ON DIRECTED ZERO-DIVISOR GRAPHS OF FINITE RINGS

Tongsuo Wu

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200030, P. R. China

ABSTRACT. For a finite ring R, the directed zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ is connected if and only if there is no end vertex (sink and source); sinks and sources are characterized and clarified. This article also shows that $\Gamma(R)$ can not be a network for any ring R.

1. Introduction

For any noncommutative ring R, let Z(R) be the set of (one-sided) zero-divisors of R. The directed zero-divisor graph of R is a directed graph $\Gamma(R)$ with vertices $Z(R)^* = Z(R) - \{0\}$, where for distinct vertices x and y of $Z(R)^*$ there is a directed edge from x to y if and only if xy = 0 ([6]). This is a generalization of zero-divisor graph of commutative rings. The concept of a zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring was introduced in [4], and it was mainly concerned with colorings of rings there. In [2], the vertex set of $\Gamma(R)$ is chosen to be $Z(R)^*$ and the authors study interplay between the ring-theoretic properties of commutative ring R and the graph-theory property of $\Gamma(R)$. The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring is also studied by several other authors, see [3] for a list of references. The zero-divisor graph has been also introduced and studied for semigroups in [5].

In this paper, we study the directed zero-divisor graph of noncommutative rings and we focus our attention on finite rings. In section 2, we prove that a finite ring R has connected zero-divisor graph if and only if one-sided identity of R (if exists)

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16N60, 05C15.

Key words and phrases. finite ring, zero-divisor graph, connectedness, sink, source.

is two-sided, if and only if $\Gamma(R)$ contains no end vertex (i.e., sinks and sources). For any distinct vertices x,y of a finite ring with proper one-sided identity, the directed distance from x to y is less than 7, if a directed path exists from x to y. In section 3 and 4, we study sinks and sources of finite and infinite ring. We proved that for any ring R, if there exists a source b in $\Gamma(R)$ with $b^2 = 0$, then |R| = 4 and $R = \{0, a, b, c\}$, where a and c are left identity elements and ba = 0 = bc. Such a ring R is also the only ring such that $\Gamma(R)$ has exactly one source. The dual result for sink vertex is also true. This result is key to clarify sinks and sources in $\Gamma(R)$. In Section 3, we show that for a finite ring R with at least five elements, sink and source can not coexist in $\Gamma(R)$. For finite ring R with at least five elements, sinks (sources) are characterized by strongly right (left) invertible elements. In section 4, we show that for any ring R with at least five elements, there are only four possibilities for the semigroups (when non-empty) Sink(R), the set of sinks of $\Gamma(R)$, and Sour(R), the semigroup of all sources:

- (1) $Sink(R) = \emptyset$ and $Sour(R) = \emptyset$;
- (2) $Sink(R) = \emptyset$ and $|Sour(R)| \ge 2$;
- (3) $Sour(R) = \emptyset$ and $|Sink(R)| \ge 2$;
- $(4) |Sour(R)| = \infty = |Sink(R)|.$

Therefore $\Gamma(R)$ can not be a network for any ring R.

2. Connectedness and Diameters

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that every right identity element of a finite ring R is a two-sided identity. We have

- (1) Each left zero-divisor is also a right zero-divisor;
- (2) If in addition $|R| \ge 5$, then for distinct $a, b \in R^*$ with ab = 0, there exists $c \in R^*$ such that ca = 0 and $c \ne a$.
- *Proof.* (1) If ab = 0 and $xa \neq 0$ for all $x \in R$, then Ra = R and there is a right identity element $e \in R$. Then e is also a left identity. Let ga = e, then 0 = gab = eb = b, a contradiction.
- (2) Suppose that $ann_l(a) = \{a, 0\}$, then for distinct $b, c, d \in R^* \{a\}$, we have $daa = 0, da \neq 0$. Thus da = a. Then we have b c = b d and therefore, c = d. Then $|R| \leq 4$. This completes the proof.

The following lemma is a special case of a recent result of [7, Section 4]. For

completeness we present our original proof here:

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $|R| \le 4$ and every proper one-sided identity element is a two-sided identity. Then for distinct $a, b \in R^*$ with ab = 0, we have ba = 0.

Proof. If R has three elements, then for $a \neq b$ with ab = 0, one has (a + b)b = 0. Thus ba = b(a + b) = 0.

Now suppose that $R = \{0, a, b, a + b\}$ has four elements. Without loss, we assume ab = 0. If R has identity element 1, assume a+b=1. Then $a^2 = a, b^2 = b$. So if ba = 1, then a = aba = 0; if ba = a, then $a = ba = b^2a = 0$; If ba = b, then $b = b^2a = 0$. There is contradiction in each case. Hence ba = 0. In the remaining part of the proof, assume that R has no one-sided identity element. Without loss, we can assume 2a = 2b = 0 (The only other case is that the additive group of R is cyclic of order four.). Now we show that ab = 0 implies ba = 0:

- $(1)ba \neq a$: If ba = a, then $a^2 = 0$. In this case, we assert $b^2 = 0$. Actually, $b^2 \neq b$ since otherwise b is a left identity element of a; $b^2 \neq a$ since otherwise $a = ba = b^2 a = a^2 = 0$; $b^2 \neq a + b$ since otherwise, $b^2 = (a + b)b = b^3 = b(a + b) = a + (a + b) = b$. But $b^2 = 0$ implies $a = ba = b^2 a = 0$, a contradiction.
- $(2)ba \neq b$: If ba = b, then $b^2 = 0$. Then $a^2 \neq a$ since otherwise a(a + b) = a, b(a+b) = b, $(a+b)^2 = a+b$, i.e., a+b is a right identity element of R; $a^2 \neq 0$ since $b = ba^2$; $a^2 \neq b$ since otherwise $b = ba = ba^2 = b^2 = 0$. Finally, $a^2 = a+b$ and we have $a^2 = a+b = a^3 = a^2+ba = a^2+b$, contradicting with the assumption $b \neq 0$.
- (3) $ba \neq a + b$: If ba = a + b, then $a^2 = 0$, $0 = ba^2 = a^2 + ba$, 0 = ba = a + b, another contradiction. This completes the proof of ba = 0, for rings without one-sided identity element. QED

Let K_i be the complete directed graph with i vertices. By Lemma 2.2, we have a list of all possibilities of $\Gamma(R)$ for rings R with $|R| \leq 4$:

- (1) |R| = 2: $K_i, i = 0, 1$
- (2) |R| = 3: $K_i, i = 0, 2$
- (3) |R| = 4: K_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3); $\circ \leftrightharpoons \circ \leftrightharpoons \circ$; $\circ \to \circ \leftarrow$; $\circ \leftarrow \circ \to \circ$.

We remark that every graph in the list can be realized as the zero-divisor graph of some ring R with $|R| \leq 4$.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose that R is a finite ring with the property that every one-sided identity element is a two-sided identity in R. Then for any path $a \to b$ in $\Gamma(R)$, there is a walk $c \to a \to b \to d$, where $c \neq a$ and $b \neq d$.

A vertex g in a directed graph G is called a sink, if the in-degree of g is positive and the out-degree of g is zero. The dual concept of sink is called source.

Let $Z_r(R)$ be the set of right zero divisors. In [6], it is proved that for any ring R, $\Gamma(R)$ is connected if and only if $Z_r(R) = Z_l(R)$. It is also proved that $\Gamma(R)$ is connected for all finite rings with two sided-identity element. We now characterize all finite rings R whose directed zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ is connected:

Theorem 2.4. For any finite ring R, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The directed zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ is connected;
- (2) Every one-sided identity element of R is the two-sided identity of R;
- (2') Either R has two-sided identity or R has no proper one-sided identity;
- (3) There exists no end-vertex (sink or source) in $\Gamma(R)$.
- If $\Gamma(R)$ is connected, then $diam(\Gamma(R)) \leq 3$.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2) and (3) \Longrightarrow (2). If R has a left identity e that is not a right identity element of R, then there exists $a \in R$ such that $ae - a \neq 0$. In this case $ae - a \to b$ for all $b \in R^*$. Then there exist at least two left identity elements in R, say e and f = e + ae - a. Then e and f are sink vertices in $\Gamma(R)$. So the directed graph $\Gamma(R)$ is not connected.

 $(2)\Longrightarrow(3)$ and $(2)\Longrightarrow(1)$. Assume that every one-sided identity element of R is the two-sided identity of R. If |R|<5, then by Lemma 2.2, we know that there is no sink (source) vertex in $\Gamma(R)$ and that $\Gamma(R)$ is connected. In what follows, we assume $|R|\geq 5$. Then by Lemma2.1, if $a\to b$ for distinct vertices a,b, then there exist $c,d\in R^*$ such that $c\neq a,d\neq b$ and in $\Gamma(R)$ there is a walk $c\to a\to b\to d$. So there is no sink or source vertex in $\Gamma(R)$. This proves (3). Now we use the proof of corresponding result in [2] to finish our proof: For any distinct $x,y\in Z(R)^*$, if xy=0, then d(x,y)=1. If $xy\neq 0$, then again by Lemma 2.1, there exists $a\neq x, b\neq y$ such that xa=0=by. If a=b, then $x\to a\to y$ and d(x,y)=2; If $a\neq b$ and ab=0, then we have $x\to a\to b\to y$ and $d(x,y)\leq 3$; If $a\neq b$ and $ab\neq 0$, then $ab\neq x, ab\neq y$ since $ab\neq 0$, and there is a path $ab\neq 0$. In all cases, $ab\neq 0$, then $ab\neq 0$, and $ab\neq 0$, and there is a path $ab\neq 0$. QED

Now let R be a ring with proper left identity element e. Denote

$$I_e = \{a \in R | ae = 0\}, R_e = \{a \in R | a = ae\}.$$

Then (1) $I_e = ann_l(e)$ and I_e is a two-sided ideal of R with at leat two elements;

- (2) R_e is a subring of R with identity e;
- (3) $R = R_e \oplus I_e$ as left R-module;
- (4) There is a ring isomorphism $R_e \cong R/I_e$.

For any subset M, N of $Z(R)^*$, let $\Gamma(M, N)$ be the induced bipartite subgraph of $\Gamma(R)$ and denote its edge set as E(M, N). For the graph $\Gamma(R)$, denote its edge set as E(R). If there is a cycle, we add 2 to the number of the edges of $\Gamma(R)$. Then the graph $\Gamma(R)$ is completely determined by the following four induced subgraphs: $\Gamma(R_e)$, complete directed graph $\Gamma(I_e)$, bipartite graphs $\Gamma(I_e^*, R_e^*)$ and $\Gamma(R_e^*, R_e * \oplus I_e^*)$. We have

Proposition 2.5. For a ring R with proper left identity element e, the graph $\Gamma(R)$ has the following properties:

- (1) For any $a \in I_e$, the out-degree of a is |R| + 1;
- (2) The number of vertices of $\Gamma(R)$ is $|R| 1 = |R_e||I_e| 1$;
- $(3) |E(R)| = |I|[|I| 1 + E(R_e^*, I_e^*) + E(R_e^*, R_e^* \oplus I_e^*) + (2 |I|)E(R_e)].$

Proof. (1) The number of directed edges from I_e^* to R^* is |R|(|I|-1);

(2) The number of directed edges from $R^* - I_e^*$ to I_e^* is

$$|I|[|E(R_e^*, I_e^*)| - (|I| - 1)(|R_e| - 1)];$$

(3) An element of $R^* - I_e^*$ has the form of $a_i + x$, where x = 0 or $x = b_j$. Besides, $(a_i + x)(a_j + y) = a_i(a_j + y)$. Thus the number of directed edges from $R^* - I_e^*$ to $R^* - I_e^*$ is

$$|I|[|E(R_e)| + |E(R_e^*, R_e^* \oplus I_e^*)| - (|I| - 1)|E(R_e)|].$$

QED

Note that $|R| = |R_e||I_e|$, then we obtain our formula.

Proposition 2.6. Let R be a finite ring with proper one-sided identity. For distinct elements x, y in R, either $d(x, y) = \infty$ or $d(x, y) \le 6$.

Proof. Let R be a ring with proper left identity and assume that e is a left identity of R. Then $R^* = K \cup I_e^* \cup R_e^*$ and this is a disjoint union where we assume

$$R_e^* = \{a_i | i = 1, 2, \cdots, m\}, I_e^* = \{b_j | j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$$

and $K = I_e^* \oplus R_e^*$. For distinct $x, y \in R^*$, assume that there exists a directed path from x to y in $\Gamma(R)$, say, $x \to x_1 \to x_2 \to \cdots \to x_r \to y$.

- (1) If $x \in I_e$, then $x \to z$ for any z. In this case, d(x, y) = 1.
- (2) Assume $x \in R_e$. If $0 \in xI_e^*$, then we have a path $x \to I_e^* \to y$, $d(x,y) \le 2$; If $0 \notin xI_e^*$, then $0 \notin xK$. Without loss, we can assume $x_i \in R_e$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Since R_e is a ring with two-sided identity, $diam(R) \le 3$. So there exists a path $x \to x_1 \to x_2 \to x_3 \to I_e^* \to y$. In this case, $d(x,y) \le 5$.
- (3) The final case is $x \in K$. Assume $x = a_1 + b_1$. If $0 \in xI_e^*$, then $d(x,y) \le 2$; If $0 \notin xI_e^*$, then $x_1 \in R_e^* \cup K$. If $x_1 \in K$, then we have $0 = (a_1 + b_1)(a_s + b_t) = a_1a_s + a_1b_t$. Then we have a path $x \to b_t \to y$, and $d(x,y) \le 2$; Hence we can assume $x_i \in R_e^*$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4. and $x_4 \to I_e^*$. We have a path $x \to x_1 \to x_2 \to x_3 \to x_4 \to I_e^* \to y$ and hence $d(x,y) \le 6$. This completes the proof. QED

Corollary 2.7. For any ring R with proper one-sided identity element e, R_e is a subring of R and e is a two-sided identity of R_e , and $diam(R) \leq 3 + diam(R_e)$.

We end this section with the following examples:

Example 2.8 For any field F, let R be the n by n full matrix ring over F (n > 1). Then the diameter of $\Gamma(R)$ is 2.

We need only to prove the following facts: for any $A, B \in Z(R)^*$, there exists $C \in Z(R)^*$ such that AC = 0 and CB = 0. First, there exist invertible matrices $P, Q \in R$ such that the last column of AP is zero and the first row of QB is zero. Second, let

$$C = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q,$$

then we have $C \neq 0$, AC = 0, CB = 0.

Example 2.9 Let R be the n by n full matrix ring over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let S be the non-unitary subring of R consisting of those matrices all of whose rows are zero except the first row. Then $Sink(S) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha \}$, and Sink(S) consists of all left identity elements of S. $I_e = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha \}$ for $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. $|S| = 2^n$, $|I_e| = |Sink(S)| = 2^{n-1}$. $\Gamma(S)$ is a star-like directed graph whose kernel is the complete graph $K_{2^{n-1}-1}$, each vertex of which also connects to 2^{n-1} sinks.

Example 2.10 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and denote $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in R \right\}$. Then $Sink(S) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in R, a = \overline{m}, (m, n) = 1 \right\}$. $I_e = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in R \right\}$ for $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus $|Sink(S)| = n\varphi(n)$, $|I_e| = n$, where $\varphi(n)$ is the Eulerian number of n. When $n \geq 3$, there is no source in $\Gamma(R)$. The clique number of $\Gamma(R)$ is n-1.

3. Sinks and Sources of finite rings

Proposition 3.1. (1) For any ring R, if there exists a source b in $\Gamma(R)$ with $b^2 = 0$, then $R = \{0, a, b, c\}$, where a and c are left identity elements, ba = 0 = bc; (2) For any ring R, if there exists a sink b in $\Gamma(R)$ with $b^2 = 0$, then $R = \{0, a, b, c\}$, where a and c are right identity elements, ab = 0 = cb.

Proof. (1) Let b be a source in $\Gamma(R)$ with $b^2 = 0$. Then consider the left R-module epimorphism $\eta: R \to Rb, r \mapsto rb$. Notice that $ker(\eta) = ann_l(b) = \{0, b\}$ is a submodule of R. For any $r \in R - \{0, b\}$, we have rb = b. We conclude $|R| \le 4$, since otherwise, let $a, c, d \in R - \{0, b\}$ be distinct elements. Then we have (a - c)b = b - b = 0 = (a - d)b. Therefore, a - c = a - d and thus c = d, a contradiction.

Now by the proof of Lemma 2.2, R has proper left identity element. So R contains at least two left identity element, say a and c. Thus $R = \{0, a, b, c\}$, where a and c are left identity elements, ba = 0 = bc. In this case, we have $a \leftarrow b \leftrightarrows b \rightarrow c$.

(2) The proof is dual to the above proof.

The structure of rings R with $|R| \leq 4$, as well as the related graph $\Gamma(R)$, is rather clear (see Lemma 2.2). As for finite rings R with $|R| \geq 5$, we have the following:

Proposition 3.2. Let R be a finite ring with proper left identity elements. If $|R| \geq 5$, then

- (1) $\Gamma(R)$ contains at least two sinks;
- (2) For any sink r in $\Gamma(R)$, $r^2 \neq 0$;

(3) $\Gamma(R)$ contains no sources.

Proof. Since every left identity element of R is a sink in $\Gamma(R)$, thus $\Gamma(R)$ has at least two sinks. Let e be any left identity element of R. Then I_e^* is not empty, and for any $x \in I_e^*$, $y \in R^*$, we have xy = 0. Thus every non-zero element of R is a vertex of $\Gamma(R)$ and, if $\Gamma(R)$ has source, the source vertex must lies in I_e . Of course, $\Gamma(R)$ has source vertex if and only if $|I_e| = 2$ and there is no directed edge from $R^* - I_e^*$ to I_e^* . This is equivalent to saying that for the left identity element e, there exists a non-zero element e of e, such that e and e are an element e and e are a sum of e and e are a sum of e and e and e are a sum of e and e and e are a sum of e and e are a sum of e and e and e and e are a sum of e and e and e and e and e are a sum of e and e and e are a sum of e and e are a sum of e and e and e are a sum of e and e and e and e and e are a sum of e and e and e are a sum of e and e and e and e are a sum of e and e are a su

Corollary 3.3. Let R be a finite ring with proper left identity elements. Assume that R has at least five elements. For any left identity e of R, if $ann_l(e) = \{0, b\}$ for some non-zero element b, then in $\Gamma(R)$, the out-degree of b is |R| - 1 and the in-degree of b is positive.

Similarly, we have

Proposition 3.4. Let R be a finite ring with proper right identity elements. If R has at least five elements, then

- (1) $\Gamma(R)$ contains at least two sources;
- (2) For any source r in $\Gamma(R)$, $r^2 \neq 0$;
- (3) $\Gamma(R)$ contains no sink.

Corollary 3.5. Let R be a finite ring with proper right identity elements. If R has at least five elements, then for any right identity e of R with $ann_l(e) = \{0, b\}$ for some non-zero element b, the in-degree of b in $\Gamma(R)$ is |R| - 1 and the out-degree of b is positive.

Recall that a *network* N is a directed graph with exactly one sink vertex k and a unique source vertex c such that c connects to every vertex of N and every vertex of N connects to k. By Lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.5, Propositions 3.1,3.5 and 3.7, we immediately have,

Corollary 3.6. For any finite ring R with at least five elements,

- (1) $\Gamma(R)$ can not contain sink and source at the same time;
- (2)(7, Cor. 3.2) $\Gamma(R)$ is not a network for any finite ring.
- *Proof.* (2) is an obvious consequence of (1). To prove (1), we list all our previous results on rings with proper one-sided identity in a single place as follows:
 - (a) If $|R| \leq 4$, then $\Gamma(R)$ is one of the following

$$\circ \leftarrow \circ \rightarrow \circ, \circ \rightarrow \circ \leftarrow \circ$$
.

- (b) If $|R| \geq 5$ and R has proper left identity elements, then $\Gamma(R)$ contains at least two sinks, but in it there is no source.
- (c) If $|R| \ge 5$ and R has proper right identity elements, then $\Gamma(R)$ contains at least two sources, but in it there is no sink.

Definition 3.7 Suppose that R has proper left (respectively, right) identity element. An element $r \in R$ is called **strongly right (left) invertible**, if for any left (right) identity element e of R, r has unique right (left) inverse s relative to this e. In this case, such s has more than one left (right) inverse relative to the same left identity e. Obviously, r is strongly right invertible if for some left identity element e of R, r has a unique right inverse s relative to this e.

Proposition 3.8. For an element r in a finite ring R satisfying $r^2 \neq 0$, r is a sink vertex (source vertex) in $\Gamma(R)$, if and only if r is strongly right (respectively, left) invertible in R.

Proof. (1) Suppose r is a sink vertex of $\Gamma(R)$. We have $ry \neq 0$ for all $y \in R^*$ since $r^2 \neq 0$. Since R is a finite ring, we have rR = R. Assume re = r. Then from $res = rs \neq 0$ for all $s \in R^*$, we obtain es = s for all $s \in R$. Thus e is a left identity of R. For any left identity $f \in R$, let ru = f. Then u is unique relative to the f, since r is a sink vertex. For the same reason, there is $r \neq v \in R^*$ such that vr = 0. Hence 0 = vru = vf. Thus f is not a right identity element of R. In this case, we have (r+v)u = ru + v(fu) = f, where $r+v \neq r$. Thus this u has at least two left inverses (say, r and r+v) relative to the left identity f. Thus r is strongly right invertible in R. Notice that R has at least two sink vertex in this case.

(2) Conversely, Suppose that r is strongly right invertible in R. Then by definition, there is left, but not right, identity element in R, and for any left identity $e \in R$, r has a unique right inverse u relative to this e. If there is a path $r \to v$, then we have r(u+v)=e and this implies v+u=u, a contradiction. Finally, suppose $x=ae-a\neq 0$, then $x\neq r$ and xr=0. Thus r is a sink vertex of $\Gamma(R)$.

The proof of the other case is similar.

Corollary 3.9. Let R be a finite ring.

(1)If $\Gamma(R)$ contains exactly one source (respectively, sink), then |R|=4, and R^* has the form of

QED

$$a \leftarrow b \rightarrow b \rightarrow c;$$

$$(respectively, a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b \leftarrow c);$$

(2)Let $|R| \ge 5$. Then an element r of R^* is a sink (source) if and only if r is strongly right (left) invertible in R. In this case, $r^2 \ne 0$ and in $\Gamma(R)$ there are at least two sinks (sources).

All rings in this section have at least five elements.

Definition 4.1 For any ring R, denote

 $Sink(R) = \{sinks in \Gamma(R) \}, Sour(R) = \{sources in \Gamma(R) \},$

 $Inv_r(R) = \{ \text{ strongly right invertible elements of } R \text{ relative to some proper left identity } \},$

 $Inv_l(R) = \{ \text{ strongly left invertible elements of } R \text{ relative to some proper right identity} \}.$

Proposition 4.2. Let R be any ring with at least five elements.

- (1) If Sink(R) (respectively, Sour(R)) is not empty, then it is a left (right) cancellative multiplicative semigroup;
- (2) If $Inv_r(R)$ ($Inv_l(R)$) is not empty, then it is also a left (right) cancellative multiplicative semigroup and $Inv_r(R) \subseteq Sink(R)$ ($Inv_l(R) \subseteq Sour(R)$);
 - (3) $Sink(R) = Z_r(R) Z_l(R), Sour(R) = Z_l(R) Z_r(R);$
 - (4) $Z(R)^*$ has a disjoint decomposition

$$Z(R)^* = Sour(R) \cup (Z_r(R) \cap Z_l(R)) \cup Sink(R).$$

- Proof. (1) Assume that Sink(R) is nonempty. For any $a, b \in Sink(R)$, there exists $x \in R^*$ such that $x \neq a$ and xa = 0. By Proposition 3.1, $ann_r(a) = 0$. So Sink(R) is left cancellative and $Sink(R) = Z_r(R) Z_l(R)$. We assert $x \neq ab$, since otherwise, we would have abx = 0, which implies x = 0. Thus $ab \in Sink(R)$, since xab = 0. Hence Sink(R) is a semigroup under the multiplication of R.
- (2) Suppose that $Inv_r(R)$ is not empty. For any proper left identity elements e, f of R and any $a, b \in Inv_r(R)$, let ax = e, f = by. Then (ab)(yx) = afx = ax = e. Thus ab is right invertible. If (ab)c = e = (ab)d, then bc = bd, and c = d since $Inv_r(R) \subseteq Sink(R)$. Thus ab is strongly right invertible, i.e., $ab \in Inv_r(R)$ and hence $Inv_r(R)$ is a semigroup.

The other case is dual to the sink case.

QED

Remark For some fixed proper left identity e, let $Inv_{r_e}^{-1}(R) = \{u \in R | au = e \text{ for some } a \in Inv_r(R) \}$. Then it is easy to verify that $Inv_{r_e}^{-1}(R)$ is a multiplicative semigroup with identity e.

Proposition 4.3. For any ring R with at least five elements, R contains a proper left identity if and only if the following two conditions hold: (1) $Sink(R) \neq \emptyset$; and (2) There exists an $x \in Sink(R)$ such that x[Sink(R)] = Sink(R).

In this case, $\Gamma(R)$ contains no source while Sink(R) contains at least two elements.

Proof. If R contains a proper left identity e, then $e \in Sink(R)$ and e[Sink(R)] = Sink(R).

Conversely, assume that $Sink(R) \neq \emptyset$ and x[Sink(R)] = Sink(R) for some $x \in Sink(R)$. Let xe = x, $e \in Sink(R)$. Then x(ey - y) = 0 for all $y \in R^*$. Then by Proposition 3.1, ey = y and hence, e is a left identity of R. Since e is a zero-divisor, it is a proper left identity.

If there is a source in $\Gamma(R)$, then it must lie in I_e . Then $I_e = \{0, b\}$ for some nonzero b. Then b is a source of $\Gamma(R)$ with $b^2 = 0$. Then by Proposition 3.1, |R| = 4 and there is no sink in $\Gamma(R)$, a contradiction. So in this case, there is no source in $\Gamma(R)$.

Since Sink(R) is left cancellative, we immediately have

Corollary 4.4. For any ring R with at least five elements, if $0 < |Sink(R)| < \infty$, then $Sink(R) = Inv_r(R)$ and there is no source in $\Gamma(R)$.

The following two results are duals of 4.3 and 4.4

Proposition 4.5. For any ring R with at least five elements, R contains a proper right identity, if and only if the following two conditions hold: (1) $Sour(R) \neq \emptyset$; and (2) There exists an $y \in Sour(R)$ such that [Sour(R)]y = Sour(R).

In this case, $\Gamma(R)$ contains no sink but it contains at least two sources.

Corollary 4.6. For any ring R with at least five elements, if $0 < |Sour(R)| < \infty$, then $Sour(R) = Inv_l(R)$ and there is no sink in $\Gamma(R)$.

As a combination of Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5, we have

Proposition 4.7. For any ring R, one-sided identity of R are two-sided identity if and only if $\Gamma(R)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (1) $Sink(R) = \emptyset$ and $Sour(R) = \emptyset$;
- (2) $Sink(R) = \emptyset$, $Sour(R) \neq \emptyset$ and for any $t \in Sour(R)$, $[Sour(R)]t \subset Sour(R)$; (In this case, $\Gamma(R)$ has infinitely many sources);
- (3) $Sour(R) = \emptyset$, $Sink(R) \neq \emptyset$ and for any $s \in Sink(R)$, $s[Sink(R)] \subset Sink(R)$; (In this case, $\Gamma(R)$ has infinitely many sinks);
- (4) $Sink(R) \neq \emptyset$ and for any $s \in Sink(R)$, $s[Sink(R)] \subset Sink(R)$. At the same time, $Sour(R) \neq \emptyset$ and for any $t \in Sour(R)$, $[Sour(R)]t \subset Sour(R)$. (In this case, $\Gamma(R)$ has infinitely many sinks and infinitely many sources.)

Corollary 4.8. Suppose that in a ring R, one-sided identity element is two-sided identity. If in addition, R satisfies descending chain condition on principal left (respectively, right) ideals, then $\Gamma(R)$ contains no source (sink). In particular, if R is a left and right artinian ring, and one-sided identity element in R is two-sided identity, then $\Gamma(R)$ contains neither source no sink.

Proof. It is easy to verify that for K = Sink(R) and any $t \in K$, $tK \subset K$ if and only if for any (or some) $s \in K$, $stK \subset sK$, if and only if $stR \subset sR$. So, if R has DCC on right principal ideals, then in Proposition 4.7, cases (3) and (4) could not occur. Thus $\Gamma(R)$ contains no sinks.

Finally, as a corollary of Propositions 3.1, 4.3, 4.5 and 4.7, we have

Corollary 4.9. For any ring R, $\Gamma(R)$ is not a network.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. D. Anderson and M. Naseer, *Beck's coloring of a commutative ring*, J. Algebra **159** (1993), 500-514.
- 2. D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston, *The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring*, J. Algebra **217** (1999), 434-447.
- 3. D. F. Anderson, Ron Levy, J. Shapiro, Zero-divisor graphs, von Neumann regular rings, and Boolean algebras, J. Pure Applied Algebra 180 (2003), 221-241.
- 4. I. Beck, Coloring of commutative rings, J. Algebra 116 (1988), 208-226.
- 5. F. R. DeMeyer, T. McKenzie, and K. Schneider, *The zero-divisor graph of a commutative semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **65** (2002), 206-214.
- 6. S. P. Redmond, The zero-divisor graph of a non-commutative ring, Internat. J. Commutative Rings 1(4) (2002), 203-211.
- 7. S. P. Redmond, Structure in the zero-divisor graph of a non-commutative ring, Preprint (2003).
- 8. R. J. Wilson, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Longman Inc., New York, Third Edition 1985.