

SECONDARY CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES OF SURFACE BUNDLES

SØREN GALATIUS

ABSTRACT. The Miller-Morita-Mumford classes associate to an oriented surface bundle $E \rightarrow B$ a class $\kappa_i(E) \in H^{2i}(B; \mathbb{Z})$. In this note we define for each prime p and each integer $i \geq 1$ a secondary characteristic class $\lambda_i(E) \in H^{2i(p-1)-2}(B; \mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z}\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$. The mod p reduction $\lambda_i(E) \in H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ has zero indeterminacy and satisfies $p\lambda_i(E) = \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E) \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Recall that any bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ of oriented surfaces with finite dimensional base B has an embedding $j : E \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^{N+2}$ over B . For N large, j is unique up to isotopy. A choice of embedding j induces a transfer map

$$B_+ \wedge S^{N+2} \xrightarrow{\pi_!} \text{Th}(\nu j)$$

The embedding $j : E \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^{N+2}$ also induces classifying maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\pi E & \xrightarrow{\text{cl}(T_\pi E)} & \text{SO}(N+2) \times_{\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2)} \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \longrightarrow & \text{SO}(N+2)/\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nu j & \xrightarrow{\text{cl}(\nu j)} & \text{SO}(N+2) \times_{\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2)} \mathbb{R}^N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \longrightarrow & \text{SO}(N+2)/\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2) \end{array}$$

For brevity, write $U = U_N = \text{SO}(N+2) \times_{\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2)} \mathbb{R}^2$ and $U^\perp = U_N^\perp = \text{SO}(N+2) \times_{\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2)} \mathbb{R}^N$. We get the composition

$$\alpha = \text{Th}(\text{cl}(\nu j)) \circ \pi_! : B_+ \wedge S^{N+2} \rightarrow \text{Th}(U_N^\perp)$$

Recall that there is a Thom class $\lambda_{U^\perp} \in H^N(\text{Th}(U^\perp), *; \mathbb{Z})$ and that we have $H^{N+*}(\text{Th}(U^\perp), *; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[e(U)] \cdot \lambda_{U^\perp}$ for $* < N$. The definition of the κ -classes is

$$\kappa_i E = \alpha^*(e(U)^{i+1} \cdot \lambda_{U^\perp}) = \pi_1^*(e(T_\pi E)^{i+1} \cdot \lambda_{\nu j}) \in H^{2i}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

In this paper we define secondary characteristic classes of surface bundles. The definition involves Toda brackets. In section 2 we recall some generalities about Toda brackets. By a surface bundle we shall mean a fibre bundle with closed oriented smooth two-dimensional fibres.

Lemma 1.1. *Let p be a prime, and let \mathcal{P}^i denote the Steenrod power operation. When $p = 2$, write $\mathcal{P}^i = \text{Sq}^{2i}$ and $\beta\mathcal{P}^i = \text{Sq}^{2i+1}$. Given a surface bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$, let $\alpha : B_+ \wedge S^{N+2} \rightarrow \text{Th}(U_N^\perp)$ be as before and let $\lambda : \text{Th}(U_N^\perp) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, N)$ be the Thom class. Then the Toda bracket*

$$\{\beta\mathcal{P}^i, \lambda, \alpha\} \subseteq H^{2i(p-1)-2+N}(B_+ \wedge S^{N+2}; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{2i(p-1)-2}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

is defined with indeterminacy $\mathbb{Z}\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$.

Definition 1.2. With notation as in Lemma 1.1 define

$$\lambda_i(E) = (-1)^i \{\beta\mathcal{P}^i, \lambda, \alpha\} \in H^{2i(p-1)-2}(B; \mathbb{Z}) / \mathbb{Z}\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$$

Theorem 1.3. *The mod p reduction $\lambda_i(E) \in H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ has zero indeterminacy and satisfies*

$$p\lambda_i(E) = \kappa_{i(p-1)-1} \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$$

More generally we have the following in integral cohomology

$$\kappa_{i(p-1)-1} \in p\lambda_i(E)$$

Theorem 1.4. (i) *If $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ and $\pi' : E' \rightarrow B$ are surface bundles, then*

$$\lambda_i(E \amalg E') = \lambda_i(E) + \lambda_i(E')$$

(ii) *If $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ is a surface bundles and $\pi' : E' \rightarrow B$ is obtained from E by fibrewise surgery, then*

$$\lambda_i E = \lambda_i E'$$

(iii) *If $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ and $\pi' : E' \rightarrow B$ are bundles of compact, non-closed surfaces with $\partial E = S^1 \times B = \partial E'$, then*

$$\lambda_i(E \cup_{S^1 \times B} E') = \lambda_i(E \cup_{S^1 \times B} (D^2 \times B)) + \lambda_i(E' \cup_{S^1 \times B} (D^2 \times B))$$

As an application of secondary classes we prove the following strengthening of a theorem of [GMT]:

Theorem 1.5. *Let p be a prime and $s \geq 1$. Then the reduction of $\kappa_{ps(p-1)-1}$ mod p^2 vanishes:*

$$\kappa_{ps(p-1)-1} = 0 \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$$

Theorem 1.5 proves part of the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.6. *Let $s \geq 1$ and $v \geq 0$. Then*

$$\kappa_{p^v s(p-1)-1} = 0 \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}/p^{v+1})$$

If the conjecture is true, then $\kappa_{p^{vs(p-1)-1}}$ can be divided by p^{v+1} . In [GMT] we prove that this holds modulo torsion. It is also proved in [GMT] that the statement of Conjecture 1.6 is best possible in the sense that if $s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $\kappa_{p^{vs(p-1)-1}} \neq 0 \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}/p^{v+2})$. I hope to return to Conjecture 1.6 at a later time.

2. SECONDARY COMPOSITION

We recall the definition of secondary compositions (Toda brackets). For further details see [Toda].

All spaces and maps are pointed. The reduced suspension SX is regarded as the pushout of $X \wedge [-1, 0] \longleftarrow X \longrightarrow X \wedge [0, 1]$ where $-1 \in [-1, 0]$ and $1 \in [0, 1]$ are the basepoints. Thus, two nullhomotopies $F : X \wedge [-1, 0] \rightarrow Y$ and $G : X \wedge [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ induce a map $G - F : SX \rightarrow Y$.

For a sequence of maps

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W$$

with $g \circ f \simeq 0$ and $h \circ g \simeq 0$, a choice of null-homotopies $F : g \circ f \simeq 0$ and $G : h \circ g \simeq 0$ determines a map

$$h \circ F - G \circ (f \wedge [-1, 0]) : SX \rightarrow W$$

We define the *secondary composition* to be the subset $\{h, g, f\} \subseteq [SX, W]$ of homotopy classes of maps obtained in this fashion, as F, G ranges over all null-homotopies.

Recall that $[SX, W] = [X, \Omega W]$ is a group.

Lemma 2.1. *$\{h, g, f\}$ depends only on the homotopy classes of h, g , and f . If $\{h, g, f\}$ is defined, then it gives a unique element in the double coset,*

$$\{h, g, f\} \in h \circ [SX, Z] \setminus [SX, W] / [SY, W] \circ Sf$$

If $[SX, W]$ is abelian, then

$$\{h, g, f\} \in [SX, W] / (h \circ [SX, Z] + [SY, W] \circ Sf)$$

Proof. See [Toda, Lemma 1.1]. □

Proposition 2.2. *For a sequence of maps*

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W \xrightarrow{k} V$$

we have

- (i) $\{k, h, g\} \circ f \subseteq \{k, h, g \circ f\}$
- (ii) $\{k, h, g \circ f\} \subseteq \{k, h \circ g, f\}$
- (iii) $\{k \circ h, g, f\} \subseteq \{k, h \circ g, f\}$
- (iv) $k \circ \{h, g, f\} \subseteq \{k \circ h, g, f\}$

Proof. See [Toda, Proposition 1.2]. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let*

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, n) \xrightarrow{p} K(\mathbb{Z}, n) \xrightarrow{\rho} K(\mathbb{F}_p, n) \xrightarrow{\beta} K(\mathbb{Z}, n+1)$$

represent multiplication by p , reduction mod p , and the mod p Bockstein, respectively. Then

$$\text{id} \in \{\beta, \rho, p\} \subseteq [SK(\mathbb{Z}, n), K(\mathbb{Z}, n+1)] = [K(\mathbb{Z}, n), K(\mathbb{Z}, n)]$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let $c : X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ represent a cohomology class. Let ρ and β be as in Proposition 2.3. Then*

$$\{\beta, \rho, c\} = \frac{1}{p}c + \mathbb{Z}c \subseteq H^n(X) = [SX, K(\mathbb{Z}, n+1)]$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p}c = \{c' | pc' = c\}$$

Proof. Clearly the two sides have the same indeterminacy $\mathbb{Z}c + \beta H^{n-1}(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, so all we need to check is that if $pc' = c$, then $c' \in \{\beta, \rho, c\}$. But this follows from Proposition 2.3:

$$\{\beta, \rho, p \circ c'\} \supseteq \{\beta, \rho, p\} \circ c' \ni c'$$

□

3. ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF THE SECONDARY CLASSES

Consider the oriented Grassmannian $\text{SO}(N+2)/\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2)$. Let $U = U_N = \text{SO}(N+2) \times_{\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2)} \mathbb{R}^2$ be the canonical oriented 2-dimensional vectorbundle and let $U^\perp = U_N^\perp = \text{SO}(N+2) \times_{\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2)} \mathbb{R}^N$ be its orthogonal complement.

Lemma 3.1 ([GMT]). *In $H^*(\text{Th}(U^\perp), *, \mathbb{F}_p)$ we have that*

$$\mathcal{P}^i \lambda_{U^\perp} = (-1)^i e^{i(p-1)} \lambda_{U^\perp}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} = \sum_i \mathcal{P}^i$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\lambda_U) = (1 + e(U)^{p-1})\lambda_U$. Since $\lambda_{U \oplus U^\perp} = \lambda_U \cup \lambda_{U^\perp}$ we get

$$\lambda_U \cup \lambda_{U^\perp} = \lambda_{U \oplus U^\perp} = \mathcal{P}(\lambda_{U \oplus U^\perp}) = \mathcal{P}(\lambda_U) \cup \mathcal{P}(\lambda_{U^\perp}) = (1 + e(U)^{p-1})\lambda_U \cup \mathcal{P}(\lambda_{U^\perp})$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{P}(\lambda_{U^\perp}) = (1 + e(U)^{p-1})^{-1} \lambda_{U^\perp} = \left(\sum_i (-1)^i e(U)^{i(p-1)} \right) \lambda_{U^\perp}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Clearly $l \circ \alpha \simeq 0$. The cohomology of the Grassmannian $\text{SO}(N+2)/\text{SO}(N) \times \text{SO}(2)$ vanishes in odd degrees (when N is larger than the degree), so $\beta \mathcal{P}^i \circ \lambda \simeq 0$. Therefore $\{\beta \mathcal{P}^i, \lambda, \alpha\}$ is defined. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the indeterminacy is $\mathbb{Z}\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. This follows from Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 and the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
B_+ \wedge S^{N+2} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{Th}(U_N^\perp) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K(\mathbb{Z}, N) \\
& \searrow^{\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}} & \downarrow e^{i(p-1)\lambda} & & \downarrow \mathcal{P}^i \\
& & K(\mathbb{Z}, N + 2i(p-1)) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & K(\mathbb{F}_p, N + 2i(p-1)) \\
& & & & \downarrow \beta \\
& & & & K(\mathbb{Z}, N + 2i(p-1) + 1)
\end{array}$$

Indeed, Proposition 2.2 gives the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\beta, \rho, \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}\} &= \{\beta, \rho, (e^{i(p-1)\lambda}) \circ \alpha\} \subseteq \{\beta, \rho \circ (e^{i(p-1)\lambda}), \alpha\} \\
&= (-1)^i \{\beta, \mathcal{P}^i \lambda, \alpha\} \supseteq (-1)^i \{\beta \mathcal{P}^i, \lambda, \alpha\} = \lambda_i(E).
\end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 2.1 proves that the first inclusion is an equality since the two sides have the same indeterminacy $\mathrm{Im}(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$. Therefore by Corollary 2.4

$$\lambda_i(E) \subseteq \{\beta, \rho, \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}\} = \frac{1}{p}\kappa_{i(p-1)-1} + \mathbb{Z}\kappa_{i(p-1)-1},$$

and hence

$$p\lambda_i(E) \subseteq (1 + p\mathbb{Z})\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}.$$

Since they have the same indeterminacy, they are equal. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. (i) follows from the additivity of α , i.e. the property that $\alpha(E \amalg E') = \alpha(E) + \alpha(E') \in [B_+ \wedge S^{N+2}, \mathrm{Th}(U_N^\perp)]$. Similarly (ii) follows from the property that $\alpha(E) = \alpha(E')$ when E' is obtained from E by fibrewise surgery. (iii) follows from (i) and (ii) since $E \cup_{S^1 \times B} E'$ is obtained from $(E \cup_{S^1 \times B} (D^2 \times B)) \amalg (E \cup_{S^1 \times B} (D^2 \times B))$ by fibrewise surgery. \square

4. A VARIANT OF λ_{ps}

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.5. The definition and properties of λ_i proves that $\kappa_{i(p-1)}$ is divisible by p . When $i = ps$, a variant of λ_{ps} can be used to prove that $\kappa_{ps(p-1)-1}$ is divisible by p^2 .

Definition 4.1. Let $s \geq 0$ and consider the Steenrod algebra \mathcal{A}_p . When $p = 2$ we write $\mathcal{P}^i = \mathrm{Sq}^{2^i}$ and $\beta \mathcal{P}^i = \mathrm{Sq}^{2^{i+1}}$ as before. Define $\theta_s \in \mathcal{A}_p$ by

$$\theta_s = \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^j \binom{(p-1)(s-j)}{j} \mathcal{P}^{ps-j} \mathcal{P}^j = \mathcal{P}^{ps} + \text{terms of length 2}$$

Define vectors $v_s, w_s \in \mathcal{A}_p$ by

$$w_s = (\mathcal{P}^0, \dots, \mathcal{P}^s), \quad v_s = (\mathcal{P}^{ps}, \dots, (-1)^j \binom{(p-1)(s-j)-1}{j} \mathcal{P}^{ps-j}, \dots, \mathcal{P}^{(p-1)s}).$$

Lemma 4.2. (i) In $H^*(\mathrm{Th}(U^\perp), *, \mathbb{F}_p)$ we have that $\theta_s \lambda_{U^\perp} = e^{ps(p-1)} \lambda_{U^\perp}$.
(ii) $v_s^T \beta w_s = \beta \theta_s$.

Proof. (i) This is similar to Lemma 3.1, using the fact that the admissible terms of length 2 act trivially on λ_{U^\perp} . Formula (ii) is the Adem relation for $\mathcal{P}^{(p-1)s} \beta \mathcal{P}^s$. \square

Definition 4.3. Let $\alpha, \lambda, \theta_s$ be as above. Define the secondary characteristic class

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{ps} = (-1)^s \{\beta \theta_s, \lambda, \alpha\} \in H^{2ps(p-1)-2}(B, \mathbb{Z}) / \mathbb{Z} \kappa_{ps(p-1)-1}$$

Notice that $\tilde{\lambda}_{ps}$ satisfies the same formal properties as λ_{ps} . In particular $p \tilde{\lambda}_{ps} = (1 + p\mathbb{Z}) \kappa_{ps(p-1)-1}$. In general $\tilde{\lambda}_{ps} \neq \lambda_{ps}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^s \rho \circ \{\beta \theta_s, \lambda, \alpha\} &\subseteq (-1)^s \{\rho \circ \beta \theta_s, \lambda, \alpha\} = (-1)^s \{v_s^T \beta w_s, \lambda, \alpha\} \\ &\supseteq (-1)^s v_s^T \{\beta w_s, \lambda, \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

and it is seen that all the inclusions are equalities since the indeterminacy vanishes. Since

$$(-1)^s \{\beta w_s, \lambda, \alpha\} \in \prod_{i=0}^s H^{N+2i(p-1)}(B_+ \wedge S^{N+2}; \mathbb{F}_p) = \prod_{i=0}^s H^{2i(p-1)-2}(B; \mathbb{F}_p),$$

v_s^T will vanish since $H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an unstable \mathcal{A}_p -module.

Hence the mod p reduction of $\tilde{\lambda}_{ps}$ vanishes, so $\kappa_{ps(p-1)-1} = p \tilde{\lambda}_{ps} = 0 \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [GMT] S. Galatius, I. Madsen, U. Tillmann: *Divisibility of the stable Miller-Morita-Mumford classes*, in preparation.
[Toda] H. Toda: *Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres*, Ann. Math. Studies, No. 49. Princeton University Press, 1962.

AARHUS UNIVERSITY, AARHUS, DENMARK
E-mail address: galatius@imf.au.dk