

GEOMETRIC CRYSTALS ON UNIPOTENT GROUPS AND GENERALIZED YOUNG TABLEAUX

NAKASHIMA Toshiki *

Department of Mathematics,
Sophia University, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan
e-mail: toshiki@mm.sophia.ac.jp

Abstract

We define geometric/unipotent crystal structure on unipotent subgroups of semi-simple algebraic groups. We shall show that in A_n -case, their ultra-discretizations coincide with crystals obtained by generalizing Young tableaux.

Key words: Geometric crystal, Unipotent groups, Generalized Young tableaux

1 Introduction

The notion of crystals is initiated by Kashiwara ([3],[4],[5]), which influences over many areas in mathematics, in particular, combinatorics and representation theory, *e.g.*, combinatorics of Young tableaux(= semi-standard tableaux), piece-wise linear combinatorics, *etc.* Indeed, in [7], we succeed in describing the crystal bases for classical quantum algebras by using Young tableaux. One feature of crystal theory is that it produces many piece-wise linear formulae ([5],[11],[12],[13]).

Theory of geometric crystals is introduced by Berenstein and Kazhdan [1] in semi-simple setting and is extended to Kac-Moody setting in [10], which is a kind of geometric analogue of Kashiwara's crystal theory. More precisely, let G be a Kac-Moody group over \mathbb{C} , T be its maximal torus and I be a finite index set of its simple roots. For an ind-(algebraic)variety X , morphisms $e_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times X \rightarrow X$ ($i \in I$) and $\gamma : X \rightarrow T$, the triplet $(X, \gamma, \{e_i\}_{i \in I})$ is called a *geometric crystal* if they satisfy the conditions as in Definition 2.2. Geometric crystals are not only analogy of crystals, but also has certain categorical correspondence to crystals, which is called a tropicalization/ultra-discretization. It is so remarkable that this correspondence reproduces several piece-wise linear formulae in the theory of crystals from subtraction free(=positive) rational formulae in geometric crystals ([10]) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{Geometric Crystals}\} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{ultra-discretization}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{tropicalization}} \end{array} & \{\text{Crystals}\} \\ x \times y, x/y, x + y & & x + y, x - y, \max(x, y) \end{array}$$

Furthermore, this correspondence reproduces the tensor product structure of crystals from the product structure of geometric crystals ([1]).

Let B be a Borel subgroup of G and W be the Weyl group associated with G . Any finite Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w \subset X := G/B$ has a natural geometric crystal structure([1],[10]). Then, in semi-simple setting we know that the whole flag variety $X := G/B$ holds a geometric crystal structure. But, in general Kac-Moody setting, we do not have any natural geometric crystal structure on the flag variety X . The opposite unipotent subgroup U^- can be seen as

*supported in part by JSPS Grants in Aid for Scientific Research

an open dense subset of X . In this paper, we present some sufficient condition for existence of geometric(unipotent) crystal structure on U^- and then on X , which is described as follows: if there exists a morphism $\mathcal{T} : U^- \rightarrow T$ satisfying the condition as in Lemma 3.2, then we obtain U -morphism $F : U^- \rightarrow B^-$ and then the associated unipotent crystal structure, which means the existence of a geometric crystal structure on U^- . In semi-simple cases, there exists such morphism which is given by matrix coefficients. In particular, for $G = SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ case, we present its geometric crystal structure explicitly and reveal that it corresponds to the crystals called *generalized Young tableaux*, which is a sort of “limit” of usual Young tableaux and forms a free \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. In more general cases, *e.g.*, affine cases, the existence of such morphisms is not yet known, which is our further problem.

The article is organized as follows: in Sect.2, we review the notion of geometric crystals, unipotent crystals and the tropicalization/ultra-discretization correspondence. In Sect.3, we consider geometric crystal on a unipotent subgroup $U^- \subset G$ and in Sect.4, the explicit geometric crystal structure on $U^- \subset SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ is described. In the final section, we give a tropicalization/ultra-discretization correspondence between geometric crystals on U^- and generalized Young tableaux.

The author would acknowledge M.Kashiwara for valuable discussions and comments.

2 Geometric Crystals and Unipotent Crystals

2.1 Kac-Moody algebras and Kac-Moody groups

Fix a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$, where I be a finite index set. Let $(\mathfrak{t}, \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I})$ be the associated root data, where \mathfrak{t} be the vector space over \mathbb{C} with dimension $|I| + \text{corank}(A)$, and the set of simple roots $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ and the set of simple co-roots $\{h_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ are linearly independent indexed sets satisfying $\alpha_i(h_j) = a_{ij}$.

The Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ associated with A is the Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} generated by \mathfrak{t} , the Chevalley generators e_i and f_i ($i \in I$) with the usual defining relations ([8],[9]). There is the root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^*} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Denote the set of roots by $\Delta := \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^* | \alpha \neq 0, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq (0)\}$. Set $Q := \sum_i \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$, $Q_+ := \sum_i \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$ and $\Delta_+ := \Delta \cap Q_+$. An element of Δ_+ is called a positive root. Let ω be the Chevalley involution of \mathfrak{g} defined by $\omega(e_i) = -f_i$, $\omega(f_i) = -e_i$ and $\omega(h) = -h$ for $h \in \mathfrak{t}$. Let $L(\Lambda)$ ($\Lambda \in P_+$: set of dominant weights) be an irreducible integrable highest weight module with highest weight Λ and $\pi_\Lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(L(\Lambda))$ be the \mathfrak{g} -action. The action $\pi_\Lambda^* := \pi_\Lambda \circ \omega$ defines a \mathfrak{g} -module structure on $L(\Lambda)$, which is called the contragredient module of $L(\Lambda)$ and denoted $L^*(\Lambda)$. Let us fix a highest weight vector $u_\Lambda \in L(\Lambda)$ and denote it by u_Λ^* in $L^*(\Lambda)$. We obtain a unique \mathfrak{g} -invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $L(\Lambda) \times L^*(\Lambda)$ such that $\langle u_\Lambda, u_\Lambda^* \rangle = 1$.

Define simple reflections $s_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{t})$ ($i \in I$) by $s_i(h) := h - \alpha_i(h)h_i$, which generate the Weyl group W . We also define the action of W on \mathfrak{t}^* by $s_i(\lambda) := \lambda - \alpha(h_i)\alpha_i$. Set $\Delta^{\text{re}} := \{w(\alpha_i) | w \in W, i \in I\}$, whose element is called a real root.

Let G be the Kac-Moody group associated with the derived Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}' defined in [9]. Set $U_\alpha := \exp \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$), which is an one-parameter subgroup of G and G is generated by U_α ($\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$). Let U^\pm be the subgroups generated by $U_{\pm\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}} = \Delta^{\text{re}} \cap Q_+$), *i.e.*, $U^\pm := \langle U_{\pm\alpha} | \alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \rangle$, which is called the unipotent subgroup of G . Here note that if \mathfrak{g} is a semi-simple Lie algebra, then G is a usual semi-simple algebraic group over \mathbb{C} .

For any $i \in I$, there exists a unique homomorphism; $\phi_i : SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G$ such that

$$x_i(t) := \phi_i \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \exp t e_i, \quad y_i(t) := \phi_i \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \exp t f_i \quad (t \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Set $G_i := \phi_i(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, $T_i := \phi_i(\{\text{diag}(t, t^{-1}) | t \in \mathbb{C}\})$ and $N_i := N_{G_i}(T_i)$. Let T (resp. N) be the subgroup of G generated by T_i (resp. N_i), which is called a *maximal torus* in G

and $B^\pm := U^\pm T$ be the Borel subgroup of G . We have the isomorphism $\phi : W \xrightarrow{\sim} N/T$ defined by $\phi(s_i) = N_i T/T$. An element $\bar{s}_i := x_i(-1)y_i(1)x_i(-1)$ is in $N_G(T)$, which is a representative of $s_i \in W = N_G(T)/T$. Define $R(w)$ for $w \in W$ by

$$R(w) := \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \in I^l \mid w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_l}\},$$

where l is the length of w . We associate to each $w \in W$ its standard representative $\bar{w} \in N_G(T)$ by $\bar{w} = \bar{s}_{i_1} \bar{s}_{i_2} \cdots \bar{s}_{i_l}$ for any $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \in R(w)$.

We have the following (as for ind-variety and ind-group, see [6]):

Proposition 2.1 ([6]). (i) *Let G be a Kac-Moody group and U^\pm, B^\pm be its subgroups as above. Then G is an ind-group and U^\pm, B^\pm are its closed ind-subgroups.*

(ii) *The multiplication maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \times U & \longrightarrow & B \\ (t, u) & \mapsto & tu \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U^- \times T & \longrightarrow & B^- \\ (v, t) & \mapsto & vt \end{array}$$

are isomorphisms of ind-varieties.

2.2 Geometric Crystals

In this subsection, we review the notion of geometric crystals ([1],[10]).

Let $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix and G be the associated Kac-Moody group with the maximal torus T . An element in $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T)$) is called a *character* (resp. *co-character*) of T . We define a *simple co-root* $\alpha_i^\vee \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T)$ ($i \in I$) by $\alpha_i^\vee(t) := T_i$. We have a pairing $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$.

Let X be an ind-variety over \mathbb{C} , $\gamma : X \rightarrow T$ be a rational morphism and a family of rational morphisms $e_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times X \rightarrow X$ ($i \in I$);

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_i^c & : \mathbb{C}^\times \times X & \longrightarrow & X \\ (c, x) & & \mapsto & e_i^c(x). \end{array}$$

For a word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \in R(w)$ ($w \in W$), set $\alpha^{(l)} := \alpha_{i_l}$, $\alpha^{(l-1)} := s_{i_l}(\alpha_{i_{l-1}})$, \dots , $\alpha^{(1)} := s_{i_l} \cdots s_{i_2}(\alpha_{i_1})$. Now for a word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \in R(w)$ we define a rational morphism $e_{\mathbf{i}} : T \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$(t, x) \mapsto e_{\mathbf{i}}^t(x) := e_{i_1}^{\alpha^{(1)}(t)} e_{i_2}^{\alpha^{(2)}(t)} \cdots e_{i_l}^{\alpha^{(l)}(t)}(x).$$

Definition 2.2. (i) The triplet $\chi = (X, \gamma, \{e_i\}_{i \in I})$ is a *geometric crystal* if it satisfies $e^1(x) = x$ and

$$\gamma(e_i^c(x)) = \alpha_i^\vee(c)\gamma(x), \quad (2.1)$$

$$e_i = e_{i'} \quad \text{for any } w \in W, \text{ and any } \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in R(w). \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) Let $(X, \gamma_X, \{e_i^X\}_{i \in I})$ and $(Y, \gamma_Y, \{e_i^Y\}_{i \in I})$ be geometric crystals. A rational morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *morphism of geometric crystals* if f satisfies that

$$f \circ e_i^X = e_i^Y \circ f, \quad \gamma_X = \gamma_Y \circ f.$$

In particular, if a morphism f is a birational isomorphism of ind-varieties, it is called an *isomorphism of geometric crystals*.

The following lemma is a direct result from [1][Lemma 2.1] and the fact that the Weyl group of any Kac-Moody Lie algebra is a Coxeter group [2][Proposition 3.13].

Lemma 2.3. *The relations (2.2) are equivalent to the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i^{c_1} e_j^{c_2} &= e_j^{c_2} e_i^{c_1} && \text{if } \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle = 0, \\
e_i^{c_1} e_j^{c_1 c_2} e_i^{c_2} &= e_j^{c_2} e_i^{c_1 c_2} e_j^{c_1} && \text{if } \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle = \langle \alpha_j^\vee, \alpha_i \rangle = -1, \\
e_i^{c_1} e_j^{c_1^2 c_2} e_i^{c_1 c_2} e_j^{c_2} &= e_j^{c_2} e_i^{c_1 c_2} e_j^{c_1^2 c_2} e_i^{c_1} && \text{if } \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle = -2, \langle \alpha_j^\vee, \alpha_i \rangle = -1, \\
e_i^{c_1} e_j^{c_1^2 c_2} e_i^{c_1^3 c_2} e_j^{c_1^2 c_2} e_i^{c_1 c_2} e_j^{c_2} &= e_j^{c_2} e_i^{c_1 c_2} e_j^{c_1^3 c_2} e_i^{c_1^2 c_2} e_j^{c_1^2 c_2} e_i^{c_1} && \text{if } \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle = -3, \langle \alpha_j^\vee, \alpha_i \rangle = -1,
\end{aligned}$$

Remark. If $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle \langle \alpha_j^\vee, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 4$, there is no relation between e_i and e_j .

2.3 Unipotent Crystals

In the sequel, we denote the unipotent subgroup U^+ by U . We define unipotent crystals (see [1]) associated to Kac-Moody groups. The definitions below follow [1],[10].

Definition 2.4. Let X be an ind-variety over \mathbb{C} and $\alpha : U \times X \rightarrow X$ be a rational U -action such that α is defined on $\{e\} \times X$. Then, the pair $\mathbf{X} = (X, \alpha)$ is called a U -variety. For U -varieties $\mathbf{X} = (X, \alpha_X)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \alpha_Y)$, a rational morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a U -morphism if it commutes with the action of U .

Now, we define the U -variety structure on $B^- = U^-T$. By Proposition 2.1, B^- is an ind-subgroup of G and then is an ind-variety over \mathbb{C} . The multiplication map in G induces the open embedding; $B^- \times U \hookrightarrow G$, then this is a birational isomorphism. Let us denote the inverse birational isomorphism by g ;

$$g : G \longrightarrow B^- \times U.$$

Then we define the rational morphisms $\pi^- : G \rightarrow B^-$ and $\pi : G \rightarrow U$ by $\pi^- := \text{proj}_{B^-} \circ g$ and $\pi := \text{proj}_U \circ g$. Now we define the rational U -action α_{B^-} on B^- by

$$\alpha_{B^-} := \pi^- \circ m : U \times B^- \longrightarrow B^-,$$

where m is the multiplication map in G . Then we obtain U -variety $\mathbf{B}^- = (B^-, \alpha_{B^-})$.

Definition 2.5. (i) Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \alpha)$ be a U -variety and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^-$ be a U -morphism. The pair (\mathbf{X}, f) is called a *unipotent G -crystal* or, for short, *unipotent crystal*.

(ii) Let (\mathbf{X}, f_X) and (\mathbf{Y}, f_Y) be unipotent crystals. A U -morphism $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *morphism of unipotent crystals* if $f_X = f_Y \circ g$. In particular, if g is a birational isomorphism of ind-varieties, it is called an *isomorphism of unipotent crystals*.

We define a product of unipotent crystals following [1]. For unipotent crystals (\mathbf{X}, f_X) , (\mathbf{Y}, f_Y) , define a morphism $\alpha_{X \times Y} : U \times X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ by

$$\alpha_{X \times Y}(u, x, y) := (\alpha_X(u, x), \alpha_Y(\pi(u \cdot f_X(x)), y)). \quad (2.3)$$

If there is no confusion, we use abbreviated notation $u(x, y)$ for $\alpha_{X \times Y}(u, x, y)$.

Theorem 2.6 ([1]). (i) *The morphism $\alpha_{X \times Y}$ defined above is a rational U -morphism on $X \times Y$.*

(ii) *Let $\mathbf{m} : B^- \times B^- \rightarrow B^-$ be a multiplication morphism and $f = f_{X \times Y} : X \times Y \rightarrow B^-$ be the rational morphism defined by*

$$f_{X \times Y} := \mathbf{m} \circ (f_X \times f_Y).$$

Then $f_{X \times Y}$ is a U -morphism and then, $(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}, f_{X \times Y})$ is a unipotent crystal, which we call a product of unipotent crystals (\mathbf{X}, f_X) and (\mathbf{Y}, f_Y) .

(iii) *Product of unipotent crystals is associative.*

2.4 From unipotent crystals to geometric crystals

We have the canonical projection $\xi_i : U^- \rightarrow U_{-\alpha_i}$ ($i \in I$) (see [10]). Now, we define the function on U^- by

$$\chi_i := y_i^{-1} \circ \xi_i : U^- \longrightarrow U_{-\alpha_i} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

and extend this to the function on B^- by $\chi_i(u \cdot t) := \chi_i(u)$ for $u \in U^-$ and $t \in T$. For a unipotent G -crystal $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{X}})$, we define a function $\varphi_i := \varphi_i^X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_i := \chi_i \circ \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{X}},$$

and a rational morphism $\gamma_X : X \rightarrow T$ by

$$\gamma_X := \text{proj}_T \circ \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{X}} : X \rightarrow B^- \rightarrow T, \quad (2.4)$$

where proj_T is the canonical projection. Suppose that the function φ_i is not identically zero on X . We define a rational morphism $e_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$e_i^c(x) := x_i \left(\frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) (x). \quad (2.5)$$

Theorem 2.7 ([1]). *For a unipotent G -crystal $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{X}})$, suppose that the function φ_i is not identically zero for any $i \in I$. Then the rational morphisms $\gamma_X : X \rightarrow T$ and $e_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times X \rightarrow X$ as above define a geometric G -crystal $(X, \gamma_X, \{e_i\}_{i \in I})$, which is called the induced geometric G -crystals by unipotent G -crystal $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{X}})$.*

Due to the product structure of unipotent crystals, we can deduce a product structure of geometric crystals derived from unipotent crystals, which is a counterpart of tensor product structure of Kashiwara's crystals. We omit the explicit statement here (see [1],[10]).

2.5 Crystals

The notion ‘‘crystal’’ is introduced as a combinatorial object by abstracting the properties of ‘‘crystal bases’’, which has, in general, no corresponding $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Definition 2.8. A *crystal* B is a set endowed with the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} wt : B &\longrightarrow P, \\ \varepsilon_i : B &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}, \quad \varphi_i : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\} \quad \text{for } i \in I, \\ \tilde{e}_i : B \sqcup \{0\} &\longrightarrow B \sqcup \{0\}, \quad \tilde{f}_i : B \sqcup \{0\} \longrightarrow B \sqcup \{0\} \quad \text{for } i \in I, \\ \tilde{e}_i(0) = \tilde{f}_i(0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

those maps satisfy the following axioms: for all $b, b_1, b_2 \in B$, we have

$$\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, wt(b) \rangle, \quad (2.6)$$

$$wt(\tilde{e}_i b) = wt(b) + \alpha_i \text{ if } \tilde{e}_i b \in B, \quad (2.7)$$

$$wt(\tilde{f}_i b) = wt(b) - \alpha_i \text{ if } \tilde{f}_i b \in B, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\tilde{e}_i b_2 = b_1 \iff \tilde{f}_i b_1 = b_2 \quad (b_1, b_2 \in B), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\varepsilon_i(b) = -\infty \implies \tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{f}_i b = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

The operators \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i are called the *Kashiwara operators*. Indeed, if (L, B) is a crystal base, then B is a crystal.

Remark. A *pre-crystal* is an object satisfying the conditions (2.6)–(2.8).

Let us define $\tilde{s}_i : B \rightarrow B$ ($i \in I$) ([4]) by

$$\tilde{s}_i(b) = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i^{-\langle wt(b), h_i \rangle}(b) & \text{if } \langle wt(b), h_i \rangle < 0, \\ \tilde{f}_i^{\langle wt(b), h_i \rangle}(b) & \text{if } \langle wt(b), h_i \rangle \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Here note that we have $\tilde{s}_i^2 = \text{id}_B$.

Definition 2.9. Let B be a crystal.

- (i) If the actions by $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$ define the action of the Weyl group W on B , we call B a *W-crystal*.
- (ii) If \tilde{e}_i or \tilde{f}_i is bijective, then we call B a *free crystal*.

Note that if B is a free crystal, then $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{e}_i^{-1}$. We frequently denote a free crystal B by $(B, wt, \{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i \in I})$.

2.6 Positive structure and Ultra-discretizations/Tropicalizations

Let us recall the notions of positive structure and ultra-discretization/tropicalization.

The setting below is simpler than the ones in ([1],[10]), since it is sufficient for our purpose. Let $T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^l$ be an algebraic torus over \mathbb{C} and $X^*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^l$ (resp. $X_*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^l$) be the lattice of characters (resp. co-characters) of T . Set $R := \mathbb{C}(c)$ and define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v : R \setminus \{0\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ f(c) & \longmapsto & \deg(f(c)). \end{array}$$

Here note that for $f_1, f_2 \in R \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$v(f_1 f_2) = v(f_1) + v(f_2), \quad v\left(\frac{f_1}{f_2}\right) = v(f_1) - v(f_2) \quad (2.11)$$

Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : T \rightarrow T'$ be a rational morphism between two algebraic tori $T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ and $T' = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. We define a map $\hat{f} : X_*(T) \rightarrow X_*(T')$ by

$$(\hat{f}(\xi))(c) := (c^{v(f_1(\xi(c)))}, \dots, c^{v(f_n(\xi(c)))}),$$

where $\xi \in X_*(T)$. Since v satisfies (2.11), the map \hat{f} is an additive group homomorphism. If we identify $X_*(T)$ (resp. $X_*(T')$) with \mathbb{Z}^m (resp. \mathbb{Z}^n) by $\xi(c) = (c^{l_1}, \dots, c^{l_m}) \leftrightarrow (l_1, \dots, l_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, we write

$$\hat{f}(l_1, \dots, l_m) := (v(f_1(\xi(c))), \dots, v(f_n(\xi(c)))).$$

A rational function $f(c) \in \mathbb{C}(c)$ ($f \neq 0$) is *positive* if f can be expressed as a ratio of polynomials with positive coefficients.

Remark. A rational function $f(c) \in \mathbb{C}(c)$ is positive if and only if $f(a) > 0$ for any $a > 0$ (pointed out by M.Kashiwara).

If $f_1, f_2 \in R$ are positive, then we have (2.11) and

$$v(f_1 + f_2) = \max(v(f_1), v(f_2)). \quad (2.12)$$

Definition 2.10 ([1]). Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : T \rightarrow T'$ between two algebraic tori T, T' be a rational morphism as above. It is called *positive*, if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) For any co-character $\xi : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow T$, the image of ξ is contained in $\text{dom}(f)$.

(ii) For any co-character $\xi : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow T$, any $f_i(\xi(c))$ ($i \in I$) is a positive rational function.

Denote by $\text{Mor}^+(T, T')$ the set of positive rational morphisms from T to T' .

Lemma 2.11 ([1]). *For any positive rational morphisms $f \in \text{Mor}^+(T_1, T_2)$ and $g \in \text{Mor}^+(T_2, T_3)$, the composition $g \circ f$ is in $\text{Mor}^+(T_1, T_3)$.*

By Lemma 2.11, we can define a category \mathcal{T}_+ whose objects are algebraic tori over \mathbb{C} and arrows are positive rational morphisms.

Lemma 2.12 ([1]). *For any algebraic tori T_1, T_2, T_3 , and positive rational morphisms $f \in \text{Mor}^+(T_1, T_2)$, $g \in \text{Mor}^+(T_2, T_3)$, we have $\widehat{g \circ f} = \widehat{g} \circ \widehat{f}$.*

By this lemma, we obtain a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{UD} : \quad \mathcal{T}_+ &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{Set} \\ T &\longmapsto X_*(T) \\ (f : T \rightarrow T') &\longmapsto (\widehat{f} : X_*(T) \rightarrow X_*(T')) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.13 ([1]). Let $\chi = (X, \gamma, \{e_i\}_{i \in I})$ be a geometric crystal, T' be an algebraic torus and $\theta : T' \rightarrow X$ be a birational isomorphism. The isomorphism θ is called *positive structure* on χ if it satisfies

(i) the rational morphism $\gamma \circ \theta : T' \rightarrow T$ is positive.

(ii) For any $i \in I$, the rational morphism $e_{i,\theta} : \mathbb{C}^\times \times T' \rightarrow T'$ defined by $e_{i,\theta}(c, t) := \theta^{-1} \circ e_i^c \circ \theta(t)$ is positive.

Let $\theta : T \rightarrow X$ be a positive structure on a geometric crystal $\chi = (X, \gamma, \{e_i\}_{i \in I})$. Applying the functor \mathcal{UD} to positive rational morphisms $e_{i,\theta} : \mathbb{C}^\times \times T' \rightarrow T'$ and $\gamma \circ \theta : T' \rightarrow T$ (the notations are as above), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_i &:= \mathcal{UD}(e_{i,\theta}) : \mathbb{Z} \times X_*(T) \rightarrow X_*(T) \\ \tilde{\gamma} &:= \mathcal{UD}(\gamma \circ \theta) : X_*(T') \rightarrow X_*(T). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for given positive structure $\theta : T' \rightarrow X$ on a geometric crystal $\chi = (X, \gamma, \{e_i\}_{i \in I})$, we associate the triplet $(X_*(T'), \tilde{\gamma}, \{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i \in I})$ with a free pre-crystal structure (see [1, 2.2]) and denote it by $\mathcal{UD}_{\theta, T'}(\chi)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.14. *For any geometric crystal $\chi = (X, \gamma, \{e_i\}_{i \in I})$ and positive structure $\theta : T' \rightarrow X$, the associated pre-crystal $\mathcal{UD}_{\theta, T'}(\chi) = (X_*(T'), \tilde{\gamma}, \{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i \in I})$ is a free W -crystal (see [1, 2.2])*

We call the functor \mathcal{UD} “*ultra-discretization*” instead of “*tropicalization*” unlike in [1]. And for a crystal B , if there exists a geometric crystal χ , an algebraic torus T in \mathcal{T}_+ and a positive structure θ on χ such that $\mathcal{UD}_{\theta, T}(\chi) \cong B$ as crystals, we call χ a *tropicalization* of B .

3 Geometric crystals on unipotent groups

In this section, we associate a geometric/unipotent crystal structure with unipotent subgroup U^- of semi-simple algebraic group G . In particular, for $G = SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ we describe it explicitly.

3.1 U -variety structure on U^-

In this subsection, suppose that G is a Kac-Moody group as in Sect.2. As mentioned in 2.3, Borel subgroup B^- has a U -variety structure. By the similar manner, we define U -variety structure on U^- . As in 2.3, the multiplication map m in G induces an open embedding; $m : U^- \times B \hookrightarrow G$, then this is a birational isomorphism. Let us denote the inverse birational isomorphism by h ;

$$h : G \longrightarrow U^- \times B.$$

Then we define the rational morphisms $\pi^{--} : G \rightarrow B^-$ and $\pi^+ : G \rightarrow B$ by $\pi^{--} := \text{proj}_{U^-} \circ h$ and $\pi^+ := \text{proj}_B \circ h$. Now we define the rational U -action α_{U^-} on U^- by

$$\alpha_{U^-} := \pi^{--} \circ m : U \times U^- \longrightarrow U^-,$$

Then we obtain

Lemma 3.1. *A pair $\mathbf{U}^- = (U^-, \alpha_{U^-})$ is a U -variety on a unipotent subgroup $U^- \subset G$.*

3.2 Unipotent/Geometric crystal structure on U^-

In order to define a unipotent crystal structure on U^- , let us construct a U -morphism $F : U^- \rightarrow B^-$.

The multiplication map m in G induces an open embedding; $m : U^- \times T \times U \hookrightarrow G$, which is a birational isomorphism. Thus, by the similar way as above, we obtain the rational morphism $\pi^0 : G \rightarrow T$. Here note that we have

$$\pi^-(x) = \pi^{--}(x)\pi^0(x) \quad (x \in G). \quad (3.1)$$

Now, we give a sufficient condition for existence of U -morphism F .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{T} : U^- \rightarrow T$ be a rational morphism satisfying:*

$$\mathcal{T}(\pi^{--}(xu)) = \pi^0(xu)\mathcal{T}(u), \quad \text{for } x \in U \text{ and } u \in U^-. \quad (3.2)$$

Defining a morphism $F : U^- \rightarrow B^-$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F : U^- &\longrightarrow B^- \\ u &\longmapsto u\mathcal{T}(u), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

then the morphism F is a U -morphism $U^- \rightarrow B^-$.

Proof. We may show

$$F(\alpha_{U^-}(x, u)) = \alpha_{B^-}(x, F(u)), \quad \text{for } x \in U \text{ and } u \in U^-. \quad (3.4)$$

As for the left-hand side of (3.4), we have

$$F(\alpha_{U^-}(x, u)) = \pi^{--}(xu)\mathcal{T}(\pi^{--}(xu)) = \pi^{--}(xu)\pi^0(xu)\mathcal{T}(u),$$

where the last equality is due to (3.2). On the other hand, the right-hand side of (3.4) is written by:

$$\alpha_{B^-}(x, F(u)) = \pi^-(xu)\mathcal{T}(u) = \pi^{--}(xu)\mathcal{T}(u)\pi^0(xu)\mathcal{T}(u) = \pi^{--}(xu)\pi^0(xu)\mathcal{T}(u)$$

where the second equality is due to (3.1) and the third equality is obtained by the fact that $\mathcal{T}(u) \in T \subset B$. Now we get (3.4). \square

Let us verify that there exists such U -morphism F or rational morphism \mathcal{T} for semisimple cases. Suppose that G is semisimple in the rest of this section.

Let $\Lambda_i \in P_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be a fundamental weight and $L(\Lambda_i)$ be a corresponding irreducible highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module, where \mathfrak{g} is a complex semi-simple Lie algebra associated with G . Let $L^*(\Lambda_i)$ be a contragredient module of $L(\Lambda_i)$ as in Sect.2 and fix a highest (resp. lowest) weight vector u_{Λ_i} (resp. v_{Λ_i}) and $v_{\Lambda_i}^* \in L^*(\Lambda_i)$ be the same vector as v_{Λ_i} such that $\langle v_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle = 1$. Now, let us define a function $f_i : U^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($i \in I$) as a matrix coefficient:

$$f_i(g) = \langle g \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle. \quad (3.5)$$

We define a rational morphism $\mathcal{T} : U^- \rightarrow T$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(u) := \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i^\vee(f_i(u)^{-1}). \quad (3.6)$$

and define a morphism $F : U^- \rightarrow B^-$ by

$$F(u) := u \cdot \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i^\vee(f_i(u)^{-1}). \quad (3.7)$$

Lemma 3.3. *The morphism $F : U^- \rightarrow B^-$ is a U -morphism.*

Proof. Let us verify that \mathcal{T} satisfies (3.2). For $x \in U$ and $u \in U^-$ such that $xu \in \text{Im}(U^- \times T \times U \hookrightarrow G)$, let $u^- \in U^-$, $u^0 \in T$ and $u^+ \in U$ be the unique elements satisfying $u^- u^0 u^+ = xu$, i.e., $\pi^{--}(xu) = u^-$, $\pi^0(xu) = u^0$ and $\pi(xu) = u^+$. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a contragredient bilinear form and the fact that $g \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}^* = v_{\Lambda_i}^*$ for any $g \in U^-$, we have

$$\langle xu \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle = \langle u \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, \omega(x) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle = \langle u \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, since $g \cdot u_{\Lambda_i} = u_{\Lambda_i}$ for $g \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle xu \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle &= \langle \pi^-(xu) \pi^0(xu) \pi(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^-(xu) \pi^0(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle = \Lambda_i(\pi^0(xu)) \langle \pi^-(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\Lambda_i \in X^*(T)$ such that $\Lambda_i(\alpha_j^\vee(c)) = c^{\delta_{i,j}}$. Hence, by (3.8), (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\pi^-(xu)) &= \langle \pi^-(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle = \Lambda_i(\pi^0(xu)) \langle \pi^-(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle \\ &= \Lambda_i(\pi^0(xu))^{-1} \langle uu_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle = \Lambda_i(\pi^0(xu))^{-1} f_i(u). \end{aligned}$$

By the formula

$$\prod_i \alpha_i^\vee(\Lambda_i(t)) = t, \quad (t \in T),$$

and the definitions of \mathcal{T} and F , we obtained (3.2). \square

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that G is semi-simple. Then (U^-, F) is a unipotent crystal.*

As we have seen in 2.4, we can associate geometric crystal structure with the unipotent subgroup U^- since it has a unipotent crystal structure.

It is trivial that the function $\varphi_i : U^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is not identically zero. Thus, defining the morphisms $e_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times U^- \rightarrow U^-$ and $\gamma_{U^-} : U^- \rightarrow T$ by

$$e_i(c, u) = e_i^c(u) := x_i\left(\frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(u)}\right)(u), \quad \gamma_{U^-}(u) := \mathcal{T}(u), \quad (u \in U^- \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{C}^\times), \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.7:

Theorem 3.5. *If G is semi-simple, then the triplet $\chi_{U^-} := (U^-, \gamma_{U^-}, \{e_i\}_{i \in I})$ is a geometric crystal.*

Next, let us see the action of e_i^c on U^- . Indeed, the action of e_i^c is described simply by;

$$e_i^\alpha(u) = x_i\left(\frac{\varphi_i(u)}{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot u \cdot x_i\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha\varphi_i(u)}\right) \cdot \alpha_i^{-1}(\alpha).$$

Here, for the later purpose, we consider the following subset B^u of U^- and describe the action of e_i^α on it:

$$B^u := \left\{ Y(a) = \begin{array}{l} y_n(a_{1,n})y_{n-1}(a_{1,n-1}) \cdots y_1(a_{1,1}) \times \\ \times y_n(a_{2,n}) \cdots y_2(a_{2,2}) \times \\ \cdots \cdots \cdots \\ \times y_n(a_{n,n}) \end{array} : a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\} \subset U^-. \quad (4.2)$$

It is easy to see that B^u is an open dense subset in U^- and isomorphic to the algebraic torus $T_0 := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} B^u, \\ a = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} &\mapsto Y(a), \end{aligned}$$

which gives a birational isomorphism $\theta : T_0 = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \rightarrow B^u \hookrightarrow U^-$.

Furthermore, we have

Lemma 4.2. $\varphi_i(Y(a)) = \sum_{k=1}^i a_{k,i}$, $f_i(Y(a)) = \prod_{k=1}^i \prod_{j=k}^{n-i+k} a_{k,j}$.

Proof. Since for $u \in U^-$, $\varphi_i(u)$ is given as a $(i+1, i)$ -entry and the $(i+1, i)$ -entry of $Y(a)$ is $\sum_{k=1}^i a_{k,i}$, we obtained the first result.

For a word $\iota = i_1, \dots, i_m$, we set $f_\iota := f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_m}$. For a fixed reduced longest word $\iota_0 = n, \dots, 1, n \cdots, 2, n \cdots, 3, \dots, n, n-1, n$, there exists the unique subword

$$\iota_i := \underbrace{n-i+1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, \underbrace{n-i+2, \dots, 2}_i, \dots, \underbrace{n-1, \dots, i-1}_{i-1}, \underbrace{n, \dots, i}_i,$$

such that

$$f_{\iota_i} u_{\Lambda_i} = v_{\Lambda_i}, \quad (4.3)$$

where u_{Λ_i} (resp. v_{Λ_i}) is the highest (resp. lowest) weight vector of $L(\Lambda_i)$ as in (4.1). Since $f_i^2(L(\Lambda_k)) = \{0\}$, we have $y_i(a) = 1 + af_i$ on $L(\Lambda_k)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} Y(a) &= (1 + a_{1,n}f_n) \cdots (1 + a_{1,1}f_1) \cdots (1 + a_{n,n}f_n) \\ &= \sum_{\iota: \text{subword of } \iota_0} a_\iota f_\iota, \end{aligned}$$

where a_ι is a coefficient of f_ι and $a_\iota f_\iota = 1$ if ι is empty. Hence by (4.3), we have

$$f_i(Y(a)) = \langle Y(a)u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}^* \rangle = a_{\iota_i} = \prod_{k=1}^i \prod_{j=k}^{n-i+k} a_{k,j}.$$

□

Let us see the rational action $e_i^\alpha : B^u \rightarrow B^u$.

Proposition 4.3. We have $e_i^\alpha Y((a_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n}) = Y((a'_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n})$,

$$a'_{k,j} := \begin{cases} C_k^{(i)} a_{k,i-1} & \text{if } j = i-1, \\ \frac{a_{k,i}}{C_{k-1}^{(i)} C_k^{(i)}} & \text{if } j = i, \\ C_{k-1}^{(i)} a_{k,i+1} & \text{if } j = i+1, \\ a_{k,j} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$C_k^{(i)} := \frac{\alpha(a_{1,i} + \cdots + a_{k,i}) + a_{k+1,i} + \cdots + a_{i,i}}{a_{1,i} + \cdots + a_{i,i}} \quad (1 \leq k \leq i \leq n).$$

Proof. We recall the formula:

$$x_i(a)y_j(b) = \begin{cases} y_i(\frac{b}{1+ab})\alpha_i^\vee(1+ab)x_i(\frac{a}{1+ab}) & \text{if } i = j \\ y_j(b)x_i(a) & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\alpha_i^\vee(a)x_j(b) = x_j(a^{a_{ij}b})\alpha_i^\vee(a), \quad \alpha_i^\vee(a)y_j(b) = y_j(a^{-a_{ij}b})\alpha_i^\vee(a). \quad (4.6)$$

Using these formula repeatedly, we have

$$x_i(c) \cdot Y(a) = Y(a') \cdot \alpha_i^\vee(1 + c\varphi_i(Y(a))) \cdot x_i\left(\frac{c}{1 + c\varphi_i(Y(a))}\right),$$

where $c = (\alpha - 1)/(a_{1,i} + \cdots + a_{i,i})$ and $\varphi_i(Y(a)) = a_{1,i} + \cdots + a_{i,i}$. □

Now, we consider the following birational isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi : T_0 = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} &\longrightarrow T_0 = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}, \\ (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} &\mapsto (A_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{i,j} := \frac{a_{i,j}a_{i-1,j-1} \cdots a_{1,j-i+1}}{a_{i-1,j}a_{i-2,j-1} \cdots a_{1,j-i+2}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n).$$

The inverse morphism is given by

$$a_{i,j} := \frac{A_{i,j}A_{i-1,j} \cdots A_{1,j}}{A_{i-1,j-1}A_{i-2,j-1} \cdots A_{1,j-1}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n). \quad (4.7)$$

We can describe explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \circ e_i^\alpha \circ \xi^{-1} : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}, \\ (A_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n} &\mapsto (A'_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A'_{k,j} = \begin{cases} A_{k,j} & \text{if } j \neq i, i-1 \\ \alpha_k^{(i)} \cdot A_{k,i-1} & \text{if } j = i-1 \\ (\alpha_k^{(i)})^{-1} \cdot A_{k,i} & \text{if } j = i \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_k^{(i)} = \frac{\alpha \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^j A_{l,i}}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} A_{l,i-1}} + \sum_{k < j \leq i} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^j A_{l,i}}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} A_{l,i-1}}}{\alpha \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^j A_{l,i}}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} A_{l,i-1}} + \sum_{j=k}^i \frac{\prod_{l=1}^j A_{l,i}}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} A_{l,i-1}}}. \quad (4.8)$$

Set $\hat{\theta} := \theta \circ \xi^{-1} : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \xrightarrow{\xi^{-1}} (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \xrightarrow{\theta} U^-$.

Theorem 4.4. *The morphism $\hat{\theta}$ gives a positive structure on the geometric crystal χ_{U^-} .*

Proof. The explicit form of

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}^{-1} \circ e_i^\alpha \circ \hat{\theta} : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \times \mathbb{C}^\times &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \\ (A_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n} &\mapsto (A'_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n} \end{aligned}$$

is given as (4.8), which is trivially positive. Then let us show the positivity of $\gamma_{U^-} \circ \hat{\theta}$. For $Y(a) \in B^u$, we have $\gamma_{U^-}(Y(a)) = \prod_i \alpha_i^\vee (f_i(Y(a))^{-1})$ and by Lemma 4.2 the explicit form of $f_i(Y(a))$ is given. Substituting (4.7) in it, we obtain

$$\gamma_{U^-} \circ \hat{\theta}((A_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n}) = \gamma_{U^-} \circ \theta \circ \xi^{-1}((A_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^\vee \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq i \\ i \leq j \leq n}} A_{k,j} \right)^{-1}, \quad (4.9)$$

which implies that $\gamma \circ \hat{\theta}$ is positive. \square

5 Tropicalization of Geometric Crystals on U^- and generalized Young Tableaux

5.1 Crystal structure on Young tableaux

Let us recall the crystal structure on Young tableaux where the terminology ‘‘Young tableaux’’ means ‘‘semi-standard tableaux’’ in [7]. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, set

$$B(\lambda) = \{ \text{Young tableau of shape } \lambda \text{ with contents } 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \},$$

which gives an A_n -crystal of irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ -module $V(\lambda)$ [7].

In order to describe the action of $\tilde{e}_i^\beta(b)$ ($\beta \geq 0$) explicitly, let us recall how to construct $B(\lambda)$ following [7],[5].

Let $V_{\square} := V(\Lambda_1)$ be the vector representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, which is the irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight Λ_1 and let

$$B_{\square} := \{ \boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n+1} \}$$

be the crystal of V_{\square} . The explicit actions of \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i are given as follows([7]):

$$\tilde{e}_i \boxed{j} = \delta_{i+1,j} \boxed{i-1}, \quad \tilde{f}_i \boxed{j} = \delta_{i,j} \boxed{i+1}.$$

We realize $B(\lambda)$ by embedding into B_{\square}^N ($N = |\lambda|$), which follows the way of embedding $V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow V_{\square}^N$. In [7], the ‘‘Japanese reading’’ is introduced, which gives the embedding by reading entries in a Young tableau column by column. But here we take so-called ‘‘arabic reading’’ [5], which gives the embedding by reading entries in a Young tableau row by row from right to left since it matches what we do below.

Example 5.1. (i) *Japanese reading*

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline a & b & c & d \\ \hline e & f & & \\ \hline g & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = (\boxed{d}) \otimes (\boxed{c}) \otimes (\boxed{b} \otimes \boxed{f}) \otimes (\boxed{a} \otimes \boxed{e} \otimes \boxed{g}) \in B_{\square}^{\otimes 7}$$

(ii) *Arabic reading*

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline a & b & c & d \\ \hline e & f & & \\ \hline g & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = (\boxed{d} \otimes \boxed{c} \otimes \boxed{b} \otimes \boxed{a}) \otimes (\boxed{f} \otimes \boxed{e}) \otimes (\boxed{g}) \in B_{\square}^{\otimes 7}$$

The description of the actions of \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i on $B(\lambda)$ in [7] is as follows: Let $\{(+), (-)\}$ (resp. $\{(0)\}$) be the crystal of the irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module V_{\square} (resp. $V(0)$). If we consider the actions of \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i on a tensor product B_{\square}^N , we can identify ([7], 2.1.),

$$\boxed{i} = (+), \quad \boxed{i+1} = (-), \quad \boxed{j} = (0) \quad (j \neq i, i+1). \quad (5.1)$$

Let $b \in B(\lambda)$ be in the following form:

1		$\mathbf{B}_{1,i}$	$\mathbf{B}_{1,i+1}$	
2		$\mathbf{B}_{2,i}$	$\mathbf{B}_{2,i+1}$	
...				
...				
i		$\mathbf{B}_{i,i}$	$\mathbf{B}_{i,i+1}$	
$i+1$		$\mathbf{B}_{i+1,i+1}$		
...				

(5.2)

where $B_{i,j} := \#\{j \text{ in the } i\text{-th row}\}$. If we consider the actions of \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i , by the ‘‘arabic reading’’ and (5.1) we can identify:

$$b = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+1},$$

where

$$v_k := (-)^{\otimes B_{k,i+1}} \otimes (+)^{\otimes B_{k,i}} \quad (1 \leq k \leq i), \quad v_{i+1} = (-)^{\otimes B_{i+1,i+1}} \quad (5.3)$$

For any $i \in I$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exist unique $\beta_k^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ($1 \leq k \leq i+1$) such that

$$\tilde{e}_i^\beta(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+1}) = \tilde{e}_i^{\beta_1^{(i)}}(v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{e}_i^{\beta_{i+1}^{(i)}}(v_{i+1}), \quad (5.4)$$

and $\beta = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i+1} \beta_k^{(i)}$. Note that on each component, we have

$$\tilde{e}_i^{\beta_k^{(i)}}(v_k) := (-)^{\otimes (B_{k,i+1} - \beta_k^{(i)})} \otimes (+)^{\otimes (B_{k,i} + \beta_k^{(i)})}.$$

Let us see the explicit form of $\beta_k^{(i)}$, in order to describe the action of \tilde{e}_i^β on b . For the purpose, we prepare the following formula:

Lemma 5.2 ([5]). *Let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_l be crystals. For $v_k \in B_k$ and $i \in I$, set $b_k := \varepsilon_i(v_k) - \sum_{1 \leq j < k} \langle h_i, \text{wt}(v_j) \rangle$. Then, we have*

$$\tilde{e}_i^c(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l) = \tilde{e}_i^{c_1}(v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{e}_i^{c_l}(v_l),$$

where

$$c_k = \max(c + \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} (b_j), \max_{k < j \leq l} (b_j)) - \max(c + \max_{1 \leq j < k} (b_j), \max_{k \leq j \leq l} (b_j)). \quad (5.5)$$

Applying this lemma to (5.4), we have

Proposition 5.3. *Under the setting (5.3) and (5.4),*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_k^{(i)} &= \max \left(\beta + \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} B_{l,i} \right), \max_{k < j \leq i} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} B_{l,i} \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \max \left(\beta + \max_{1 \leq j < k} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} B_{l,i} \right), \max_{k \leq j \leq i} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} B_{l,i} \right) \right) \quad (1 \leq k \leq i), \\ \beta_{i+1}^{(i)} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (5.3), we have $\varepsilon_i(v_k) = B_{k,i+1}$, $\langle h_i, wt(v_j) \rangle = B_{j,i} - B_{j,i+1}$. Applying this to Lemma 5.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_k^{(i)} &= \max \left(\beta + \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} B_{l,i} \right), \max_{k < j \leq i+1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} B_{l,i} \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \max \left(\beta + \max_{1 \leq j < k} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} B_{l,i} \right), \max_{k \leq j \leq i+1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} B_{l,i} \right) \right). \quad (5.6)\end{aligned}$$

Since $B_{i+1,i+1} \leq B_{i,i}$, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^i B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} B_{l,i} \geq \sum_{l=1}^{i+1} B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^i B_{l,i}.$$

Hence, we can neglect $j = i + 1$ in the formula (5.6). □

Remark. The formula $\beta_k^{(i)}$ does not depend on $B_{i,i}$ or $B_{i+1,i+1}$.

5.2 Generalized Young tableaux and its crystal structure

Let $b \in B(\lambda)$ be a Young tableau as in (5.2). The $B_{i,j}$'s have several constraints, *e.g.*,

$$B_{i,j} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i \leq j \leq k} B_{i,j} \geq \sum_{i+1 \leq j \leq k+1} B_{i+1,j},$$

which come from the conditions for being Young tableaux.

Now, forgetting such constraints on $B_{i,j}$'s, we obtain a free \mathbb{Z} -lattice B^\sharp :

$$B^\sharp := \{(B_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \mid B_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}\} (= \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}),$$

Now, we define the action of \tilde{e}_i^β ($\beta \geq 0$) on B^\sharp by

$$\tilde{e}_i^\beta((B_{k,j})_{1 \leq k < j \leq n+1}) = ((B_{k,j} + \beta_{k,j})_{1 \leq k < j \leq n+1}), \quad \beta_{k,j} := \begin{cases} \beta_k^{(i)} & \text{if } j = i, \\ -\beta_k^{(i)} & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

Here note that in the definition of B^\sharp , $B_{i,i}$'s do not appear since the formula $\beta_k^{(i)}$ does not depend on $B_{i,i}$'s as mentioned in the remark of the last subsection.

The explicit action of the Kashiwara operator \tilde{e}_i (resp. \tilde{f}_i) on B^\sharp is given by (5.7) taking $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = -1$). Indeed, the crystal structure of B^\sharp is described as follows:

For $v = (B_{i,j}) \in B^\sharp$ set

$$\begin{cases} b_k^{(i)}(v) := \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k} B_{l,i+1} - \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k} B_{l,i}, \\ \varepsilon_i(v) := \max_{1 \leq k \leq i} \{b_k^{(i)}(v)\}, \\ wt(v) := - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq i \\ i+1 \leq j \leq n+1}} B_{k,j} \right) \alpha_i, \\ \varphi_i(v) := \langle h_i, wt(v) \rangle + \varepsilon_i(v), \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_i &= m_i(v) := \min\{k \mid 1 \leq k \leq i, b_k^{(i)}(v) = \varepsilon_i(v)\} \\ M_i &= M_i(v) := \max\{k \mid 1 \leq k \leq i, b_k^{(i)}(v) = \varepsilon_i(v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The actions of \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i on $v = (B_{i,j})$ are given by

$$\tilde{f}_i : \begin{cases} B_{k,j} \longrightarrow B_{k,i} & \text{if } (k,j) \neq (M_i, i), (M_i, i+1) \\ B_{M_i,i} \longrightarrow B_{M_i,i} - 1 & \text{if } (k,j) = (M_i, i) \\ B_{M_i,i+1} \longrightarrow B_{M_i,i+1} + 1 & \text{if } (k,j) = (M_i, i+1) \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

$$\tilde{e}_i : \begin{cases} B_{k,j} \longrightarrow B_{k,i} & \text{if } (k,j) \neq (m_i, i), (m_i, i+1), \\ B_{m_i,i} \longrightarrow B_{m_i,i} + 1 & \text{if } (k,j) = (m_i, i) \\ B_{m_i,i+1} \longrightarrow B_{m_i,i+1} - 1 & \text{if } (k,j) = (m_i, i+1) \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

Theorem 5.4. *By the setting (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10), we obtain a free crystal B^\sharp .*

Proof. It suffices to check the axioms (2.6)–(2.10) in Definition 2.8 and the bijectivity of \tilde{e}_i or \tilde{f}_i . Indeed, (2.6)–(2.8) are trivial from (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10). The assumption of (2.10) never occurs. Thus, we may show that $\tilde{e}_i \tilde{f}_i = \text{id} = \tilde{f}_i \tilde{e}_i$. For $v = (B_{i,j})$, set $p := M_i(v)$, which implies

$$b_1^{(i)}(v), \dots, b_{p-1}^{(i)}(v) \leq b_p^{(i)}(v) > b_{p+1}^{(i)}(v), \dots, b_i^{(i)}(v).$$

By the definition of $b_k^{(i)}$ and the action of \tilde{f}_i , we have

$$b_k^{(i)}(\tilde{f}_i v) = \begin{cases} b_k^{(i)}(v) & 1 \leq k < p, \\ b_p^{(i)}(v) + 1 & k = p, \\ b_k^{(i)}(v) + 2 & p < k \leq i. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have

$$b_1^{(i)}(\tilde{f}_i v), \dots, b_{p-1}^{(i)}(\tilde{f}_i v) < b_p^{(i)}(\tilde{f}_i v) \geq b_{p+1}^{(i)}(\tilde{f}_i v), \dots, b_i^{(i)}(\tilde{f}_i v),$$

which means $M_i(v) = p \equiv m_i(\tilde{f}_i v)$. Similarly, we have $m_i(v) = M_i(\tilde{e}_i v)$. It follows from these that $\tilde{e}_i \tilde{f}_i = \text{id}_{B^\sharp} = \tilde{f}_i \tilde{e}_i$ and then we get (2.9) and the bijectivity of \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i . \square

Remark. It is unknown whether the crystal graph of B^\sharp is connected or not.

5.3 Tropicalization of B^\sharp

Let us see that a tropicalization of the crystal B^\sharp is the geometric crystal on the unipotent subgroup $U^- \subset SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ treated in Sect.4.

Applying the following correspondence to (4.8) and (5.6), and (4.9) and (5.8)

$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot y &\longleftrightarrow x + y \\x/y &\longleftrightarrow x - y \\x + y &\longleftrightarrow \max(x, y) \\i &\longleftrightarrow i + 1\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\alpha_k^{(i)} \leftrightarrow \beta_k^{(i)}$ and then

$$\mathcal{UD}_{\hat{\theta}, T_0}(e_i^c) = \tilde{e}_i^c, \quad \mathcal{UD}_{\hat{\theta}, T_0}(\gamma) = wt, \quad (5.11)$$

(where $T_0 := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$), which implies the following theorem:

Theorem 5.5. *We have $\mathcal{UD}_{\hat{\theta}, T_0}(\chi_{U^-}) = (B^\sharp, wt, \{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i \in I})$, i.e., the geometric crystal χ_{U^-} on $U^- \subset SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ defined in Sect 4 is a tropicalization of the crystal $B^\sharp = (B^\sharp, wt, \{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i \in I})$.*

References

- [1] Berenstein A. and Kazhdan D., Geometric crystals and Unipotent crystals, math.QA/9912105.
- [2] Kac V.G., Infinite dimensional Lie algebras 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press.
- [3] Kashiwara M. On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, **63** (1991) 465–516.
- [4] Kashiwara M., Crystal base and Littelmann’s refined Demazure character formula. *Duke Math. J.* **71** (3), (1993), 839–858.
- [5] Kashiwara M., Bases cristallines des groupes quantiques, Cours Spécialisés 9, SMF 2002.
- [6] Kumar S., Kac-Moody groups, their Flag varieties and Representation Theory, Progress in Mathematics 204, Birkhauser Boston, 2002.
- [7] Kashiwara M. and Nakashima T., Crystal graphs for representations of the q -analogue of classical Lie algebra, *J.Algebra*, **165**, No.2, (1994), 295–345.
- [8] Kac V.G. and Peterson D.H., Regular functions on certain infinite-dimensional groups; in “Arithmetic and Geometry”(Artin M.,Tate J.,eds), 141–166, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, (1983).
- [9] Peterson D.H., and Kac V.G., Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems, *Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.USA*, **80**, (1983), 1778–1782.
- [10] Nakashima T., Geometric crystals on Schubert varieties, math.QA/0303087.
- [11] Nakashima T. Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Integrable Highest Weight Modules, *J.Algebra* **1999**, 219, 571–597.
- [12] Nakashima T., Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases and Braid-type Isomorphisms. *Contemporary Mathematics* **248**, (1999), 419–435.
- [13] Nakashima T and Zelevinsky A. Polyhedral Realization of Crystal Bases for Quantized Kac-Moody Algebras, *Advances in Mathematics*, **131**, No.1, (1997), 253–278.