

DOLBEAULT COHOMOLOGY OF A LOOP SPACE

LÁSZLÓ LEMPERT AND NING ZHANG*

ABSTRACT. The loop space $L\mathbb{P}_1$ of the Riemann sphere is an infinite dimensional complex manifold consisting of maps (loops) $S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$ in some fixed C^k or Sobolev $W^{k,p}$ space. In this paper we compute the Dolbeault cohomology groups $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$.

0. INTRODUCTION

Loop spaces LM of compact complex manifolds M promise to have rich analytic cohomology theories, and it is expected that sheaf and Dolbeault cohomology groups of LM will shed new light on the complex geometry and analysis of M itself. This idea first occurs in [W], in the context of the infinite dimensional Dirac operator, and then in [HBJ] that touches upon Dolbeault groups of loop spaces; but in all this both works stay heuristic. Our goal here is rigorously to compute the $H^{0,1}$ Dolbeault group of the first interesting loop space, that of the Riemann sphere \mathbb{P}_1 . The consideration of $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ was directly motivated by [MZ], that among other things features a curious line bundle on $L\mathbb{P}_1$. More recently, the second named author in [Z] classified all holomorphic line bundles on $L\mathbb{P}_1$ that are invariant under a certain group of holomorphic automorphisms of $L\mathbb{P}_1$ —a problem closely related to describing (a certain subspace of) $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. One noteworthy fact that emerges from the present research is that analytic cohomology of loop spaces, unlike topological cohomology (cf. [P, Theorem 13.14]), is rather sensitive to the regularity of loops admitted in the space. Another concerns local functionals, a notion from theoretical physics. Roughly, if M is a manifold, a local functional on a space of loops $x: S^1 \rightarrow M$ is one of form

$$f(x) = \int_{S^1} \Phi(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ddot{x}(t), \dots) dt,$$

where Φ is a function on $S^1 \times$ an appropriate jet bundle of M . It turns out that all cohomology classes in $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ are given by local functionals. Nonlocal cohomology classes exist only perturbatively, i.e., in a neighborhood of constant loops in $L\mathbb{P}_1$; but none of them extends to the whole of $L\mathbb{P}_1$.

We fix a smoothness class C^k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, or Sobolev $W^{k,p}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 1 \leq p < \infty$. If M is a finite dimensional complex manifold, consider the space $LM = L_k M$, resp. $L_{k,p} M$ of maps $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$ of the given regularity. These spaces are complex manifolds modeled on a Banach space, except for $L_\infty M$, which is modeled on a Fréchet space. We shall focus on the loop space(s) $L\mathbb{P}_1$. As on any complex manifold, one can consider the

*Research of both authors was partially supported by an NSF grant.

space $C_{r,q}^\infty(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ of smooth (r, q) forms, the operators $\bar{\partial} : C_{r,q}^\infty(L\mathbb{P}_1) \rightarrow C_{r,q+1}^\infty(L\mathbb{P}_1)$, and the associated Dolbeault groups $H^{r,q}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$; for all this, see e.g. [L1,2]. On the other hand, let \mathfrak{F} be the space of holomorphic functions $F: \mathbb{C} \times L\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that have the following properties:

- (1) $F(\zeta/\lambda, \lambda^2 y) = O(\lambda^2)$, as $\mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \rightarrow 0$;
- (2) $F(\zeta, x + y) = F(\zeta, x) + F(\zeta, y)$, if $\text{supp } x \cap \text{supp } y = \emptyset$;
- (3) $F(\zeta, y + \text{const}) = F(\zeta, y)$.

As we shall see, the additivity property (2) implies $F(\zeta, y)$ is local in y .

Theorem 0.1. $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \approx \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{F}$.

In the case of $L_\infty\mathbb{P}_1$, examples of $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ are

$$(0.1) \quad F(\zeta, y) = \zeta^\nu \left\langle \Phi, \prod_{j=0}^m y^{(d_j)} \right\rangle,$$

where Φ is a distribution on S^1 , $y^{(d)}$ denotes d 'th derivative, each $d_j \geq d_0 = 1$, and $0 \leq \nu \leq 2m$. A general function in \mathfrak{F} can be approximated by linear combinations of functions of form (0.1), see Theorem 1.5.

On any, possibly infinite dimensional complex manifold X the space $C_{r,q}^\infty(X)$ can be given the compact- C^∞ topology as follows. First, the compact-open topology on $C_{0,0}^\infty(X) = C^\infty(X)$ is generated by C^0 seminorms $\|f\|_K = \sup_K |f|$ for all $K \subset X$ compact. The family of C^ν seminorms is defined inductively: each $C^{\nu-1}$ seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ on $C^\infty(TX)$ induces a C^ν seminorm $\|f\|' = \|df\|$ on $C^\infty(X)$. The collection of all C^ν seminorms, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots$, defines the compact- C^∞ topology on $C^\infty(X)$. The compact- C^∞ topology on a general $C_{r,q}^\infty(X)$ is induced by the embedding $C_{r,q}^\infty(X) \subset C^\infty(\bigoplus^{r+q} TX)$. With this topology $C_{r,q}^\infty(X)$ is a separated locally convex vector space, complete if X is first countable. The quotient space $H^{r,q}(X)$ inherits a locally convex topology, not necessarily separated. We note that on the subspace $\mathcal{O}(X) \subset C^\infty(X)$ of holomorphic functions the compact- C^∞ topology restricts to the compact-open topology. The isomorphism in Theorem 0.1 is topological; it is also equivariant with respect to the obvious actions of the group of C^k diffeomorphisms of S^1 .

There is another group, the group $G \approx \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of holomorphic automorphisms of \mathbb{P}_1 , whose holomorphic action on $L\mathbb{P}_1$ (by post-composition) and on $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ will be of greater concern to us. Theorems 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 below will describe the structure of $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ as a G -module. Recall that any irreducible (always holomorphic) G -module is isomorphic, for some $n = 0, 1, \dots$, to the space \mathfrak{K}_n of holomorphic differentials $\psi(\zeta)(d\zeta)^{-n}$ of order $-n$ on \mathbb{P}_1 ; here ψ is a polynomial, $\deg \psi \leq 2n$, and G acts by pullback. (For this, see [BD, pp. 84-86], and note that the subgroup $\approx \text{SO}(3)$ formed by $g \in G$ that preserve the Fubini-Study metric is a maximally real submanifold; hence the holomorphic representation theory of G agrees with the representation theory of $\text{SO}(3)$.) The n 'th isotypical subspace of a G -module V is the sum of all irreducible submodules isomorphic to \mathfrak{K}_n . In particular, the 0'th isotypical subspace is the space V^G of fixed vectors.

Theorem 0.2. *If $n \geq 1$, the n 'th isotypical subspace of $H^{0,1}(L_\infty\mathbb{P}_1)$ is isomorphic to the space \mathfrak{F}^n spanned by functions of form (0.1), with $m = n$.*

The isomorphism above is that of locally convex spaces, as \mathfrak{F} or \mathfrak{F}^n have not been endowed with an action of G yet. But in Section 2 they will be, and we shall see that the isomorphism in question is a G -morphism.—The fixed subspace of $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ can be described more explicitly, for any loop space:

Theorem 0.3. *The space $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$ is isomorphic to $C^{k-1}(S^1)^*$, resp. $W^{k-1,p}(S^1)^*$, if the dual spaces are endowed with the compact–open topology.*

The isomorphisms in Theorem 0.3 are not $\text{Diff } S^1$ equivariant. To remedy this, one is led to introduce the spaces $C_r^l(S^1)$, resp. $W_r^{l,p}(S^1)$ of differentials $y(t)(dt)^r$ of order r on S^1 , of the corresponding regularity; $L_r^p = W_r^{0,p}$. Then $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G$ will be $\text{Diff } S^1$ equivariantly isomorphic to $C_1^{k-1}(S^1)^*$, resp. $W_1^{k-1,p}(S^1)^*$.

For low regularity loop spaces one can very concretely represent all of $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$:

Theorem 0.4. (a) *If $1 \leq p < 2$, all of $H^{0,1}(L_{1,p}\mathbb{P}_1)$ is fixed by G , hence it is isomorphic to $L^{p'}(S^1)$, with $p' = p/(p-1)$.*

(b) *If $1 \leq p < \infty$ then $H^{0,1}(L_{1,p}\mathbb{P}_1)$ is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_{0 \leq n \leq p-1} \mathfrak{K}_n \otimes L_{n+1}^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)^* \approx \bigoplus_{0 \leq n \leq p-1} \mathfrak{K}_n \otimes L_{-n}^{p_n}(S^1), \quad p_n = \frac{p}{p-1-n},$$

and so it is the sum of its first $[p]$ isotypical subspaces. Indeed, the isomorphisms above are $G \times \text{Diff } S^1$ equivariant, G , resp. $\text{Diff } S^1$ acting on one of the factors \mathfrak{K}_n , L_r^q naturally, and trivially on the other.

Again, the dual spaces are endowed with the compact–open topology.

It follows that the infinite dimensional space $H^{0,1}(L_{1,p}\mathbb{P}_1)$ can be understood in finite terms, if it is considered as a representation space of S^1 . Here S^1 acts on itself (by translations), hence also on $L\mathbb{P}_1$ and on $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. One can read off from Theorem 0.4 that each irreducible representation of S^1 occurs in $H^{0,1}(L_{1,p}\mathbb{P}_1)$ with the same multiplicity $[p]^2$. On the other hand, for spaces of loops of regularity at least C^1 , in $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ each irreducible representation of S^1 occurs with infinite multiplicity and, somewhat contrary to earlier expectations, it is not possible to associate with this cohomology space even a formal character of S^1 . This indicates that Dolbeault groups of general loop spaces LM should be studied as representations of $\text{Diff } S^1$ rather than S^1 .

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Sections 1 and 2 we study the space \mathfrak{F} as a G -module. We connect it with a similar but simpler space of functions that are required to satisfy only the first two of the three conditions defining \mathfrak{F} (Theorem 1.1). Theorem 1.1 will be needed in proving the isomorphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \approx \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{F}$, and also in concretely representing elements of \mathfrak{F} . Further, we shall rely on Theorem 1.1 in identifying isotypical

subspaces of \mathfrak{F} (Theorems 2.1, 2.2). This will then prove Theorems 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4, modulo Theorem 0.1.

In Section 3 we introduce a G -module \mathfrak{H} of holomorphic Čech cocycles of $L\mathbb{P}_1$, and prove $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \approx \mathfrak{H}$ (Theorem 3.3). In Section 4 we construct a morphism $\alpha: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ that, in Section 5, is shown to induce an isomorphism $\mathfrak{H}/\text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$. Also, $\dim \text{Ker } \alpha = 1$ (Theorem 5.1). Finally, in Section 6 we show how all this, put together, proves the results formulated in this introduction.

1. THE SPACE \mathfrak{F}

In this Section and the next we shall study the structure of the space \mathfrak{F} , independently of any cohomological content. It will be convenient to allow (but only in this Section!) k to be any integer; when $k < 0$, elements of $C^k(S^1)$, $W^{k,p}(S^1)$ are distributions, locally equal to the $-k$ 'th derivative of functions in $C(S^1)$, $L^p(S^1)$. Let $L^-\mathbb{C}$ denote the space $C^{k-1}(S^1)$, resp. $W^{k-1,p}(S^1)$. We shall write $L^{(-)}\mathbb{C}$ to mean either $L\mathbb{C}$ or $L^-\mathbb{C}$. Consider the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ of those $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \times L^-\mathbb{C})$ that have properties (1) and (2) of the Introduction. We shall refer to (2) as additivity. A function $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \times L^{(-)}\mathbb{C})$ will be said to be posthomogeneous of degree m if $F(\zeta, \cdot)$ is homogeneous of degree m for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. Posthomogeneous degree endows the spaces \mathfrak{F} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ with a grading.—All maps below, unless otherwise mentioned, will be continuous and linear.

Theorem 1.1. *The graded linear map $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \ni \tilde{F} \mapsto F \in \mathfrak{F}$ given by $F(\zeta, y) = \tilde{F}(\zeta, y)$ has a graded right inverse, and its kernel consists of functions $\tilde{F}(\zeta, x) = \text{const} \int_{S^1} x$.*

First we shall consider functions $E \in \mathfrak{F}$, resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$, that are independent of ζ . We denote the space of these functions $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathcal{O}(L\mathbb{C})$, resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}} \subset \mathcal{O}(L^-\mathbb{C})$, graded by degree of homogeneity. Additivity of $E \in \mathcal{O}(L^{(-)}\mathbb{C})$ implies $E(0) = 0$, which in turn implies property (1) of the Introduction. Let

$$(1.1) \quad E = \sum_1^\infty E_m, \quad E_m(y) = \int_0^1 E(e^{2\pi i\tau} y) e^{-2m\pi i\tau} d\tau$$

be the homogeneous expansion of a general $E \in \mathcal{O}(L^{(-)}\mathbb{C})$ vanishing at 0. Consider tensor powers $(L^{(-)}\mathbb{C})^{\otimes m}$ of the vector spaces $L^{(-)}\mathbb{C}$ over \mathbb{C} . In particular, $C^\infty(S^1)^{\otimes m}$ is an algebra, and a general $(L^{(-)}\mathbb{C})^{\otimes m}$ is a module over it. Each E_m in (1.1) induces a symmetric linear map

$$\mathcal{E}_m: (L^{(-)}\mathbb{C})^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

called the polarization of E_m . On monomials \mathcal{E}_m is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{E}_m(y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_m) = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_m E_m(\epsilon_1 y_1 + \dots + \epsilon_m y_m),$$

and then extended by linearity. Thus $E_m(y) = \mathcal{E}_m(y^{\otimes m})$.—We shall call $w \in (L^{(-)}\mathbb{C})^{\otimes m}$ degenerate if it is a linear combination of monomials $y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_m$ with one $y_j = 1$.

Lemma 1.2. (a) E is additive if and only if $\mathcal{E}_m(y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_m) = 0$ whenever $\bigcap_1^m \text{supp } y_j = \emptyset$.
 (b) $E(y + \text{const}) = E(y)$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}_m(w) = 0$ whenever w is degenerate.

Proof. (a) Clearly E is additive precisely when all the E_m are, whence it suffices to prove the claim when E itself is homogeneous, of degree m , say. In this case $\mathcal{E}_n = 0$, $n \neq m$. Denoting \mathcal{E}_m by \mathcal{E} , it is also clear that the condition on \mathcal{E} implies E is additive. We show the converse by induction on m , the case $m = 1$ being obvious. Let $x, y \in L^{(-)}\mathbb{C}$ have disjoint support, so that

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{E}((x + y)^{\otimes m}) = \mathcal{E}(x^{\otimes m}) + \mathcal{E}(y^{\otimes m}).$$

Write λx for x and separate terms of different degrees in λ to find $\mathcal{E}(x \otimes \dots \otimes y) = 0$, which settles the case $m = 2$. Now if the case $m - 1 \geq 2$ is already settled, take a $z \in L^{(-)}\mathbb{C}$ with $\text{supp } y \cap \text{supp } z = \emptyset$, and write $x + \lambda z$ for x in (1.3). Considering the terms linear in λ we obtain

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{E}(z \otimes (x + y)^{\otimes m-1}) = \mathcal{E}(z \otimes x^{\otimes m-1}) + \mathcal{E}(z \otimes y^{\otimes m-1}),$$

the last term being 0. The same will hold if $\text{supp } x \cap \text{supp } z = \emptyset$. Since any $z \in L^{(-)}\mathbb{C}$ can be written $z' + z''$ with the support of z' (resp. z'') disjoint from the support of x (resp. y), (1.4) in fact holds for all z . By the induction hypothesis applied to $\mathcal{E}(z \otimes \cdot)$

$$\mathcal{E}(z \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_m) = 0, \quad \text{if } \bigcap_2^m \text{supp } y_j = \emptyset.$$

Suppose now $\bigcap_1^m \text{supp } y_j = \emptyset$ and write $y_1 = y' + y''$ with $y' = 0$ near $\bigcap_{j \neq 2} \text{supp } y_j$ and $y'' = 0$ near $\bigcap_{j \neq 3} \text{supp } y_j$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}(y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_m) = \mathcal{E}(y' \otimes \dots \otimes y_m) + \mathcal{E}(y'' \otimes \dots \otimes y_m) = 0.$$

(b) Again we assume E is m -homogeneous, and again one implication is trivial. So assume $\mathcal{E}((y+1)^{\otimes m}) = \mathcal{E}(y^{\otimes m})$, where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_m$. Differentiating both sides in the directions y_2, \dots, y_m and setting $y = 0$ we obtain $\mathcal{E}(1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_m) = 0$, whence the claim follows.

Proposition 1.3. *The graded map $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}} \ni \tilde{E} \mapsto E \in \mathfrak{E}$ given by $E(y) = \tilde{E}(\dot{y})$ has a graded right inverse, and its kernel is spanned by $\tilde{E}(x) = \int_{S^1} x$.*

We shall write $\int x$ for $\int_{S^1} x$.

Proof. (a) To identify the kernel, because of homogeneous expansions, it will suffice to deal with homogeneous \tilde{E} . So assume $\tilde{E} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$ is homogeneous of degree m and $\tilde{E}(\dot{y}) = 0$ for all $y \in L\mathbb{C}$. Its polarization $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ satisfies $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\dot{y}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \dot{y}_m) = 0$. If $m = 1$, this implies

$\tilde{E}(x) = \text{const} \int x$, so from now on we assume $m \geq 2$, and first we prove by induction that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = \text{const} \prod \int x_j$. Suppose we already know this for $m - 1$. Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\dot{y} \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = c(\dot{y}) \prod_2^m \int x_j.$$

With arbitrary $x_1 \in L^- \mathbb{C}$ the function $x_1 - \int x_1$ is of form \dot{y} , so $x_1 = \dot{y} + \int x_1$, and

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = l(x_1) \prod_2^m \int x_j + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) \int x_1,$$

where $l(x_1) = c(x_1 - \int x_1)$ is linear in x_1 . If $\int x_1 = 0$ and $\text{supp } x_1 \neq S^1$, then we can choose x_2, \dots so that $\bigcap_1^m \text{supp } x_j = \emptyset$ but $\int x_j \neq 0$, $j \geq 2$. This makes the left hand side of (1.5) vanish by Lemma 1.2a, and gives $l(x_1) = 0$. Since any $x_1 \in L^- \mathbb{C}$ with $\int x_1 = 0$ can be written $x_1 = x' + x''$ with $\int x' = \int x'' = 0$ and $\text{supp } x', \text{supp } x'' \neq S^1$, it follows that $l(x_1) = 0$ whenever $\int x_1 = 0$. Hence $l(x_1) = \text{const} \int x_1$. In particular, the first term on the right of (1.5) is symmetric in x_j . Therefore the second term must be symmetric too, which implies this term is $\text{const} \prod_1^m \int x_j$. Thus $\tilde{E}(x) = \text{const}(\int x)^m$.

Yet for $m \geq 2$ $\tilde{E}(x) = \text{const}(\int x)^m$ is additive only if it is identically zero; so that indeed $\tilde{E}(x) = \text{const} \int x$, as claimed.

(b) To construct the right inverse, consider $E \in \mathfrak{E}$ with homogeneous expansion (1.1). We shall construct m -homogeneous polynomials $\tilde{E}_m \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$ such that $E_m(y) = \tilde{E}_m(\dot{y})$; the case $m = 1$ being obvious, we assume $m \geq 2$. Let us say that an n -tuple of functions $\rho_\nu: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is centered if $\bigcap_1^n \text{supp } \rho_\nu \neq \emptyset$. We start by fixing a C^∞ partition of unity $\sum_{\rho \in P} \rho = 1$ on S^1 such that each $\text{supp } \rho$ is an arc of length $< 1/4$. This implies that $\bigcup_1^n \text{supp } \rho_\nu$ is an arc of length $< 1/2$ if $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n \in P$ are centered. Given $x \in L^- \mathbb{C}$, for each centered $R = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$ in P construct $y_R \in L\mathbb{C}$ so that $\dot{y}_R = x$ on a neighborhood of $\bigcup_1^n \text{supp } \rho_\nu$, making sure that $y_R = y_Q$ if Q and R agree as sets. For noncentered n -tuples R in P let $y_R \in L\mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary. We shall refer to the y_R as local integrals.

If Q, R are centered tuples in P then

$$(1.6) \quad y_Q - y_R = c_{QR} = \text{constant} \quad \text{on} \quad \left(\bigcup_{\rho \in Q} \text{supp } \rho \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{\rho \in R} \text{supp } \rho \right).$$

When the intersection in (1.6) is empty, or Q or R are not centered, fix $c_{QR} \in \mathbb{C}$ arbitrarily. Define

$$(1.7) \quad v_{QR} = m \int_0^{c_{QR}} (y_R + \tau)^{\otimes m-1} d\tau \in (L\mathbb{C})^{\otimes m-1},$$

and with the polarization \mathcal{E}_m of E_m from (1.2) consider

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{E}_m \left(\sum_{R=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m)} (\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m) (y_R^{\otimes m} + 1 \otimes \sum_{S=(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m)} (\sigma_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_m) v_{SR}) \right);$$

we sum over all m -tuples R and $(m-1)$ -tuples S in P . (We will not need it, but here is an explanation of (1.8). Say that tensors $w, w' \in L^{(-)}\mathbb{C}^{\otimes m}$ are congruent, $w \equiv w'$, if $w - w'$ is the sum of a degenerate tensor and of monomials $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m$ with $\bigcap \text{supp } x_j = \emptyset$. Denote by ∂^m the linear map $(L\mathbb{C})^{\otimes m} \rightarrow (L^{-}\mathbb{C})^{\otimes m}$ defined by $\partial^m(y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_m) = \dot{y}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \dot{y}_m$. Then the symmetrization of the argument of \mathcal{E}_m in (1.8) is a solution w of the congruence $\partial^m w \equiv x^{\otimes m}$, in fact it is the unique symmetric solution, up to congruence. It follows that for the \tilde{E}_m sought, $\tilde{E}_m(x)$ must be equal to $\mathcal{E}_m(w)$, which, in turn, equals (1.8).)

We claim that the value in (1.8) depends only on x (and \mathcal{E}_m), but not on the partition of unity P and the local integrals y_R . Indeed, suppose first that the local integrals y_R are changed to \hat{y}_R , so that the c_{QR} change to \hat{c}_{QR} and v_{QR} to \hat{v}_{QR} ; but we do not change P . There are $c_R \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for all centered R

$$\hat{y}_R = y_R + c_R \quad \text{on } \bigcup_{\rho \in R} \text{supp } \rho.$$

Let

$$(1.9) \quad u_R = m \int_0^{c_R} (y_R + \tau)^{\otimes m-1} d\tau.$$

Clearly $\hat{c}_{QR} = c_{QR} + c_Q - c_R$ if $Q \cup R$ is centered. In this case one computes also

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \hat{v}_{QR} &= \int_0^{\hat{c}_{QR}} (\hat{y}_R + \tau)^{\otimes m-1} d\tau \\ &= \int_0^{c_{QR}} (\hat{y}_R - c_R + \tau)^{\otimes m-1} d\tau - \int_0^{c_R} (\hat{y}_R - c_R + \tau)^{\otimes m-1} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^{c_Q} (\hat{y}_R - c_R + c_{QR} + \tau)^{\otimes m-1} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Because of Lemma 1.2a, in (1.8) only centered R , and such S that $R \cup S$ is centered, will contribute. When $y_R^{\otimes m}$ is changed to $\hat{y}_R^{\otimes m}$, the corresponding contributions change by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_R \mathcal{E}_m \left(\int_0^{c_R} (\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m) \frac{d}{d\tau} (y_R + \tau)^{\otimes m} dt \right) \\ &= \sum_R \mathcal{E}_m \left(\int_0^{c_R} (\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m) (m \otimes (y_R + \tau)^{\otimes m-1}) d\tau \right) \\ &= \sum_R \mathcal{E}_m ((\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m) (1 \otimes u_R)). \end{aligned}$$

When v_{QR} is changed to \hat{v}_{QR} , in view of (1.10), (1.6), and (1.9), the contribution of the terms in the double sum in (1.8) changes by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}_m \left((m\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2\sigma_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m\sigma_m) \left(\int_0^{c_S} (y_S + \tau)^{\otimes m-1} d\tau - \int_0^{c_R} (y_R + \tau)^{\otimes m-1} d\tau \right) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_m ((\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2\sigma_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m\sigma_m) (1 \otimes u_S - 1 \otimes u_R)). \end{aligned}$$

The net change in (1.8) is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_m \left(\sum_{R,S} (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \sigma_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m \sigma_m) (1 \otimes u_S) \right) = \\ \mathcal{E}_m \left(\sum_S (1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_m) (1 \otimes u_S) \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1.2b, as needed.

Now to pass from P to another partition of unity P' , introduce $\Pi = \{\rho\rho' : p \in P, \rho' \in P'\}$. One easily shows that P and Π give rise to the same value in (1.8), hence so do P and P' . Therefore (1.8) indeed depends only on x , and we define $\tilde{E}_m(x)$ by this value. We proceed to check that \tilde{E}_m has the required properties.

If $x = \dot{y}$ then all y_R can be chosen y , and (1.8) gives $\tilde{E}_m(\dot{y}) = E_m(y)$. Next suppose $x', x'' \in L^{-\mathbb{C}}$ have disjoint support, and $x = x' + x''$. If the supports of all $\rho \in P$ are sufficiently small, then the local integrals y'_R, y''_R of x', x'' can be chosen so that for each R one of them is 0. Hence the local integrals $y_R = y'_R + y''_R$ of x will satisfy $y_R^{\otimes m} = y'^{\otimes m}_R + y''^{\otimes m}_R$, whence $\tilde{E}_m(x) = \tilde{E}_m(x') + \tilde{E}_m(x'')$ follows.

To show that $\sum \tilde{E}_m$ is convergent and represents a holomorphic function, note that $\tilde{E}_m(x)$ is the sum of terms

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{E}_m(\rho_1 y_R \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m y_R) \quad \text{and} \\ \int_0^1 \mathcal{E}_m(\rho_1 c_{SR} \otimes \rho_2 \sigma_2 (y_R + c_{SR}\tau) \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m \sigma_m (y_R + c_{SR}\tau)) d\tau$$

(we have substituted $c_{QR}\tau$ for τ in (1.7)). Since $y_R \in L\mathbb{C}$ and $c_{QR} \in \mathbb{C}$ can be chosen to depend on x in a continuous linear way, each \tilde{E}_m is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m . Furthermore, let $K \subset L^{-\mathbb{C}}$ be compact. For each $x \in K$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and m -tuples Q, R in P we can choose y_R and c_{QR} so that all the functions

$$\rho c_{QR}, \rho\rho'(y_R + c_{QR}\tau),$$

$\rho, \rho' \in P$, $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, belong to some compact $H \subset L\mathbb{C}$. By passing to the balanced hull, it can be assumed H is balanced. If $\lambda > 0$, (1.1) implies

$$\max_H |E_m| = \lambda^{-m} \max_{\lambda H} |E_m| \leq \lambda^{-m} \max_{\lambda H} |E| = A\lambda^{-m},$$

so that by (1.2)

$$|\mathcal{E}_m(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_m)| \leq A(m^m/m!) \lambda^{-m} \leq A(e/\lambda)^m,$$

if each $z_\mu \in H$. Thus each term in (1.11) satisfies this estimate. If $|P|$ denotes the cardinality of P , we obtain, in view of (1.8)

$$\max_K |\tilde{E}_m| \leq (|P|^m + m|P|^{2m-1})A(e/\lambda)^m.$$

Choosing $|\lambda| > e|P|^2$ we conclude that $\sum \tilde{E}_m$ uniformly converges on K , and, K being arbitrary, $\tilde{E} = \sum \tilde{E}_m$ is holomorphic. By what we have already proved for \tilde{E}_m , $\tilde{E} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$, and $\tilde{E}(y) = E(y)$. The above estimates also show that the map $E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ is continuous and linear, which completes the proof of Proposition 1.3.

Now consider an $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \times L^{(-)}\mathbb{C})$ and its posthomogeneous expansion

$$(1.12) \quad F = \sum_0^{\infty} F_m, \quad F_m(\zeta, y) = \int_0^1 F(\zeta, e^{2\pi i\tau}y) e^{-2m\pi i\tau} d\tau.$$

Proposition 1.4. *The function F satisfies condition (1) of the Introduction if and only if each F_m is a polynomial in ζ , of degree $\leq 2m - 2$ (in particular, $F_0 = 0$).*

Proof. As F satisfies (1) precisely when each F_m does, the statement is obvious.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Apply Proposition 1.3 on each slice $\{\zeta\} \times L^{(-)}\mathbb{C}$. Accordingly, an \tilde{F} in the kernel is posthomogeneous of degree 1, hence, by Proposition 1.4, independent of ζ . Thus indeed $\tilde{F}(\zeta, x) = \text{const} \int x$. Further, the slicewise right inverse applied to $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ clearly produces an additive $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \times L\mathbb{C})$. To see that \tilde{F} also verifies condition (1) of the Introduction, expand F in a posthomogeneous series

$$(1.13) \quad F(\zeta, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m(\zeta, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2m-2} \zeta^{\nu} E_{m\nu}(y),$$

by Proposition 1.4, so that

$$\tilde{F}(\zeta, x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2m-2} \zeta^{\nu} \tilde{E}_{m\nu}(x),$$

with $\tilde{E}_{m\nu}$ m -homogeneous. Again by Proposition 1.4, \tilde{F} verifies condition (1), and so $\in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Theorem 1.1 can be used effectively to describe elements of the space \mathfrak{F} . With ulterior motives we switch notation $m = n + 1$, and consider a homogeneous polynomial $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{O}(L^-\mathbb{C})$ of degree $n + 1 \geq 1$. Its polarization \mathcal{E} defines a distribution D on the torus $(S^1)^{n+1} = T$. Indeed, denote the coordinates on T by $t_j \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and set

$$(1.14) \quad \langle D, \prod_{j=0}^n e^{2\pi i\nu_j t_j} \rangle = \mathcal{E}(x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n), \quad x_j(\tau) = e^{2\pi i\nu_j \tau}, \quad \nu_j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since \tilde{E} is continuous,

$$|\mathcal{E}(x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n)| \leq c \prod_1 \|x_j\|_{C^q(S^1)} \quad \text{with some } c > 0 \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence (1.14) can be estimated, in absolute value, by $c' \prod_j (1 + |\nu_j|)^q$, and it follows by Fourier expansion that D extends to a unique linear form on $C^\infty(T)$. Clearly, D is symmetric, i.e., invariant under permutation of the factors S^1 of T . Also,

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{E}(x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) = \langle D, x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \rangle,$$

if on the right $x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$ is identified with the function $\prod x_j(t_j)$.

Assume now $\tilde{E} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$. Lemma 1.2a implies D is supported on the diagonal of T . The form of distributions supported on submanifolds is in general well understood; in the case at hand, e.g. [H, Theorem 2.3.5] gives that D is a finite sum of distributions of form

$$C^\infty(T) \ni \rho \mapsto \left\langle \Psi, \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n} \rho}{\partial t_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial t_n^{\alpha_n}} \Big|_{\text{diag}} \right\rangle, \quad \alpha_j \geq 0,$$

where Ψ is a distribution on the diagonal of T . In view of Theorem 1.1 and (1.12)–(1.13) we therefore proved

Theorem 1.5. *The restriction of an $(n+1)$ -posthomogeneous $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$, to $\mathbb{C} \times C^\infty(S^1)$ is a finite sum of functions of form*

$$f(\zeta, y) = \zeta^\nu \left\langle \Phi, \prod_0^n y^{(d_j)} \right\rangle, \quad \nu \leq 2n, \quad d_j \geq d_0 = 1, \quad \text{resp. } 0,$$

where Φ is a distribution on S^1 . For a general $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$, the restriction $F|_{\mathbb{C} \times C^\infty(S^1)}$ is the limit, in the topology of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \times C^\infty(S^1))$, of finite sums of the above functions.

2. THE G -ACTION ON \mathfrak{F}

For $g \in G$ let $J_g(\zeta) = dg\zeta/d\zeta$. By considering the posthomogeneous expansion (1.12)–(1.13) of $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$, one checks that the function gF defined by

$$(2.1) \quad (gF)(\zeta, y) = F(g\zeta, y/J_g(\zeta))J_g(\zeta)$$

extends to all of $\mathbb{C} \times L^{(-)}\mathbb{C}$, and the extension (also denoted gF) belongs to \mathfrak{F} , resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. The action thus defined makes \mathfrak{F} , $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ holomorphic G -modules. The n 'th isotypical subspace \mathfrak{F}^n , resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^n$ is the subspace of $(n+1)$ -posthomogeneous functions. In this section we shall describe the space \mathfrak{F}^0 , and, for $W^{1,p}$ loop spaces, the spaces \mathfrak{F}^n as well, $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.1. $\mathfrak{F}^0 \approx (L^-\mathbb{C})^*/\mathbb{C}$, the dual endowed with the compact-open topology. If $L^-\mathbb{C}$ is interpreted as the space of one-forms on S^1 of the corresponding regularity, then the isomorphism is $\text{Diff } S^1$ equivariant.

Proof. Indeed, the map $(L^-\mathbb{C})^* = \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^0$ associating with $\Phi \in (L^-\mathbb{C})^*$ the function $F(y) = \langle \Phi, \dot{y} \rangle$ (or $\langle \Phi, dy \rangle$) has one dimensional kernel and a right inverse by Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.2. *In the case of $W^{1,p}$ loop spaces $\mathfrak{F} = \bigoplus_{n \leq p-1} \mathfrak{F}^n$. Furthermore*

$$\mathfrak{K}_n \otimes L^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)^* \approx \mathfrak{F}^n, \quad 1 \leq n \leq p-1,$$

as G -modules, G acting on $L^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)^*$ trivially. Indeed, the map $\varphi \otimes \Phi \mapsto F$ given by

$$(2.2) \quad F(\zeta, y) = \psi(\zeta) \langle \Phi, \dot{y}^{n+1} \rangle, \quad \varphi(\zeta) = \psi(\zeta) (d\zeta)^{-n},$$

induces the isomorphism above. (To achieve $\text{Diff } S^1$ equivariant isomorphism, replace $L^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)$ by the space $L_{n+1}^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)$ of $(n+1)$ -differentials.)

We shall need a few auxiliary results to prove the theorem.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and Ψ a distribution on S^1 . If the function*

$$(2.3) \quad C^\infty(S^1) \ni x \mapsto \langle \Psi, x^m \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

extends to a homogeneous polynomial E on $L^p(S^1)$ then $\Psi \equiv 0$, or $m \leq p$ and Ψ extends to a form Φ on $L^{p/m}(S^1)$. In the latter case the map $E \mapsto \Phi$ is continuous linear.

Proof. There is a constant C such that

$$(2.4) \quad |\langle \Psi, x^m \rangle| = |E(x)| \leq C \left(\int |x|^p \right)^{m/p}, \quad x \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

Let $z \in C^\infty(S^1)$ be real valued and $x_\epsilon = (z + i\epsilon)^{1/m} \in C^\infty(S^1)$. By (2.4)

$$|\langle \Psi, z \rangle| = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} |\langle \Psi, x_\epsilon^m \rangle| \leq C \left(\int |z|^{p/m} \right)^{m/p}.$$

As the same estimate holds for imaginary z , it will hold for a general $z \in C^\infty(S^1)$ too, perhaps with a different C . Therefore Ψ extends to a form Φ on $L^{p/m}(S^1)$. Unless $p \geq m$, $\Phi = 0$ by Day's theorem [D]. With $z \in L^{p/m}(S^1)$, any choice of measurable m 'th root $z^{1/m}$, and $y_\epsilon \in C^\infty(S^1)$ converging to $z^{1/m}$ in L^p ,

$$\langle \Phi, z \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle \Phi, y_\epsilon^m \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} E(y_\epsilon) = E(z^{1/m}).$$

This shows that Φ is uniquely determined by E , and depends continuously and linearly on E .

In the rest of this section we work with $W^{1,p}$ loop spaces. Write $\mathfrak{E}^n \subset \mathfrak{E}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^n \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$ for the space of $(n+1)$ -homogeneous functions.

Lemma 2.4. *If $m \geq 2$ and $E \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{m-1} \subset \mathcal{O}(L^p(S^1))$, then $E(x) = \langle \Phi, x^m \rangle$ with a unique $\Phi \in L^{p/m}(S^1)^*$. In particular, $E = 0$ if $m > p$. Also, the map $E \mapsto \Phi$ is an isomorphism between $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{m-1}$ and $L^{p/m}(S^1)^*$.*

Proof. We shall prove by induction, first assuming $m = 2$. By Theorem 1.5 there are distributions Φ_α so that

$$E(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^d \langle \Phi_\alpha, xx^{(\alpha)} \rangle, \quad x \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

Now any $x^{(\alpha)}x^{(\beta)}$ will be a linear combination of expressions $(x^{(j)}x^{(j)})^{(h)}$, as one easily proves by induction of $|\alpha - \beta|$. It follows that E can be written with distributions Ψ_j as

$$(2.5) \quad E(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d \langle \Psi_j, (x^{(j)})^2 \rangle, \quad x \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

Next we show that $d = 0$.

Indeed, assuming $d > 0$, for fixed $x \in C^\infty(S^1)$

$$(2.6) \quad E(\cos \lambda x) + E(\sin \lambda x) = \lambda^{2d} \langle \Psi_d, \dot{x}^{2d} \rangle + \sum_{j=0}^{2d-1} c_j(x) \lambda^d$$

is a polynomial in λ . For fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the maps $x \mapsto \cos \lambda x$, $x \mapsto \sin \lambda x$ map the Banach algebra $W^{1,1}(S^1)$ holomorphically into itself, hence into $L^p(S^1)$. Therefore the left hand side of (2.6) extends to $W^{1,1}(S^1)$, and $\langle \Psi_d, \dot{x}^{2d} \rangle$ must also. The extension of this latter will be an additive, $2d$ -homogeneous polynomial E' on $W^{1,1}(S^1)$, satisfying $E'(x + \text{const}) = E'(x)$. By Proposition 1.3 there is therefore a unique additive $2d$ -homogeneous polynomial \tilde{E} on $W^{0,1}(S^1) = L^1(S^1)$ such that $E'(x) = \tilde{E}(\dot{x})$. Since the restriction $\tilde{E}|_{C^\infty(S^1)}$ is also unique,

$$\tilde{E}(x) = \langle \Psi_d, x^{2d} \rangle, \quad x \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

In particular, the expression on the right continuously extends to $L^1(S^1)$. By virtue of Lemma 2.3, $\Psi_d \equiv 0$. Thus (2.5) reduces to $E(x) = \langle \Psi, x^2 \rangle$, $x \in C^\infty(S^1)$, and by another application of Lemma 2.3, Ψ extends to a form Φ on $L^{p/2}(S^1)$.

Now assume the Lemma is known for degree $m - 1 \geq 2$, and consider an $E \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{m-1}$ and its polarization \mathcal{E} . For fixed $x_1 \in C^\infty(S^1)$ the inductive assumption implies that there is a distribution Θ such that $\mathcal{E}(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = \langle \Theta, \prod_2^m x_j \rangle$; in particular,

$$\mathcal{E}(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = \mathcal{E}(x_1 \otimes \prod_2^m x_j \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1), \quad x \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

The case $m = 2$ now gives a distribution Ψ such that $\mathcal{E}(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = \langle \Psi, \prod_1^m x_j \rangle$. We conclude by Lemma 2.3: Ψ extends to $\Phi \in L^{p/m}(S^1)^*$, and $\Phi = 0$ unless $m \leq p$. It is

clear that Φ is uniquely determined by E , and the map $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{m-1} \ni E \mapsto \Phi \in L^{p/m}(S^1)^*$ is an isomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. To construct the inverse of the map defined by (2.2), write an arbitrary $F \in \mathfrak{F}^n$, $n \geq 1$, as

$$F(\zeta, y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2n} \zeta^\nu E_\nu(y), \quad E_\nu \in \mathfrak{E}^n,$$

cf. Proposition 1.4, and find the unique $\tilde{E}_\nu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^n$ so that $E_\nu(y) = \tilde{E}_\nu(y)$, see Proposition 1.3. By Lemma 2.4 there are unique $\Phi_\nu \in L^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)^*$ such that $\tilde{E}_\nu(x) = \langle \Phi_\nu, x^{n+1} \rangle$. If $p < n + 1$ then $\Phi_\nu = 0$ and so $\mathfrak{F}^n = (0)$. Otherwise the map

$$\mathfrak{F}^n \ni F \mapsto \sum_0^{2n} \zeta^\nu (d\zeta)^{-n} \otimes \Phi_\nu \in \mathfrak{K}_n \otimes L^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)^*$$

is the inverse of the map given in (2.2), so (2.2) indeed induces an isomorphism. Finally, the posthomogeneous expansion of an arbitrary $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ is

$$F = \sum_0^\infty F_n = \sum_0^{[p-1]} F_n,$$

which completes the proof.

3. CUSPIDAL COCYCLES

In this section we shall construct an isomorphism between $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ and a space of holomorphic Čech cocycles on $L\mathbb{P}_1$. We represent \mathbb{P}_1 as $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Constant loops constitute a submanifold of $L\mathbb{P}_1$, that we identify with \mathbb{P}_1 . If $a, b, \dots \in \mathbb{P}_1$, set $U_{ab\dots} = \mathbb{P}_1 \setminus \{a, b, \dots\}$. Thus LU_a , $a \in \mathbb{P}_1$, form an open cover of $L\mathbb{P}_1$, with $LU_\infty = L\mathbb{C}$ a Fréchet algebra. If $g \in G$ then $g(LU_a) = LU_{ga}$.

Suppose we are given $v: \mathbb{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, finitely many $a, b, \dots \in \mathbb{P}_1$, and a function $u: LU_{ab\dots} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If ∞ is among a, b, \dots , let us say that u is v -cuspidal at ∞ if $u(x + \lambda) \rightarrow v(\infty)$ as $\mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \rightarrow \infty$, for all $x \in LU_{ab\dots}$; and in general, that u is v -cuspidal if g^*u is g^*v -cuspidal at ∞ for all $g \in G$ that maps one of a, b, \dots to ∞ . When $v \equiv 0$ we simply speak of cuspidal functions.

Proposition 3.1. *Given a closed $f \in C_{0,1}^\infty(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ and $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_1)$ such that $\bar{\partial}v = f|_{\mathbb{P}_1}$, for each $a \in \mathbb{P}_1$ there is a unique v -cuspidal $u_a \in C^\infty(LU_a)$ that solves $\bar{\partial}u_a = f|_{LU_a}$. Furthermore, $u_a|_{U_a} = v|_{U_a}$, and $u(a, x) = u_a(x)$ is smooth in (a, x) , holomorphic in a .*

Proof. Uniqueness follows since for fixed $g \in G$, $y \in L\mathbb{C}$, on the line $\{g(y + \lambda): \lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1\}$ the $\bar{\partial}$ equation is uniquely solvable up to an additive constant, which constant is determined

by the cuspidal condition. To construct u_a , fix a $g \in G$ with $g\infty = a$, let $Y = \{y \in L\mathbb{C} : y(0) = 0\}$ and

$$P_g : \mathbb{P}_1 \times Y \ni (\lambda, y) \mapsto g(y + \lambda) \in L\mathbb{P}_1,$$

a biholomorphism between $\mathbb{C} \times Y$ and LU_a . Setting $f_g = P_g^* f$, by [L1, Theorem 5.4] on the \mathbb{P}_1 bundle $\mathbb{P}_1 \times Y$ the equation $\bar{\partial}u_g = f_g$ has a unique smooth solution satisfying $u_g(\infty, x) = v(a)$. It follows that $u_a = (P_g^{-1})^*(u_g|_{\mathbb{C} \times Y})$ solves $\bar{\partial}u_a = f|_{LU_a}$. Also, g^*u_a is g^*v -cuspidal at ∞ . On U_a both u_a and v solve the same $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, and have the same limit at a , hence $u_a|_{U_a} = v|_{U_a}$.

One can also consider

$$P : \mathbb{P}_1 \times G \times Y \ni (\lambda, g, y) \mapsto g(y + \lambda) \in L\mathbb{P}_1$$

and $f' = P^* f$. Again by [L1, Theorem 5.4], on the \mathbb{P}_1 bundle $\mathbb{P}_1 \times G \times Y$ the equation $\bar{\partial}u' = f'$ has a smooth solution satisfying $u'(\infty, g, x) = v(g\infty)$. Uniqueness of u_g implies $u'(\lambda, g, x) = u_g(\lambda, x)$, whence $u_g(\lambda, x)$ depends smoothly on (λ, g, x) , and $u_a(x)$ on (a, x) . Furthermore, u' is holomorphic on $P^{-1}(x)$ for any x . In particular, if $g \in G$ with $g\infty = a$ is chosen to depend holomorphically on a (which can be done locally), then it follows that $u_a(x) = u'(g^{-1}x(0), g, g^{-1}x - g^{-1}x(0))$ is holomorphic in a .

Since f determines v up to an additive constant, we can uniquely associate with f the Čech cocycle $\mathfrak{f} = (u_a - u_b : a, b \in \mathbb{P}_1)$. The components of \mathfrak{f} are cuspidal holomorphic functions on LU_{ab} . One easily verifies

Proposition 3.2. *f is exact if and only if $\mathfrak{f} = 0$. Hence \mathfrak{f} depends only on the cohomology class $[f] \in H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. The components $h_{ab}([f], x)$ of \mathfrak{f} depend holomorphically on $a, b \in \mathbb{P}_1$ and $x \in LU_{ab}$, and satisfy the transformation formula*

$$(3.1) \quad h_{ga,gb}([f], gx) = h_{ab}(g^*[f], x), \quad g \in G, \quad x \in LU_{ab}.$$

Set

$$\Omega = \{(a, b, x) \in \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times L\mathbb{P}_1 : a, b \notin x(S^1)\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{H} denote the space of those holomorphic cocycles $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{ab})_{a,b \in \mathbb{P}_1}$ of the covering $\{LU_a\}$, for which $\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x)$ depends holomorphically on a, b , and $x \in LU_{ab}$, and each \mathfrak{h}_{ab} is cuspidal. Then $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, with the compact open topology, is a complete, separated, locally convex space. The action of G on Ω induces a G -module structure on \mathfrak{H} :

$$(3.2) \quad (g^*\mathfrak{h})_{ab}(x) = \mathfrak{h}_{ga,gb}(gx), \quad g \in G.$$

Proposition 3.2 implies the map $[f] \mapsto \mathfrak{f}$ is a monomorphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ of G -modules.

Theorem 3.3. *The map $[f] \mapsto \mathfrak{f}$ is an isomorphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$.*

The proof would be routine if the loop space $L\mathbb{P}_1$ admitted smooth partitions of unity; but a typical loop space does not, see [K]. The proof that we offer here will work only

when the loops in $L\mathbb{P}_1$ are of regularity $W^{1,3}$ at least, and we shall return to the case of $L_{1,p}(\mathbb{P}_1)$, $p < 3$, in Section 6.

Those $g \in G$ that preserve the Fubini–Study metric form a subgroup (isomorphic to) $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. Denote the Haar probability measure on $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ by dg .

Lemma 3.4. *Unless $L\mathbb{P}_1 = L_{1,p}\mathbb{P}_1$, $p < 3$, there is a $\chi \in C^\infty(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ such that $\chi = 0$ in a neighborhood of $L\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus LC = \{x: \infty \in x(S^1)\}$, and $\int_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} g^* \chi dg = 1$.*

Proof. With $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ to be specified later, fix a nonnegative $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho(\tau) = 1$, resp. 0 when $|\tau| < c_0$, resp. $> 2c_0$. For $x \in LC$ let

$$\psi(x) = \rho \left(\int_{S^1} (1 + |x|^2)^{3/4} \right),$$

and define $\psi(x) = 0$ if $x \in L\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus LC$. We claim that ψ vanishes in a neighborhood of an arbitrary $x \in L\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus LC$. This will then also imply that $\psi \in C^\infty(L\mathbb{P}_1)$.

Indeed, suppose $x(t_0) = \infty$. In a neighborhood of $t_0 \in S^1$ the function $z = 1/x$ is $W^{1,3}$, hence Hölder continuous with exponent $2/3$ by the Sobolev Embedding Theorem, [H, Theorem 4.5.12]. In this neighborhood therefore $|x(t)| \geq c|t - t_0|^{-2/3}$, and $\int_{S^1} (1 + |x|^2)^{3/4} = \infty$. When $y \in LC$ is close to x , $\int_{S^1} (1 + |y|^2)^{3/4} > 2c_0$, i.e. $\psi(y) = 0$.

Next we show that for every $x \in L\mathbb{P}_1$ there is a $g \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ with $\psi(gx) > 0$. Let $d(a, b)$ denote the Fubini–Study distance between $a, b \in \mathbb{P}_1$; then with some $c > 0$

$$1 + |\zeta|^2 \leq \frac{c}{d(\zeta, \infty)^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S^1} (1 + |x|^2)^{3/4} \leq c \int_{S^1} d(x, \infty)^{-3/2}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} \int_{S^1} (1 + |gx(t)|^2)^{3/4} dt dg \leq c \int_{S^1} \int_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} d(gx(t), \infty)^{-3/2} dg dt = cI,$$

where, for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}_1$

$$I = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} d(g\zeta, \infty)^{-3/2} dg = \int_{\mathbb{P}_1} d(\cdot, \infty)^{-3/2} < \infty,$$

the last integral with respect to the Fubini–Study area form. If c_0 is chosen $> cI$ then indeed $\int_{S^1} (1 + |gx|^2)^{3/4} < c_0$ and $\psi(gx) = 1$ for some $g \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$.

It follows that $\int_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} \psi(gx) dg > 0$, and we can take $\chi(x) = \psi(x) / \int_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} \psi(gx) dg$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Given $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}$, extend the functions $(g^* \chi) \mathfrak{h}_{a, g\infty}$ from $LU_{a, g\infty}$ to LU_a by zero, and define the cuspidal functions

$$u_a = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} (g^* \chi) \mathfrak{h}_{a, g\infty} dg, \quad a \in \mathbb{P}_1.$$

Then $u_a - u_b = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} (g^* \chi) \mathfrak{h}_{ab} dg = \mathfrak{h}_{ab}$, so that $f = \bar{\partial} u_a$ on LU_a consistently defines a closed $f \in C_{0,1}^\infty(L\mathbb{P}_1)$. It is immediate that the map $\mathfrak{h} \mapsto [f] \in H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ is left inverse to the monomorphism $[f] \mapsto \mathfrak{f}$, whence the theorem follows.

4. THE MAP $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$

Consider an $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}$. The cocycle relation implies that $d_\zeta \mathfrak{h}_{a\zeta}(x)$ is independent of a ; for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ we can write it as

$$(4.1) \quad d_\zeta \mathfrak{h}_{a\zeta}(x) = F\left(\zeta, \frac{1}{\zeta - x}\right) d\zeta, \quad x \in LU_\zeta,$$

where $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \times LC)$. Set $F = \alpha(\mathfrak{h})$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_{aa} = 0$,

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x) = \int_a^b F\left(\zeta, \frac{1}{\zeta - x}\right) d\zeta,$$

provided a, b are in the same component of $\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus x(S^1)$ —that we shall express by saying x does not separate a, b —, and we integrate along a path within this component. The main result of this section is

Theorem 4.1. $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}) = F \in \mathfrak{F}$.

The heart of the matter will be the special case when \mathfrak{h} is in an irreducible submodule $\approx \mathfrak{K}_n$. A vector that corresponds in this isomorphism to $\text{const}(d\zeta)^{-n} \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ is said to be of lowest weight $-n$. Thus, if \mathfrak{l} is of lowest weight $-n \leq 0$, then

$$(4.3) \quad g_\lambda^* \mathfrak{l} = \lambda^{-n} \mathfrak{l}, \quad \text{when } g_\lambda \zeta = \lambda \zeta, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and}$$

$$(4.4) \quad g_\lambda^* \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}, \quad \text{when } g_\lambda \zeta = \zeta + \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Conversely, an $\mathfrak{l} \neq 0$ satisfying (4.3), (4.4) is a lowest weight vector and spans an irreducible submodule, isomorphic to \mathfrak{K}_n , but we shall not need this fact.

If $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathfrak{H}$ satisfies (4.4) then $\mathfrak{l}_{\infty\zeta}(x) = \mathfrak{l}_{\infty, \zeta + \lambda}(x + \lambda)$ by (3.2), whence $d_\zeta \mathfrak{l}_{\infty\zeta}(x)$ depends only on $\zeta - x$, and $\alpha(\mathfrak{l})$ is of form $F(\zeta, y) = E(y)$. If, in addition, \mathfrak{l} satisfies (4.3), then similarly it follows that $E \in \mathcal{O}(LC)$ is homogeneous of degree $n + 1$. We now fix a nonzero lowest weight vector $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathfrak{H}$, the corresponding $(n + 1)$ -homogeneous polynomial E , and its polarization \mathcal{E} , cf. (1.2).

Proposition 4.2. $\mathcal{E}(1 \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n) = 0$, and so $E(y + \text{const}) = E(y)$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{l}_{\infty 0} \in \mathcal{O}(LU_{\infty 0})$ is cuspidal and homogeneous of order $-n$,

$$0 = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{l}_{\infty 0}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda + x}\right) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n \mathfrak{l}_{\infty 0}\left(\frac{1}{1 + x/\lambda}\right).$$

Thus $\mathfrak{l}_{\infty 0}$ vanishes at 1 to order $\geq n + 1$. Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \Big|_{\zeta=0} \mathfrak{l}_{\infty 0}(x - \zeta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \Big|_{\zeta=0} \mathfrak{l}_{\infty \zeta}(x) = E\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

vanishes at $x = 1$ to order $\geq n$, and the same holds for $E(x)$. Differentiating E in the directions y_1, \dots, y_n , we obtain at $x = 1$, as needed, that $n! \mathcal{E}(1 \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n) = 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{K}_n \ni \varphi \mapsto \mathfrak{h}^\varphi \in \mathfrak{H}$ denote the homomorphism that maps $(d\zeta)^{-n}$ to \mathfrak{l} .

Proposition 4.3.

$$(4.5) \quad d_\zeta \mathfrak{h}_{a\zeta}^\varphi(x) = \psi(\zeta) E\left(\frac{1}{\zeta - x}\right) d\zeta, \quad \varphi(\zeta) = \psi(\zeta)(d\zeta)^{-n}.$$

By homogeneity, the right hand side can also be written $\varphi(\zeta) E(d\zeta/(\zeta - x))$.

Proof. Denote the form on the left hand side of (4.5) by ω^φ . In view of (3.2) it transforms under the action of G on $\mathbb{P}_1 \times L\mathbb{P}_1$ as

$$(4.6) \quad g^* \omega^\varphi = \omega^{g\varphi}, \quad g \in G.$$

If we show that the right hand side of (4.5) transforms the same way, then (4.5) will follow, since it holds when $\psi \equiv 1$, see (4.1). In fact, it will suffice to check the transformation formula for $g\zeta = \lambda\zeta$, $g\zeta = \zeta + \lambda$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$), and $g\zeta = 1/\zeta$, maps that generate G . We shall do this for the last map, the most challenging of the three types. The pullback of the right hand side of (4.5) by $g\zeta = 1/\zeta$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (g\varphi)(\zeta) E\left(\frac{d(g\zeta)}{g\zeta - gx}\right) &= (g\varphi)(\zeta) E\left(\frac{-d\zeta/\zeta^2}{(1/\zeta) - (1/x)}\right) \\ &= (g\varphi)(\zeta) E\left(\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - x} - \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}\right) = (g\varphi)(\zeta) E\left(\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - x}\right), \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 4.2, which is what we needed.

The form \mathcal{E} defines a symmetric distribution D on the torus $T = (S^1)^{n+1}$ as in Section 1, cf. (1.14). By (1.15), (4.2), and Proposition 4.3

$$(4.7) \quad \mathfrak{h}_{ab}^\varphi(x) = \int_a^b \psi(\zeta) \left\langle D, \frac{1}{\zeta - x} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{1}{\zeta - x} \right\rangle d\zeta, \quad \varphi = \psi(\zeta)(d\zeta)^{-n},$$

provided $x \in L_\infty U_{ab}$ does not separate a, b . To prove Theorem 4.1, we have to understand $\text{supp } D$. Let

$$O = \{x \in C^\infty(S^1) : \pm i \notin x(S^1)\}, \quad \text{and } O' = \{x \in O : [-i, i] \cap x(S^1) = \emptyset\},$$

where $[-i, i]$ stands for the segment joining $\pm i$.

Lemma 4.4. *With Δ a symmetric distribution on $T = (S^1)^{n+1}$ and $\nu = 0, \dots, 2n - 2$, let*

$$I_\nu(x) = \int_{[-i, i]} \left\langle \Delta, \frac{1}{\zeta - x} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{1}{\zeta - x} \right\rangle \zeta^\nu d\zeta, \quad x \in O'.$$

If each I_ν continues analytically to O then Δ is supported on the diagonal of T .

In preparation to the proof, consider a holomorphic vector field V on O , and observe that VI_ν also continues analytically to O . Such vector fields can be thought of as holomorphic maps $V: O \rightarrow C^\infty(S^1)$. Using the symmetry of Δ we compute

$$(4.8) \quad (VI_\nu)(x) = (n+1) \int_{[-i,i]} \left\langle \Delta, \frac{V(x)}{(\zeta-x)^2} \otimes \frac{1}{\zeta-x} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{1}{\zeta-x} \right\rangle \zeta^\nu d\zeta, \quad x \in O'.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4, case $n = 1$. Let $\bar{s}_0 \neq \bar{s}_1 \in S^1$. To show Δ vanishes near $\bar{s} = (\bar{s}_0, \bar{s}_1)$, construct a smooth family $x_{\varepsilon,s} \in O$ of loops, where $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $s \in T$ is in a neighborhood of \bar{s} , so that

$$(4.9) \quad x_{\varepsilon,s}(\tau) = (-1)^j (\varepsilon^2 + (\tau - s_j)^2), \quad \text{when } \tau \in S^1 \text{ is near } \bar{s}_j, \quad j = 0, 1;$$

here, perhaps abusively, $\tau - s_j$ denotes both a point in $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and its representative in \mathbb{R} that is closest to 0. Make sure that $x_{\varepsilon,s} \in O'$ when $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix $y_0, y_1 \in C^\infty(S^1)$ so that $y_j \equiv 1$ near \bar{s}_j , and (4.9) holds when τ, s_j are in a neighborhood of $\text{supp } y_j$. This forces y_0, y_1 to have disjoint support. With constant vector fields $V_j = y_j$

$$(4.10) \quad (V_1 V_0 I_0)(x) = 2 \int_{[-i,i]} \left\langle \Delta, \frac{y_0}{(\zeta-x)^2} \otimes \frac{y_1}{(\zeta-x)^2} \right\rangle d\zeta, \quad x \in O',$$

analytically continues to O . In particular, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t = (t_0, t_1) \in T$ setting

$$K_\varepsilon(t, s) = \int_{[-i,i]} \frac{y_0(t_0) y_1(t_1) d\zeta}{(\zeta - x_{\varepsilon,s}(t_0))^2 (\zeta - x_{\varepsilon,s}(t_1))^2}, \quad s \text{ near } \bar{s},$$

it follows that $\langle \Delta, K_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) \rangle$ stays bounded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, if $\rho \in C^\infty(T)$ is supported in a sufficiently small neighborhood of \bar{s} ,

$$(4.11) \quad \langle \Delta, \varepsilon^4 \int_T K_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) \rho(s) ds \rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand we shall show that for such ρ

$$(4.12) \quad \varepsilon^4 \int_T K_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) \rho(s) ds \rightarrow c\rho, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

in the topology of $C^\infty(T)$; here $c \neq 0$ is a constant.

It will suffice to verify (4.12) on $\text{supp } y_0 \otimes y_1$, since both sides vanish on the complement. Thus we shall work on small neighborhoods of \bar{s} ; we can pretend $\bar{s} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and work on \mathbb{R}^2 instead of T . When $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are close to \bar{s} , the left hand side of (4.12) becomes

$$(4.13) \quad \varepsilon^4 y_0(t_0) y_1(t_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{[-i,i]} \frac{\rho(s) d\zeta ds}{(\zeta - \varepsilon^2 - (s_0 - t_0)^2)^2 (\zeta + \varepsilon^2 + (s_1 - t_1)^2)^2}.$$

Substituting $s = t + \varepsilon u$ and $\zeta = \varepsilon^2 \xi$, we compute the limit in (4.12) is

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} y_0(t_0) y_1(t_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{[-i/\varepsilon^2, i/\varepsilon^2]} \frac{\rho(t + \varepsilon u) d\xi du}{(\xi - 1 - u_0^2)^2 (\xi + 1 + u_1^2)^2} \\ & = 4\pi i y_0(t_0) y_1(t_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\rho(t) du}{(2 + u_0^2 + u_1^2)^3} = c\rho(t), \end{aligned}$$

if $y_0 \otimes y_1 = 1$ on $\text{supp } \rho$. This limit is first seen to hold uniformly. However, since the integral operator in (4.13) is a convolution, in (4.14) in fact all derivatives converge uniformly. Now (4.11) and (4.12) imply $\langle \Delta, \rho \rangle = 0$, so that Δ vanishes close to \bar{s} , q.e.d.

Proof of Lemma 4.4, general n . The base case $n = 1$ settled and the statement being vacuous when $n = 0$, we prove by induction. Assume the Lemma holds on the n -dimensional torus, and with $y \in C^\infty(S^1)$, consider holomorphic vector fields $V_\mu(x) = yx^\mu$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2$. (These vector fields continue to all of $L\mathbb{P}_1$, and generate the Lie algebra of the loop group LG .) In view of (4.8), for $x \in O'$

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{[-i, i]} \left\langle \Delta, y \otimes \frac{1}{\zeta - x} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{1}{\zeta - x} \right\rangle \zeta^\nu d\zeta = \frac{1}{n+1} (V_0 I_{\nu+2} - 2V_1 I_{\nu+1} + V_2 I_\nu).$$

Therefore the left hand side continues analytically to O , provided $\nu = 0, \dots, 2n - 4$. If Δ^y denotes the distribution on $(S^1)^n$ defined by $\langle \Delta^y, \rho \rangle = \langle \Delta, y \otimes \rho \rangle$, the left hand side of (4.15) is

$$\int_{[-i, i]} \left\langle \Delta^y, \frac{1}{\zeta - x} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{1}{\zeta - x} \right\rangle \zeta^\nu d\zeta.$$

The inductive hypothesis implies Δ^y is supported on the diagonal of $(S^1)^n$. This being true for all y , the symmetric distribution Δ itself must be supported on the diagonal.

Corollary 4.5. *The distribution D in (4.7) is supported on the diagonal of T .*

Proof of Theorem 4.1. First assume that $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}$ is in an irreducible submodule $\approx \mathfrak{K}_n$, and $\mathfrak{l} \neq 0$ is a lowest weight vector in this submodule. Thus $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^\varphi$ with some $\varphi \in \mathfrak{K}_n$, $\varphi(\zeta) = \psi(\zeta)(d\zeta)^{-n}$. With \mathfrak{l} we associated an $(n+1)$ -homogeneous polynomial E on $L\mathbb{C}$ and a distribution D on $(S^1)^{n+1}$. By Proposition 4.3 $F(\zeta, y) = \psi(\zeta)E(y)$, and so $F(\zeta, y + \text{const}) = F(\zeta, y)$ by Proposition 4.2. Since $\deg \psi \leq 2n$, $F(\zeta/\lambda, \lambda^2 y) = O(\lambda^2)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Finally, take $x, y \in L\mathbb{C}$ with disjoint support. If $x, y \in C^\infty(S^1)$,

$$E(x + y) = \langle D, (x + y)^{\otimes n+1} \rangle = \langle D, x^{\otimes n+1} \rangle + \langle D, y^{\otimes n+1} \rangle = E(x) + E(y),$$

as $\text{supp } D$ is on the diagonal. By approximation $E(x + y) = E(x) + E(y)$ follows in general, whence F itself is additive. We conclude $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ if \mathfrak{h} is in an irreducible submodule.

By linearity it follows that $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ whenever \mathfrak{h} is in the span of irreducible submodules. Since this span is dense in \mathfrak{H} (cf. [BD, III.5.7] and the explanation in the Introduction connecting representations of G with those of the compact group $\text{SO}(3)$), $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}$.

Theorem 4.6. *The map α is a G -morphism.*

Proof. It suffices to verify that the restriction of α to an irreducible submodule of \mathfrak{H} is a G -morphism, which follows directly from Proposition 4.3.

5. THE STRUCTURE OF \mathfrak{H}

The main result of this Section is

Theorem 5.1. *The G -morphism $\alpha: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ has a right inverse β . Its kernel is one dimensional, spanned by the G -invariant cocycle*

$$\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x) = \text{ind}_{ab}x$$

(= the winding number of $x: S^1 \rightarrow U_{ab}$).

We shall need the following

Lemma 5.2. *With notation as in Section 1, suppose $z_1, \dots, z_N \in L^- \mathbb{C}$ are such that no point in S^1 is contained in the support of more than two z_j . If $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ then*

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{F}(\zeta, \sum_{j=1}^N z_j) = \sum_{i < j} \tilde{F}(\zeta, z_i + z_j) - (N-2) \sum_{j=1}^N \tilde{F}(\zeta, z_j).$$

In particular, if $N \geq 3$, and writing $z_0 = z_N$ only consecutive $\text{supp } z_j$'s intersect each other, then

$$\tilde{F}(\zeta, \sum_1^N z_j) = \sum_1^N \tilde{F}(\zeta, z_{j-1} + z_j) - \sum_1^N \tilde{F}(\zeta, z_j).$$

Proof. It will suffice to verify (5.2) when $\tilde{F}(\zeta, z) = \tilde{E}(z)$ is homogeneous, in which case it follows by expressing both sides in terms of the polarization of \tilde{E} , and using Lemma 1.2a. The second formula follows from (5.2) by applying additivity to terms with non consecutive i, j .

Proof of Theorem 5.1. (a) Construction of the right inverse. By Theorem 1.1, for $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ we can choose $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$, depending linearly on F , so that $F(\zeta, y) = \tilde{F}(\zeta, \dot{y})$. With $x \in L\mathbb{P}_1$ consider the differential form

$$(5.3) \quad F\left(\zeta, \frac{1}{\zeta - x}\right) d\zeta = \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{\dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta,$$

holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus x(S^1)$. In fact, it is holomorphic at $\zeta = \infty$ as well, provided $\infty \notin x(S^1)$, since the coefficient of $d\zeta$ vanishes to second order at $\zeta = \infty$. This latter is easily verified

when $\tilde{F}(\zeta, z) = \zeta^\nu \tilde{E}(z)$ and \tilde{E} is $(n+1)$ -homogeneous, $\nu \leq 2n$; in general it follows from the posthomogeneous expansion

$$\tilde{F}(\zeta, z) = \sum_0^\infty \tilde{F}_n(\zeta, z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{\nu=0}^{2n} \zeta^\nu \tilde{E}_{n\nu}(\zeta).$$

Hence, if $x \in L\mathbb{P}_1$ does not separate a and b , the integral

$$(5.4) \quad h_{ab}(x) = \int_a^b \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{\dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta$$

is independent of the path joining a, b within $\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus x(S^1)$, and defines a holomorphic function of a, b , and x .

We claim that h_{ab} can be continued to a cuspidal cocycle $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{H}$. First we prove a variant. Let $\sigma \in C^\infty(S^1)$ be supported in a closed arc $I \neq S^1$. Given finitely many $a, b, \dots \in \mathbb{P}_1$, set

$$W_{ab\dots} = \{x \in L\mathbb{P}_1 : a, b, \dots \notin x(I)\} \supset LU_{ab\dots}$$

We shall show that the integrals

$$(5.5) \quad \int_a^b \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{\sigma \dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta, \quad x \text{ does not separate } a, b,$$

can be continued to functions $\mathfrak{k}_{ab}(x)$ depending holomorphically on $a, b \in \mathbb{P}_1$, and $x \in W_{ab}$. The main point will be that, unlike $LU_{ab\dots}$, the sets $W_{ab\dots}$ are connected.

If $x_1 \in W_{ab}$, construct a continuous curve $[0, 1] \ni \tau \mapsto x_\tau \in W_{ab}$, $x_0 = \text{constant loop}$. Cover S^1 with open arcs $J_1, \dots, J_N = J_0$, $N \geq 3$, so that only consecutive \bar{J}_j 's intersect, and no $x_\tau(\bar{J}_i \cup \bar{J}_j)$ separates a and b . Choose a C^∞ partition of unity $\{\rho_j\}$ subordinate to $\{J_j\}$. For x in a connected neighborhood $W \subset W_{ab}$ of $\{x_\tau : 0 \leq \tau \leq 1\}$ define

$$(5.6) \quad \mathfrak{k}_{ab}(x) = \sum_1^N \int_a^b \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{(\rho_{j-1} + \rho_j)\sigma \dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta - \sum_1^N \int_a^b \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{\rho_j \sigma \dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta.$$

In the first sum we extend $(\rho_{j-1} + \rho_j)\sigma \dot{x}/(\zeta - x)^2$ to $S^1 \setminus (J_{j-1} \cup J_j)$ by 0, and integrate along paths in $\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus x(\bar{J}_{j-1} \cup \bar{J}_j)$; we interpret the second sum similarly. The neighborhood W is to be chosen so small that no $x(\bar{J}_i \cup \bar{J}_j)$ separates a and b when $x \in W$.

As above, the integrals in (5.6) are independent of the path, and define a holomorphic function in W . By Lemma 5.2, \mathfrak{k}_{ab} agrees with (5.5) when x is near x_0 . Furthermore, the germ of \mathfrak{k}_{ab} at x_1 depends on the curve x_τ only through the choice of the ρ_j . In fact, it does not even depend on ρ_j : let \mathfrak{k}'_{ab} be the function obtained if in (5.6) the ρ_j are replaced by another partition of unity ρ'_h . It will suffice to show that $\mathfrak{k}_{ab} = \mathfrak{k}'_{ab}$ under the additional assumption that each ρ'_h is supported in some J_j . In this case \mathfrak{k}'_{ab} is holomorphic in W and agrees with \mathfrak{k}_{ab} near x_0 , hence on all of W .

Therefore, by varying the partition of unity ρ_j , we can use (5.6) to define $\mathfrak{k}_{ab}(x)$ depending holomorphically on $a, b \in \mathbb{P}_1$, and $x \in W_{ab}$. Also, $\mathfrak{k}_{ab} + \mathfrak{k}_{bc} = \mathfrak{k}_{ac}$ on W_{abc} , since this is so in a neighborhood of constant loops, and W_{abc} is connected.

Now, to obtain a continuation of h_{ab} in (5.4), construct a partition of unity $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in C^\infty(S^1)$, so that $\text{supp}(\sigma_i + \sigma_j) \neq S^1$ and $\bigcap_1^3 \text{supp} \sigma_j = \emptyset$. Setting $\sigma_0 = \sigma_3$, in light of Lemma 5.2 we can rewrite (5.4)

$$h_{ab}(x) = \sum_1^3 \int_a^b \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{(\sigma_{j-1} + \sigma_j)\dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta - \sum_1^3 \int_a^b \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{\sigma_j \dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta,$$

and continue each term to LU_{ab} , as above. We obtain a holomorphic cocycle $\beta(F) = \mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{ab})$, with \mathfrak{h}_{ab} depending holomorphically on a, b , and one easily checks that each \mathfrak{h}_{ab} is cuspidal. Therefore $\beta(F) \in \mathfrak{H}$. Finally, $\alpha\beta(F)$ can be computed by considering $d_\zeta \mathfrak{h}_{a\zeta}(x)$ with a in the same component of $\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus x(S^1)$ as ζ , so that (5.4) gives

$$d_\zeta \mathfrak{h}_{a\zeta}(x) = d_\zeta h_{a\zeta}(x) = \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{\dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta = F\left(\zeta, \frac{1}{\zeta - x}\right) d\zeta.$$

Thus $\alpha\beta(F) = F$ as needed.

(b) The kernel of α . Take an irreducible submodule of $\text{Ker } \alpha$, spanned by a vector \mathfrak{l} of lowest weight $-n \leq 0$. Since $F = \alpha(\mathfrak{l}) = 0$, (4.2) implies $\mathfrak{l}_{ab}(x) = 0$ if x does not separate a, b ; hence, by analytic continuation, whenever $\text{ind}_{ab} x = 0$. By the cocycle relation $\mathfrak{l}_{ac}(x) = \mathfrak{l}_{bc}(x)$ if $\text{ind}_{ab} x = 0$, i.e., if $\text{ind}_{ac} x = \text{ind}_{bc} x$.

Consider the components of $LU_{0\infty}$

$$X_r = \{x \in LU_{0\infty} : \text{ind}_{0\infty} x = r\}, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let

$$(5.7) \quad x_1(t) = e^{irt}, \quad y(t) = e^{2irt} + e^{3irt-4}.$$

We shall shortly show that whenever $x \in LU_{0\infty}$ is in a sufficiently small neighborhood of x_1 , and $(\kappa, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus (0, 0)$, then $z_{\kappa\lambda} = \kappa x + \lambda y \in X_r + \mathbb{C}$. It follows that with such x, y we can define $h(\kappa, \lambda) = \mathfrak{l}_{a\infty}(z_{\kappa\lambda})$, where a is chosen so that $\text{ind}_{a\infty} z_{\kappa\lambda} = r$. Thus $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus (0, 0))$, and by Hartogs' theorem it extends to all of \mathbb{C}^2 ; also, it is homogeneous of degree $-n$. It follows that h is constant, indeed zero when $n > 0$. In all cases $\mathfrak{l}_{0\infty}(x) = h(1, 0) = h(0, 1)$ is independent of x . This being true for x in a nonempty open set, $\mathfrak{l}_{0\infty}$ is constant on X_r . It follows that $\mathfrak{l}_{a\infty}(x) = \mathfrak{l}_{0\infty}(x - a)$ is locally constant, and so is $\mathfrak{l}_{ab} = \mathfrak{l}_{a\infty} - \mathfrak{l}_{b\infty}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{l}_{ab} = 0$ unless $n = 0$.

Suppose now $n = 0$, and let $\mathfrak{l}_{0\infty}|_{X_1} = l \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $\mathfrak{l}_{a\infty}(x) = \mathfrak{l}_{0\infty}(x - a) = l$ if $\text{ind}_{a\infty} x = 1$. Choose a homeomorphic $x \in L\mathbb{C}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus x(S^1)$ so that $\text{ind}_{ab} x = 1$; say b is in the unbounded component. Then $\mathfrak{l}_{ab}(x) = \mathfrak{l}_{a\infty}(x) - \mathfrak{l}_{b\infty}(x) = l$, and the same will hold if x is slightly perturbed. It follows that $\mathfrak{l}_{ab}(x) = l$ whenever $\text{ind}_{ab} x = 1$, and in

this case $\iota_{ba}(x) = -l$. Finally, with a generic $y \in LU_{ab}$ choose $a_0 = a, a_1, \dots, a_m = b$ in $\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus y(S^1)$ so that $\text{ind}_{a_{j-1}a_j} y = \pm 1$. Then

$$\iota_{ab}(y) = \sum_1^m \iota_{a_{j-1}a_j}(y) = l \sum_1^m \text{ind}_{a_{j-1}a_j} y = l \text{ind}_{ab} y.$$

The upshot is that any irreducible submodule of $\text{Ker } \alpha$ is spanned by \mathfrak{h} in (5.1), whence $\text{Ker } \alpha$ itself is spanned by \mathfrak{h} , as claimed.

We still owe the proof that $\kappa x + \lambda y \in X_r + \mathbb{C}$ unless $\kappa = \lambda = 0$, for x near x_1 and y given in (5.7). In fact, the general statement follows once we prove it for $r = 1$ and $x = x_1$, that we henceforward assume. If $|\kappa| \geq 2|\lambda|$ then $z_{\kappa\lambda} \in X_1$ by Rouché's theorem. Otherwise consider the polynomial

$$P(\zeta) = \kappa\zeta + \lambda(\zeta^2 + e^{-4}\zeta^3), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For fixed $|\zeta| < 2$ the equation $P(\eta) = P(\zeta)$ has two solutions with $|\eta| < 5$, again by Rouché's theorem. One of the solutions is $\eta = \zeta$. Let $\eta = R(\zeta)$ be the other one, so that R is holomorphic. There are only finitely many ζ with $|\zeta| = |R(\zeta)| = 1$. Indeed, otherwise $|R(\zeta)| = 1$ would hold for all unimodular ζ , and by the reflection principle R would be rational. However, $P(R(\zeta)) = P(\zeta)$ cannot hold with rational $R(\zeta) \neq \zeta$. We conclude that $z_{\kappa\lambda}(S^1)$ has only finitely many self-intersection points.

Since $P(0) = 0$, $\text{ind}_{0\infty} z_{\kappa\lambda} \geq 1$. Drag a point a from 0 to ∞ along a path that avoids multiple points of $z_{\kappa\lambda}(S^1)$. Each time we cross $z_{\kappa\lambda}(S^1)$, $\text{ind}_{a\infty} z_{\kappa\lambda}$ changes by ± 1 . It follows that $\text{ind}_{a\infty} z_{\kappa\lambda} = 1$ for some a , which completes the proof.

For the space $L_{1,p}\mathbb{P}_1$ Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and the construction in Theorem 5.1 lead to explicit representations of elements of \mathfrak{H} . First there are the multiples of the cocycle (5.1), and then there is the complementary subspace $\beta(\mathfrak{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \leq p-1} \beta(\mathfrak{F}^n)$, see Theorem 2.2. According to Theorems 2.1, 2.2 elements of \mathfrak{F}^n are of form

$$F(\zeta, y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2n} \zeta^\nu \langle \Phi_\nu, \dot{y}^{n+1} \rangle, \quad \Phi_\nu \in L^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)^*.$$

Following the proof of Theorem 5.1, to compute $\mathfrak{h} = \beta(F)$ we set $\tilde{F}(\zeta, z) = \sum_\nu \zeta^\nu \langle \Phi_\nu, z^{n+1} \rangle$. The substitution $\zeta = \xi + c$ shows that

$$R_\nu(a, b, c) = \int_a^b \frac{\zeta^\nu d\zeta}{(\zeta - c)^{2n+2}}, \quad 0 \leq \nu \leq 2n, \quad c \in \mathbb{P}_1 \setminus \{a, b\},$$

are rational functions with poles at $c = a, b$, so that

$$\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x) = \int_a^b \tilde{F}\left(\zeta, \frac{\dot{x}}{(\zeta - x)^2}\right) d\zeta = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2n} \langle \Phi_\nu, R_\nu(a, b, x) \dot{x}^{n+1} \rangle,$$

when x does not separate a, b . However, the right hand side makes sense for any $x \in LU_{ab}$ and, as one checks, defines $\mathfrak{h} = \beta(F)$. For example, if F , hence \mathfrak{h} are of lowest weight, then $\Phi_\nu = 0$ for $\nu \geq 1$, and

$$(5.8) \quad \mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x) = \left\langle \Phi_0, \frac{\dot{x}^{n+1}}{2n+1} \left(\frac{1}{(x-a)^{2n+1}} - \frac{1}{(x-b)^{2n+1}} \right) \right\rangle.$$

Letting $n = 0$ and $\langle \Phi_0, z \rangle = \int_{S^1} z/2\pi i$, formula (5.8) recovers the locally constant cocycle (5.1) as well. Thus we proved

Theorem 5.3. *In the case of $W^{1,p}$ loop spaces, any lowest weight cocycle in the n 'th isotypical subspace $\mathfrak{H}^n \subset \mathfrak{H}$ is of form (5.8) with (a unique) $\Phi_0 \in L^{p/(n+1)}(S^1)$, $0 \leq n \leq p-1$.*

6. SYNTHESIS

In this last section we show how the results obtained by now imply the theorems of the Introduction. Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 follow from the isomorphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \approx \mathfrak{H}$ of G -modules (Theorem 3.3) and from the isomorphism $\mathfrak{H} \approx \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{F}$, a consequence of Theorem 5.1. In particular, $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)^G \approx \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{F}^0$. The latter being isomorphic to the dual of $L^-\mathbb{C} = C^{k-1}(S^1)$, resp. $W^{k-1,p}(S^1)$ by Theorem 2.1, Theorem 0.3 also follows. Finally, Theorem 0.4 is a consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.1.

Seemingly we are done with all the proofs. However, Theorem 3.3 has not yet been proved for loop spaces $L_{1,p}\mathbb{P}_1$, $p < 3$, and we still have to revisit spaces of loops of low regularity. This will give us the opportunity to explicitly represent classes in $H^{0,1}(L_{1,p}\mathbb{P}_1)$, in fact, for all $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Generally, given a complex manifold M , $1 \leq p < \infty$, and a natural number $m \leq p$, consider the space $C_{0,q}^\infty((T^*M)^{\otimes m})$ of $(T^*M)^{\otimes m}$ valued $(0, q)$ forms on M . If ω is such a form, $v \in \oplus^q T_s^{0,1}M$, and $w \in T_s^{1,0}M$, we can pair $\omega(v) \in (T_s^*M)^{\otimes m}$ with $w^{\otimes m}$, to obtain what we shall denote $\omega(v, w^m) \in \mathbb{C}$. Write LM for the space of $W^{1,p}$ loops in M , and observe that the tangent space $T_x^{0,1}LM$ is naturally isomorphic to the space $W^{1,p}(x^*T^{0,1}M)$ of $W^{1,p}$ sections of the induced bundle $x^*T^{0,1}M \rightarrow S^1$ (see [L2, Proposition 2.2] in the case of C^k loops).

There is a bilinear map

$$I = I_q: L^{p/m}(S^1)^* \times C_{0,q}^\infty((T^*M)^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow C_{0,q}^\infty(LM),$$

obtained by the following Radon type transformation. If

$$(\Phi, \omega) \in L^{p/m}(S^1)^* \times C_{0,q}^\infty((T^*M)^{\otimes m}),$$

$x \in LM$, and $\xi \in \oplus^q T_x^{0,1}LM \approx \oplus^q W^{1,p}(x^*T^{0,1}M)$, then $\omega(\xi, \dot{x}^m) \in L^{p/m}(S^1)$. Define $I(\Phi, \omega) = f$ by

$$f(\xi) = \langle \Phi, \omega(\xi, \dot{x}^m) \rangle.$$

One verifies that $\bar{\partial}I(\Phi, \omega) = I(\Phi, \bar{\partial}\omega)$, whence I_q induces a bilinear map

$$L^{p/m}(S^1)^* \times H^{0,q}((T^*M)^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^{0,q}(LM).$$

Henceforward we take $M = \mathbb{P}_1$, $q = 1$, $m = n + 1$, and ω given on \mathbb{C} by

$$\omega = \frac{-1}{2n+1} \frac{\bar{\zeta}^{2n} d\bar{\zeta} \otimes (d\zeta)^{n+1}}{(1 + |\zeta|^{4n+2})^{(2n+2)/(2n+1)}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C},$$

so that $f = I_1(\Phi, \omega)$ is a closed form on $L\mathbb{P}_1$. Explicitly,

$$(6.1) \quad f(\xi) = \frac{-1}{2n+1} \left\langle \Phi, \frac{\xi \bar{x}^{2n} \dot{x}^{n+1}}{(1 + |x|^{2n+2})^{(2n+2)/(2n+1)}} \right\rangle, \quad \xi \in T_x^{0,1} L\mathbb{P}_1.$$

To compute its image in \mathfrak{H} under the map of Theorem 3.3, let

$$\theta_a = \frac{1}{2n+1} \left(\frac{\zeta^{-2n-1}}{(1 + |\zeta|^{4n+2})^{1/(2n+1)}} - \zeta^{-2n-1} + (\zeta - a)^{-2n-1} \right) (d\zeta)^{n+1} \quad \text{on } U_a.$$

Thus $\bar{\partial}\theta_a = \omega|_{U_a}$, and the cuspidal functions $u_a = I_0(\Phi, \theta_a) \in C^\infty(LU_a)$ solve $\bar{\partial}u_a = f|_{LU_a}$. Hence the image of f in \mathfrak{H} is

$$\mathfrak{h}_{ab}(x) = u_a(x) - u_b(x) = \left\langle \Phi, \frac{\dot{x}^{n+1}}{2n+1} \left(\frac{1}{(x-a)^{2n+1}} - \frac{1}{(x-b)^{2n+1}} \right) \right\rangle.$$

Comparing this with Theorem 5.3 we see that by associating with a lowest weight $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}^n$ the functional $\Phi = \Phi_0$ of (5.8), and then $f \in C_{0,1}^\infty(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ of (6.1), the image of f in \mathfrak{H} will be \mathfrak{h} . In particular, the class $[f] \in H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$ is also of lowest weight $-n$. Therefore the linear map $\mathfrak{h} \mapsto [f]$, defined for $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}^n$ of lowest weight, can be extended to a G -morphism $\mathfrak{H}^n \rightarrow H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$, and then to a G -morphism $\bigoplus_{n \leq p-1} \mathfrak{H}^n = \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1)$, inverse to the morphism $H^{0,1}(L\mathbb{P}_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ of Theorem 3.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3, and now we are really done.

REFERENCES

- [BD] T. Bröcker, T. tom Dieck, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [D] M.M. Day, *The space L^p with $0 < p < 1$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 816-823.
- [H] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [HBJ] F. Hirzebruch, T. Berger, R. Jung, *Manifolds and modular forms*, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1992.
- [K] J. Kurzweil, *On approximations in real Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **14** (1954), 214-231.
- [L1] L. Lempert, *The Dolbeault complex in infinite dimensions, I*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), 485-520.
- [L2] ———, *Holomorphic functions on (generalised) loop spaces*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. (to appear).

- [MZ] J. Millson, B. Zombro, *A Kähler structure on the moduli space of isometric maps of a circle into Euclidean space*, Invent. Math. **123** (1996), 35–59.
- [P] R. Palais, *Foundations of global nonlinear analysis*, Benjamin, Inc., New York–Amsterdam, 1968.
- [W] E. Witten, *The index of the Dirac operator in loop space*, Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1326, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 161–181.
- [Z] N. Zhang, *Holomorphic line bundles on the loop space of the Riemann sphere*, J. Diff. Geom. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907 USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92521 USA