(x_0) = z_k \in \mathcal{S}$, $h_k(A_1^{n-1}) = \Pi_k$, $h_k(y_0) = y_k \in \Pi_k$, $\vec{v}_k = \overrightarrow{x_0 z_k}$ and $\vec{w}_k$ be the unit vector, codirected with $\overrightarrow{x_0 w_k}$. Then both sequences of vectors $\{\vec{v}_k\}_{k=1}$ and $\{\vec{w}_k\}_{k=1}$ converge to the vector v_0 contradirected to $\bar{\alpha}_1$. By the lemma 5.3 the function $\Theta(\vec{v}, \vec{w})$ defined in (5.2) is continuous in the point $(\vec{v}_0, \vec{v}_0)$ and consequently

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1 - |x_0 y_k|}{|y_k z_k|} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $k > K$

$$|1 - |x_0 y_k|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x_0 y_0|} |y_k z_k|.$$

Applying the inverse homothety to h_k , one gets

$$|d_{x_k}(y_0)| = ||x_k x_0| - |x_k y_0|| = |1 - |x_0 y_k|| \cdot |x_k x_0| < \varepsilon.$$

and equality (7.8). Lemma 7.6 gives that the sequence Φ converges to some horofunction. Next we show that this horofunction coincides with the Busemann function of the ray $\bar{\alpha}_1$.

Let $c : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow A^n$ be the arc length parameterization of the ray $\bar{\alpha}_1$ and

$$\beta_1(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (|yc(t)| - t)$$

be corresponding Busemann function.

First, take the point $y_0 \in A_1^{n-1}$. The homothety $\tilde{h}_t$ with coefficient $\frac{1}{t}$ which moves the point $c(t)$ to x_0 translates the hyperplane A_1^{n-1} to tangent hyperplane $\tilde{\Pi}$ to $\mathcal{S}$ in the point $\tilde{x}_0 = \tilde{h}_t(x_0)$. Denote $\tilde{y}_t = \tilde{h}_t(y_0)$, $\vec{v}_0 = \overrightarrow{x_0 \tilde{x}_0}$, $\vec{w}_t$ — unit vector codirected with the ray $[x_0 \tilde{y}_t]$ and $\vec{v}_t$ — the external normal to $\mathcal{S}$ in the end of the vector $\vec{w}_t$. With this notation the function $L_{\vec{v}_0}(\vec{v}_t)$ defined in (5.8), tends to 0 when $t \rightarrow +\infty$ (the

item (2) of the lemma 5.9), and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that for all $t > T$

$$\frac{\|x_0 \tilde{y}_t\| - 1}{\|\tilde{y}_t \tilde{x}_0\|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|x_0 y_0\|}.$$

Applying again the inverse homothety to $\tilde{h}_t$, one gets

$$\|y_0 c(t)\| - t < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\beta_1(y_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|y_0 c(t)\| - t = 0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{x_k}(y_0).$$

From the lemma 7.6 the identity holds for all points $y \in A^n$:

$$\beta_1(y) = d_{x_k}(y).$$

□

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of the paragraph.

Theorem 7.9. *For any flag-directed sequence $\Phi = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ in the singular Minkowski space A^n , the sequence of corresponding distance functions $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ converges to some horofunction.*

Proof. The base of induction is proved in the lemma 7.1

Assume that the statement is true for any flag-directed surface in A^n of level less than $p \leq n$ and consider the flag-directed sequence Φ of level $p > 1$ with directing flag $\mathcal{F} = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_p)$. For any $(n-p)$ -plane A_p^{n-p} transversal to the p -plane α_p , the sequence $\{x_{p,k}\}_{k=1}^p$ converges to a point $x_{p,0} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{p,k}$. Let A_1^{n-1} be the hyperplane containing A_p^{n-p} and transversal to the line α_1 . Then the sequence $\Phi_1 = \{x_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ is a flag-directed sequence of level $(p-1)$ in A_1^{n-1} and hence in A^n . By assumption, the sequence of corresponding distance functions $d_{x_{1,k}}$ is converging to a horofunction ϕ_1 defined in A_1^{n-1} and by the lemma 7.7 in A^n .

Fix a $(n-2)$ -plane Π_0 containing α_p , and let $A_{1,k}^{n-1}$ to be the hyperplane containing Π_0 and the point x_k . Since $p > 1$, then only finitely many of hyperplanes $A_{1,k}^{n-1}$ are parallel to α_1 and when $A_{1,k}^{n-1}$ is transversal to α_1 , then, by the induction assumption, the sequence $\{x_{1,k,p}\}_{p=1}^{+\infty}$ of projections to $A_{1,k}^{n-1}$ in α_1 -direction of points x_p generates the limiting horofunction

$$\phi_k = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} d_{x_{1,k,p}}.$$

It is not hardly to verify, using methods of the lemmas 7.2 – 7.7 that horofunctions ϕ_k converges pointwise, and hence uniformly on bounded

sets, to the limiting horofunction $\phi = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_k$ which coincides with the limit

$$\phi = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{x_k}.$$

the theorem is proved by the induction. $\square$

The proved theorem allows to define the projection map

$$\text{pr}: \text{FD} \rightarrow \partial_c A^n$$

from the set FD of all flag-directed sequences in A^n to its coarse ideal boundary. For the sequence $\Phi \in \text{FD}$ its image $\text{pr}(\Phi)$ is its limiting horofunction.

We finish the paper with two simple statements describing conditions for two sequences to generate the same horofunction.

Theorem 7.10. *If two flag-directed sequences $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \text{FD}$ have the same flag $\mathcal{F}$ and the same asymptotic plane, then $\text{pr}(\Phi_1) = \text{pr}(\Phi_2)$.*

Proof. The sequence Φ obtained from Φ_1 and Φ_2 with alternating their items is also flag-directed with the same directing flag and asymptotic plane. The horofunction, generated by Φ coincides with the horofunction generated by any its subsequence, in particular by both Φ_1 and Φ_2 . $\square$

Consequently, $\text{pr}(\Phi)$ depends only on directing flag and asymptotic plane of $\Phi \in \text{FD}$.

The following statement is not much more complicated.

Theorem 7.11. *Let two almost flag-directed sequences of level p*

$$\Phi_i = \{x_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \quad i = \overline{1, 2}$$

have common flag $\mathcal{F} = (x_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_p)$ and for all k

$$\overrightarrow{x_{1,k}x_{2,k}} \parallel \alpha_p.$$

Then if the sequence Φ_1 generates the horofunction ϕ , then Φ_2 generates ϕ as well.

Proof. It is easy to see that the unique limiting point of the sequence $\{d_{x_{2,k}}\}$ is $\phi \in \partial_c A^n$. Since this sequence is precompact in $C(A^n, \mathbb{R})$, it converges, and its limit is namely ϕ . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [ABN] A.D.ALEKSANDROV, V.N.BERESTOVSKIĬ, I.G.NIKOLAEV *Generalized Riemannian spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys, **41** (1986), 1–54.
- [BGS] W.BALLMANN, M.GROMOV, V.SCHROEDER, *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Progress in Math., **61**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985.
- [Ba] W.BALLMANN, *Lectures on spaces of nonpositive curvature*, volume **25** of DMV seminar. Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [Bo] B.H.BOWDITCH, *Minkowskian subspaces in nonpositively curved spaces*, Preprint.
- [BH] M. BRIDSON, A. HAEFLIGER, *Metric spaces of nonpositive curvature*, Grundlehren **319**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin(1999).
- [Bu1] H.BUSEMANN *Spaces with nonpositive curvature*, Acta Mathematica, **80** (1948), pp. 259–310.
- [Bu2] H.BUSEMANN *The geometry of geodesics*, Academic press, 1955.
- [G] M.GROMOV, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in group theory (S.M.Gerstain ed.), MSRI Publications **8**, Springer-Verlag, 1987, pp. 75 – 263.
- [H] P.K.HOTCHKISS *The boundary of Busemann space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **125**, **7** (1997), pp. 1903–1912.
- [WW] C.WEBSTER, A.WINCHESTER, *Boundaries of hyperbolic metric spaces*, preprint, 2003, arXiv:math.MG/0310101.

POMOR STATE UNIVERSITY, ARKHANGELSK, RUSSIA
E-mail address: andreev@math.pomorsu.ru