

# NON-HAUSDORFF GROUPOIDS, PROPER ACTIONS AND $K$ -THEORY

JEAN-LOUIS TU

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a (not necessarily Hausdorff) locally compact groupoid. We introduce a notion of properness for  $G$ , which is invariant under Morita-equivalence. We show that any generalized morphism between two locally compact groupoids which satisfies some properness conditions induces a  $C^*$ -correspondence from  $C_r^*(G_1)$  to  $C_r^*(G_2)$ , and thus two Morita equivalent groupoids have Morita-equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras. Finally, we attempt to define an assembly map à la Baum-Connes-Higson.

**KEY WORDS:** groupoid,  $C^*$ -algebra,  $K$ -theory.

**MATHEMATICS SUBJECTS CLASSIFICATION (2000):** 22A22 (Primary); 46L05, 46L80, 54D35 (Secondary).

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Preliminaries	3
1.1. Groupoids	3
1.2. Locally compact spaces	4
1.3. Proper maps	5
2. Proper groupoids and proper actions	5
2.1. Locally compact groupoids	5
2.2. Proper groupoids	7
2.3. Proper actions	8
2.4. Permanence properties	9
2.5. Invariance by Morita-equivalence	11
2.6. The slice property	16
3. A topological construction	18
3.1. The space $\mathcal{H}X$	18
3.2. The space $\mathcal{H}'X$	20
3.3. Property (P)	21
4. Haar systems	22
4.1. The space $C_c(X)$	22
4.2. Haar systems	24
5. The Hilbert module of a proper groupoid	25
5.1. The space $X'$	25
5.2. Construction of the Hilbert module	27
6. Cutoff functions	28
7. Generalized homomorphisms and $C^*$ -algebra correspondences	31
7.1. Generalized homomorphisms	31
7.2. Locally proper generalized homomorphisms	32
7.3. Proper generalized morphisms	34
7.4. Construction of a $C^*$ -correspondence	34
8. The Hilbert module $L^2(G)$	41
9. The classifying space for proper actions	44
10. The assembly map	46
10.1. A few lemmas	47
10.2. Topological $K$ -theory	48
Appendix A. Existence of a Hausdorff model for $\underline{E}G$	51
Appendix B. The classifying space for proper actions on Hausdorff spaces	53
References	54

## INTRODUCTION

Very often, groupoids that appear in geometry, such as holonomy groupoids of foliations, groupoids of inverse semigroups [23, 14] and the indicial algebra of a manifold with corners [17] are not Hausdorff. For Hausdorff groupoids, Baum, Connes and Higson [3, 29] define a topological  $K$ -theory group  $K_*^{top}(G)$  and an assembly map  $\mu: K_*^{top}(G) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(G))$  (see also [25, 27]). When  $G$  is a group, the map  $\mu$  is known to be an isomorphism in many cases, such as almost connected groups, linear  $p$ -adic groups [7], a-T-menable groups [10] and hyperbolic discrete groups [22].

For groupoids, the situation is, unexpectedly, somewhat different: Higson, Lafforgue and Skandalis [11] constructed a Hausdorff,  $r$ -discrete groupoid such that  $\mu$  is not surjective. The construction uses a residually finite group (such as  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ) whose successive quotients constitute an expander sequence [18]. They also obtained foliation counterexamples, where failure of the Baum–Connes map to be an isomorphism depends in an essential way on non-Hausdorffness and on the use of a non-amenable group.

In fact, it seems very hard to modify the left-hand side of the Baum–Connes assembly map in order to obtain an isomorphism in the cases mentioned above, since either the new assembly map wouldn't factor through  $C_{max}^*(G)$ , or wouldn't be natural with respect to restrictions to a closed, saturated space [11]. Thus, our original motivation was somewhat more modest: namely, try to define an assembly map in the spirit of [3], and hope that it is an isomorphism in particular cases. For instance, the counterexamples of [11] make an essential use of non-amenability, therefore one might hope that the assembly map should be an isomorphism for amenable groupoids.

Certainly the first step is to define the notion of proper groupoid (since an action of a groupoid  $G$  on a space  $Z$  is proper if and only if the crossed-product groupoid  $Z \rtimes G$  is proper). Our definition is as follows: a topological groupoid  $G$  is proper if the map  $(r, s): G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  is proper in the sense of Bourbaki [5]. Properness is shown in Section 2 to be invariant under Morita-equivalence, which gives us confidence that our definition is the right one.

Section 3 is a technical part of the paper in which from every locally compact topological space  $X$  is canonically constructed a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{H}X$ . Roughly speaking, if  $(x_i)$  is a convergent sequence (or filter/net) in  $X$ , then the set  $S$  of limit points may have more than one element. The space  $\mathcal{H}X$  is constructed so that  $X$  is (not continuously) embedded in  $\mathcal{H}X$  and  $(x_i)$  converges to  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}X$ . When  $G$  is a groupoid (locally compact, with Haar system, such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff), the closure  $X'$  of  $G^{(0)}$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$  is endowed with a continuous action of  $G$  and plays an important technical rôle.

In Section 4 we review basic properties of locally compact groupoids with Haar system and technical tools that are used later.

In Section 5 we construct, using tools of Section 3, a canonical  $C_r^*(G)$ -Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  for every (locally compact...) proper groupoid  $G$ . If  $G^{(0)}/G$  is compact, then there exists a projection  $p \in C_r^*(G)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is isomorphic to  $pC_r^*(G)$ . The projection  $p$  is given by  $p(g) = (c(s(g))c(r(g)))^{1/2}$ , where

$c: G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a “cutoff” function (Section 6). Contrary to the Hausdorff case, the function  $c$  is not continuous, but it is the restriction to  $G^{(0)}$  of a continuous map  $X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  (see above for the definition of  $X'$ ). The Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is one of the ingredients in the definition of the assembly map, as it defines an element of  $KK$ -theory  $\lambda_G \in KK_G(C_0(G^{(0)}/G), C_r^*(G))$ .

In Section 7, we examine the question of naturality  $G \mapsto C_r^*(G)$ . Recall that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map between two locally compact spaces, then  $f$  induces a map from  $C_0(Y)$  to  $C_0(X)$  if and only if  $f$  is proper. When  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are groups, a morphism  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  does not induce a map  $C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow C_r^*(G_1)$  (when  $G_1 \subset G_2$  is an inclusion of discrete groups there is a map in the other direction). When  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a groupoid morphism, we cannot expect to get more than a  $C^*$ -correspondence from  $C_r^*(G_2)$  to  $C_r^*(G_1)$  when  $f$  satisfies certain properness assumptions: this was done in the Hausdorff situation by Macho-Stadler and O’Uchi ([19, Theorem 2.1]), but the formulation of their theorem is somewhat complicated. In this paper, as a corollary of Theorem 7.11, we get that (in the Hausdorff situation), if the restriction of  $f$  to  $(G_1)_K^K$  is proper for each compact set  $K \subset (G_1)^{(0)}$  then  $f$  induces a correspondence  $\mathcal{E}_f$  from  $C_r^*(G_2)$  to  $C_r^*(G_1)$ . In fact we construct a  $C^*$ -correspondence out of any groupoid generalized morphism ([12, 16]) which satisfies some properness conditions. As a corollary, if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Morita equivalent then  $C_r^*(G_1)$  and  $C_r^*(G_2)$  are Morita-equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras.

In Section 8, we give a construction of a Hilbert  $C_0(X')$ -module, denoted by  $L^2(G)$ , on which  $C_r^*(G)$  acts faithfully; our construction is similar to the one of Khoskam and Skandalis [14]. We show that, like in the Hausdorff case,  $L^2(G)$  contains  $C_0(X')$  as a direct factor when  $G$  is proper. This fact is used, for Hausdorff  $G$ , to prove the Baum–Connes conjecture for proper groupoids [25].

In the final sections 9 and 10, we attempt to define a topological  $K$ -theory  $K_*^{top}(G)$  and an assembly map  $K_*^{top}(G) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(G))$  like in [3], and explain where difficulties arise. In particular, the existence of a classifying space for proper actions is not clear, and a more generalized version of  $KK_G$ -theory [16] is necessary. Moreover, the method used in [10, 26] to prove the Baum–Connes conjecture for Hausdorff amenable groupoids does not (apparently) work. In fact, we don’t really have positive results on the conjecture for non-Hausdorff groupoids but we at least hope that this paper disproves the belief that known facts about Hausdorff groupoids easily generalize to the non-Hausdorff case.

**Acknowledgments:** we would like to thank Siegfried Echterhoff, Pierre-Yves Le Gall and Georges Skandalis for useful discussions and remarks.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

**1.1. Groupoids.** Throughout, we will assume that the reader is familiar with basic definitions about groupoids (see [24, 23, 2]). If  $G$  is a groupoid, we denote by  $G^{(0)}$  its set of units and by  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  and  $s: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  its range and source maps respectively. We will use notations such as  $G_x = s^{-1}(x)$ ,  $G^y = r^{-1}(y)$ ,  $G_x^y = G_x \cap G^y$ . Recall that a topological groupoid is said to be *étale* if  $r$  (and  $s$ ) are local homeomorphisms.

For all sets  $X, Y, T$  and all maps  $f: X \rightarrow T$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow T$ , we denote by  $X \times_{f,g} Y$ , or by  $X \times_T Y$  if there is no ambiguity, the set  $\{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid f(x) = g(y)\}$ .

Recall that a (right) action of  $G$  on a set  $Z$  is given by

- (a) a (“momentum”) map  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ ;
- (b) a map  $Z \times_{p,r} G \rightarrow Z$ , denoted by  $(z, g) \mapsto zg$

with the following properties:

- (i)  $p(zg) = s(g)$  for all  $(z, g) \in Z \times_{p,r} G$ ;
- (ii)  $z(gh) = (zg)h$  whenever  $p(z) = r(g)$  and  $s(g) = r(h)$ ;
- (iii)  $zp(z) = z$  for all  $z \in Z$ .

Then the crossed-product  $Z \rtimes G$  is the subgroupoid of  $(Z \times Z) \times G$  consisting of elements  $(z, z', g)$  such that  $z' = zg$ . Since the map  $Z \rtimes G \rightarrow Z \times G$  given by  $(z, z', g) \mapsto (z, g)$  is injective, the groupoid  $Z \rtimes G$  can also be considered as a subspace of  $Z \times G$ , and this is what we will do most of the time.

**1.2. Locally compact spaces.** A topological space  $X$  is said to be quasi-compact if every open cover of  $X$  admits a finite sub-cover. A space is compact if it is quasi-compact and Hausdorff. Let us recall a few basic facts about locally compact spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** A topological space  $X$  is said to be locally compact if every point  $x \in X$  has a compact neighborhood.

In particular,  $X$  is locally Hausdorff, thus every singleton subset of  $X$  is closed. Moreover, the diagonal in  $X \times X$  is locally closed.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then every locally closed subspace of  $X$  is locally compact.*

Recall that  $A \subset X$  is locally closed if for every  $a \in A$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $a$  in  $X$  such that  $V \cap A$  is closed in  $V$ . Then  $A$  is locally closed if and only if it is of the form  $U \cap F$ , with  $U$  open and  $F$  closed.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a sequence  $(K_n)$  of compact subspaces such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists a sequence  $(K_n)$  of quasi-compact subspaces such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ ;*
- (iii) *there exists a sequence  $(K_n)$  of quasi-compact subspaces such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  and  $K_n \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Such a space will be called  $\sigma$ -compact.

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) is obvious. The implications (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (i) follow easily from the fact that for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$ , there exists a finite family  $(K_i)_{i \in I}$  of compact sets such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \overset{\circ}{K}_i$ .  $\square$

### 1.3. Proper maps.

**Proposition 1.4.** [5, Théorème I.10.2.1] *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous map. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every topological space  $Z$ ,  $f \times \text{Id}_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$  is closed;*
- (ii)  *$f$  is closed and for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is quasi-compact.*

A map which satisfies the equivalent properties of Proposition 1.4 is said to be *proper*.

**Proposition 1.5.** [5, Proposition I.10.2.6] *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper map. Then for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is quasi-compact.*

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. Suppose  $Y$  is locally compact, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$f$  is proper;*
- (ii) *for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is quasi-compact;*
- (iii) *for every compact subspace  $K$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is quasi-compact;*
- (iv) *for every  $y \in Y$ , there exists a compact neighborhood  $K_y$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{-1}(K_y)$  is quasi-compact.*

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) follows from Proposition 1.5. (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) are obvious. Let us show (iv)  $\implies$  (i).

Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is closed, it is clear that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is quasi-compact for all  $y \in Y$ . It remains to prove that for every closed subspace  $F \subset X$ ,  $f(F)$  is closed. Let  $y \in \overline{f(F)}$ . Let  $K_y$  be a compact neighborhood of  $y$  such that  $A = f^{-1}(K_y)$  is quasi-compact. Then  $A \cap F$  is quasi-compact, so  $f(A \cap F)$  is quasi-compact. As  $f(A \cap F) \subset K_y$ , it is closed in  $K_y$ , i.e.  $\overline{K_y \cap f(A \cap F)} = K_y \cap f(A \cap F)$ . Since  $K_y \cap f(F) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $y \in K_y \cap \overline{f(A \cap F)} = K_y \cap f(A \cap F) \subset f(F)$ . It follows that  $f(F)$  is closed.  $\square$

## 2. PROPER GROUPOIDS AND PROPER ACTIONS

### 2.1. Locally compact groupoids.

**Definition 2.1.** A topological groupoid  $G$  is said to be locally compact (resp. locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact) if it is locally compact (resp. locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact) as a topological space.

**Remark 2.2.** The definition of a locally compact groupoid in [23] corresponds to our definition of a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact groupoid with Haar system whose unit space is Hausdorff, thanks to Propositions 2.5 and 2.8.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group,  $H$  a closed normal subgroup and let  $G$  be the bundle of groups over  $[0, 1]$  such that  $G_0 = \Gamma$  and  $G_t = \Gamma/H$  for all  $t > 0$ . We endow  $G$  with the quotient topology of  $([0, 1] \times \Gamma) / ((0, 1] \times H)$ . Then  $G$  is a non-Hausdorff locally compact groupoid such that  $(t, \bar{\gamma})$  converges to  $(0, \gamma h)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $h \in H$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group acting on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , and let  $G = (X \times \Gamma) / \sim$ , where  $(x, \gamma)$  and  $(x, \gamma')$  are identified if their germs are equal, i.e. there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $y\gamma = y\gamma'$  for all  $y \in V$ . Then  $G$  is locally compact, since the open sets  $V_\gamma = \{[(x, \gamma)] \mid x \in X\}$  are homeomorphic to  $X$  and cover  $G$ .

Suppose that  $X$  is a manifold,  $M$  is a manifold such that  $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  is the universal cover of  $M$  and  $V = (X \times \tilde{M}) / \Gamma$ , then  $V$  is foliated by  $\{[x, \tilde{m}] \mid \tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}\}$  and  $G$  is the restriction to a transversal of the holonomy groupoid of the above foliation.

**Proposition 2.5.** *If  $G$  is a locally compact groupoid, then  $G^{(0)}$  is locally closed in  $G$ , hence locally compact. If furthermore  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then  $G^{(0)}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal in  $G \times G$ . Since  $G$  is locally Hausdorff,  $\Delta$  is locally closed. Then  $G^{(0)} = (\text{Id}, r)^{-1}(\Delta)$  is locally closed in  $G$ .

Suppose that  $G = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  with  $K_n$  quasi-compact, then  $s(K_n)$  is quasi-compact and  $G^{(0)} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(K_n)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $Z$  a locally compact space and  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid acting on  $Z$ . Then the crossed-product  $Z \rtimes G$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  be the momentum map of the action of  $G$ . From Proposition 2.5, the diagonal  $\Delta \subset G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  is locally closed in  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , hence  $Z \rtimes G = (p, r)^{-1}(\Delta)$  is locally closed in  $Z \times G$ .  $\square$

Let  $T$  be a space. Recall that there is a groupoid  $T \times T$  with unit space  $T$ , and product  $(x, y)(y, z) = (x, z)$ .

Let  $G$  be a groupoid and  $T$  be a space. Let  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ , and let  $G[T] = \{(t', t, g) \in (T \times T) \times G \mid g \in G_{f(t)}^{f(t')}\}$ . Then  $G[T]$  is a subgroupoid of  $(T \times T) \times G$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  locally Hausdorff,  $T$  a topological space and  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  a continuous map. Then  $G[T]$  is a locally closed subgroupoid of  $(T \times T) \times G$ . In particular, if  $T$  and  $G$  are locally compact, then  $G[T]$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $F \subset T \times G^{(0)}$  be the graph of  $f$ . Then  $F = (f \times \text{Id})^{-1}(\Delta)$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , thus it is locally closed. Let  $\rho: (t', t, g) \mapsto (t', r(g))$  and  $\sigma: (t', t, g) \mapsto (t, s(g))$  be the range and source maps of  $(T \times T) \times G$ , then  $G[T] = (\rho, \sigma)^{-1}(F \times F)$  is locally closed.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Then for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_x$  is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $Z = \{(g, h) \in G_x \times G_x \mid r(g) = r(h)\}$ . Let  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\varphi(g, h) = g^{-1}h$ . Since  $\{x\}$  is closed in  $G$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$  is closed in  $Z$ , and since  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff,  $Z$  is closed in  $G_x \times G_x$ . It follows that  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ , which is the diagonal of  $G_x \times G_x$ , is closed in  $G_x \times G_x$ .  $\square$

## 2.2. Proper groupoids.

**Definition 2.9.** A topological groupoid  $G$  is said to be proper if  $(r, s): G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  is proper.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is locally compact. Consider the following assertions:

- (i)  $G$  is proper;
- (ii)  $(r, s)$  is closed and for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_x^x$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii) for all quasi-compact subspaces  $K$  and  $L$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_K^L$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii)' for all compact subspaces  $K$  and  $L$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_K^L$  is quasi-compact;
- (iv) for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_K^K$  is quasi-compact;
- (v)  $\forall x, y \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $\exists K_x, L_y$  compact neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $G_{K_x}^{L_y}$  is quasi-compact.

Then (i)  $\iff$  (ii)  $\iff$  (iii)  $\iff$  (iii)'  $\iff$  (v)  $\implies$  (iv). If  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, then (i)–(v) are equivalent.

*Proof.* (i)  $\iff$  (ii) follows from Proposition 1.4, and from the fact that  $G_x^x$  is homeomorphic to  $G_x^y$  if  $G_x^y \neq \emptyset$ . (i)  $\implies$  (iii) and (v)  $\implies$  (i) follow Proposition 1.6 and the formula  $G_K^L = (r, s)^{-1}(L \times K)$ . (iii)  $\implies$  (iii)'  $\implies$  (v) and (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) are obvious. If  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, then (iv)  $\implies$  (v) is obvious.  $\square$

Note that if  $G = G^{(0)}$  is a non-Hausdorff topological space, then  $G$  is not proper (since  $(r, s)$  is not closed), but satisfies property (iv).

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. If  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open then the canonical mapping  $\pi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  is open.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $r$  is open. Let  $V \subset G^{(0)}$  be an open subspace. Since  $s$  is continuous and  $r$  is open,  $r(s^{-1}(V)) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(V))$  is open. Therefore,  $\pi(V)$  is open.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.12.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is locally compact and  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Suppose that  $(r, s)(G)$  is locally closed in  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , then  $G^{(0)}/G$  is locally compact. Furthermore,

- (a) if  $G^{(0)}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then  $G^{(0)}/G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact;
- (b) if  $(r, s)(G)$  is closed (for instance if  $G$  is proper), then  $G^{(0)}/G$  is Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Let  $R = (r, s)(G)$ . Let  $\pi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  be the canonical mapping. By Proposition 2.11,  $\pi$  is open, therefore  $G^{(0)}/G$  is locally quasi-compact. Let us show that it is locally Hausdorff. Let  $V$  be an open subspace of  $G^{(0)}$  such that  $(V \times V) \cap R$  is closed in  $V \times V$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal in  $\pi(V) \times \pi(V)$ . Then  $(\pi \times \pi)^{-1}(\Delta) = (V \times V) \cap R$  is closed in  $V \times V$ . Since  $\pi \times \pi: V \times V \rightarrow \pi(V) \times \pi(V)$  is continuous open surjective, it follows that  $\Delta$  is closed in  $\pi(V) \times \pi(V)$ , hence  $\pi(V)$  is Hausdorff. This completes the proof that  $G^{(0)}/G$  is locally compact and of assertion (b).

Assertion (a) follows from the fact that for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$  and every compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $x$ ,  $\pi(K)$  is a quasi-compact neighborhood of  $\pi(x)$ .  $\square$

Recall that if  $G$  is a topological groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  locally compact, and if  $(V_i)$  is a cover of  $G^{(0)}$  by open Hausdorff sets, then  $G' = \coprod G_{V_i}^{V_j}$  is a topological groupoid such that  $G'^{(0)}$  is locally compact and Hausdorff. By characterization (v) in Proposition 2.10, the groupoid  $G$  is proper if and only if  $G'$  is proper. More generally, we will prove below that if  $G$  and  $G'$  are Morita-equivalent topological groupoids, then  $G$  is proper if and only if  $G'$  is proper.

### 2.3. Proper actions.

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. Let  $Z$  be a topological space endowed with an action of  $G$ . Then the action is said to be proper if  $Z \rtimes G$  is a proper groupoid. (We will also say that  $Z$  is a proper  $G$ -space.)

A subspace  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is said to be relatively compact (resp. relatively quasi-compact) if it is included in a compact (resp. quasi-compact) subspace of  $X$ . This does not imply that  $\overline{A}$  is compact (resp. quasi-compact).

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. Let  $Z$  be a topological space endowed with an action of  $G$ . Consider the following assertions:*

- (i)  $G$  acts properly on  $Z$ ;
- (ii)  $(r, s): Z \rtimes G \rightarrow Z \times Z$  is closed and  $\forall z \in Z$ , the stabilizer of  $z$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii) for all quasi-compact subspaces  $K$  and  $L$  of  $Z$ ,  $\{g \in G \mid Lg \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii)' for all compact subspaces  $K$  and  $L$  of  $Z$ ,  $\{g \in G \mid Lg \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact;
- (iv) for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $Z$ ,  $\{g \in G \mid Kg \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact;
- (v) there exists a family  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  of subspaces of  $Z$  such that  $Z = \cup_{i \in I} \overset{\circ}{A}_i$  and  $\{g \in G \mid A_i g \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\}$  is relatively quasi-compact for all  $i, j \in I$ .

Then (i)  $\iff$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iii)' and (iii)  $\implies$  (iv). If  $Z$  is locally compact, then (iii)'  $\implies$  (v) and (iv)  $\implies$  (v). If  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $Z$  is locally compact Hausdorff, then (i)–(v) are equivalent.

*Proof.* (i)  $\iff$  (ii) follows from Proposition 2.10[(i)  $\iff$  (ii)]. Implication (i)  $\implies$  (iii) follows from the fact that if  $(Z \rtimes G)_K^L$  is quasi-compact, then its image by the second projection  $Z \rtimes G \rightarrow G$  is quasi-compact. (iii)  $\implies$  (iii)' and (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) are obvious.

Suppose that  $Z$  is locally compact. Take  $A_i \subset Z$  compact such that  $Z = \cup_{i \in I} A_i$ . If (iii)' is true, then  $\{g \in G \mid A_i g \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact, hence (v). If (iv) is true, then  $\{g \in G \mid A_i g \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\}$  is a subset of the quasi-compact set  $\{g \in G \mid Kg \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ , where  $K = A_i \cup A_j$ , hence (v).

Suppose that  $Z$  is locally compact Hausdorff and that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let us show (v)  $\implies$  (ii). Let  $C_{ij}$  be a quasi-compact set such that  $\{g \in G \mid A_i g \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\} \subset C_{ij}$ .

Let  $z \in Z$ . Choose  $i \in I$  such that  $z \in A_i$ . Since  $Z$  and  $G^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff,  $\text{stab}(z)$  is a closed subspace of  $C_{ii}$ , therefore it is quasi-compact.



It remains to prove that the map  $\Phi: Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G \rightarrow Z \times Z$  given by  $\Phi(z, g) = (z, zg)$  is closed. Let  $F \subset Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G$  be a closed subspace, and  $(z, z') \in \overline{\Phi(F)}$ . Choose  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $z \in \mathring{A}_i$  and  $z' \in \mathring{A}_j$ . Then  $(z, z') \in \overline{\Phi(F) \cap (A_i \times A_j)} \subset \overline{\Phi(F \cap (A_i \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij}))} \subset \overline{\Phi(F \cap (Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij}))}$ . There exists a net  $(z_\lambda, g_\lambda) \in F \cap (Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij})$  such that  $(z, z')$  is a limit point of  $(z_\lambda, z_\lambda g_\lambda)$ . Since  $C_{ij}$  is quasi-compact, after passing to a universal subnet we may assume that  $g_\lambda$  converges to an element  $g \in C_{ij}$ . Since  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff,  $F \cap (Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij})$  is closed in  $Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij}$ , so  $(z, g)$  is an element of  $F \cap (Z \times_{G^{(0)}} C_{ij})$ . Using the fact that  $Z$  is Hausdorff and  $\Phi$  is continuous, we obtain  $(z, z') = \Phi(z, g) \in \Phi(F)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Suppose that  $G$  acts properly on a topological space  $Z$  with momentum map  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ . Then for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $p^{-1}(x)$  is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $Z_x = p^{-1}(x)$ . Let  $\varphi: Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G \rightarrow Z \times Z$  defined by  $\varphi(z, g) = (z, zg)$ . By assumption,  $\varphi$  is closed. Since  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff,  $Z_x \times \{x\}$  is closed in  $Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G$ , hence the diagonal of  $Z_x \times Z_x$ , which is  $\varphi(Z_x \times \{x\})$ , is closed in  $Z \times Z$ . Since  $Z_x \times Z_x$  is closed in  $Z \times Z$ , it follows that  $Z_x$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.16.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid. Then  $G$  acts properly on itself if and only if  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. In particular, a locally compact space is proper if and only if it is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* It is clear from Proposition 2.10(ii) that  $G$  acts properly on itself if and only if the product  $\varphi: G^{(2)} \rightarrow G \times G$  is closed. Since  $\varphi$  factors through the homeomorphism  $G^{(2)} \rightarrow G \times_{r,r} G$ ,  $(g, h) \mapsto (g, gh)$ ,  $G$  acts properly on itself if and only if  $G \times_{r,r} G$  is a closed subset of  $G \times G$ .

If  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, then clearly  $G \times_{r,r} G$  is closed in  $G \times G$ . Conversely, if  $G^{(0)}$  is not Hausdorff, then there exists  $(x, y) \in G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  such that  $x \neq y$  and  $(x, y)$  is in the closure of the diagonal of  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ . It follows that  $(x, y)$  is in the closure of  $G \times_{r,r} G$ , but  $(x, y) \notin G \times_{r,r} G$ , therefore  $G \times_{r,r} G$  is not closed.  $\square$

#### 2.4. Permanence properties.

**Proposition 2.17.** *If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are proper topological groupoids, then  $G_1 \times G_2$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Follows from the fact that the product of two proper maps is proper [5, Corollaire I.10.2.3].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.18.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two topological groupoids such that  $G_1^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $G_2$  is proper. Suppose that  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a proper morphism. Then  $G_1$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $r_i$  and  $s_i$  the range and source maps of  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $\bar{f}$  be the map  $G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \rightarrow G_2^{(0)} \times G_2^{(0)}$  induced from  $f$ . Since  $\bar{f} \circ (r_1, s_1) = (r_2, s_2) \circ f$  is proper and  $G_1^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, it follows from [5, Proposition I.10.1.5] that  $(r_1, s_1)$  is proper.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.19.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two topological groupoids such that  $G_1$  is proper. Suppose that  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a surjective morphism such that the induced map  $f': G_1^{(0)} \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  is proper. Then  $G_2$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $r_i$  and  $s_i$  the range and source maps of  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $F_2 \subset G_2$  be a closed subspace, and  $F_1 = f^{-1}(F_2)$ . Since  $G_1$  is proper,  $(r_1, s_1)(F_1)$  is closed, and since  $f' \times f'$  is proper,  $(f' \times f') \circ (r_1, s_1)(F_1)$  is closed. By surjectivity of  $f$ , we have  $(r_2, s_2)(F_2) = (f' \times f') \circ (r_1, s_1)(F_1)$ . This proves that  $(r_2, s_2)$  is closed. Since for every topological space  $T$ , the assumptions of the proposition are also true for the morphism  $f \times 1: G_1 \times T \rightarrow G_2 \times T$ , the above shows that  $(r_2, s_2) \times 1_T$  is closed. Therefore,  $(r_2, s_2)$  is proper.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.20.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff, acting on two spaces  $Y$  and  $Z$ . Suppose that the action of  $G$  on  $Z$  is proper, and that  $Y$  is Hausdorff. Then  $G$  acts properly on  $Y \times_{G^{(0)}} Z$ .*

*Proof.* The groupoid  $(Y \times_{G^{(0)}} Z) \rtimes G$  is isomorphic to the subgroupoid  $\Gamma = \{(y, y', z, g) \in (Y \times Y) \times (Z \rtimes G) \mid p(y) = r(g), y' = yg\}$  of the proper groupoid  $(Y \times Y) \times (Z \rtimes G)$ . Since  $Y$  and  $G^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff,  $\Gamma$  is closed in  $(Y \times Y) \times (Z \rtimes G)$ , hence by Proposition 2.10(ii),  $(Y \times_{G^{(0)}} Z) \rtimes G$  is proper.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.21.** *Let  $G$  be a proper topological groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Then any action of  $G$  on a Hausdorff space is proper.*

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 2.20 with  $Z = G^{(0)}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.22.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid and  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  be a continuous map.*

- (a) *If  $G$  is proper, then  $G[T]$  is proper.*
- (ii) *If  $G[T]$  is proper and  $f$  is open surjective, then  $G$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Let us prove (a). Suppose first that  $T$  is a subspace of  $G^{(0)}$  and that  $f$  is the inclusion. Then  $G[T] = G_T^T$ . Since  $(r_T, s_T)$  is the restriction to  $(r, s)^{-1}(T \times T)$  of  $(r, s)$ , and  $(r, s)$  is closed, it follows that  $(r_T, s_T)$  is closed.

In the general case, let  $\Gamma = (T \times T) \times G$  and let  $T' \subset T \times G^{(0)}$  be the graph of  $f$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a proper groupoid (since it is the product of two proper groupoids), and  $G[T] = \Gamma[T']$ .

Let us prove (b). The only difficulty is to show that  $(r, s)$  is closed. Let  $F \subset G$  be a closed subspace and  $(y, x) \in \overline{(r, s)(F)}$ . Let  $\tilde{F} = G[T] \cap (T \times T) \times F$ . Choose  $(t', t) \in T \times T$  such that  $f(t') = y$  and  $f(t) = x$ . Denote by  $\tilde{r}$  and  $\tilde{s}$  the range and source maps of  $G[T]$ . Then  $(t', t) \in \overline{(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})(\tilde{F})}$ . Indeed, let  $\Omega \ni (t', t)$  be an open set, and  $\Omega' = (f \times f)(\Omega)$ . Then  $\Omega'$  is an open neighborhood of  $(y, x)$ , so  $\Omega' \cap (r, s)(F) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $\Omega \cap (\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})(\tilde{F}) \neq \emptyset$ .

We have proved that  $(t', t) \in \overline{(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})(\tilde{F})} = \overline{(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})(\tilde{F})}$ , so  $(y, x) \in (r, s)(F)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.23.** *Let  $G$  be a groupoid acting properly on a topological space  $Z$ , and let  $Z_1$  be a saturated subspace. Then  $G$  acts properly on  $Z_1$ .*

*Proof.* Use the fact that  $Z_1 \rtimes G = (Z \rtimes G)[Z_1]$ .  $\square$

**2.5. Invariance by Morita-equivalence.** Recall the algebraic notion of equivalence of groupoids:

**Proposition 2.24.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two groupoids. Let  $r_i, s_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be the range and source maps of  $G_i$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exist a set  $T$  and  $f_i: T \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  surjective such that  $G_1[T]$  and  $G_2[T]$  are isomorphic;*
- (ii) *there exists a set  $Z$ , two maps  $\rho: Z \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma: Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ , a left action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  with momentum map  $\rho$  and a right action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  with momentum map  $\sigma$  such that the actions commute and are free, and the natural maps  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_1 \backslash Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  induced from  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are bijective.*

*Proof.* Let us first note that (i) and (ii) are indeed equivalence relations. For (i), if  $G_1[T] \simeq G_2[T]$  and  $G_2[T'] \simeq G_3[T']$ , then  $G_1(T \times_{G_2^{(0)}} T') \simeq G_2(T \times_{G_2^{(0)}} T') \simeq G_3(T \times_{G_2^{(0)}} T')$ . For (ii), if  $(Z_1, \rho_1, \sigma_1)$  defines an equivalence between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and  $(Z_2, \rho_2, \sigma_2)$  an equivalence relation between  $G_2$  and  $G_3$ , let  $Z = Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2$  be the quotient of  $Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2$  by the action of  $G_2$  defined by  $(z_1, z_2) \cdot g_2 = (z_1 g_2, g_2^{-1} z_2)$ . Let  $\rho(z_1, z_2) = \rho_1(z_1)$  and  $\sigma(z_1, z_2) = \sigma_2(z_2)$ . Then  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is an equivalence between  $G_1$  and  $G_3$ .

To prove that (i)  $\implies$  (ii), it suffices to show that if  $G$  is a groupoid and  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is surjective, that  $G[T]$  is equivalent to  $G$  in the sense (ii). Let  $Z = T \times_{f,r} G$ . Let  $\rho(t, g) = t$  and  $\sigma(t, g) = s(g)$ . Define the actions of  $G[T]$  and  $G$  by  $(t', t, \gamma) \cdot (t, g) = (t', \gamma g)$  and  $(t, g) \cdot \gamma = (t, g\gamma)$ . One easily checks that the two actions commute, and, using the fact that  $f$  is surjective, that the natural maps  $Z/G \rightarrow T$  and  $G[T] \backslash Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  are bijective.

To prove (ii)  $\implies$  (i), let  $\Gamma = G_1 \ltimes Z \rtimes G_2 = \{(z', z, g_1, g_2) \in (Z \times Z) \times G_1 \times G_2 \mid g_1 z = z' g_2\}$ . Then the maps  $(z', z, g_1, g_2) \mapsto (z', z, g_1)$  and  $(z', z, g_1, g_2) \mapsto (z', z, g_2)$  are isomorphisms between  $\Gamma$  and  $G_1(Z)$ , and between  $\Gamma$  and  $G_2(Z)$  respectively.  $\square$

Let us examine Morita-equivalence for topological groupoids. The analogue of Proposition 2.24 works nicely when  $r_i: G_i \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  are open. Thus we need a stability lemma:

**Lemma 2.25.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid whose range map is open. Let  $Z$  be a  $G$  space and  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  be a continuous open map. Then the range maps for  $Z \rtimes G$  and  $G[T]$  are open.*

To prove Lemma 2.25 we need a preliminary result:

**Lemma 2.26.** *Let  $X, Y, T$  be topological spaces,  $g: Y \rightarrow T$  an open map and  $f: X \rightarrow T$  continuous. Let  $Z = X \times_T Y$ . Then the first projection  $\text{pr}_1: X \times_T Y \rightarrow X$  is open.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega \subset Z$  open. There exists an open subspace  $\Omega'$  of  $X \times Y$  such that  $\Omega = \Omega' \cap Z$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal in  $X \times X$ . One easily checks that  $(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_1)(\Omega) = (1 \times f)^{-1}(1 \times g)(\Omega') \cap \Delta$ , therefore  $(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_1)(\Omega)$  is open in  $\Delta$ . This implies that  $\text{pr}_1(\Omega)$  is open in  $X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.25.* The proof that the range map is open for  $Z \rtimes G = Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G$  is immediate from Lemma 2.26.

For  $G[T]$ , first use Lemma 2.26 to prove that  $T \times_{f,s} G \xrightarrow{pr_2} G$  is open. Since the range map is open by assumption, the composition  $T \times_{f,s} G \xrightarrow{pr_2} G \xrightarrow{r} G^{(0)}$  is open. Using again Lemma 2.26,  $G[T] \simeq T \times_{f, r \circ pr_2} (T \times_{f,s} G) \xrightarrow{pr_1} T$  is open.  $\square$

In order to define the notion of Morita-equivalence for topological groupoids, we introduce some terminology:

**Definition 2.27.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. Let  $T$  be a topological space and  $\rho: G^{(0)} \rightarrow T$  be a  $G$ -invariant map. Then  $G$  is said to be  $\rho$ -proper if the map  $(r, s): G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times_T G^{(0)}$  is proper. If  $G$  acts on a space  $Z$  and  $\rho: Z \rightarrow T$  is  $G$ -invariant, then the action is said to be  $\rho$ -proper if  $Z \rtimes G$  is  $\rho$ -proper.

It is clear that properness implies  $\rho$ -properness. There is a partial converse:

**Proposition 2.28.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid,  $T$  a topological space,  $\rho: G^{(0)} \rightarrow T$  a  $G$ -invariant map. If  $G$  is  $\rho$ -proper and  $T$  is Hausdorff, then  $G$  is proper.

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is Hausdorff,  $G^{(0)} \times_T G^{(0)}$  is a closed subspace of  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , therefore  $(r, s)$ , being the composition of the two proper maps  $G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times_T G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , is proper.  $\square$

To understand the notion of  $\rho$ -properness better, let us consider the case where  $T$  is locally Hausdorff:

**Proposition 2.29.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid,  $T$  a locally Hausdorff topological space,  $\rho: G^{(0)} \rightarrow T$  a  $G$ -invariant map. Then  $G$  is  $\rho$ -proper if and only if for every Hausdorff open subspace  $V$  of  $T$ ,  $G_{\rho^{-1}(V)}^{\rho^{-1}(V)}$  is proper.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G$  is  $\rho$ -proper. Let  $V \subset T$  be a Hausdorff open subspace and let  $W = \rho^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $(r, s): G \cap (r, s)^{-1}(W \times W) \rightarrow (G^{(0)} \times_T G^{(0)}) \cap (W \times W)$  is proper, i.e.  $(r, s): G_W^W \rightarrow W \times_V W$  is proper. Since  $V$  is Hausdorff,  $W \times_V W$  is closed in  $W \times W$ , so  $(r, s): G_W^W \rightarrow W \times W$  is proper, i.e.  $G_W^W$  is proper.

Conversely, suppose that for every open Hausdorff subspace  $V$  of  $T$  and  $W = \rho^{-1}(V)$ ,  $G_W^W$  is proper. Let  $F$  be a closed subspace of  $G$  and  $a \in \overline{(r, s)(F)}$ . Choose  $V$  such that  $\rho \circ pr_1(a) \in V$ , then  $a \in \overline{(r, s)(F \cap G_W^W)} \cap (W \times W) = (r, s)(F \cap G_W^W) \subset (r, s)(F)$ , therefore  $(r, s)$  is closed. The same proof shows that  $(r, s) \times 1_{T'}$  is closed for any topological space  $T'$ , therefore  $G$  is proper.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.30.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two topological groupoids. Let  $r_i, s_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be the range and source maps of  $G_i$ , and suppose that  $r_i$  are open. The following are equivalent:

- (i) there exist a topological space  $T$  and  $f_i: T \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  open surjective such that  $G_1[T]$  and  $G_2[T]$  are isomorphic;

- (ii) *there exists a space  $Z$ , two continuous maps  $\rho: Z \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma: Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ , a left action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  with momentum map  $\rho$  and a right action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  with momentum map  $\sigma$  such that*
  - (a) *the actions commute and are free, the action of  $G_2$  is  $\rho$ -proper and the action of  $G_1$  is  $\sigma$ -proper;*
  - (b) *the natural maps  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_1 \backslash Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  induced from  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are homeomorphisms.*

Moreover, one may replace (b) by

- (b)'  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are open and induce bijections  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_1 \backslash Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ .

If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  satisfy the equivalent conditions in Proposition 2.30, then they are said to be Morita-equivalent. Note that if  $G_i^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff, then by Proposition 2.28, one may replace “ $\rho$ -proper” and “ $\sigma$ -proper” by “proper”.

To prove Proposition 2.30, we need a lemma:

**Lemma 2.31.** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $T$  be topological spaces,  $g: Y \rightarrow T$  an open map and  $f: X \rightarrow T$  continuous. Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$  such that the canonical mapping  $\pi: X \rightarrow X/R$  is open and such that  $f$  factors through  $X/R$ . Then the canonical map*

$$(X \times_T Y)/(R \times 1) \rightarrow (X/R) \times_T Y$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.26, the first projection  $\text{pr}_1: X \times_T Y \rightarrow X$  is open. By composition,  $\pi \circ \text{pr}_1: X \times_T Y \rightarrow X/R$  is open. Let  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  be open subspaces. Then  $(\pi \times 1)(U \times_T V) = \pi(U) \times_T V$  is an open subspace of  $(X/R) \times_T Y$ . Therefore,  $\pi \times 1: X \times_T Y \rightarrow (X/R) \times_T Y$  is open. Since the canonical mapping  $X \times_T Y \rightarrow (X \times_T Y)/(R \times 1)$  is surjective, the map  $(X \times_T Y)/(R \times 1) \rightarrow (X/R) \times_T Y$  is open, hence an isomorphism.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.30.* The last assertion follows from the fact that the canonical mappings  $Z \rightarrow Z/G_2$  and  $Z \rightarrow G_1 \backslash Z$  are open (Lemma 2.34).

Let us first show that (ii) is an equivalence relation. Reflexivity is clear (taking  $Z = G$ ,  $\rho = r$ ,  $\sigma = s$ ), and symmetry is obvious. Suppose that  $(Z_1, \rho_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(Z_2, \rho_2, \sigma_2)$  are equivalences between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , and  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  respectively. As in Proposition 2.24, let  $Z = Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2$  be the quotient of  $Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2$  by the action  $(z_1, z_2) \cdot \gamma = (z_1 \gamma, \gamma^{-1} z_2)$  of  $G_2$ . Denote by  $\rho: Z \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma: Z \rightarrow G_3^{(0)}$  the maps induced from  $\rho_1 \times 1$  and  $1 \times \sigma_2$ . By Lemma 2.26, the first projection  $\text{pr}_1: Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1$  is open, therefore  $\rho = \rho_1 \circ \text{pr}_1$  is open. Similarly,  $\sigma$  is open. It remains to show that the actions of  $G_3$  and  $G_1$  are  $\rho$ -proper and  $\sigma$ -proper respectively. We show it for  $G_3$ , the proof for  $G_1$  being similar.

We need to show that the map  $Z \times G_3 \rightarrow Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z$  is a homeomorphism, i.e. that the map  $Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z \rightarrow G_3$  defined by  $(z, z\gamma) \mapsto \gamma$  is the unique  $\gamma \in G_3$  such that  $z' = z\gamma$ , is continuous.

Since the action of  $G_2$  on  $Z_1$  is free and  $\rho_1$ -proper, there is an isomorphism

$$(Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2) \times_{G_1^{(0)}} (Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2) \simeq (Z_1 \rtimes G_2) \times_{G_2^{(0)} \times G_2^{(0)}} (Z_2 \times Z_2).$$

Taking the quotient by the action of  $G_2$  on the second factor  $(Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)$  of the left-hand side, and using Lemma 2.31, one gets

$$(Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2) \times_{G_1^{(0)}} (Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2) \simeq Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} (Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2).$$

Taking once again the quotient by  $G_2$  and using Lemma 2.31, we obtain

$$Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z \simeq Z_1 \times_{G_2} (Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2).$$

Since the action of  $G_3$  on  $Z_2$  is free and  $\rho_2$ -proper, we have  $Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2 \simeq Z_2 \rtimes G_3$ , therefore  $Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z \simeq Z_1 \times_{G_2} (Z_2 \rtimes G_3)$ . Now, the third projection  $Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} (Z_2 \rtimes G_3) \rightarrow G_3$  is continuous and passes through the quotient by  $G_2$ , hence  $Z_1 \times_{G_2} (Z_2 \rtimes G_3) \rightarrow G_3$  is continuous.

This proves that (ii) is an equivalence relation. Now, we proceed as in Proposition 2.24.

Suppose (ii). Let  $\Gamma = G_1 \rtimes Z \rtimes G_2$  and  $T = Z$ . The maps  $\rho: T \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma: T \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  are open surjective by assumption. Since  $G_1 \rtimes Z \simeq Z \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z$  and  $Z \rtimes G_2 \simeq Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z$ , we have  $G_2[T] = (T \times T) \times_{G_2^{(0)} \times G_2^{(0)}} G_2 \simeq (Z \rtimes G_2) \times_{s \circ pr_2, \sigma} Z \simeq (Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z) \times_{\sigma \circ pr_2, \sigma} Z = Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} (Z \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z) \simeq Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} (G_1 \rtimes Z) \simeq G_1 \rtimes (Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z) \simeq G_1 \rtimes (Z \rtimes G_2) = \Gamma$ . Similarly,  $\Gamma \simeq G_1[T]$ , hence (i).

Conversely, to prove (i)  $\implies$  (ii) it suffices to show that if  $f: T \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open surjective, then  $G$  and  $G[T]$  are equivalent in the sense (ii), since we know that (ii) is an equivalence relation. Let  $Z = T \times_{r, f} G$ .

Let us check that the action of  $G$  is  $pr_1$ -proper. Write  $Z \rtimes G = \{(t, g, h) \in T \times G \times G \mid f(t) = r(g) \text{ and } s(g) = r(h)\}$ . One needs to check that the map  $Z \rtimes G \rightarrow (T \times_{f, r} G)^2$  defined by  $(t, g, h) \mapsto (t, g, t, h)$  is a homeomorphism onto its image. This follows easily from the facts that the diagonal map  $T \rightarrow T \times T$  and the map  $G^{(2)} \rightarrow G \times G$ ,  $(g, h) \mapsto (g, gh)$  are homeomorphisms onto their images.

Let us check that the action of  $G[T]$  is  $s \circ pr_2$ -proper. One easily checks that the groupoid  $G' = G[T] \rtimes (T \times_{f, r} G)$  is isomorphic to a subgroupoid of the trivial groupoid  $(T \times T) \times (G \times G)$ . It follows that if  $r'$  and  $s'$  denote the range and source maps of  $G'$ , the map  $(r', s')$  is a homeomorphism of  $G'$  onto its image.  $\square$

Let us examine standard examples of Morita-equivalences:

**Example 2.32.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid whose range map is open. Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open cover of  $G^{(0)}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \coprod_{i \in I} U_i$ . Then  $G[\mathcal{U}]$  is Morita-equivalent to  $G$ .

**Example 2.33.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid, and let  $H_1, H_2$  be subgroupoids such that the range maps  $r_i: H_i \rightarrow H_i^{(0)}$  are open. Then  $(H_1 \setminus G_{s(H_2)}^{s(H_1)}) \rtimes H_2$  and  $H_1 \rtimes (G_{s(H_2)}^{s(H_1)} / H_2)$  are Morita-equivalent.

*Proof.* Take  $Z = G^{s(H_1)}_{s(H_2)}$  and let  $\rho: Z \rightarrow Z/H_2$  and  $\sigma: H_1 \backslash Z$  be the canonical mappings. The fact that these maps are open follows from Lemma 2.34 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.34.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open;
- (ii) for every  $G$ -space  $Z$ , the canonical mapping  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z/G$  is open.

*Proof.* To show (ii)  $\implies$  (i), take  $Z = G$ : the canonical mapping  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/G$  is open. Therefore, for every open subspace  $U$  of  $G$ ,  $r(U) = G^{(0)} \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(U))$  is open.

Let us show (i)  $\implies$  (ii). By Lemma 2.25, the range map  $r: Z \rtimes G \rightarrow Z$  is open. The conclusion follows from Proposition 2.11.  $\square$

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.22.

**Proposition 2.35.** *Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be two topological groupoids such that the range maps of  $G$  and  $G'$  are open. Suppose that  $G$  and  $G'$  are Morita-equivalent. Then  $G$  is proper if and only if  $G'$  is proper.*

We now examine the notion of Morita-equivalence for locally compact groupoids.

**Proposition 2.36.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two locally compact groupoids. Let  $r_i, s_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be the range and source maps of  $G_i$ , and suppose that  $r_i$  are open. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) there exist a locally compact space  $T$  and  $f_i: T \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  open surjective such that  $G_1[T]$  and  $G_2[T]$  are isomorphic;
- (i)' there exist a locally compact Hausdorff space  $T$  and  $f_i: T \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  open surjective such that  $G_1[T]$  and  $G_2[T]$  are isomorphic;
- (ii) there exist a locally compact space  $Z$ , two continuous maps  $\rho: Z \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma: Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ , a left action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  with momentum map  $\rho$  and a right action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  with momentum map  $\sigma$  such that
  - (a) the actions commute and are free, the action of  $G_2$  is  $\rho$ -proper and the action of  $G_1$  is  $\sigma$ -proper;
  - (b) the natural maps  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_1 \backslash Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  induced from  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are homeomorphisms.

Moreover, one may replace (b) by

- (b)'  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are open and induce bijections  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_1 \backslash Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (i)' implies (i). Conversely, if (i) is true, let  $(V_i)$  be an open cover of  $T$  by Hausdorff open subspaces, and  $T' = \coprod V_i$ . Let  $f'_i: T' \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  be the composition  $f_i \circ q$ , where  $q: T' \rightarrow T$  is the obvious map. Then  $G_1[T'] \simeq G_2[T']$ .

The equivalence (i)  $\iff$  (ii) follows from the proof of Proposition 2.30 if we can show that (ii) is an equivalence relation. Let  $(Z_1, \rho_1, \sigma_1)$  be an equivalence between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , and  $(Z_2, \rho_2, \sigma_2)$  be an equivalence between  $G_2$  and  $G_3$ . Let  $Z = Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2$ ,  $\rho = \rho_1 \times 1: Z \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$  and  $\sigma = 1 \times \sigma_2: Z \rightarrow G_3^{(0)}$ . To prove that  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is an equivalence between  $G_1$  and  $G_3$ , it remains to show that  $Z$  is locally compact. Let  $U_3$  be a Hausdorff open subspace of  $G_3^{(0)}$ . We show that

$\sigma^{-1}(U_3)$  is locally compact. Replacing  $G_3$  by  $(G_3)_{U_3}^{U_3}$ , we may assume that  $G_2$  acts freely and properly on  $Z_2$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the groupoid  $(Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2) \rtimes G_2$ , and  $R = (r, s)(\Gamma) \subset (Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)^2$ . Since the action of  $G_2$  on  $Z_2$  is free and proper, there exists a continuous map  $\varphi: Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2 \rightarrow G_2$  such that  $z_2 = \varphi(z_2, z'_2)z'_2$ . Then  $R = \{(z_1, z_2, z'_1, z'_2) \in (Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)^2; z'_1 = z_1\varphi(z_2, z'_2)\}$  is locally closed. By Proposition 2.12,  $Z = (Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)/G$  is locally compact.  $\square$

**2.6. The slice property.** Roughly speaking, an action of  $G$  on a space  $Z$  is said to have the slice property if  $Z$  is locally  $G$ -isomorphic to  $Y \times_K G$ , where  $K$  is a quasi-compact subgroupoid of  $G$  and  $Y$  is a Hausdorff  $K$ -space. Since slice-proper actions may be easier to handle than proper actions, we examine the relation between these two notions.

**Lemma 2.37.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid acting on a space  $Z$ . Suppose that for every  $z \in Z$ , there exists a closed saturated neighborhood  $Z'$  of  $z$  such that  $G$  acts properly on  $Z'$ . Then  $G$  acts properly on  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* The only difficulty is to show that  $(r, s): Z \rtimes G \rightarrow Z \times Z$  is closed. Let  $F$  be a closed subspace of  $Z \rtimes G$ . Let  $(z, z') \in \overline{(r, s)(F)}$ . Choose a closed saturated neighborhood  $Z'$  of  $z$  such that  $G$  acts properly on  $Z'$ . Then  $(z, z') \in \overline{(r, s)(F) \cap (Z' \times Z)} = \overline{(r, s)(F \cap (Z' \times G))}$ . Since  $Z'$  is closed and saturated,  $\overline{(r, s)(Z' \times G)} \subset Z' \times Z'$ , so  $(z, z') \in (Z' \times Z') \cap \overline{(r, s)(F \cap (Z' \times G))} = (r, s)(F \cap (Z' \times G))$  since the action of  $G$  on  $Z'$  is proper. Therefore,  $(z, z') \in (r, s)(F)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.38.** Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid acting on a topological space  $Z$ . We say that the action of  $G$  on  $Z$  is slice-proper if for every  $z \in Z$ , there exists a closed saturated neighborhood  $Z'$  of  $z$ , a quasi-compact subgroupoid  $K$  of  $G$ , a Hausdorff  $K$ -space  $Y$  such that  $Z'$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to  $Y \times_K G$ .

In the definition above,  $Y \times_K G$  is the space  $Y \times_{G^{(0)}} G$  divided by the equivalence relation  $(y, g) \sim (yk, k^{-1}g)$  for all  $k \in K$ .

Before we examine the relation with properness, we need a few lemmas.

**Lemma 2.39.** *Let  $G$  be a quasi-compact topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let  $Z$  be a  $G$ -space. Then the canonical mapping  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z/G$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Let us show that  $\pi$  is closed. Let  $F \subset Z$  be a closed subspace, and denote by  $\varphi: Z \rtimes G \rightarrow Z$  the action. Since  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff,  $\varphi^{-1}(F)$  is closed in  $Z \times G$ , and since  $G$  is quasi-compact, the first projection  $pr_1: Z \times G \rightarrow Z$  is proper. It follows that  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(F)) = FG = pr_1(\varphi^{-1}(F))$  is closed, thus  $\pi(F)$  is closed.

Applying the above to the  $G$ -space  $Z \times T$ , where  $T$  is an arbitrary space, one gets that  $\pi \times 1_T$  is closed, i.e.  $\pi$  is proper.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.40.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff acting on a space  $Z$ . If the action is slice-proper, then it is proper.*



*Proof.* By Lemma 2.37, we may assume that  $Z = Y \times_K G$ , where  $K$  is a quasi-compact subgroupoid of  $G$ . By Proposition 2.16,  $G$  acts properly on  $G$ . From Proposition 2.20, the right action of  $G$  on  $Y \times_{G^{(0)}} G$  is proper.

By Lemma 2.39, the canonical mapping  $Y \times_{G^{(0)}} G \rightarrow Y \times_K G$  is proper. It then follows from Proposition 2.19 that the action of  $G$  on  $Y \times_K G$  is proper.  $\square$

It is known that the converse holds if  $G$  is a Hausdorff group and  $G$ ,  $Z$  and  $Z/G$  are locally compact metrizable [1, 6]. Now, we examine the case of étale groupoids.

**Lemma 2.41.** *Let  $G$  be an étale groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let  $x_0 \in G^{(0)}$  and let  $\Gamma \subset G_{x_0}^{x_0}$  be a finite subgroup. Then there exists a neighborhood  $A$  of  $x_0$ , an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $A$  and an étale morphism  $\phi: A \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow G_A^A$  such that  $\phi(x_0, \gamma) = \gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V_0$  be a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and let  $\rho_g: V_0 \rightarrow G$  be local sections of  $r$  such that  $\rho_g(x_0) = g$  for all  $g \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\varphi_g = s \circ \rho_g$ . By continuity of the product on  $G$ , and using the fact that  $G$  is étale, there exists a neighborhood  $V_1 \subset V_0$  of  $x_0$  such that for all  $g, h \in \Gamma$  and all  $y \in V_1$ ,

$$\rho_g(y)\rho_h(\varphi_g(y)) = \rho_{gh}(y).$$

Let  $A = \cap_{g \in \Gamma} \rho_g(V_1)$ . The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $A$  is defined by  $y \cdot \gamma = \varphi_\gamma(y)$  and the morphism  $\phi$  is  $\phi(y, \gamma) = \varphi_\gamma(y)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.42.** *Let  $G$  be an étale groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, acting properly on a locally compact space  $Z$  with momentum map  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ . Then the action is slice-proper. More precisely, if  $z_0 \in Z$ , let  $\Gamma$  be the stabilizer of  $z_0$ . Then there exists a compact neighborhood  $A$  of  $x = p(z)$ , a quasi-compact subgroupoid  $G_1$  of the form  $\phi(A \rtimes \Gamma)$  where  $\phi$  is as in Lemma 2.41, a  $G_1$ -invariant compact neighborhood  $Y$  of  $z_0$  such that  $YG$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to  $Y \times_{G_1} G$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z_0$  such that  $V \cap Vg \neq \emptyset \implies g \in G_1$ .

Let  $\varphi: Z \rtimes G \rightarrow Z \times Z$  be the proper map  $\varphi(z, g) = (z, zg)$ . Let  $C = (Z \rtimes G) - (Z \times \overset{\circ}{G}_1)$ . Since  $C$  is closed and  $G_1$  is a neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ , we have  $(z_0, z_0) \notin \varphi(C) = \overline{\varphi(C)}$ . Let  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $z_0$  such that  $(V \times V) \cap \varphi(C) = \emptyset$ . If  $V \cap Vg \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $z \in V$  such that  $\varphi(z, g) \in V \times V$ , hence  $g \in G_1$ .

Now, let  $Y$  be a compact,  $G_1$ -invariant neighborhood of  $z_0$  such that  $Y \subset V$ . The map  $Y \times_{G_1} G \rightarrow YG$  defined by  $(y, \gamma) \mapsto y\gamma$  is clearly well-defined, continuous,  $G$ -equivariant. It is bijective since  $Y \cap Yg \neq \emptyset \implies g \in G_1$ . We show that it is a homeomorphism. The map  $\varphi: (YG) \times_{G^{(0)}} G \rightarrow (YG) \times (YG)$  defined by  $\varphi(z, g) = (z, zg)$  is closed (since by Corollary 2.23 the action of  $G$  on  $YG$  is proper), thus its restriction  $Y \times_{G^{(0)}} G = \varphi^{-1}(Y \times (YG)) \rightarrow Y \times (YG)$  is closed. Since  $Y$  is compact,  $Y \rightarrow \{*\}$  is proper, thus  $pr_2: Y \times (YG) \rightarrow YG$  is closed. By composition, the map  $Y \times_{G^{(0)}} G \rightarrow YG$ ,  $(y, g) \mapsto yg$  is closed, hence a homeomorphism.  $\square$

### 3. A TOPOLOGICAL CONSTRUCTION

Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Since  $X$  is not necessarily Hausdorff, a filter<sup>1</sup>  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  may have more than one limit. Let  $S$  be the set of limits of a convergent filter  $\mathcal{F}$ . The goal of this section is to construct a Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{H}X$  in which  $X$  is (not continuously) embedded, and such that  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}X$ .

#### 3.1. The space $\mathcal{H}X$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space, and  $S \subset X$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *for every family  $(V_s)_{s \in S}$  of open sets such that  $s \in V_s$ , and  $V_s = X$  except perhaps for finitely many  $s$ 's, one has  $\bigcap_{s \in S} V_s \neq \emptyset$ ;*
- (ii) *for every finite family  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  of open sets such that  $S \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$ , one has  $\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii): let  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  as in (ii). For all  $i$ , choose  $s(i) \in S \cap V_i$ . Put  $W_s = \bigcap_{s=s(i)} V_i$ , with the convention that an empty intersection is  $X$ . Then by (i),  $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{s \in S} W_s = \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i): let  $(V_s)_{s \in S}$  as in (i), and let  $I = \{s \in S \mid V_s \neq X\}$ . Then  $\bigcap_{s \in S} V_s = \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

We shall denote by  $\mathcal{H}X$  the set of non-empty subspaces  $S$  of  $X$  which satisfy the equivalent conditions of Lemma 3.1, and  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X = \mathcal{H}X \cup \{\emptyset\}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a locally Hausdorff space. Then every  $S \in \mathcal{H}X$  is locally finite. More precisely, if  $V$  is a Hausdorff open subspace of  $X$ , then  $V \cap S$  has at most one element.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a \neq b$  and  $\{a, b\} \subset V \cap S$ . Then there exist  $V_a, V_b$  open disjoint neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$  respectively; this contradicts Lemma 3.1(ii).  $\square$

Suppose that  $X$  is locally compact. We endow  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  with a topology. Let us introduce the notations  $\Omega_V = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid V \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\Omega^Q = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid Q \cap S = \emptyset\}$ . The topology on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is generated by the  $\Omega_V$ 's and  $\Omega^Q$ 's ( $V$  open and  $Q$  quasi-compact). More explicitly, a set is open if and only if it is a union of sets of the form  $\Omega_{(V_i)_{i \in I}}^Q = \Omega^Q \cap (\bigcap_{i \in I} \Omega_{V_i})$  where  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  is a finite family of open Hausdorff sets and  $Q$  is quasi-compact.

**Proposition 3.3.** *For every locally compact space  $X$ , the space  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $S \not\subset S'$  and  $S, S' \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}X$ . Let  $s \in S - S'$ . Since  $S'$  is locally finite and since every singleton subspace of  $X$  is closed, there exist  $V$  open and  $K$  compact such that  $s \in V \subset K$  and  $K \cap S' = \emptyset$ . Then  $\Omega_V$  and  $\Omega^K$  are disjoint neighborhoods of  $S$  and  $S'$  respectively.  $\square$

For every filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$ , let

$$(1) \quad L(\mathcal{F}) = \{a \in X \mid \forall V \ni a \text{ open, } \Omega_V \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>or a net; we will use indifferently the two equivalent approaches

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $S \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  if and only if properties (a) and (b) below hold:*

- (a)  $\forall V \text{ open, } V \cap S \neq \emptyset \implies \Omega_V \in \mathcal{F};$
- (b)  $\forall Q \text{ quasi-compact, } Q \cap S = \emptyset \implies \Omega^Q \in \mathcal{F}.$

*If  $\mathcal{F}$  is convergent, then  $L(\mathcal{F})$  is its limit.*

*Proof.* The first statement is obvious, since every open set in  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is a union of finite intersections of  $\Omega_V$ 's and  $\Omega^Q$ 's.

Let us prove the second statement. It is clear from (a) that  $S \subset L(\mathcal{F})$ . Conversely, suppose there exists  $a \in L(\mathcal{F}) - S$ . Since  $S$  is locally finite and every singleton subspace of  $X$  is closed, there exists a compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $a$  such that  $K \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $a \in L(\mathcal{F})$  implies  $\Omega_K \in \mathcal{F}$ , and condition (b) implies  $\Omega^K \in \mathcal{F}$ , thus  $\emptyset = \Omega^K \cap \Omega_K \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is impossible: we have proved the reverse inclusion  $L(\mathcal{F}) \subset S$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** This means that if  $S_\lambda \rightarrow S$ , then  $a \in S$  if and only if  $\forall \lambda$  there exists  $s_\lambda \in S_\lambda$  such that  $s_\lambda \rightarrow a$ .

**Example 3.6.** Consider Example 2.3 with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $H = \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}G = G \cup \{S\}$  where  $S = \{(0,0), (0,1)\}$ . The sequence  $(1/n, 0) \in G$  converges to  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$ , and  $(0,0)$  and  $(0,1)$  are two isolated points in  $\mathcal{H}G$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}G$  is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of  $[0,1]$  and two points  $a, b$ , and  $G$  embeds non continuously in  $\mathcal{H}G$  as the subspace  $(0,1] \cup \{a,b\}$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space and  $K \subset X$  quasi-compact. Then  $L = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid S \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is compact. The space  $\mathcal{H}X$  is locally compact, and it is  $\sigma$ -compact if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.*

*Proof.* We show that  $L$  is compact, and the two remaining assertions follow easily. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter on  $L$ . Let  $S_0 = L(\mathcal{F})$ . Let us show that  $S_0 \cap K \neq \emptyset$ : for every  $S \in L$ , choose a point  $\varphi(S) \in K \cap S$ . By quasi-compactness,  $\varphi(\mathcal{F})$  converges to a point  $a \in K$ , and it is not hard to see that  $a \in S_0$ .

Let us show  $S_0 \in \mathcal{H}X$ : let  $(V_s) (s \in S_0)$  be a family of open subspaces of  $X$  such that  $s \in V_s$  for all  $s \in S_0$ , and  $V_s = X$  for every  $s \notin S_1$  ( $S_1 \subset S_0$  finite). By definition of  $S_0$ ,  $\Omega_{(V_s)_{s \in S_1}} = \bigcap_{s \in S_1} \Omega_{V_s}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , hence it is non-empty. Choose  $S \in \Omega_{(V_s)_{s \in S_1}}$ , then  $S \cap V_s \neq \emptyset$  for all  $s \in S_1$ . By Lemma 3.1(ii),  $\bigcap_{s \in S_1} V_s \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $S_0 \in \mathcal{H}X$ .

Now, let us show that  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $S_0$ .

- If  $V$  is open Hausdorff such that  $S_0 \in \Omega_V$ , then by definition  $\Omega_V \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- If  $Q$  is quasi-compact and  $S_0 \in \Omega^Q$ , then  $\Omega^Q \in \mathcal{F}$ , otherwise one would have  $\{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid S \cap Q \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{F}$ , which would imply as above that  $S_0 \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

From Lemma 3.4,  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $S_0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is the one-point compactification of  $\mathcal{H}X$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}X$  is compact. The proof is almost the same as in Proposition 3.7.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** Let us note that our construction is not functorial: if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map between two locally compact spaces, then  $f$  does induce a map  $\mathcal{H}X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}Y$  defined by  $S \mapsto f(S)$ , but that map is not continuous in general. However, if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map from a locally compact space  $X$  to any Hausdorff space  $Y$ , then  $f$  induces a continuous map  $\mathcal{H}f: \mathcal{H}X \rightarrow Y$ . Indeed, for every open subspace  $V$  of  $Y$ ,  $(\mathcal{H}f)^{-1}(V) = \Omega_{f^{-1}(V)}$  is open.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, and  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Let  $Z$  be a locally compact space endowed with a continuous action of  $G$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}Z$  is endowed with a continuous action of  $G$  which extends the one on  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  such that  $G$  acts on  $Z$  with momentum map  $p$ . Since  $p$  has a continuous extension  $\mathcal{H}p: \mathcal{H}Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ , for all  $S \in \mathcal{H}Z$ , there exists  $x \in G^{(0)}$  such that  $S \subset p^{-1}(x)$ . For all  $g \in G^x$ , write  $Sg = \{sg \mid s \in S\}$ .

Let us show that  $Sg \in \mathcal{H}Z$ . Let  $V_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) be open sets such that  $sg \in V_s$ . By continuity, there exist open sets  $W_s \ni s$  and  $W_g \ni g$  such that for all  $(z, h) \in W_s \times_{G^{(0)}} W_g$ ,  $zh \in V_s$ . Let  $V'_s = W_s \cap p^{-1}(r(W_g))$ . Then  $V'_s$  is an open neighborhood of  $s$ , so there exists  $z \in \cap_{s \in S} V'_s$ . Since  $p(z) \in r(W_g)$ , there exists  $h \in W_g$  such that  $p(z) = r(h)$ . It follows that  $zh \in \cap_{s \in S} V_s$ . This shows that  $Sg \in \mathcal{H}Z$ .

Let us show that the action defined above is continuous. Let  $\Phi: \mathcal{H}Z \times_{G^{(0)}} G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}Z$  be the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}Z$ . Suppose that  $(S_\lambda, g_\lambda) \rightarrow (S, g)$  and let  $S' = L((S_\lambda, g_\lambda))$ . Then for all  $a \in S$  there exists  $s_\lambda \in S_\lambda$  such that  $s_\lambda \rightarrow a$ . This implies  $s_\lambda g_\lambda \rightarrow ag$ , thus  $ag \in S'$ . The converse may be proved in a similar fashion, hence  $Sg = S'$ .

Applying this to any universal net  $(S_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  converging to  $(S, g)$  and knowing from Proposition 3.8 that  $\Phi(S_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  is convergent in  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}Z$ , we find that  $\Phi(S_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  converges to  $\Phi(S, g)$ . This shows that  $\Phi$  is continuous in  $(S, g)$ .  $\square$

**3.2. The space  $\mathcal{H}'X$ .** Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Let  $\Omega'_V = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid S \subset V\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}'X$  be  $\mathcal{H}X$  as a set, with the coarsest topology such that the identity map  $\mathcal{H}'X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}X$  is continuous, and  $\Omega'_V$  is open for every relatively quasi-compact open set  $V$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}'X &\rightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\} \\ S &\mapsto \#S \end{aligned}$$

*is upper semi-continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $S \in \mathcal{H}'X$  such that  $\#S < \infty$ . Let  $V_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) be open relatively compact Hausdorff sets such that  $s \in V_s$ , and let  $W = \cup_{s \in S} V_s$ . Then  $S' \in \mathcal{H}'X$  implies  $\#(S' \cap V_s) \leq 1$ , therefore  $S' \in \Omega'_W$  implies  $\#S' \leq \#S$ .  $\square$

Let us note that  $\mathcal{H}'X$  is Hausdorff (since  $\mathcal{H}X$  is Hausdorff), but it is not necessarily locally compact. For instance, let  $X = \cup_{t \in [0,1]} \{t\} \times X_t$ , where  $X_t = \{0, 1\}$  if  $t = 1/n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $X_t = \{0\}$  otherwise. The topology

on  $X$  is such that  $(t, n) \mapsto t$  is étale from  $X$  to  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $V = [0, 1] \times \{0\}$ . Then  $\Omega'_V$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}'X$ . If  $K$  is a compact neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$  contained in  $\Omega'_V$ , then  $K$  contains  $W = [0, \varepsilon) \times \{0\}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , hence  $K \supset \overline{W}$ . But if  $n > 1/\varepsilon$ , then  $\{(1/n, 0), (1/n, 1)\} \in \overline{W}$ , which contradicts  $\{(1/n, 0), (1/n, 1)\} \notin \Omega'_V$ .

**3.3. Property (P).** Let  $X$  be a topological space. For every  $S \in \mathcal{H}X$ , let  $\tilde{K}_S = \{a \in X \mid S \cup \{a\} \in \mathcal{H}X\}$ . Let  $K_S = \tilde{K}_S - S$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then for every  $S \in \mathcal{H}X$ ,  $K_S$  is closed, and is contained in any closed neighborhood of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Is easy to see that  $\tilde{K}_S$  is the intersection of all sets of the form  $\overline{\cap_{i \in I} V_i}$ , where  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  is a finite family of open sets such that  $S \subset \cup_{i \in I} V_i$  and  $S \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$ . Thus,  $\tilde{K}_S$  is closed, and contained in any closed neighborhood of  $S$ .

Every  $s \in S$  has a Hausdorff open neighborhood  $W_s$ . It is easy to see that  $K_S \cap W_s = \emptyset$ , therefore  $S$  is open in  $S \cup K_S$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then for every quasi-compact set  $K \subset X$ ,  $F = \cup_{x \in K} \tilde{K}_{\{x\}}$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $y \notin F$ . For every  $x \in K$  there exist  $V_x \ni x$  and  $W_x \ni y$  disjoint open. Let  $A \subset K$  finite such that  $V = \cup_{a \in A} V_a$  contains  $K$  and let  $W = \cap_{a \in A} W_a$ . Then for every  $x \in K$ ,  $X - W$  is a closed neighborhood of  $x$ , hence from Lemma 3.12,  $\tilde{K}_{\{x\}} \subset X - W$ . It follows that  $W \cap F = \emptyset$ , whence  $y \notin \overline{F}$ .  $\square$

Let us consider the property

(P)  $X$  is locally compact, and the closure of every quasi-compact subspace of  $X$  is quasi-compact.

**Proposition 3.14.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Let  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  be the diagonal. Consider the following properties:*

- (i)  $X$  satisfies property (P);
- (ii) the closure of every compact subspace of  $X$  is quasi-compact;
- (iii) every point in  $X$  has a closed quasi-compact neighborhood;
- (iv) for every compact subspace  $K \subset X$ ,  $\cup_{x \in K} \tilde{K}_{\{x\}}$  is quasi-compact;
- (v) for every compact subspace  $K \subset X$ , there exists a quasi-compact set  $K'$  such that

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{H}X, S \cap K \neq \emptyset \implies \tilde{K}_S \subset K';$$

- (vi) the first projection  $\text{pr}_1: \overline{\Delta} \rightarrow X$  is proper;
- (vii) the natural map  $\mathcal{H}'X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}X$  is a homeomorphism, and  $\#S < \infty$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{H}X$ ;
- (viii) for every compact subspace  $K \subset X$ , there exists  $C_K > 0$  such that

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{H}X, S \cap K \neq \emptyset \implies \#S \leq C_K.$$

Then (i)–(vii) are equivalent, and imply (viii).

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) is obvious. (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) follows from the fact that every point in  $X$  has a compact neighborhood  $K$ , and  $\overline{K}$  is closed quasi-compact. To show (iii)  $\implies$  (i), let  $K$  be a quasi-compact subspace of  $X$ . For all  $a \in K$ , let  $K_a$  be a quasi-compact closed neighborhood of  $a$ . There exists  $A \subset K$  finite such

that  $F = \cup_{a \in A} K_a \supset K$ . Then  $F$  is closed, quasi compact, and contains  $K$ . Therefore,  $\overline{K}$ , being a closed subspace of  $F$ , is quasi-compact.

(iv)  $\implies$  (iii): from Lemma 3.13,  $F = \cup_{x \in K} \tilde{K}_{\{x\}}$  is a closed quasi-compact neighborhood of  $x$  if  $K$  is a compact neighborhood of  $x$ .

(v)  $\implies$  (iv): suppose (v). Then  $\cup_{x \in K} \tilde{K}_{\{x\}}$  is a closed subspace of  $K'$ , hence is quasi-compact.

(i)  $\implies$  (v): Let  $K_1$  be a quasi-compact neighborhood of  $K$  and let  $K' = \overline{K}_1$ . From Lemma 3.12, we have  $S \subset K'$ .

(v)  $\implies$  (viii): Let  $K'$  as above and let  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite cover of  $K'$  by open Hausdorff sets. For all  $b \in S$ , let  $I_b = \{i \in I \mid b \in V_i\}$ . By Lemma 3.2, the  $I_b$ 's ( $b \in S$ ) are disjoint, whence one may take  $C_K = \#I$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (vi): let  $K \subset X$  compact. Let  $L \subset X$  quasi-compact such that  $K \subset \overset{\circ}{L}$ . If  $(a, b) \in \overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times X)$ , then  $b \in \overline{L}$ : otherwise,  $L \times L^c$  would be a neighborhood of  $(a, b)$  whose intersection with  $\Delta$  is empty. Therefore,  $pr_1^{-1}(K) = \overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times \overline{L})$  is quasi-compact.

(vi)  $\implies$  (ii): let  $K \subset X$  be a compact set. Let  $L = pr_2(pr_1^{-1}(K))$ . Then  $L$  is quasi-compact and  $\overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times X) = \overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times L)$ . If  $x \in \overline{K}$ , there exists a ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $K$  convergent to  $x$ . Since  $K$  is compact,  $\mathcal{F}$  also converges to an element  $a \in K$ . It follows that  $(a, x) \in \overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times X)$ , hence  $x \in L$ . This shows that  $\overline{K}$  is a closed subspace of  $L$ , therefore  $\overline{K}$  is quasi-compact.

(vi)  $\implies$  (vii): from (viii),  $\#S < \infty$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{H}X$ . To show that  $\mathcal{H}'X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}X$  is a homeomorphism, it remains to prove that  $\Omega'_V$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}X$  for every relatively quasi-compact open set  $V \subset X$ . Let  $S \in \Omega'_V$ ,  $a \in S$  and  $K$  a compact neighborhood of  $a$ . Let  $L = pr_2(\overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times X))$ . Then  $Q = L - V$  is quasi-compact, and  $S \in \Omega_K^Q \subset \Omega'_V$ , therefore  $\Omega'_V$  is a neighborhood of each of its points.

(vii)  $\implies$  (vi): let  $K$  be a compact subspace of  $X$ . By Proposition 3.7,  $L = \{S \in \mathcal{H}X \mid S \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is compact. For every  $S \in L$ , since  $S$  is finite, there exists a quasi-compact neighborhood  $Q_S$  of  $S$ . The open sets  $\Omega'_{\overset{\circ}{Q}_S}$  cover  $L$ , so there exists  $\mathcal{S} \subset L$  finite such that  $L \subset \cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \Omega'_{\overset{\circ}{Q}_S}$ . It follows that  $\forall S \in \mathcal{H}X$ ,  $S \cap K \neq \emptyset \implies S \subset \overset{\circ}{Q}$ , where  $Q = \cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} Q_S$ . In particular, for  $S = \{(a, b)\}$ ,  $(a, b) \in \overline{\Delta}$  and  $a \in K$  implies  $b \in Q$ , i.e.  $pr_1^{-1}(K) = \overline{\Delta} \cap (K \times Q)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.15.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact proper groupoid. If  $G^{(0)}$  satisfies (P) (for instance if  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff), then  $G$  satisfies (P).*

*Proof.* Let  $K \subset G$  be a quasi-compact subspace. Then  $L = \overline{r(K) \cup s(K)}$  is quasi-compact, thus  $G_L^L$  is also quasi-compact. But  $\overline{K}$  is closed and  $\overline{K} \subset G_L^L$ , therefore  $\overline{K}$  is quasi-compact.  $\square$

#### 4. HAAR SYSTEMS

**4.1. The space  $C_c(X)$ .** For every locally compact space  $X$ ,  $C_c(X)_0$  will denote the set of functions  $f \in C_c(V)$  ( $V$  open Hausdorff), extended by 0 outside  $V$ . Let  $C_c(X)$  be the linear span of  $C_c(X)_0$ . Note that functions in  $C_c(X)$  are not necessarily continuous.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space, and let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f \in C_c(X)$ ;
- (ii)  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*)$  is relatively quasi-compact, and for every filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = i(\mathcal{F})$ , where  $i: X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}X$  is the canonical inclusion; if  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  converges to  $S \in \mathcal{H}X$ , then  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)$ .

*Proof.* Let us show (i)  $\implies$  (ii). By linearity, it is enough to consider the case  $f \in C_c(V)$ , where  $V \subset X$  is open Hausdorff. Let  $K$  be the compact set  $\overline{f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*)} \cap V$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset K$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $S$  as in (ii). If  $S \cap V = \emptyset$ , then  $S \in \Omega^K$ , hence  $\Omega^K \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , i.e.  $X - K \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f = 0 = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)$ .

If  $S \cap V = \{a\}$ , then  $a$  is a limit point of  $\mathcal{F}$ , therefore  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f = f(a) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)$ .

Let us show (ii)  $\implies$  (i) by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that there exist  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  open Hausdorff and  $K$  quasi-compact satisfying  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset K \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$ .

For  $n = 1$ , for every  $x \in V_1$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter convergent to  $x$ . By Proposition 3.8,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is convergent; let  $S$  be its limit, then  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) = f(x)$ , thus  $f|_{V_1}$  is continuous.

Now assume the implication is true for  $n - 1$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and let us prove it for  $n$ . Since  $K$  is quasi-compact, there exist  $V'_1, \dots, V'_n$  open sets,  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  compact such that  $K \subset V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_n$  and  $V'_i \subset K_i \subset V_i$ . Let  $F = (V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_n) - (V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_{n-1})$ . Then  $F$  is closed in  $V'_n$  and  $f|_F$  is continuous. Moreover,  $f|_F = 0$  outside  $K' = K - (V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_{n-1})$  which is closed in  $K$ , hence quasi-compact, and Hausdorff, since  $K' \subset V'_n$ . Therefore,  $f|_F \in C_c(F)$ . It follows that there exists an extension  $h \in C_c(V'_n)$  of  $f|_F$ . By considering  $f - h$ , we may assume that  $f = 0$  on  $F$ , so  $f = 0$  outside  $K' = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_{n-1}$ . But  $K' \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{n-1}$ , hence by induction hypothesis,  $f \in C_c(X)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_n \in C_c(X)$ . Suppose that there exists fixed quasi-compact set  $Q \subset X$  such that  $f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset Q$  for all  $n$ , and  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . Then  $f \in C_c(X)$ .*

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open cover of  $X$  by Hausdorff subspaces. Then every  $f \in C_c(X)$  is a finite sum  $f = \sum f_i$ , where  $f_i \in C_c(U_i)$ .*

*Proof.* See [14, Lemma 1.3].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally compact spaces. Let  $f \in C_c(X \times Y)$ . Let  $V$  and  $W$  be open subspaces of  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset Q \subset V \times W$  for some quasi-compact set  $Q$ . Then there exists a sequence  $f_n \in C_c(V) \otimes C_c(W)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_{\infty} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $X = V$  and  $Y = W$ . Let  $(U_i)$  (resp.  $(V_j)$ ) be an open cover of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) by Hausdorff subspaces. Then every element of  $C_c(X \times Y)$  is a linear combination of elements of  $C_c(U_i \times V_j)$  (Lemma 4.3). The conclusion follows from the fact that the image of  $C_c(U_i) \otimes C_c(V_j) \rightarrow C_c(U_i \times V_j)$  is dense.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space and  $Y \subset X$  a closed subspace. Then the restriction map  $C_c(X) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$  is well-defined and surjective.*

*Proof.* Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be a cover of  $X$  by Hausdorff open subspaces. The map  $C_c(U_i) \rightarrow C_c(U_i \cap Y)$  is surjective (since  $Y$  is closed), and  $\oplus_{i \in I} C_c(U_i \cap Y) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$  is surjective (Lemma 4.3). Therefore, the map  $\oplus_{i \in I} C_c(U_i) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$  is surjective. Since it is also the composition of the surjective map  $\oplus_{i \in I} C_c(U_i) \rightarrow C_c(X)$  and of the restriction map  $C_c(X) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$ , the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $G$  is Hausdorff;
- (ii)  $G^{(0)}$  is closed in  $G$ ;
- (iii) any net on  $G^{(0)}$  has at most one limit in  $G$ ;
- (iv) the restriction of an element of  $C_c(G)$  to  $G^{(0)}$  belongs to  $C_c(G^{(0)})$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) are obvious, and (ii)  $\implies$  (iv) is a consequence of Lemma 4.5.

To show (iii)  $\implies$  (i), let  $g_\lambda \in G$  and suppose that  $(g_\lambda)$  has two limits  $g$  and  $h \in G$ . By continuity of the operations in a groupoid,  $g_\lambda^{-1} g_\lambda$  converges to  $g^{-1}g$  and to  $g^{-1}h$ , thus  $g^{-1}g = g^{-1}h$ , which implies  $g = h$ .

To prove (iv)  $\implies$  (iii), let  $x_\lambda \in G^{(0)}$  and suppose that  $(x_\lambda)$  has two distinct limits  $g$  and  $h \in G$ . Replacing  $h$  by  $h^{-1}h$  if necessary, we may assume that  $h$  is an element  $x \in G^{(0)}$ . Let  $V$  be a Hausdorff neighborhood of  $g$ . Let  $f \in C_c(V)$  such that  $f(g) = 1$ , and suppose that its restriction to  $G^{(0)}$  is continuous. Since  $x_\lambda \rightarrow g$ ,  $f(x_\lambda)$  converges to 1, thus by continuity  $f(x) = 1$ . It follows that  $x \in V$ . Since  $x$  and  $g$  are limits of  $(x_\lambda)$  and  $V$  is Hausdorff, we get that  $g = x$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**4.2. Haar systems.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact proper groupoid with Haar system (see definition below) such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. If  $G$  is Hausdorff, then  $C_c(G^{(0)})$  is endowed with the  $C_r^*(G)$ -valued scalar product  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \overline{\xi(r(g))} \eta(s(g))$ . Its completion is a  $C_r^*(G)$ -Hilbert module. However, if  $G$  is not Hausdorff, the function  $g \mapsto \overline{\xi(r(g))} \eta(s(g))$  does not necessarily belong to  $C_c(G)$ , therefore we need a different construction in order to obtain a  $C_r^*(G)$ -module.

**Definition 4.7.** [24, pp. 16-17] Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $G^x$  is Hausdorff for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ . A Haar system is a family of positive measures  $\lambda = \{\lambda^x \mid x \in G^{(0)}\}$  such that

- (i)  $\forall x \in G^{(0)}, \text{supp}(\lambda^x) = G^x$ ;
- (ii)  $\forall x \in G^{(0)}, \forall \varphi \in C_c(G)$ ,

$$\lambda(\varphi): x \mapsto \int_{g \in G^x} \varphi(g) \lambda^x(dg) \in C_c(G^{(0)});$$

- (iii)  $\forall x, y \in G^{(0)}, \forall g \in G_x^y, \forall \varphi \in C_c(G)$ ,

$$\int_{h \in G^x} \varphi(gh) \lambda^x(dh) = \int_{h \in G^y} \varphi(h) \lambda^y(dh).$$



Note that the condition that  $G^x$  is Hausdorff is automatically satisfied if  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff (Proposition 2.8).

**Lemma 4.8.** [24, p. 17] *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with Haar system. Then the range map  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open.*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in G$ . Let  $U_0$  be a Hausdorff open neighborhood of  $g$ . Let  $V \subset G^{(0)}$  be a Hausdorff open neighborhood of  $r(g)$ , and let  $U = U_0 \cap r^{-1}(V)$ . Let  $f \in C_c(U)_+$  such that  $f(g) > 0$ , and let  $\varphi(x) = \int_{G^x} f d\lambda^x$ . Then  $\varphi \in C_c(V)$ , therefore  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*)$  is an open neighborhood of  $r(g)$  which is included in  $r(U)$ . Consequently,  $r(U_0)$  is a neighborhood of  $r(g)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with Haar system. Then for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $G$ ,  $\sup_{x \in G^{(0)}} \lambda^x(K \cap G^x) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to show that there exists  $f \in C_c(G)$  such that  $1_K \leq f$ . Since  $\sup_{x \in G^{(0)}} \lambda(f)(x) < \infty$ , the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Suppose that  $Z$  is a locally compact space and that  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is continuous. Then for every  $f \in C_c(Z \times_{p,r} G)$ ,  $\lambda(f): z \mapsto \int_{g \in G^{p(z)}} f(z, g) \lambda^{p(z)}(dg)$  belongs to  $C_c(Z)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.5,  $f$  is the restriction of an element of  $C_c(Z \times G)$ .

If  $f(z, g) = f_1(z)f_2(g)$ , then  $\psi(x) = \int_{g \in G^x} f_2(g) \lambda^x(dg)$  belongs to  $C_c(G^{(0)})$ , therefore  $\psi \circ p \in C_b(Z)$ . It follows that  $\lambda(f) = f_1(\psi \circ p)$  belongs to  $C_c(Z)$ .

By linearity, if  $f \in C_c(Z) \otimes C_c(G)$ , then  $\lambda(f) \in C_c(Z)$ .

Now, for every  $f \in C_c(Z \times G)$ , there exist relatively quasi-compact open subspaces  $V$  and  $W$  of  $Z$  and  $G$  and a sequence  $f_n \in C_c(V) \otimes C_c(W)$  such that  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . From Lemma 4.9,  $\lambda(f_n)$  converges uniformly to  $\lambda(f)$ , and  $\lambda(f_n) \in C_c(Z)$ . From Corollary 4.2,  $\lambda(f) \in C_c(Z)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. If  $G$  acts on a locally compact space  $Z$  with momentum map  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ , then  $(\lambda^{p(z)})_{z \in Z}$  is a Haar system on  $Z \rtimes G$ .*

*Proof.* Results immediately from Lemma 4.10.  $\square$

## 5. THE HILBERT MODULE OF A PROPER GROUPOID

**5.1. The space  $X'$ .** Before we construct a Hilbert module associated to a proper groupoid, we need some preliminaries. Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Denote by  $X'$  the closure of  $G^{(0)}$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Then for all  $S \in X'$ ,  $S$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $r$  and  $s: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  extend continuously to maps  $\mathcal{H}G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ , and since  $r = s$  on  $G^{(0)}$ , one has  $\mathcal{H}r = \mathcal{H}s$  on  $X'$ , i.e.  $\exists x_0 \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $S \subset G_{x_0}^{x_0}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $G^{(0)}$  whose limit is  $S$ . Then  $a \in S$  if and only if  $a$  is a limit point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$  we have  $x^{-1}x = x$ , it follows that for every  $a, b \in S$  one has  $a^{-1}b \in S$ , whence  $S$  is a subgroup of  $G_{x_0}^{x_0}$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $q: X' \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  the map such that  $S \subset G_{q(S)}^{q(S)}$ . The map  $q$  is continuous since it is the restriction to  $X'$  of  $\mathcal{H}r$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact proper groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $X'$ , convergent to  $S$ . Suppose that  $q(\mathcal{F})$  converges to  $S_0 \in X'$ . Then  $S_0$  is a normal subgroup of  $S$ , and there exists  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\forall S' \in \Omega$ ,  $S'$  is group-isomorphic to  $S/S_0$ . In particular,  $\{S' \in X' \mid \#S = \#S_0 \# S'\} \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall (Proposition 3.15) that  $G$  has property (P). In particular,  $S$  is finite.

We shall use the notation  $\tilde{\Omega}_{(V_i)_{i \in I}} = \Omega_{(V_i)_{i \in I}} \cap \Omega'_{\cup_{i \in I} V_i}$ . Let  $V'_s \subset V_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) be Hausdorff, open neighborhoods of  $s$ , chosen small enough so that for some  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

- (a)  $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega}_{(V'_s)_{s \in S}}$ ;
- (b)  $V'_{s_1} V'_{s_2} \subset V_{s_1 s_2}$ ,  $\forall s_1, s_2 \in S$ .
- (c)  $\forall s \in S - S_0$ ,  $\forall S' \in \Omega$ ,  $q(S') \notin V_s$ ;
- (d)  $q(\Omega) \subset \tilde{\Omega}_{(V_s)_{s \in S_0}}$ ;

Let  $S' \in \Omega$ . Let  $\varphi: S \rightarrow S'$  such that  $\{\varphi(s)\} = S' \cap V'_s$ . Then  $\varphi$  is well-defined since  $S' \cap V'_s \neq \emptyset$  (see (a)) and  $V'_s$  is Hausdorff.

If  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  then  $\varphi(s_i) \in S' \cap V'_{s_i}$ . By (b),  $\varphi(s_1)\varphi(s_2) \in S' \cap V_{s_1 s_2}$ . Since  $V_{s_1 s_2}$  is Hausdorff and also contains  $\varphi(s_1 s_2) \in S'$ , we have  $\varphi(s_1 s_2) = \varphi(s_1)\varphi(s_2)$ . This shows that  $\varphi$  is a group homomorphism.

The map  $\varphi$  is surjective, since  $S' \subset \cup_{s \in S} V'_s$  (see (a)).

By (c),  $\ker(\varphi) \subset S_0$  and by (d),  $S_0 \subset \ker(\varphi)$ . □

Let  $X'_{\geq k} = \{S \in X' \mid \#S \geq k\}$ . By Lemma 3.11,  $X'_{\geq k}$  is closed.

**Example 5.3.** Let  $N \subset H$  be normal subgroups of a discrete group  $\Gamma$  and let  $G$  be the bundle of groups over  $[0, 1]^2$  with fiber  $G/N$  over  $[0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ ,  $G/H$  over  $(0, 1] \times \{0\}$  and  $G$  over  $(0, 0)$ . The topology is defined like in Example 2.3. Let  $S_n = \{(1/n, 0)\} \times (N/H)$ , then  $S_n$  converges to  $S := \{(0, 0)\} \times N$  and  $q(S_n) = (1/n, 0)$  converges to  $S_0 := \{(0, 0)\} \times H$ . We thus have checked by hand that  $S_n$  is isomorphic to  $S/S_0$  for all  $n$ .

Suppose now that the range map  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Then  $X'$  is endowed with an action of  $G$  (Proposition 3.10) defined by  $S \cdot g = g^{-1} S g = \{g^{-1} s g \mid s \in S\}$ . To understand the groupoid better, let us introduce the notation  $(\mathcal{H}G)_x^y = \{S \in \mathcal{H}G \mid S \subset G_x^y\}$ . As above, we can see that  $\mathcal{H}G$  is the union of all  $(\mathcal{H}G)_x^y$ . Moreover, by Proposition 3.10,  $g(\mathcal{H}G)_x^y h = (\mathcal{H}G)_z^t$  for all  $g \in G_y^t$  and  $h \in G_z^x$ .

Denote by  $G'$  the closure of  $G$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$ . The space  $G'$  admits continuous left and right actions of  $G$  by Proposition 3.10.

Let  $\rho(S) = S S^{-1} = \{s t^{-1} \mid s, t \in S\}$  and  $\sigma(S) = S^{-1} S$ . We note that  $\rho(S) \in X'$ . Indeed, if  $S$  is the set of limits of the net  $(g_\lambda)$ , then  $S S^{-1}$  is the set of limits of the net  $(x_\lambda)$ , where  $x_\lambda = g_\lambda g_\lambda^{-1} \in G^{(0)}$ . Moreover,  $q(\rho(S)) = y$  if  $S \in (\mathcal{H}G)_x^y$ , and a similar assertion holds for  $\sigma$ .

Note that for all  $S \in G'$  one has  $S = S S^{-1} S$ , since  $S = \lim g_\lambda$  implies  $S = \lim g_\lambda g_\lambda^{-1} g_\lambda$ .

For all  $S, T \in G'$  such that  $\sigma(S) = \rho(T)$ , we have  $ST \in G'$ . Indeed, choose  $g \in S$  and  $h \in T$ , then  $ST = gS^{-1}STT^{-1}h = gS^{-1}SS^{-1}Sh = gS^{-1}Sh$  (since  $SS^{-1}$  is a group), thus  $ST = Sh \in G'$ . We thus have checked that  $G'$  is endowed with a groupoid law.

**Proposition 5.4.** *The map  $(S, g) \mapsto (Sg, g)$  is an isomorphism of topological groupoids from  $X' \rtimes G \rightarrow \{(S, g) \in G' \times G \mid g \in S\}$  with inverse  $(S, g) \mapsto (Sg^{-1}, g)$ .*

*Proof.* Easy, left to the reader.  $\square$

**5.2. Construction of the Hilbert module.** Now, let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid, with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Let

$$\mathcal{E}^0 = \{f \in C_c(X') \mid f(S) = \sqrt{\#S}f(q(S)) \ \forall S \in X'\}.$$

( $q(S) \in G^{(0)}$  is identified to  $\{q(S)\} \in X'$ .)

Define, for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}^0$ :

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \overline{\xi(r(g))}\eta(s(g)).$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *For every  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}^0$ ,  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$  belongs to  $C_c(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter on  $G$  convergent to  $A \in \mathcal{H}G$ . There exist  $x, y \in G^{(0)}$  such that  $A \subset G_x^y$ . Choose  $a \in A$ . Then  $r(\mathcal{F})$  and  $s(\mathcal{F})$  converge to  $S = Aa^{-1}$  and  $S' = a^{-1}A$  respectively. Let  $k = \#A = \#S = \#S'$ . Let  $f(g) = \overline{\xi(r(g))}\eta(s(g))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in A} f(a) &= k\overline{\xi(y)}\eta(x) = \sqrt{k}\overline{\xi(y)}\sqrt{k}\eta(x) \\ &= \overline{\xi(S)}\eta(S') = \lim_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{\xi \circ r})(\eta \circ s) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} f. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K \subset X'$  be a compact set such that  $\xi = 0$  and  $\eta = 0$  outside  $K$ . Let  $K_1 = q(K)$  and  $K_2 = G_{K_1}^{K_1}$ . Then  $K_2$  is quasi-compact, and  $f = 0$  outside  $K_2$ . Therefore, from Proposition 4.1,  $f \in C_c(G)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Suppose that  $G$  is endowed with a Haar system. For all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}^0$  and all  $f \in C_c(G)$ , put*

$$(\xi f)(S) = \int_{g \in G^{q(S)}} \xi(g^{-1}Sg)f(g^{-1})\lambda^x(dg).$$

*Then  $\xi f \in \mathcal{E}^0$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $(\xi f)(S) = \sqrt{\#S}(\xi f)(q(S))$ . Let us show that  $\xi f \in C_c(X')$ .

Since  $G$  acts continuously on the left and on the right on itself, and since  $r$  and  $s$  are open (see Lemma 4.8), it follows from Proposition 3.10 that  $G$  acts continuously on the left and on the right on  $\mathcal{H}G$ , so  $G$  acts on  $X'$  by conjugation. The groupoid  $X' \rtimes G$  is endowed with the Haar system  $(\lambda^{q(S)})_{S \in X'}$ . Since the map  $\phi(S, g) = (g^{-1}Sg, g^{-1})$  is a homeomorphism from  $X' \rtimes G$  onto  $X' \rtimes G$ , we have  $(\xi \otimes f) \circ \phi \in C_c(X' \rtimes G)$  i.e.  $(S, g) \mapsto \xi(g^{-1}Sg)f(g^{-1})$  belongs to  $C_c(X' \rtimes G)$ . Therefore,  $\xi f \in C_c(X')$ .  $\square$

Let us check the positivity of the scalar product. Recall that for all  $x \in G^{(0)}$  there is a representation  $\pi_{G,x}: C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(G^x))$  such that for all  $a \in C_c(G)$  and all  $\eta \in C_c(G^x)$ ,

$$(\pi_{G,x}(a)\eta)(g) = \int_{h \in G^{s(g)}} a(h)\eta(gh) \lambda^{s(g)}(dh).$$

By definition,  $\|a\|_{C_r^*(G)} = \sup_{x \in G^{(0)}} \|\pi_{G,x}(a)\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta, \pi_{G,x}(a)\eta \rangle &= \int_{g \in G^x, h \in G^{s(g)}} \overline{\eta(g)} a(h) \eta(gh) \lambda^{s(g)}(dh) \lambda^x(dg) \\ (2) \quad &= \int_{g \in G^x, h \in G^{s(g)}} \overline{\eta(g)} a(g^{-1}h) \eta(h) \lambda^x(dg) \lambda^x(dh). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \eta, \pi_{G,x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \eta \rangle = \left| \int_{g \in G^x} \xi(s(g)) \eta(g) \lambda^x(dg) \right|^2 \geq 0.$$

By density of  $C_c(G^x)$  in  $L^2(G^x)$ ,  $\pi_{G,x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)$  is a positive element of  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(G^x))$  for all  $x \in G^{(0)}$ , i.e.  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle$  is a positive element of  $C_r^*(G)$ .

Let us check that  $\langle \xi, \eta f \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle * f$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}^0$  and all  $f \in C_c(G)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, \eta f \rangle(g) &= \overline{\xi(r(g))} \int_{h \in G^{s(g)}} \eta(s(h)) f(h^{-1}) \lambda^{s(g)}(dh) \\ &= \int_{k \in G^{r(g)}} \overline{\xi(r(g))} \eta(s(k)) f(k^{-1}g) \lambda^{r(g)}(dk) \\ &= (\langle \xi, \eta \rangle * f)(g). \end{aligned}$$

One easily checks that  $(\xi f)f_1 = \xi(f * f_1)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}^0$  and all  $f, f_1 \in C_c(G)$ . Therefore, we have established:

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid. Assume that  $G$  is endowed with a Haar system, and that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Then the completion  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  of  $\mathcal{E}^0$  with respect to the norm  $\|\xi\| = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|^{1/2}$  is a  $C_r^*(G)$ -Hilbert module.*

## 6. CUTOFF FUNCTIONS

If  $G$  is a locally compact Hausdorff proper groupoid with Haar system. Assume for simplicity that  $G^{(0)}/G$  is compact. Then there exists a so-called “cut-off” function  $c \in C_c(G^{(0)})_+$  such that for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $\int_{g \in G^x} c(s(g)) \lambda^x(dg) = 1$ , and the function  $g \mapsto \sqrt{c(r(g))c(s(g))}$  defines projection in  $C_r^*(G)$ . However, if  $G$  is not Hausdorff, then the above function does not belong to  $C_c(G)$  is general, thus we need another definition of a cutoff function.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Let  $X_{\geq k} = q(X'_{\geq k})$ . Then  $X_{\geq k}$  is closed in  $G^{(0)}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for every compact subspace  $K$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $X_{\geq k} \cap K$  is closed. Let  $K' = G_K^K$ . Then  $K'$  is quasi-compact, and from Proposition 3.7,

$K'' = \{S \in \mathcal{H}G \mid S \cap K' \neq \emptyset\}$  is compact. The set  $q^{-1}(K) \cap X'_{\geq k} = K'' \cap X'_{\geq k}$  is closed in  $K''$ , hence compact; its image by  $q$  is  $X_{\geq k} \cap K$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid, with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For every compact set  $K \subset G^{(0)}$ , there exists  $f: X'_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  continuous, where  $X'_K = q^{-1}(K) \subset X'$ , such that*

$$\forall S \in X'_K, \quad f(S) = f(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha.$$

*Proof.* Let  $K' = G_K^K$ . It is closed and quasi-compact. From Proposition 3.7,  $X'_K$  is quasi-compact. For every  $S \in X'_K$ , we have  $S \subset K'$ . Since  $G$  has property (P), there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $X'_{\geq n+1} \cap X'_K = \emptyset$ . We can thus proceed by reverse induction: suppose constructed  $f_{k+1}: X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  continuous such that  $f_{k+1}(S) = f_{k+1}(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha$  for all  $S \in X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k+1})$ .

Since  $X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k+1})$  is closed in the compact set  $X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k})$ , there exists a continuous extension  $h: X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $f_{k+1}$ . Replacing  $h(x)$  by  $\sup(h(x), \inf f_{k+1})$ , we may assume that  $h(X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k})) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^*$ . Put  $f_k(S) = h(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha$ . Let us show that  $f_k$  is continuous.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter on  $X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k})$ , and let  $S$  be its limit. Since  $q(\mathcal{F})$  is a ultrafilter on  $K$ , it has a limit  $S_0 \in X'_K$ .

For every  $S_1 \in q^{-1}(X_{\geq k})$ , choose  $\psi(S_1) \in X'_{\geq k}$  such that  $q(S_1) = q(\psi(S_1))$ . Let  $S' \in X'_K \cap X'_{\geq k}$  be the limit of  $\psi(\mathcal{F})$ .

From Lemma 5.2,  $\Omega_1 = \{S_1 \in X'_K \cap q^{-1}(X_{\geq k}) \mid \#S = \#S_0 \#S_1\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\Omega_2 = \{S_2 \in X'_{\geq k} \mid \#S' = \#S_0 \#S_2\}$  is an element of  $\psi(\mathcal{F})$ .

- If  $\#S_0 > 1$ , then  $S' \in X_{\geq k+1}$ , so  $S$  and  $S_0$  belong to  $q^{-1}(X_{\geq k+1})$ . Therefore,  $f_k(S_1) = (\#S_1)^\alpha h(q(S_1))$  converges with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\#S)^\alpha}{(\#S_0)^\alpha} h(S_0) &= \frac{(\#S)^\alpha}{(\#S_0)^\alpha} f_{k+1}(S_0) = f_{k+1}(S) \\ &= f_{k+1}(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha = h(q(S))(\#S)^\alpha = f_k(S). \end{aligned}$$

- If  $S_0 = \{q(S)\}$ , then  $f_k(S_1) = (\#S_1)^\alpha h(q(S_1))$  converges with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $(\#S)^\alpha h(q(S)) = f_k(S)$ .

Therefore,  $f_k$  is a continuous extension of  $f_{k+1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $G^{(0)}/G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Let  $\pi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  be the canonical mapping. Then there exists  $c: X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  continuous such that*

- (a)  $c(S) = c(q(S))\#S$  for all  $S \in X'$ ;
- (b)  $\forall \alpha \in G^{(0)}/G, \exists x \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha), c(x) \neq 0$ ;
- (c)  $\forall K \subset G^{(0)}$  compact,  $\text{supp}(c) \cap q^{-1}(F)$  is compact, where  $F = s(G^K)$ .

*If moreover  $G$  admits a Haar system, then there exists  $c: X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  continuous satisfying (a), (b), (c) and*

$$(d) \quad \forall x \in G^{(0)}, \quad \int_{g \in G^x} c(s(g)) \lambda^x(dg) = 1.$$

*Proof.* There exists a locally finite cover  $(V_i)$  of  $G^{(0)}/G$  by relatively compact open subspaces. Since  $\pi$  is open and  $G^{(0)}$  is locally compact, there exists  $K_i \subset$

$G^{(0)}$  compact such that  $\pi(K_i) \supset V_i$ . Let  $(\varphi_i)$  be a partition of unity associated to the cover  $(V_i)$ . For every  $i$ , from Lemma 6.2, there exists  $c_i: X'_{K_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  continuous such that  $c_i(S) = c_i(q(S))\#S$  for all  $S \in X'_{K_i}$ . Let

$$c(S) = \sum_i c_i(S) \varphi_i(\pi(q(S))).$$

It is clear that  $c$  is continuous from  $X'$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and that  $c(S) = c(q(S))\#S$ .

Let us prove (b): let  $x_0 \in G^{(0)}$ . There exists  $i$  such that  $\varphi_i(\pi(x_0)) \neq 0$ . Choose  $x \in K_i$  such that  $\pi(x) = \pi(x_0)$ , then  $c(x) \geq c_i(x) \varphi_i(\pi(x_0)) > 0$ .

Let us show (c). Note that  $F = \pi^{-1}(\pi(K))$  is closed, so  $q^{-1}(F)$  is closed. Let  $K_1$  be a compact neighborhood of  $K$  and  $F_1 = \pi^{-1}(\pi(K_1))$ . Let  $J = \{i \mid V_i \cap \pi(K_1) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then for all  $i \notin J$ ,  $c_i(\varphi_i \circ \pi \circ q) = 0$  on  $q^{-1}(F_1)$ , therefore  $c = \sum_{j \in J} c_j(\varphi_j \circ \pi \circ q)$  in a neighborhood of  $q^{-1}(F)$ . Since for all  $i$ ,  $\text{supp}(c_i(\varphi_i \circ \pi \circ q))$  is compact and since  $J$  is finite,  $\text{supp}(c) \cap q^{-1}(F) \subset \cup_{i \in J} \text{supp}(c_i(\varphi_i \circ \pi \circ q))$  is compact.

Let us show the last assertion. Let  $\varphi(g) = c(s(g))$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $G$  convergent in  $\mathcal{H}G$  to  $A \subset G$ . Choose  $a \in A$  and let  $S = a^{-1}A$ . Then  $s(\mathcal{F})$  converges to  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$ , hence

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = \#Sc(s(a)) = \sum_{g \in S} c(s(g)) = \sum_{g \in S} \varphi(g).$$

For every compact set  $K \subset G^{(0)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{g \in G \mid r(g) \in K \text{ and } \varphi(g) \neq 0\} \\ & \subset \{g \in G \mid r(g) \in K \text{ and } s(g) \in \text{supp}(c)\} \\ & \subset G_{q(\text{supp}(c) \cap q^{-1}(F))}^K, \end{aligned}$$

so  $G^K \cap \{g \in G \mid \varphi(g) \neq 0\}$  is included in a quasi-compact set. Therefore, for every  $l \in C_c(G^{(0)})$ ,  $g \mapsto l(r(g))\varphi(g)$  belongs to  $C_c(G)$ . It follows that  $h(x) = \int_{g \in G^x} \varphi(g) \lambda^x(dg)$  is a continuous function. Moreover, for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$  there exists  $g \in G^x$  such that  $\varphi(g) \neq 0$ , so  $h(x) > 0 \forall x \in G^{(0)}$ . It thus suffices to replace  $c(x)$  by  $c(x)/h(x)$ .  $\square$

**Example 6.4.** In example 2.3 with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $H = \{0\}$ , the cutoff function is the unique continuous extension to  $X'$  of the function  $c(x) = 1$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and  $c(0) = 1/n$ .

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $G^{(0)}/G$  is compact. Let  $c$  be a cutoff function. Then the function  $p(g) = \sqrt{c(r(g))c(s(g))}$  defines a selfadjoint projection  $p \in C_r^*(G)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is isomorphic to  $pC_r^*(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi_0(x) = \sqrt{c(x)}$ . Then one easily checks that  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{E}^0$ ,  $\langle \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = p$  and  $\xi_0 \langle \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \xi_0$ , therefore  $p$  is a selfadjoint projection in  $C_r^*(G)$ . The maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(G) & \rightarrow pC_r^*(G) \\ \xi & \mapsto \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle = p \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} pC_r^*(G) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G) \\ a &\mapsto \xi_0 a = \xi_0 p a \end{aligned}$$

are inverses from each other.  $\square$

## 7. GENERALIZED HOMOMORPHISMS AND $C^*$ -ALGEBRA CORRESPONDENCES

P. Muhly, J. Renault and D. Williams introduced a notion of equivalence of groupoids [20], and showed that two (second countable) locally compact, Hausdorff groupoids with Haar system are Morita-equivalent. M. Macho Stadler and M. O’Uchi introduced a notion of correspondence of groupoids [19], and showed that a correspondence from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ , where  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are locally compact, Hausdorff, second countable groupoids with Haar system, induces a correspondence from  $C_r^*(G_2)$  to  $C_r^*(G_1)$ , i.e. a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  and a  $*$ -homomorphism  $C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ . They deduce that a groupoid homomorphism  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfying certain conditions induces a correspondence such that  $C_r^*(G_2)$  maps to  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ , and therefore induces an element of  $KK(C_r^*(G_2), C_r^*(G_1))$ .

In this section, we introduce a notion of generalized homomorphism for locally compact groupoids which are not necessarily Hausdorff, and a notion of locally proper generalized homomorphism. That notion is slightly weaker than the notion of correspondence introduced by Macho Stadler and O’Uchi, but it was chosen because a generalized homomorphism is, up to Morita-equivalence, a homomorphism in the ordinary sense.

Then, we show that a locally proper generalized homomorphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  which satisfies an additional condition induces a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  and a  $*$ -homomorphism  $C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ , hence an element of  $KK(C_r^*(G_2), C_r^*(G_1))$ .

### 7.1. Generalized homomorphisms.

**Definition 7.1.** [12, 16] Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two locally compact groupoids whose range maps  $G_i \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  are open. A generalized homomorphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  is a triple  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  where

$$G_1^{(0)} \xleftarrow{\rho} Z \xrightarrow{\sigma} G_2^{(0)},$$

$Z$  is endowed with a left action of  $G_1$  with momentum map  $\rho$  and a right action of  $G_2$  with momentum map  $\sigma$  which commute, such that

- (a) the action of  $G_2$  is free and  $\rho$ -proper,
- (b)  $\rho$  induces a homeomorphism  $Z/G_2 \simeq G_1^{(0)}$ .

In Definition 7.1, one may replace (b) by (b)’ or (b)’’ below:

- (b)’  $\rho$  is open and induces a bijection  $Z/G_2 \rightarrow G_1^{(0)}$ .
- (b)’’ the map  $Z \rtimes G_2 \rightarrow Z \times_{G_1^{(0)}} Z$  defined by  $(z, \gamma) \mapsto (z, z\gamma)$  is a homeomorphism.

**Example 7.2.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two groupoids whose range maps are open. If  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a groupoid homomorphism, let  $Z = G_1^{(0)} \times_{f,r} G_2$ ,  $\rho(x, \gamma) = x$  and

$\sigma(x, \gamma) = s(\gamma)$ . Define the actions of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by  $g \cdot (x, \gamma) \cdot \gamma' = (r(g), f(g)\gamma\gamma')$ . Then  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is a generalized homomorphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ .

That  $\rho$  is open follows from the fact that the range map  $G_2 \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  is open and from Lemma 2.26. The other properties in Definition 7.1 are easy to check.

## 7.2. Locally proper generalized homomorphisms.

**Definition 7.3.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two locally compact groupoids such that the range maps  $G_i \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  are open. A generalized homomorphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  is said to be *locally proper* if the action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  is  $\sigma$ -proper.

Our terminology is justified by the following proposition:

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two locally compact groupoids such that the range maps  $G_i \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  are open, and  $G_2^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff. Let  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be a groupoid homomorphism. Then the associated generalized groupoid homomorphism is locally proper if and only if the map  $(f, r, s): G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)}$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: G_1 \times_{f \circ s, r} G_2 \rightarrow (G_2 \times_{s, s} G_2) \times_{r \times r, f \times f} (G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)})$  defined by  $\varphi(g_1, g_2) = (f(g_1)g_2, g_2, r(g_1), s(g_1))$ . By definition, the action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  is proper if and only if  $\varphi$  is a proper map. Consider  $\theta: G_2 \times_{s, s} G_2 \rightarrow G_2^{(2)}$  given by  $(\gamma, \gamma') = (\gamma(\gamma')^{-1}, \gamma')$ . Let  $\psi = (\theta \times 1) \circ \varphi$ . Since  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism, the action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  is proper if and only if  $\psi$  is proper.

Suppose that  $(f, r, s)$  is proper. Let  $f' = (f, r, s) \times 1: G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_2 \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_2$ . Then  $f'$  is proper. Let  $F = \{(\gamma, x, x', \gamma') \in G_2 \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_2 \mid s(\gamma) = r(\gamma') = f(x'), r(\gamma) = f(x)\}$ . Then  $f': (f')^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$  is proper, i.e.  $\psi$  is proper.

Conversely, suppose that  $\psi$  is proper. Let  $F' = \{(\gamma, y, x, x') \in G_2 \times G_2^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \times G_1^{(0)} \mid s(\gamma) = y\}$ . Then  $\psi: \psi^{-1}(F') \rightarrow F'$  is proper, therefore  $(f, r, s)$  is proper.  $\square$

Our objective is now to show the

**Proposition 7.5.** *Let  $G_1, G_2, G_3$  be locally compact groupoids such that the range maps  $r: G_i \rightarrow G_i^{(0)}$  are open. Let  $(Z_1, \rho_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(Z_2, \rho_2, \sigma_2)$  be two generalized groupoid homomorphisms from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  and from  $G_2$  to  $G_3$  respectively. Then  $(Z, \rho, \sigma) = (Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2, \rho_1 \times 1, 1 \times \sigma_2)$  is a generalized groupoid homomorphism. If  $(Z_1, \rho_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(Z_2, \rho_2, \sigma_2)$  are locally proper, then  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is locally proper.*

Proposition 7.5 shows that locally compact groupoids whose range maps are open constitute a category whose arrows are generalized homomorphisms, and that two groupoids are isomorphic in that category if and only if they are Morita-equivalent. Moreover, the same conclusions hold for the category whose arrows are locally proper generalized homomorphisms. In particular, local properness of generalized homomorphisms is invariant under Morita-equivalence.

All the assertions of Proposition 7.5 will follow from Lemma 7.6 below



**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  be locally compact groupoids whose range maps are open and  $X$  a topological space. Let  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  be locally compact spaces. Suppose there are maps*

$$X \xleftarrow{\rho_1} Z_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} G_2^{(0)} \xleftarrow{\rho_2} Z_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} G_3^{(0)},$$

*a right action of  $G_2$  on  $Z_1$  with momentum map  $\sigma_1$ , such that  $\rho_1$  is  $G_2$ -invariant and the action of  $G_2$  is  $\rho_1$ -proper, a left action of  $G_2$  on  $Z_2$  with momentum map  $\rho_2$  and a right  $\rho_2$ -proper action of  $G_3$  on  $Z_2$  with momentum map  $\sigma_2$  which commutes with the  $G_2$ -action.*

*Then the action of  $G_3$  on  $Z = Z_1 \times_{G_2} Z_2$  is  $\rho_1$ -proper.*

To prove Lemma 7.6, we need a few preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 7.7.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that the range map  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Let  $X$  be a topological space endowed with an action of  $G$  and  $T$  a topological space. Then the canonical map*

$$f: (X \times T)/G \rightarrow (X/G) \times T$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G$  and  $\pi': X \times T \rightarrow (X \times T)/G$  be the canonical mappings. Since  $\pi$  is open (Lemma 2.34),  $f \circ \pi' = \pi \times 1$  is open. Since  $\pi'$  is continuous surjective, it follows that  $f$  is open.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.8.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid whose range map is open and  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  a proper,  $G$ -equivariant map between two  $G$ -spaces. Then the induced map  $\bar{f}: Y/G \rightarrow Z/G$  is proper.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\bar{f}$  is closed. Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow Y/G$  and  $\pi': Z \rightarrow Z/G$  be the canonical mappings. Let  $A \subset Y/G$  be a closed subspace. Since  $f$  is closed and  $\pi$  is continuous,  $(\pi')^{-1}(\bar{f}(A)) = f(\pi^{-1}(A))$  is closed. Therefore,  $\bar{f}(A)$  is closed.

Applying this to  $f \times 1$ , we see that for every topological space  $T$ ,  $(Y \times T)/G \rightarrow (Z \times T)/G$  is closed. By Lemma 7.7,  $\bar{f} \times 1_T$  is closed.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 7.6.* Let  $\varphi: Z_2 \rtimes G_3 \rightarrow Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2$  be the map  $(z_2, \gamma) \mapsto (z_2, z_2\gamma)$ . By assumption,  $\varphi$  is proper, therefore  $1_{Z_1} \times \varphi$  is proper. Let  $F = \{(z_1, z_2, z'_2) \in Z_1 \times Z_2 \times Z_2 \mid \sigma_1(z_1) = \rho_2(z_2) = \rho_2(z'_2)\}$ . Then  $1_{Z_1} \times \varphi: (1 \times \varphi)^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$  is proper, i.e.  $Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} (Z_2 \rtimes G_3) \rightarrow Z_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} (Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)$  is proper. By Lemma 7.8, taking the quotient by  $G_2$ , we get that the map

$$\alpha: Z \rtimes G_3 \rightarrow Z_1 \times_{G_2} (Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2)$$

defined by  $(z_1, z_2, \gamma) \mapsto (z_1, z_2, z_2\gamma)$  is proper.

By assumption, the map  $Z_1 \rtimes G_2 \rightarrow Z_1 \times_X Z_1$  given by  $(z_1, g) \mapsto (z_1, z_1g)$  is proper. Endow  $Z_1 \rtimes G_2$  with the following right action of  $G_2 \times G_2$ :  $(z_1, g) \cdot (g', g'') = (z_1g', (g')^{-1}gg'')$ . Using again Lemma 7.8, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta: Z_1 \times_{G_2} (Z_2 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Z_2) &= (Z_1 \rtimes G_2) \times_{G_2 \times G_2} (Z_2 \times Z_2) \\ &\rightarrow (Z_1 \times_X Z_1) \times_{G_2 \times G_2} (Z_2 \times Z_2) \simeq Z \times_X Z \end{aligned}$$

is proper.

By composition,  $\beta \circ \alpha: Z \rtimes G_3 \rightarrow Z \times_X Z$  is proper.  $\square$

### 7.3. Proper generalized morphisms.

**Definition 7.9.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be locally compact groupoids whose range maps are open. A generalized homomorphism  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  is said to be *proper* if it is locally proper, and if for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $G_2^{(0)}$ ,  $\sigma^{-1}(K)$  is  $G_1$ -compact.

**Examples 7.10.** (a) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally compact spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous map. Then the generalized homomorphism  $(X, \text{Id}, f)$  is proper if and only if  $f$  is proper.  
 (b) Let  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be a continuous homomorphism between two locally compact groups. Let  $p: G_2 \rightarrow \{*\}$ . Then  $(G_2, p, p)$  is proper if and only if  $f$  is proper and  $f(G_1)$  is co-compact in  $G_2$ .  
 (c) Let  $G$  be a locally compact proper groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, and let  $\pi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  be the canonical mapping. Then  $(G^{(0)}, \text{Id}, \pi)$  is a proper generalized homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G^{(0)}/G$ .

**7.4. Construction of a  $C^*$ -correspondence.** Until the end of the section, our goal is to prove:

**Theorem 7.11.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be locally compact groupoids with Haar system such that  $G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_2^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff, and  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  a locally proper generalized homomorphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ . Then one can construct a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}_Z$  and a map  $\pi: C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ . Moreover, if  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is proper, then  $\pi$  maps to  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ . Therefore, it gives an element of  $KK(C_r^*(G_2), C_r^*(G_1))$ .*

Note by example (c) above, this generalizes the construction of Section 5: if  $G$  is a locally compact proper groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, and  $Z = G^{(0)}$  then  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_Z$ .

**Corollary 7.12.** *(see [20]) Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be locally compact groupoids with Haar system such that  $G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_2^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Morita-equivalent, then  $C_r^*(G_1)$  and  $C_r^*(G_2)$  are Morita-equivalent.*

**Corollary 7.13.** *Let  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be morphism between two locally compact groupoids with Haar system such that  $G_1^{(0)}$  and  $G_2^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff. If the restriction of  $f$  to  $(G_1)_K^K$  is proper for each compact set  $K \subset (G_1)^{(0)}$  then  $f$  induces a correspondence  $\mathcal{E}_f$  from  $C_r^*(G_2)$  to  $C_r^*(G_1)$ . If in addition for every compact set  $K \subset G_2^{(0)}$  the quotient of  $G_1^{(0)} \times_{f,r} (G_2)_K$  by the diagonal action of  $G_1$  is compact, then  $C_r^*(G_2)$  maps to  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_f)$  and thus  $f$  defines a  $KK$ -element  $[f] \in KK(C_r^*(G_2), C_r^*(G_1))$ .*

*Proof.* See Proposition 7.4 and Definition 7.9 applied to the generalized morphism  $Z_f = G_1^{(0)} \times_{f,r} G_2$  as in Example 7.2  $\square$

**Remark 7.14.** When  $G$  is Hausdorff, the  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $G$ -correspondence given by the space  $G$  endowed with the canonical left action of  $G^{(0)}$  and the canonical right

action of  $G$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 7.11. The resulting  $C_0(G^{(0)})$ -Hilbert module is actually  $L^2(G)$  endowed with the left regular representation of  $C_r^*(G)$ . However this construction is not valid for  $G$  non-Hausdorff since  $G^{(0)}$  does *not* act properly on  $G$  (use Lemma 2.16)! The left regular representation of  $G$  will be constructed differently in Section 8.

The rest of the section is devoted to proving Theorem 7.11.

Let us first recall the construction of the correspondence when the groupoids are Hausdorff [19]. It is the closure of  $C_c(Z)$  with the  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -valued scalar product

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} \overline{\xi(z\gamma)} \eta(g^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma),$$

where  $z$  is an arbitrary element of  $Z$  such that  $\rho(z) = r(g)$ . The right  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -module structure is defined  $\forall \xi \in C_c(Z)$ ,  $\forall a \in C_c(G_1)$  by

$$(\xi a)(z) = \int_{g \in (G_1)^{\rho(z)}} \xi(g^{-1}z) a(g^{-1}) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg),$$

and the left action of  $C_r^*(G_2)$  is

$$(b\xi)(z) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} b(\gamma) \xi(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma)$$

for all  $b \in C_c(G_2)$ .

We now come back to non-Hausdorff groupoids. For every open Hausdorff set  $V \subset Z$ , denote by  $V'$  its closure in  $\mathcal{H}((G_1 \ltimes Z)_V^V)$ , where  $z \in V$  is identified to  $(\rho(z), z) \in \mathcal{H}((G_1 \ltimes Z)_V^V)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_V^0$  be the set of  $\xi \in C_c(V')$  such that  $\xi(z) = \frac{\xi(S \times \{z\})}{\sqrt{\#S}}$  for all  $S \times \{z\} \in V'$ .

**Lemma 7.15.** *The space  $\mathcal{E}_Z^0 = \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{V_i}^0$  is independent of the choice of the cover  $(V_i)$  of  $Z$  by Hausdorff open subspaces.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for every open Hausdorff subspace  $V$  of  $Z$ , one has  $\mathcal{E}_V^0 \subset \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{V_i}^0$ . Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_V^0$ . Denote by  $q_V: V' \rightarrow V$  the canonical map defined by  $q_V(S \times \{z\}) = z$ . Let  $K \subset V$  compact such that  $\text{supp}(\xi) \subset q_V^{-1}(K)$ . There exists  $J \subset I$  finite such that  $K \subset \cup_{j \in J} V_j$ . Let  $(\varphi_j)_{j \in J}$  be a partition of unity associated to that cover, and  $\xi_j = \xi \cdot (\varphi_j \circ q_V)$ . One easily checks that  $\xi_j \in \mathcal{E}_{V_j}^0$  and that  $\xi = \sum_{j \in J} \xi_j$ .  $\square$

We now define a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -valued scalar product on  $\mathcal{E}_Z^0$  by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} \overline{\xi(z\gamma)} \eta(g^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma),$$

where  $z$  is an arbitrary element of  $Z$  such that  $\rho(z) = r(g)$ . Our definition is independent of the choice of  $z$ , since if  $z'$  is another element, there exists  $\gamma' \in G_2$  such that  $z' = z\gamma'$ , and the Haar system on  $G_2$  is left-invariant.

Moreover, the integral is convergent for all  $g \in G_1$  because the action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  is proper.

Let us show that  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in C_c(G_1)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ . We need a preliminary lemma:

**Lemma 7.16.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces such that  $X$  is locally compact and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  proper. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter such that  $f$  converges to  $y \in Y$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q = f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $f$  is proper,  $Q$  is quasi-compact. Suppose that for all  $x \in Q$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  does not converge to  $x$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  such that  $V_x^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . Extracting a finite cover  $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  of  $Q$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $Q$  such that  $V^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $f$  is closed,  $f(V^c)^c$  is a neighborhood of  $y$ . By assumption,  $f(V^c)^c \in f(\mathcal{F})$ , i.e.  $\exists A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f(A) \subset f(V^c)^c$ . This implies that  $A \subset V$ , therefore  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ : this contradicts  $V^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Consequently, there exists  $x \in Q$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $x$ .  $\square$

To show that  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in C_c(G_1)$ , we can suppose that  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_U^0$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_V^0$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are open Hausdorff. Let  $F(g, z) = \overline{\xi(z)}\eta(g^{-1}z)$ , defined on  $\Gamma = G_1 \times_{r, \rho} Z$ . Since the action of  $G_1$  on  $Z$  is proper,  $F$  is quasi-compactly supported. Let us show that  $F \in C_c(\Gamma)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a ultrafilter on  $\Gamma$ , convergent in  $\mathcal{H}\Gamma$ . Since  $G_1^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, its limit has the form  $S = S'g_0 \times S''$  where  $S' \subset (G_1)_{r(g_0)}^{r(g)}$ ,  $S'' \subset \rho^{-1}(r(g_0))$ . Moreover,  $S'$  is a subgroup of  $(G_1)_{r(g)}^{r(g)}$  by the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Suppose that there exist  $z_0, z_1 \in S''$  and  $g_1 \in S'g_0$  such that  $z_0 \in U$  and  $g_1^{-1}z_1 \in V$ . By Lemma 7.16 applied to the proper map  $G_1 \times Z \rightarrow Z \times Z$ , there exists  $s_0 \in S'$  such that  $z_0 = s_0z_1$ . We may assume that  $g_0 = s_0g_1$ . Then  $\sum_{s \in S} F(s) = \sum_{s' \in S'} \overline{\xi(z_0)}\eta(g_0^{-1}(s')^{-1}z_0)$ . If  $s' \notin \text{stab}(z_0)$ , then  $g_0^{-1}(s')^{-1}z_0 \notin V$  since  $g_0^{-1}z_0$  and  $g_0^{-1}(s')^{-1}z_0$  are distinct limits of  $(g, z) \mapsto g^{-1}z$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $V$  is Hausdorff. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in S} F(s) &= \#(\text{stab}(z_0) \cap S') \overline{\xi(z_0)}\eta(g_0^{-1}z_0) \\ &= \sqrt{\#(\text{stab}(z_0) \cap S') \xi(z_0)} \sqrt{\#(\text{stab}(g_0^{-1}z_0) \cap (g_0^{-1}S'g_0))\eta(z_0)} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\xi(z)}\eta(g^{-1}z) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} F(g, z). \end{aligned}$$

If for all  $z_0, z_1 \in S''$  and all  $g_1 \in S'g_0$ ,  $(z_0, g_1^{-1}z_1) \notin U \times V$ , then  $\sum_{s \in S} F(g, z) = 0 = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} F(g, z)$ .

By Proposition 4.1,  $F \in C_c(\Gamma)$ .

Since  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(g) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} F(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma)$ , to prove that  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in C_c(G_1)$  it suffices to show:

**Lemma 7.17.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two locally compact groupoids with Haar system such that  $G_i^{(0)}$  are Hausdorff. Let  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  be a generalized homomorphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ . Let  $\Gamma = G_1 \times_{r, \rho} Z$ . Then for every  $F \in C_c(\Gamma)$ , the function*

$$g \mapsto \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} F(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma),$$

where  $z \in Z$  is an arbitrary element such that  $\rho(z) = r(g)$ , belongs to  $C_c(G_1)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $F(g, z) = f(g)h(z)$ , where  $f \in C_c(G_1)$  and  $h \in C_c(Z)$ . Let  $H(z) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} h(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma)$ . By Lemma 7.18 below (applied to the groupoid  $Z \rtimes G_2$ ),  $H$  is continuous. It is obviously  $G_2$ -invariant, therefore  $H \in C_c(Z/G_2)$ . Let  $\tilde{H} \in C_c(G_1^{(0)}) \simeq C_c(Z/G_2)$  correspond to  $H$ . The map

$$g \mapsto \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} F(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) = f(g)\tilde{H}(s(g))$$

thus belongs to  $C_c(G_1)$ .

By linearity, the lemma is true for  $F \in C_c(G_1) \otimes C_c(Z)$ . By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5,  $F$  is the uniform limit of functions  $F_n \in C_c(G_1) \otimes C_c(Z)$  which are supported in a fixed quasi-compact set  $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2 \subset G_1 \times Z$ . Let  $Q' \subset Z$  quasi-compact such that  $\rho(Q') \supset r(Q_1)$ . Since the action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  is proper,  $K = \{\gamma \in G_2 \mid Q'\gamma \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset\}$  is quasi-compact. Using the fact that  $G_1^{(0)} \simeq Z/G_2$ , it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{(g,z) \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} 1_Q(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \\ & \leq \sup_{z \in Q'} \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} 1_{Q_2}(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in G_2^{(0)}} \int_{\gamma \in G_2^x} 1_K(\gamma) \lambda^x(d\gamma) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.9. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in G_1} \left| \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} F(g, z\gamma) - F_n(g, z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \right| = 0.$$

The conclusion follows from Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

In the proof of Lemma 7.17 we used the

**Lemma 7.18.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, proper groupoid with Haar system, such that  $G^x$  is Hausdorff for all  $x \in G^{(0)}$ , and  $G_x^x = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in G^{(0)}$ . We do not assume  $G^{(0)}$  to be Hausdorff. Then  $\forall f \in C_c(G^{(0)})$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: G^{(0)} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ x & \mapsto \int_{g \in G^x} f(s(g)) \lambda^x(dg) \end{aligned}$$

*is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an open, Hausdorff subspace of  $G^{(0)}$ . Let  $h \in C_c(V)$ . Since  $(r, s): G \rightarrow G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  is a homeomorphism from  $G$  onto a closed subspace of  $G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$ , and  $(x, y) \mapsto h(x)f(y)$  belongs to  $C_c(G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)})$ , the map  $g \mapsto h(r(g))f(s(g))$  belongs to  $C_c(G)$ , therefore by definition of a Haar system,  $x \mapsto \int_{g \in G^x} h(r(g))f(s(g)) \lambda^x(dg) = h(x)\varphi(x)$  belongs to  $C_c(G^{(0)})$ .

Since  $h \in C_c(V)$  is arbitrary, this shows that  $\varphi|_V$  is continuous, hence  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $G^{(0)}$ .  $\square$

Now, let us show the positivity of the scalar product. Fix  $z \in Z$  such that  $\rho(z) = x$ . For all  $g, h \in G_1^x$ ,

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle (g^{-1}h) = \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} \overline{\xi(g^{-1}z\gamma)} \xi(h^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma).$$

Therefore, using (2),

$$(3) \quad \langle \eta, \pi_{G_1, x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \eta \rangle = \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \left| \int_{g \in G^x} \eta(g) \xi(g^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^x(dg) \right|^2.$$

It follows that  $\pi_{G_1, x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in G_1^{(0)}$ , so  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$  in  $C_r^*(G_1)$ .

Now, let us define a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{E}_Z^0$  by

$$(\xi a)(z) = \int_{g \in (G_1)^{\rho(z)}} \xi(g^{-1}z) a(g^{-1}) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg),$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$  and  $a \in C_c(G_1)$ .

Let us show that  $\xi a \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ . We need a preliminary lemma:

**Lemma 7.19.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be quasi-compact spaces,  $(\Omega_k)$  an open cover of  $X \times Y$ . Then there exist finite open covers  $(X_i)$  and  $(Y_j)$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $\forall i, j \exists k, X_i \times Y_j \subset \Omega_k$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  choose open neighborhoods  $U_{x,y}$  and  $V_{x,y}$  of  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $U_{x,y} \times V_{x,y} \subset \Omega_k$  for some  $k$ . For  $y$  fixed, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $(U_{x_i, y})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  covers  $X$ . Let  $V_y = \cap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i, y}$ . Then for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U'_{x,y}$  of  $x$  and  $k$  such that  $U'_{x,y} \times V_y \subset \Omega_k$ .

Let  $(V_1, \dots, V_m) = (V_{y_1}, \dots, V_{y_m})$  such that  $\cup_{1 \leq j \leq m} V_j = Y$ . For all  $x \in X$ , let  $U'_x = \cap_{j=1}^m U'_{x, y_j}$ . Let  $(U_1, \dots, U_p)$  be a finite sub-cover of  $(U'_x)_{x \in X}$ . Then for all  $i$  and for all  $j$ , there exists  $k$  such that  $U_i \times V_j \subset \Omega_k$ .  $\square$

Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be quasi-compact subspaces of  $G_1$  of  $Z$  respectively such that  $a^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset Q_1$  and  $\xi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset Q_2$ . Let  $Q$  be a quasi-compact subspace of  $Z$  such that  $\forall g \in Q_1, \forall z \in Q_2, g^{-1}z \in Q$ . Let  $(U_k)$  be a finite cover of  $Q$  by Hausdorff open subspaces of  $Z$ . Let  $Q' = Q_1 \times_{r, \rho} Q_2$ . Then  $Q'$  is a closed subspace of  $Q_1 \times Q_2$ . Let  $\Omega'_k = \{(g, z) \in Q' \mid g^{-1}z \in U_k\}$ . Then  $(\Omega'_k)$  is a finite open cover of  $Q'$ . Let  $\Omega_k$  be an open subspace of  $Q_1 \times Q_2$  such that  $\Omega'_k = \Omega_k \cap Q'$ . Then  $\{Q_1 \times Q_2 - Q'\} \cup \{\Omega_k\}$  is an open cover of  $Q_1 \times Q_2$ . Using Lemma 7.19, there exist finite families of Hausdorff open sets  $(W_i)$  and  $(V_j)$  which cover  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , such that for all  $i, j$  and for all  $(g, z) \in W_i \times_{G_1^{(0)}} V_j$ , there exists  $k$  such that  $g^{-1}z \in U_k$ .

Thus, we can assume by linearity and by Lemmas 4.3 and 7.15 that  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_V^0$ ,  $a \in C_c(W)$ ,  $U = W^{-1}V$ , and  $U, V$  and  $W$  are open and Hausdorff.

Let  $\Omega = \{(g, S) \in W^{-1} \times U' \mid g^{-1}q_U(S) \in V\}$ . Then the map  $(g, S) \mapsto (g^{-1}, g^{-1}S)$  is a homeomorphism from  $\Omega$  onto  $W \times_{r, \rho \circ q_V} V'$ . Therefore, the map

$(g, z) \mapsto \xi(g^{-1}z)a(g^{-1})$  belongs to  $C_c(\Omega) \subset C_c(G_1 \times_{r, \rho \circ q_V} U')$ . By Lemma 4.10,

$$S \mapsto (\xi a)(S) = \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho \circ q_V(S)}} \xi(g^{-1}S)a(g^{-1}) \lambda^{\rho \circ q_V(S)}(dg)$$

belongs to  $C_c(U')$ . It is immediate that  $(\xi a)(S) = \sqrt{\#S}(\xi a)(q(S))$  for all  $S \in U'$ , therefore  $\xi a \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ . This completes the proof that  $\xi a \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ .

Finally, it is not hard to check that  $\langle \xi, \eta a \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle * a$ . Therefore, the completion  $\mathcal{E}_Z$  of  $\mathcal{E}_Z^0$  with respect to the norm  $\|\xi\| = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|^{1/2}$  is a  $C_r^*(G_1)$ -Hilbert module.

Let us now construct a morphism  $\pi: C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ . For every  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$  and every  $b \in C_c(G_2)$ , let

$$(b\xi)(z) = \int_{\gamma \in (G_2)^{\sigma(z)}} b(\gamma)\xi(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma).$$

Let us check that  $b\xi \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ . As above, by linearity we may assume that  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_V^0$ ,  $b \in C_c(W)$  and  $VW^{-1} \subset U$ , where  $V \subset Z$ ,  $U \subset Z$  and  $W \subset G_2$  are open and Hausdorff.

Let  $\Phi(S, \gamma) = (S\gamma, \gamma)$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a homeomorphism from  $\Omega = \{(S, \gamma) \in U' \times_{\sigma \circ q_U, r} W \mid q_U(S)\gamma \in V\}$  onto  $V' \times_{\sigma \circ q_V, s} W$ . Let  $F(z, \gamma) = b(\gamma)\xi(z\gamma)$ . Since  $F = (\xi \otimes b) \circ \Phi$ ,  $F$  is an element of  $C_c(\Omega) \subset C_c(U' \times_{\sigma \circ q_U, r} W)$ . By Lemma 4.10,  $b\xi \in C_c(U')$ .

It is immediate that  $(b\xi)(S) = \sqrt{\#S}(b\xi)(q(S))$ . Therefore,  $b\xi \in \mathcal{E}_U^0 \subset \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ .

Let us prove that  $\|b\xi\| \leq \|b\| \|\xi\|$ . Let

$$\zeta(\gamma) = \int_{g \in G_1^x} \eta(g)\xi(g^{-1}z\gamma) \lambda^x(dg),$$

where  $z \in Z$  such that  $\rho(z) = r(g)$  is arbitrary. From (3),

$$\langle \eta, \pi_{G_1, x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \eta \rangle = \|\zeta\|_{L^2(G_2^{\sigma(z)})}^2.$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta, \pi_{G_1, x}(\langle b\xi, b\xi \rangle) \eta \rangle &= \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \\ &\quad \left| \int_{g \in G_1^x} \eta(g)\xi(g^{-1}z\gamma\gamma')b(\gamma') \lambda^{s(\gamma)}(d\gamma') \right|^2 \\ &= \langle b\zeta, b\zeta \rangle \leq \|b\|^2 \|\zeta\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By density of  $C_c(G_2^x)$  in  $L^2(G_2^x)$ ,  $\|\pi_{G_1, x}(\langle b\xi, b\xi \rangle)\| \leq \|b\|^2 \|\pi_{G_1, x}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)\|$ . Taking the supremum over  $x \in G_1^{(0)}$ , we get  $\|b\xi\| \leq \|b\| \|\xi\|$ . It follows that  $b \mapsto (\xi \mapsto b\xi)$  extends to a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi: C_r^*(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ .

Finally, suppose now that  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is proper, and let us show that  $C_r^*(G_2)$  maps to  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ .

For every  $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{E}_Z^0$ , denote by  $T_{\eta, \zeta}$  the operator  $T_{\eta, \zeta}(\xi) = \eta \langle \zeta, \xi \rangle$ . Compact operators are elements of the closed linear span of  $T_{\eta, \zeta}$ 's. Let us write an explicit

formula for  $T_{\eta,\zeta}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\eta,\zeta}(\xi)(z) &= \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho(z)}} \eta(g^{-1}z) \langle \zeta, \xi \rangle (g^{-1}) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg) \\ &= \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho(z)}} \eta(g^{-1}z) \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} \overline{\zeta(g^{-1}z\gamma)} \xi(z\gamma) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $b \in C_c(G_2)$ , let us show that  $\pi(b) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ . Let  $K$  be a quasi-compact subspace of  $G_2$  such that  $b^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset K$ . Since  $(Z, \rho, \sigma)$  is a proper generalized homomorphism, there exists a quasi-compact subspace  $Q$  of  $Z$  such that  $\sigma^{-1}(r(K)) \subset G_1 \overset{\circ}{Q}$ . Before we proceed, we need a lemma:

**Lemma 7.20.** *Let  $G_2$  be a locally compact groupoid acting freely and properly on a locally compact space  $Z$  with momentum map  $\sigma: Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ . Then for every  $(z_0, \gamma_0) \in Z \rtimes G_2$ , there exists a Hausdorff open neighborhood  $\Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}$  of  $(z_0, \gamma_0)$  such that*

- $U = \{z_1 \gamma_1 \mid (z_1, \gamma_1) \in \Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}\}$  is Hausdorff;
- there exists a Hausdorff open neighborhood  $W$  of  $\gamma_0$  such that  $\forall \gamma \in G_2, \forall z \in pr_1(\Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}), \forall z' \in U, z' = z\gamma \implies \gamma \in W$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R = \{(z, z') \in Z \times Z \mid \exists \gamma \in G_2, z' = z\gamma\}$ . Since the  $G_2$ -action is free and proper, there exists a continuous function  $\phi: R \rightarrow G_2$  such that  $\phi(z, z\gamma) = \gamma$ . Let  $W$  be an open Hausdorff neighborhood of  $\gamma_0$ . By continuity of  $\phi$ , there exist open Hausdorff neighborhoods  $V$  and  $U_0$  of  $z_0$  and  $z_0\gamma_0$  such that for all  $(z, z') \in R \cap (V \times U_0)$ ,  $\phi(z, z') \in W$ . By continuity of the action, there exists an open neighborhood  $\Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}$  of  $(z_0, \gamma_0)$  such that  $\forall (z_1, \gamma_1) \in \Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}, z_1 \gamma_1 \in U_0$  and  $z_1 \in V$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 7.19, there exist finite covers  $(V_i)$  of  $Q$  and  $(W_j)$  of  $K$  such that for every  $i, j$ ,  $(Z \times_{G_2^{(0)}} G_2) \cap (V_i \times W_j) \subset \Omega_{z_0, \gamma_0}$  for some  $(z_0, \gamma_0)$ .

By Lemma 6.2 applied to the groupoid  $(G_1 \ltimes Z)_{V_i}^{V_i}$ , for all  $i$  there exists  $c'_i \in C_c(V'_i)_+$  such that  $c'_i(S) = (\#S)c'_i(q_{V_i}(S))$  for all  $S \in V'_i$ , and such that  $\sum_i c'_i \geq 1$  on  $Q$ . Let

$$f_i(z) = \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho(z)}} c'_i(g^{-1}z) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg)$$

and let  $f = \sum_i f_i$ . As in the proof of Theorem 6.3, one can show that for every Hausdorff open subspace  $V$  of  $Z$  and every  $h \in C_c(V)$ ,  $(g, z) \mapsto h(z)c'_i(g^{-1}z)$  belongs to  $C_c(G \ltimes Z)$ , therefore  $hf_i$  is continuous on  $V$ . Since  $h$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $f_i$  is continuous, thus  $f$  is continuous. Moreover,  $f$  is  $G_1$ -equivariant, nonnegative, and  $\inf_Q f > 0$ . Therefore, there exists  $f_1 \in C_c(G_1 \setminus Z)$  such that  $f_1(z) = 1/f(z)$  for all  $z \in Q$ . Let  $c_i(z) = f_1(z)c'_i(z)$ . Let

$$T_i(\xi)(z) = \int_{g \in G_1^{\rho(z)}} \int_{\gamma \in G_2^{\sigma(z)}} c_i(g^{-1}z) b(\gamma) \xi(z\gamma) \lambda^{\rho(z)}(dg) \lambda^{\sigma(z)}(d\gamma).$$

Then  $\pi(b) = \sum_i T_i$ , therefore it suffices to show that  $T_i$  is a compact operator for all  $i$ .



By linearity and by Lemma 4.3, one may assume that  $b \in C_c(W_j)$  for some  $j$ . Then, by construction of  $V_i$  (see Lemma 7.20), there exist open Hausdorff sets  $U \subset Z$  and  $W \subset G_2$  such that  $\{\gamma \in G_2 \mid \exists(z, z') \in V_i \times U, z' = z\gamma\} \subset W$ , and  $\{z\gamma \mid (z, \gamma) \in V_i \times_{\sigma, r} W\} \subset U$ .

The map  $(z, z\gamma) \mapsto c(z)b(\gamma)$  defines an element of  $C_c(V'_i \times U)$ . Let  $L_1 \times L_2 \subset V_i \times U$  compact such that  $(z, z\gamma) \mapsto c(z)b(\gamma)$  is supported on  $q_{V_i}^{-1}(L_1) \times L_2$ . By Lemma 6.2 applied to the groupoids  $(G_1 \times Z)_{V_i}^{V_i}$  and  $(G_1 \times Z)_U^U$ , there exist  $d_1 \in C_c(V'_i)_+$  and  $d_2 \in C_c(U')_+$  such that  $d_1 > 0$  on  $L_1$  and  $d_2 > 0$  on  $L_2$ ,  $d_1(S) = \sqrt{\#S}d_1(q_{V_i}(S))$  for all  $S \in V'_i$ , and  $d_2(S) = \sqrt{\#S}d_2(q_U(S))$  for all  $S \in U'$ . Let

$$f(z, z\gamma) = \frac{c(z)b(\gamma)}{d_1(z)d_2(z\gamma)}.$$

Then  $f \in C_c(V_i \times_{G_1^{(0)}} U)$ . Therefore,  $f$  is the uniform limit of a sequence  $f_n = \sum \alpha_{n,k} \otimes \overline{\beta_{n,k}}$  in  $C_c(V_i) \otimes C_c(U)$  such that all the  $f_n$  are supported in a fixed compact set. Then  $T_i$  is the norm-limit of  $\sum_k T_{d_1 \alpha_{n,k}, d_2 \beta_{n,k}}$ , therefore it is compact.

**Remark 7.21.** The construction in Theorem 7.11 is functorial with respect to the composition of generalized morphisms and of correspondences. We don't include a proof of this fact, as it is tedious but elementary. It is an easy exercise when  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Hausdorff.

## 8. THE HILBERT MODULE $L^2(G)$

In this section,  $G$  denotes a locally compact groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Recall that in the Hausdorff situation,  $C_r^*(G)$  is canonically represented in a  $C_0(G^{(0)})$ -module denoted by  $L^2(G)$ . For  $G$  non-Hausdorff, Khoshkam and Skandalis [14] show that  $C_r^*(G)$  is represented in a  $C_0(Y)$ -Hilbert module (that we will denote by  $L^2(G)_{KS}$ , where  $Y$  is the spectrum of the  $C^*$ -algebra of Borel functions on  $G^{(0)}$  generated by all  $f|_{G^{(0)}}$  ( $f \in C_c(G)$ )). In this section we give a definition of a  $C_0(X')$ -Hilbert module  $L^2(G)$ , where  $X'$  is the closure of  $G^{(0)}$  in  $\mathcal{H}G$  (see Section 5). The reason why we prefer  $L^2(G)$  is that

- $G$  acts naturally on  $L^2(G)$ , since  $G$  acts continuously in a quite obvious way on  $X'$  (see Proposition 3.10).
- When  $G$  is proper, cutoff functions give an embedding of the  $C_0(G^{(0)})$ -Hilbert module  $C_0(G^{(0)})$  in the  $C_0(X')$ -Hilbert module  $L^2(G)$ , which extends the fact that for compact groups, the trivial representation is strongly contained in the regular representation.

Let us first compare the spaces  $X'$  and  $Y$ :

**Proposition 8.1.** (a) *The map  $q$  (see Section 5) factors through continuous proper surjections  $X' \xrightarrow{j'} Y \xrightarrow{j} G^{(0)}$ ;*  
 (b) *the canonical inclusion  $G^{(0)} \rightarrow X'$  factors through (not necessarily continuous) maps  $G^{(0)} \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{i'} X'$ ;*  
 (c) *we have  $i \circ j = \text{Id}_{G^{(0)}}$  and  $i' \circ j' = \text{Id}_Y$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $f \in C_c(G)$ . By Proposition 4.1, if we define  $f'(S) = \sum_{x \in S} f(x)$  for all  $S \in X' \setminus G^{(0)}$ , then  $f'$  is continuous on  $X'$ , therefore  $C_0(Y) \subset C_b(X')$ .

For every compact subset  $K$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $q^{-1}(K)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{H}G$  and included in the compact set  $\{S \in \mathcal{H}G \mid S \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  (see Proposition 3.7), therefore  $q^{-1}(K)$  is compact. It follows that  $q$  is proper and thus  $C_0(G^{(0)}) \subset C_0(X')$ . Since  $C_0(X)C_0(Y) = C_0(Y)$  ([14]), we get  $C_0(G^{(0)}) \subset C_0(Y) \subset C_0(X')$  and a factorization  $q = j' \circ j$ . Since  $q$  is proper and  $j$  is continuous surjective,  $j'$  is proper. It is clear that  $j'$  is surjective, and the fact that  $j$  is proper surjective is proven in [14].

(b) Recall that the Borel inclusion  $i: G^{(0)} \rightarrow Y$  is induced by the inclusion  $C_0(Y) \subset B(G^{(0)})$ , thus (b) and (c) are clear from the definitions.  $\square$

We do not know whether  $X'$  and  $Y$  coincide or not. In Example 2.3, they both coincide with the disjoint union of  $[0, 1]$  and an isolated point, and  $C_0(X') = C_0(Y) = C([0, 1]) + \mathbb{C}\delta_0$  where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac function at 0.

**Remark 8.2.** If  $G$  is not Hausdorff then  $Y$  never coincides with  $G^{(0)}$  by Remark 4.6.

Consider the convolution algebra  $C_c(X' \rtimes G)$ . Again, by Remark 4.6,  $C_c(X' \rtimes G)$  does not restrict to  $C_c(X')$ , hence the scalar product

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_\circ(S) = \xi^* * \eta(S) = \int_{g \in G^q(S)} \overline{\xi(S, g)} \eta(S, g) \lambda^{q(S)}(dg)$$

is not  $C_c(X')$ -valued. However, by definition of  $Y$  and the fact that  $C_0(Y) \subset C_0(X')$ , the restriction of  $S \mapsto \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_\circ(S)$  to  $(i' \circ i)(G^{(0)})$  does extend in a unique way to an element of  $C_c(X')$ .

**Definition 8.3.** Endow  $C_c(X' \rtimes G)$  with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , where  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$  is the unique element of  $C_c(X')$  which coincides with  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_\circ$  on  $(i' \circ i)(G^{(0)})$ . We define  $L^2(G)$  to be the completion of  $C_c(X' \rtimes G)$  with respect to that scalar product.

Of course, the right  $C_0(X')$ -module structure on  $L^2(G)$  is defined by  $(\xi\varphi)(S, g) = \xi(S, g)\varphi(g^{-1}Sg)$  for all  $\xi \in C_c(X' \rtimes G)$  and  $\varphi \in C_c(X')$ .

**Example 8.4.** In Example 2.3,  $L^2(G) = \ell^2(\Gamma) \oplus ([0, 1] \times \ell^2(\Gamma/H))$  with the scalar product  $\langle \xi \oplus \eta, \xi \oplus \eta \rangle(x) = \langle \eta(x), \eta(x) \rangle$  if  $x \in (0, 1]$ , and  $\langle \xi \oplus \eta, \xi \oplus \eta \rangle(0) = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle$ .

The next proposition shows that our definition of  $L^2(G)$  and the one in [14] are related in a very simple way. The advantage of the construction of Khoshkam and Skandalis is that  $C_0(Y)$  is the “minimal” extension of  $C_0(G^{(0)})$  necessary to represent  $C_r^*(G)$  faithfully in a Hilbert module. On the other hand, our space  $X'$  is defined more geometrically and moreover we don't need to use Kasparov's generalized Stinespring theorem to prove the positivity of our scalar product.

**Proposition 8.5.**  $L^2(G) = L^2(G)_{KS} \otimes_{C_0(Y)} C_0(X')$ . As a consequence,  $C_r^*(G)$  is faithfully represented in  $L^2(G)$ .

*Proof.* Obvious, from the definitions of  $L^2(G)$  and  $L^2(G)_{KS}$ , and Proposition 8.1.  $\square$

In the next proposition, we consider  $L^2(G)$  as a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over  $X'$ , with fiber at  $S \in X'$  equal to  $L^2(G)_S := L^2(G) \otimes_{\text{ev}_S} \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\text{ev}_S: X' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the evaluation map at  $S$ . We describe the fibers more explicitly: for  $S = x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $L^2(G)_x = L^2(G_x, \lambda^x(\text{dg}^{-1}))$ . For  $S \notin G^{(0)}$ ,  $L^2(G)_S$  is more complicated: let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any ultrafilter on  $G^{(0)}$  converging to  $S$ , then  $L^2(G)_S$  is the completion of  $C_c(G)$  with respect to the scalar product  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle(x)$ .

**Proposition 8.6.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with Haar system such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $G^{(0)}/G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is proper;
- (ii) there exists a continuous bounded  $G$ -invariant section  $\xi$  of  $L^2(G)$  such that  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle(S) = 1$  for all  $S \in X'$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii): let  $c$  be a cutoff function (Theorem 6.3). In order to simplify the proof, we will assume that  $G$  is quasi-compact (so that a section as in (ii) is actually a vector in  $L^2(G)$ ); the proof in the general case is the same but just needs more notation. In the Hausdorff case, one defines  $\xi(g) = \sqrt{c(s(g))}$ .

When  $G$  is not Hausdorff, we have to prove that this function extends to an element of  $C_c(X' \rtimes G)$ . To that end, define

$$\varphi(S, g) = \sqrt{\frac{c(s(g))}{\#S}}$$

for all  $(S, g) \in X' \rtimes G$ . We must show that  $\varphi$  satisfies the properties of Proposition 4.1(ii). Suppose that  $(S_\lambda, g_\lambda) \in X' \rtimes G$  converges to  $(S, g) \in X' \rtimes G$ . We may assume that the net is universal. Let  $x_\lambda = s(g_\lambda)$ , then, by Lemma 5.2,  $x_\lambda \rightarrow S_0$  where  $S_0$  is a normal subgroup of  $g^{-1}Sg$ , and  $\#S_\lambda$  converges to  $\#S/\#S_0$ .

From the definition of a cutoff function (Theorem 6.3.a),  $c(s(g_\lambda))$  converges to  $\#S_0 c(s(g))$ , hence  $\varphi(S_\lambda, g_\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{c(s(g_\lambda))}{\#S_\lambda}}$  converges to  $\#S_0 \sqrt{c(s(g))}$ .

On the other hand,  $(g_\lambda)$  converges in  $\mathcal{H}G$  to  $gS_0$ , and  $\sum_{h \in S_0} \varphi(S, gh) = \sum_{h \in S_0} \sqrt{c(s(h))} = \#S_0 \sqrt{c(s(g))}$ . We thus have proved that  $\varphi(S_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  converges to  $\sum_{g' \in gS_0} \varphi(S, g')$ , which is exactly condition (ii) in Proposition 4.1.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i): suppose that  $\xi$  is such an invariant section. Let  $f \in C_c(X')$  arbitrary, and let  $\eta(S, g) = \xi(S, g)f(g^{-1}Sg)$ . Then  $\eta$  is an element of  $L^2(G)$ . Let  $\phi(\gamma) = \langle \eta_{r(\gamma)}, \gamma \cdot \eta_{s(\gamma)} \rangle = \int_{g \in G^{r(\gamma)}} \overline{\eta(s(g), g^{-1})} \eta(s(g), g^{-1}\gamma) \lambda^{r(\gamma)}(\text{dg})$  (for all  $\gamma \in G$ ), and let us show that  $\phi(\gamma)$  vanishes at infinity, in the sense that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a quasi-compact set  $Q$  outside which  $|\phi(\gamma)| < \varepsilon$ .

If  $\eta = 0$  outside a quasi-compact set  $K \subset G$ , then  $\phi = 0$  outside the quasi-compact set  $q(\{h^{-1}g \mid (h, g) \in K \times_{G^{(0)}} K\})$ . The general case follows by a continuity argument.

Now, from the definition of  $\xi$ , we have

$\phi(\gamma) = \int_{g \in G^{r(\gamma)}} |\xi(s(g), g^{-1})|^2 \overline{f(r(\gamma))} f(s(\gamma)) \lambda^{r(\gamma)}(\text{d}\gamma) = \overline{f(r(\gamma))} f(s(\gamma))$ , thus  $\overline{f(r(\gamma))} f(s(\gamma))$  vanishes at infinity.

Take now  $K \subset G^{(0)}$  an arbitrary compact subspace, then  $K' = q^{-1}(K)$  is compact (Proposition 8.1.a), thus there exists  $f \in C_c(X', [0, 1])$  such that  $f|_{K'} = 1$ . Let  $Q$  be a quasi-compact set outside which  $f(r(\gamma))f(s(\gamma)) \leq 1/2$ , then  $G_K^K \subset Q$ , thus  $G_K^K$  is quasi-compact. This completes the proof that  $G$  is proper.  $\square$

## 9. THE CLASSIFYING SPACE FOR PROPER ACTIONS

In [3], topological  $K$ -theory of a group is defined using the classifying space for proper actions. It is therefore natural to define topological  $K$ -theory of a groupoid using proper groupoid actions. At this point, one has two distinct choices: either to consider proper actions of  $G$  on all locally compact spaces, or to consider proper actions of  $G$  on locally compact, Hausdorff spaces. However, the second approach leads to a disconnected classifying space and clearly doesn't give the right answer in  $K$ -theory (see Appendix B).

Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid acting properly on a locally Hausdorff topological space  $Z$ .

We say that  $Z$  is “the” classifying space for proper actions of  $G$  if for every locally compact space  $Y$  such that  $G$  acts properly on  $Y$  and such that  $Y/G$  is compact, there exists a continuous,  $G$ -equivariant map  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ , unique up to equivariant homotopy. If such a space exists, then it will be denoted by  $\underline{E}G$ , although it may not be uniquely determined up to homotopy, since it is not assumed to be locally compact.

Note that when  $G$  is a locally compact Hausdorff groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and the range map  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open, then from Appendix A there exists a locally compact Hausdorff model for  $\underline{E}G$ . However, when  $G$  is not Hausdorff this is not true in general.

The reason why we want the space  $Z$  to be locally Hausdorff is that whenever  $Y$  is a proper,  $G$ -compact  $G$ -space and two  $G$ -maps  $f_0, f_1: Y \rightarrow Z$  are homotopic, then they are homotopic inside a locally compact subspace (Lemma 10.3).

We do not know whether such a classifying space as defined above always exists when  $G$  is not Hausdorff. The join construction ([3]) or the construction of Kasparov and Skandalis ([13, Lemma 4.1]) yield spaces which are not locally Hausdorff. In Example 2.3 with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H = \{0\}$ , the space  $Z = ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})/((0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$ , i.e. the space fibered over  $[0, 1]$  with fiber  $\mathbb{R}$  at 0 and  $\{0\}$  elsewhere, would seem at first sight to be a natural candidate for  $\underline{E}G$ , but is not locally Hausdorff.

However, there is a useful criterion to determine whether a given space is a model for  $\underline{E}G$ :

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact, étale groupoid acting properly on a locally Hausdorff topological space  $T$ . Then  $T$  is the classifying space for proper actions of  $G$  if and only if for every finite subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  there exist  $A \subset G^{(0)}$  and  $G_1 = \phi(A \rtimes \Gamma)$  as in Lemma 2.41, such that  $T$  is the classifying space for proper actions of the groupoid  $G_1$ .*

*Proof.* The condition is obviously necessary, since for every  $G_1$ -compact proper  $G_1$ -space  $Y$ , the space  $Y \times_{G_1} G$  is a  $G$ -compact proper  $G$ -space (Proposition 2.40). To show that it is sufficient, choose for every finite subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  a compact subgroupoid  $G_\Gamma$  as above. By Proposition 2.42, it is enough to show by induction on  $n$  the assertion

( $P_n$ ): “For every locally compact space  $Z$  such that there exist  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  closed saturated such that  $Z = \cup_{i=1}^n \overset{\circ}{Z}_i$  with  $Z_i \simeq Y_i \times_{G_{\Gamma_i}} G$ ,  $Y_i$  compact, there exists a map  $Z \rightarrow T$  which is unique up to  $G$ -homotopy.”

The case  $n = 1$  follows from the assumption. Suppose that ( $P_{n-1}$ ) is true and let us show ( $P_n$ ). Let  $Z_0 = Z_2 \cup \dots \cup Z_n$ . Let  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a continuous equivariant map such that  $\varphi^{-1}(0) \subset \overset{\circ}{Z}_0$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(1) \subset \overset{\circ}{Z}_1$ . Such a map exists because  $Z/G$  is compact. By induction hypothesis, there exist  $G$ -maps  $f_0: Z_0 \rightarrow T$  and  $f_1: Z_1 \rightarrow T$ . Since  $Z_0 \cap Z_1$  satisfies ( $P_{n-1}$ ), there exists a homotopy  $F: [0, 1] \times (Z_0 \cap Z_1) \rightarrow T$  between  $f_0|_{Z_0 \cap Z_1}$  and  $f_1|_{Z_0 \cap Z_1}$ . Put

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} F(\varphi(z), z) & \text{if } z \in Z_0 \cap Z_1 \\ f_i(z) & \text{if } \varphi(z) = i \quad (i = 0, 1), \end{cases}$$

then  $f: Z \rightarrow T$  is continuous  $G$ -equivariant.

To show uniqueness, let  $f_0, f_1: Z \rightarrow T$  be  $G$ -maps. By induction hypothesis, there exists a homotopy  $F_0: [0, 1] \times Z_0 \rightarrow T$  from  $(f_0)|_{Z_0}$  to  $(f_1)|_{Z_0}$  and a homotopy  $F_1: [0, 1] \times Z_1 \rightarrow T$  from  $(f_0)|_{Z_1}$  to  $(f_1)|_{Z_1}$ . Consider the unit square  $S = [0, 1]^2$  and let  $F: \partial S \times (Z_0 \cap Z_1) \rightarrow T$  defined by  $F(i, t, z) = F_i(t, z)$  and  $F(s, i, z) = f_i(z)$  ( $i = 0, 1, s \in [0, 1], z \in Z_0 \cap Z_1$ ). By induction hypothesis,  $F$  is  $G$ -homotopic to a map which does not depend on the first variable (for instance,  $(s, t, z) \mapsto f_0(z)$ ). Therefore,  $F$  extends to a map  $F: S \times (Z_0 \cap Z_1) \rightarrow T$ . Let

$$H(t, z) = \begin{cases} F(\varphi(z), t, z) & \text{if } z \in Z_0 \cap Z_1 \\ F_i(t, z) & \text{if } \varphi(z) = i \quad (i = 0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Then  $H$  is a homotopy from  $f_0$  to  $f_1$ . □

Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid. In many cases, one can construct sequences  $Y_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of locally compact, proper  $G$ -spaces, and an inductive system  $f_{m,n}: Y_m \rightarrow Y_n$ , such that for every proper,  $G$ -compact  $G$ -space  $Y$ ,

- there exists  $n$  and a  $G$ -map  $f: Y \rightarrow Y_n$ ;
- if  $f$  and  $f': Y \rightarrow Y_n$  are two  $G$ -maps, then there exists  $p > n$  such that  $f_{n,p} \circ f$  and  $f_{n,p} \circ f'$  are  $G$ -homotopic.

We shall say that  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a classifying sequence of spaces. The following proposition is an easy exercise left to the reader:

**Proposition 9.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid. Let  $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2 \rightarrow \dots$  be an inductive system of locally compact, proper  $G$ -spaces. Then  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a classifying sequence of spaces if and only if the telescope of  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a classifying space for proper actions of  $G$ .*

(Recall that the telescope of  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} [n, n+1] \times Y_n$  divided by the relation  $(n+1, y) \sim (n+1, f_{n,n+1}(y))$ .)

One can formulate an analogue of Theorem 9.1 in the language of classifying sequences.

**Example 9.3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group, and let  $\ell$  be a length function on  $\Gamma$  such that every ball for the corresponding left-invariant distance is finite. Let  $Y_n$  be the Rips' complex of  $\Gamma$  whose simplices consist of subspaces of diameter  $\leq n$ . Then  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a classifying sequence for  $\Gamma$ .

Now, let us examine a non-Hausdorff example. Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free discrete group,  $H$  a normal subgroup. Fix two Hausdorff, locally compact models  $\underline{E}\Gamma$  and  $\underline{E}(\Gamma/H)$  and a  $G/H$ -equivariant map  $h : \underline{E}\Gamma/H \rightarrow \underline{E}(\Gamma/H)$  which is compatible with homotopies between the two projections  $\underline{E}\Gamma \times \underline{E}\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{E}\Gamma$  and  $\underline{E}(\Gamma/H) \times \underline{E}(\Gamma/H) \rightarrow \underline{E}(\Gamma/H)$  (for example,  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $H = \{0\}$ ,  $\underline{E}\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\underline{E}H = \{0\}$ ). Let  $Y_n = (([0, 1/n] \times \underline{E}\Gamma)/((0, 1/n] \times H)) \cup [1/n, 1] \times \underline{E}(\Gamma/H)$  divided by the relation  $(1/n, z) \sim (1/n, h(z))$ . We show that  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a classifying sequence for the groupoid  $G = ([0, 1] \times \Gamma)/((0, 1] \times H)$  of Example 2.3.

Using Theorem 9.1, it is easy to reduce the problem to showing that for every compact space  $Y$  and every continuous map  $p : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,

- there exist  $n$  and a continuous  $[0, 1]$ -map  $Y \rightarrow Y_n$ ;
- if  $f, f' : Y \rightarrow Y_n$  are  $[0, 1]$ -maps, then there exists  $m > n$  such that  $f_{n,m} \circ f$  and  $f_{n,m} \circ f'$  are  $[0, 1]$ -homotopic.

The first assertion is easy: choose  $a \in \underline{E}\Gamma$  and let  $f : Y \rightarrow Y_1$  defined by  $f(y) = (p(y), a)$  if  $p(y) < 1$  and  $f(y) = (1, h(a))$  if  $p(y) = 1$ . Let us prove the second assertion.

Since  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free, it acts freely on  $\underline{E}\Gamma$ , thus there exists an open cover  $(\Omega_i)$  such that  $\Omega_i \gamma \cap \Omega_i = \emptyset$  for all  $\gamma \neq 1$ . Cover the compact set  $p^{-1}(0)$  by finitely many compact subsets  $K_j$  satisfying  $f(K_j) \subset \{0\} \times \Omega_{i(j)}$  for some  $i(j)$ . By definition of  $\Omega_i$  there exists for all  $j$  an open neighborhood subset  $V_j$  of  $Y$ , containing  $K_j$ , such that  $f|_{V_j}$  lifts to a map  $\tilde{f}_j : V_j \rightarrow [0, 1] \times \underline{E}\Gamma$ . We show that these liftings are compatible in some neighborhood of  $p^{-1}(0)$ . If not, then there exist  $j \neq j'$  and sequences  $y_n \in p^{-1}((0, 1])$ ,  $h_n \in H - \{1\}$  such that  $\tilde{f}_j(y_n) = \tilde{f}_{j'}(y_n)h_n$  and  $p(y_n) \rightarrow 0$ . By compactness, we may assume that  $y_n \rightarrow y \in p^{-1}(0)$  and that  $h_n = h$  is constant. By continuity, we get  $f(y) = f(y)h$ , a contradiction since  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $\underline{E}\Gamma$ .

So, let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $\tilde{f}_j$  and  $\tilde{f}_{j'}$  coincide on  $V_j \cap V_{j'} \cap p^{-1}([0, 1/m])$ , and such that  $p^{-1}([0, 1/m])$  is covered by the sets  $V_j$ . We thus get a lifting  $\tilde{f} : p^{-1}[0, 1/m] \rightarrow [0, 1] \times \underline{E}\Gamma$ , and we may assume that the same holds for  $f'$ . The restrictions of  $f_{n,m} \circ f$  and  $f_{n,m} \circ f'$  to  $p^{-1}([0, 1/m])$  are  $[0, 1/m] \times \underline{E}\Gamma$ -homotopic by definition of  $\underline{E}\Gamma$ , and the restrictions of  $f_{n,m} \circ f$  and  $f_{n,m} \circ f'$  to  $p^{-1}([1/m, 1])$  are homotopic in a consistent way with the previous homotopy by assumption.

## 10. THE ASSEMBLY MAP

Since this section contains no theorems, we will sometimes be sketchy. However, we thought it useful to include it, since it disproves the belief that results concerning the  $K$ -theory of Hausdorff groupoids can easily be extended to non-Hausdorff ones.

### 10.1. A few lemmas.

**Lemma 10.1.** *Let  $G$  be a topological groupoid such that  $G^{(0)}$  is locally compact and  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Let  $Y, Z$  be two proper  $G$ -spaces such that  $Y$  is locally compact,  $Y/G$  is compact and  $Z$  is locally Hausdorff. Let  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  be a  $G$ -map. Then  $f$  is proper (and in particular  $f$  is closed).*

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $p: Y \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  the momentum map, and by  $\pi: Y \rightarrow Y/G$  and  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z/G$  the canonical mappings. Since  $\pi$  is open surjective and  $Y$  is locally compact, there exists a quasi-compact set  $K \subset Y$  such that  $\pi(K) = Y/G$ . By quasi-compactness of  $K$ , there exist finitely many compact subspaces  $K_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of  $Y$  such that  $K \subset \cup_{i \in I} K_i$ ,  $p(K_i)$  is compact and  $f(K_i)$  is compact for all  $i$ . Then  $\pi(f(K_i))$  is compact, hence closed. Therefore,  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(f(K_i)))$  is closed. It thus suffices to consider the case where  $K$ ,  $p(K)$  and  $f(K)$  are compact.

Let  $Y' = K \times_{G^{(0)}} G = \{(y, g) \in K \times G \mid p(y) = r(g)\} = K \times_{p(K)} G$ . Since the obvious map  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  is continuous surjective, it suffices by [5, Proposition I.10.1.5] to show that the composition  $Y' \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  is proper. But consider

$$K \times_{p(K)} G \xrightarrow{f \times 1} f(K) \times_{p(K)} G \rightarrow f(K) \times Z \xrightarrow{pr_2} Z,$$

where the second map is  $(z, g) \mapsto (z, zg)$ . Let us show that the map  $K \times_{p(K)} G \rightarrow f(K) \times_{p(K)} G$  is proper. The map  $f: K \rightarrow f(K)$  is proper since  $K$  is compact, therefore  $K \times G \rightarrow f(K) \times G$  is proper. Now, since  $p(K)$  is Hausdorff,  $K \times_{p(K)} G$  and  $f(K) \times_{p(K)} G$  are closed in  $K \times G$  and  $f(K) \times G$  respectively.

The map  $f(K) \times_{p(K)} G \rightarrow f(K) \times Z$  is proper because the action of  $G$  on  $Z$  is proper, and  $f(K) \times Z \rightarrow Z$  is proper since  $f(K)$  is quasi-compact. It follows that the composition  $Y' \rightarrow Z$  of the three maps above is proper.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.2.** *Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  two topological spaces such that  $Y$  is locally compact and  $Z$  is locally Hausdorff. Let  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  be a proper map. Then  $f(Y)$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $Z = f(Y)$ . Let  $z \in Z$ , and let  $V$  be a Hausdorff neighborhood of  $z$ . Since  $f$  is proper,  $f^{-1}(z)$  is quasi-compact. Since  $Y$  is locally compact, there exists a quasi-compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $f^{-1}(z)$  contained in  $f^{-1}(V)$ . Let  $L = f(K)$ . We show that  $L$  is a neighborhood of  $z$ .

Let  $F = Y - \overset{\circ}{K}$ . Then  $F$  is closed. Since  $f$  is proper,  $f(F)$  is closed. Since  $z \notin f(F)$  and  $Z = L \cup f(F)$ ,  $L$  is a neighborhood of  $z$ .

We have shown that every  $z \in f(Y)$  has a compact neighborhood, therefore  $f(Y)$  is locally compact.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff, and let  $Z$  be a locally Hausdorff proper  $G$ -space. Suppose  $Y$  is a locally compact, proper,  $G$ -compact  $G$ -space and two  $G$ -maps  $f_0, f_1: Y \rightarrow Z$  are related by a  $G$ -homotopy  $F: [0, 1] \times Y \rightarrow Z$ , then the image  $Y'$  of  $F$  is a locally compact,  $G$ -compact proper  $G$ -space and  $f_0, f_1$  are homotopic as maps from  $Y$  to  $Y'$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemmas 10.1 and 10.2.  $\square$

**10.2. Topological  $K$ -theory.** Let  $Y$  be a locally compact space. To every open cover of  $Y$  by Hausdorff subsets  $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)$ , we associate the groupoid  $G[\mathcal{U}] = \coprod_{i,j} U_i \cap U_j$  which is Morita-equivalent to  $Y$ . The  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(\mathcal{U}) = C_r^*(G[\mathcal{U}])$  is independent of the choice of the cover up to Morita equivalence. We will sometimes employ the abusive notation  $C^*(Y)$ .

**Examples 10.4.** (a) If  $Y$  is Hausdorff, then  $C^*((U_i)_{i \in I})$  is the closure in  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(I)))$  of  $\oplus_{i,j} C_c(U_i \cap U_j)$ .

(b) If  $Y = (\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]) / \sim$ , with  $(0, t) \sim (1, t)$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ , and  $U_i = \{i\} \times [0, 1] \subset Y$ , then  $C^*((U_i)_{i=0,1}) = \{f: [0, 1] \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid f(0) \text{ is diagonal}\}$ .

Suppose that  $Y = \cup U_i$  and  $Z = \cup V_k$  are two locally compact spaces and  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  is a proper map. Composing  $f$  with the Morita equivalences  $Y \sim G[\mathcal{U}]$  and  $Z \sim G[\mathcal{V}]$ , one gets a proper generalized morphism  $G[\mathcal{U}] \rightarrow G[\mathcal{V}]$ , hence (Theorem 7.11) an element of  $KK(C^*(\mathcal{V}), C^*(\mathcal{U}))$ .

**Remark 10.5.** More concretely, the generalized morphism is given by  $Z_f = \{(i, y, k) \mid y \in U_i, f(y) \in V_k\}$ .

Suppose now that  $G$  acts on  $Y$ . The problem when we want to define the equivariant  $K$ -homology of  $Y$  by  $KK_G(C^*(Y), C_0(G^{(0)}))$  is that  $G$  does not act on  $C^*(Y)$ . However, let  $Y_x \subset Y$  be the fiber over  $x \in G^{(0)}$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{U}_x$  the induced cover on  $Y_x$ , i.e. the cover by the sets  $Y_x \cap U_i$  ( $i \in I$ ). Then every  $g \in G_x^y$  induces a Morita-equivalence between the fibers  $C^*(Y)_x = C^*(\mathcal{U}_x)$  and  $C^*(Y)_y$ , using the composition of Morita-equivalences  $G[\mathcal{U}_y] \cong G[g^*\mathcal{U}_x] \sim G[\mathcal{U}_x]$ , where  $g^*\mathcal{U}_x$  denotes the cover  $(U_i g)_{i \in I}$  of  $Y_y$ . We thus see that, in some sense,  $G$  “acts by Morita equivalences” on  $C^*(Y)$ . The appropriate notion is that of Fell bundle over a groupoid [30, 9, 15, 21]. Since the definitions in the case of Hausdorff groupoids are also recalled in the appendix of [28], we will be brief.

**Definition 10.6.** Let  $X$  be a locally Hausdorff topological space. A continuous (resp. upper semicontinuous) field of Banach spaces  $E$  over  $X$  consists of a family  $(E_x)_{x \in X}$  of Banach spaces together with a topology on  $\tilde{E} = \coprod_{x \in X} E_x$  such that

- (i) the topology on  $E_x$  induced from that on  $\tilde{E}$  is the norm-topology;
- (ii) the projection  $\pi: \tilde{E} \rightarrow X$  is continuous and open;
- (iii) the operations  $(e, e') \in \tilde{E} \times_X \tilde{E} \mapsto e + e' \in \tilde{E}$  and  $(\lambda, e) \in \mathbb{C} \times \tilde{E} \rightarrow \lambda e \in \tilde{E}$  are continuous;
- (iv) the norm  $\tilde{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous (resp. u.s.c.);
- (v) if  $\|e_i\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\pi(e_i) \rightarrow x$  then  $e_i \rightarrow 0_x$ ;
- (vi) for all  $e \in E_x$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  and a continuous section  $\xi: V \rightarrow \tilde{E}$  such that  $\xi(x) = e$ .

If  $(U_i)$  is an open cover of  $X$  by (say Hausdorff) open subsets, giving a continuous (resp. u.s.c.) field of Banach spaces over  $X$  is equivalent to giving a family  $(\tilde{E}_i)$  of continuous (resp. u.s.c.) fields over  $U_i$  with isomorphisms  $\varphi_{ij}: \tilde{E}_j|_{U_{ij}} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_i|_{U_{ij}}$  satisfying  $\varphi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{jk} = \varphi_{ik}$  on  $U_{ijk}$ . (We have used the notation  $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$ ).



**Definition 10.7.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid and denote by  $m: G^{(2)} \rightarrow G$  the multiplication map. A continuous (resp. u.s.c.) Fell bundle over  $G$  is a continuous (resp. u.s.c.) field of Banach spaces  $(E_g)_{g \in G}$  over  $G$  together with an associative bilinear product  $(\xi, \eta) \in E_g \times E_h \mapsto \xi\eta \in E_{gh}$  whenever  $(g, h) \in G^{(2)}$ , and an antilinear involution  $\xi \in E_g \mapsto \xi^* \in E_{g^{-1}}$  such that for any  $(g, h) \in G^{(2)}$ , and  $(e_1, e_2) \in E_g \times E_h$ ,

- (i)  $\|e_1 e_2\| \leq \|e_1\| \|e_2\|$ ;
- (ii)  $(e_1 e_2)^* = e_2^* e_1^*$ ;
- (iii)  $\|e_1^* e_1\| = \|e_1\|^2$ ;
- (iv)  $e_1^* e_1$  is a positive element of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $E_{s(g)}$ ;
- (v) the product  $(e, e') \in m^*(\tilde{E}) \mapsto ee' \in \tilde{E}$ , and the involution  $e \in \tilde{E} \mapsto e^*$  are continuous;
- (vi) for all  $(g, h) \in G^{(2)}$ , the image of the product  $E_g \times E_h \rightarrow E_{gh}$  spans a dense subspace of  $E_{gh}$ .

**Remark 10.8.** Note that (i)–(iii) imply that  $E_x$ ,  $x \in G^{(0)}$ , is a  $C^*$ -algebra, so (iv) makes sense. Moreover, when  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff, the space  $A = C_0(G^{(0)}, \tilde{E})$  of sections over  $G^{(0)}$  that vanish at infinity is a  $C_0(G^{(0)})$ -algebra with fiber  $E_x$  at  $x \in G^{(0)}$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $G$  is a locally compact groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. A Fell bundle over  $G$  given with an isomorphism  $A \cong C_0(G^{(0)}, \tilde{E})$  will be called a *generalized action* of  $G$  on  $A$ . To explain the terminology, if  $G$  acts on  $A$  and  $\alpha_g: A_{s(g)} \rightarrow A_{r(g)}$  denotes the action, then there is a canonical Fell bundle with fiber  $E_g = A_{r(g)}$ , product  $(e, e') \mapsto e\alpha_g(e')$  ( $\forall (g, h) \in G^{(2)}$ ,  $\forall (e, e') \in E_g \times E_h$ ) and adjoint  $e \mapsto \alpha_{g^{-1}}(e^*)$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Fell bundle over  $G$ . Let us denote by  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  the pull-back of  $\mathcal{A}$  by the inverse map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ , i.e.  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_g = \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$ .

In what follows,  $G$  is a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact groupoid with Haar system, such that  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff.

**Definition 10.9.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras endowed with generalized actions  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $C^*$ -correspondence from  $A$  to  $B$ . We say that the correspondence  $\mathcal{E}$  is equivariant if there is an isomorphism of  $s^*A$ ,  $r^*B$  correspondences

$$W: s^*\mathcal{E} \otimes_{s^*B} \hat{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{t^*A} r^*\mathcal{E}$$

such that for every  $(g, h) \in G^{(2)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}_{h^{-1}}} \otimes W_g) \circ (W_h \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}_{g^{-1}}}) \\ & \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{s(h)} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{s(h)}} \mathcal{B}_{h^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{r(h)}} \mathcal{B}_{g^{-1}}, \mathcal{A}_{h^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{r(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{r(g)}} \mathcal{E}_{r(g)}) \end{aligned}$$

is equal to

$$W_{gh} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{s(h)} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{s(h)}} \mathcal{B}_{h^{-1}g^{-1}}, \mathcal{A}_{h^{-1}g^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{r(g)}} \mathcal{E}_{r(gh)})$$

via the identifications  $\mathcal{A}_{(gh)^{-1}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{h^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{r(h)}} \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{(gh)^{-1}} \cong \mathcal{B}_{h^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{r(h)}} \mathcal{B}_{g^{-1}}$ .

In the definition above,  $W$  is an isomorphism of fields of correspondences over  $G$ , the fiber at  $g \in G$  being a  $A_{s(g)}, B_{r(g)}$ -correspondences. Alternatively, the restriction of these fields of correspondences over any Hausdorff open subset  $U$  of  $G$  are correspondences between  $C_0(U)$ -algebras.

As in [16, 28], one can define an equivariant Kasparov bifunctor  $KK_G$ .

**Definition 10.10.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $C^*$ -algebras endowed with generalized actions of  $G$ . An equivariant Kasparov  $A, B$ -bimodule is a pair  $(\mathcal{E}, F)$  where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, equivariant  $A, B$ -correspondence and  $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$  is a degree 1 operator such that for all  $a \in A$ ,

- (i)  $a(F - F^*) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ ;
- (ii)  $a(F^2 - 1) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ ;
- (iii)  $[a, F] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ ;
- (iv)  $W(s^*F \otimes \text{Id})W^* \in \mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{r^*A} r^*\mathcal{E})$  is a  $r^*F$ -connection for  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Property (iv) above has to be interpreted in the following way when  $G$  is not Hausdorff: for any open Hausdorff subset  $V$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $W_V$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_V$ , the restrictions to  $V$  of  $W$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_V$  is considered as a  $r_V^*A$ -Hilbert module, and (iv) means that for all  $V$ , the operator  $W_V(s_V^*F \otimes \text{Id})W_V^* \in \mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_V \otimes_{r_V^*A} r_V^*\mathcal{E})$  is a  $r_V^*F$ -connection for  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_V$ .

As usual,  $KK_G(A, B)$  is defined to be the set of equivariant Kasparov  $A, B$ -bimodules divided by homotopy. Then  $KK_G(A, B)$  is an abelian group, and  $(A, B)$  is a bifunctor, covariant in  $B$  and contravariant in  $A$  with respect to  $G$ -equivariant correspondences. There is a bilinear associative product  $KK_G(A, D) \times KK_G(D, B) \rightarrow KK_G(A, B)$  (the proof is the same as in [28]).

Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra endowed with a generalized action of  $G$ . We can define  $K_*^{\text{top}}(G; B)$ , the topological  $K$ -theory of  $G$  with coefficients in  $B$ , to be the abelian group generated by elements of  $KK_G(C^*(Y), B)$  where  $Y$  is a locally compact proper,  $G$ -compact  $G$ -space, divided by following equivalence relation: if  $u \in KK_G(C^*(Y), B)$  and  $u' \in KK_G(C^*(Y'), B)$ , then  $u \sim u'$  if and only if there exists a proper  $G$ -compact  $G$ -space  $Y''$ ,  $G$ -maps  $f: Y \rightarrow Y''$  and  $f': Y' \rightarrow Y''$  such that  $f_*u = f'_*u'$ . Note that  $f_*$  is well-defined since  $f$  is proper (Lemma 10.1) and the correspondence of Theorem 7.11 is  $G$ -equivariant.

Alternatively, if there exists a classifying space for proper actions  $\underline{EG}$ , then  $K_*^{\text{top}}(G; B)$  is the inductive limit of  $KK_G(C^*(Y), B)$  where  $Y$  runs over locally compact,  $G$ -compact subspaces of  $\underline{EG}$ . The two definitions are equivalent because of Lemma 10.3.

Now, the assembly map

$$\mu: K_*^{\text{top}}(G; B) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{B}))$$

is defined by the composition

$$KK_G(C^*(Y), B) \xrightarrow{j_G} KK(C^*(Y) \rtimes_r G, C_r^*(\mathcal{B})) \xrightarrow{[\mathcal{E}_{Y \rtimes G}] \otimes \cdot} K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{B})),$$

where  $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$  is the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of the Fell bundle  $\mathcal{B}$  (analogue to the reduced crossed-product algebra), and the module  $\mathcal{E}_{Y \rtimes G}$  of the proper groupoid  $Y \rtimes G$  defines an element of  $K$ -theory  $[\mathcal{E}_{Y \rtimes G}]$  by Proposition 6.5. The definition

of the descent map  $j_G$  is analogue to the one in [16]. Let us sketch it. Suppose we are given a Kasparov  $A, B$ -bimodule  $(\mathcal{E}, F)$ , then  $j_G([\mathcal{E}, F])$  is the class of the Kasparov bimodule  $(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B C_r^*(B), F \otimes 1)$  endowed with the following left action of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{A})$ :

$$(\pi(a)\xi)(g) = \int_{G^{r(g)}} a_h \otimes \xi_{h^{-1}g} \lambda^{r(g)}(dh)$$

for all  $a \in C_c(G; \mathcal{A})$ ,  $\xi \in C_c(r^*\mathcal{E} \otimes_{r^*B} \mathcal{B})$ . The element  $a_h \otimes \xi_{h^{-1}g}$  belongs to the module  $\mathcal{A}_h \otimes_{A_{s(h)}} \mathcal{E}_{s(h)} \otimes_{B_{s(h)}} \mathcal{B}_{h^{-1}g}$  which is identified to  $\mathcal{E}_{r(g)} \otimes_{B_{r(g)}} \mathcal{B}_g$  via the isomorphism  $W$ , thus the formula above indeed defines an element  $\pi(a)\xi$  of  $C_c(r^*\mathcal{E} \otimes_{r^*B} \mathcal{B})$ .

With that definition, one might wonder whether  $\mu$  is an isomorphism in the case of proper groupoids. However, since  $L^2(G)$  is a  $C_0(X')$ -module and cutoff functions are continuous on  $X'$  and not on  $X$ , the method of [13, Theorem 5.4] (see also [25]) only allows to prove that  $\mu$  is an isomorphism in the case when  $B$  is endowed with a generalized action of  $X' \rtimes G$ . This is not in general the case unless  $C_0(G^{(0)})$  happens by accident to be a  $X' \rtimes G$ -algebra like in Example 2.3 for  $\Gamma$  finite.

One might also wonder whether the method of [10, 26] extends to non-Hausdorff amenable groupoids. First one needs to define the notion of amenable groupoid. Consider the assertions

- (A1)  $\exists \xi_i \in L^2(G)$  such that  $g \mapsto \|g(\xi_i)_{s(g)} - (\xi_i)_{r(g)}\|$  vanishes at infinity on  $X' \rtimes G$ ;
- (A2)  $\exists \xi_i \in L^2(G)$  such that  $g \mapsto \|g(\xi_i)_{s(g)} - (\xi_i)_{r(g)}\|$  vanishes at infinity on  $G$ ;
- (AT) There exists a  $X' \rtimes G$ -equivariant continuous field of real affine Hilbert spaces on  $X'$  and an arbitrary section  $x \mapsto \xi_x$  such that  $\|g\xi_{s(g)} - \xi_{r(g)}\|$  tends to  $+\infty$  when  $g \rightarrow \infty$  in  $G$ .

Then (A1) implies (A2) (using properness of the second projection  $X' \rtimes G \rightarrow G$ ), and (A2) implies (AT) (like in [4, 26], see also [8]).

In Example 2.3 with  $H = \{1\}$ ,  $G$  satisfies (A2) if and only if  $\Gamma$  is amenable, and  $G$  satisfies (AT) if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a-T-menenable; in Example 2.4 there is no reason why  $G$  should satisfy either amenability condition (A1) or (A2) even when  $\Gamma$  is amenable. The Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients for Hausdorff amenable, and even a-T-menenable groupoids is true [26, 10] but the groupoid of Example 2.3 with  $H = \{1\}$  and  $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_2$  is a counterexample to the Baum–Connes conjecture [11], and yet it satisfies property (AT). It would be interesting, either to find a counterexample to the Baum–Connes conjecture for an amenable foliation groupoid or to prove it; this would probably require other ideas than those in [10].

## APPENDIX A. EXISTENCE OF A HAUSDORFF MODEL FOR $\underline{E}G$

In this appendix we examine conditions underwhich there exists a locally compact Hausdorff model for  $\underline{E}G$ .

Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid. For every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ , let  $K_x = \{g \in G \mid \{x, g\} \in \mathcal{H}G\}$ . Let  $H_x \subset G_x^x$  be the group generated by  $K_x$ , and  $H_G = \bigcup_{x \in G^{(0)}} \overline{H_x}$ . Then  $H_G$  is a closed subgroupoid of  $G$ .

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open. Let  $Z$  be a Hausdorff space endowed with an action of  $G$  with momentum map  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ . Let  $Y \subset Z$  and assume that  $p|_Y$  is open. Then  $S(Y) = \{g \in G \mid \forall y \in Y, p(y) = r(g) \implies yg = y\}$  is closed, and contains  $H_G$ .*

*Proof.* Let us show that  $S(Y)$  is closed. Let  $g \in \overline{S(Y)}$  and  $y \in Y$  be such that  $p(y) = r(g)$ . Let  $V \ni y$  and  $V' \ni yg$  be open subspaces of  $Z$ . There exist open sets  $V_1$  and  $W$  such that  $y \in V_1 \subset V$ ,  $g \in W$ ,  $V_1 W \subset V'$ . Since  $p|_Y$  is open,  $p(V_1 \cap Y)$  is an open neighborhood of  $p(y) = r(g)$ , and since  $r$  is open,  $r(W)$  is an open neighborhood of  $r(g)$ . Thus, there exist  $h \in W \cap S(Y)$  and  $y' \in V_1 \cap Y$  such that  $p(y') = r(h)$ . One has  $y'h = y' \in V' \cap V_1$ , hence  $V \cap V' \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $Z$  is Hausdorff, it follows that  $y = yg$  for every  $y \in p^{-1}(r(g))$ , i.e.  $g \in S(Y)$ . This completes the proof that  $S(Y)$  is closed.

Since  $S(Y)$  is a subgroupoid of  $G$ , it remains to prove that  $K_x \subset S(Y)$ . Let  $y \in Y$ ,  $x = p(y)$  and  $g \in K_x$ . Let  $V \ni y$  and  $V' \ni yg$  be open subspaces of  $Z$ . There exist open sets  $V_1$  and  $W$  such that  $y \in V_1 \subset V$ ,  $g \in W$ ,  $V_1 W \subset V'$ . Since  $p(V_1 \cap Y)$  and  $r(W)$  are open neighborhoods of  $p(y) = r(g)$ , one may assume that  $r(W) \subset p(V_1 \cap Y)$ . Since  $gx^{-1} = g$ , there exist  $W_x \ni x$  and  $W_g \ni g$  open such that  $W_g W_x^{-1} \subset W$ .

Since  $g \in K_x$ ,  $W_x \cap W_g \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $h \in W_x \cap W_g$ . One has  $r(h) = hh^{-1} \in W$ . Let  $y_1 \in V_1 \cap Y$  such that  $p(y_1) = r(h)$ . Then  $y_1 = y_1 r(h) \in V'$ , whence  $y_1 \in V \cap V'$ . Therefore,  $V \cap V' \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $Z$  is Hausdorff, one concludes that  $y = yg$ , therefore  $K_x \subset S(Y)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid such that  $r: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open and  $G^{(0)}$  is Hausdorff and  $\sigma$ -compact. Consider the following assertions:*

- (i) *there exists a locally compact, Hausdorff model for  $\underline{E}G$ ;*
- (i)' *there exists a Hausdorff model for  $\underline{E}G$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists a Hausdorff space  $Z$ , a map  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  such that  $G$  acts properly on  $Z$  with momentum map  $p$  and a locally compact subspace  $Y \subset Z$  such that  $p|_Y$  is open surjective;*
- (iii)  *$H_G \cap G_K^K$  is quasi-compact for every compact subspace  $K$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ;*
- (iv)  *$H_G \cap G_K^K$  is quasi-compact for every quasi-compact subspace  $K$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ;*
- (v)  *$H_G$  is a proper groupoid.*
- (v)'  *$H_G$  is a proper groupoid, and  $r: H_G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open.*

*Then  $(v)' \implies (i) \implies (i)' \implies (ii) \implies (iii) \iff (iv) \iff (v)$ .*

*Proof.* (iv)  $\implies$  (iii): obvious.

(iii)  $\implies$  (v): if  $K$  and  $L$  are compact subspaces of  $G^{(0)}$ , then  $(H_G)_K^L = H_G \cap G_{K \cap L}^{K \cap L}$  is quasi-compact, so  $H_G$  is proper.

(v)  $\implies$  (iv): follows from the fact that  $H_G \cap G_K^K = (H_G)_K^K$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (i)': obvious.

(i)'  $\implies$  (ii): since  $G$  is a locally compact, proper  $G$ -space (see Proposition 2.16) and  $G/G = G^{(0)}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, by the universal property of  $\underline{E}G$

there exists a  $G$ -map  $f: G \rightarrow \underline{E}G$ . Let  $Y = f(G^{(0)})$ . Since  $p \circ f|_{G^{(0)}}$  is the identity map,  $f$  induces a bijection from  $G^{(0)}$  onto  $Y$ , therefore  $f|_{G^{(0)}}: G^{(0)} \rightarrow Y$  and  $p|_Y$  are homeomorphisms. In particular,  $Y$  is locally compact and  $p|_Y$  is open surjective.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): let  $K \subset G^{(0)}$  be a compact set. Since  $H_G$  is closed in  $G$ ,  $H_G \cap G_K^K$  is closed in  $S(Y) \cap G_K^K$ , hence it suffices to prove that  $S(Y) \cap G_K^K$  is quasi-compact. Let  $L \subset Y$  be a compact set such that  $p(L) = K$ . Such a set exists because  $Y$  is locally compact Hausdorff and  $p|_Y$  is open and surjective. Then  $S(Y) \cap G_K^K$  is closed in the quasi-compact set  $\{g \in G \mid \exists z \in L, zg \in L\}$ , thus it is quasi-compact.

(v)'  $\implies$  (i): set  $Z = H_G \backslash G$ . Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow Z$  be the canonical mapping. We prove that  $Z$  is Hausdorff, i.e. that  $Z = \mathcal{H}Z$ . Since  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}Z$ , it suffices to prove that for all  $x \in G^{(0)}$  and all  $g \in G_x^x - H_G$ , there exist disjoint open neighborhoods of  $\pi(x)$  and of  $\pi(g)$  in  $Z$ .

Let  $K$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $G^{(0)}$ . Let  $K' = H_G \cap G_K^K$ . It is a quasi-compact subspace of  $G$ . For every  $a \in H_G \cap G_K^K$ , there exist  $V_a \ni a$  and  $V_{a,g} \ni g$  such that  $V_a$  and  $V_{a,g}$  are disjoint open sets, otherwise one would have  $a \in G_x^x$  and  $ga^{-1} \in K_x$ , which would contradict  $g \notin H_G$ .

By quasi-compactness, there exist two disjoint open sets  $V$  and  $V'$  such that  $K' \subset V$  and  $g \in V'$ . Since  $K'$  is quasi-compact, there exist an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  such that  $W \subset G_K^K$  and  $K'W \subset V$ . Thus, we have  $H_G W \cap V' = \emptyset$ , whence  $H_G W \cap H_G V' = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $Z$  is Hausdorff.

Let us show that the action of  $G$  on  $Z$  is proper. Since  $r: H_G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is open, by Example 2.33  $Z \rtimes G$  is Morita-equivalent to  $H_G \ltimes (G/G) = H_G \ltimes G^{(0)} = H_G$  which is proper. Moreover, by Lemma 2.34,  $Z$  is locally compact.

Let  $Z_0 = G/H_G$ . The above shows that  $Z_0$  is locally compact Hausdorff, and that the left action of  $G$  on  $Z_0$  is proper. Let  $M(Z_0)$  be the set of positive measures on  $Z_0$  whose image by  $r: G/H_G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  is of the form  $\lambda \delta_x$  ( $1/2 < \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ). It is not hard to show that  $M(Z_0)$  is locally compact (see for instance [25, Proposition 6.13]). For every  $f \in C_c(Z_0)_+$ , let  $\Omega_f = \{\mu \in M(Z_0) \mid \mu(f) > \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_\infty\}$ . Then  $\{g \in G \mid \Omega_{f_1}g \cap \Omega_{f_2} \neq \emptyset\}$  is relatively quasi-compact, hence by Proposition 2.14,  $G$  acts properly on  $M(Z_0)$ .

If  $Z'$  is a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact proper  $G$  space, let  $c$  be a cutoff function on  $Z' \rtimes G$ . For every  $z \in Z'$ , consider the measure  $c(zg) \lambda^{p(z)}(dg)$  on  $G^{p(z)}$ . Denote by  $\varphi(z)$  its image by the obvious map  $G^{p(z)} \rightarrow G/H$ . Then  $\varphi: Z' \rightarrow M(Z_0)$  is continuous  $G$ -equivariant, and two  $G$ -maps  $Z' \rightarrow M(Z_0)$  are connected by a linear homotopy.  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. THE CLASSIFYING SPACE FOR PROPER ACTIONS ON HAUSDORFF SPACES

Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid. Let  $Z$  be a Hausdorff, locally compact space endowed with a proper action of  $G$  such that  $Z/G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. We say that  $Z$  is universal for proper actions on Hausdorff spaces if for every Hausdorff, locally compact space  $Z'$  with a proper action of  $G$  such that  $Z'/G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact,

there exists a  $G$ -map  $f: Z' \rightarrow Z$ , and if  $f$  is unique up to  $G$ -homotopy. Then the space  $Z$  is unique up to  $G$ -homotopy, and will be denoted by  $\underline{E}^h G$ .

Let us first examine an example of  $\underline{E}^h G$ .

**Lemma B.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact groupoid with  $G^{(0)}$  Hausdorff. Suppose that  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ , where  $G_1$  is an open subgroupoid and  $G_2$  a closed subgroupoid, such that  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ . If every  $S \in \mathcal{H}G$  which is in the closure of  $G_1^{(0)}$  and such that  $S \subset G_2$ , is infinite, then  $G$  has a classifying space for proper actions if and only if it is the case for  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ ; then  $\underline{E}^h G$  is the disjoint union of  $\underline{E}^h G_1$  and  $\underline{E}^h G_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be a locally compact, Hausdorff space, and  $p: Z \rightarrow G^{(0)}$  such that  $G$  acts properly on  $Z$  with momentum map  $p$ . Let  $Z_1 = p^{-1}(G_1^{(0)})$  and  $Z_2 = p^{-1}(G_2^{(0)})$ . Then  $Z_1$  is an open saturated subspace of  $G$ . Let us show that it is closed. Suppose that  $z \in Z_2$  is the limit of a ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $Z_1$ . Then  $p(\mathcal{F})$  converges to  $p(z)$  in  $G^{(0)}$ , thus it converges to an element  $S \in \mathcal{H}G$  such that  $S \subset G_{p(z)}^{p(z)}$ , so every  $s \in S$  is also a limit point of  $p(\mathcal{F})$ . By assumption,  $S$  is infinite. As  $z'p(z') = z'$  for every  $z' \in Z$ , by continuity  $zs = z$  for every  $s \in S$ . This contradicts the fact that  $G$  acts properly on  $Z$ .

Therefore,  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  is a partition of  $Z$  by open and closed subspaces. The conclusion follows easily.  $\square$

**Example B.2.** Consider Example 2.3 with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H = \{0\}$ . By Lemma B.1,  $\underline{E}^h G = (0, 1] \amalg (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R})$ .

We thus see in this elementary example (which is a simplified version of the holonomy groupoid of Reeb's foliation) that if one defines topological  $K$ -theory using the classifying space  $\underline{E}^h G$ , then it does not coincide with the  $K$ -theory of  $C_r^*(G)$ . Therefore, we won't discuss the existence of  $\underline{E}^h G$  any further.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abels, H. Parallelizability of proper actions, global  $K$ -slices and maximal compact subgroups. *Math. Ann.* **212** (1974/75), 1–19.
- [2] Anantharaman-Delaroche, C.; Renault, J. *Amenable groupoids. With a foreword by Georges Skandalis and Appendix B by E. Germain.* Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique **36**. L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2000.
- [3] Baum, P.; Connes, A.; Higson, N. Classifying space for proper actions and  $K$ -theory of group  $C^*$ -algebras.  *$C^*$ -algebras: 1943–1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993)*, 240–291, **Contemp. Math.**, **167**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [4] Bekka, M. E. B.; Cherix, P.-A.; Valette, A.: Proper affine isometric actions of amenable groups. *Novikov conjectures, index theorems and rigidity, Vol. 2* (Oberwolfach, 1993), 1–4, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **227**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [5] Bourbaki, N.: *Topologie Générale*. Masson, Paris (1990).
- [6] Chabert, J.; Echterhoff, S.; Meyer, R. Deux remarques sur l'application de Baum-Connes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **332** (2001), no. 7, 607–610.
- [7] Chabert, J.; Echterhoff, S.; Nest, R. The Connes-Kasparov conjecture for almost connected groups and for linear  $p$ -adic groups. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **No. 97**, (2003), 239–278.
- [8] Cherix, P.-A.; Cowling, M.; Jolissaint, P.; Julg, P.; Valette, A.: Groups with the Haagerup property. Gromov's a-T-menability. *Progress in Mathematics*, **197**. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.

- [9] Fulman, I., and Muhly, P., Bimodules, spectra, and Fell bundles, *Israel J. Math.* **108** (1998), 193–215.
- [10] Higson, N.; Kasparov, G.  $E$ -theory and  $KK$ -theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.* **144** (2001), no. 1, 23–74.
- [11] Higson, N.; Lafforgue, V.; Skandalis, G. Counterexamples to the Baum-Connes conjecture. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **12** (2002), no. 2, 330–354.
- [12] Hilsaum, M. and Skandalis, G.: Morphismes  $K$ -orientés d’espaces de feuilles et fonctorialité en théorie de Kasparov (d’après une conjecture d’A. Connes). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **20**(1987), no. 3, 325–390.
- [13] Kasparov, G; Skandalis, G.: Groups acting properly on “bolic” spaces and the Novikov conjecture. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **158** (2003), no. 1, 165–206.
- [14] Khoshkam, M.; Skandalis, G. Regular representation of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras and applications to inverse semigroups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **546** (2002), 47–72.
- [15] Kumjian, A., Fell Bundles over groupoids, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (1998), 1115–1125.
- [16] Le Gall, P.Y.: Théorie de Kasparov équivariante et groupoïdes. I. *K-Theory* **16**(1999), no. 4, 361–390.
- [17] Le Gall, P.Y.; Monthubert, B.  $K$ -theory of the indicial algebra of a manifold with corners. *K-Theory* **23** (2001), no. 2, 105–113.
- [18] Lubotzky, A. Discrete Groups, *Expanding Graphs and Invariant Measures (With an appendix by J.D. Rogawski)*, Birkhäuser (1994).
- [19] Macho-Stadler, M. and O’Uchi, M.: Correspondence of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **42**(1999), 103–119.
- [20] Muhly, P., Renault, J. and Williams, D.: Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **17**(1987), 3–22.
- [21] Muhly, P., Bundles over groupoids. Groupoids in analysis, geometry and physics (Boulder, CO, 1999), *Contemp. Math* **282** AMS Providence, RI, (2000), 67–82.
- [22] Mineyev, I; Yu, G. The Baum-Connes conjecture for hyperbolic groups. *Invent. Math.* **149** (2002), no. 1, 97–122.
- [23] Paterson, A: *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*. Progress in Mathematics, **170**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [24] Renault, J: *A groupoid approach to  $C^*$ -algebras*, Springer, 1980, LNM no. 793.
- [25] Tu, J.L.: La conjecture de Novikov pour les feuilletages hyperboliques. *K-Theory* **16** (1999), no. 2, 129–184.
- [26] Tu, J.L. La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables. *K-Theory* **17** (1999), no. 3, 215–264.
- [27] Tu, J.L.: The Baum-Connes conjecture for groupoids.  *$C^*$ -algebras* (Münster, 1999), 227–242, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [28] Tu, J.L; Xu, P. and Laurent, C.: Twisted  $K$ -theory of differentiable stacks. [math.KT/0306138](#).
- [29] Valette, A.: *Introduction to the Baum-Connes conjecture*. From notes taken by Indira Chatterji. With an appendix by Guido Mislin. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.
- [30] Yamagami, S., On primitive ideal spaces of  $C^*$ -algebras over certain locally compact groupoids, Mappings of operator algebras (Philadelphia, PA, 1988), Progress in Math. **84**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, (1990) 199–204.