

On Pseudo-Effectivity of the Second Chern Classes for Smooth Threefolds *

Qihong Xie

Abstract

We prove that for smooth projective threefolds whose anticanonical divisors are nef, the second Chern classes are pseudo-effective under a weak assumption. As an application, the pseudo-effectivity of the second Chern classes implies that Kawamata's Effective Non-vanishing Conjecture holds for such threefolds.

1 Introduction

As well known, Chern class is one of the most important characteristics for complex manifolds or algebraic varieties. Therefore, it is natural to ask what kind of properties the higher Chern classes are of, if the first Chern class is assumed to satisfy some property. It is a general problem, but of great interest.

Let us consider the outcome of running the Minimal Model Program. For minimal models, as a famous result, the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality reveals some relations between the second and the first Chern classes(cf. [Myo85]). In particular, it shows that the second Chern classes are pseudo-effective for projective terminal minimal threefolds. For Mori fiber spaces, in general, the similar inequality and the pseudo-effectivity of the second Chern classes cannot be obtained(cf. Example 2.6). But, if we restrict our attention to projective terminal threefolds whose anticanonical divisors are nef, then the pseudo-effectivity of the second Chern classes maybe holds.

The following Main Theorem in this paper, to some extent, gives an answer to the above problem.

Theorem 1.1. (Main Theorem) *Let X be a smooth projective threefold with $-K_X$ nef. Assume that (AD_{III}) holds. Then the second Chern class $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Note that the Main Theorem holds only when the following assumption (AD_{III}) is true. As a special case, we prove that (AD_{III}) holds if $\rho(X) \leq 3$.

* 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 14C17; Secondary 14E30, 14J30.

Assumption 1.2. (AD_{III}) Let X be a smooth projective threefold with $-K_X$ nef, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ an extremal contraction with the extremal ray $R = \mathbb{R}_+[l]$, which contracts a divisor E to a curve C such that either

- (A) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{N}_{C|Y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$, or
- (B) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{N}_{C|Y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$.

Then there exists a positive integer $n(l)$ depending only on l such that $c_2(X) + n(l)l$ is pseudo-effective.

On the other hand, Yujiro Kawamata has put forward the following Effective Non-vanishing Conjecture:

Conjecture 1.3. Let X be a complete normal variety, B an effective \mathbb{R} -divisor on X such that the pair (X, B) is Kawamata log terminal (KLT, for short), and D a Cartier divisor on X . Assume that D is nef and that $D - (K_X + B)$ is nef and big. Then $H^0(X, D) \neq 0$.

Kawamata has proven that the Effective Non-vanishing Conjecture holds for all log surfaces with only KLT singularities. As an application, we prove that the pseudo-effectivity of the second Chern classes implies that the Effective Non-vanishing Conjecture holds for projective terminal threefolds whose anticanonical divisors are nef.

We work over the field of complex numbers.

Acknowledgment I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Yujiro Kawamata for his valuable advice and warm encouragement. I also thank Professor Keiji Oguiso and Dr. Yasunari Nagai for stimulating discussions on this paper.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let X be a proper variety. A 1-cycle is a formal linear combination of irreducible, reduced and proper curves $C = \sum a_i C_i$. A 1-cycle is said to be effective if $a_i \geq 0$ for every i . Two 1-cycle C, C' are said to be numerically equivalent if $C \cdot D = C' \cdot D$ for any Cartier divisor D . It is denoted by $N_1(X)$ the \mathbb{R} -vector space generated by all 1-cycles with real coefficients modulo numerical equivalence. The class of a 1-cycle C is denoted by $[C]$. Let $NE(X)$ be a convex subcone of $N_1(X)$ generated by all effective 1-cycles, and $\overline{NE}(X)$ the closure of $NE(X)$ in $N_1(X)$.

A 1-cycle C is said to be pseudo-effective, if the class $[C]$ is contained in $\overline{NE}(X)$. If X is projective, then 1-cycle C is pseudo-effective if and only if $C \cdot H \geq 0$ for any ample Cartier divisor H on X by Kleiman's Ampleness Criterion (cf. [Kl66]).

A \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor D is said to be nef, if $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for any irreducible curve C . For any nef \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor D on X , the numerical dimension $\nu(D)$ is defined to be the greatest positive integer ν such that $D^\nu \neq 0$.

X is called a terminal variety, if X has only terminal singularities.

In the whole paper, we will use freely the definitions and results on the Minimal Model Theory. We refer to [KMM87] and [KM98] for the details.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a projective terminal threefold with $-K_X$ nef. Then*

- (i) *If $\nu(-K_X) = 0$, then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective(cf. [Myo85]);*
- (ii) *If $\nu(-K_X) = 1$, then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective(cf. [Ma]);*
- (iii) *If $\nu(-K_X) = 3$, then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective(cf. [KMMT00]);*
- (iv) *$c_1(X).c_2(X) \geq 0$, hence $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 0$ (cf. [KMMT00], [Ma]).*

Proof. In the above papers, the pseudo-effectivity of $c_2(X)$ has not been explicitly mentioned, but it is easy to derive these conclusions. \square

Theorem 2.2 enables us to put forward the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.3. *Let X be a projective terminal threefold with $-K_X$ nef. Then the second Chern class $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

As a partial answer, we will prove Theorem 1.1 that the above conjecture holds in the smooth case under a weak assumption.

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that we only need to verify the case when $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ for proving Conjecture 2.3. First, we divide this case into more explicit subcases.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a projective terminal threefold such that $-K_X$ is nef and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Then either*

- (1) *the irregularity $q(X) = 1$, and there is an Albanese morphism $\alpha : X \rightarrow Alb(X)$ to an elliptic curve, or*
- (2) *the irregularity $q(X) = 0$.*

Proof. Let H be an ample Cartier divisor on X . We consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X - H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(mK_X|_H) \rightarrow 0$$

where m is any integer.

Since $-(mK_X - H) = -mK_X + H$ is ample for $m \geq 0$, $-mK_X|_H$ is nef and big for $m \geq 1$, it follows from the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem that $H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(mK_X - H)) = 0$ for $i < 3$ and $m \geq 0$, and $H^i(\mathcal{O}_H(mK_X|_H)) = 0$ for $i < 2$ and $m \geq 1$. Therefore it follows from the above exact sequence that $H^0(mK_X) = H^1(mK_X) = 0$ for $m \geq 1$, namely, $h^i(-mK_X) = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$ and $m \geq 0$.

By Theorem 2.2(iv), we have that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \sum (-1)^i h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - q(X) \geq 0$, where $q(X) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the irregularity of X . If $q(X) = 1$, then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, which implies that X is Gorenstein(cf. [Ma]), and there is an Albanese morphism $\alpha : X \rightarrow Alb(X)$ to an elliptic curve. Otherwise $q(X) = 0$, which completes the proof. \square

In §3 and §4, we will prove the Main Theorem when the irregularity $q(X) = 1, 0$, respectively. Before proving, we should mention the following application and examples.

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a terminal projective threefold with $-K_X$ nef, D a Cartier divisor on X . Assume that D is nef and $D - K_X$ is big. Then the pseudo-effectivity of $c_2(X)$ implies that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem (cf. [KMM87]), we have $H^i(X, D) = 0$ for any positive integer i . Thus the condition $H^0(X, D) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\chi(X, D) \neq 0$.

If $\nu(D) < 3$, then we may reduce this case to the log surface case which has been proven by Kawamata. Assume that D is nef and big. It follows from the Riemann-Roch Theorem and the pseudo-effectivity of $c_2(X)$ that

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \frac{1}{12}D(D - K_X)(2D - K_X) + \frac{1}{12}D.c_2(X) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0.$$

□

Example 2.6. Let S be a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth curve with $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$, C a smooth rational curve. Let $X = S \times C$, $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : X \rightarrow C$ the projections on each factor. Let S_0 (resp. C_0) be a section of p (resp. q). Then $\mathcal{T}_X \cong p^*\mathcal{T}_S \oplus q^*\mathcal{T}_C$. It is easy to show that $K_X.C_0 < 0$, and $c_2(X) = -4C_0 - 2(p^*K_S).S_0$. Note that S_0 is basepoint free, hence nef, but $c_2(X).S_0 = -4 < 0$. It shows that $c_2(X)$ is not pseudo-effective. Note that X is a Mori fiber space. If we blow up several points to get a threefold Y , then $c_2(Y)$ is also not pseudo-effective.

On the other hand, in Remark 3.4, we do have an example such that $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective when $-K_X$ is nef and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$.

3 Proof of the case $q(X) = 1$

Definition 3.1. Let X be a variety, $\pi : X \rightarrow A$ a surjective morphism to a curve A . A curve $C \subset X$ is said to be an étale multi-section of π , if $\pi|_C : C \rightarrow A$ is a finite étale cover.

In fact, in the case $q(X) = 1$, the structure of X is determined by the following theorem (cf. [PS98], Corollary 3.4).

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold such that $-K_X$ is nef, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and the irregularity $q(X) = 1$. Let $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X) = A$ be the Albanese morphism to a smooth elliptic curve A . Then there exists a sequence of blow-ups $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$, $0 \leq i \leq s$, with $X_0 = X$ and inducing morphisms $\alpha_i : X_i \rightarrow A$, such that*

(a) *all X_i are smooth with $-K_{X_i}$ nef, and φ_i is the blow-up of a smooth curve C_i , which is an étale multi-section of $\alpha_{i+1} : X_{i+1} \rightarrow A$.*

- (b) the induced morphism $\alpha_{s+1} : X_{s+1} \rightarrow A$ is one of the following cases:
 (I) a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle;
 (II) a $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle;
 (III) α_{s+1} factors as $h \circ g$ with $g : X_{s+1} \rightarrow Y$ a conic bundle and $h : Y \rightarrow A$ a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle.

The keypoint of the proof of the pseudo-effectivity of $c_2(X)$ is a direct verification for X_{s+1} and using induction on i for the general case. Next, we will give some lemmas, which are the verifications of the cases b-I, b-II and b-III, respectively.

Lemma 3.3. (Case b-I) *Let C be a smooth elliptic curve, \mathcal{E} a locally free sheaf of rank 3 on C . Assume that the \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ is a smooth threefold with $-K_X$ nef. Then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. Let L be the divisor corresponding to the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, F the fiber of $\pi : X \rightarrow C$, and $r = \deg \mathcal{E} = \deg c_1(\mathcal{E})$.

We have the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/C} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0 & \quad (1) \\ 0 \rightarrow f^* \Omega_C \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow \Omega_{X/C} \rightarrow 0 & \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\Omega_X) &= f^* c_1(\Omega_C) + c_1(\Omega_{X/C}) = c_1(\Omega_{X/C}) \\ c_2(\Omega_X) &= f^* c_1(\Omega_C) \cdot c_1(\Omega_{X/C}) + c_2(\Omega_{X/C}) = c_2(\Omega_{X/C}) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\Omega_{X/C}) &= c_1(\pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = rF - 3L \\ c_2(\Omega_{X/C}) &= c_2(\pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = -2rF \cdot L + 3L^2 = \frac{1}{3} c_1^2(\Omega_{X/C}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $c_2(X) = (-K_X)^2/3$ is pseudo-effective since $-K_X$ is nef. \square

Remark 3.4. At first, such subcase does exist. For example, let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 3}$, $X \cong C \times \mathbb{P}^2$. It is easy to show that $-K_X$ is nef and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Secondly, given multi-polarization (H_1, H_2) with H_1, H_2 ample divisors on X . Then in Lemma 3.3, the tangent bundle \mathcal{T}_X is always unstable. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}_{X/C}$ is just the subsheaf of \mathcal{T}_X satisfying $\mu_{(H_1, H_2)}(\mathcal{T}_{X/C}) > \mu_{(H_1, H_2)}(\mathcal{T}_X)$, where $\mu_{(H_1, H_2)}(\mathcal{T}_X)$ is the slope of \mathcal{T}_X with respect to (H_1, H_2) . Even we assume that $H_1 = -K_X$, the same conclusion also holds since $\nu(-K_X) = 2$.

Lemma 3.5. (Case b-II) *Let C be a smooth elliptic curve, $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ a $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. Assume that $-K_X$ is nef. Then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. By the definition of π , there exists a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank 4, such that $Y = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ is a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle. $X \subset Y$ is a divisor on Y such that $X_p \subset Y_p \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ over each point $p \in C$. Let L be the divisor corresponding to the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$, F the fiber of $\pi : Y \rightarrow C$, and $r = \deg \mathcal{E} = \deg c_1(\mathcal{E})$. Then $X \sim 2L$.

We have similar exact sequences to (1) and (2) for Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\Omega_Y) &= c_1(\Omega_{Y/C}) = rF - 4L \\ c_2(\Omega_Y) &= c_2(\Omega_{Y/C}) = -3rF.L + 6L^2 \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X|Y}^* \rightarrow \Omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

There are some simple computations from (3):

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\Omega_X) &= K_X = (K_Y + X)|_X = (rF - 2L)|_X \\ c_2(\Omega_X) &= c_2(\Omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) - c_1(\mathcal{N}_{X|Y}^*).c_1(\Omega_X) \\ &= c_2(\Omega_Y)|_X + X|_X.c_1(\Omega_X) \\ &= (-rF.L + 2L^2)|_X \\ &= (-K_X).L|_X \end{aligned}$$

Hence $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective since $-K_X$ is nef. \square

Lemma 3.6. (Case b-III) *Let C be a smooth elliptic curve, S a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over C with $-K_S$ nef. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a conic bundle, X a smooth threefold with $-K_X$ nef. Then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. The condition $-K_S$ being nef follows from [PS98], Proposition 1.7. Let $\Delta \subset S$ be the discriminant locus of f . Then we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow f^*\Omega_S \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_{X/S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Gamma \rightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

where $K_{X/S} = K_X - f^*K_S$ is the relative canonical divisor, Γ is a locally complete closed subscheme of X of pure dimension 1 with $f(\Gamma) = \Delta$. The restriction $f|_{\Gamma \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta_{\text{sing}})} : \Gamma \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta_{\text{sing}}) \rightarrow \Delta_{\text{reg}}$ is an isomorphism and $\Gamma \cap X_s = (X_s)_{\text{red}}$ for all $s \in \Delta_{\text{sing}}$.

It is easy to see that $c_2(\Omega_S) = K_S^2 = 0$. It follows from (4) and Lemma 3.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(\Omega_X) &= f^*c_2(\Omega_S) + f^*c_1(\Omega_S).K_{X/S} - c_2(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) \\ &= f^*(-K_S).(-K_X) + \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

Thus $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold, Γ a locally complete closed subscheme of X of pure dimension 1. Then $c_2(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) = -\Gamma$.*

Proof. Both sides are clearly additive over subschemes with disjoint supports. If γ is a smooth curve in X , then there exist smooth hypersurface sections H_1, H_2 such that $\gamma \subset \text{Supp}(H_1 \cap H_2)$, every irreducible component of $H_1 \cap H_2$ is smooth in X and H_1, H_2 meet transversally. Let $Y = H_1 \cap H_2$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-H_1 - H_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-H_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-H_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

we can calculate that $c_2(\mathcal{I}_Y) = H_1.H_2$, hence $c_2(\mathcal{O}_Y) = -H_1.H_2$. Since c_2 is invariant in an algebraic family, all irreducible curves in Y are algebraically equivalent on H_1 , therefore $c_2(\mathcal{O}_\gamma) = -\gamma$.

In the general case, since Γ is a local complete intersection, there exists a sufficiently ample divisor H , such that $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(H)$ is generated by global sections and there exist $H_1, H_2 \in |H|$ whose local equations generate the ideal of Γ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,\gamma}$ for each irreducible curve $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and all other intersection are transversal. Let Y be the scheme theoretic intersection of H_1 and H_2 . Then from the exact sequence (5), we have $c_2(\mathcal{O}_Y) = -H_1.H_2$ as before, and each irreducible curve l of $\text{Supp}(Y) \setminus \text{Supp}(\Gamma)$ contribute $-l$. Thus $c_2(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) = -\Gamma$ in the general case. \square

Next, let us recall some definitions and notation in the Intersection Theory, which are needed here.

Definition 3.8. Let X be a variety, $A^r(X)$ the group of cycles of codimension r on X modulo rational equivalence for each r . We denote by $A(X)$ the graded group $\bigoplus_{r=0}^n A^r(X)$, where $n = \dim X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a morphism of varieties, Y a subvariety of X . If $\dim f(Y) < \dim Y$, we define $f_*(Y) = 0$. If $\dim f(Y) = \dim Y$, we define $f_*(Y) = [K(Y) : K(f(Y))] \cdot f(Y)$. If f is proper, then $f_* : A(X) \rightarrow A(X')$ is a homomorphism of graded groups, which shifts degrees.

An intersection theory on a given class of varieties \mathcal{B} endows $A(X)$ a ring structure, by giving a pairing $A^r(X) \times A^s(X) \rightarrow A^{r+s}(X)$ for each r, s , and for each $X \in \mathcal{B}$, satisfying several axioms. If $Y \in A^r(X), Z \in A^s(X)$, we denote the intersection cycle class by $Y.Z$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a morphism of varieties, Y' a subvariety of X' . We define a ring homomorphism $f^* : A(X') \rightarrow A(X)$ as follows.

$$f^*(Y') = p_1(\Gamma_f \cdot p_2^{-1}(Y')),$$

where p_1 and p_2 are the projections of $X \times X'$ to X and X' , and Γ_f is the graph of f , considered as a cycle on $X \times X'$.

For the details of the Intersection Theory, we refer to [Fu84].

Proposition 3.9. *Let Y be a smooth projective threefold. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a blow-up along a nonsingular subvariety $Z \subset Y$, E the exceptional divisor of f on X , and F the fiber or a line of the projective space bundle $f|_E : E \rightarrow Z$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *If $Z = p$ is a point, then $c_2(X) = f^*c_2(Y)$;*
- (2) *If $Z = C$ is a curve, then $c_2(X) = f^*c_2(Y) - E^2 - f^*c_1(C)$, $f^*C = -E^2 + c_1(\mathcal{N}_{C|Y})F$.*

Proof. It follows from [Fu84] and [Myn83]. Note that $f^*c_2(Y)$ and f^*C in (1) and (2) are defined as the pullback of cycles, not the total transform in the birational geometry. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let Y be a smooth projective threefold, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a blow-up along a smooth curve C in Y such that $-K_X$ is nef. Let H be a nef divisor on X . Then f_*H is nef possibly except the following cases:*

- (A) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{N}_{C|Y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$;
- (B) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{N}_{C|Y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$;
- (C) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{N}_{C|Y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$.

Proof. Let E be the exceptional divisor of f . Then $K_X = f^*K_Y + E$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{C|Y}$ be the normal bundle of C in Y , $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(C)$ its normalization, i.e. $H^0(\mathcal{V}) \neq 0$, $H^0(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{G}) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Pic}(C)$ with $\deg \mathcal{G} < 0$. Then $E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}^*) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$, and the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})}(1)$ has a section C_1 such that $C_1^2 = -e = c_1(\mathcal{V})$. Let $\mu = \deg \mathcal{L}$, F the fiber of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $f|_E : E \rightarrow C$.

Let $-K_X|_E \equiv aC_1 + bF$, since $K_X.F = -1$, we have $a = 1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}_{E|X} = E|_E \equiv -C_1 + \mu F$ from $\mathcal{O}_E(E|_E) \cong \mathcal{O}_E(-1)$ and the definition of μ . Let g be the genus of C , we have $K_E^2 = 8(1-g)$. On the other hand, by the adjunction formula, $K_E^2 = ((K_X + E)|_E)^2 = (-2C_1 + (\mu - b)F)^2$. Hence

$$e + \mu - b = 2(g - 1) \tag{6}$$

Since $-K_X|_E$ is nef on E , $-K_X|_E.C_1 \geq 0$ implies that

$$b \geq e \tag{7}$$

and $(-K_X|_E)^2 \geq 0$ implies that

$$b \geq \frac{1}{2}e \tag{8}$$

Let C' be an irreducible curve in Y . If $C' \neq C$, then $f_*H.C' = H.f^*C' \geq H.f_*^{-1}C' \geq 0$ by the projection formula, where $f_*^{-1}C'$ is the strict transform of C' . Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} f_*H.C &= H.f^*C = H.(-E^2 + c_1(\mathcal{N}_{C|Y})F) \\ &= H.(C_1 - \mu F + (e + 2\mu)F) \\ &= H.(C_1 + (b + 2(g - 1))F) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Note that if \mathcal{V} is decomposable then $e \geq 0$, otherwise $e \geq -2g$. Anyway, we have that $b \geq e/2 \geq -g$, hence $b + 2(g - 1) \geq g - 2$.

(1) If $g \geq 2$, then $f_*H.C \geq 0$;

(2) If $g = 1$, then $e \geq -1$, hence $b \geq e/2 \geq -1/2$, i.e. $b \geq 0$. $f_*H.C = H.(C_1 + bF) \geq 0$;

(3) If $g = 0$ and $b \geq 2$, then $f_*H.C = H.(C_1 + (b - 2)F) \geq 0$. Since C is rational, we may assume that $0 \leq e \leq b \leq 1$, and obtain the exceptional cases (A), (B) and (C). \square

Proposition 3.11. *With the notation as above. Assume that both $-K_X$ and $-K_Y$ are nef but not big. Then $c_2(X) = f^*c_2(Y) + C_1$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(X) &= f^*c_2(Y) - E^2 - f^*c_1(C) \\ &= f^*c_2(Y) + (C_1 - \mu F) + (2g - 2)F \\ &= f^*c_2(Y) + C_1 + (e - b)F \end{aligned}$$

The formula $(-K_X)^3 = (-K_Y)^3 - 2((-K_Y).C - g(C) + 1)$ implies that $(-K_Y).C = g - 1 \geq 0$, since both $-K_X$ and $-K_Y$ are nef but not big. On the other hand, $(-K_Y).C = (-f^*K_Y).C_1 = (-K_X + E).C_1 = b + \mu$. It follows from (6) and (8) that $2b - e = 1 - g \geq 0$. Therefore $g = 1$, $2b = e \geq -1$, hence $b \geq 0, e \geq 0$. It follows from (7) that $b = e = 0$, which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold such that $-K_X$ is nef, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and the irregularity $q(X) = 1$. Then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. It is necessary to verify the case (a) in Theorem 3.2. Let $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ be a blow-up along an elliptic curve C_i . Then both $-K_{X_i}$ and $-K_{X_{i+1}}$ are nef but not big. Otherwise, $q(X_i) = q(X_{i+1}) = 0$ by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, a contradiction. It follows from Proposition 3.11 that $c_2(X_i) = \varphi_i^*c_2(X_{i+1}) + C_i^1$, where C_i^1 is the canonical section of C_i for φ_i . Let H be any nef divisor on X_i , then $(\varphi_i)_*H$ is nef by Proposition 3.10. Assume that $c_2(X_{i+1})$ is pseudo-effective, then $c_2(X_i).H = c_2(X_{i+1}).(\varphi_i)_*H + C_i^1.H \geq 0$, namely $c_2(X_i)$ is pseudo-effective. The induction on i completes the proof. \square

4 Proof of the case $q(X) = 0$

The case $q(X) = 0$ is more complicated than the case $q(X) = 1$, because, at least, we cannot give an explicit classification for such X . But we may take and investigate an extremal contraction from X . Next, we will make use of the general theory of extremal contraction from smooth projective threefolds given by Shigefumi Mori(cf. [Mo82]).

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold such that $-K_X$ is nef, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and the irregularity $q(X) = 0$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an extremal contraction. Then (Y, f) is one of the following cases:*

(F_I) *f is a del Pezzo fibration, and $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$;*

(F_{II}) *f is a conic bundle with the discriminant locus Δ (possibly empty), such that $-(4K_Y + \Delta)$ is nef, and $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^2$;*

(F_{III}) *f is a conic bundle with the discriminant locus Δ (possibly empty), such that $-(4K_Y + \Delta)$ is nef, and there is a morphism $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n$, which is the composite of a sequence of one point blow-ups over the Hirzebruch surfaces \mathbb{F}_n ;*

(D_I) *f is a birational morphism which contracts an irreducible divisor E to a point $p \in Y$, and Y is a terminal projective threefold with $-K_Y$ nef and big;*

(D_{II}) *f is a birational morphism which contracts an irreducible divisor E to a smooth curve $C \subset Y$, and Y is a smooth projective threefold with $-K_Y$ nef;*

(D_{III}) *f is a birational morphism which contracts an irreducible divisor E to a smooth curve $C \subset Y$, and Y is a smooth projective threefold, such that $-K_Y$ is nef except along C . Furthermore, C is one of the following two cases:*

(A) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{N}_{C|Y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$;

(B) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{N}_{C|Y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$.

Proof. It follows from the definition and Proposition 2.4 that $h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for any integer $i > 0$. Since f is extremal, $R^j f_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$ for any integer $j > 0$ by the relative Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. The standard argument by making use of the Leray spectral sequence deduces that $h^i(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ for any integer $i > 0$.

(0) It is easy to see that $\dim Y > 0$, since X cannot be a Fano threefold.

(1) If $\dim Y = 1$, then f is a del Pezzo fibration, and Y is a smooth curve. $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ implies that $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

(2) If $\dim Y = 2$, then f is a conic bundle with discriminant locus Δ (possibly empty), and Y is a smooth surface.

First, we prove that $\kappa(Y) = -\infty$.

If $\Delta \neq \emptyset$, then we have $-(4K_Y + \Delta)$ is nef (cf. [DPS93]). We claim that $\kappa(Y) = -\infty$. Otherwise, there exists an ample divisor H on Y , such that $K_Y.H \geq 0$. But $-(4K_Y + \Delta).H \geq 0$ implies that $K_Y.H \leq -(1/4)\Delta.H < 0$. This is absurd.

If $\Delta = \emptyset$, then we have $-K_Y$ is nef (cf. [DPS93]). We may contract all (-1) -curves to get a birational morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$. If $\kappa(Y) \geq 0$, then K_Z is nef by definition. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $-K_Z$ is nef. Hence $K_Z \equiv 0$, and $Y \cong Z$ since $K_Y^2 = K_Z^2 = 0$. It follows from $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ that $q(Y) = h^0(K_Y) = 0$, hence Y is an Enriques surface by the Classification Theory of surfaces. We prove that this case

cannot occur. Let $\pi : S \rightarrow Y$ be a degree 2 étale cover from a $K3$ surface S to Y , $h : W := X \times_Y S \rightarrow S$ the fiber product over Y . Note that the projection $\tau : W \rightarrow X$ is étale since π is étale. Thus $-K_W$ is nef and $\nu(-K_W) = 2$. It follows from the Proposition 2.6 and the Hodge symmetry that $h^0(W, \Omega_W^2) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_W) = 0$. On the other hand, S has a nowhere vanishing 2-form since S is $K3$, then W has also a nowhere vanishing 2-form by pullback of h . This is absurd.

Therefore $p_2(Y) = q(Y) = 0$ implies that Y is a rational surface by Castelnuovo's Rationality Criterion. Thus there is a morphism $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n$, which is the composite of a sequence of one point blow-ups over the Hirzebruch surfaces \mathbb{F}_n , or $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^2$.

(3) If $\dim Y = 3$, then f is a divisorial contraction.

(3.1) If $\dim f(E) = 0$, then we can write that $K_X = f^*K_Y + aE$, where a is a positive rational number. It is easy to verify that $-K_Y$ is nef. $K_X^3 = K_Y^3 + a^3E^3$ implies that $(-K_Y)^3 = a^3(-E|_E)^2 > 0$, hence $-K_Y$ is nef and big. The value of a and the explicit structure of $p = f(E) \in Y$ can be found in [Mo82].

(3.2) If $\dim f(E) = 1$, then f is just the blow-up of Y along a smooth curve $C = f(E)$. It follows from [DPS93] that $-K_Y$ is nef other than two exceptional cases (A) and (B) listed in (D_{III}). \square

Lemma 4.2. *In the case (F_I), let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a del Pezzo fibration. Then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. Since $\text{Pic}(X) = f^* \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $-K_X$ is f -ample, then for any ample divisor M on X , we have

$$M \equiv a(-K_X) + bX_\xi$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $X_\xi = f^{-1}(\xi)$ for a generic point $\xi \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ be the extremal rational ray with respect to f . Then $M.l = a(-K_X).l > 0$ implies that $a > 0$, and $M.(-K_X)^2 = bX_\xi.(-K_X)^2 = b(-K_{X_\xi})^2 > 0$ implies that $b > 0$, since $(-K_X).l > 0$ and X_ξ is a smooth del Pezzo surface.

It is sufficient to prove that $c_1(X).c_2(X) \geq 0$ and $X_\xi.c_2(X) \geq 0$ for proving the pseudo-effectivity of $c_2(X)$. It is obvious that $c_1(X).c_2(X) = 24\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 24 > 0$. Let $i : X_\xi \rightarrow X$ be the closed immersion. Since X_ξ is smooth, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_\xi} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X_\xi|X} \rightarrow 0 \quad (10)$$

It follows from (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} X_\xi.c_2(X) &= i^*c_2(X) = c_2(\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi}) \\ &= c_2(\mathcal{T}_{X_\xi}) + c_1(\mathcal{T}_{X_\xi}).c_1(\mathcal{N}_{X_\xi|X}) \\ &= c_2(\mathcal{T}_{X_\xi}) \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 4.3. *In the case (F_{II}), let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a conic bundle. Then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. Since $\text{Pic}(X) = f^* \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $-K_X$ is f -ample, then for any ample divisor M on X , we have

$$M \equiv a(-K_X) + bF$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $F = f^{-1}(H)$, where H is a line in \mathbb{P}^2 . Let $\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ be the extremal rational ray with respect to f . Then $(M.l) = a(-K_X.l) > 0$ implies that $a > 0$, and $M.(-K_X)^2 = bF.(-K_X)^2 = b(-K_X|_F)^2 > 0$ implies that $b > 0$, since $(-K_X.l) > 0$ and $-K_X|_F$ is nef on F .

It is sufficient to prove that $c_1(X).c_2(X) \geq 0$ and $F.c_2(X) \geq 0$ for proving the pseudo-effectivity of $c_2(X)$. It is obvious that $c_1(X).c_2(X) = 24\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 24 > 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that the following equality holds for any conic bundle $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

$$c_2(X) = f^*(c_2(Y) - c_1^2(Y)) + f^*(-K_Y).(-K_X) + \Gamma \quad (11)$$

In this case, $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$, hence $c_2(X) = -6l + 3F.(-K_X) + \Gamma$. Since H is very ample, we may assume that H intersects Δ transversally. Then $F.\Gamma = H.\Delta$, and $F.c_2(X) = 3l.(-K_X) + F.\Gamma = 6 + H.\Delta > 0$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *In the case (F_{III}), let $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n$ be the composite of a sequence of blow-ups of \mathbb{F}_n along s points. Let $\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ be the extremal rational ray with respect to f . Then $c_2(X) + 4l$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. It follows from (11) that $c_2(X) = (2s - 4)l + f^*(-K_Y).(-K_X) + \Gamma$. Hence

$$c_2(X) + 4l = 2sl + f^*(-(K_Y + \frac{1}{4}\Delta)).(-K_X) + \frac{1}{4}f^*\Delta.(-K_X) + \Gamma$$

is pseudo-effective, since both $-(4K_Y + \Delta)$ and $-K_X$ are nef. \square

In general, when X is a possibly singular quasi-projective variety, we can define the Chow ring $A(X)$ with its cap product, and for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X with finite locally free resolution, we can define Chern classes $c_k(\mathcal{F}) \in A^k(X)$ (cf. [Fu84]).

Definition 4.5. Let X is a terminal projective threefold, S the singular locus of X consisting of a finite number of points. Then $U = X \setminus S$ is a smooth quasi-projective threefold. We can give a alternative definition of $c_1(X), c_2(X)$ instead of using the general theory.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(X) &:= c_1(\mathcal{T}_X|_U) \in A^1(X \setminus S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} A^1(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ c_2(X) &:= c_2(\mathcal{T}_X|_U) \in A^2(X \setminus S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} A^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.6. *With the notation as above. Let $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of X , such that φ^{-1} is an isomorphism over U . Then $\varphi_*c_2(X') = c_2(X)$.*

Proof. Let E be the exceptional locus of φ . Then there is an isomorphism $\varphi : V = X' \setminus E \rightarrow U = X \setminus S$. Thus $c_2(X) = c_2(\mathcal{T}_X|_U) = \varphi_*c_2(\mathcal{T}_{X'}|_V)$. Since $c_2(\mathcal{T}_{X'}|_V)$ and $c_2(\mathcal{T}_{X'})$ differ from a 1-cycle whose support is contained in $\text{Supp}E$ and $\dim \varphi(E) = 0$, we have $\varphi_*c_2(X') = c_2(X)$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *In the case (D_I). Then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. In fact, Y is a projective threefold with at most one terminal singular point. Let r be the Gorenstein index of Y . Then $r = 1$ or 2 (cf. [Mo82]). Since $-K_Y$ is nef and big, hence $c_2(Y)$ is pseudo-effective by Theorem 2.2(iii). It follows from Lemma 4.6 that $f_*c_2(X) = c_2(Y)$. Let H be any nef divisor on X . We may write that

$$\begin{aligned} K_X &= f^*K_Y + aE, \quad \text{where } a \text{ is positive rational,} \\ H &= f^*f_*H + bE, \quad \text{where } b = H.E^2/E^3 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(X).H &= c_2(X).f^*f_*H + bc_2(X).E \\ &= c_2(Y).f_*H + bc_2(X).E \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) &= \frac{1}{24}c_1(X).c_2(X) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}(-f^*K_Y - aE).c_2(X) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}c_1(Y).c_2(Y) - \frac{a}{24}c_2(X).E \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) &= \frac{1}{24}c_1(Y).c_2(Y) + \frac{1}{24}(r - \frac{1}{r}) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ that $c_2(X).E = -(r - 1/r)/a \leq 0$. It is easy to see that f_*H is nef on Y , then $c_2(Y).f_*H \geq 0$. Thus we have $c_2(X).H \geq 0$, which implies that $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective. \square

Lemma 4.8. *In the case (D_{II}), let $\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ be the extremal rational ray with respect to f . Furthermore, assume that $-K_Y$ is big. Then there exists a positive integer n , such that $c_2(X) + nl$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. We use the same notation as in Proposition 3.10. In general, we have

$$c_2(X) = f^*c_2(Y) + C_1 + (e - b)F$$

Note that $b - e$ is a non-negative integer and $c_2(Y)$ is pseudo-effective by assumption. If we exclude the exceptional case (C) in Proposition 3.10, then we obtain that f_*H is nef on Y . In this time, let $n = b - e$, then $c_2(X) + nl = f^*c_2(Y) + C_1$ is pseudo-effective by applying H on each side.

We deal with the exceptional case (C) by the following lemma. \square

Lemma 4.9. *In all exceptional cases of Proposition 3.10. Let $\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ be the extremal rational ray with respect to f . Furthermore, assume that $c_2(Y)$ is pseudo-effective. Then there exists a positive integer n , such that $c_2(X) + nl$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. Since $c_2(Y)$ is pseudo-effective, we may write that $c_2(Y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi_k$, where ξ_k are effective 1-cycles. Let a_k be the coefficient of C in ξ_k by writing $\xi_k = a_k C + R_k$. Then $\sup_k \{a_k\} < n$ for some suitable positive integer n . Given any nef divisor H on X , $f_* H$ is nef on Y possibly except along curve C . By the same calculation as in Proposition 3.10, we have that $f_* H.C = H.f^* C = H.(C_1 - rl)$, where $r = 1$ for the cases (A) and (C), $r = 2$ for the case (B). Let $s = 0$ for the cases (A) and (B), $s = 1$ for the case (C).

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(X) &= f^* c_2(Y) + C_1 - sl \\ (c_2(X) + 2nl).H &= f^* c_2(Y).H + (2n - s)l.H + C_1.H \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_k C + R_k).f_* H + (2n - s)l.H + C_1.H \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_k f^* C + (2n - s)l + f^* R_k).H + C_1.H \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

For the case (D_{III}), we only give an assumption denoted by (AD_{III}).

Assumption 4.10. (AD_{III}) *In the case (D_{III}), let $\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ be the extremal rational ray with respect to f . Then there exists a positive integer n for cases (A) and (B), such that $c_2(X) + nl$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proposition 4.11. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold, $\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ an extremal ray on X . Assume that $c_2(X) + nl$ is pseudo-effective for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can take a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, and the Mori cone decomposition $\overline{NE}(X) = \mathbb{R}_+[l] + \sum_i \mathbb{R}_+[l_i] + \overline{NE}_\epsilon(X)$ such that for any decomposition $c_2(X) = al + \sum_i b_i l_i + z$, where $b_i \geq 0$, $z \in \overline{NE}_\epsilon(X)$, we have $z.(-K_X) < 1$.*

Proof. Let H be a nef divisor on X , such that $H^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X) = \mathbb{R}_+[l]$. It follows from [Mo82] that $L = mH - K_X$ is ample for some integer $m \gg 0$. Fix m and such ample divisor L , and take a $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ appears in the formula of the Mori cone decomposition. Since $c_2(X) + nl$ is pseudo-effective, we have the following decomposition:

$$c_2(X) = al + \sum_i b_i l_i + z$$

where $b_i \geq 0$, $z \in \overline{NE}_\epsilon(X)$. Since H is nef and $l.H = 0$, we have $z.H \leq c_2(X).H$. It follows from the definition of $\overline{NE}_\epsilon(X)$ that

$$z.(-K_X) \leq \epsilon z.L = m\epsilon(z.H) + \epsilon z.(-K_X)$$

Hence we have

$$z.(-K_X) \leq \frac{m\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} z.H \leq \frac{m\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} c_2(X).H$$

If $z.(-K_X) \leq 0$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, $c_2(X).H > 0$ and we may take ϵ to be sufficiently small in advance so that $z.(-K_X) < 1$. \square

Theorem 4.12. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold such that $-K_X$ is nef, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and the irregularity $q(X) = 0$. Assume that (AD_{III}) holds. Then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. We use induction on the Picard number $\rho(X)$.

It is easy to see that $\rho(X) > 1$. If $\rho(X) = 2$, then only the cases (F_I), (F_{II}), (D_I) and (D_{II}) can occur. In the cases (F_I), (F_{II}), (D_I), $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective. In the case (D_{II}), $-K_Y$ is big since $\rho(Y) = 1$. It follows from Lemma 4.8 that $c_2(X) + nl$ is pseudo-effective for some positive integer n .

As in Proposition 4.11, we may take a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, and the Mori cone decomposition

$$\overline{NE}(X) = \mathbb{R}_+[l] + \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_+[l_i] + \overline{NE}_\epsilon(X)$$

where the set of extremal rays $\{l_i\}_{i \in I}$ is fixed. If for some $\mathbb{R}_+[l_i]$, the corresponding extremal contraction is of type (F_I), (F_{II}), (D_I), then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective. Otherwise all of $\mathbb{R}_+[l_i]$ are of type (D_{II}). Thus there is a positive integer $n(l_i)$ depending only on l_i such that $c_2(X) + n(l_i)l_i$ is pseudo-effective. Let N be an integer such that $\max_{i \in I} \{n(l_i)\} < N$.

Consider the decomposition of $c_2(X)$

$$c_2(X) = al + \sum_{i \in I} b_i l_i + z \tag{12}$$

where $a \geq -n$, $b_i \geq 0$ and $z \in \overline{NE}_\epsilon(X)$. If $a \geq 0$, then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective. Otherwise, assume that $0 > a \geq -n$.

Applying $(-K_X)$ to each side of (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 24 &= c_1(X).c_2(X) = al.(-K_X) + \sum_{i \in I} b_i l_i.(-K_X) + z.(-K_X) \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{i \in I} b_i + 1 \end{aligned}$$

hence $\sum_{i \in I} b_i > 5$, since $l_i.(-K_X) \leq 4$ and $z.(-K_X) < 1$.

Consider the following pseudo-effective 1-cycle

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in I} b_i (c_2(X) + n(l_i)l_i) \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{i \in I} b_i \right) c_2(X) + N \left(\sum_{i \in I} b_i l_i + z \right) \\
= & \left(\sum_{i \in I} b_i + N \right) c_2(X) - Nal
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i \in I} b_i > 5$, we have that $c_2(X) + \theta(-a)l$ is pseudo-effective, where $\theta = N/(N+5) < 1$ is fixed. We may repeat the above argument to deduce that $c_2(X) + \theta^k nl$ is pseudo-effective for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $c_2(X) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (c_2(X) + \theta^k nl)$ is pseudo-effective.

Assume that when $\rho(X) = \rho \geq 2$, the conclusion holds. Let $\rho(X) = \rho + 1$. The cases (F_I), (F_{II}) cannot occur. In the case (F_{III}), $c_2(X) + 4l$ is pseudo-effective. In the case (D_I), $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective. In the case (D_{II}), if $-K_Y$ is big, then $c_2(X) + nl$ is pseudo-effective. Otherwise $-K_Y$ is nef but not big, then $c_2(Y)$ is pseudo-effective by the induction hypothesis or Theorem 2.2. It follows from Propositions 3.10 and 3.11 that $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective. In the case (D_{III}), we have that $c_2(X) + nl$ is pseudo-effective by the assumption (AD_{III}). By the same argument as above, we can prove that $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective. \square

Finally, let us make some discussions on (AD_{III}). In fact, (AD_{III}) is natural for the aim of proving the pseudo-effectivity of $c_2(X)$. But it is not a trivial result, and we cannot prove it completely without new methods. As a simple case, we will give a proof of (AD_{III}) when $\rho(X) = 3$.

Proposition 4.13. *In the case (D_{III}), assume that $\rho(X) = 3$. Then (AD_{III}) holds.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $c_2(Y)$ is pseudo-effective by Lemma 4.9. Since $\kappa(Y) = \kappa(X) = -\infty$, we can take an extremal contraction from Y . Note that $\rho(Y) = 2$, there are only four cases for such extremal contraction $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ with respect to an extremal ray $\mathbb{R}_+[l_0]$.

- (1) $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a del Pezzo fibration.
- (2) $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a conic bundle.
- (3) Z is a terminal projective threefold with $-K_Z$ nef and big, and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a birational morphism which contracts a divisor to a point.
- (4) Z is a smooth projective threefold with $-K_Z$ nef and big, and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a birational morphism which contracts a divisor to a smooth curve.

In the case (1), the proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.2. Note that $M \cdot (-K_Y)^2 = (-K_Y|_M)^2 \geq 0$ since $-K_Y$ is nef except along C and M is

ample, and $(-K_Y)^3 \leq 0$ by some direct computations. Hence we also have $b \geq 0$.

In the case (2), the proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.3. Note that $F.(-K_Y)^2 = (-K_Y|_F)^2 \geq 0$.

In the case (3), the proof is the same as that of Lemma 4.7.

In the case (4), we only have that $c_2(Y) + n_0l_0$ is pseudo-effective for some positive integer n_0 by Lemma 4.8. Then by the same argument as in Theorem 4.12, we can prove that $c_2(Y)$ is pseudo-effective. \square

Corollary 4.14. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold with $-K_X$ nef. If $\rho(X) \leq 3$, then $c_2(X)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 4.13. \square

References

- [DPS93] J.-P. Demailly, T. Peternell and M. Schneider, Kähler manifold with numerically effective Ricci class, *Compositio Mathematica*, **89**(1993), 217-240.
- [Fu84] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, EMG; 3. Folge, Band 2, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [Ka00] Y. Kawamata, On effective non-vanishing and base-point-freeness, *Asian J. Math.*, **4**(2000), 173-182.
- [KMM87] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* 10, Alg. Geom. Sendai 1985 (T. Oda, ed.), Kinokuniya, Tokyo(1987), 283-360.
- [Kl66] S. L. Kleiman, Toward a numerical theory of ampleness, *Ann. of Math.*, **84**(1966), 293-344.
- [KMMT00] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori and H. Takagi, Boundedness of canonical \mathbb{Q} -Fano 3-folds, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **76**(2000), 73-77.
- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math, vol. 134(1998).
- [Ma] K. Matsuki, A correction to the paper “log abundance theorem for threefolds”, preprint, math.AG/0302360.
- [Myn83] M. Miyanishi, Algebraic methods in the theory of algebraic threefolds, *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.* 1, 1983 Algebraic Varieties and Analytic Varieties, 69-99.

- [Myo85] Y. Miyaoka, The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety, *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.* 10, 1987 Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985, 449-476.
- [Mo82] S. Mori, Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, *Ann. of Math.*, **116**(1982), 133-176.
- [PS98] T. Peternell and F. Serrano, Threefolds with nef anticanonical bundles, *Collect. Math.*, **49**(1998), 465-517.

QIHONG XIE xqh@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,
KOMABA, MEGURO, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN