

Canonical Singularities of Orders over Surfaces

Daniel Chan

University of New South Wales
Sydney, Australia

and

Paul Hacking
Yale University
New Haven, U.S.A

and

Colin Ingalls
Department of Mathematics
University of New Brunswick
Fredericton, Canada

November 15, 2018

Abstract

We first study log terminal orders over surfaces and show that, in the complete local case, they are precisely the normal orders with finite representation type. In particular, they are fixed rings of matrix algebras over $k[[u, v]]$. We then specialize to the case of canonical orders and classify the possible ramification data, their minimal resolutions and their complete local structure. We also show that they are Gorenstein.

1 Introduction

In [ChIn2], a version of Mori's minimal model program for orders was introduced. In particular, the notion of discrepancy was generalized to orders and

with it, the notion of terminal singularities. The usefulness of these concepts for orders is seen from their role in the proof of a resolution of singularities type result for orders and in the birational classification of orders on surfaces (see [ChIn2],[AdeJ]).

There are many useful classes of singularities in Mori theory such as the class of canonical singularities. They are defined as having non-negative discrepancy and so include the class of terminal singularities which have positive discrepancy. It is thus natural to consider the corresponding notion for orders and that is the aim of this paper. The key questions are to extend the classification of terminal orders given in [ChIn2] to canonical orders and to verify some of the nice properties that their commutative counterparts enjoy. Since we are primarily interested in singularities, we usually work in the complete local case and so shall assume this for the rest of the introduction.

We start by looking at a more general class of orders called log terminal orders which by definition have discrepancy > -1 . We always assume our orders are normal which just means tame and Gorenstein in codimension one. We show in section 5 that these log terminal orders are precisely those of finite representation type or, equivalently, by results in [LVV] chapter 5, have the form B^G where $B = k[[u, v]]^{m \times m}$ and G is a finite group. This gives a geometric characterization of this class of orders. It nicely generalizes the fact that, for a commutative surface singularity, finite representation type singularities, quotient singularities, and log terminal singularities all coincide. Tame orders of finite representation type were intensely studied by Artin [A86] and Reiten and Van den Bergh [RVdB]. We use their theory heavily, the relevant results of which are reviewed and adapted to our needs in sections 3 and 4.

From section 6 on, we specialize to canonical orders. We first show that canonical orders have minimal terminal resolutions. We then classify the possible ramification data of such a resolution. Contracting the exceptional fibre yields the possible ramification data for a canonical order.

Next we classify the complete local structure of canonical orders with given ramification data, which amounts to determining the possible group actions of G on B such that B^G gives the desired canonical orders. We follow Artin's method which allows us to assume that $G \subset GL_2$ and acts via the tensor product of its action on $k[[u, v]]$ and some action, say β , on $k^{m \times m}$. As in [A86], the ramification indices along curves allow us to determine G . In section 7, we determine conditions on β which ensure that B^G is normal with the correct ramification data. These do not appear in [A86] since Artin

works with maximal orders. A case by case analysis allows us to determine all the possible group actions β and hence, all the possible local structures of canonical orders.

There are three interesting byproducts of our explicit construction of canonical orders. We show that every canonical order is Gorenstein. The Gorenstein condition is not a function of the ramification data so it is quite subtle. In studying the normality condition, we are led to consider certain Cohen-Macaulay modules called permissible modules. The number of these is one more than the number of exceptional curves in a minimal resolution which suggests some version of the McKay correspondence holds for these orders. Finally, we compute all the Auslander–Reiten quivers of canonical orders. This relates Artin’s and Reiten–Van den Bergh’s classification in the special case of canonical orders.

The study of canonical orders has interesting applications to conic bundles (see [CHI]) and to the classification of maximal orders on projective surfaces (see [CK2]).

Acknowledgements: We would like to thanks Bill Crawley-Boevey and Alastair King for helpful information about Auslander-Reiten quivers.

2 Review of Discrepancy for Orders

Throughout this paper, we work over a base field k which is algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

One of the key notions introduced in [ChIn2] is that of discrepancy for orders. The notion gives rise to interesting classes of orders and was used to develop a noncommutative minimal model program. In this section, we review briefly the relevant definitions and basic facts about orders and their discrepancies. The reader can find details in [ChIn2].

Let Z be a normal surface with rational function field $k(Z)$. We define an *order* \mathcal{O}_X over Z to be a torsion free coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Z -algebras such that $k(X) := \mathcal{O}_X \otimes k(Z) =: k(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a central simple $k(Z)$ -algebra. We often refer to this order simply as X and call Z the *centre* of X . An order is *maximal* if it is maximal with respect to inclusions inside $k(X)$. Let $m = \sqrt{\dim_{k(Z)} k(X)}$, the *degree* of $k(X)$.

When Z is projective, we are primarily interested in maximal orders. However, maximality is not preserved under étale localisation on Z . We define the class of normal orders which includes the maximal orders and is

stable under étale localisation. Let \mathcal{O}_X be an order over Z . The *dualizing sheaf* of X is the \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule $\omega_X := \text{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_Z)$. We say that X satisfies the condition S_2 if it is reflexive as a sheaf over Z . We say that X satisfies the condition R_1 if for all prime divisors C of Z we have i) the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,C}$ is hereditary and $\omega_{X,C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X,C}$ as a left and right $\mathcal{O}_{X,C}$ -module (but not necessarily as a bimodule) and ii) $\mathcal{O}_{X,C}$ is maximal if the residue field $k(C)$ has finite transcendence degree over k . An order X is *normal* if it satisfies both R_1 and S_2 . Part i) of the R_1 condition is equivalent to the following: after an étale base change $\mathcal{O}_{Z,C} \rightarrow R$, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X,C} \otimes R$ has the standard form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} R & \cdots & \cdots & R \\ tR & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ tR & \cdots & tR & R \end{array} \right)^{f \times f},$$

where $t \in R$ is a local parameter, the displayed matrix is $e \times e$, and $ef = m$.

We always work with normal orders. The following well known result (Proposition 2.4 in [ChIn2]) leads to the concept of ramification.

Theorem 2.1 *Let \mathcal{O}_X be a normal order over a surface Z . Let C be a prime divisor in Z and J be the radical of $\mathcal{O}_{X,C}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X,C}/J \simeq L^{n \times n}$, a matrix algebra over L where L is a product of isomorphic cyclic field extensions of $k(C)$.*

Let Z^1 be the set of prime divisors of Z and $C \in Z^1$. The *ramification index* e_C of X over C is the degree of L over $k(C)$. The *discriminant* is the union of the ramification curves

$$D := \bigcup_{e_C > 1} C.$$

Note that the extension $L/k(C)$ determines a cover \tilde{C} of the normalisation of C , which is a disjoint union of smooth curves. We let \tilde{D} denote the disjoint union of these covers as C varies over the ramification curves. We define the ramification data $R(X) := (\tilde{D} \rightarrow D \rightarrow Z)$ to be the cyclic cover, discriminant and centre of X , with the appropriate maps.

To the order X , we associate a \mathbb{Q} -divisor in Z as follows

$$\Delta := \sum_{C \in Z^1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_C} \right) C.$$

We also write $LR(X) := (Z, \Delta)$, a log surface associated to X which we call the *log ramification surface* of X . We write $K_X := K_Z + \Delta$ for the canonical divisor of this log surface, and we treat K_X as if it was the canonical divisor of X . The motivation for this is the following formula which will be useful later.

Proposition 2.2 *Let X be a normal order of degree m . Then, in codimension 1, there is a natural isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_X -bimodules*

$$\omega_X^{\otimes m} \cong \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{O}_Z(m(K_Z + \Delta)).$$

Proof. This result was known to Artin and is proved in [CK1] Proposition 5.

□

Terminal orders play the role of smooth models in the MMP for orders. They are defined to be normal orders X such that i) their centre Z is smooth, ii) their discriminant D is a normal crossing divisor and iii) at any node q of D where the ramification curves D_1, D_2 intersect, one of the corresponding cyclic covers \tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2 is totally ramified over q .

A *birational morphism* of orders $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is defined to be a pair of maps $f = (f_Z, f_{\#})$, where $f_Z : Z(Y) \rightarrow Z(X)$ is a birational morphism on the central surfaces and $f_{\#} : f^* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ is an algebra map inducing an isomorphism $k(X) \simeq k(Y)$. Furthermore, we say that f is *proper* if f_Z is.

Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism of normal orders. Let $\sum E_i$ be the exceptional divisor of $f_Z : Z(Y) \rightarrow Z(X)$. Let e_i be the ramification index of \mathcal{O}_Y over E_i and a_i be the rational numbers which satisfy

$$K_Y = K_X + \sum a_i E_i.$$

We let the *discrepancy* of f be $\text{discrep}(f) := \min_i \{a_i e_i\}$. We let $\text{discrep}(X)$ be the minimum of $\text{discrep}(f)$ over all proper birational maps $f : Y \rightarrow X$ from terminal orders Y .

Definition 2.3 We say that X is canonical (respectively, log terminal) if $\text{discrep}(X) \geq 0$ (respectively, $\text{discrep}(X) > -1$).

Note that being canonical just means that the coefficients a_i as above are all non-negative.

It was shown in [ChIn2] Proposition 3.15, that the associated log surface of a log terminal order is log terminal. Also, [ChIn2] Proposition 3.14 states that the terminal orders are precisely those with positive discrepancy.

3 Artin Covers

The main aim of this paper is to describe the complete local structure of canonical orders. Since these are defined in terms of their discrepancy, we first classify the possible ramification data and then construct orders with the correct ramification. In [A86], Artin developed a method for constructing normal orders with given ramification data. We review briefly his construction here, in the format we use to construct canonical orders.

Let R be a two-dimensional normal noetherian domain with field of fractions K and let A be a normal R -order. Let L/K be a Galois field extension with Galois group G and let S be the integral closure of R in L .

Theorem 3.1 ([A86] 2.15) *Suppose that at each prime divisor C_i , the ramification index r_i of S/R at C_i divides the ramification index e_i of A/R at C_i . Then there is a canonically defined normal S -order B satisfying the following properties.*

1. *the ramification indices of B are e_i/r_i above C_i .*
2. *B contains $S \otimes_R A$ and the G -action on $S \otimes_R A$ extends to B in such a way that $B^G = A$.*
3. *the natural map $B * G \rightarrow \text{End}_A B$ is an isomorphism.*

In particular, if $S = k[[u, v]]$ and all $e_i = r_i$ then $A = B^G$ where B is the full matrix algebra $k[[u, v]]^{m \times m}$.

We call the order B in the theorem the *Artin cover* of A with respect to the central (ramified) cover $\text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$. We record here some facts about this cover.

Proposition 3.2 *Let A be a normal order and B be an Artin cover as in the previous theorem. Then A and $\text{End}_A B$ are reflexive Morita equivalent and have the same ramification data.*

Proof. $A = B^G$ is a direct summand of B so certainly B is a progenerator for A at every codimension one prime. Consequently, ${}_A B$ is a reflexive generator and A and $\text{End } B$ are reflexive Morita equivalent.

To show they have the same ramification data, we first localize in the étale topology at a codimension one prime. It suffices to show that after such a localization, B is a free A -module, for then $\text{End}_A B$ is just the full matrix

algebra with entries in A . Fortunately, Artin gives an étale local description of B in (2.33) of [A86] as follows. Let r be the ramification index of S/R and e the ramification index of A/R . Then $S = R[s]/(s^r = t)$ where $t \in R$ is a local parameter. Write $e = rl$. It suffices to prove the case where the degree m of A equals e . Let e_{ij} be the standard basis for $S^{e \times e}$. Then

$$A \otimes_R S = \begin{pmatrix} S & \cdots & \cdots & S \\ (s^r) & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (s^r) & \cdots & (s^r) & S \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \bigoplus_{i,j} (s^{w_{ij}}) e_{ij}$$

where the exponents w_{ij} are constant on diagonals and are given by the formula

$$w_{ij} = \left\lceil \frac{i-j}{l} \right\rceil.$$

It is easy to check that B is freely generated as an A -module by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & & s^{-c} & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & s^{-c} \\ s^{r-c} & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & s^{r-c} & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

for $0 \leq c < r$, where the s^{-c} occur in the (i, j) entries with $j - i = cl$ and the s^{r-c} occur in the (i, j) entries with $j - i = cl - e$. \square

4 Quotient Singularities and Skew Group Rings

Throughout this section, we work in the complete local setting, that is, our orders have centre a complete local ring.

Let $S = k[[u, v]]$, $B = S^{m \times m}$. We define a *quotient singularity* to be a normal order of the form B^G for some finite subgroup $G \subset GL_2$. (We give

the explicit condition on the group action for the invariant ring to be normal in theorem 7.1). In the next section, we show that all canonical orders have Artin covers so Artin's theorem 3.1 implies they are quotient singularities. Thus to classify them, we need only compute the possible group actions of G on B . In this section, we first review Artin's approach in [A86] for describing these group actions. This recipe is then used to study the skew group ring $B * G$, which, we recall, is reflexive Morita equivalent to B^G when B is the Artin cover. These results allow us to interpret canonical orders as coordinate rings of stacks and to compute their AR-quivers. This material is essentially in [LVV] Section V.3 and [A86] Section 5 but, in both cases, the treatment is not quite in the form we want.

By lemma 4.19 of [A86], we may change basis in B and assume the action of G on B is the tensor product of its action on S , given by the inclusion $G \subset GL_2$, and its action on $k^{m \times m}$ which we write as a projective representation

$$\beta : G \rightarrow PGL_m.$$

Treating PGL_m as a trivial G -module, we can interpret β as an element of the cohomology group $H^1(G, PGL_m)$. Hence, from the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_m \rightarrow SL_m \rightarrow PGL_m \rightarrow 1 \tag{4.1}$$

we obtain the coboundary $d\beta \in H^2(G, \mu_m)$. This cohomology class defines a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_d \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \tag{4.2}$$

with $m = d$. One way to obtain this sequence is to pull-back the previous exact sequence via the homomorphism $G \rightarrow PGL_m$. This shows immediately that the projective representation of G lifts to an actual representation b of G' . More precisely, if $g' \in G'$ maps to g then $\beta_g \in PGL_m$ is conjugation by $b_{g'} \in GL_m$. We often lift the projective representation β of G to an actual representation of some central extension G' as in (4.2) but with d not necessarily equal to m . For example, by adding roots of unity, we may enlarge μ_m to μ_d in (4.1) to obtain a new exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_d \rightarrow \langle SL_m, \mu_d \rangle \rightarrow PGL_m \rightarrow 1$$

and pulling back via $\beta : G \rightarrow PGL_m$ gives a larger central extension G' . In the other direction, if $d\beta$ interpreted as an element of $H^2(G, k^*)$ has order e , then Kummer theory implies that it is induced from an element of $H^2(G, \mu_e)$

so the smallest value that d can take in (4.2) is e . Note also from proposition 4.9 of [A86] that there is a natural injective map $H^2(G, k^*) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)$ such that $d\beta \mapsto [A_K]$, where $A = B^G$ and K is the fraction field of $R = S^G$. In particular, e is the order of A_K in $\text{Br}(K)$.

We see from the above discussion that the question of determining possible invariant rings B^G is reduced to determining representations of G' .

We now look at the skew group ring $B * G$. The following simple facts are known to experts.

Lemma 4.3 *We fix η , a generator for the central subgroup $\mu_d \subset G'$.*

1. *There is a Morita equivalence between $B * G'$ and $S * G'$ given by the Morita bimodule $P := (S * G')^m$. The right action of $S * G'$ is given by coordinatewise multiplication and the left action of B is the natural one. Left multiplication by $g \in G'$ is given by coordinatewise left multiplication by g followed by the natural action of b_g .*
2. *In $B * G'$ we have a central idempotent $f := \frac{1}{d}(1 + \eta + \dots + \eta^{d-1})$. Hence, $B * G'$ decomposes as a product and we have natural isomorphisms of algebras $fB * G' \simeq B * G' / (1 - \eta) \simeq B * G$. Similarly, if ζ is a primitive d -th root of unity then $e := \frac{1}{d}(1 + \zeta\eta + \dots + \zeta^{d-1}\eta^{d-1})$ is a central idempotent in $S * G'$ and we have natural isomorphisms $eS * G' \simeq S * G' / (1 - \zeta\eta)$.*
3. *The Morita equivalence of part 1 restricts to a Morita equivalence between $fB * G'$ and $eS * G'$ via the Morita bimodule $fP = Pe$, where ζ is given by $b_\eta = \zeta I$.*

Proof. For part 1 we first prove the case where the action b is trivial when we denote the skew group ring by BG' . Then $BG' = (S * G')^{m \times m}$ so the Morita equivalence is clear. When the action is arbitrary we have an isomorphism $\phi : B * G' \xrightarrow{\sim} BG'$ which is the identity on B and maps $g \mapsto b_g g$. Hence the Morita equivalence for BG' induces the given one for $B * G'$. Note that η is central in both $B * G'$ and $S * G'$ so the other parts follow from explicit computations or standard Morita theory. \square

We have the following different interpretations of quotient singularities which are due primarily to Le Bruyn, Reiten, Van den Bergh and Van Oystaeyen.

Theorem 4.4 ([LVV] proposition V.3.2,[RVdB] theorem 5.6) *Let A be a quotient singularity and α be the Brauer class of $k(A)$. Then there are*

1. *a finite group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_2$,*
2. *a central extension G' of G by a cyclic group μ_d determined by α , and*
3. *a central irreducible idempotent e of kG'*

*such that A is reflexive Morita equivalent to the global dimension two algebra $eS * G'$. Alternatively, there is a cocycle c corresponding to α such that S is reflexive Morita equivalent to $S *_c G$.*

Proof. By [RVdB], proof of theorem 5.6 (or the proof of our theorem 5.4) A has an Artin cover $A \subset B$ where $B = S^{m \times m}$. Proposition 3.2 and theorem 3.1 show that A and $B * G$ are reflexive Morita equivalent. We construct the central extension G' as in the beginning of this section. Lemma 4.3 now shows that $B * G$ and $eS * G'$ are reflexive Morita equivalent giving the first assertion. The second assertion is [LVV] proposition V.3.2 which can also be proved by showing directly $eS * G' \simeq S *_c G$. \square

The above theorem paves the way for computing Auslander–Reiten (AR) quivers of quotient singularities via McKay graphs. First, note that $\mu_d \subset G'$ is central so given any irreducible representation β of G' , Schur’s lemma implies μ_d acts via some irreducible character of μ_d . The McKay graph can thus be partitioned into components, one for each irreducible character of μ_d .

Proposition 4.5 *Let A be a quotient singularity and G' the finite group determined in the previous theorem. The AR-quiver of A is the component of the McKay graph of G' acting on $V = ku + kv$ where the stabilizer μ_d acts by the character $\eta \mapsto \zeta^{-1}$, where $b_\eta = \zeta I$.*

Proof. We use the notation of lemma 4.3. Auslander’s proof of the McKay correspondence ([Aus] §2) shows that there is a correspondence between indecomposable projective modules over $S * G'$ and irreducible G' -modules. The correspondence is given by tensoring G' -modules with S and taking fibres of $S * G'$ -projectives at the closed point. This correspondence gives the almost split sequences as well. Since μ_d acts centrally, the AR-quiver of $S * G'$ and the McKay graph split up as a union of isotypic components with respect to

the action of μ_d . The component of the McKay graph where η acts by ζ^{-1} thus corresponds to the AR-quiver of $S * G' / (1 - \zeta\eta) \simeq eS * G'$ where e is the idempotent of lemma 4.3. This lemma shows that $S * G' / (1 - \zeta\eta)$ and $B * G$ are Morita equivalent so have the same AR-quiver. Since $B * G$ and B^G are reflexive Morita equivalent, we are done. \square

5 Log Terminal Orders

Let $(P \in Z)$ be a normal surface germ and X a normal Z -order. Then X is said to have *finite representation type* if the number of indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules (up to isomorphism) is finite. Cohen-Macaulay \mathcal{O}_X -modules in our case can be characterized as those which are reflexive as \mathcal{O}_Z -modules and the reader unfamiliar with this concept may take this as the definition. In [LVV] Chapter V, quotient singularities are shown to be the normal orders with finite representation type. In this section, we show that these orders can also be characterized geometrically as the log terminal orders.

We recall the construction of a noncommutative version of the index one cover ([LVV] § V.2, see e.g. [KoMo] definition 5.19 for the commutative case). Let X be a normal order over a surface germ $(P \in Z)$. For L an \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, write $L^{[N]} := (L^{\otimes N})^{**}$, the reflexive hull of the N th tensor power of L . By proposition 2.2 we have a natural isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_X -bimodules $\omega_X^{[m]} \cong \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(m(K_Z + \Delta))$, where m is the degree of X . Assume that the \mathbb{Q} -divisor $K_Z + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, i.e., some multiple is a Cartier divisor. Then $\omega_X^{[N]} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ as bimodules for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let n be the least such N , the *order* of ω_X . We define the *index one cover* \tilde{X} of X by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} := \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X \oplus \omega_X^{[2]} \oplus \dots \omega_X^{[n-1]},$$

where the multiplication is given by fixing an isomorphism $\omega_X^{[n]} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$.

The following is a mild generalization of [RVdB] proposition 5.1c).

Proposition 5.1 *Let X be a normal order such that $K_Z + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and \tilde{X} the index one cover. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is Azumaya in codimension one and the centre \tilde{Z} of \tilde{X} has the same ramification indices over Z as \mathcal{O}_X . In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is an Artin cover of \mathcal{O}_X . Moreover, $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ as a bimodule and \tilde{Z} is Gorenstein.*

Proof. We may work étale locally in codimension one. Let e be the ramification index of \mathcal{O}_X at some codimension one prime. Let R be the centre of \mathcal{O}_X , a discrete valuation ring, and t a uniformising parameter. We may assume \mathcal{O}_X has the standard form

$$\mathcal{O}_X = \begin{pmatrix} R & \dots & \dots & R \\ (t) & R & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ (t) & \dots & (t) & R \end{pmatrix}^{f \times f}. \quad (5.2)$$

where the displayed matrix is $e \times e$. Locally, the order of ω_X equals e . To simplify notation we assume $f = 1$.

Let u be an e -th root of t and $T := R[u]$. We show that $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$ is μ_e -equivariantly isomorphic to the matrix algebra

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} T & u^{-1}T & u^{-2}T & \dots \\ uT & T & u^{-1}T & \dots \\ u^2T & uT & T & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \simeq T^{e \times e}$$

where μ_e acts on $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$ via the the natural Galois action on T over R .

In this case, ω_X is generated as a left and right \mathcal{O}_X -module by the single element

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & t^{-1} \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since we are working étale locally, we may assume that the relation in the canonical cover is given by $x^e = 1$. Then there is a μ_e -equivariant isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$ given by

$$x \mapsto y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & u^{-1} \\ u^{e-1} & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, $y^e = 1$, y commutes with \mathcal{O}_X the same way x does, and the powers of y generate the μ_e -eigenspaces of $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$.

We now show $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_X as a bimodule. We have $\omega_{\tilde{X}} = \text{Hom}_{\tilde{Z}}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, \omega_{\tilde{Z}}) = \text{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, \omega_Z)$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_X^{[n-1]}$ as a \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule. So $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ contains the direct summand $\text{Hom}_Z(\omega_X, \omega_Z) = \text{Hom}_Z(\text{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_Z), \omega_Z)$, which is canonically isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_X as a bimodule. Let s be the generator corresponding to $1 \in \mathcal{O}_X$. One checks that s is central in the $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ -bimodule $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$. Indeed, $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is generated over \mathcal{O}_X by $\omega_X \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$, and, for $a \in \omega_X$, we have $as = sa = i(a)$, where $i : \omega_X \rightarrow \omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is the natural inclusion. Thus $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$, generated by s . Finally, passing to the centres of these bimodules, we deduce $\omega_{\tilde{Z}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}$, i.e., $\omega_{\tilde{Z}}$ is Gorenstein. \square

We are now in a position to show quotient singularities are log terminal.

Proposition 5.3 *Let X be a quotient singularity and $\text{LR}(X) = (Z, \Delta)$.*

1. *Then $\text{discrep}(Z, \Delta) > -1$.*
2. *We also have $\text{discrep}(X) \geq \text{discrep}(Z, \Delta)$.*

In particular, any quotient singularity is log terminal.

Proof. Let \tilde{X} be the index one cover of X and let $\text{LR}(\tilde{X}) = (\tilde{Z}, 0)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ has finite representation type too by [A86] proposition 2.12 and the fact that \tilde{X} is an Artin cover (proposition 5.1). It follows that \tilde{Z} has finite representation type and so is log terminal. Consider the natural map $\pi : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$. By proposition 5.1 the ramification of this map is the same as the ramification of X so $K_{\tilde{Z}} = \pi^*(K_Z + \Delta)$ by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula. Hence (Z, Δ) is also log terminal by [KoMo], proposition 5.20, establishing part 1.

Consider a proper birational morphism of orders $f : X' \rightarrow X$ which can be used to compute $\text{discrep}(X)$. We may assume the resolution is such that the map on centres $f_Z : Z' \rightarrow Z$ can also be used to compute the discrepancy of (Z, Δ) . If $\text{LR}(X') = (Z', \Delta')$ and E_i are the exceptional curves, write

$$K_{Z'} + \Delta' = f_Z^*(K_Z + \Delta) + \sum a_i E_i,$$

$$K_{Z'} + f_*^{-1}\Delta = f_Z^*(K_Z + \Delta) + \sum b_i E_i.$$

If e_i is the ramification index of \tilde{X} along E_i then

$$a_i = \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_i}\right) + b_i.$$

To prove the second part of the proposition, it suffices to show that $e_i a_i \geq b_i$ for each i , equivalently,

$$(b_i + 1)(e_i - 1) \geq 0.$$

Now (Z, Δ) is log terminal so $b_i > -1$. This gives this last inequality and hence completes the proof of the proposition. \square

For the reader familiar with stacks, part 2 of the previous proposition has a nice stacky interpretation. To describe this, we begin by considering an arbitrary quotient singularity which, by theorem 4.4, is reflexive Morita equivalent to and has the same ramification as $\mathcal{O}_X := ek[[u, v]] * G'$, in the notation of the theorem. Recall that G' is a central extension of some finite subgroup $G \subset GL_2$ by μ_d and e is a central idempotent. If \mathcal{S} denotes the Deligne-Mumford stack $[\text{Spec } k[[u, v]]/G']$ then the category of modules $\text{Mod } X$ injects into the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathcal{S} . More precisely, $\text{Mod } X$ is exactly the sheaves where the stabilizer μ_d acts via a particular irreducible character corresponding to the idempotent e above. Now, \mathcal{S} is a μ_d -gerbe over the orbifold $[\text{Spec } k[[u, v]]/G]$, and the noncommutative coordinate ring of this orbifold, as defined in [ChIn1], is the order $\mathcal{O}_Y = k[[u, v]] * G$. The proof of the above proposition shows in fact that $\text{discrep}(Y) = \text{discrep } LR(X)$. Hence, part 2 states in this case that the discrepancy of the stack is greater than or equal to that of the underlying orbifold.

Theorem 5.4 *Let X be a normal order over a normal surface germ $(P \in Z)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- X is log terminal.
- X has finite representation type.
- X is a quotient singularity.

Proof. By proposition 5.3, we need only show that a log terminal order X is a quotient singularity. As usual, let \tilde{X} be the index one cover of X and \tilde{Z} the center of \tilde{X} . Proposition 5.1 shows that \tilde{Z} and X have the same ramification indices over Z . Hence the Riemann-Hurwitz formula and the formula for the ramification of orders shows that $K_{\tilde{Z}} = \pi^* K_X = \pi^*(K_Z + \Delta)$ where $\pi : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ and $LR(X) = (Z, \Delta)$. Since X is log terminal, the pair (Z, Δ) is log terminal by [ChIn2] proposition 3.15. Hence \tilde{Z} is also log terminal by

[KoMo] proposition 5.20(3), i.e., \tilde{Z} is a quotient singularity. Let $U \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ be the smooth cover of \tilde{Z} and B the reflexive hull of the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. Then B is also Azumaya in codimension 1, and so Azumaya because U is smooth. So $B \cong \mathcal{O}_U^{m \times m}$. The composite map $U \rightarrow Z$ is Galois with some group G (cf. [A86, 4.2]), and the G -action extends to B so that $\mathcal{O}_X = B^G$. Hence X is a quotient singularity as required. \square

In section 8 we explicitly describe all canonical orders as invariant rings $(k[[u, v]]^{m \times m})^G$.

6 Minimal resolutions of Canonical Orders

In this section, we compute all possible ramification data of canonical orders. The approach is to determine their minimal terminal resolutions first. The next result gives existence and uniqueness of such resolutions in the case of canonical orders.

Theorem 6.1 *Let X be a canonical order. Then there exists a terminal resolution Y such that all exceptional curves E_i satisfy $K_Y.E_i = 0$. Conversely, let Y be a terminal resolution whose exceptional curves E_i satisfy $K_Y.E_i = 0$. Then any other terminal resolution Y' of X factors through Y up to Morita equivalence.*

Proof. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be any terminal resolution. Write $K_Y = f^*K_X + \sum a_i E_i$. Since X is canonical all $a_i \geq 0$. If $\sum a_i E_i \neq 0$ then $(\sum a_i E_i)^2 < 0$ since the intersection matrix is negative definite. So some term $E_j . \sum a_i E_i < 0$. So we have that $E_j.K_Y < 0$ and also $E_j^2 < 0$ so Castelnuovo contraction for orders, [ChIn2] theorem 3.10, applies and we may contract E_j to get another terminal order Y' . Replacing Y with Y' , we may repeat until no such curves are left. At that point we have that all $a_i = 0$ and $K_Y.E_i = 0$ for all i . The converse result is essentially [ChIn2] proposition 3.17. \square

We define a resolution as described in the theorem to be a *minimal resolution* of a canonical order. We determine the possible incidence graphs of the minimal resolutions of a canonical singularity.

Let Y be a minimal terminal resolution of a canonical order X and $E = \cup E_i$ be the decomposition of the exceptional fibre into irreducible components. So the matrix $(E_i.E_j)_{ij}$ is negative definite and $E_i.K_Y = 0$ for all

i. These conditions imply that E_i must be one of four different possibilities as described in the theorem below.

Theorem 6.2 *Let X be a canonical order and let E be an exceptional curve of a minimal resolution $Y \rightarrow X$. Then E is a smooth rational curve. Let $D = \text{Supp } \Delta$ be the discriminant of Y . Then one of the following holds in an étale neighbourhood of E . The curves U and V below denote ramification curves that meet E transversely at two distinct points.*

	$E^2 = -1$		$E^2 = -2$
$E \not\subset D$	$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}D, E.D = 2$		$E \cap D = \emptyset$
$E \subset D$	$\Delta = (1 - \frac{1}{e})E + (1 - \frac{1}{2e})(U + V)$		$\Delta = (1 - \frac{1}{e})(E + U + V)$

Proof. Let Z be the centre of Y . We start with the assumptions that $E^2 < 0$ and $E.K_Y = 0$ and do a case by case analysis. Suppose first that $E \not\subset D$ so that $E.K_Y = E.K_Z + E.\Delta = 0$. Since $E.\Delta \geq 0$ we get that $E.K_Z \leq 0$ and so $E.(K_Z + E) = -2$ and E is a smooth rational curve with $E^2 = -1$ or $E^2 = -2$. So we have that $E.\Delta = 1$ or $E.\Delta = 0$ respectively. Now the coefficients of Δ are at least $\frac{1}{2}$ so this gives the above possibilities.

Now suppose that $E \subset D$ and write $\Delta = (1 - 1/e)E + \Delta'$ and $D = E + D'$. So we have that $E.(K_Z + \Delta) = E.(K_Z + E) - \frac{1}{e}E^2 + \Delta'.E$. Since $-\frac{1}{e}E^2 + \Delta'.E > 0$ and $E.(K_Z + \Delta) = 0$ we get that $0 > E.(K_Z + E) = -2$ and E is a smooth rational curve. So we now have $-\frac{1}{e}E^2 + \Delta'.E = 2$ and consequently $0 \leq \Delta'.E < 2$. We proceed as in the argument for Castelnuovo contraction [ChIn2] theorem 3.10. We have $D'.E \leq 3$ and suppose for the moment that there is equality. Then we see that

$$\Delta'.E = 1 - \frac{1}{e_1} + 1 - \frac{1}{e_2} + 1 - \frac{1}{e_3} = 2 + \frac{1}{e}E^2 < 2$$

where e_i are ramification indices of the ramification curves intersecting E . Note that the solutions (e_1, e_2, e_3) are Platonic triples and that $E \cap D'$ consists of 3 distinct points as Y is terminal. Now none of the solutions yield ramification indices for a cyclic cover of $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and so $E.D' \leq 2$. Furthermore, a cyclic cover of E cannot ramify on a single point, so $E.D' = 2$. The terminal condition implies that the two ramification curves intersecting E must have ramification indices me, ne for integers m, n . So now we must solve

$$-\frac{1}{e}E^2 + 1 - \frac{1}{ne} + 1 - \frac{1}{me} = 2,$$

$$-\frac{1}{e}E^2 = \frac{1}{ne} + \frac{1}{me}.$$

The only solutions are $n = m = 1$ and $n = m = 2$ for $E^2 = -2$ and -1 respectively. This yields the remaining two possibilities above. \square

Corollary 6.3 *Let E_1, E_2 be exceptional curves of the minimal resolution $Y \rightarrow X$. Suppose that E_1, E_2 intersect and that $E_1^2 = -2$.*

1. *If $E_2^2 = -2$ then Y ramifies along E_1 if and only if it ramifies along E_2 . Furthermore, the ramification indices on E_1, E_2 are the same.*
2. *If $E_2^2 = -1$ then Y is unramified along E_2 .*

Proof. Part 1 follows immediately from applying the previous theorem to $E = E_1, E_2$. Suppose instead that $E_2^2 = -1$. Let e_1, e_2 be the corresponding ramification indices. If E_2 is in the discriminant then theorem 6.2 applied to $E = E_1$ shows that E_1 must be too. Applying the theorem again to $E = E_1, E_2$ in turn yields $e_2 = e_1$ and $e_2 = 2e_1$. This contradiction proves part 2. \square

Next we classify the possible configuration of exceptional curves in Y , using the fact that they must be made up of (-1) -curves and (-2) -curves.

Lemma 6.4 *Let $E = \cup E_i$ be a connected divisor on a surface with each E_i a (-1) -curve or a (-2) -curve, and $(E_i \cdot E_j)_{ij}$ negative definite. Then E is either made up entirely of (-2) -curves in an ADE configuration, or E is a chain of rational curves such that one end of the chain is a (-1) -curve and the remaining curves are all (-2) -curves. In particular, the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution $Y \rightarrow X$ must have this form.*

Proof. If the curves are all (-2) -curves then it is well known that the the curves must be in an ADE configuration (for example [KoMo] theorem 4.22), so suppose that E_1 is a (-1) -curve. If E has more than one irreducible component, then E_1 must meet another curve E_2 . So $E_1 \cdot E_2 \geq 1$ and since $(E_1 + E_2)^2 < 0$ we must have that $E_2^2 < -1$. So $E_2^2 = -2$. Suppose that E_3 also meets E_1 . Then $(2E_1 + E_2 + E_3)^2 \geq 0$ and so E is not contractible. So E_1 only meets E_2 . Now, suppose E_2 meets another curve E_3 . A similar calculation shows that $E_3^2 = -2$ and E_2 can not meet any other curve, and

so we are done by induction. \square

In the appendix we list all the minimal resolutions of canonical orders and their ramification data. The ramification data fall into types $A_n^1, D_n^1, E_n^1, A_{12,\xi}, A_{n,\xi}, B_n, C_2, BD_n, T_n, BT_n, DT_n$, where the subscript n is the number of exceptional curves in a minimal resolution. The types A_n^1, D_n^1, E_n^1 are just the orders on Kleinian singularities which are unramified in codimension one.

Theorem 6.5 *Let X be a canonical order with ramification data $R(X) = (\tilde{D} \rightarrow D \rightarrow Z)$. If X is not terminal, then the possible ramification data are as described in the table in the appendix.*

Proof. Let Y be the minimal resolution of X and E the exceptional fibre as usual. If all the components of E are (-2) -curves then by corollary 6.3(1) we have that either $E \cap D = \emptyset$ or $E \subset D$. If $E \cap D = \emptyset$ then E is the exceptional curve of a resolution of an ADE singularity. If $E \subset D$ then we know by theorem 6.2 that each component E_i of E must intersect exactly two other components of D . This gives case $A_{n,\xi}$.

This leaves the possibility that E is a chain of rational curves with the first curve E_1 a (-1) -curve as in lemma 6.4. If $E_1 \subset D$ then corollary 6.3(2) guarantees that E_1 is the unique exceptional curve. The ramification must be as described as in theorem 6.2, that is, we must be in case $A_{12,\xi}$.

So now we must consider a chain of rational curves with E_1 a (-1) -curve not in D and the rest of E made up of (-2) -curves. Since E_1 is not contained in D , the ramification index of the components of D that meet E must be two. The rest of E must be either entirely in D or disjoint from D by corollary 6.3(1). In the former case, we see from corollary 6.3(1) that all ramification indices must be two. Let E_2 denote the next exceptional curve in the chain (i.e. so E_1, E_2 intersect). We have $E_1 \cdot D = 2$ so there must be another non-exceptional ramification curve U intersecting E_1 transversally. If U, E_1, E_2 are concurrent, then we are in case DT_n , otherwise we are in case BD_n or C_2 .

The last case to consider is where $E - E_1$ is disjoint from D . Since $E_1 \cdot D = 2$ and D has normal crossings, the only possibilities are that E meets D in two disjoint points, a node or tangentially. These three cases give B_n, T_n and BT_n respectively.

Conversely, if an order has ramification data as listed in the table, then a direct computation shows that it is canonical.

□

7 Gorenstein Property

We wish to show that all canonical orders are Gorenstein, that is, the dualising sheaf ω_X is locally isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_X as a left and right \mathcal{O}_X -module. Recall that any canonical order A has the form B^G where $B = k[[u, v]]^{m \times m}$. In this section, we first determine explicitly the condition on the group action for the invariant ring B^G to be normal (or, equivalently, Gorenstein in codimension one). Second, we describe the Gorenstein condition in terms of the group action. In section 8 we verify this condition case by case for canonical orders.

We follow the notation set up in section 4. Let $S := k[[u, v]]$ and suppose as usual that $G \subset GL_2$ acts on $B \simeq S \otimes k^{m \times m}$ as the tensor product of two actions. Let R be the centre of $A = B^G$. Artin gives a condition on the group action which determines when A is a maximal order (see [A86] (4.15)). Maximal orders are normal but the converse is not true. To describe our criterion for A to be normal, we need the following notation.

To simplify matters we assume $k = \mathbb{C}$, so we may assume that G acts linearly via unitary matrices on $\text{Spec } S$. Let $L \subset \text{Spec } S$ be a line of reflection of G , and C its image in $\text{Spec } R$. Let $(\hat{\cdot})$ denote completion at L or C . Then writing $H := \text{Stab } L$ we observe as in [A86] section 4 that $\hat{A} = \hat{B}^H$. We change variables and let $L = (t = 0)$ and let $(s = 0)$ be its orthogonal complement. Then H acts diagonally on $ks \oplus kt$. The inertial group $I = \{h \in H \mid h(s) = s\}$ is cyclic, say generated by σ and H/I is cyclic too. For the subgroups G we are interested in, H is always a split extension of I . We will thus assume there is a cyclic subgroup $J = \langle \tau \rangle$ of H such that $H = I \times J$. We can verify this holds for all the groups G in §9 by showing that $\det \sigma$ generates $\det(G)$ so the determinant map gives a splitting of the inclusion $I \hookrightarrow H$.

Theorem 7.1 *Notation as above. Let the action of σ, τ on $k^{m \times m}$ be given by conjugation by $b_\sigma, b_\tau \in k^{m \times m}$. Then $\hat{A} = \hat{B}^H$ is a normal order if and only if all the eigenspaces of b_σ have the same dimension. In this case, the ramification index of A along C is the number of distinct eigenvalues of b_σ . Moreover, let η be the scalar such that $b_\sigma b_\tau = \eta b_\tau b_\sigma$. Then η is a*

primitive e -th root of unity where e is the index of the central simple algebra $k(\hat{A})$. Equivalently, e is the ramification index of the cyclic cover of the normalisation of C determined by A over the closed point.

The formula for the index of the central simple algebra was also given in [A86] §4.

Proof. For the proof of normality, first note that by [McR] theorem 7.8.8, \hat{B}^H is hereditary so we need only check that the eigenspace condition in the theorem is equivalent to the fact that $\hat{B}^H/\text{rad } \hat{B}^H$ is a product of matrix algebras of the same size.

Note that σ, τ commute so b_σ, b_τ must skew commute by some scalar η as in the statement of the theorem, a root of unity of some order e . The skew commutation relation shows that $\eta^{|I|} = 1 = \eta^{|J|}$ so e divides $|I|$ and $|J|$. We may thus suppose the orders of I and J are pe, qe for suitable integers p, q . Let ζ be a primitive pe -th root of unity so that $\eta = \zeta^{lp}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

We shall view b_σ, b_τ as endomorphisms of $V = k^m$. For convenience, we may scale b_σ, b_τ so that $b_\sigma^{pe} = 1 = b_\tau^{qe}$. We consider the eigenspace decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/pe} V_i$ with respect to b_σ where V_i is the ζ^i -eigenspace of b_σ . Let $d_i := \dim V_i$. With respect to an appropriate basis, we have

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta I & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \zeta^{pe-1} I \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.2)$$

where the I in the $j+1$ -st column denotes the identity matrix of size d_j .

Let $T := \hat{S}^I = k((s))[[t^{pe}]]$. We compute $\hat{B}^H/\text{rad } \hat{B}^H$ by examining \hat{B}^I first. Since I is the inertia group and the group action is faithful, we may assume σ maps t to ζt . Computing invariants with respect to I gives the block matrix form

$$\hat{B}^I = \begin{pmatrix} T & t^{pe-1}T & t^{pe-2}T & \dots \\ tT & T & t^{pe-1}T & \dots \\ t^2T & tT & T & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

where the block sizes are the same as for b_σ . We conjugate by the block

matrix

$$\xi := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & t & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & t^2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} T & t^{pe}T & \dots & t^{pe}T \\ T & T & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & t^{pe}T \\ T & \dots & & T \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.3)$$

Then

$$\hat{B}^I / \text{rad } \hat{B}^I \cong \prod_{i=0}^{pe-1} k((s))^{d_i \times d_i}.$$

To pass to the residue ring of \hat{B}^H we need,

Lemma 7.4 *Let C be a ring and suppose a group J acts by automorphisms on C . Then $\text{rad } C^J \supseteq \text{rad } C \cap C^J$.*

Proof. Let $r \in \text{rad } C \cap C^J$. We need to show that $1 - r$ is invertible in C^J . It has an inverse, say r' , in C and since $1 - r$ is invariant, so is r' . \square

Write \bar{B} for $\hat{B}^I / \text{rad } \hat{B}^I$. The lemma implies that if \bar{B}^J is semisimple already then $\hat{B}^H / \text{rad } \hat{B}^H = \bar{B}^J$. We seek to show \bar{B}^J is indeed semisimple and need to compute the action of τ on \bar{B} . Note that the skew-commutation relation $b_\sigma b_\tau = \zeta^{lp} b_\tau b_\sigma$ shows that b_τ restricts to isomorphisms $V_i \rightarrow V_{i+lp}$. Hence conjugation by b_τ yields isomorphisms of various factors of \bar{B} , namely,

$$\tau : k((s))^{d_{i+lp} \times d_{i+lp}} \xrightarrow{\sim} k((s))^{d_i \times d_i}.$$

Now $\eta = \zeta^{lp}$ is a primitive e -th root of unity so lp generates $p\mathbb{Z}$ modulo ep . Hence

$$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{pe-1} k((s))^{d_i \times d_i} \right)^J = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} k((s))^{d_i \times d_i} \right)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$$

We compute the invariants of each factor individually. They are symmetric so we assume $i = 0$ and write $d := d_0$. Recall that τ acts on $k((s))$ by

$\tau : s \mapsto \xi s$ where ξ is a primitive qe -th root of unity. Also b_τ^e has order q so $b_\tau^e|_{V_0}$ can be diagonalized to have block matrix form

$$b_\tau^e|_{V_0} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^e I & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \xi^{(q-1)e} I \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.5)$$

It follows that

$$(k((s))^{d \times d})^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} = \begin{pmatrix} k((s^q)) & s^{-1}k((s^q)) & \dots \\ sk((s^q)) & k((s^q)) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \simeq k((s^q))^{d \times d}.$$

Hence,

$$\hat{B}^H / \text{rad } \hat{B}^H \simeq \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} k((s^q))^{d_i \times d_i}.$$

Normality is thus equivalent to all non-zero d_i being equal. This gives the ramification stated in the theorem. Furthermore, the index of the associated central simple algebra can be read off this last equation. \square

The following lemma gives the condition to check for the order to be Gorenstein. We will check this condition case by case while carrying out the explicit constructions in section 8, completing the proof that canonical orders are Gorenstein.

Lemma 7.6 *Suppose that G acts on $B = S \otimes k^{m \times m}$ as before. Let χ denote the character $G \hookrightarrow GL_2 \xrightarrow{\det} k^*$. Suppose there exists an invertible matrix $\theta \in k^{m \times m}$ which lies in the χ^{-1} isotypic component of the G -module $k^{m \times m}$. Then $A = B^G$ is Gorenstein and the dualizing sheaf can be identified as $A\theta = \theta A$.*

Proof. We first show that $\omega_A = \omega_B^G$. Let R denote the centre of A . Then $\omega_A = \text{Hom}_R(A, \omega_R)$ and $\omega_B = \text{Hom}_S(B, \omega_S) = \text{Hom}_R(B, \omega_R)$, so $\omega_B^G = \text{Hom}_R(B, \omega_R)^G = \text{Hom}_R(B^G, \omega_R) = \omega_A$ as required.

There is a natural isomorphism $B \otimes \omega_S \rightarrow \omega_B$ which gives a G -module isomorphism $B \otimes_k V \rightarrow \omega_B$, where $V = k \cdot du \wedge dv$, the G -module of dimension

1 given by the character χ . Hence $\omega_A = \omega_B^G$ is identified with the χ^{-1} isotypic component of B . As θ is invertible, left multiplication by θ defines a right A -module isomorphism $B^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_B^G$. The argument is left-right symmetric, so A is Gorenstein. \square

8 Quotient Constructions

In this section we give precise constructions for all canonical orders. The analysis is case by case, depending on the ramification data. Such explicit constructions seem warranted considering the possible applications, such as to Brauer-Severi varieties. The two corollaries we draw from the explicit constructions are that canonical orders are Gorenstein and some numerology which suggests that a McKay correspondence is lurking in the theory.

We use the setup in sections 3 and 4. Let A be a canonical order with centre R , whose ramification data is of some fixed type as classified in theorem 6.5. We first find a subgroup $G \subset GL_2$ acting naturally on $S := k[[u, v]]$ such that S/R has the same ramification as the order A . Artin's theorem 3.1 shows that $A = B^G$ where $B = S \otimes k^{m \times m}$. As noted in section 4, the action on B can be described by a cohomology class $\beta \in H^1(G, PGL_m)$ which in turn is given by a representation b of some central extension G' of G by a finite cyclic group μ_d .

Using theorem 7.1, we compute which representations b of G' yield normal orders with the correct ramification data. We then verify that there is an invertible matrix satisfying the condition of lemma 7.6 and deduce that $A = B^G$ is Gorenstein.

In proposition 4.5, we saw that Cohen-Macaulay A -modules correspond to G' -modules where the central subgroup μ_d acts via some specified character. Such a representation of G' is said to be *permissible* if it satisfies the eigenspace condition in theorem 7.1 and is irreducible amongst such representations. We also call the corresponding A -modules *permissible*. We verify below that the number of such permissible modules is one more than the number of exceptional curves in a minimal resolution.

Let K be the field of fractions of R and $A_K = A \otimes_R K$ the central simple algebra associated to A .

8.1 Type $A_{12,\xi}$

Let $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2e \times \mathbb{Z}/2e$ and σ, τ be the generators of the two cyclic groups. Let ζ be a primitive $2e$ -th root of unity and suppose the group acts on S by $\sigma : u \mapsto \zeta u, v \mapsto v$ and $\tau : u \mapsto u, v \mapsto \zeta v$. Then $R := S^G = k[[x, y]]$ where $x = u^{2e}, y = v^{2e}$ and S/R is a ramified cover which is ramified along $xy = 0$ with ramification index $2e$. This coincides with the ramification of a type $A_{12,\xi}$ canonical order A . Note G is the stabilizer of $u = 0$ and $v = 0$ and that the inertia groups above $u = 0$ and $v = 0$ are $\langle \tau \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma \rangle$ respectively.

We need to compute the possibilities for the cohomology class $\beta : G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m$ which, as usual, we lift to representations b of G' . We let β_σ be conjugation by $b_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_m$ and β_τ be conjugation by $b_\tau \in \mathrm{GL}_m$. Since $\beta_\sigma^{2e} = 1, \beta_\tau^{2e} = 1$, we may scale so that $b_\sigma^{2e} = 1, b_\tau^{2e} = 1$. The price of this scaling is that we may need to introduce more roots of unity and so enlarge G' as described in section 4.

The cyclic covers of the components of the discriminant have ramification index e over the closed point. So, by theorem 7.1, we have $\xi b_\tau b_\sigma = b_\sigma b_\tau$ for some primitive e -th root of unity ξ . This is the ξ in the subscript of $A_{12,\xi}$. Write $\xi = \zeta^{2l}$ where l is relatively prime to e . We record the above conditions in

$$b_\sigma^{2e} = b_\tau^{2e} = 1, \quad \zeta^{2l} b_\tau b_\sigma = b_\sigma b_\tau. \quad (8.1)$$

The only other condition that theorem 7.1 imposes on b is that both b_σ, b_τ have all $2e$ possible eigenvalues and that the dimension of all the eigenspaces must be the same.

We let V be the G' -module corresponding to b . We first describe all irreducible G' -modules which satisfy (8.1) and second determine what combinations of these satisfy the eigenspace condition of theorem 7.1.

It will be convenient to introduce the following $e \times e$ -matrices.

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^2 & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & \zeta^{2(e-1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad Q_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \pm 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & \zeta^{1-e} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let W be an irreducible G' -module. Suppose the corresponding representation, which we also denote by b , satisfies the equations (8.1). We consider the eigenspace decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/2e} W_i$ with respect to b_σ where W_i is the ζ^i -eigenspace of b_σ . From (8.1) we see that b_τ restricts to isomorphisms

$W_i \rightarrow W_{i+2l}$. Now b_τ^e maps $W_i \rightarrow W_i$ and $(b_\tau^e)^2 = 1$. Suppose that W_i is non-zero and pick a b_τ^e -eigenvector $w \in W_i$ which must have eigenvalue ± 1 . We may assume that $i = 0$ or 1 . Irreducibility shows that W has basis $\{w, b_\tau w, \dots, b_\tau^{e-1} w\}$. We write $W_{i\pm}$ for this G' -module where $b_\tau = Q_\pm$ and $b_\sigma = P^l$ if $i = 0$ and $b_\sigma = \zeta P^l$ if $i = 1$.

The eigenspace condition of theorem 7.1 shows that $A = B^G$ is a canonical order of type $A_{12,\xi}$ precisely when V is a direct sum of modules of the form $W_{0+} \oplus W_{1-}$ and $W_{0-} \oplus W_{1+}$. To prove that A is Gorenstein, we may assume that V is one of these two modules. By Lemma 7.6, A is Gorenstein if there is an invertible matrix $\theta \in k^{m \times m}$ such that $b_\sigma^{-1} \theta b_\sigma = \zeta^{-1} \theta$, $b_\tau^{-1} \theta b_\tau = \zeta^{-1} \theta$. In the first case, we have $b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} P^l & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta P^l \end{pmatrix}$, $b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} Q_+ & 0 \\ 0 & Q_- \end{pmatrix}$. Let j be the inverse of l modulo e , then set $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_+^j N \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In the second case we have, $b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} P^l & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta P^l \end{pmatrix}$, $b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} Q_- & 0 \\ 0 & Q_+ \end{pmatrix}$ and we set $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_-^j N \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Note that there are exactly two permissible representations ($W_{0+} \oplus W_{1-}$ and $W_{0-} \oplus W_{1+}$) which is one more than the number of exceptional curves in a minimal resolution.

8.2 Type BT_n

Let $r = 2n+1$ and let G be the dihedral group $\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^r = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma^{-1} \rangle$. Suppose G acts linearly on $S = k[[u, v]]$ by

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where ζ is a primitive r -th root of unity. One computes $R := S^G = k[[x, y]]$ where $x = uv$, $y = \frac{1}{2}(u^r + v^r)$. Ramification of S/R occurs at the fixed lines of the pseudo-reflections of G . There is one conjugacy class of pseudo-reflections so the ramification curve is the image in $\text{Spec } R$ of $(\text{Spec } S)^{\langle \psi \rangle}$ where ψ is any pseudo-reflection. Picking $\psi = \tau$, we see that S/R is ramified on the image of $u = v$ which is the cusp $y^2 = x^r$. The ramification index is 2 so this is the same as the ramification of a canonical order of type BT_n .

This time, A_K is trivial in the Brauer group so the cohomology class $\beta \in H^1(G, \text{PGL}_m)$ lifts to an actual representation $b \in H^1(G, \text{GL}_m)$. We consider the irreducible one dimensional representations of G

$$\rho^0 : \sigma, \tau \mapsto 1 \quad , \quad \rho^- : \sigma \mapsto 1, \tau \mapsto -1$$

and the irreducible two-dimensional representations

$$\rho^i : \sigma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \tau \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8.2)$$

where $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The inertia group at $u = v$ is $\langle \tau \rangle$ so the eigenspace condition of theorem 7.1 shows that b is the direct sum of ρ^i and $\rho^0 \oplus \rho^-$. Note that again we have $n + 1$ permissible representations, namely the ρ_i and $\rho^0 \oplus \rho^-$. To show A is Gorenstein in this case, we may assume that b is one of these.

Note that the determinant character $\chi : G \rightarrow k^* : \sigma \mapsto 1, \tau \mapsto -1$. If $b = \rho^i$ then setting $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ in lemma 7.6 shows that A is Gorenstein. If on the other hand $b = \rho^0 \oplus \rho^-$ and say more specifically $b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ then setting $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ does the trick.

8.3 Type B_n

Let $r = 2n$ and let G be the dihedral group $\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^r = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma^{-1} \rangle$. Suppose G acts linearly on $S = k[[u, v]]$ as in the previous subsection (except now the group D_r with r even). As before, we find $R := S^G = k[[x, y]]$ where $x = uv, y = \frac{1}{2}(u^r + v^r)$. The difference now is that there are two conjugacy classes of pseudo-reflections, namely, $\{\sigma^i\tau \mid i \text{ even}\}$ and $\{\sigma^i\tau \mid i \text{ odd}\}$. Let $L : u = v$ be the line fixed by τ and $L' : u = \zeta^{-1}v$ be the line fixed by $\sigma\tau$. Their images in $\text{Spec } R$ give the irreducible components of the ramification locus, namely, $y = x^n$ and $y = -x^n$. Furthermore, the pointwise stabilizers of L, L' are $\langle \tau \rangle, \langle \sigma\tau \rangle$. These have order two so S/R ramifies with ramification index two. This coincides with the ramification of a canonical order A of type B_n .

In this case, as before, A_K is trivial in the Brauer group so $\beta \in H^1(G, \text{PGL}_m)$ lifts to an actual representation $b \in H^1(G, \text{GL}_m)$. Again we decompose b into irreducible representations.

As in the last subsection, there are irreducible two-dimensional representations ρ^i , for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ defined by (8.2). There are however, four irreducible one-dimensional representations.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho^{00} : \sigma \mapsto 1, \tau \mapsto 1 & \rho^{01} : \sigma \mapsto 1, \tau \mapsto -1 \\ \rho^{10} : \sigma \mapsto -1, \tau \mapsto 1 & \rho^{11} : \sigma \mapsto -1, \tau \mapsto -1 \end{array}$$

We compute $\text{Stab } L = \langle \tau, \sigma^n \rangle$, $\text{Stab } L' = \langle \sigma\tau, \sigma^n \rangle$ and the inertia groups at L, L' are $\langle \tau \rangle, \langle \sigma\tau \rangle$ respectively. By taking direct sums of matrices and using the eigenspace condition of theorem 7.1, it suffices to assume $b = \rho^i, \rho^{00} \oplus \rho^{01}$ or $\rho^{10} \oplus \rho^{11}$. Hence again we see that there are $n+1$ permissible representations.

In this case, the determinant character is $\chi : G \rightarrow k^* : \sigma \mapsto 1, \tau \mapsto -1$. For $b = \rho^i$, setting $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ shows that A is Gorenstein. In the other two cases, we use bases for $\rho^{00} \oplus \rho^{01}$ and $\rho^{10} \oplus \rho^{11}$ which are compatible with the direct sum decomposition. Then setting $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ shows that A is Gorenstein.

8.4 Type T_n

This is Artin's type II_k of [A86].

The ramification curves and the ramification indices for types B_{n+1} and T_n coincide so if G is the dihedral group of the previous subsection with $r = 2n+2$, and G acts on S as before, then S/R has the same ramification as any type T_n canonical order A .

The difference in this case is that A_K is non-trivial in the Brauer group. Write $\beta \in H^1(G, \text{PGL}_m)$ for the cohomology class describing the group action on B and lift β_σ, β_τ to elements $b_\sigma, b_\tau \in \text{GL}_m$. We may assume

$$b_\sigma^{2n+2} = b_\tau^2 = 1, \quad b_\tau b_\sigma^{-1} = \lambda b_\sigma b_\tau \quad (8.3)$$

for some scalar λ .

The cyclic covers of the components of the discriminant ramify over the closed point. So, using the stabilizer groups computed in the previous subsection and theorem 7.1, we deduce the relation $b_\sigma^{n+1} b_\tau = -b_\tau b_\sigma^{n+1}$, or equivalently $\lambda^{n+1} = -1$. Write $\lambda = \zeta^{-a}$ where ζ is a primitive $(2n+2)$ -th root of unity and note that a is odd. (Note also that b_σ^{n+1} switches the ± 1 -eigenspaces of b_τ so normality imposes no conditions so long as $\lambda^{n+1} = -1$).

We view b as a representation of G' as usual and let V be the corresponding G' -module. As usual, to prove that A is Gorenstein using lemma 7.6, we may assume that b is irreducible and still satisfies (8.3). We first decompose V into ζ^i -eigenspaces V_i with respect to b_σ . Note that there are induced isomorphisms $b_\tau : V_i \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{a-i}$. Furthermore, a being odd implies that these eigenspaces are distinct. Using (8.3), we see that the irreducible

representations have the form

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{a-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ in lemma 7.6 shows that A is Gorenstein. Finally, since swapping eigenspaces for ζ^i and ζ^{a-i} gives isomorphic modules, there are $r/2 = n + 1$ permissible modules.

8.5 Type DT_n

This is Artin's type III_k of [A86].

Let G be the subgroup of GL_2 generated by

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where ζ is a primitive $2r$ -th root of unity and $r = 2n - 1$ is an odd integer. Consider the linear action of G on S defined by the above matrices, and let $R = S^G$. We compute that S/R has the same ramification as a canonical order A of type DT_n . Note first that $R = k[[x, y]]$ where $x = u^2v^2, y = \frac{1}{2}(u^{2r} + v^{2r})$. There are two conjugacy classes of pseudo-reflections, $\{\pi, \sigma^r\pi\}$ and $\{\sigma^i\tau\}$. The fixed line $L_0 : u = 0$ of π corresponds to the discriminant curve $x = 0$, the fixed line $L_1 : u = v$ of τ corresponds to the discriminant curve $y^2 = x^r$, and in each case the ramification index is two.

As usual, we consider the cohomology class $\beta \in H^1(G, \mathrm{PGL}_m)$ defining A . We lift $\beta_\sigma, \beta_\tau, \beta_\pi$ to $b_\sigma, b_\tau, b_\pi \in \mathrm{GL}_m$ such that $b_\sigma^{2r} = b_\tau^2 = b_\pi^2 = 1$. The cyclic covers of the components of the discriminant ramify over the closed point, and $\mathrm{Stab} L_0 = \langle \sigma, \pi \rangle, \mathrm{Stab} L_1 = \langle \tau, \sigma^r \rangle$, so theorem 7.1 implies

$$b_\sigma b_\pi = -b_\pi b_\sigma, \quad b_\sigma^r b_\tau = -b_\tau b_\sigma^r. \quad (8.4)$$

As in the previous subsection, any b satisfying the above equations gives a canonical order of type DT_n .

To show that A is Gorenstein using lemma 7.6, we may as usual assume b corresponds to an irreducible representation V of G' . Note firstly that $b_\sigma b_\tau = \lambda b_\tau b_\sigma^{-1}$ for some scalar λ and (8.4) forces $\lambda^r = -1$. Hence $\lambda = \zeta^a$ for some odd integer a . Also, $b_\pi b_\tau = \rho b_\sigma^r b_\tau b_\pi$ for some scalar ρ . It turns out that $\rho^2 = -1$ though we have no need of this fact. We now decompose V

into ζ^i -eigenspaces V_i with respect to b_σ . The relations (8.4) show that there are induced isomorphisms $b_\tau : V_i \rightarrow V_{a-i}$, $b_\pi : V_i \rightarrow V_{r+i}$. Suppose that w is a non-zero vector in V_i and that $b_\tau w, b_\pi w$ are linearly independent. Then $b_\tau^2 = b_\pi^2 = 1$ shows that V has basis $\{w, b_\pi w, b_\tau b_\pi w, b_\tau w\}$ and

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i & & & \\ & -\zeta^i & & \\ & & -\zeta^{a-i} & \\ & & & \zeta^{a-i} \end{pmatrix}, b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}, b_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \pm\rho \\ & \mp\rho & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where the sign in b_π depends on $(-1)^i$. Setting

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in lemma 7.6 shows that A is Gorenstein. Furthermore, the module is an irreducible G' -module unless i is such that $a-i \equiv r+i \pmod{2r}$, i.e., $2i \equiv a-r$, in which case the module decomposes into two 2-dimensional modules. In the irreducible case, the eigenvalues of b_σ play a symmetric role so swapping i with $a-i, i+r$ or $a-i+r$ gives an isomorphic module. There are consequently $n-1$ permissible modules of dimension 4.

For the two dimensional modules, we have $b_\pi w = \nu b_\tau w$ for some scalar ν , so that V has basis $\{w, b_\tau w\}$ and

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i & \\ & -\zeta^i \end{pmatrix}, b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}, b_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} & (-1)^i \rho \nu \\ \nu & \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, setting $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ in lemma 7.6 shows that A is Gorenstein. Note that as $b_\pi^2 = 1$, there are exactly two possible choices for ν . If i satisfies $2i \equiv a-r$ then the other value which satisfies the congruence is $i+r$, and changing i to $i+r$ yields no new modules. Hence there are two permissible modules of dimension two giving a total of $n+1$ permissible modules.

8.6 Type BD_n

For convenience, we set $p = n-1$, and let ζ be a primitive $4p$ -th root of unity. We let G be the subgroup of GL_2 generated by

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pi = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note the following relations

$$\sigma^{4p} = \pi^2 = 1, \tau^2 = \sigma^{2p}, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma^{-1}, \tau\pi = \pi\tau^{-1}, \pi\sigma = \sigma\pi.$$

Let G act linearly on $S := k[[u, v]]$ via the matrices above and set $R = S^G$. We claim that S/R has the same ramification as a canonical order of type BD_n . Note first that $R = k[[x, y]]$ where $x = u^2v^2, y = \frac{1}{2}(u^{4p} + v^{4p})$. There are 3 conjugacy classes of pseudo-reflections $\{\pi, \sigma^{2p}\pi\}, \{\sigma^i\tau\pi \mid i \text{ odd}\}$ and $\{\sigma^i\tau\pi \mid i \text{ even}\}$. The lines fixed by the three representative pseudo-reflections $\pi, \tau\pi, \sigma\tau\pi$ are

$$L_0 : u = 0, \quad L_1 : u = v, \quad L_2 : u = \zeta v.$$

The corresponding images give the discriminant curves of S/R

$$x = 0, \quad y = x^p, \quad y = -x^p.$$

The ramification index equals two in each case so the ramification is the same as that of a canonical order of type BD_n . Moreover, for such an order A , we may assume that the cyclic covers of $(x = 0)$ and $(y = x^p)$ determined by A ramify over the closed point, while the cyclic cover of $(y = -x^p)$ is unramified.

Again, let $\beta \in H^1(G, \text{PGL}_m)$ determine a group action on B and $b \in H^1(G', \text{GL}_m)$ be its lift to an actual representation of G' . We write b_σ, b_τ, b_π as before. To simplify calculations, we normalize b_σ, b_τ, b_π so that $b_\sigma^{4p} = b_\pi^2 = 1, b_\tau^2 = b_\sigma^{2p}$.

Now $\text{Stab } L_0 = \langle \sigma, \pi \rangle$ so theorem 7.1 shows that $b_\sigma b_\pi = -b_\pi b_\sigma$. Suppose now that $b_\sigma b_\tau = \lambda b_\tau b_\sigma^{-1}, b_\tau b_\pi = \mu b_\pi b_\tau^{-1}$. Since b_π commutes with $b_\tau^2 = b_\sigma^{2p}$, we must have $\mu^2 = 1$. Also, commuting $b_\sigma^{2p} = b_\tau^2$ through b_τ shows that $\lambda^{2p} = 1$.

We have $\text{Stab } L_1 = \langle \tau\pi, \sigma^p\pi \rangle$, so theorem 7.1 yields $b_\tau b_\pi b_\sigma^p b_\pi = -b_\sigma^p b_\pi b_\tau b_\pi$, which amounts to $\mu\lambda^p = (-1)^{p+1}$. Also $\text{Stab } L_2 = \langle \sigma\tau\pi, \sigma^p\pi \rangle$, which gives the commutativity relation $b_\sigma b_\tau b_\pi b_\sigma^p b_\pi = b_\sigma^p b_\pi b_\sigma b_\tau b_\pi$ because the cyclic cover of the image of L_2 is unramified. This yields the same condition $\mu\lambda^p = (-1)^{p+1}$ as before. We also have the eigenspace condition on $b_\sigma b_\tau b_\pi$. Since $(b_\sigma b_\tau b_\pi)^2$ is a scalar, this amounts to the trace of $b_\sigma b_\tau b_\pi$ being zero.

We assume as usual that b is irreducible and let V be the corresponding G' -module. We start by computing all irreducible G' -modules where $\mu_d = \ker(G' \rightarrow G)$ acts appropriately. Let $V = \bigoplus V_i$ be the eigenspace decomposition with respect to b_σ where V_i has eigenvalue ζ^i . Write $\lambda = \zeta^a$ and observe that a is even since $\lambda^{2p} = 1$. Note that we have induced isomorphisms $b_\tau : V_i \rightarrow V_{a-i}, b_\pi : V_i \rightarrow V_{2p+i}$. Suppose first that we have a non-zero

element $w \in V_i$ and that $w, b_\pi w, b_\tau b_\pi w, b_\tau w$ are linearly independent. Taking into account how b_τ, b_π permute the V_i we find

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i & & & \\ & -\zeta^i & & \\ & & -\zeta^{a-i} & \\ & & & \zeta^{a-i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} & & (-1)^i & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \\ & & \mu(-1)^i & \\ & & & \mu(-1)^i \end{pmatrix}.$$

One checks easily that the eigenspace condition of theorem 7.1 is satisfied and that setting

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in lemma 7.6 shows that A is Gorenstein.

This G' -module is irreducible except when either i) $i \equiv a - i \pmod{4p}$ or, ii) $a - i \equiv 2p + i \pmod{4p}$. In these cases, the module decomposes into a direct sum of two 2-dimensional modules. As in the type DT_n case, we see there are $p - 1$ permissible modules of dimension four.

Assume now that we are in case i) and that say $w, b_\tau w$ are linearly dependent. Note that $b_\tau^2 = b_\sigma^{2p}$ forces $b_\tau w = \pm \zeta^{ip} w$ in this case. Using the basis $w, b_\pi w$ we find

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i & \\ & -\zeta^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \pm \zeta^{ip} & \\ & \pm \mu \zeta^{-ip} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

The trace of $b_\sigma b_\tau b_\pi$ is zero so the eigenspace condition of theorem 7.1 is satisfied. Setting $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ in lemma 7.6 shows that A is Gorenstein. Note that there is a choice of sign in b_τ and that swapping values of i corresponds to switching the roles of $w, b_\pi w$. Hence there are two new permissible modules.

Finally, suppose we are in case ii). We let $b_\tau w = \nu b_\pi w$ for some scalar ν . The module V will sometimes be denoted V^ν to emphasize the dependence on ν . Note first that if i is a solution to $a - i \equiv 2p + i \pmod{4p}$ then the only other solution is $i + 2p$. Since $V = V_i \oplus V_{i+2p}$, the latter does not give any

new G' -modules. The fact that $a - 2p \equiv 2i \pmod{4p}$ and our ramification condition on μ, λ give

$$\mu = \lambda^{-p}(-1)^{p+1} = \zeta^{-ap+2p^2+2p} = \zeta^{-2ip+2p} = -\zeta^{-2ip}.$$

Hence,

$$b_\tau b_\pi w = \mu b_\pi b_\tau^{-1} w = \mu b_\pi b_\tau b_\sigma^{2p} w = \mu \zeta^{2ip} \nu w = -\nu w.$$

We can now compute V as

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i & \\ & -\zeta^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} & -\nu \\ \nu & \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are precisely two possible values for ν since $b_\sigma^{2p} = b_\tau^2$. Now the trace of $b_\sigma b_\tau b_\pi$ is non-zero and the eigenspace condition of theorem 7.1 fails. To obtain the eigenspace condition, we see that the multiplicity of V^ν in V must be the same as the multiplicity of $V^{-\nu}$ in V . We may thus suppose that $V = V^\nu \oplus V^{-\nu}$ so that

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i & & & \\ & -\zeta^i & & \\ & & \zeta^i & \\ & & & -\zeta^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} & -\nu & & \\ \nu & & & \\ & & \nu & \\ & & & -\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \\ 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

in lemma 7.6 shows that A is Gorenstein in this case too. This gives one more permissible module for a total of $p + 2 = n + 1$.

8.7 Type ADE

Let A be an order of type A^1, D^1 or E^1 so that its centre is of the form $R = S^G = k[[u, v]]^G$ where G is a finite subgroup of SL_2 . If $B \simeq S^{m \times m}$ is the Artin cover of A with respect to S/R then G acts on B by automorphisms of determinant one. Hence $A = B^G$ is also Gorenstein.

8.8 Type $A_{n,\xi}$

Set $p = n + 1$. Let G be the subgroup of GL_2 generated by

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^p \end{pmatrix}$$

where ζ is a primitive pe -th root of unity. Note that $R := S^G = k[[u^{pe}, u^e v^e, v^{pe}]]$ is the rational double point of type A_n . In fact, if we let $H \leq G$ be the subgroup generated by σ^p, τ , then $S^H = k[[u^e, v^e]]$ is the smooth cyclic cover of R unramified away from the singularity and S/S^H is the $\mathbb{Z}/e \times \mathbb{Z}/e$ -cover ramified above the normal crossing lines $u^e v^e = 0$. Consequently, S/R has the same ramification as a canonical order A of type $A_{n,\xi}$.

On $\text{Spec } S$, the cover S/R ramifies on the two lines $u = 0, v = 0$. Consider the line $L : v = 0$ which is stabilized by the whole group G . The inertia group in this case is $I = \langle \tau \rangle$. As usual, let $b : G' \rightarrow GL_m$ be the representation of G' corresponding to the canonical order A . Since the cyclic covers of the components of the discriminant ramify with index e over the closed point, we have $b_\sigma b_\tau = \xi b_\tau b_\sigma$ for some primitive e -th root of unity. This is the ξ in the subscript of $A_{n,\xi}$. We can write $\xi = \zeta^{lp}$ where l is relatively prime to e . Let V be the G' -module corresponding to b , and assume V is irreducible. Let $V = \bigoplus V_i$ be the decomposition of V into ζ^i -eigenspaces with respect to b_σ . Now b_τ induces isomorphisms $V_i \rightarrow V_{i+lp}$ and $b_\tau^e = 1$ so, with respect to an appropriate basis of eigenvectors, we have $b_\sigma = \zeta^i N^{-lp}, b_\tau = Q_+$ using the notation of subsection 8.1. Picking j so that $jl \equiv 1$ modulo e and setting $\theta = N^{jp}$, we see that A is Gorenstein in this case too. Up to rearranging the eigenspaces, there are $p = n + 1$ choices for the value of ζ^i and hence $n + 1$ permissible modules.

8.9 A Non-Gorenstein Log Terminal Order

Consider normal orders with centre $k[[x, y]]$, ramified on normal crossing lines with ramification index 3 but where the cyclic covers are unramified at the node. We give here two examples of such orders, one Gorenstein while the other is not. Consequently, the Gorenstein condition is not a function of ramification data.

Let G be the group $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$ and σ, τ the generators of the two cyclic groups. Let ζ be a primitive cube root of unity and suppose the group G acts on $S = k[[u, v]]$ by $\sigma : u \mapsto \zeta u, v \mapsto v$ and $\tau : u \mapsto u, v \mapsto \zeta v$ so that

$R := S^G = k[[x, y]]$ where $x = u^3, y = v^3$. Note S/R is ramified along $xy = 0$ with ramification index 3.

We let G act on $B := S^{3 \times 3}$ via the representation $b : G \rightarrow GL_3$ defined by

$$b_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \zeta & \\ & & \zeta^2 \end{pmatrix}, b_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \zeta^2 & \\ & & \zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let A be the order B^G which we note by theorem 7.1 is normal. Note that A is log terminal by theorem 5.4.

We view S as the completion of a graded ring which is graded by degree in u, v . Note that the action of G on B is graded and identifying ω_B with B , we see that its action on ω_B is also graded. The degree zero component of $A := B^G$ is $A_0 = k^3$, the set of diagonal matrices over k . The lowest degree component of ω_B^G is degree 1 and it is free of rank two over A_0 . Indeed it is generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} & v & \\ & & v \\ v & & \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & & u \\ u & & \\ & u & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence ω_A cannot be a free A -module of rank one and A is not Gorenstein. Note however, that if b_τ were chosen to be the same as b_σ above, then the order would have the same ramification data and be Gorenstein.

8.10 Conclusion

We conclude the following theorems from the above constructions.

Theorem 8.5 *A canonical order is Gorenstein.*

We also observe the following baby version of the McKay correspondence.

Theorem 8.6 *For a canonical order, the number of permissible modules for each type is $n + 1$, the number of components of the exceptional curve in the minimal terminal resolution plus one.*

Finally, given the G' -modules computed, it is easy to calculate the AR-quivers of all the canonical orders using proposition 4.5. They are listed in the appendices. We only remark the following interesting observations. A

permissible module is either an irreducible G' -module or the direct sum of two such. The latter case occurs precisely when the module are related by the Auslander-Reiten translate. Secondly, the AR-quivers for types T_n, DT_n are precisely the quotient quivers in table (5.28) of [A86]. This gives an intrinsic description of these quivers.

9 Appendix

In the table we give the possible ramification data of canonical orders. They are obtained by contracting the terminal resolutions (listed below). For each type we describe the centre and the discriminant. Most have smooth centre and, in this case, we let x, y denote coordinates of the centre. The ramification indices of the components C of the discriminant are listed under e_C . For each C , the ramification index of the cyclic cover \tilde{C} of the normalisation of C over the closed point p is given under e_p . For type BD_n we have $n \geq 3$ and for DT_n we have $n \geq 2$, while $n \geq 1$ in all other cases. The case C_2 is a degenerate version of BD_n which we separate from the others to make it clear what the AR-quiver is.

type	centre	discriminant	e_C	e_p
$A_n^1 D_n^1 E_{6,7,8}^1$	ADE -sing.	\emptyset	-	-
$A_{n,\xi}$	$z^{n+1} = xy$	z	e	e
$A_{12,\xi}$	smooth	xy	$2e$	e
BD_n	smooth	$x(y + x^{n-1})$ $(y - x^{n-1})$	2 2	2 1
B_n	smooth	$y^2 - x^{2n}$	2	1
T_n	smooth	$y^2 - x^{2n+2}$	2	2
BT_n	smooth	$y^2 - x^{2n+1}$	2	1
DT_n	smooth	$x(y^2 - x^{2n-1})$	2	2
C_2	smooth	$x(y + x)$ $(y - x)$	2 2	2 1

We list the intersection graphs for the minimal terminal resolution of canonical orders. The curves marked by \bullet are exceptional. The curves marked by \circ are in the discriminant D . The curves marked by \odot are exceptional curves in D . Each exceptional curve is labelled with the negative of its self-intersection above and its ramification index below. Curves with ramification index one are not in D . We write the possible types as $A_n^1, D_n^1, E_n^1, A_{12,\xi}, A_{n,\xi}, B_n, C_2, BD_n, T_n, BT_n, DT_n$. We do not include the

well known Dynkin graphs for types A_n^1, D_n^1 and E_6^1, E_7^1, E_8^1 . In these diagrams the triangles represent three curves meeting pairwise transversely at a single point, and the double connection indicates that the two curves meet tangentially.

Figure 1: Minimal Resolution of B_n




Figure 2: AR quiver of B_n




Figure 3: Minimal Resolution of $A_{n,\xi}$




Figure 4: AR quiver of $A_{n,\xi}$




Figure 5: Minimal Resolution of $A_{12,\xi}$




Figure 6: AR quiver of $A_{12,\xi}$




Figure 7: Minimal Resolution of C_2




Figure 8: AR quiver of C_2




Figure 9: Minimal Resolution of BD_n




Figure 10: AR quiver of BD_n




Figure 11: Minimal Resolution of BT_n




Figure 12: AR quiver of BT_n




Figure 13: Minimal Resolution of DT_n




Figure 14: AR quiver of DT_n




Figure 15: Minimal Resolution of T_n





Figure 16: AR quiver of T_n



References

- [A86] M. Artin, “Maximal Orders of global dimension and Krull dimension two”, *Invent. Math.*, **84**, (1986), p.195-222
- [AdeJ] M. Artin. J. de Jong, “Stable Orders over Surfaces,” in preparation.
- [Aus] M. Auslander, “Rational Singularities and Almost Split Sequences”, *Trans. AMS* **293** (1986), p.511-531
- [Chan] D. Chan, ‘Noncommutative Rational Double Points’. *Journal of Algebra* **232**(2), October 2000, p.725-766.
- [C-BH] W. Crawley-Boevey, T. Holland, “Noncommutative Deformations of Kleinian Singularities”, *Duke Math J.* vol. **92**, (1998), p.605-35
- [ChIn1] D. Chan, C. Ingalls, “Noncommutative Coordinate Rings and Stacks”, *Proc. of LMS* vol. 88 (2004), p.63-88
- [ChIn2] D. Chan, C. Ingalls, “The Minimal Model Program for Orders over Surfaces”, *Invent. Math.* **161** (2005), p.427-452
- [CHI] D. Chan, P. Hacking, C. Ingalls, “Conic bundles via non-commutative Geometry”, in preparation
- [CK1] D. Chan, R. Kulkarni, “Del Pezzo Orders on Projective Surfaces”, *Advances in Mathematics* **173** (2003), p.144-177.
- [CK2] D. Chan, R. Kulkarni, “Numerically Calabi-Yau Orders on Surfaces”, *Journal of the LMS* **72** (2005), p.571-584
- [JoZh] P. Jorgensen, J. Zhang “Gourmet’s Guide to Gorensteinness”, *Adv. Math.*, vol. **151**, (2000), p.313-45
- [KoMo] J. Kollar, S. Mori, “Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties”, *Cambridge Tracts in Math.* **134**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1998)
- [LVV] L. le Bruyn, M. Van den Bergh, F. Van Oystaeyen, “Graded Orders”, *Birkhauser, Boston*, (1988)
- [McR] J. C. McConnell, J. C. Robson, “Noncommutative Noetherian rings”, *American Mathematical Society, Providence*, (2001)

- [RVdB] I. Reiten, M. Van den Bergh, “Two-dimensional Tame and Maximal Orders of Finite Representation Type”, Mem. of the AMS Vol. **80** No. 408, July 1989
- [WuZh] C. Wu, J. Zhang, “Dualizing Complexes over Noncommutative Local Algebras”, J. Algebra vol. **239**, (2001), p.513-548