

AMALGAMATED R-DIAGONAL PAIRS

ILWOO CHO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we will consider the properties of amalgamated R-diagonal pairs. We characterize the amalgamated R-diagonality of pairs of amalgamated random variables by certain cumulant-relation.

Voiculescu developed Free Probability Theory. Here, the classical concept of Independence in Probability theory is replaced by a noncommutative analogue called Freeness (See [7]). There are two approaches to study Free Probability Theory. One of them is the original analytic approach of Voiculescu (See [7] and [10]) and the other one is the combinatorial approach of Speicher and Nica (See [10], [1] and [11]). Speicher defined the free cumulants which are the main objects in the combinatorial approach of Free Probability Theory. And he developed free probability theory by using the combinatorics and lattice theory on collections of noncrossing partitions (See [11]). Also, Speicher considered the operator-valued free probability theory, which is also defined and observed analytically by Voiculescu, when \mathbb{C} is replaced to an arbitrary algebra B (See [10] and [10]). Nica defined R-transforms of several random variables (See [1]). He defined these R-transforms as multi-variable formal series in noncommutative several indeterminants. To observe the R-transform, the Möbius Inversion under the embedding of lattices plays a key role (See [10],[11],[3],[8],[9] and [17]). In this paper, we will consider the B -even elements and R-diagonal pairs of B -valued random variables. Let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B and let $x_1, x_2 \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -valued random variables. We say that a pair (x_1, x_2) is R-diagonal if there exists a B -formal series $f_1, g \in \Theta_B^1$ such that

$$R_{x_1, x_2}(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1 z_2) + g(z_2 z_1).$$

We call this B -formal series $(f, g) \in \Theta_B^1 \times \Theta_B^1$ the determining series of the pair (x_1, x_2) . We show that the determining series (f, g) is determined by

$$f = R_{xy} \boxtimes_B Mob \quad \text{and} \quad g = R_{yx} \boxtimes_B Mob.$$

Also, similar to the scalar-valued case observed by Nica and Speicher, we can get the following fact that if the random variables $a, a' \in (A, \varphi)$ B -even and if they are free over B , then the pair $(aa', a'a)$ is B -valued R-diagonal.

Key words and phrases. Amalgamated Free Probability, Amalgamated R-transforms, Amalgamated Moment Series, Amalgamated Even Elements, Amalgamated R-Diagonal Pairs.

1. AMALGAMATED R-TRANSFORM THEORY

In this section, we will define an R-transform of several B -valued random variables. Note that to study R-transforms is to study operator-valued distributions. R-transforms with single variable is defined by Voiculescu (over B , in particular, $B = \mathbb{C}$. See [7] and [10]). Over \mathbb{C} , Nica defined multi-variable R-transforms in [1]. In [8], we extended his concepts, over B . R-transforms of B -valued random variables can be defined as B -formal series with its (i_1, \dots, i_n) -th coefficients, (i_1, \dots, i_n) -th cumulants of B -valued random variables, where $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, s\}^n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.1. *Let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B and let $x_1, \dots, x_s \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -valued random variables ($s \in \mathbb{N}$). Let z_1, \dots, z_s be noncommutative indeterminants. Define a moment series of x_1, \dots, x_s , as a B -formal series, by*

$$M_{x_1, \dots, x_s}(z_1, \dots, z_s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, s\}} \varphi(x_{i_1} b_{i_2} x_{i_2} \dots b_{i_n} x_{i_n}) z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_n},$$

where $b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n} \in B$ are arbitrary for all $(i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, s\}^{n-1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define an R-transform of x_1, \dots, x_s , as a B -formal series, by

$$R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}(z_1, \dots, z_s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, s\}} k_n(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_n},$$

with

$$k_n(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = c^{(n)}(x_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2} x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n} x_{i_n}),$$

where $b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n} \in B$ are arbitrary for all $(i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, s\}^{n-1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, $\hat{c} = (c^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a cumulant multiplicative function induced by φ in $I(A, B)$.

Denote a set of all B -formal series with s -noncommutative indeterminants ($s \in \mathbb{N}$), by Θ_B^s . i.e if $g \in \Theta_B^s$, then

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, s\}} b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_n},$$

where $b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in B$, for all $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, s\}^n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Trivially, by definition, $M_{x_1, \dots, x_s}, R_{x_1, \dots, x_s} \in \Theta_B^s$. By \mathcal{R}_B^s , we denote a set of all R-transforms of s - B -valued random variables. Recall that, set-theoretically,

$$\Theta_B^s = \mathcal{R}_B^s, \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We can also define symmetric moment series and symmetric R-transform by $b_0 \in B$, by

$$M_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{symm(b_0)}(z_1, \dots, z_s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, s\}} \varphi(x_{i_1} b_0 x_{i_2} \dots b_0 x_{i_n}) z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_n}$$

and

$$R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{symm(b_0)}(z_1, \dots, z_s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, s\}} k_n^{symm(b_0)}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_n},$$

with

$$k_n^{symm(b_0)}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = c^{(n)}(x_{i_1} \otimes b_0 x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_0 x_{i_n}),$$

for all $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, s\}^n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $b_0 = 1_B$, then we have trivial moment series and trivial R-transform of x_1, \dots, x_s denoted by M_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^t and R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^t , respectively. By definition, for the fixed random variables $x_1, \dots, x_s \in (A, \varphi)$, there are infinitely many R-transforms of them (resp. moment series of them). Symmetric and trivial R-transforms of them are special examples. Let

$$C = \bigcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \{(1_B, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n}) : b_{i_j} \in B\}.$$

Suppose that we have

$$\text{coef}_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}) = c^{(n)}(x_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2} x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n} x_{i_n}),$$

where $(1_B, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n}) \in C$, for all $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then we can rewrite the R-transform of x_1, \dots, x_s , R_{x_1, \dots, x_s} by R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^C . If C_1 and C_2 are such collections, then in general $R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_1} \neq R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_2}$ (resp. $M_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_1} \neq M_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_2}$). From now, for the random variables $x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s$, if we write R_{x_1, \dots, x_s} and R_{y_1, \dots, y_s} , then it means that $R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^C = R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^C$, for the same collection C . If there's no confusion, we will omit to write such collection. The followings are known in [10] and [8] ;

Proposition 1.1. *Let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B and let $x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_p \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -valued random variables, where $s, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$ are free in (A, φ) . Then*

$$(1) R_{x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_p}(z_1, \dots, z_{s+p}) = R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}(z_1, \dots, z_s) + R_{y_1, \dots, y_p}(z_1, \dots, z_p).$$

$$(2) \text{ If } s = p, \text{ then } R_{x_1+y_1, \dots, x_s+y_s}(z_1, \dots, z_s) = (R_{x_1, \dots, x_s} + R_{y_1, \dots, y_s})(z_1, \dots, z_s).$$

□

Note that if $f, g \in \Theta_B^s$, then we can always choose free $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ in (some) NCPSpace over B , (A, φ) , such that

$$f = R_{x_1, \dots, x_s} \quad \text{and} \quad g = R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}.$$

Definition 1.2. (1) *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(f, g) \in \Theta_B^s \times \Theta_B^s$. Define $\boxplus : \Theta_B^s \times \Theta_B^s \rightarrow \Theta_B^s$ by*

$$(f, g) = (R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_1}, R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^{C_2}) \mapsto R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_1} \boxplus R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^{C_2}.$$

Here, $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ are free in (A, φ) . Suppose that

$$\text{coef}_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_1}) = c^{(n)}(x_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2} x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n} x_{i_n})$$

and

$$\text{coef}_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^{C_2}) = c^{(n)}(y_{i_1} \otimes b'_{i_2} y_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b'_{i_n} y_{i_n}),$$

for all $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, s\}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $b_{i_j}, b'_{i_n} \in B$ arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{coef}_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_1} \boxtimes R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^{C_2}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} (\widehat{c}_x \oplus \widehat{c}_y) (\pi \cup Kr(\pi))(x_{i_1} \otimes y_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2} x_{i_2} \otimes b'_{i_2} y_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n} x_{i_n} \otimes b'_{i_n} y_{i_n}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(k_{\pi}^{C_1} \oplus k_{Kr(\pi)}^{C_2} \right) (x_{i_1}, y_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, y_{i_n}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{c}_x \oplus \widehat{c}_y = \widehat{c} |_{A_x * B A_y}$, $A_x = \text{Alg}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^s, B)$ and $A_y = \text{Alg}(\{y_i\}_{i=1}^s, B)$ and where $\pi \cup Kr(\pi)$ is an alternating union of partitions in $NC(2n)$

Proposition 1.2. (See [8]) Let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B and let $x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -valued random variables ($s \in \mathbb{N}$). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ are free in (A, φ) , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k_n(x_{i_1} y_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} y_{i_n}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} (\widehat{c}_x \oplus \widehat{c}_y) (\pi \cup Kr(\pi))(x_{i_1} \otimes y_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2} x_{i_2} \otimes y_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n} x_{i_n} \otimes y_{i_n}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(k_{\pi} \oplus k_{Kr(\pi)}^{\text{symm}(1_B)} \right) (x_{i_1}, y_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, y_{i_n}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, s\}^n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n} \in B$, arbitrary, where $\widehat{c}_x \oplus \widehat{c}_y = \widehat{c} |_{A_x * B A_y}$, $A_x = \text{Alg}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^s, B)$ and $A_y = \text{Alg}(\{y_i\}_{i=1}^s, B)$. \square

This shows that ;

Corollary 1.3. (See [8]) Under the same condition with the previous proposition,

$$R_{x_1, \dots, x_s} \boxtimes R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^t = R_{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_s y_s}.$$

\square

Notice that, in general, unless $b'_{i_2} = \dots = b'_{i_n} = 1_B$ in B ,

$$R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^{C_1} \boxtimes R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^{C_2} \neq R_{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_s y_s}^{C_j}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

However, as we can see above,

$$R_{x_1, \dots, x_s} R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^t = R_{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_s y_s}$$

and

$$R_{x_1, \dots, x_s}^t \boxtimes R_{y_1, \dots, y_s}^t = R_{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_s y_s}^t,$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ are free over B . Over $B = \mathbb{C}$, the last equation is proved by Nica and Speicher in [1] and [11]. Actually, their R-transforms (over \mathbb{C}) is our trivial R-transforms (over \mathbb{C}).

2. R-DIAGONAL PAIRS

2.1. B -valued Even Random Variables.

In this section, we will consider the B -evenness. Let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B , with its B -trace $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $a \in (A, \varphi)$ be a B -valued random variable. We say that this random variable a is B -even if*

$$\varphi(ab_2 a \dots b_m a) = 0_B, \text{ whenever } m \text{ is odd,}$$

where $b_2, \dots, b_m \in B$ are arbitrary. In particular, if a is B -even, then $\varphi(a^m) = 0_B$, whenever m is odd. But the converse is not true, in general.

Recall that in the $*$ -probability space model, the B -evenness guarantees the self-adjointness (See [8]). But the above definition is more general. By using the Möbius inversion, we have the following characterization ;

Proposition 2.1. *Let $a \in (A, \varphi)$ be a B -valued random variable. Then a is B -even if and only if*

$$k_m \left(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m\text{-times}} \right) = 0_B, \text{ whenever } m \text{ is odd.}$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $a \in (A, \varphi)$ is B -even. Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd. Then

$$k_n \left(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{n\text{-times}} \right) = c^{(n)}(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_n a)$$

where $b_2, \dots, b_n \in B$ are arbitrary

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_n a) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\ &= 0_B, \end{aligned}$$

since every partition π contains at least one odd block.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that every odd B -valued cumulants of $a \in (A, \varphi)$ vanishes also assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd. Then

$$\varphi(ab_2 a \dots b_n a) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \widehat{c}(\pi)(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_n a) = 0_B,$$

since each π contains an odd block. ■

The above proposition says that B -evenness is easy to verify when we are dealing with either B -moments or B -cumulants. Now, define a subset $NC^{(even)}(2k)$ of $NC(2k)$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$;

$$NC^{(even)}(2k) = \{\pi \in NC(2k) : \pi \text{ does not contain odd blocks}\}.$$

We have that ;

Proposition 2.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $a \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -even. Then*

$$k_{2k} \left(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{2k\text{-times}} \right) = \sum_{\pi \in NC^{(even)}(2k)} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_{2k} a) \mu(\pi, 1_{2k})$$

equivalently,

$$\varphi(ab_2 a \dots b_{2k} a) = \sum_{\pi \in NC^{(even)}(2k)} \widehat{c}(\pi)(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_{2k} a).$$

Proof. By the previous proposition, it is enough to show one of the above two formul. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_{2k}(a, \dots, a) &= c^{(2k)}(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_{2k} a) \\
 &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(2k)} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_{2k} a) \mu(\pi, 1_{2k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $\theta \in NC(2k)$ and θ contains its odd block $V_o \in \pi(o) \cup \pi(i)$. Then

$$(2.2.1) \quad \widehat{\varphi}(\theta)(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_{2k} a) = 0_B.$$

Define

$$NC^{(odd)}(2k) = \{\pi \in NC(2k) : \pi \text{ contains at least one odd block}\}.$$

Then, for any $\theta \in NC^{(odd)}(2k)$, the formular (2.2.1) holds. So,

$$k_{2k}(a, \dots, a) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(2k) \setminus NC^{(odd)}(2k)} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(a \otimes b_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b_{2k} a) \mu(\pi, 1_{2k}).$$

It is easy to see that, by definition,

$$NC^{(even)}(2k) = NC(2k) \setminus NC^{(odd)}(2k).$$

■

Proposition 2.3. *Let a_1 and a_2 be B -even elements in (A, φ) . If a_1 and a_2 are free over B , then $a_1 + a_2 \in (A, \varphi)$ is B -even, again.*

Proof. Suppose that a_1 and a_2 are B -free B -even elements in (A, φ) . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &k_n((a_1 + a_2), \dots, (a_1 + a_2)) \\
 &= k_n \left(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{n\text{-times}} \right) + k_n \left(\underbrace{a_2, \dots, a_2}_{n\text{-times}} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

by B -freeness of a_1 and a_2

$$= 0_B$$

by B -evenness of a_1 and a_2 . Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, $a_1 + a_2$ is also a B -even element. ■

Trivially, if $a \in (A, \varphi)$ is B -even, then $ba \in (A, \varphi)$ is B -even, for all $b \in B$, since we have that

$$\begin{aligned} k_n \left(\underbrace{ba, \dots, ba}_{n\text{-times}} \right) &= c^{(n)} (ba \otimes b_2 ba \otimes \dots \otimes b_n ba) \\ &= b \cdot c^{(n)} (a \otimes b'_2 a \otimes \dots \otimes b'_n a), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $b_2, \dots, b_n \in B$ are arbitrary and

$$b'_2 = b_2 b, \quad \dots, \quad b'_n = b_n b.$$

2.2. B -valued R-diagonal Pairs.

In this section, we will discuss about B -valued R-diagonality of pairs of B -valued random variables. Likewise, let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B . Remark that to define R-diagonal pairs, we need to assume that φ is a B -trace.

Definition 2.2. *Let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B and let $x_1, x_2 \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -valued random variables. We say that a pair (x_1, x_2) is R-diagonal if there exists a B -formal series $f_1, g \in \Theta_B^1$ such that*

$$R_{x_1, x_2}(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1 z_2) + g(z_2 z_1).$$

We call this B -formal series $(f, g) \in \Theta_B^1 \times \Theta_B^1$ the determining series of the pair (x_1, x_2) .

Theorem 2.4. *Let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B and let $x, y \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -valued random variables. Suppose that the pair (x, y) is an R-diagonal pair with its determining series $(f, g) \in \Theta_B^1$. Then*

$$f = R_{xy} \begin{matrix} \square \\ \text{B} \end{matrix} \text{Mob} \quad \text{and} \quad g = R_{yx} \begin{matrix} \square \\ \text{B} \end{matrix} \text{Mob}$$

Proof. Let (x, y) be an R-diagonal pair with its determining series $(f, g) \in \Theta_B^1$. Then by definition,

$$R_{x, y}(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1 z_2) + g(z_2 z_1).$$

Now put

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b'_n z^n.$$

Then

(2.4.1)

$$R_{x,y}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (z_1 z_2)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b'_n (z_2 z_1)^n \in \Theta_B^2.$$

While, by definition,

(2.4.2)

$$R_{x,y}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1,2\}^n} k_n(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_n},$$

where $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} \in \{x, y\}$, for all $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1,2\}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (2.4.1) and (2.4.2), we can conclude that the R-diagonality of the pair (x, y) makes that the only nonvanishing mixed (i_1, \dots, i_m) -th cumulants of x and y appear when m is even and

(2.4.3)

$$(i_1, \dots, i_m) = \left(\underbrace{(1, 2), \dots, (1, 2)}_{\frac{m}{2} \text{-times}} \right) = (1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, 1, 2)$$

or

$$(i_1, \dots, i_m) = \left(\underbrace{(2, 1), \dots, (2, 1)}_{\frac{m}{2} \text{-times}} \right) = (1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, 1, 2)$$

Therefore, by (2.4.3), we have that the formular (2.4.2) goes to

(2.4.4)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{2n}(x, y, \dots, x, y) (z_1 z_2)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{2n}(y, x, \dots, y, x) (z_2 z_1)^n.$$

i.e

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{2n}(x, y, \dots, x, y) z^n$$

and

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{2n}(y, x, \dots, y, x) z^n,$$

in Θ_B^1

Observe that

$$\text{coef}_{2n}(f) = \text{coef}_n(R_{xy} \boxtimes_B \text{Mob})$$

and

$$\text{coef}_{2n}(g) = \text{coef}_n(R_{yx} \boxtimes_B \text{Mob}),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will only consider the first case. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coef}_n(R_{xy}) &= k_n \left(\underbrace{xy, \dots, xy}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \\ &= c^{(n)}(xy \otimes b_2xy \otimes \dots \otimes b_nxy) \end{aligned}$$

where $b_2, \dots, b_n \in B$ are arbitrary

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(xy \otimes b_2xy \otimes \dots \otimes b_nxy) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in NC(2n), \theta \vee \theta_0 = 1_{2n}} \widehat{\varphi}(\theta)(x \otimes y \otimes b_2x \otimes y \otimes \dots \otimes b_nx \otimes y) \mu(\pi, 1_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_0 = \{(1, 2), (3, 4), \dots, (2n-1, 2n)\} \in NC(2n)$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4.5) \\ &= k_{2n}(x, y, \dots, x, y), \end{aligned}$$

by (2.4.3). Notice that, in general, the last equality (2.4.5) of the above formular does not hold true. But, since we have the relation (2.4.3), under the R-diagonality of (x, y) , it holds true. Since

$$k_{2n}(x, y, \dots, x, y) = k_{2n}(x, y, \dots, x, y) \cdot 1_B,$$

(2.4.5) is same as

$$\text{coef}_n(f \boxtimes_B \text{Zeta}),$$

by the Section 1.2.

Similarly, we have that, for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{coef}_n(R_{yx}(z)) = \text{coef}_n(g \boxtimes_B \text{Zeta}).$$

Thus

$$R_{xy} = f \boxtimes_B \text{Zeta} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{yx} = g \boxtimes_B \text{Zeta}$$

and hence, equivalently,

$$f = R_{xy} \boxtimes_B \text{Mob} \quad \text{and} \quad g = R_{yx} \boxtimes_B \text{Mob}.$$

■

We have the following characterization of R-diagonal pairs with respect to B -valued cumulants ;

Theorem 2.5. *Let (A, φ) be a NCPSpace over B and let $x, y \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -valued random variables. Then the pair (x, y) is an R-diagonal pair if and only if the only nonvanishing mixed B -cumulants of x and y are*

$$(2.5.1) \quad k_{2n} \left(\underbrace{x, y, x, y, \dots, x, y}_{2n\text{-times}} \right)$$

and

$$(2.5.2) \quad k_{2n} \left(\underbrace{y, x, y, x, \dots, y, x}_{2n\text{-times}} \right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By the previous theorem, if the pair of B -valued random variables (x, y) is R-diagonal, then

$$R_{x,y}(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1 z_2) + g(z_2 z_1) \in \Theta_B^2,$$

where $(f, g) \in \Theta_B^1 \times \Theta_B^1$ is the determining series of (x, y) such that

$$f(z) = (R_{xy} \boxtimes_B \text{Moz})(z) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = (R_{yx} \boxtimes_B \text{Mob})(z).$$

By the relation (2.4.3) in the proof of the previous theorem, we can get that if (x, y) is R-diagonal, then the only nonvanishing mixed cumulants of x and y have the form of (2.5.1) or (2.5.2), with respect to the coefficients of f and g appeared in (2.4.4).

(\Leftarrow) Conversely, assume that the B -valued random variables x and y have their mixed cumulants satisfying that the only nonvanishing mixed cumulants have the

form of (2.5.1) or (2.5.2). Then we can easily construct f and g like (2.4.4). Then the pair (x, y) satisfies that

$$R_{x,y}(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1 z_2) + g(z_2 z_1).$$

Therefore, (x, y) is R-diagonal. ■

The following theorem plays a key role for observing the R-transforms of commutators ;

Lemma 2.6. *Let $a, a' \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -even. If a and a' are free over B , then $\varphi(aa') = 0_B = \varphi(a'a)$.*

Proof. Clearly, by the Möbius inversion, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(aa') &= k_2(a, a') + k_1(a)k_1(a') \\ &= 0_B + \varphi(a)\varphi(a') \end{aligned}$$

by the B -freeness of a and a'

$$= 0_B + 0_B \cdot 0_B = 0_B$$

by the B -evenness of a and a' . Similarly,

$$\varphi(a'a) = 0_B.$$

■

Theorem 2.7. *Let $a, a' \in (A, \varphi)$ be B -even. If they are free over B , then the pair $(aa', a'a)$ is B -valued R-diagonal.*

Proof. Suppose that B -valued random variables a and a' are B -free B -even random variables. It suffices to show that the only nonvanishing mixed cumulants of aa' and $a'a$ have the form

$$k_{2n}(aa', a'a, aa', a'a, \dots, aa', a'a)$$

or

$$k_{2n}(a'a, aa', a'a, aa', \dots, a'a, aa'),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $x = aa'$ and $y = a'a$.

Now, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that the mixed index $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$ is not alternating (i.e, neither $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \neq (1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, i_n)$ nor $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \neq (2, 1, 2, 1, \dots, i_n)$). Then we may assume that there exists at least one $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that i_j satisfies either $i_j = 1 = i_{j+1}$ or $i_j = 2 = i_{j+1}$. Let's assume that $i_j = 1 = i_{j+1}$. Then

$$k_n \left(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{j-1}}, \underset{j-th}{x}, \underset{j+1-th}{x}, x_{i_{j+2}}, \dots, x_{i_n} \right)$$

where $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} \in \{x, y\}$

(2.7.1)

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(x_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2} x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_{j-1}} x_{i_{j-1}} \otimes b_{i_j} x \otimes b_{i_{j+1}} x \\ \otimes b_{i_{j+2}} x_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n} x_{i_n}) \mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

where $b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n} \in B$ are arbitrary.

First, observe that $\varphi(x) = 0_B = \varphi(y)$;

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(aa') = 0_B = \varphi(a'a) = \varphi(y),$$

by the previous lemma. Therefore, for any partitions, θ , in $NC(n)$ containing singleton blocks (j) and $(j+1)$, $\widehat{\varphi}(\theta)(\dots)$ vanish. So, the formular (2.7.1) is same as

$$\sum_{\pi \in S} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(x_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2} x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_{j-1}} x_{i_{j-1}} \otimes b_{i_j} x \otimes b_{i_{j+1}} x \\ \otimes b_{i_{j+2}} x_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n} x_{i_n}) \mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

where

$$S = \{\pi \in NC(n) : (j) \notin \pi \ \& \ (j+1) \notin \pi\}.$$

Second, observe that $\varphi(xbx) = 0_B$, for any $b \in B$;

$$\varphi(xbx) = \varphi(aa'baa') = \sum_{\pi \in NC(4)} \widehat{c}(\pi)(a \otimes a' \otimes ba \otimes a') \\ = \widehat{c}(0_4)(a \otimes a' \otimes ba \otimes a')$$

by the B -freeness of a and a' and by the B -evenness of a and a'

$$\begin{aligned} &= k_1(a) \cdot k_1(a') \cdot (bk_1(a)) \cdot k_1(a') \\ &= \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(a') \cdot b\varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(a') = 0_B, \end{aligned}$$

by the B -evenness of a and a' . So, this shows that the formular (2.7.1) goes to

$$(2.7.2) \quad \sum_{\pi \in S'} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(x_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2}x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_{j-1}}x_{i_{j-1}} \otimes b_{i_j}x \otimes b_{i_{j+1}}x \\ \otimes b_{i_{j+2}}x_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n}x_{i_n})\mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

where

$$S' = \{\pi \in NC(n) : \pi \text{ does not contain } (j), (j+1), (j, j+1)\}.$$

Consider the set S' . Suppose that there exists at least one $k \neq j$ in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that i_k satisfies either $x_{i_k} = x = x_{i_{k+1}}$ or $x_{i_k} = y = x_{i_{k+1}}$. Then we can do the same job as before on S' and we can get a set S'' . Inductively we have that $S^{(p)}$ such that

$$(2.7.3) \quad k_n(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \\ = \sum_{\pi \in S^{(p)}} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(x_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_2}x_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_{j-1}}x_{i_{j-1}} \otimes b_{i_j}x \otimes b_{i_{j+1}}x \\ \otimes b_{i_{j+2}}x_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_n}x_{i_n})\mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

where $S^{(p)}$ is determined by the p -induction of the previous process. Now, let's assume that the formular (2.7.3) does not vanish. Then the mixed index $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$ should be alternating. But it contradict our assumption.

We can get the same result, when we replace $x_{i_j} = x = x_{j+1}$ by $x_{i_j} = y = x_{i_{j+1}}$.

Now, we have to observe that n should be even. Suppose that n is odd and we have an alternating mixed index $(1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, 1, 2, 1)$. Then

$$k_n(x, y, x, y, \dots, x, y, x) \\ = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(x \otimes b_2y \otimes b_3x \otimes b_4y \otimes \\ \dots b_{n-2}x \otimes b_{n-1}y \otimes b_nx)\mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

where $b_2, \dots, b_n \in B$ are arbitrary

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC(2n), \pi \vee \theta = 1_{2n}} \widehat{\varphi}(\pi)(a \otimes a' \otimes b_2 a' \otimes a \otimes \dots \otimes b_{n-2} a \otimes a' \otimes b_{n-1} a' \otimes a \otimes b_n a \otimes a') \mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \{(1, 2), \dots, (1, 2)\} \in NC(2n), \\ &= 0_B, \end{aligned}$$

by [15]. Similarly, we can get the same result if we replace $(1, 2, \dots, 1, 2)$ by $(2, 1, \dots, 2, 1)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.5, the pair $(x, y) = (aa', a'a)$ is an R -diagonal pair. Similarly, we can conclude that the pair $(y, x) = (a'a, aa')$ is R -diagonal.

■

2.3. B -valued R -diagonal Elements in C^* -Probability Spaces over B .

In this chapter, we will consider the B -valued R -diagonal elements in a C^* -probability space over a unital C^* -algebra B , where the B -functional $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a normalized positive B -functional. We say that a B -valued random variable in (A, φ) is B -even if it is a self-adjoint B -even element (in the sense of Section 2.1). Let $x \in (A, \varphi)$ be a B -valued random variable. We say that $x \in (A, \varphi)$ is R -diagonal if the pair (x, x^*) is an R -diagonal pair. By the characterization considered in Section 2.2, we can redefine that a B -valued random variable x is R -diagonal if the only nonvanishing mixed cumulants of x and x^* are of the form

$$k_{2n}(x, x^*, \dots, x, x^*) \text{ and } k_{2n}(x^*, x, \dots, x^*, x),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

References

- [1] A. Nica, R -transform in Free Probability, IHP course note, available at www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~anica.
- [2] A. Nica, R -transforms of Free Joint Distributions and Non-crossing Partitions, *J. of Func. Anal.* 135 (1996), 271-296.
- [3] A. Nica and R. Speicher, R -diagonal Pair-A Common Approach to Haar Unitaries and Circular Elements, (1995), www.mast.queensu.ca/~speicher.

- [4] A. Nica, R-diagonal Pairs Arising as Free Off-diagonal Compressions, available at www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~anica.
- [5] A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko and R. Speicher, R-diagonal Elements and Freeness with Amalgamation, *Canad. J. Math.* Vol 53, Num 2, (2001) 355-381.
- [6] A. Nica and R. Speicher, Commutators of Free Random Variables, *Duke Math J*, Vol. 92, No. 3 (1998) 553 - 392.
- [7] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykemma and A. Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series Vol 1 (1992).
- [8] I. Cho, *Amalgamated Boxed Convolution and Amalgamated R-transform Theory* (2002), Preprint.
- [9] I. Cho, I. Cho, *R-transform Theory of Commutators of Amalgamated Random Variables* (2004) (preprint).
- [10] R. Speicher *Combinatorial Theory of the Free Product with Amalgamation and Operator-Valued Free Probability Theory*, *AMS Mem*, Vol 132 , Num 627 , 1998.
- [11] R. Speicher, *Combinatorics of Free Probability Theory* IHP course note, available at www.mast.queensu.ca/~speicher.

DEP. OF MATH, UNIV. OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IA, U.S.A
E-mail address: ilcho@math.uiowa.edu