

André DIATTA¹**Abstract**

A result from Gromov ensures the existence of a contact structure on any connected non-compact odd dimensional Lie group. But in general such structures are not invariant under left translations of the Lie group. The problem of finding which Lie groups admit a left invariant contact structure (contact Lie groups), is then wide open. We perform a ‘contactization’ method to construct, in every odd dimension, many contact Lie groups with a discrete centre and discuss some applications and consequences of such a construction. We give classification results in low dimension. In any dimension greater than or equal to 7, there are infinitely many locally non-isomorphic solvable contact Lie groups. We also classify contact Lie groups having some prescribed Riemannian or semi-Riemannian structure and derive some obstructions results. ².

1 Introduction-Summary

A contact form on a manifold M^{2n+1} is a differential 1-form ν such that $(d\nu)^n \wedge \nu \neq 0$ pointwise over M . Its kernel $\{\nu = 0\}$ of ν then defines a maximally non-integrable smooth field of tangent hyperplanes on M^{2n+1} . A fundamental question about contact structures is their existence on a given manifold. Every closed oriented 3-manifold admits a contact structure (J. Martinet 1971, see also [37]). The question remains open in higher dimensions, some answers have been obtained using surgery-like tools mainly (see e.g [38], [15], ...)

According to M. Gromov [20], there is a contact structure on every odd dimensional connected non-compact Lie group. Still, in general, such contact structures are not invariant under left translations (left invariant) of the Lie group. Furthermore, the methods used by Gromov in his proofs do not, a priori, involve any kind of invariance.

The aim of this paper is the study of these Lie groups having a left invariant contact form, also termed contact Lie groups, in the sequel.

Beyond the geometric interest, contact Lie groups appear in a natural way in all areas using contact Geometry or Topology (for these areas, see e.g. [1], [4], [21], [26], ... and excellent review-like sources by Lutz [29] and Geiges [14]).

The question whether symplectic compact manifolds with a boundary of contact type, admit a connected boundary, as it is the case for compact complex manifolds with strictly pseudoconvex boundary, was raised up by E. Calabi. In [13], Geiges uses some 3-dimensional contact Lie groups to build up counterexamples to such a question. The constructions in [13] can be generalised in any odd dimension to unimodular contact Lie groups admitting a lattice.

While Lie groups with left invariant symplectic structures are widely studied by a great number of authors (amongst which A. Lichnerowicz; E.B. Vinberg; I.I. Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro; S. G. Gindikin; A. Medina; Ph. Revoy; M. Goze, J. Dorfmeister; K. Nakajima; etc.), contact Lie groups still remain quite unexplored.

So far, the main known examples of contact Lie groups in dimension > 3 , have a (non-discrete) centre of dimension 1. Among other results in [17], the authors solved the existence

¹The author was partially supported by Enterprise Ireland.

²*Mathematics Subject Classification* (2000): 53D10,53D35,53C50,53C25,57R17.

Key words and phrases: Invariant Contact structure, Riemannian Geometry on Lie groups.

question for left invariant contact forms on filiform Lie groups (i.e. with a nilpotent Lie algebra \mathcal{G} whose nilindex equals $\dim(\mathcal{G}) - 1$), and classify all contact structures in such Lie groups.

Some earlier results of the present work presented in [9] have been applied by D. Iglesias, J. C. and Marrero in [26], to get some of their nice results about generalized Lie bialgebras and Jacobi Structures.

In Section 2, we give a construction result that allows to get infinitely many contact Lie groups (especially those with a discrete center) in any odd dimension (Theorem 1). We discuss some applications such as the classification of some special principle fibre bundles (Remark 4), the construction of contact Lie groups with Left invariant Einstein metrics (Theorem 6), give several special examples (Corollaries 1, 2, Proposition 2, Remark 2 ...) etc.

The existence of a contact structure imposes strong topological and algebraic conditions on the manifold (e.g. the structure group of its tangent bundle reduces to $U(n) \times 1$. Hence all its odd order Whitney classes vanish, see e.g. J.W. Gray [19]). For the existence of left invariant contact structures on odd dimensional Lie groups G , the non-degeneracy of the Killing form (theorem 5 of [5]) and the dimension of the centre of G (it is readily checked that the center should have dimension ≤ 1) are the main obstructions so far known to the author.

In Section 3, using some known results from Riemannian Geometry, we classify contact Lie groups (via their Lie algebras) having some prescribed Riemannian or semi-Riemannian structure, give properties and derive some obstructions to the existence of left invariant contact structures on Lie groups as well. For the present purposes, we only need to use the presence of left invariant contact and some given Riemannian structures on the same Lie group. The actual behaviour of such structures with respect to one another as in [4], will be studied in a subsequent work [11].

A Riemannian or semi-Riemannian structure in a Lie group is said to be bi-invariant if it is invariant under both left and right translations. The Killing forms of semi-simple Lie groups are examples of such bi-invariant structures. In theorem 5 of [5], W.M. Boothby and H.C. Wang proved, by generalising a result from J.W. Gray [18], that the only semi-simple Lie groups that carry a left invariant contact structure are those which are locally isomorphic to $SL(2)$ or to $SO(3)$. We extend such a result to all Lie groups with bi-invariant Riemannian or semi-Riemannian structures (Theorem 3).

In his main result of [3] (see also [4]), D.E. Blair proved that a flat metric in contact manifold M , cannot be a contact metric structure (see "Some preliminaries and notations" for the definition) if the dimension of M is greater than or equal to 5. We prove that in the case of contact Lie groups of dimension ≥ 5 , there is no flat left invariant metric at all, even if such a metric has nothing to do with the given contact structure (Theorem 5).

We give a characterisation of contact Lie groups which have a left invariant Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature (Proposition 3). We also show that if $\dim(G) \geq 5$, there is no left invariant contact structure in any of the following cases: (a) G has the property that every left invariant metric has a sectional curvature of constant sign (Proposition 4), (b) G is a negatively curved 2-step solvable Lie group (Corollary 4), (c) G has a left invariant Riemannian metric with negative sectional curvature, such that the Levi-Civita connection ∇ and the curvature tensor R satisfy $\nabla R = 0$ (Corollary 4). Proposition 5 proves that there is no left invariant K-contact structure (in dimension > 3) whose underlying Riemannian metric has a Ricci curvature of constant sign. In particular, there is no K-contact-Einstein, a fortiori no Sasaki-Einstein, left invariant structures on Lie groups of dimension ≥ 5 .

Section 4 is devoted to the classification problem in dimensions ≤ 7 . We also exhibit an infinite family of non-isomorphic contact Lie algebras in dimension 7 and hence in any dimension $2n + 1 \geq 7$.

Some preliminaries and notations. Throughout this paper, \langle, \rangle always stands for the duality pairing between a vector space and its dual, except otherwise stated. Let G be a Lie group, ϵ its unit, and \mathcal{G} its Lie algebra identified with the tangent space $T_\epsilon G$ to G at ϵ . If $x \in \mathcal{G}$, let x^+ stand for the left invariant vector field on G with value $x = x_\epsilon^+$ at ϵ . If G has dimension $2n + 1$, a left invariant differential 1-form η^+ on G is a contact form if its de Rham differential $d\eta^+$ caps up, together with η^+ , to a volume form $(d\eta^+)^n \wedge \eta^+ \neq 0$ pointwise over G . This is equivalent to $(\partial\eta)^n \wedge \eta$ being a volume form in \mathcal{G} , where $\eta := \eta_\epsilon^+$ and $\partial\eta(x, y) := -\eta([x, y])$. In this case (G, η^+) (resp. (\mathcal{G}, η)) is termed a contact Lie group (resp. algebra). The Reeb vector field is the unique vector field ξ^+ satisfying $d\eta(\xi^+, x^+) = 0, \forall x^+$ and $\eta^+(\xi^+) = 1$. From now on, we will also usually write $\partial\eta^+$ instead of $d\eta^+$. Every 3-dimensional Lie group is a contact Lie group, except the Abelian ones and the one (unique, up to a local isomorphism) all of whose left invariant Riemannian metrics have sectional curvature of constant sign (Proposition 6).

A contact metric structure on a contact manifold (M, ν) is given by a Riemannian metric g and a field ϕ of endomorphisms of its tangent bundle such that for all vector fields X, Y ,

$$d\nu(X, Y) = g(X, \phi(Y)) \text{ and } g(\phi(X), \phi(Y)) = g(X, Y) - \nu(X)\nu(Y) \quad (1)$$

(see e.g. [4]). If in addition the Reeb vector field is a Killing vector field (ie, generates a group of isometries) with respect to g , then (g, ϕ, ν) is termed a K-contact structure on M .

Lemma 1 (Lemma 5.2.0.1 of [9]). *If η is a contact form in a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} , with Reeb vector ξ , then its kernel (nullspace) $\text{Ker}(\eta)$ is not a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{G} , whereas the radical (nullspace) $\text{Rad}(\partial\eta) = \mathbb{R}\xi$ of $\partial\eta$ is a reductive subalgebra of \mathcal{G} .*

A symplectic Lie group (G, ω^+) is a Lie group G together with a left invariant symplectic form ω^+ (See [7], [8], [27], [28], ...). It is well known that a symplectic Lie group carries a left invariant flat affine structure (see e.g. [7], [8], [10]). But this is no longer true for contact Lie groups such as $SU(2)$, $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and even for nilpotent ones, as shown by the example of Y. Benoist.

The ‘Classical’ Contactization is obtained as follows. From a symplectic Lie algebra (\mathcal{H}, ω) , perform the central extension $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \times_\omega \mathbb{R}\xi$, using the 2-cocycle ω . Then \mathcal{G} is a contact Lie algebra with center $Z(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{R}\xi$. The converse is easy to see as stated below.

Lemma 2 (Lemma 5.2.0.3 of [9]). *A contact Lie algebra with nontrivial center is a central extension $\mathcal{H} \times_\omega \mathbb{R}$ of a symplectic Lie algebra (\mathcal{H}, ω) using the non-degenerate 2-cocycle ω .*

If ω^+ is the differential $\partial\alpha^+ = \omega^+$ of a left invariant differential 1-form α^+ , then $(G, \partial\alpha^+)$ (resp. $(\mathcal{G}, \partial\alpha)$) is an exact symplectic (or a Frobenius) Lie group (resp. Lie algebra).

It is readily checked that if a Lie algebra is a direct sum of two ideals \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 , then it is contact if and only if \mathcal{A}_1 is contact and \mathcal{A}_2 exact symplectic or vice versa. Exact symplectic Lie algebras of dimension ≤ 6 are all well known, a list of those in dimension 4 is quoted e.g. in [9]. A particular family of Frobenius Lie groups whose Lie algebras are the so-called j-algebras plays a central role in the study of the homogeneous Kähler Manifolds and in particular homogeneous bounded domains [12], [22], [36]. Let the Lie group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ of $n \times n$ invertible matrices act on the space $M_{n,p}$ of $n \times p$ matrices by ordinary left multiplication of matrices. If p divides n , the resulting semi-direct product $M_{n,p} \rtimes GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a (non-solvable) Frobenius Lie group with Lie algebra $M_{n,p} \rtimes \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ [35]. In particular, if $p = 1$ the group $Aff(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of affine motions of \mathbb{R}^n is a Frobenius Lie group (see also [6]). In [16], one can find infinite $(n - 1)$ -parameter families of nonisomorphic solvable exact symplectic Lie algebras (in any dimension $2n + 1$), obtained as 1-dimensional extensions of the Heisenberg Lie algebras.

2 Construction of contact Lie groups: contactization

The construction and classification of contact manifolds is a basic problem in differential topology (see e.g. Weinstein [38]). The main purpose here, is to perform a contactization method to construct contact Lie groups, from exact symplectic Lie groups. In particular, we obtain contact Lie groups with discrete center, while the classical contactization gives only those contact Lie groups with a 1-dimensional center. The inverse process of building exact symplectic Lie groups from contact Lie groups, arises also naturally. We will work locally, i.e at the Lie algebra level, the results for Lie groups are obtained by left-translating those structures about the corresponding Lie groups. Given an exact symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \partial\alpha)$, we will find all contact Lie algebras (\mathcal{G}, η) containing \mathcal{H} as a codimension 1 subalgebra such that $i^*\eta = \alpha$ where $i : (\mathcal{H}, \alpha) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \eta)$ is the natural inclusion. We first solve the following embedding problem for Lie algebras: given a Lie algebra \mathcal{H} , find all Lie algebras \mathcal{G} containing \mathcal{H} as a codimension 1 subalgebra.

Choose a line $\mathbb{R}e_o$ complementary to \mathcal{H} so that, as a vector space, \mathcal{G} can be written as $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}e_o$.

In the following lemma, δ stands for the (Chevalley-Eilenberg) coboundary operator associated to the adjoint action of Lie algebras. In particular, if ψ is a linear transformation on \mathcal{H} , then $\delta\psi \in Hom(\wedge^2\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ is given by $\delta\psi(x, y) := -\psi([x, y]) + ad_x\psi(y) - ad_y\psi(x)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3 *A Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}e_o$ containing \mathcal{H} as a codimension 1 subalgebra consists of a couple $(\psi, f) \in End(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}^*$ such that $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, one has $f([x, y]) = 0$, i.e f is closed 1-form on \mathcal{H} , and $\delta\psi = f \wedge \psi$ that is, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$*

$$\psi([x, y]) = [\psi(x), y] + [x, \psi(y)] - f(x)\psi(y) + f(y)\psi(x) \quad (2)$$

The Lie bracket in \mathcal{G} is given, for $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, by $[x, y] = [x, y]_{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$[x, e_o] = \psi(x) + f(x)e_o. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie algebra containing \mathcal{H} as a codimension 1 subalgebra. Choose a subspace $\mathbb{R}e_o$ of \mathcal{G} complementary to \mathcal{H} . There exists $(\psi, f) \in End(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}^*$ such that the Lie bracket reads as in (3). The Jacobi identity gives the result. Conversely, it is obvious that a couple $(\psi, f) \in End(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}^*$ satisfying the conditions in lemma, defines a Lie algebra structure, with Lie bracket as in (3).

□

Now, for an exact symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \partial\alpha)$, we get all contact Lie algebras (\mathcal{G}, η) containing \mathcal{H} as a codimension 1 subalgebra such that $i^*\eta = \alpha$ where $i : (\mathcal{H}, \alpha) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \eta)$ is the natural inclusion. Set $\omega = \partial\alpha$ and consider the vector space isomorphism $q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*$, $q(x) := \omega(x, \cdot)$. There exists a unique vector x_o in \mathcal{H} such that $q(x_o) = \alpha$. The corresponding left invariant vector field x_o^+ in any symplectic Lie group (H, ω^+) with Lie algebra \mathcal{H} , is a Liouville vector field, i.e the Lie derivative $L_{x_o^+}$ along x_o^+ satisfies $L_{x_o^+}\omega^+ = \omega^+$.

Theorem 1 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \omega := \partial\alpha)$ be an exact symplectic Lie algebra and $x_o \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\omega(x_o, \cdot) = \alpha$. The Lie algebras $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}e_o$ of lemma 3 which admit a contact form $\eta_s := \alpha + se_o^*$, correspond to the couples $(\psi, f) \in End(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}^*$ satisfying, for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$\omega(x_o, \psi(x_o)) + s(1 + f(x_o)) \neq 0 \quad (4)$$

Here $e_o^* \in \mathcal{G}^*$ satisfies $\langle e_o^*, e_o \rangle = 1$ and $\langle e_o^*, \mathcal{H} \rangle = 0$.

The remark 1 gives another interpretation of Theorem 1 (see also Remarks 2 and 3).

Remark 1 1. *Theorem 1 essentially says that, if $f(x_o) \neq -1$ or if x_o and $\psi(x_o)$ are not ω -orthogonal (or equivalently $\psi(x_o)$ is not in the kernel of α), then every Lie group G whose Lie algebra is obtained from (ψ, f) as in Lemma 3, is a contact Lie group. Furthermore, G contains a connected exact symplectic codimension 1 subgroup $i : (G', \partial\alpha'^+) \rightarrow G$ such that $i^*\eta_s^+ = \alpha'^+$ and $\text{Lie}(G') = \mathcal{H}$.*

2. *If in Lemma 3, we choose \mathcal{H} to be a symplectic Lie group (which needs not be exact, here) then we exhaust the list of all $2n + 1$ -dimensional Lie algebras admitting a solution of the Classical Yang-Baxter Equation of (maximal) rank $2n$ (see e.g. [10]).*

Proof of theorem 1 Let's identify the dual space \mathcal{H}^* of \mathcal{H} with the annihilator $(\mathbb{R}e_o)^\circ$ of e_o in \mathcal{G}^* , ie the space of linear forms on \mathcal{G} which vanish on e_o . So, α is an element of $(\mathbb{R}e_o)^\circ$. Denote e_o^* the element of the annihilator \mathcal{H}° of \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{G}^* such that e_o^* has value 1 at e_o . The exact symplectic form $\omega(x, y) = \partial\alpha(x, y) := -\langle \alpha, [x, y]_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle$ on \mathcal{H} is again viewed as a linear 2-form on \mathcal{G} with radical $\mathbb{R}e_o$. Now for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let's compute the differential $\partial\eta_s$ of $\eta_s := \alpha + se_o^*$. Let x, y be in the subalgebra \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G} . First, $\partial\alpha(x, y)$ equals $\omega(x, y)$ and from (3) it follows $\partial\alpha(x, e_o) := -\langle \alpha, [x, e_o]_{\mathcal{G}} \rangle = -\langle \alpha, \psi(x) + f(x)e_o \rangle = -\langle ({}^t\psi)(\alpha), x \rangle$. The expression of $\partial\alpha$ then reads $\partial\alpha = \omega - ({}^t\psi)(\alpha) \wedge e_o^*$. On the other hand, bearing in mind the fact that e_o^* vanishes on \mathcal{H} , one has $\partial e_o^*(x, y) = -\langle e_o^*, [x, y]_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle = 0$ and $\partial e_o^*(x, e_o) = -\langle e_o^*, \psi(x) + f(x)e_o \rangle = -f(x)$, that is $\partial e_o^* = -f \wedge e_o^*$. Finally $\partial\eta_s$ equals $\omega - (({}^t\psi)(\alpha) + sf) \wedge e_o^*$ and caps up as $(\partial\eta_s)^n = \omega^n - n\omega^{n-1} \wedge (({}^t\psi)(\alpha) + sf) \wedge e_o^*$.

The linear $(2n + 1)$ -form on \mathcal{G} we are looking for is

$$(\partial\eta_s)^n \wedge \eta_s = \{s\omega^n - n\omega^{n-1} \wedge \alpha \wedge (({}^t\psi)(\alpha) + sf)\} \wedge e_o^*.$$

We now need to find necessary and sufficient conditions for this latter to be nonzero i.e to be a volume form. To do so in a simple way, let's express it in terms of a well-chosen decomposition of \mathcal{G}^* . Let $x_o \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $q(x_o) = \alpha$ where $q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*$ is the isomorphism $x \mapsto q(x) := i_x\omega$. Consider an x'_o in \mathcal{H} satisfying $\omega(x'_o, x_o) = 1$ and set $\beta = q(x'_o)$. Then we get $\mathcal{H} = (\mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \mathbb{R}x'_o) \oplus (\mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \mathbb{R}x'_o)^\omega$ where $(\mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \mathbb{R}x'_o)^\omega$ is the orthogonal of the 2-space $\mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \mathbb{R}x'_o$, with respect to the symplectic form ω on \mathcal{H} . We can then write $\omega = \beta \wedge \alpha + \omega'$, here ω' is the restriction of ω to $(\mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \mathbb{R}x'_o)^\omega$. It then follows $\omega^p = (\omega')^p + p(\omega')^{p-1} \wedge \beta \wedge \alpha$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. This implies that $\omega^n = n(\omega')^{n-1} \wedge \beta \wedge \alpha$ and $(\partial\eta_s)^n \wedge \eta_s = -n(\omega')^{n-1} \wedge \alpha \wedge (s\beta + ({}^t\psi)(\alpha) + sf) \wedge e_o^*$. Obviously $(\omega')^{n-1}$ and e_o^* are volume forms on the vector spaces $(\mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \mathbb{R}x'_o)^\omega$ and $\mathbb{R}e_o$ respectively. It's now clear that $(\partial\eta_s)^n \wedge \eta$ does not vanish if and only if $\alpha \wedge (s\beta + ({}^t\psi)(\alpha) + sf)$ restricts to a volume form on $\mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \mathbb{R}x'_o$, that is, if and only if $s\beta + ({}^t\psi)(\alpha) + sf$ has a nonzero component along $\mathbb{R}\beta$ relative to the decomposition $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathbb{R}\alpha \oplus \mathbb{R}\beta \oplus q((\mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \mathbb{R}x'_o)^\omega)$. This is equivalent to $\langle s\beta + ({}^t\psi)(\alpha) + sf, x_o \rangle = \omega(x_o, \psi(x_o)) + s(1 + f(x_o)) \neq 0$. \square

Example 1 *The special affine group $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes SL(2)$ is a contact Lie group. Its Lie algebra \mathcal{G} has a basis (e_1, e_2, X, Y, H) and Lie bracket $[X, e_2] = e_1$, $[Y, e_1] = e_2$, $[H, e_1] = e_1$, $[H, e_2] = -e_2$, $[X, Y] = H$, $[H, X] = 2X$, $[H, Y] = -2Y$. Set $e_o := Y$, $e_3 := X$, $e_4 := H$. Now \mathcal{G} is obtained from the exact symplectic subalgebra $(\text{span}(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4), \omega = \partial e_1^*)$ using theorem 1, where $x_o = -e_4$, $f = -2e_4^*$, $\psi(e_1) = -e_2$, $\psi(e_2) = 0$, $\psi(e_3) = e_4$, $\psi(e_4) = 0$ and contact form $\eta_s = e_1^* + se_o^*$, $s \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$.*

Here is an immediate simple consequence of theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *If a Lie group G contains an exact symplectic Lie group $(H, \partial\alpha^+)$, as a codimension 1 distinguished Lie subgroup, then G possesses a family of left invariant contact forms η_s^+ satisfying $i^*\eta_s^+ = \alpha^+$, where $i : H \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion. Conversely, let $(H, \partial\alpha^+)$ be an exact symplectic Lie group. There exists a connected exact symplectic Lie group $(H', \partial\alpha'^+)$ locally*

(symplecto-)isomorphic to $(H, \partial\alpha^+)$ and a Lie group G of discrete centre, containing H' as a codimension 1 distinguished Lie subgroup, G admits a family of left invariant contact forms η_s^+ with $i^*\eta_s^+ = \alpha'^+$.

In particular, if one can embed an exact symplectic Lie group as a distinguished codimension 1 subgroup of a Lie group G , then G is a contact Lie group.

Remark 2 *Theorem 2 allows, in particular, to construct contact Lie groups as follows. Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ or S^1 act on an exact symplectic Lie group $(G_1, \partial\alpha^+)$ by automorphisms $\rho(t)$, $t \in K$ of G_1 which preserve α^+ . The semi-direct product $G := G_1 \rtimes_{\rho} K$ is a contact Lie group, with $\eta_s^+ := \alpha^+ + sdt$, the parameter s is in some open $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Recall that such an action ρ is Hamiltonian with a (Marsden-Weinstein) moment $J : G_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Example 2 *Let $(G_3, \partial\alpha^+)$ be the exact symplectic Lie group $G_3 := \mathbb{R}^4$ with product $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4) = (x_1 + e^{x_4}x'_1, x_2 + x'_2, x_3 + e^{x_4}x'_3 + x_1x'_2, x_4 + x'_4)$, and $\alpha^+ = -e^{-x_4}(x_1dx_2 - dx_3)$. Let \mathbb{R} act on G_3 by $\rho_t(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := (e^t x_1, e^{-t} x_2, x_3, x_4)$. Each ρ_t is an automorphism of the Lie group G_3 which preserves α^+ . The map $J : G_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto -e^{x_4}x_1x_2$ is a moment of this action. The resulting semi-direct product $G := G_3 \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{R}$ is a contact Lie group, with $\eta_s^+ := \alpha^+ + sdt = -e^{-x_4}(x_1dx_2 - dx_3) + sdt$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Actually, the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of G is obtained, using theorem 1, from the exact symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \omega) : [e_1, e_2] = e_3$, $[e_4, e_1] = e_1$, $[e_4, e_3] = e_3$, with the following setting $\omega := \partial e_3^*$, $x_o = -e_4$, $f = 0$ and $\psi(e_1) = e_1$, $\psi(e_2) = -e_2$, $\psi(e_3) = \psi(e_4) = 0$.*

Recall that, the opposite Lie algebra \mathcal{G}^{op} of $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.])$ is defined by the Lie bracket $[.,.]_{op}$ opposite to $[.,.]$, on the vector space underlying \mathcal{G} . That is $[x, y]_{op} := -[x, y]$. Remark that (\mathcal{G}, η) is contact if and only if (\mathcal{G}^{op}, η) is a contact Lie algebra.

Corollary 1 *Let V be a vector space of dimension $n \geq 2$ and W a subspace of dimension $p \geq 1$. If p divides n , then the space \mathcal{G} of all endomorphisms of V , preserving W and whose restrictions to W are homotheties, is a contact Lie algebra and \mathcal{G}^{op} contains a codimension 1 Lie ideal isomorphic to the exact symplectic Lie algebra $M_{n,p} \rtimes \mathcal{G}l(n, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof of the corollary 1. Suppose p divides n . To show that \mathcal{G}^{op} contains $M_{n,p} \rtimes \mathcal{G}l(n, \mathbb{R})$, let's first identify $M_{n,p} \rtimes \mathcal{G}l(n, \mathbb{R})$ with the Lie algebra \mathcal{H} of $(n+p) \times (n+p)$ matrices all of whose entries, on the last p rows, are zero. Now, by the transpose $M \rightarrow M^t$ of matrices, the opposite \mathcal{G}^{op} of \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to the Lie algebra \mathcal{G}' of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A_{nn} & A_{np} \\ 0 & \lambda I_p \end{pmatrix}$, where A_{nn} (resp. A_{np}) is an $n \times n$ (resp. $n \times p$) matrix and I_p the identity map of W . So $\mathcal{G}^{op} \equiv \mathcal{G}'$ contains $M_{n,p} \rtimes \mathcal{G}l(n, \mathbb{R})$ as a codimension 1 ideal, as $M_{n,p} \rtimes \mathcal{G}l(n, \mathbb{R})$ contains its derived ideal $[\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{G}']$. From Theorem 2 above, \mathcal{G}^{op} is a contact Lie algebra, so is \mathcal{G} . \square

When $p = 1$, considering again the opposite Lie algebra \mathcal{G}^{op} , it follows.

Corollary 2 *1) The subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $n \geq 2$, that globally preserves a hyperplan of \mathbb{R}^n is a contact Lie group which contains the group $Aff(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ of affine diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , as a distinguished subgroup of codimension 1, where $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ stands for the group of linear diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n .*

2) Let v be a non-zero vector in \mathbb{R}^n . The Lie subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of all linear diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n with common eigenvector v , is a contact Lie group.

Theorem 1 allows, starting from exact symplectic Lie algebras, to get all contact Lie algebras (and hence Lie groups) containing a codimension 1 subalgebra which has an exact symplectic form. Now naturally considering the inverse process of building exact symplectic Lie groups from contact Lie groups (G, η^+) , we get the following.

Proposition 1 *Let (\mathcal{G}, η) be a contact Lie algebra with Reeb vector ξ . Then with the same notations as in Theorem 1, for every $(\psi, f) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{G}) \times \mathcal{G}^*$ satisfying (2) and for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\eta(\psi(\xi)) + sf(\xi) \neq 0$, the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathbb{R}\bar{e}_0$ obtained from Lemma 3 using (ψ, f) , has an exact symplectic form $\bar{\omega}_s = \partial\alpha_s$ where $\alpha_s := \eta + s\bar{e}_0^*$.*

Remark 3 *Proposition 1 allows to get all exact symplectic Lie algebras containing \mathcal{G} as codimension 1 subalgebra transverse to their Liouville vector \bar{x}_0 . Such a construction is not always possible, for example starting with \mathcal{G} if $H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ and all derivations are inner. However, it also allows one to construct contact Lie algebras without using, a priori, results on exact symplectic Lie algebras. One applies Proposition 1 to a contact Lie algebra \mathcal{G} by adding a line $\mathbb{R}\bar{e}_0$ to get $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ and then applies Theorem 1 to $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ to get contact Lie algebras containing \mathcal{G} as a codimension 2 contact Lie subalgebra.*

As a corollary we have

Proposition 2 *The special affine group $\mathbb{R}^n \times SL(n)$ of affine motions whose linear part is of determinant 1, is a contact Lie group.*

As a proof of Proposition 2, we can also write the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{R}^n \times SL(n)$ as a subalgebra transverse to a Liouville vector x_o of $\text{aff}(n)$ consisting of the diagonal matrix $x_o = \text{diag}(-1, -2, \dots, -n)$, see [9], chap. 5.

Proof of Proposition 1. The proof uses the same idea as Theorem 1. Let (\mathcal{G}, η) be a contact Lie algebra of dimension $2n+1$. As in Lemma 3, suppose $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathbb{R}\bar{e}_o$ is a Lie algebra containing \mathcal{G} as a codimension 1 subalgebra. Let \bar{e}_o^* be in the dual $\bar{\mathcal{G}}^*$ of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\langle \bar{e}_o^*, \mathcal{G} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \bar{e}_o^*, \bar{e}_o \rangle = 1$ and denote by $(\text{ker}\eta)^*$ the annihilator of $\mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathbb{R}\bar{e}_o$ in $\bar{\mathcal{G}}^*$. Then $\bar{\mathcal{G}}^*$ splits as $\bar{\mathcal{G}}^* = (\text{ker}\eta)^* \oplus \mathbb{R}\eta \oplus \mathbb{R}\bar{e}_o^*$. Let $\alpha_s := \eta + s\bar{e}_o^*$ and denote ω_η the restriction of $\partial\eta$ to \mathcal{G} . We have $\partial\alpha_s = \omega_\eta - (\psi^t(\eta) + sf) \wedge \bar{e}_o^*$ and $(\partial\alpha_s)^{n+1} = -(n+1)(\omega_\eta)^n \wedge (\psi^t(\eta) + sf) \wedge \bar{e}_o^*$ is a volume form if and only if $\psi^t(\eta) + sf$ has a nonzero component along $\mathbb{R}\eta$ or equivalently $\langle \psi^t(\eta) + sf, \xi \rangle \neq 0$. \square

Let G_1 be a Lie group, \mathcal{G}_1 its Lie algebra, $H^1(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathbb{R})$ the space of left invariant closed forms in G_1 . In the light of Theorem 1 we can easily deduce (taking $\psi = 0$ in Theorem 1, more precisely).

Remark 4 *Let $(G_1, \partial\alpha^+)$ be a connected and simply connected exact symplectic Lie group with Lie algebra \mathcal{G}_1 and x_o the Liouville vector as above. There is a 1 – 1 correspondence between the open subset of $H^1(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of those f satisfying $f(x_o) \neq -1$ and the principal fibre bundles $p : G_2 \rightarrow G_1 = G_2/H$ such that (a) the structural group H is 1-dimensional, (b) the total space is a simply connected contact Lie group (G_2, η^+) , the projection p is a Lie group homomorphism, (c) and which admit a Lie group homomorphism S as a section such that $S^*\eta^+ = \alpha^+$.*

From the existence of a left invariant locally flat affine structure induced by $\partial\alpha^+$ in G_1 it follows that $H^1(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$ (see [24]).

3 Invariant Contact and (semi-)Riemannian Geometry

3.1 Contact Lie groups with a bi-invariant (semi-) Riemannian metric

Our aim in this subsection is to extend a result on semi-simple contact Lie groups due to Boothby and Wang (Theorem 5 of [5]) to all Lie groups with a bi-invariant Riemannian or semi-Riemannian metric. A semi-Riemannian metric is a smooth field of bilinear symmetric non-degenerate forms.

In Theorem 5 of [5], Boothby and Wang showed that the only contact Lie groups that are semi-simple are those locally isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ or to $SU(2)$. Actually, semi-simple Lie groups, with their Killing form, are a small part of the much wider family of Lie groups with a Riemannian or semi-Riemannian metric which is bi-invariant, i.e invariant under both left and right translations. For a connected Lie group, the above property is equivalent to the existence of a symmetric bilinear non-degenerate scalar form b in its Lie algebra \mathcal{G} , such that the adjoint representation lies in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}, b)$ of infinitesimal isometries. Such Lie groups and their Lie algebras are called orthogonal (see e.g. [31]).

This is, for instance, the case of reductive Lie groups and Lie algebras (e.g. the Lie algebra of all linear transformations of a finite dimensional vector space), the so-called oscillator groups with their bi-invariant Lorentzian metrics (see [32]), the cotangent bundle of any Lie group (with its natural Lie group structure) and in general any element of the large and interesting family of the so-called Drinfeld doubles or Manin algebras which appear as one of the key tools for the study of the so-called Poisson-Lie groups and corresponding quantum analogs, Hamiltonian systems (see V.G. Drinfeld ³), etc. It is then natural to interest ourselves in the existence of left invariant contact structures on such Lie groups. Here is our main result.

Theorem 3 *Let G be a Lie group. Suppose (i) G admits a bi-invariant Riemannian or semi-Riemannian metric and (ii) G admits a left invariant contact structure. Then G is locally isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ or to $SU(2)$.*

Unlike the contact Lie groups, there is a great deal of symplectic Lie groups G which also have bi-invariant Riemannian or semi-Riemannian metrics. The underlying symplectic form is related to the bi-invariant metric by a nonsingular derivation of the Lie algebra $Lie(G)$, hence G must be nilpotent.

As a direct corollary of the above theorem, we have

Theorem 4 *Suppose a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} splits as a direct sum $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2$ of two ideals \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 , where \mathcal{G}_1 is an orthogonal Lie algebra. Then \mathcal{G} carries a contact form if and only if \mathcal{G}_1 is $so(3)$ or $sl(2)$ and \mathcal{G}_2 is an exact symplectic Lie algebra.*

The theorem 4 implies in particular that if a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is a direct sum of its Levi (semi-simple) subalgebra \mathcal{G}_1 and its radical (maximal solvable ideal) \mathcal{G}_2 , then \mathcal{G} carries a contact form if and only if its Levi component is 3-dimensional and its radical is an exact symplectic Lie algebra. This is a simple way to construct many non-solvable contact Lie algebras in any dimension $2n + 1$, where $n \geq 1$.

As we need a local isomorphism for the proof of theorem 3, we can work with Lie algebras.

Our following lemma is central in the proof of theorem 3.

Lemma 4 *Let (\mathcal{G}, b) be an orthogonal Lie algebra. If \mathcal{G} has a contact form η , then \mathcal{G} is equal to its derived ideal $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ and has a zero centre. Furthermore, there exists an element $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{G}$ such*

³ V.G. Drinfeld, Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 268 (1983), no. 2, 285-287.

that the following vector space decomposition holds

$$\mathcal{G} = \ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) \oplus \text{Im}(ad_{\bar{x}})$$

and $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$ is of dimension 1, i.e one has $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) = \mathbb{R}\bar{x}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie algebra, and b a (possibly non-definite) scalar product on it. For $x \in \mathcal{G}$, denote by $\theta(x)$ the element of \mathcal{G}^* defined by $\langle \theta(x), y \rangle := b(x, y)$ for all y in \mathcal{G} , where \langle, \rangle is the duality pairing between \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}^* . Then (\mathcal{G}, b) is an orthogonal Lie algebra if and only if its adjoint and co-adjoint representations are isomorphic via the linear map $\theta : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*$ (see e.g. [31]). Suppose η is a contact form on \mathcal{G} . There exists \bar{x} in \mathcal{G} such that $\theta(\bar{x}) = \eta$. The differential of η is $\partial\eta(x, y) = -\langle \eta, [x, y] \rangle = -b(\bar{x}, [x, y]) = -b([\bar{x}, x], y)$.

This implies in particular that the radical (nullspace) of $\partial\eta$ is $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$. But η being a contact form, $\text{rad}(\partial\eta)$ is 1-dimensional or equivalently $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) = \mathbb{R}\bar{x}$, and the vector space underlying \mathcal{G} splits as $\mathcal{G} := \text{Rad}(\partial\eta) \oplus \ker(\eta)$.

It then follows that $\dim(\text{Im}(ad_{\bar{x}})) = \dim\mathcal{G} - 1$. As $ad_{\bar{x}}$ is an infinitesimal isometry of b , one easily sees that $\text{Im}(ad_{\bar{x}})$ is a subspace of the b -orthogonal $(\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^\perp$ of $\mathbb{R}\bar{x}$ and finally $\text{Im}(ad_{\bar{x}}) = (\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^\perp = \ker(\eta)$. We have proved the second claim of the above lemma, namely $\mathcal{G} = \ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) \oplus \text{Im}(ad_{\bar{x}})$ and $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}}) = \mathbb{R}\bar{x}$. On the other hand, as $\ker(\eta)$ is not a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{G} (see lemma 1), there exist $x, y \in \ker(\eta)$, such that $[x, y]$ is not in $\ker(\eta)$, and has the form $[x, y] = t\bar{x} + [\bar{x}, x']$ where $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and $x' \in \mathcal{G}$. But then $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{t}([x, y] - [\bar{x}, x'])$ is in the derived ideal $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ of \mathcal{G} and consequently we have proved that \mathcal{G} is equal to its derived ideal $\mathcal{G} = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. \square

Proof of theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{R}$ be the Levi decomposition of \mathcal{G} , where \mathcal{S} is the Levi (semi-simple) subalgebra and \mathcal{R} is the maximal solvable ideal of \mathcal{G} . From lemma 4 the dimension of \mathcal{S} is greater than or equal to 3, as \mathcal{S} is non-trivial.

We are now going to show that \mathcal{G} is semi-simple.

Lemma 5 [31] *A subspace \mathcal{J} of an orthogonal Lie algebra (\mathcal{G}, b) , is an ideal of \mathcal{G} if and only if the centraliser $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{J}) := \{x \in \mathcal{G}, \text{ such that } [x, y] = 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{J}\}$ of \mathcal{J} in \mathcal{G} , contains the b -orthogonal \mathcal{J}^\perp of \mathcal{J} .*

Lemma 5 ensures that $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{R})$ contains \mathcal{R}^\perp and hence $\dim(Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{R})) \geq \dim(\mathcal{R}^\perp) = \dim(\mathcal{G}) - \dim(\mathcal{R}) = \dim(\mathcal{S}) \geq 3$. If the element \bar{x} of lemma 4 was in \mathcal{R} , then $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{R}\bar{x}) = \ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$ would contain $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{R})$ and its dimension would then be greater than or equal to 3. This would contradict lemma 4. Suppose the restriction $u := ad_{\bar{x}}|_{\mathcal{R}}$ of $ad_{\bar{x}}$ to \mathcal{R} is not injective. There exists a non-zero element y_o in the intersection of \mathcal{R} and the kernel of $ad_{\bar{x}}$. As \bar{x} is not in \mathcal{R} , there exists at least two linearly independant elements \bar{x}, y_o in $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$, which again contradicts the fact that $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$ is 1-dimensional as shown in lemma 4. So u is injective and the image $\text{Im}(ad_{\bar{x}}) = (\mathbb{R}\bar{x})^\perp$ of $ad_{\bar{x}}$ then contains $\mathcal{R} = u(\mathcal{R})$. Now the inclusions $\mathbb{R}\bar{x} \subset \mathcal{R}^\perp \subset Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{R})$ imply that \bar{x} commutes with every element of \mathcal{R} and hence the latter is a subset of $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$. We conclude that \mathcal{R} is zero, as it is contained in both $\text{Im}(ad_{\bar{x}})$ and $\ker(ad_{\bar{x}})$. So \mathcal{G} is semi-simple. But theorem 5 of [5] asserts that the only semi-simple Lie algebras with a contact structure are $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $so(3)$. \square

3.2 Flat Riemannian metrics in Contact Lie Groups

In his main result of [3] (see also [4]), Blair proved that a contact manifold of dimension ≥ 5 does not admit a contact metric structure of vanishing curvature (ie a metric satisfying in addition, the contact metric conditions (1) and whose curvature vanishes). Below, we'll prove that in the case of contact Lie groups of dimension ≥ 5 , there is no flat left invariant metric at all, even if such a metric has nothing to do with the given contact structure.

Theorem 5 *Let G be a Lie group of dimension ≥ 5 . Suppose G admits a left invariant contact structure. Then, there is no flat left invariant Riemannian metric on G .*

The following complete classification of contact Lie groups which carry a flat left invariant metric is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.

Corollary 3 *A contact Lie group admits some flat left invariant metric if and only if it is locally isomorphic to the group $E(2) := \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes O(2)$ of rigid motions of the Euclidian 2-space.*

Unlike contact Lie groups which cannot display flat left invariant metrics in dimension > 3 (Theorem 5), we have again a different scenario for symplectic Lie groups. At each dimension there are several non-isomorphic symplectic Lie groups with some flat left invariant metric (see Theorem 2 of Lichnerowicz [27], Theorem 2.2 of [7]).

Proof of theorem 5. Let G be a connected Lie group of dimension m , with a left invariant metric \langle, \rangle . Then \langle, \rangle is flat if and only if its Levi-Civita connection ∇ defines a homomorphism $\rho : x \mapsto \rho(x) := \nabla_x$ from the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of G to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}(m)$ consisting of all skew-adjoint linear maps from \mathcal{G} to itself. This allows Milnor (Theorem 1.5 of [33]) to establish that (G, \langle, \rangle) is flat if and only if \mathcal{G} splits as a \langle, \rangle -orthogonal sum $\mathcal{G} = A_1 \oplus A_2$ of a commutative ideal $A_1 := \ker(\rho)$ and a commutative subalgebra A_2 acting on A_1 by skew-adjoint transformations obtained by restricting each $\rho(a)$ to A_1 , for all $a \in A_2$. Let ρ stand again for such an action of A_2 on A_1 and ρ^* the corresponding contragredient action of A_2 on the dual space A_1^* of A_1 by $\rho^*(a)(\alpha) := -\alpha \circ \rho(a)$, for $a \in A_1$ and $\alpha \in A_1^*$. Denote $p_i = \dim(A_i)$ the dimension of A_i .

From the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = A_1 \oplus A_2$, the dual space \mathcal{G}^* of \mathcal{G} can be viewed as $\mathcal{G}^* = A_2^o \oplus A_1^o$, where A_i^o consists of all linear forms on \mathcal{G} , whose restriction to A_i is identically zero. All elements of A_1^o are closed forms on \mathcal{G} . Suppose $\eta = \alpha + \alpha'$ is a contact form on \mathcal{G} , where α is in $A_2^o \equiv A_1^*$ and α' in $A_1^o \equiv A_2^*$. Then $\partial\eta = \partial\alpha$ is given for all x, y in A_1 and all a, b in A_2 by $\partial\eta(x, y) = \partial\eta(a, b) = 0$, and $\partial\eta(x, a) = \alpha(\rho(a)x) = -(\rho^*(a)(\alpha))(x)$. Let $m = 2n + 1$. If p is the dimension of the orbit of α under the action ρ^* , we can choose linear 1-forms $\alpha_i \in A_2^o$ and $\beta_i \in A_1^o$ so that $\partial\eta$ simply comes to $\partial\eta = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \wedge \beta_i$. Due to the property $(\alpha_i \wedge \beta_i)^2 = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, p$, the $2(p+j)$ -form $(\partial\eta)^{p+j}$ is identically zero, if $j \geq 1$. But obviously we have $p \leq \min(p_1, p_2)$. Thus as $p_1 + p_2 = 2n + 1$, the non-vanishing condition on $(\partial\eta)^n$ imposes that $n = p$ and either $p_1 = p_2 + 1 = n + 1$ or $p_1 = p_2 - 1 = n$. Hence the dimension of the abelian subalgebra $\rho(A_2)$ of $\mathcal{O}(p_1)$ satisfies $\dim(\rho(A_2)) \geq p \geq p_1 - 1$. But the maximal abelian subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(p_1)$ are conjugate to the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of the compact Lie group $SO(p_1)$ (real special orthogonal group of degree p_1). It is well known that the dimension of maximal tori in $SO(p_1)$ equals $\frac{p_1}{2}$ if p_1 is even, and $\frac{p_1-1}{2}$ if p_1 is odd. This is incompatible with the inequality $\dim(\rho(A_2)) \geq p_1 - 1$, unless $p_1 = 2$ and $p_2 = 1$, hence $\dim(G) = 3$. \square

3.3 Contact Lie Groups with a Riemannian metric of negative curvature

This subsection is devoted to the study of contact Lie groups (resp. algebras) having a left invariant Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature. Nevertheless, the main result outlined here characterises the more general case of solvable contact Lie algebras whose derived ideal has codimension 1. For the negative sectional curvature case, see Remark 5.

Proposition 3 (1) *If the derived ideal $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ of a solvable contact Lie algebra \mathcal{G} has codimension 1, then the following holds. (a) The center $Z(\mathcal{N})$ of its derived ideal $\mathcal{N} := [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ has dimension $\dim Z(\mathcal{N}) \leq 2$. If moreover $\dim Z(\mathcal{N}) = 2$, then there exists $v \in \mathcal{G}$, such that $Z(\mathcal{N})$ is not an eigenspace of ad_v . (b) If $\dim(\mathcal{G}) = 2n+1$, there exists a linear form α in \mathcal{N} with $(\partial\alpha)^{n-1} \wedge \alpha \neq 0$. (2) If a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} has a codimension 1 abelian subalgebra, then \mathcal{G} has neither a contact form nor a exact symplectic form if $\dim(\mathcal{G}) \geq 4$.*

Proof. (1) Let $\dim(\mathcal{G}) = 2n+1$. Write \mathcal{G} as the direct sum of vector spaces $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}e \oplus \mathcal{N}$, where \mathcal{N} is the derived ideal $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] = \mathcal{N}$. Let e^* be the unique linear form on \mathcal{G} satisfying $e^*(e) = 1$ and $e^*(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{N}$. Any $\eta \in \mathcal{G}^*$ can be written as $\eta = \alpha + te^*$, where $t = \eta(e)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}^*$ with $\alpha(e) = 0$. Denote by ω the restriction of $\partial\eta$ to \mathcal{N} . Let D stand for the restriction of ad_e to \mathcal{N} . The formula $(\partial\eta)^n \wedge \eta = 2\omega^{n-1} \wedge \alpha \wedge D^t(\alpha) \wedge e^*$ implies in particular that if η is a contact form, then ω must have rank $2(n-1)$ and satisfies $\omega^{n-1} \wedge \alpha \neq 0$. Hence its radical (nullspace) $Rad(\omega)$ must have dimension $2n - 2(n-1) = 2$. The center $Z(\mathcal{N})$ of \mathcal{N} then has dimension ≤ 2 , as it is contained in $Rad(\omega)$. In the other hand, if $\dim(Z(\mathcal{N})) = 2$ and there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $ad_e(x) = \lambda x$, for all $x \in Z(\mathcal{N})$, then the restriction to $Z(\mathcal{N})$ of $D^t(\alpha)$ would be $D^t(\alpha) = \lambda\alpha$ and $(\partial\eta)^n \wedge \eta$ would vanish identically, $\forall \eta \in \mathcal{G}^*$.

(2) Suppose a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} contains a codimension 1 abelian subalgebra V and let $\mathbb{R}e_o$ be a complementary of V in \mathcal{G} . There are $\psi \in End(\mathcal{G}), f \in \mathcal{G}^*$, such that the Lie bracket of \mathcal{G} reads: $[x, y] = 0$ and $[x, e_o] = \psi(x) + f(x)e_o, \forall x, y \in V$. So, with the notations as above, every form $\eta = \alpha + se_o^*$ on \mathcal{G} satisfies, $\partial\eta = -({}^t\psi(\alpha) + sf) \wedge e_o^*$ and $(\partial\eta)^p = 0, \forall p \geq 2$.

□

A typical example of \mathcal{N} for Proposition 3 (1) is obtained as follows. From a nilpotent symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathcal{N}_o, \omega_o)$, perform the central extention $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_o \times_{\omega_o} \mathbb{R}\xi$ using ω_o , to get a nilpotent contact Lie algebra with center $Z(\mathcal{N}_1) = \mathbb{R}\xi$. Let a 1-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}e_1$ act on \mathcal{N}_1 by a nilpotent derivation D_1 with $D_1(\xi) = 0$. We set $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \rtimes \mathbb{R}e_1$ so that if $x \in \mathcal{N}_1$ then $[e_1, x] = D_1(x)$ and \mathcal{N}_1 is a subalgebra of \mathcal{N} . Now $Z(\mathcal{N}) = \mathbb{R}\xi$ if $D_1 \neq 0$ and $Z(\mathcal{N}) = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathbb{R}e_1$ if $D_1 = 0$. If $\dim(\mathcal{N}) = 4$, there are only two such nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{1,1}$ with a basis (e_i) and Lie bracket $[e_2, e_4] = e_1, [e_3, e_4] = e_2$, then $Z(\mathcal{N}_{1,1}) = \mathbb{R}e_1$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2,2}$ with Lie bracket $[e_2, e_3] = e_1$ and $Z(\mathcal{N}_{2,2}) = \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_4$. For example, in the list of solvable 5-dimensional contact Lie algebras outlined in Subsection 4.2, the Lie algebra number 4 is obtained from $\mathcal{N}_{2,2}$ and has a metric with negative sectional curvature when $p > -1, q > 0$ and $q \neq p+1$. Likewise, the Lie algebra number 15 is obtained from $\mathcal{N}_{1,1}$ and has a metric with negative sectional curvature when $p > 0$.

As a direct consequence of Proposition 3, we have the following.

Corollary 4 *If $\dim(G) \geq 5$, then G is not a contact Lie group, in the following cases.*

1. *G is a negatively curved locally symmetric Lie group, i.e has a left invariant Riemannian metric with negative sectional curvature, such that the Levi-Civita connection ∇ and the curvature tensor R satisfy $\nabla R = 0$.*
2. *G is a negatively curved 2-step solvable Lie group.*

Proof. (1). From Proposition 3 of [25], there exists a vector e in the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of G such that \mathcal{G} splits as a direct sum $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}e \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2$, where $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2$ is a 2-step nilpotent ideal, with derived ideals $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] = \mathcal{N}$ and $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}] = \mathcal{A}_2$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset Z(\mathcal{N})$. But from [25], $\dim(\mathcal{A}_2) = 0, 1, 3$, or 7 . If $\dim(\mathcal{A}_2) = 1$, then \mathcal{G} has even dimension, the case $\dim(\mathcal{A}_2) = 0$ corresponds to \mathcal{N} being a codimension 1 abelian ideal, which is ruled out, along with the cases $\dim(\mathcal{A}_2) \geq 3$, by Proposition 3. So \mathcal{G} has no contact form.

The part (2) also follows from proposition 3 and Heintze main result [25], as the derived ideal of the Lie algebra of G must have codimension 1 and is abelian. □

Remark 5 *Proposition 3 also characterises contact Lie groups with a left invariant Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature, as their Lie algebras are solvable with a codimension 1 derived ideal ([25]).*

Proposition 4 *If a Lie group G has the property that for every left invariant Riemannian metric, the sectional curvature has a constant sign, then G does not carry any left invariant contact (or exact symplectic) structure. Moreover, such a Lie group is unique, up to a local isomorphism, in any dimension.*

As a byproduct, the uniqueness result must have another interest (independent from Contact Geometry) in the framework of Riemannian Geometry (compare with [33], [34]).

Proof of Proposition 4. From theorem 2.5 of Milnor [33] (see also [34]), the Lie bracket $[x, y]$ is always equal to a linear combination of x and y , for all x, y in the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of such a Lie group. There exists a well defined real-valued linear map l on \mathcal{G} such that $[x, y] = l(y)x - l(x)y$.

Now identifying the kernel of l with \mathbb{R}^n and choosing a vector e_1 satisfying $l(e_1) = 1$, allows us to see that all such Lie algebras are actually isomorphic to the sum $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}e_1$ of a codimension 1 abelian ideal \mathbb{R}^n and a complementary $\mathbb{R}e_1$, where the restriction of ad_{e_1} to \mathbb{R}^n is opposite the identity mapping $-id_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $n + 1 = \dim(\mathcal{G})$. So any linear form α on \mathcal{G} , has differential $\partial\alpha = -\alpha \wedge l$. Hence we have $\partial\alpha \wedge \alpha = 0$ and $(\partial\alpha)^p = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{G}^*, \forall p \geq 2$. \square

3.4 Left invariant Einstein metrics on contact Lie groups

As well known, a connected Lie group G must be compact with finite fundamental group, if some of its left invariant metrics has all Ricci curvatures positive (see e.g theorem 2.2. of [33]). Thus, theorem 3 ensures that the only Einstein contact Lie groups with a positive Ricci curvature are those locally isomorphic to $SU(2)$. In the other hand, a contact metric structure in a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold, is K-contact if only if the Ricci curvature on the direction of the Reeb vector field ξ is equal to $2n$ (see Blair [4]). A direct consequence of this,

Proposition 5 *There is no left invariant K-contact structure on Lie groups of dimension > 3 whose underlying Riemannian metric has a Ricci curvature of constant sign. In particular, there is no K-contact-Einstein, and a fortiori no Sasaki-Einstein, left invariant structures on Lie groups of dimension ≥ 5 .*

Remark 6 *Nevertheless, there are contact Lie groups with a left invariant Riemannian metric of nonnegative Ricci curvature, this is the case for any 7-dimensional Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}^4 \rtimes so(3)$ in the Subsection 4.3.*

Recall that an Einstein metric on a Lie algebra is standard if the orthogonal complement of the derived ideal is an abelian subalgebra (see e.g. [23]).

Theorem 6 *Suppose $(\mathcal{H}, \partial\alpha)$ is an exact symplectic Lie algebra that carries a standard Einstein metric. Let \mathcal{A} be the orthogonal complement of the derived ideal $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}]$, with respect to the Einstein metric. Then for any symmetric derivation $D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{H}) - \{0\}$ commuting with ad_a , for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the semidirect product Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{H} \rtimes \mathbb{R}D$ is a contact Lie algebra endowed with an Einstein metric.*

Proof. From theorem 2 if \mathcal{G} is any 1-dimensional extension of an exact symplectic Lie algebra whose underlying Lie algebra structure is a semi direct product of $(\mathcal{H}, \partial\alpha)$ and a derivation $D \in$

$der(\mathcal{H})$, then \mathcal{G} carries a 1-parameter family of contact structures $(\eta_t)_{t \in T}$ satisfying $i^* \eta_t = \alpha$, where $i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the natural inclusion and T is an open nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . In the other hand, from a result of Heber in [23], any semidirect product of a standard Einstein Lie algebra \mathcal{H} by a symmetric non-trivial derivation commuting with ad_a , for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, is again a standard Einstein Lie algebra. \square

Several such examples can be constructed from Theorem 6 using in particular \mathfrak{j} -algebras (B, α, j) from [12], [22], [36].

4 On the classification of contact Lie groups of low dimension.

4.1 Contact Lie algebras of dimension 3

Let \mathbb{R} act on the abelian Lie algebra \mathbb{R}^2 via a linear map $D \in End(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}D$ be the resulting semi-direct product. Denote D_o an endomorphism of \mathbb{R}^2 with no real eigenvalue. One can easily check the

Proposition 6 *Every 3-dimensional nonabelian Lie algebra has a contact form, except $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}id_{\mathbb{R}^2}$. Furthermore, apart from $so(3, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}D_o$, every 3-dimensional contact Lie algebra can be built up by theorem 1 from the Lie algebra $aff(\mathbb{R})$ of affine transformations of \mathbb{R} .*

That the Lie algebra $so(3, \mathbb{R})$ cannot be constructed by theorem 1 follows from the fact that it contains no subalgebra of codimension 1. As far as $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}D_o$ is concerned, it contains no nonabelian codimensional 1 subalgebra, so it doesn't contain $aff(\mathbb{R})$. Recall that the simplest exact symplectic Lie algebra is $aff(\mathbb{R})$. It has a basis (e_1, e_2) with Lie bracket $[e_1, e_2] = e_2$. If $\omega := \partial e_2^* = -e_1^* \wedge e_2^*$, then $x_o = -e_1$. It is straightforward to check Proposition 6. For example $sl(2)$ is obtained using $f = -e_1^*$, $\psi(e_1) = 0$, $\psi(e_2) = e_1$.

4.2 Contact Lie algebras of dimension 5

A Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is said to be decomposable, if it is a direct sum $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2$ of two ideals \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 . A decomposable Lie algebra is contact if and only if \mathcal{A}_1 is contact and \mathcal{A}_2 exact symplectic or vice versa. So a decomposable 5-dimensional contact Lie algebra is either (a) the direct sum $\mathcal{G} = aff(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{A} is any 3 dimensional Lie algebra different from $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}id_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ or else (b) the direct sum of an exact symplectic 4-dimensional Lie algebra and the line \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 7 (1) *A 5-dimensional non-solvable Lie group G is a contact Lie group if and only if its Lie algebra is one of the following: (i) decomposable: $aff(\mathbb{R}) \oplus sl(2)$, $aff(\mathbb{R}) \oplus so(3)$, (ii) nondecomposable: $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes sl(2)$.*

(2) *Let \mathcal{G} be a 5-dimensional non-decomposable solvable Lie algebra with trivial centre.*

- (i) *If the derived ideal $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ has dimension 3 and is nonabelian, then \mathcal{G} is a contact Lie algebra.*
- (ii) *If $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ has dimension 4, then \mathcal{G} is contact if and only if either (a) $dim(Z([\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}])) = 1$ or (b) $dim(Z([\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}])) = 2$ and there is $v \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $Z([\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}])$ is not an eigenspace of ad_v .*

Proof of Theorem 7. (1) The Lie algebras $aff(\mathbb{R}) \oplus sl(2)$, $aff(\mathbb{R}) \oplus so(3)$ are contact Lie algebras, as they are direct sums of a contact and an exact symplectic Lie algebras. For $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes sl(2)$, see Example 1.

Conversely, suppose \mathcal{G} is contact, nonsolvable and $dim(\mathcal{G}) = 5$. From Theorem 3, \mathcal{G} is not reductive and hence it splits as (Levi decomposition) $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{R} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is either $so(3)$ or $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ and \mathcal{R} is either the abelian algebra \mathbb{R}^2 or the nonnilpotent one $aff(\mathbb{R})$. The semidirect product $\mathcal{R} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ is given by a representation of \mathcal{S} by derivations of \mathcal{R} , which is either trivial

or faithful, as \mathcal{S} is simple. But as a subalgebra of the space $\mathcal{G}l(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of linear maps of \mathbb{R}^2 , the space $Der(\mathcal{R})$ of derivations of \mathcal{R} does not contain a copy of $so(3)$. Hence, only the trivial representation occurs when $\mathcal{S} = so(3)$ and as the center satisfies $dimZ(\mathcal{G}) \leq 1$, we necessarily have $\mathcal{R} = aff(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{G} = so(3) \oplus aff(\mathbb{R})$. Now for $\mathcal{S} = sl(2, \mathbb{R})$, either \mathcal{G} is the direct sum $aff(\mathbb{R}) \oplus sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ or the semidirect product $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes sl(2, \mathbb{R})$, where $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts in the natural way (matrix multiplication) on \mathbb{R}^2 . This last claim is due to the fact that all representations $sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}l(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are conjugate and given by inner automorphisms of $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$.

(2) Now suppose \mathcal{G} is solvable, nondecomposable with trivial center. (i) If $dim([\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]) = 3$, then $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ is either the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H}_3 or the abelian Lie algebra \mathbb{R}^3 . If $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] = \mathcal{H}_3$, since the center $Z(\mathcal{G})$ is trivial, there exists $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that the restriction of $ad_{\bar{y}}$ to the center of \mathcal{H}_3 is not trivial. So the (codimension 1) ideal of \mathcal{G} spanned by \mathcal{H}_3 and $\mathbb{R}\bar{y}$ is an exact symplectic Lie algebra. By Theorem 2, \mathcal{G} is a contact Lie algebra. (ii) The case $dim([\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]) = 4$ is obtained by a direct calculation using Proposition 3 and $\mathcal{N}_{1,1}$, $\mathcal{N}_{2,2}$ for $\mathcal{N} := [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. \square

The following is a direct consequence of Theorem 7.

Corollary 5 *A 5-dimensional nonsolvable and nonsemisimple Lie algebra is a contact Lie algebra if and only if its centre is trivial.*

Proof. A 5-dimensional nonsolvable and nonsemisimple Lie algebra has trivial centre if and only if it is one of the following $aff(\mathbb{R}) \oplus sl(2)$, $aff(\mathbb{R}) \oplus so(3)$ or $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes sl(2)$. \square

A list of solvable contact Lie algebras in dimension 5.

Applying the above results to the list of 5-dimensional Lie algebras quoted from [2] together with some direct extra calculations, we get the following list of 5-dimensional contact Lie algebras, each case along with an example of a contact form η . Only nonvanishing Lie brackets are listed in a basis (e_1, \dots, e_5) with dual (e_1^*, \dots, e_5^*) . The parameters p, q are in \mathbb{R} . Assuming the list from [2] is complete, then together with the nonsolvable ones (Theorem 7), we get a complete classification of all contact Lie algebras of dimension 5.

1. $[e_2, e_4] = e_1, [e_3, e_5] = e_1, \eta := e_1^*$.
2. $[e_3, e_4] = e_1, [e_2, e_5] = e_1, [e_3, e_5] = e_2, \eta := e_1^*$.
3. $[e_3, e_4] = e_1, [e_2, e_5] = e_1, [e_3, e_5] = e_2, [e_4, e_5] = e_3, \eta = e_1^*$.
4. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_1, e_5] = (1+p)e_1, [e_2, e_5] = e_2, [e_3, e_5] = pe_3, [e_4, e_5] = qe_4, q \neq 0, \eta = e_1^* + e_4^*; p+1 \neq q$.
5. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_1, e_5] = (1+p)e_1, [e_2, e_5] = e_2, [e_3, e_5] = pe_3, [e_4, e_5] = e_1 + (1+p)e_4, \eta = e_1^*$.
6. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_1, e_5] = 2e_1; [e_2, e_5] = e_2 + e_3; [e_3, e_5] = e_3 + e_4; [e_4, e_5] = e_4, \eta = e_1^* + e_4^*$.
7. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_2, e_5] = e_3; [e_4, e_5] = e_4; \eta = e_1^* + e_4^*$.
8. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_1, e_5] = 2e_1; [e_2, e_5] = e_2 + e_3; [e_3, e_5] = e_3; [e_4, e_5] = pe_4; \eta = e_1^* + e_4^*; p \notin \{0, 2\}$.
9. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_1, e_5] = 2e_1; [e_2, e_5] = e_2 + e_3; [e_3, e_5] = e_3; [e_4, e_5] = \epsilon e_1 + 2e_4; \epsilon = \pm 1; \eta = e_1^*$.
10. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_1, e_5] = 2pe_1; [e_2, e_5] = pe_2 + e_3; [e_3, e_5] = -e_2 + pe_3; [e_4, e_5] = qe_4, q \neq 2p; q \neq 0; \eta = e_1^* + e_4^*$.
11. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_1, e_5] = 2pe_1; [e_2, e_5] = pe_2 + e_3; [e_3, e_5] = -e_2 + pe_3; [e_4, e_5] = \epsilon e_1 + 2pe_4; \epsilon = \pm 1; \eta = e_1^*$.
12. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_1, e_5] = e_1; [e_3, e_5] = e_3 + e_4; [e_4, e_5] = e_1 + e_4; \eta = e_1^*$.
13. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_1, e_5] = (1+p)e_1; [e_2, e_5] = pe_2; [e_3, e_5] = e_3 + e_4; [e_4, e_5] = e_4; \eta = e_1^* + e_4^*; p \neq 0$.
14. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1; [e_1, e_5] = e_1; [e_2, e_5] = e_2; [e_3, e_5] = e_4; \eta = e_1^* + e_4^*$.
15. $[e_2, e_4] = e_1, [e_3, e_4] = e_2, [e_1, e_5] = (2+p)e_1, [e_2, e_5] = (1+p)e_2, [e_3, e_5] = pe_3, [e_4, e_5] = e_4, \eta = e_1^* + e_3$.
16. $[e_2, e_4] = e_1, [e_3, e_4] = e_2, [e_1, e_5] = 3e_1, [e_2, e_5] = 2e_2, [e_3, e_5] = e_3, [e_4, e_5] = e_3 + e_4$,

$$\eta := e_1^* + e_2^*.$$

17. $[e_2, e_4] = e_1, [e_3, e_4] = e_2, [e_1, e_5] = e_1, [e_2, e_5] = e_2, [e_3, e_5] = pe_1 + e_3; \eta = e_1^* + (1-p)e_3^*.$
18. $[e_1, e_4] = e_1, [e_3, e_4] = pe_3, [e_2, e_5] = e_2, [e_3, e_5] = qe_3; p^2 + q^2 \neq 0; p+q \neq 1; \eta = e_1^* + e_2^* + e_3^*.$
19. $[e_1, e_4] = pe_1, [e_2, e_4] = e_2, [e_3, e_4] = e_3, [e_1, e_5] = e_1, [e_3, e_5] = e_2, p \neq 1; \eta = e_1^* + e_2^*.$
20. $[e_1, e_4] = pe_1, [e_2, e_4] = e_2, [e_3, e_4] = e_3, [e_1, e_5] = qe_1, [e_2, e_5] = -e_3, [e_3, e_5] = e_2; p^2 + q^2 \neq 0; p \neq 1; \eta = e_1^* + e_2^*.$
21. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_1, e_4] = e_1, [e_2, e_4] = e_2, [e_2, e_5] = -e_2, [e_3, e_5] = e_3; \eta = e_1^* + e_5^*.$
22. $[e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_1, e_4] = 2e_1, [e_2, e_4] = e_2, [e_3, e_4] = e_3, [e_2, e_5] = -e_3, [e_3, e_5] = e_2; \eta = e_1^* + e_5^*.$
23. $[e_1, e_4] = e_1, [e_2, e_5] = e_2, [e_4, e_5] = e_3, \eta = e_1^* + e_2^* + e_3^*.$
24. $[e_1, e_4] = e_1, [e_2, e_4] = e_2, [e_1, e_5] = -e_2, [e_2, e_5] = e_1, [e_4, e_5] = e_3, \eta = e_1^* + e_3^*.$

4.3 Contact Lie algebras of dimension 7.

We quote below an infinite family $\mathcal{G}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}$, of 7-dimensional nilpotent contact Lie algebras with $\eta := e_7^*$. According to [30], if $t \neq t'$ then \mathcal{G}_t and $\mathcal{G}_{t'}$ are not isomorphic. Hence in any dimension $2n+1 > 7$, one can again obtain infinite families of contact Lie algebras as the direct sum of \mathcal{G}_t and exact symplectic Lie algebras.

1. $[e_1, e_4] = e_7, [e_2, e_5] = e_7, [e_3, e_6] = e_7, [e_1, e_2] = e_4 + te_5, [e_1, e_3] = e_6, [e_2, e_3] = e_5. \eta = e_7^*.$

The nonsolvable case. Using the same arguments as in Subsection 4.2, a nonsolvable contact Lie algebra of dimension 7 is either the direct sum $\mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{S}$ of an exact symplectic (solvable) Lie algebra \mathcal{R} of dimension 4 and $\mathcal{S} = sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $so(3)$; or the semi-direct product $\mathcal{R} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ where $\mathcal{S} = sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $so(3)$ acts faithfully on the 4-dimensional solvable Lie algebra \mathcal{R} , by derivations. The following examples are nondecomposable.

2. $\mathbb{R}^4 \rtimes sl(2, \mathbb{R}): [e_1, e_2] = 2e_2, [e_1, e_3] = -2e_3, [e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_1, e_4] = 3e_4, [e_2, e_5] = 3e_4, [e_3, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_5] = e_5, [e_2, e_6] = 2e_5, [e_3, e_5] = 2e_6, [e_1, e_6] = -e_6, [e_2, e_7] = e_6, [e_3, e_6] = 3e_7, [e_1, e_7] = -3e_7; \eta = e_5^* + e_7^*.$
3. $\mathbb{R}^4 \times sl(2, \mathbb{R}): [e_1, e_2] = 2e_2, [e_1, e_3] = -2e_3, [e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_1, e_4] = e_4, [e_2, e_5] = e_4, [e_3, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_5] = -e_5, [e_1, e_6] = e_6, [e_2, e_7] = -e_6, [e_3, e_6] = e_7, [e_1, e_7] = -e_7, \eta = e_4^* + e_7^*.$
4. $[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_3, e_1] = e_2. [e_1, e_5] = e_6, [e_2, e_4] = -e_6, [e_3, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_6] = -e_5, [e_2, e_6] = e_4, [e_3, e_5] = -e_4, [e_4, e_7] = e_4, [e_5, e_7] = e_5, [e_6, e_7] = e_6. \eta = e_1^* + e_4^*.$
5. $\mathbb{R}^4 \rtimes so(3): [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_3, e_1] = e_2, [e_1, e_4] = \frac{1}{2}e_7, [e_2, e_4] = \frac{1}{2}e_5, [e_3, e_4] = \frac{1}{2}e_6, [e_1, e_5] = \frac{1}{2}e_6, [e_2, e_5] = \frac{1}{2}e_4, [e_3, e_5] = \frac{1}{2}e_7, [e_1, e_6] = \frac{1}{2}e_5, [e_2, e_6] = \frac{1}{2}e_7, [e_3, e_6] = \frac{1}{2}e_4, [e_1, e_7] = \frac{1}{2}e_4, [e_2, e_7] = \frac{1}{2}e_6, [e_3, e_7] = \frac{1}{2}e_5, \eta = e_4^* + e_5^* + e_6^* + e_7^*.$ There are at least four independent contact forms $e_4^*, e_5^*, e_6^*, e_7^*$. This latter Lie algebra has very interesting structures (see [11]).

References

- [1] Arnold, V. I. *Contact geometry: the geometrical method of Gibbs's thermodynamics*. Proceedings of the Gibbs Symposium (New Haven, CT, 1989), 163-179, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- [2] Basarab-Horwath, P.; Lahno, V.; Zhdanov, R. *The structure of Lie algebras and the classification problem for partial differential equations*. Acta Appl. Math. 69 (2001), no. 1, 43-94.
- [3] Blair, D. E. *On the non-existence of flat contact metric structures*. Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 28 (1976), no. 3, 373-379.
- [4] Blair, D. E. *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 509. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1976).

- [5] Boothby, W. M.; Wang, H. C. *On contact manifolds*. Ann. of Math. (2) 68 (1958) 721-734.
- [6] Bordemann, M.; Medina, A.; Ouadfel, A.; *Le groupe affine comme variété symplectique*. Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 45 (1993), no. 3, 423-436.
- [7] Dardié, J.-M.; Medina, A., *Algèbres de Lie kähleriennes et double extension*. J. Algebra 185 (1996), no. 3, 774-795.
- [8] Dardié, J.-M.; Medina, A., *Double extension symplectique d'un groupe de Lie symplectique*. Adv. Math. 117 (1996), no. 2, 208-227.
- [9] Diatta, A. *Géométrie de Poisson et de contact des espaces homogènes*. Ph.D. Thesis. University Montpellier 2, France (2000).
- [10] Diatta, A.; Medina, A. *Classical Yang-Baxter Equation and left invariant Affine Geometry on Lie groups*. To appear at Manuscripta Mathematica.
- [11] Diatta A. *Left Invariant Contact and Riemannian Structures in Lie Groups*. (In preparation.)
- [12] Dorfmeister, J.; Nakajima, K., *The fundamental conjecture for homogeneous Kähler manifolds*. Acta Math. 161 (1988), no. 1-2, 23-70.
- [13] Geiges, H. *Examples of symplectic 4-manifolds with disconnected boundary of contact type*. Bull. London Math. Soc. 27 (1995), no. 3, 278-280
- [14] Geiges, H., *A brief history of contact geometry and topology*. Expo. Math. 19 (2001), no. 1, 25-53.
- [15] Geiges, H.; Thomas, C. B. *Contact topology and the structure of 5-manifolds with $\pi_1 = Z_2$* . Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 48 (1998), no. 4, 1167-1188.
- [16] Goze M.; Modèles d'algèbres de Lie frobeniusiennes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 293 (1981), no. 8, 425-427.
- [17] Goze, M.; Khakimdjano, Y.; Medina, A. *Symplectic or contact structures on Lie groups*. To appear at Diff. Geom. Appl. (2003).
- [18] Gray, J. W. *A theory of pseudogroups with applications to contact structures*. Thesis, Stanford University (1957), Technical Report ONR.
- [19] Gray, J. W. *Some global properties of contact structures*. Ann. of Math. (2) 69 (1959) 421-450.
- [20] Gromov, M., *Stable maps of foliations in manifolds*. Thesis, Leningrad (1970). Izv. Akad. Nauk CCCP 33 (1969), 707-734.
- [21] Gromov, M. *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*. Sub-Riemannian geometry, 79-323, Progr. Math., 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, (1996).
- [22] Gindikin, S. G.; Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro, I. I. ; Vinberg, E. B. *Homogeneous Kähler manifolds*. 1968 Geometry of Homogeneous Bounded Domains (C.I.M.E., 3 Ciclo, Urbino, 1967) pp. 3-87 Edizioni Cremonese, Rome.

- [23] Heber, J. *Noncompact homogeneous Einstein spaces*. Invent. Math. 133 (1998), no. 2, 279-352.
- [24] Helmstetter, J.; *Radical d'une algèbre symétrique à gauche*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 29 (1979), no. 4, viii, 17-35.
- [25] Heintze, E. *On homogeneous manifolds of negative curvature*. Math. Ann. 211 (1974), 23-34.
- [26] D. Iglesias, J. C. Marrero. *Generalized Lie bialgebras and Jacobi structures on Lie groups*. Israel J. Math. 133 (2003), 285-316.
- [27] Lichnerowicz, A. *Les groupes kählériens*. Symplectic geometry and mathematical physics (Aix-en-Provence, 1990), 245-259, Progr. Math., 99, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1991.
- [28] Lichnerowicz, A. and Medina, A. *On Lie groups with left-invariant symplectic or Kählerian structures*. Lett. Math. Phys. 16 (1988), no. 3, 225-235.
- [29] Lutz, R. *Quelques remarques historiques et prospectives sur la géométrie de contact*. Conference on Differential Geometry and Topology (Sardinia, 1988). Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari 58 (1988), suppl., 361-393.
- [30] Magnin, L., *Sur les algèbres de Lie nilpotentes de dimension ≤ 7* . J. Geom. Phys. 3 (1986), no. 1, 119-144.
- [31] Medina, A.; Revoy, Ph., *Algèbres de Lie et produit scalaire invariant*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 18 (1985), no. 3, 553-561.
- [32] Medina, A., *Groupes de Lie munis de métriques bi-invariantes*. Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 37 (1985), no. 4, 405-421.
- [33] Milnor, J. *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups*. Adv. Math. 21 (1976), no. 3, 293-329.
- [34] Nomizu, K., *Left-invariant Lorentz metrics on Lie groups*. Osaka J. Math. 16 (1979), no. 1, 143-150.
- [35] Raïs, M. *La représentation coadjointe du groupe affine*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 28 (1978), no. 1, xi, 207-237.
- [36] Pyateskii-Shapiro, I. I. *Automorphic functions and the geometry of classical domains*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris 1969 .
- [37] Thurston, W. P.; Winkelkemper, H. E. *On the existence of contact forms*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1975), 345-347.
- [38] Weinstein, A. *Contact surgery and symplectic handlebodies*. Hokkaido Math. J. 20 (1991), no. 2, 241-251.