

THE DIVISION MAP OF PRINCIPAL BUNDLES WITH GROUPOID STRUCTURE AND GENERALIZED GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS

CARLO A. ROSSI

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the computations done in [9], where I introduced and discussed what I called the groupoid of generalized gauge transformations, viewed as a groupoid over the objects of the category $\text{Bun}_{G,M}$ of principal G -bundles over a given manifold M , I develop in this paper the same ideas for the more general case of *principal \mathcal{G} -bundles or principal bundles with structure groupoid \mathcal{G}* , where now \mathcal{G} is a Lie groupoid in the sense of [7].

Most of the concepts introduced in [9] can be translated almost verbatim in the framework of principal bundles with structure groupoid \mathcal{G} ; in particular, the key rôle for the construction of generalized gauge transformations is again played by (the equivalent in the framework of principal bundles with groupoid structure of) the division map ϕ_P . Of great importance are also the generalized conjugation in a groupoid and the concept of (twisted) equivariant maps between groupoid-spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Background definitions: groupoids and Lie groupoids	3
2.1. Some examples of Lie groupoids	5
3. General constructions for Lie groupoids: product groupoid, opposite groupoid and groupoid actions	6
3.1. The product groupoid of two groupoids \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}	7
3.2. The opposite groupoid	7
3.3. Left- and right \mathcal{G} actions for the groupoid \mathcal{G}	8
3.4. Twisted equivariant maps between groupoid-spaces	11
4. Principal bundles with structure groupoid	12
4.1. The unit bundle of a groupoid \mathcal{G} and the trivial bundle	14
4.2. Product bundle and fibred product of bundles	16
4.3. Properties of the division map ϕ_P	18
5. Equivariant morphisms between principal bundles and generalized gauge transformations	19
5.1. Equivariant maps between principal bundles	19
5.2. Generalized gauge transformations	21
5.3. Invariance of the division map w.r.t. bundle isomorphisms	27
5.4. The groupoid of generalized gauge transformations	27
References	31

C. A. Rossi acknowledges partial support from the Aly Kaufman Fellowship.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [9], in order to construct explicit isomorphisms between principal bundles on the space of loops in a manifold M , obtained by pulling back a fixed principal bundle P over M w.r.t. different kinds of evaluation maps, I introduced the concept of *generalized gauge transformation*: namely, as G -equivariant (auto)morphisms of a principal G -bundle P over M correspond in a bijective way to G -equivariant maps from P to G , fibre-preserving, G -equivariant bundle morphisms between two (a priori) distinct principal G -bundles over the same base space M correspond to $G \times G$ -equivariant maps from the fibred product bundle of the considered bundles to G , which may be viewed as a representation of $G \times G$. Later, I applied this correspondence to the parallel transport w.r.t. a given connection, which can be seen, directly from its well-known G -equivariance properties w.r.t. initial and final points, as a $G \times G$ -equivariant map from the fibred product of $ev_0^* P$ with $ev^* P$, where P is a fixed principal G -bundle over M , and ev_0 , resp. ev , denotes the evaluation map at the initial point, resp. the usual evaluation map; therefore, there exist an explicit bundle isomorphism between $ev_0^* P$ and $ev^* P$.

The main tool for establishing the correspondence

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\text{bundle morphisms between } G\text{-bundles}\} \Leftrightarrow \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{G \times G\text{-equivariant maps from fibred products to } G\} \end{aligned}$$

is the existence of a canonical map ϕ_P , attached to any principal G -bundle P ; this map, which is called by MacKenzie [5] the *division map* of P , contains all the informations one needs to characterize the fact that the group G acts on P freely and transitively on each fiber. Actually, the data of a G -invariant surjective submersion from P to G , together with the division map ϕ_P , characterize completely a principal G -bundle; the construction of trivializations of P is done explicitly by means of the division map ϕ_P , see [5].

Let me trace a short story of principal bundles with structure groupoid. The notion of division map for ordinary principal bundles has an analogon in the framework of principal bundles with structure groupoid, i.e. when one takes a smooth manifold P (possibly non-Hausdorff), on which a Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} operates, together with a surjective submersion π onto a smooth manifold M (this, in turn, Hausdorff), such that π is \mathcal{G} -invariant, and the action of \mathcal{G} is free and transitive on each fiber of π . In fact, the concept of principal bundle with structure groupoid arises naturally in the context of foliations: possibly, the first appearance of this concept was in [2], and later in [3], where the authors examine the monodromy and holonomy groupoid of a foliated manifold. Let me say a word on [2] and [3]: they prefer to stress the local aspect of principal bundles with groupoid structure, namely they consider mainly a version of nonabelian Čech cohomology for groupoids, and view principal bundles with groupoid structure (or, to be more precise, isomorphisms classes thereof) as Čech cohomology classes on the base space with values in the structure groupoid. Although this point of view is correct and, from a certain point of view, more fruitful than the one we are going to discuss, they do not mention an important piece of the picture, namely the existence of what I call *local momenta*; I prefer to skip in this paper any local discussion of principal bundles with structure groupoid, deserving to it a subsequent paper [10].

In particular, the importance of principal bundles with structure groupoid lies in the notion of *generalized morphisms between Lie groupoids* and the strongly related notion of *Morita equivalences*: these correspond, roughly, to right principal bundles w.r.t. the action of one groupoid, on which another groupoid (a priori distinct) operates from the left in a compatible way, respectively freely, transitively and in a compatible way to the former

right action. Connes [2] also introduced these concepts for Lie groupoids, again from a local point of view, using nonabelian Čech cohomology; later, Hilsun and Skandalis [4] devoted a huge amount of work to generalized morphisms and Morita equivalences.

Approaching later the above subject from a global point of view, Mørdijk [6] introduces the notion of division map for a principal bundle with structure groupoid; here, the notion of (global) momentum appears explicitly in connection to the division map, and the pair formed by (global) momentum and division map is called by Mørdijk a *cocycle on M with values in a Lie groupoid*, where M is the base space of a given principal bundle with structure groupoid. The notion of cocycle on M with values in a Lie groupoid is equivalent to the global definition of principal bundle with structure groupoid that Mrcun [8] adopts for examining in detail the properties of generalized groupoids; this is also illustrated in detail in the book [7].

The paper is then organized as follows: Section 2 is simply a review of the main notions concerning Groupoids and Lie Groupoids, the only new thing being (as far as I know) the notion of *generalized conjugation in a groupoid*, which is one of the basic notions needed in the rest of the paper. In Section 4, I define principal bundles with structure groupoid following [8] and [7]: I will review some basic examples and I will introduce the concept of fibred product of two principal bundles with structure groupoid. Later, I will introduce the division map of a principal bundle (the terminology is borrowed from the context of ordinary principal bundles, following [5]) and I will discuss in detail its properties. In Section 5, I will introduce the notion of bundle morphism between principal bundles with structure groupoid and of *generalized gauge transformation*; later, using the division map, I will establish the explicit correspondence (1.1), leading to the notion of *groupoid of generalized gauge transformations*. I devote a small subsection to the invariance property of the division map w.r.t. bundle morphisms; this will play a pivotal rôle in [10], where I plan to discuss in detail the local nature of principal bundles, and hence of generalized morphisms and Morita equivalences between Lie groupoids in the sense specified above.

I plan to look in the future for possible applications of the correspondence (1.1) in the framework of gauge theory for principal bundles with structure groupoids: namely, it would be an interesting task to introduce the notion of Topological Quantum Field Theory, like e.g. Chern–Simons theory, higher-dimensional BF -theories in the framework of principal bundles with structure groupoid: in the ordinary case, such constructions rely mainly on notions like principal bundles, associated bundles, connections, etc. . . . Once one would have introduced and discussed extensively such notions, Correspondence (1.1) would be a pivotal element in the construction of iterated integrals à la Chen, representing holonomy, parallel transport and, more generally, borrowing terms from [1], generalized holonomy, which are among the main constructions in Topological Field Theories like Chern–Simons Theory and higher-dimensional BF Theories.

Acknowledgment. I thank A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder for many inspiring suggestions and corrections; I also acknowledge the pleasant atmosphere at the Department of Mathematics of the Technion, where this work was (finally) accomplished.

2. BACKGROUND DEFINITIONS: GROUPOIDS AND LIE GROUPOIDS

In this section I fix the main notations and conventions regarding the main objects that I consider throughout the paper, namely *Lie groupoids*; to begin with, it is better to introduce the concept of a general groupoid.

Definition 2.1. A *groupoid* is a 6-tuple $(\mathcal{G}, X_{\mathcal{G}}, s_{\mathcal{G}}, t_{\mathcal{G}}, \iota_{\mathcal{G}}, j_{\mathcal{G}})$, where \mathcal{G} and $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ are two sets (called respectively the *set of arrows* and the *set of points* or (more commonly) *objects*,

$s_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $t_{\mathcal{G}}$ are two surjective maps from \mathcal{G} to $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ (called respectively the *source map* or *source* and the *target map* or *target*), $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a map from $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ to \mathcal{G} (called the *identity*) and $j_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a map from \mathcal{G} to itself (called the *inversion*); furthermore, introducing the subset of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ of *composable arrows*, denoted by \mathcal{G}_2 , defined via

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = \{(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} : s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2)\},$$

there is an operation from \mathcal{G}_2 to \mathcal{G} , the *product of the groupoid* \mathcal{G} ,

$$\mathcal{G}_2 \ni (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1 g_2.$$

The following axioms must be satisfied

- i) for any composable couple $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{G}_2$, it holds

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1 g_2) = s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2), \quad t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1 g_2) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1).$$

- ii) **(Identity axiom)** For any $x \in X_{\mathcal{G}}$, it holds

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}(\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(x)) = x = t_{\mathcal{G}}(\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(x));$$

furthermore, for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$, it holds

$$\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(t_{\mathcal{G}}(g))g = g = g\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(s_{\mathcal{G}}(g)).$$

- iii) **(Inversion axiom)** For any $g \in \mathcal{G}$, it holds

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}(j_{\mathcal{G}}(g)) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(g), \quad t_{\mathcal{G}}(j_{\mathcal{G}}(g)) = s_{\mathcal{G}}(g);$$

furthermore, the following identities must hold

$$g j_{\mathcal{G}}(g) = \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(t_{\mathcal{G}}(g)), \quad j_{\mathcal{G}}(g)g = \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(s_{\mathcal{G}}(g)).$$

- iv) **(Associativity)** For any *composable triple* (g_1, g_2, g_3) , i.e. any triple obeying

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_3) = s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2), \quad t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2) = s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1),$$

the identity has to be satisfied

$$(g_1 g_2) g_3 = g_1 (g_2 g_3).$$

Remark 2.2. Working in the categorical framework, one could speak of a groupoid as of a category \mathcal{G} , whose morphisms are all invertible.

I introduce the following notations: for any two points (or objects) x, y of $X_{\mathcal{G}}$, the set $\mathcal{G}_{x,y}$ is defined via

$$\mathcal{G}_{x,y} := \{g \in \mathcal{G} : s_{\mathcal{G}}(g) = x, \quad t_{\mathcal{G}}(g) = y\}.$$

Furthermore, the fibre at $x \in X_{\mathcal{G}}$ of the source map $s_{\mathcal{G}}$, resp. of the target map $t_{\mathcal{G}}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{x,\bullet}$, resp. $\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,x}$. Observe that, for any $x \in X_{\mathcal{G}}$, the set $\mathcal{G}_{x,x}$ is a group, called the *isotropy group at x*: its multiplication is well-defined, as, for any $x \in X_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{x,x} \subset \mathcal{G}_2$, it is associative. There is also a unit element, which is simply $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(x)$; the inverse of an element g is clearly $j_{\mathcal{G}}(g)$.

For the sake of simplicity, a groupoid is denoted simply by \mathcal{G} (i.e. by its set of arrows), instead of writing down the complete 6-tuple $(\mathcal{G}, X_{\mathcal{G}}, s_{\mathcal{G}}, t_{\mathcal{G}}, \iota_{\mathcal{G}}, j_{\mathcal{G}})$.

The concept of homomorphism of groupoids (or simply morphism of groupoids) is also needed.

Definition 2.3. Given two groupoids \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} , a *homomorphism from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{H}* (or simply a morphism from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{H}), consists of a couple (Φ, φ) , where *i*) Φ is a map from the set of arrows \mathcal{G} to the set of arrows \mathcal{H} , and *ii*) φ is a map from the set of objects $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ to the set of points $X_{\mathcal{H}}$, obeying the following requirements:

- i) **(Compatibility between the groupoid structures)** the three diagrams must commute

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{H} & & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{H} & & X_{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X_{\mathcal{H}} \\ s_{\mathcal{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow s_{\mathcal{H}} & , & t_{\mathcal{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow t_{\mathcal{H}} & \text{and} & \iota_{\mathcal{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{\mathcal{H}} \\ X_{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X_{\mathcal{H}} & & X_{\mathcal{H}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X_{\mathcal{H}} & & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{H} \end{array} .$$

- ii) **(Homomorphism property)** For any composable pair $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{G}_2$, the identity must hold

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(g_1 g_2) = \Phi(g_1) \Phi(g_2).$$

Remark 2.4. In the categorical language, a morphism from the groupoid \mathcal{G} to the groupoid \mathcal{H} is a functor between the two categories.

Remark 2.5. Notice that the commutativity of the diagrams (2.1) and Identity (2.2) imply together that

$$\Phi \circ j_{\mathcal{G}} = j_{\mathcal{H}} \circ \Phi.$$

Now, having introduced, the notion of groupoid, we are ready to introduce and discuss the notion of Lie groupoid.

Definition 2.6. A *Lie groupoid* \mathcal{G} is a groupoid in the sense of Definition 2.1, such that the set of objects $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ has the structure of a smooth manifold (which has to be Hausdorff as a topological space) and the set of arrows \mathcal{G} has the structure of a smooth (but perhaps not Hausdorff and even not second-countable) manifold; moreover, the source map $s_{\mathcal{G}}$ has to be a smooth epimorphism (i.e. a surjective map with surjective tangent map at each point), with Hausdorff fibres, and all other structure maps are smooth maps. Accordingly, the set of arrows is now called the *manifold of arrows*, while the set of objects is called the *manifold of objects*.

Remark 2.7. Notice that, for a Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} , the identity map $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$ is smooth. The Identity Axiom for the groupoid \mathcal{G} implies immediately that also the target map is surjective; moreover, it follows, from the smoothness of $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$, that the target map is also a smooth submersion. Moreover, since both source map and target map are surjective submersions, it follows that the set of composable “arrows” \mathcal{G}_2 inherits the structure of a smooth manifold, since it is the restriction to the diagonal of the product $X_{\mathcal{G}} \times X_{\mathcal{G}}$ of the smooth manifold

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{G}}) \times t_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{G}}).$$

According to Definition 2.6, a homomorphism between Lie groupoids is a homomorphism in the sense of Definition 2.3, where both maps of the pair (Φ, φ) are smooth maps.

2.1. Some examples of Lie groupoids. Before going further, let me discuss some examples of Lie groupoids.

- a) Any Lie group G is by itself a Lie groupoid; namely, consider the group G as the manifold of arrows and a point \star as the manifold of objects. The source map and the target map are thus trivial, since they associate, to any g , the point \star ; the identity associates to \star the usual identity of G and the inversion map is simply $g \mapsto g^{-1}$, the inverse in group-theoretic sense. The group axioms ensure that G with the above structure is a groupoid.

- b) If G is a Lie group and M is a manifold acted on smoothly from the left by G , define the *action groupoid* as the product $G \times M$ as manifold of arrows and M as the manifold of objects. The source map is simply the projection onto the second factor, while the target map is given by the left action; finally, the multiplication is defined via the assignment

$$(g_1, m_1)(g_2, m_2) := (g_1 g_2, m_1), \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G, m_1, m_2 \in M.$$

The action groupoid associated to a group G and a left G -set M is commonly denoted by $G \ltimes M$.

- c) The *fundamental groupoid* $\Pi(M)$ over a manifold M is defined as follows: the manifold M itself is the set of points. For any two points x, y , the set of arrows $\Pi!(M)_{x,y}$ from x to y is the set of all homotopy classes of paths from x to y , relative to endpoints (thus, the isotropy group at x of the fundamental groupoid of M is the fundamental group $\pi_1(M, x)$ based at the point x). The source map and the target map of the fundamental groupoid are then obvious; its multiplication is in turn induced simply by the composition of composable paths, which is compatible with homotopies fixing endpoints.
- d) Given a manifold M , there is a natural Lie groupoid associated to M , namely the *product groupoid of M with itself*: the manifold of arrows is the product manifold $M \times M$, while the manifold of objects is M itself. The source map is given by projection onto the second factor, while the target map is given by projection onto the first factor; the identity map is simply the diagonal immersion of M into the product of M with itself. Multiplication is then naturally given by

$$(x_1, x_2)(x_2, x_3) := (x_1, x_3).$$

- e) The *gauge groupoid* $\mathcal{G}(P)$ associated to a principal G -bundle P over the manifold M is defined as follows: consider the orbit space of the diagonal action of G on the product $P \times P$ as the manifold of arrows, and the base manifold M of P as the manifold of points. The target map is given by the composition of the projection onto the first factor with the projection π from P to M ; the source map is in turn induced by the composition of the projection onto the second factor with the map π . The product is defined so, that the quotient map from the product groupoid $P \times P$ onto the gauge groupoid is a homomorphism of Lie groupoids; without going into the details, let me just say that the product is explicitly constructed via the *division map of P* , for which I refer e.g. to [5] or [9] for more details. Let me notice at the end that the manifold of arrows of the gauge groupoid may be identified with the total space of the bundle associated to the left action of G on P induced by the right action of G on P ; this is useful when discussing *principal bundles with structure groupoid the gauge groupoid of P* .

3. GENERAL CONSTRUCTIONS FOR LIE GROUPOIDS: PRODUCT GROUPOID, OPPOSITE GROUPOID AND GROUPOID ACTIONS

In this Section, I display some general constructions in the theory of groupoids; in particular, I discuss the concept of product groupoid, and, in more details, the concept of left and right \mathcal{G} -spaces, for a general groupoid \mathcal{G} . In particular, I introduce the notion of *generalized conjugation for groupoids*: it is well-known that it is not possible to define conjugation for general groupoids (due to the fact that not all arrows are composable), but as we will see later that for a general groupoid \mathcal{G} it is possible nonetheless to define two

distinct actions of the product groupoid of \mathcal{G} with itself on \mathcal{G} , both inducing the usual conjugation on each isotropy group \mathcal{G}_x . Finally, I introduce the concept of (twisted) equivariant maps between left (and right) groupoid spaces, where the actions may come from distinct groupoids; this is the main notion that I need in order to study equivariant morphisms between principal bundles with structure groupoid from the point of view of generalized gauge transformations.

Let me end the introduction to the topics of this section with a caveat:

From now on, every groupoid \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{H} is meant to be a Lie groupoid; I will explicitly specify if otherwise.

3.1. The product groupoid of two groupoids \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{H} . Given two groupoids \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} , with respective source, target, identity maps and inversions, we may form the *product groupoid of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}* by setting

- i) the product manifold $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}$ as the manifold of arrows of the product groupoid;
- ii) the product manifold $X_{\mathcal{G}} \times X_{\mathcal{H}}$ as the manifold of objects of the product groupoid;
- iii) the map

$$s_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}(g, h) := (s_{\mathcal{G}}(g), s_{\mathcal{H}}(h)), \quad \forall (g, h) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H},$$

as the source map of the product groupoid;

- iv) the map

$$t_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}(g, h) := (t_{\mathcal{G}}(g), t_{\mathcal{H}}(h)), \quad \forall (g, h) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H},$$

as the target map of the product groupoid;

- v) the map

$$\iota_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}(x, y) := (\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(x), \iota_{\mathcal{H}}(y)), \quad \forall (x, y) \in X_{\mathcal{G}} \times X_{\mathcal{H}},$$

as the identity of the product groupoid;

- vi) the map

$$j_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}(g, h) := (j_{\mathcal{G}}(g), j_{\mathcal{H}}(h)), \quad \forall (g, h) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H},$$

as the inversion of the product groupoid;

- vii) the partial product of the product groupoid is defined by the assignment

$$(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2) := (g_1 g_2, h_1 h_2), \quad s_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}(g_1, h_1) = t_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}(g_2, h_2).$$

We notice that the definition of product makes sense by the very definition of the source and target map in the product groupoid.

It is immediate to check that all axioms of (Lie) groupoid are satisfied: in particular, the product of two Lie groupoids is again a Lie groupoid, as the product of smooth manifolds is again smooth, and the product of smooth maps is again smooth. Finally, the product of the source maps is clearly surjective, and, by definition of tangent map, it is clearly a submersion, as both its factors are submersions.

3.2. The opposite groupoid. Let me discuss briefly the notion of *opposite groupoid* of a groupoid \mathcal{G} , which I denote by \mathcal{G}^{op} ; the opposite groupoid is a useful notion, when one wants to switch from a left \mathcal{G} -action to a right \mathcal{G} -action and viceversa. One sets:

- i) the manifold of arrows \mathcal{G} of the initial groupoid as the manifold of arrows of the opposite groupoid;
- ii) the manifold of objects $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ of the initial groupoid as the manifold of objects of the opposite groupoid;

iii) the map

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}^{op} := t_{\mathcal{G}}$$

as the source map of the opposite groupoid;

iv) the map

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}^{op} := s_{\mathcal{G}}$$

as the target map of the opposite groupoid;

v) the map

$$\iota_{\mathcal{G}}^{op} := \iota_{\mathcal{G}}$$

as the identity of the opposite groupoid;

vi) the map

$$j_{\mathcal{G}}^{op} := j_{\mathcal{G}}$$

as the inversion of the opposite groupoid;

vii) the product \cdot_{op} , defined via

$$g_1 \cdot_{op} g_2 := g_2 g_1, \quad s_{\mathcal{G}}^{op}(g_1) = t_{\mathcal{G}}^{op}(g_2),$$

as the product on the opposite groupoid.

It is easy to check that the opposite groupoid, as defined above, satisfies all groupoid axioms of Definition 2.1. It is also easy to check that the opposite groupoid of a Lie groupoid is also a Lie groupoid: namely, the manifold of arrows, as well as the manifold of objects, are the same for both groupoids. As remarked in Section 1 of Chapter 1 of [7] and in Remark 2.7 of Section 2, in a Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} , the target map is also a smooth submersion, thus the source map of the opposite groupoid is a smooth submersion. Finally, one can check immediately that the pair $(j_{\mathcal{G}}, \text{id}_{X_{\mathcal{G}}})$ is an isomorphism of groupoids from \mathcal{G} to its opposite.

3.3. Left- and right \mathcal{G} actions for the groupoid \mathcal{G} . Given now a groupoid \mathcal{G} and a smooth manifold M , I want to clarify the notion of left \mathcal{G} -action on M ; the notion of right \mathcal{G} -action is similar, and I mention it briefly.

Definition 3.1. A left \mathcal{G} -action of the groupoid \mathcal{G} on the (smooth) manifold M consists of a 3-tuple (M, J_M, Ψ_M) , where *i*) J_M is a smooth map from M to the manifold of objects $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ of the groupoid \mathcal{G} (called the *momentum of the action* or, more briefly, the *momentum*, and *ii*) Ψ_M is a smooth map from $\mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M$ to M , where

$$\mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M := \{(g, m) \in \mathcal{G} \times M : s_{\mathcal{G}}(g) = J_M(m)\}.$$

It is customary to write

$$\Psi_M(g, m) := gm$$

(Usually, one speaks also of left \mathcal{G} -action w.r.t. the momentum J_M .)

Moreover, the following requirements must hold

i)

$$J_M(gm) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(g), \quad \forall (g, m) \in \mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M;$$

ii)

$$g_1(g_2 m) = (g_1 g_2) m, \quad \forall (g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{G}_2, (g_1 g_2, m) \in \mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M;$$

Observe that Condition *i*) implies that the previous identity is well-defined.

iii)

$$\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(J_M(m)) m = m, \quad \forall m \in M.$$

Remark 3.2. Notice that the set $\mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M$ is in fact a manifold, as it is the pull-back w.r.t. the momentum J_M of the smooth fibration over X_G defined by the source map.

Remark 3.3. The definition of right \mathcal{G} -action is similar, the only difference being that one has to switch the rôles of the source and target maps; consequently, the map Ψ_M goes from the product $M \times_{J_M} \mathcal{G}$ to M , and is denoted by

$$\Psi_M(m, g) := mg.$$

Equivalently, a right \mathcal{G} -action is a left \mathcal{G}^{op} -action, and the switch between the two actions is provided by the inversion j_G .

One says that a left groupoid action of \mathcal{G} with momentum J_M on a manifold M is *free*, if the following condition holds:

$$gm = m, \quad s_G(g) = J_M(m) \Rightarrow g = \iota_G(J_M(m)).$$

This implies that, for any $x \in X_G$, any isotropy group $\mathcal{G}_{x,x}$ operates freely (in the usual sense) on the fibre $J_M^{-1}(\{x\})$. (The concept of freeness for a right \mathcal{G} -action is similar.) On the other hand, one says that a left \mathcal{G} -action with momentum J_M on a manifold M is *transitive*, if the following requirement holds:

$$\forall m, \tilde{m} \in M, \exists g \in \mathcal{G}_{J_M(m), J_M(\tilde{m})} \quad \text{such that } \tilde{m} = gm.$$

(The definition of transitivity of a right \mathcal{G} -action is similar.)

Remark 3.4. Notice that, if a left (or right) \mathcal{G} -action with momentum J_M on a manifold M is free and transitive, the transitivity condition may be restated as

$$\forall m, \tilde{m} \in M, \exists! g \in \mathcal{G}_{J_M(m), J_M(\tilde{m})} \quad \text{such that } \tilde{m} = gm.$$

3.3.1. *The generalized conjugation of \mathcal{G} .* As I have already remarked at the beginning of the Section, a groupoid does not admit a natural notion of conjugation as a usual Lie group. In fact, in a usual Lie group, the conjugation by an element g of an element h is given by the formula ghg^{-1} . The natural notion of conjugation for a groupoid would be then to consider conjugation on any isotropy group \mathcal{G}_x , which is clearly a Lie group, and corresponds naturally to the conjugation for a usual Lie group, since, in this case, any isotropy group is equal to the groupoid itself; but this definition is too restrictive. In fact, one needs a momentum J_G from the manifold of arrows \mathcal{G} to the manifold of objects X_G and a left action map Ψ_G from $\mathcal{G} \times_{J_G} \mathcal{G}$ to \mathcal{G} , obeying the three requirements of Definition 3.1; the left action map, intuitively, has to take the form, whenever it makes sense,

$$(g, h) \xrightarrow{\Psi_G} ghg^{-1}.$$

The conjugation equation requires, by its very definition, that

$$t_G(h) = s_G(g), \quad s_G(h) = t_G(g^{-1}) = s_G(g) \Rightarrow t_G(h) = s_G(h).$$

Thus, the usual conjugation makes sense only on the isotropy groups $\mathcal{G}_{x,x}$, for any $x \in X_G$. On the other hand, for any Lie group G , it is possible to construct four distinct actions of the product $G \times G$ on G itself, namely

$$\begin{aligned} ((g_1, g_2), g_3) &\mapsto g_1 g_3 g_2^{-1}, \\ ((g_1, g_2), g_3) &\mapsto g_2 g_3 g_1^{-1}, \\ (g_3, (g_1, g_2)) &\mapsto g_1^{-1} g_3 g_2, \\ (g_3, (g_1, g_2)) &\mapsto g_2^{-1} g_3 g_1. \end{aligned}$$

All four actions are clearly smooth; the two first actions are left actions, while the remaining two are right actions. There is a natural subgroup of the product $G \times G$, namely the diagonal subgroup, which is naturally isomorphic to G ; when restricting the two first actions of $G \times G$ on the diagonal subgroup, one gets the same action of G on G , which is the left conjugation of G , as the following easy computation shows

$$(g, h) \mapsto ((g, g), h) \mapsto ghg^{-1} = c(g)h.$$

Similarly, the restriction to the diagonal subgroup of the two right actions of $G \times G$ equals the conjugation of G composed with the inversion $g \mapsto g^{-1}$, which is the right conjugation of G . Therefore, the (left or right) conjugation of G can be viewed as particular cases of two more general actions of the product $G \times G$ on G , which I call the *generalized conjugation of G* .

As the following arguments show, the generalized conjugation of groups admits a natural extension to Lie groupoids, which I also call the *generalized conjugation of Lie groupoids*. The first ingredient one needs is a *momentum* for the action:

$$J_c(g) := (t_{\mathcal{G}}(g), s_{\mathcal{G}}(g)), \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Consequently, the manifold $\mathcal{G}^2 \times_{J_c} \mathcal{G}$, where the action makes sense, has the form

$$\mathcal{G}^2 \times_{J_c} \mathcal{G} = \left\{ (g_1, g_2; g_3) \in \mathcal{G}^3 : \begin{cases} s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_3) \\ s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2) = s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_3) \end{cases} \right\}.$$

It makes thus sense to define a map Ψ_c from $\mathcal{G}^2 \times_{J_c} \mathcal{G}$ to \mathcal{G} as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad \Psi_c(g_1, g_2; g_3) := g_1 g_3 g_2^{-1},$$

where I set for simplicity $g_2^{-1} := j_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2)$.

Proposition 3.5. *The triple $(\mathcal{G}^2, J_c, \Psi_c)$ defines a left \mathcal{G}^2 -action on \mathcal{G} , which we call the generalized conjugation of \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. First of all, we notice that the maps J_c and Ψ_c are smooth on their domains of definitions.

We then compute, for any triple $(g_1, g_2; g_3)$ in $\mathcal{G}^2 \times_{J_c} \mathcal{G}$, the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} (J_c \circ \Psi_c)(g_1, g_2; g_3) &= J_c(g_1 g_3 g_2^{-1}) = \\ &\stackrel{\text{by definition of } J_c}{=} (t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1 g_3 g_2^{-1}), s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1 g_3 g_2^{-1})) = \\ &= (t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1), s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2^{-1})) = \\ &= (t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1), t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2)) = \\ &= t_{\mathcal{G}^2}(g_1, g_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first requirement for $(\mathcal{G}^2, J_c, \Psi_c)$ to be a left \mathcal{G}^2 action.

Second, we compute explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_c(g_1, g_2; \Psi_c(h_1, h_2; g_3)) &= \Psi_c(g_1, g_2; h_1 g_3 h_2^{-1}) = \\ &= g_1 (h_1 g_3 h_2^{-1}) g_2^{-1} = \\ &= (g_1 h_1) g_3 (g_2 h_2)^{-1} = \\ &= \Psi_c(g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2; g_3), \end{aligned}$$

whenever the identity makes sense.

Finally, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_c(\iota_{\mathcal{G}^2}(J_c(g)); g) &= \Psi_c(\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(t_{\mathcal{G}}(g)), \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(s_{\mathcal{G}}(g)); g) = \\ &= \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(t_{\mathcal{G}}(g))g\iota_{\mathcal{G}}(s_{\mathcal{G}}(g))^{-1} = \\ &= g, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{G},\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof of the Proposition. \square

Remark 3.6. There is a similar (still distinct!) left \mathcal{G}^2 -action on \mathcal{G} ; in fact, one could consider the map \overline{J}_c from \mathcal{G} to $X_{\mathcal{G}} \times X_{\mathcal{G}}$ given by

$$\overline{J}_c(g) := (s_{\mathcal{G}}(g), t_{\mathcal{G}}(g)),$$

whence

$$\mathcal{G}^2 \times_{\overline{J}_c} \mathcal{G} = \left\{ (g_1, g_2; g_3) \in \mathcal{G}^3 : \begin{cases} s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1) = s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_3) \\ s_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_3) \end{cases} \right\},$$

and the map $\overline{\Psi}_c$ from $\mathcal{G}^2 \times_{\overline{J}_c} \mathcal{G}$ to \mathcal{G} via

$$\overline{\Psi}_c(g_1, g_2; g_3) := g_2 g_3 g_1^{-1}.$$

It is not difficult to verify that the triple $(\mathcal{G}, \overline{J}_c, \overline{\Psi}_c)$ defines also a left \mathcal{G}^2 -action on \mathcal{G} .

Remark 3.7. Notice that the maps J_c and \overline{J}_c define also right \mathcal{G}^2 -actions on \mathcal{G} , the *right generalized conjugations*: namely, on the set $\mathcal{G} \times_{J_c} \mathcal{G}^2$, resp. $\mathcal{G} \times_{\overline{J}_c} \mathcal{G}^2$, we define the map Ψ_c^R , resp. $\overline{\Psi}_c^R$, by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}(g_3; g_1, g_2) &\xrightarrow{\Psi_c^R} g_1^{-1} g_3 g_2, \quad \text{resp.} \\ (g_3; g_1, g_2) &\xrightarrow{\overline{\Psi}_c^R} g_2^{-1} g_3 g_1.\end{aligned}$$

3.4. Twisted equivariant maps between groupoid-spaces. I define and discuss briefly the concept of *equivariant map between groupoid-spaces*. For simplicity, by groupoid-space, I mean here a manifold M acted on from the left by a groupoid \mathcal{G} .

For our purposes, I will consider the most general situation, namely a left \mathcal{G} -space (M, J_M, Ψ_M) and a left \mathcal{H} -space (N, J_N, Ψ_N) , where \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} are two groupoids and M, N are two manifolds.

Definition 3.8. A *(twisted) equivariant map* between the left \mathcal{G} -space M and the left \mathcal{H} -space N consists of a triple (Θ, Φ, φ) , where Θ is a smooth map from the manifold M to the manifold N , and the pair (Φ, φ) is a morphism from the groupoid \mathcal{G} to the groupoid \mathcal{H} in the sense of Definition 2.3.

Moreover, the following two diagrams must commute:

i)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & N \\ J_M \downarrow & & \downarrow J_N \\ X_{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X_{\mathcal{H}} \end{array};$$

ii)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi \times \Theta} & \mathcal{H} \times_{J_N} N \\ \Psi_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & N \end{array}$$

Remark 3.9. The first commutative diagram in Definition 3.8 implies that $\Phi \times \Theta$ maps really the manifold $\mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M$, where the \mathcal{G} -action is well-defined, to the manifold $\mathcal{H} \times_{J_N} N$, where the \mathcal{H} -action is well-defined, as the following explicit computation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_N(\Theta(m)) &= \varphi(J_M(m)) = \\ &= \varphi(s_{\mathcal{G}}(g)) = \\ &= s_{\mathcal{H}}(\Phi(g)), \quad \forall (g, m) \in \mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M. \end{aligned}$$

Usually, the second diagram may be rewritten as the identity:

$$\Theta(gm) = \Phi(g)\Theta(m), \quad \forall (g, m) \in \mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M,$$

which corresponds clearly to the usual definition of (twisted by Φ) equivariance of a map Θ from a left G -space to a left H -space, for G, H usual groups.

The concept of (twisted) equivariant map between right groupoid-spaces is similar, the only difference being that one has to invert the factors in the products $\mathcal{G} \times_{J_M} M, \mathcal{H} \times_{J_N} N$ and $\Phi \times \Theta$, or, equivalently, to switch to the opposite groupoids.

4. PRINCIPAL BUNDLES WITH STRUCTURE GROUPOID

An important notion in differential geometry is that of *principal bundle*: a principal bundle P with structure group G over the manifold M is a triple (P, π, M) , where P and M are both smooth manifolds, π is a surjective submersion from P to M (i.e. a map whose tangent map at any point p of P is surjective) such that the following requirements hold:

- i) the group G acts freely from the right on P ;
- ii) the projection π is G -invariant:

$$\pi(pg) = \pi(p), \quad \forall p \in P, g \in G;$$

- iii) P is *locally trivial* in the following sense: given a point $x \in M$, there exists an open neighbourhood $U = U_x$ of x in M and a diffeomorphism φ_U

$$\varphi_U: \pi^{-1}(U) \mapsto U \times G,$$

and φ_U is G -equivariant

$$\phi_U(pg) = \phi_U(p)g,$$

where G acts from the right on $U \times G$ by right multiplication on the second factor of any pair in $U \times G$, and satisfies the equation

$$\text{pr}_1 \circ \varphi_U = \pi,$$

where pr_1 denotes projection onto the first factor of any pair in $U \times G$.

The trivial principal bundle over M is simply the triple $(M \times G, \text{pr}_1, M)$, where G acts from the right on the product manifold $M \times G$ by right multiplication on the second factor of any pair.

I give now the notion of principal bundle with groupoid structure, namely, I want to define an analogue of principal bundles in the above sense, where I replace the structure group G by a more general groupoid \mathcal{G} . The natural concepts appearing in the theory of usual principal bundles that may be translated immediately to the theory of principal bundles with structure groupoid are that of right \mathcal{G} -space and of surjective submersion; it remains therefore to give a criterion which in some sense mimics the ‘‘triviality condition’’.

Definition 4.1. A principal bundle P with groupoid structure \mathcal{G} over the manifold M is a 4-tuple (P, π, ε, M) , where *i*) P and M are smooth manifolds and *ii*) the pair (P, ε) defines a structure of right \mathcal{G} -space on P (we drop the right action map, denoting it simply by a product or, when needed, by Ψ).

Moreover, the following requirements must hold:

- i) the map π is a surjective submersion from P to M .
- ii) The map π is \mathcal{G} -invariant, i.e. the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & P \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \end{array} .$$

- iii) The map (pr_1, Ψ) defined via

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{pr}_1, \Psi) : P \times_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow P \times_M P, \\ (p, g) &\mapsto (p, pg), \end{aligned}$$

is a diffeomorphism; by $P \times_M P$, we mean

$$P \times_M P := \{(p, q) \in P \times P : \pi(p) = \pi(q)\}.$$

Remark 4.2. The notion of principal bundles with structure groupoid as in the previous definition is not new: in fact, it was introduced by Connes in [2] for studying the holonomy groupoid of a foliation, and used extensively later by Hæfliger in [3], although they used a local description in terms of nonabelian Čech cohomology for groupoids (still, their notion of nonabelian Čech cohomology for groupoids, although correct, lacks of an explicit mentioning of what I call local momenta; I plan to return to this point in subsequent works). Later, Moerdijk [6] took a different point of view, working nonlocally, introducing the notion of *cocycle on M with values in \mathcal{G}* , which mentions explicitly the presence of a momentum, and which corresponds, in terms of groupoids, to the division map for ordinary principal bundles discussed extensively by MacKenzie [5]. Finally, the nonlocal point of view was formulated in a definitive way by Mrcun [8] and Moerdijk [7], which is the point of view that I take here. Let me notice that the local point of view, in terms of nonabelian Čech cohomology, has also many advantages, among them, e.g., the possibility of constructing explicitly many examples of principal bundles; still, I will only mention briefly the local nature of principal bundles with structure groupoids here, devoting subsequent works to this aspect of the theory.

Remark 4.3. The meaning of the third axiom is that the groupoid \mathcal{G} operates *freely and transitively on each fibre of π* . In fact, assume the identity holds

$$pg = p, \quad p \in P, g \in \mathcal{G} \quad \text{such that } t_{\mathcal{G}}(g) = \varepsilon(p).$$

It follows that both pairs (p, g) and $(p, \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon(p)))$, both in $P \times_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G}$, are mapped by the diffeomorphism (pr_1, Ψ) to the same image, namely (p, p) ; hence,

$$g = \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon(p)).$$

If, on the other hand, we take any two points p and q of P , lying in the same fibre of π , we have $(p, q) \in P \times_M P$; since (pr_1, Ψ) is a diffeomorphism, it follows that

$$q = pg, \quad g \in \mathcal{G} \quad \varepsilon(q) = s_{\mathcal{G}}(g), \quad \varepsilon(p) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(g),$$

whence $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon(q), \varepsilon(p)}$.

The smooth inverse of the map (pr_1, Ψ) is usually denoted by Φ_P . It follows by its very definition:

$$P \times_M P \ni (p, q) \xrightarrow{\Phi_P} (\Phi_{P,1}(p, q), \Phi_{P,2}(p, q)) \in P \times_\varepsilon \mathcal{G},$$

whence $\Phi_{P,1}$, resp. $\Phi_{P,2}$, is a smooth map from $P \times_M P$ to P , resp. \mathcal{G} ; clearly,

$$\Phi_{P,1}(p, q) = p, \quad \forall (p, q) \in P \times_M P.$$

On the other hand, since the image of Φ_P lies in $P \times_\varepsilon \mathcal{G}$, it follows

$$\varepsilon(\Phi_{P,1}(p, q)) = \varepsilon(p) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(\Phi_{P,2}(p, q)).$$

I denote, from now on, the map $\Phi_{P,2}$ simply by ϕ_P . I will analyze its properties in detail later; notice that the function ϕ_P was already introduced by Mœrdijk in [6], where the pair (ϕ_P, ε) was called a cocycle on M with values in \mathcal{G} , and earlier by MacKenzie in [5] in the case of ordinary principal bundles with structure group G , where it was called the *division map of P* . I prefer the notation ϕ_P in order to make explicit its dependence on the principal bundle P .

4.1. The unit bundle of a groupoid \mathcal{G} and the trivial bundle. In this Subsection, I consider two important examples of principal \mathcal{G} -bundles, namely the *unit bundle of \mathcal{G}* and the *trivial principal \mathcal{G} -bundle*.

As the readers have surely noticed, there is no trace (apparently) in Definition 4.1 of the triviality condition present in the definition of a principal G -bundle. This is because, in fact, the definition of trivial principal \mathcal{G} -bundle requires more care than the definition of the usual trivial G -bundle, and requires also the notion of unit bundle; nonetheless, we will see later that some sort of triviality condition holds also for principal \mathcal{G} -bundles.

Remark 4.4. I will later discuss more carefully the “local triviality problem” for principal bundles with structure groupoids: I will namely prove an equivalence between Definition 4.1 and local data obeying some cochain properties. In fact, the second characterization provides a useful way for constructing non-trivial principal bundles with groupoid structure.

Definition 4.5. The *unit bundle of the Lie groupoid \mathcal{G}* consists of the 4-tuple $(\mathcal{G}, t_{\mathcal{G}}, s_{\mathcal{G}}, X_{\mathcal{G}})$ (thus, it is a bundle over the manifolds of objects of \mathcal{G}), and the right \mathcal{G} -action on itself is given by right multiplication; it is usually denoted by $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Notice that the choice of right multiplication on \mathcal{G} as right \mathcal{G} -action on the unit bundle is in accordance with our choice of the map ε in the previous definition. Notice also that the unit bundle $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a principal \mathcal{G} -bundle in the sense of Definition 4.1: namely, since \mathcal{G} is a Lie groupoid, the target map is also a smooth submersion, whence *i*) of Definition 4.1 is satisfied.

It remains to show that also *ii*) holds; I show this explicitly to motivate the terminology “division map”. The map (pr_1, Ψ) , where Ψ is the right multiplication map, takes the explicit form

$$\mathcal{G} \times_{s_{\mathcal{G}}} \mathcal{G} \ni (g, h) \mapsto (g, gh) \in \mathcal{G} \times_{t_{\mathcal{G}}} \mathcal{G}.$$

It is then easy to prove that the previous map has an explicit smooth inverse, which turns out to be

$$\mathcal{G} \times_{t_{\mathcal{G}}} \mathcal{G} \ni (g, h) \mapsto (g, g^{-1}h) \in \mathcal{G} \times_{s_{\mathcal{G}}} \mathcal{G};$$

hence, the division map $\phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}}$ associated to the unit bundle is simply given by

$$\phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}}(g, h) = g^{-1}h,$$

which is the nonabelian version for groupoids of the usual division map for abelian groups. The unit bundle \mathcal{U}_G is also called the *trivial \mathcal{G} -bundle over X_G* .

In order to give the definition of trivial principal \mathcal{G} -bundle over a manifold M , one needs the notion of pull-back bundle.

Definition 4.6. If the 4-tuple (P, π, ε, N) is a principal \mathcal{G} -bundle over N and $M \xrightarrow{f} N$ is a smooth map from the manifold M to the manifold N , the *pull-back f^*P of P w.r.t. f* is defined via

$$f^*P := \{(m, p) \in M \times P : f(m) = \pi(p)\}.$$

Considering the 4-tuple $(f^*P, \text{pr}_1, \varepsilon \circ \text{pr}_2, M)$, then one can prove that it defines a principal \mathcal{G} -bundle over M , where pr_i , $i = 1, 2$, denotes projection onto the i -th term of f^*P . In fact, it is easy to verify that pr_1 is a surjective submersion; the right \mathcal{G} action is defined along the map

$$(m, p) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \circ \text{pr}_2} \varepsilon(p)$$

and takes the explicit form

$$f^*P \times_{\varepsilon \circ \text{pr}_2} \mathcal{G} \ni (m, p; g) \mapsto (m, pg).$$

If two points (m_1, p) and (m_2, q) of f^*P belong to the same fibre, it follows

$$m_1 = m_2 \Rightarrow f(m_1) = \pi(p) = f(m_2) = \pi(q) \Leftrightarrow q = p\phi_P(p, q).$$

Thus, the map

$$f^*P \times_{\varepsilon \circ \text{pr}_2} \mathcal{G} \ni (m, p; g) \rightarrow (m, p; m, pg)$$

is a diffeomorphism, where the smooth inverse is given explicitly by

$$f^*P \times_M f^*P \ni (m, p; m, q) \rightarrow (m, p; \phi_P(p, q)),$$

using explicitly the division map ϕ_P of P .

Definition 4.7. Given a groupoid \mathcal{G} and a smooth map α from a manifold M to the manifold of objects X_G of the groupoid \mathcal{G} , one may consider the pull-back bundle $\alpha^*\mathcal{U}_G$ of the unit bundle of \mathcal{G} . By its very definition, the total space of this bundle has the form

$$\alpha^*\mathcal{U}_G = \{(m, g) \in M \times \mathcal{G} : \alpha(m) = t_G(g)\}.$$

The bundle $\alpha^*\mathcal{U}_G$ is called the *trivial \mathcal{G} -bundle over M w.r.t. α* .

Remark 4.8. Notice that, while there is only one trivial principal G -bundle over a manifold M , with G a group, there can be in principle many *distinct* trivial \mathcal{G} -bundles over the same base.

Remark 4.9. Observe that the momentum map ε , along which the right action of \mathcal{G} on P is defined, is a surjective submersion in the case of a trivial bundle, as it is the composition of two surjective submersions.

It is possible to prove a local triviality condition for principal \mathcal{G} -bundles (P, π, ε, M) .

Proposition 4.10. *Any principal \mathcal{G} -bundle (P, π, ε, M) is locally diffeomorphic to a trivial bundle.*

Proof. consider a point m and we choose a local section σ of π (it is possible, since π is a surjective submersion) over an open neighbourhood $U = U_m$, and consider the (smooth) composite map

$$\alpha = \alpha_U =: \varepsilon \circ \sigma.$$

Consider then the map

$$\alpha^* \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}} \ni (m, g) \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} \sigma(m)g;$$

by the very definition of the map α and Definition 4.6, the map φ_U is well-defined and smooth. Moreover, it has a smooth inverse, which is given by

$$\pi^{-1}(U) \ni p \xrightarrow{\psi_U} (\pi(p), \phi_P(\sigma(\pi(p)), p)).$$

It is clear by the very definition of α that the previous map maps the restriction $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of P to U to the trivial bundle $\alpha^* \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and that it is smooth, as a composition of smooth maps.

Let me prove that the map ψ_U is the inverse of φ_U . Namely, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_U(\psi_U(p)) &= \phi_U(\pi(p), \phi_P(\sigma(\pi(p)), p)) = \\ &= \sigma(\pi(p))\phi_P(\sigma(\pi(p)), p) = \\ &= p, \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 4.13, which will be proved later in Subsection 4.3. On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_U(\phi_U(m, g)) &= \psi_U(\sigma(m)g) = \\ &= (\pi(\sigma(m)g), \phi_P(\sigma(\pi(\sigma(m)g)), \sigma(m)g)) = \\ &= (m, \phi_P(\sigma(m), \sigma(m))g) = \\ &= (m, g), \end{aligned}$$

where was used the fact that σ is a section of π , that π is \mathcal{G} -invariant and again of Proposition 4.13. \square

4.2. Product bundle and fibred product of bundles. I consider two principal bundles P, \tilde{P} , with the same structure groupoid \mathcal{G} and over the same manifold M , whose right \mathcal{G} -actions are defined along the maps ε and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ respectively, and whose projections are π and $\tilde{\pi}$ respectively.

Lemma 4.11. *The 4-tuple $(P \times \tilde{P}, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}, \varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}, M \times M)$ is a principal bundle over $M \times M$ with structure groupoid $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. The right \mathcal{G}^2 -action on $P \times \tilde{P}$ is defined via the smooth map $\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}$ as follows: the manifold, where the action is well-defined, is

$$(P \times \tilde{P}) \times_{\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mathcal{G}^2) := \left\{ (p, \tilde{p}; g_1, g_2) \in P \times \tilde{P} \times \mathcal{G}^2 : \begin{cases} \varepsilon(p) &= t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_1), \\ \tilde{\varepsilon}(\tilde{p}) &= t_{\mathcal{G}}(g_2) \end{cases} \right\},$$

and the right action map is simply

$$(P \times \tilde{P}) \times_{\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mathcal{G}^2) \ni (p, \tilde{p}; g_1, g_2) \mapsto (pg_1, \tilde{p}g_2) \in P \times \tilde{P}.$$

It is easy to check that this defines a right \mathcal{G}^2 -action on $P \times \tilde{P}$.

The bundle projection is, by definition, the product of both bundle projections π and $\tilde{\pi}$, hence it is clearly a smooth surjective submersion. By definition and by the second requirement of Definition 4.1, it follows immediately that the product of the bundle projections π and $\tilde{\pi}$ is \mathcal{G}^2 -invariant.

It remains to show the third requirement of Definition 4.1. We have to show that the map

$$(4.1) \quad (P \times \tilde{P}) \times_{\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mathcal{G}^2) \ni (p, \tilde{p}; g_1, g_2) \mapsto (p, \tilde{p}; pg_1, \tilde{p}g_2) \in (P \times \tilde{P}) \times_{M \times M} (P \times \tilde{P})$$

is a diffeomorphism. It is a smooth map, as one may view it as the composite map

$$\begin{aligned} (P \times \tilde{P}) \times_{\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mathcal{G}^2) \ni (p, \tilde{p}; g_1, g_2) &\mapsto ((p, g_1), (\tilde{p}, g_2)) \in (P \times_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G}) \times (\tilde{P} \times_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{G}) \\ &\mapsto ((p, pg_1), (\tilde{p}, \tilde{p}g_2)) \in (P \times_M P) \times (\tilde{P} \times_M \tilde{P}) \\ &\mapsto (p, \tilde{p}; pg_1, \tilde{p}g_2) \in (P \times \tilde{P}) \times_{M \times M} (P \times \tilde{P}), \end{aligned}$$

and all maps are clearly smooth.

Its inverse is given by the composite map

$$\begin{aligned} (P \times \tilde{P}) \times_{M \times M} (P \times \tilde{P}) \ni (p, \tilde{p}; q, \tilde{q}) &\mapsto ((p, q), (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})) \in (P \times_M P) \times (\tilde{P} \times_M \tilde{P}) \\ &\mapsto ((p, \phi_P(p, q)); (\tilde{p}, \phi_{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}))) \in (P \times_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G}) \times (\tilde{P} \times_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{G}) \\ &\mapsto (p, \tilde{p}; \phi_P(p, q), \phi_{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})) \in (P \times \tilde{P}) \times_{\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mathcal{G}^2). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that all maps are smooth, thus the map in Equation (4.1) defines a diffeomorphism, hence completing the proof. \square

Now, let me consider the restriction to the diagonal $\Delta_M \subset M \times M$ of the principal bundle $P \times \tilde{P}$, for any two principal bundles P and \tilde{P} over the same base M and with the same structure groupoid \mathcal{G} , introduced in Lemma 4.11; I prove that it is a principal bundle over M with structure groupoid \mathcal{G}^2 , which I call the *fibred product bundle of P and \tilde{P}* .

Lemma 4.12. *The 4-tuple $(P \odot \tilde{P}, \bar{\pi}, \varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}, M)$, where the manifold $P \odot \tilde{P}$ is defined by*

$$P \odot \tilde{P} := \left\{ (p, \tilde{p}) \in P \times \tilde{P} : \pi(p) = \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{p}) \right\},$$

and the projection $\bar{\pi}$ is

$$\bar{\pi}(p, \tilde{p}) = \pi(p) = \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{p}),$$

defines a principal \mathcal{G}^2 bundle over M , which is called the *fibred product bundle of P and \tilde{P}* .

Proof. It is clear that the right action of \mathcal{G}^2 on the product bundle $P \times \tilde{P}$ restricts to a right \mathcal{G}^2 -action on the total space $P \odot \tilde{P}$ on the fibred product bundle along the same map $\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}$.

It remains to show that the bundle projection $\bar{\pi}$ is a surjective submersion and that the map

$$(P \odot \tilde{P}) \times_{\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mathcal{G}^2) \ni (p, \tilde{p}; g_1, g_2) \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1^{P \odot \tilde{P}}, \Psi_{P \odot \tilde{P}})} (p, \tilde{p}; pg_1, \tilde{p}g_2) \in (P \odot \tilde{P}) \times_M (P \odot \tilde{P})$$

is a diffeomorphism; it is clear that this map is well-defined, as both projections π and $\tilde{\pi}$ are \mathcal{G} -invariant. The bundle projection $\bar{\pi}$ is obviously smooth and surjective; by its very definition and by the definition of tangent map, it follows also that $\bar{\pi}$ is a submersion.

Finally, it is clear that the map

$$(P \odot \tilde{P}) \times_M (P \odot \tilde{P}) \ni (p, \tilde{p}; q, \tilde{q}) \mapsto (p, \tilde{p}; \phi_P(p, q), \phi_{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})) \in (P \odot \tilde{P}) \times_{\varepsilon \times \tilde{\varepsilon}} (\mathcal{G}^2)$$

is well-defined and smooth; it is also immediate to check that it is the inverse of the map $(\text{pr}_1^{P \odot \tilde{P}}, \Psi_{P \odot \tilde{P}})$, which is thus a diffeomorphism. \square

4.3. Properties of the division map ϕ_P . In this Subsection I analyze in detail the previously introduced division map ϕ_P , the second component of the inverse of the diffeomorphism (pr_1, Ψ) . As already seen, the map ϕ_P is defined on $P \times_M P$ and takes its values in the structure groupoid \mathcal{G} of P ; it is obvious that one can identify $P \times_M P$ with the total space of the fibred product bundle $P \odot P$, which is, by Lemma 4.12 of Subsection 4.2, a right \mathcal{G}^2 -space.

Moreover, the map ϕ_P satisfies by its very definition the equation

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_P(p, q)) = \varepsilon(p), \forall (p, q) \in P \odot P.$$

Since Φ_P is the inverse of (pr_1, Ψ) , it follows immediately

$$(p, q) \xrightarrow{\Phi_P} (p, \phi_P(p, q)) \\ \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1, \Psi)} (p, p\phi_P(p, q)) \stackrel{!}{=} (p, q), \quad \forall (p, q) \in P \odot P,$$

whence it follows that the division map ϕ_P is defined uniquely by the equation

$$(4.2) \quad q = p\phi_P(p, q), \quad \forall (p, q) \in P \odot P.$$

Proposition 4.13. *The map ϕ_P from $P \odot P$ to \mathcal{G} has the following properties:*

i) *for any point (p, q) of $P \odot P$, we have*

$$\phi_P(p, q) \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon(q), \varepsilon(p)}.$$

ii) *On the diagonal submanifold of the total space of $P \odot P$, we have*

$$\phi_P(p, p) = \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon(p)), \quad \forall p \in P.$$

iii) *for any pair $(p, q) \in P \odot P$, the following equation holds*

$$\phi_P(p, q) = \phi_P(q, p)^{-1};$$

notice that the previous equation makes sense, since $(p, q) \in P \odot P$ implies that $(q, p) \in P \odot P$ also.

iv) *The triple $(\phi_P, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}^2}, \text{id}_{X_{\mathcal{G}}^2})$ is an equivariant map from the right \mathcal{G}^2 -space $P \odot P$ to the right \mathcal{G}^2 -space \mathcal{G} endowed with the right generalized conjugation defined in Remark 3.7 by the pair of maps (J_c^R, Ψ_c^R) in Subsubsection 3.3.1.*

Proof. i) As already seen, for any pair (p, q) in $P \odot P$, one has

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_P(p, q)) = \varepsilon(p).$$

On the other hand, Equation (4.2) implies, since \mathcal{G} acts from the right on P , that

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_P(p, q)) = \varepsilon(q),$$

whence the claim follows.

ii) Again, I make use of Equation (4.2): namely, for any pair (p, p) it implies

$$p = p\phi_P(p, p),$$

whence it follows, by Remark 4.3,

$$\phi_P(p, p) = \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon(p)).$$

iii) This follows immediately from Equation (4.2):

$$q = p\phi_P(p, q) \Leftrightarrow p = q\phi_P(q, p), \quad \forall (p, q) \in P \odot P.$$

- iv) First of all, one has to show the commutativity of the first diagram in Definition 3.8; recall that, in this context, M is the fibred product bundle $P \odot P$, N is the manifold of arrows \mathcal{G} , the smooth map J_M is the product $\varepsilon \times \varepsilon$ and J_N is J_c from Proposition 3.5, and Θ is ϕ_P and φ is the identity of $X_{\mathcal{G}}^2$.

Thus, one can compute directly, with the help of the result proved in *i*):

$$\begin{aligned} (J_c \circ \phi_P)(p, q) &= J_c(\phi_P(p, q)) = \\ &= ((t_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_P(p, q)), s_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_P(p, q))) = \\ &= (\varepsilon(p), \varepsilon(q)) = \\ &= \left(\text{id}_{X_{\mathcal{G}}^2} \circ (\varepsilon \times \varepsilon) \right)(p, q), \quad \forall (p, q) \in P \odot P. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the commutativity of the second diagram, consider a general 4-tuple $(p, q; g_1, g_2)$ in $(P \odot P) \times_{\varepsilon \times \varepsilon} (\mathcal{G}^2)$; then one has by Equation (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} qg_2 &= pg_1 \phi_P(pg_1, qg_2) = \\ &= p \phi_P(p, q) g_2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that all identities make sense, in virtue of the commutativity of the commutativity of the first diagram in Definition 3.8.

The freeness of the right \mathcal{G} -action on P from Remark 4.3 implies that

$$\phi_P(pg_1, qg_2) = g_1^{-1} \phi_P(p, q) g_2,$$

which is equivalent to the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_c \circ (\phi_P \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}^2}))(p, q; g_1, g_2) &= (\phi_P \circ \Psi_{P \odot P})(p, q; g_1, g_2), \\ \forall (p, q; g_1, g_2) \in (P \odot P) \times_{\varepsilon \times \varepsilon} (\mathcal{G}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_{P \odot P}$ denotes the right action map for the right \mathcal{G}^2 -space $P \odot P$. □

5. EQUIVARIANT MORPHISMS BETWEEN PRINCIPAL BUNDLES AND GENERALIZED GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS

In Section 4, I defined the notion of principal bundles with structure groupoid and discussed the notion of fibred product bundle of any two principal bundles; finally, I associated to any principal bundle P with groupoid structure a canonical (twisted) equivariant map from the fibred product bundle of P with itself to the structure groupoid itself.

In this Section, *i*) I first review the concept of fibre-preserving, bundle morphisms between principal bundles with the same groupoid structure and over the same base manifold M , and *ii*) I develop a theory of generalized gauge transformations in the sense of [9]; the main tools for the development of such a theory are the notion of fibred product bundle and the canonical division map from Proposition 4.13.

5.1. Equivariant maps between principal bundles. I consider any two principal bundles P_1, P_2 , with the same structure groupoid \mathcal{G} and over the same base manifold M .

Definition 5.1. A fibre-preserving, \mathcal{G} -equivariant map between the principal bundles P_1 and P_2 (shortly, a bundle morphism between P_1 and P_2) is a twisted equivariant map $(\sigma, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}, \text{id}_{X_{\mathcal{G}}})$ in the sense of Definition 3.8 of Subsection 3.4 from the right \mathcal{G} -space P_1 to the right \mathcal{G} -space P_2 , with the additional property to be *fibre-preserving* in the following sense:

$$\pi_1 \circ \sigma = \pi_2.$$

It is not difficult to check that, for a triple (P_1, P_2, P_3) of principal \mathcal{G} -bundles over the base manifold M and bundle morphisms σ_{12} from P_1 to P_2 and σ_{23} from P_2 to P_3 , their composition is, by its very definition, again a bundle morphism from P_1 to P_3 . Clearly, the identity map id_P of P is a bundle morphism from the principal \mathcal{G} -bundle P to itself.

Thus, it makes sense introduce the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G},M}$ by the following assignments:

- i) **Objects:** the objects of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G},M}$ are the principal \mathcal{G} -bundles over the base manifold M
- ii) **Morphisms:** a morphism between two objects P_1, P_2 the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G},M}$ is a bundle morphism from P_1 to P_2 in the sense of Definition 5.1

Morphisms in the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G},M}$ have the remarkable property of being bijective, as the following Lemma shows

Lemma 5.2. *Every bundle morphism σ between any two objects P_1, P_2 of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G},M}$ is bijective.*

Proof. One has to show *i)* injectivity and *ii)* surjectivity of σ . Let me first show injectivity. Namely, consider two points p_1, q_1 of P_1 , such that

$$\sigma(p_1) = \sigma(q_1).$$

Since σ is fibre-preserving, it follows that p_1 and q_1 lie in the same fibre, whence

$$q_1 = p_1 \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1).$$

The \mathcal{G} -equivariance of σ implies readily

$$\sigma(q_1) = \sigma(p_1 \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1)) = \sigma(p_1) \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1) \stackrel{!}{=} \sigma(p_1).$$

The freeness of the action of \mathcal{G} on P_2 implies

$$\phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1) = \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_2(\sigma(p_1))) = \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_1(p_1)),$$

whence

$$q_1 = p_1 \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_1(p_1)) = p_1.$$

As for surjectivity, one has to show that for any point p_2 of P_2 , there exists a point p_1 of P_1 , such that

$$\sigma(p_1) = p_2.$$

From the fact that σ is fibre-preserving, it follows immediately that surjectivity is a fibre-wise property for equivariant morphisms. Consider therefore a point p_2 of P_2 and we take its projection $\pi_2(p_2) =: x$; by the surjectivity of π_1 , consider a point $q_{1,x}$ of P_1 , such that $\pi_1(q_{1,x}) = x$. Consider further the image w.r.t. σ of $q_{1,x}$; it lies in the same fibre of p_2 , whence

$$p_2 = \sigma(q_{1,x}) \phi_{P_2}(q_{1,x}, p_2).$$

By Proposition 4.13 and Definition 5.1,

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_{P_2}(q_{1,x}, p_2)) = \varepsilon_2(\sigma(q_{1,x})) = \varepsilon_1(q_{1,x}),$$

hence, one can form the element

$$p_1 := q_{1,x} \phi_{P_2}(\sigma(q_{1,x}), p_2).$$

An easy computation, using the \mathcal{G} -equivariance of σ , gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p_1) &= \sigma(q_{1,x} \phi_{P_2}(\sigma(q_{1,x}), p_2)) = \\ &= \sigma(q_{1,x}) \phi_{P_2}(\sigma(q_{1,x}), p_2) = \\ &= p_2, \end{aligned}$$

by Equation (4.2). \square

Remark 5.3. Moerdijk showed that every bundle morphism between principal \mathcal{G} -bundles is an isomorphism, by reducing the problem to *trivial principal bundles*. Later, I will give another characterisation of morphisms of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G},M}$ and I will also see that, in fact, every morphism is an isomorphism, by using global arguments.

5.2. Generalized gauge transformations. I want now to discuss a different characterization of bundle morphisms between principal bundles with structure groupoid; in the previous Subsection, we have viewed bundle morphisms between principal \mathcal{G} -bundles as special types of equivariant morphisms between right \mathcal{G} -spaces in the sense of Definition 3.8.

I define now generalized gauge transformations between two principal \mathcal{G} -bundles analogously to what I did in Section 4 of [9], although the fact that I deal with groupoids, instead of groups, requires more care; but the idea is nonetheless the same, i.e. to consider maps from the fibred product of two principal \mathcal{G} -bundles to the structure groupoid \mathcal{G} itself, satisfying some particular properties.

Definition 5.4. A *generalized gauge transformation between the principal \mathcal{G} -bundles P_1 and P_2* is, by definition, a (twisted) equivariant map $(K, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}^2}, \text{id}_{X_{\mathcal{G}}^2})$ from the right \mathcal{G}^2 -space $P_1 \odot P_2$ and \mathcal{G} , viewed as a right \mathcal{G}^2 -space via the right generalized conjugation defined in Remark 3.7 via the pair of maps $(\overline{J}_c^R, \overline{\Psi}_c^R)$ in Subsubsection 3.3.1.

The set of all generalized gauge transformations between the principal \mathcal{G} -bundles P_1 and P_2 is denoted by $C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}^2}$.

Remark 5.5. Let me give a more detailed account of the properties of generalized gauge transformations. First of all, a generalized gauge transformation between the principal \mathcal{G} -bundles P_1 and P_2 is a smooth map from the fibred product $P_1 \odot P_2$ to the structure groupoid \mathcal{G} . The fact that the triple $(K, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}^2}, \text{id}_{X_{\mathcal{G}}^2})$ is a twisted bundle morphism from $P_1 \odot P_2$ to \mathcal{G} , viewed both as right \mathcal{G}^2 -spaces, can be translated into the following set of equations:

i) The first diagram of Definition 3.8 implies immediately

$$\overline{J}_c^R \circ K = \varepsilon_1 \times \varepsilon_2 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} s_{\mathcal{G}}(K(p_1, p_2)) &= \varepsilon_1(p_1), \\ t_{\mathcal{G}}(K(p_1, p_2)) &= \varepsilon_2(p_2), \quad \forall (p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2. \end{cases}$$

ii) The second diagram of Definition 3.8, which defines precisely the \mathcal{G}^2 -equivariance, may be restated as follows:

$$K(p_1 g_1, p_2 g_2) = g_2^{-1} K(p_1, p_2) g_1, \quad (p_1, p_2; g_1, g_2) \in (P_1 \odot P_2) \times_{\varepsilon_1 \times \varepsilon_2} (\mathcal{G}^2).$$

I now prove the following

Theorem 5.6. *The set of bundle morphisms between principal \mathcal{G} -bundles P_1 and P_2 in the sense of Definition 5.1 is in one-to-one correspondence to the set $C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}^2}$ of generalized gauge transformations between P_1 and P_2 .*

Proof. Consider first a bundle morphism σ between P_1 and P_2 in the sense of Definition 5.1; I associate to it the following composite map

$$\sigma \rightsquigarrow K_\sigma(p_1, p_2) := \phi_{P_2}(p_2, \sigma(p_1)), \quad \forall (p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2.$$

First of all, K_σ is a well-defined map from $P_1 \odot P_2$ to \mathcal{G} : namely, it is already known from Section 4 that the map ϕ_{P_2} is a smooth map from $P_2 \odot P_2$ to \mathcal{G} , and, if the pair

(p_1, p_2) belongs to the fibred product $P_1 \odot P_2$, then the pair $(p_2, \sigma(p_1))$ belongs to the fibred product of P_2 with itself, since

$$\pi_2(\sigma(p_1)) = \pi_1(p_1) = \pi_2(p_2).$$

It remains to show the commutativity of the diagrams in Definition 3.8, which have been translated in two sets of equations in Remark 5.5. Using the properties of the map ϕ_{P_2} , displayed in Proposition 4.13, one shows commutativity of the first diagram, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2)) &= s_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_{P_2}(p_2, \sigma(p_1))) = \\ &= \varepsilon_2(\sigma(p_1)) = \\ &= \varepsilon_1(p_1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2)) &= t_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_{P_2}(p_2, \sigma(p_1))) = \\ &= \varepsilon_2(p_2). \end{aligned}$$

As for the second diagram, one gets, again by Proposition 4.13,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\sigma}(p_1 g_1, p_2 g_2) &= \phi_{P_2}(p_2 g_2, \sigma(p_1 g_1)) = \\ &\stackrel{\text{by } \mathcal{G}\text{-equivariance of } \sigma}{=} \phi_{P_2}(p_2 g_2, \sigma(p_1) g_1) = \\ &= g_2^{-1} \phi_{P_2}(p_2, \sigma(p_1)) g_1 = \\ &= g_2^{-1} K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2) g_1, \quad \forall (p_1, p_2; g_1, g_2) \in (P_1 \odot P_2) \times_{\varepsilon_1 \times \varepsilon_2} (\mathcal{G}^2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, given a generalized gauge transformation K between P_1 and P_2 , it is possible to define a bundle morphism σ_K from P_1 to P_2 by the following rule:

$$\sigma_K(p_1) := p_2 K(p_1, p_2), \quad (p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2.$$

The previous formula is well-defined, in the following sense: *i*) the right multiplication makes sense and *ii*) it does *not* depend on the choice of p_2 , as long as the pair (p_1, p_2) belongs to $P_1 \odot P_2$. To prove *i*), notice that

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}(K(p_1, p_2)) = \varepsilon_2(p_2) \Rightarrow (p_2, K(p_1, p_2)) \in P_2 \times_{\varepsilon_2} \mathcal{G}, \forall p_1 \in P_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2.$$

To prove *ii*), consider, for p_1 in P_1 fixed, another pair (p_1, q_2) in $P_1 \odot P_2$; it follows immediately, by Definition 4.1, that

$$q_2 = p_2 \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2),$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_K(p_1) &= q_2 K(p_1, q_2) = \\ &= p_2 \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2) K(p_1, p_2 \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2)) = \\ &= p_2 \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2) \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2)^{-1} K(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= p_2 t_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2)) K(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= p_2 \varepsilon_2(p_2) K(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= p_2 K(p_1, p_2). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the triple $(\sigma_K, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}^2}, \text{id}_{X_{\mathcal{G}}^2})$ is a bundle morphism between P_1 and P_2 ; this is equivalent to showing the commutativity of the two diagrams in Definition 3.8. To show the commutativity of the first diagram, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_2(\sigma_K(p_1)) &= \varepsilon_2(p_2 K(p_1, p_2)) = \\ &= s_{\mathcal{G}}(K(p_1, p_2)) = \\ &= \varepsilon_1(p_1), \quad \forall p_1 \in P_1, p_2 \text{ s.t. } (p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2. \end{aligned}$$

The commutativity of the second diagram follows by the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_K(p_1 g_1) &= p_2 K(p_1 g_1, p_2) = \\ &= p_2 K(p_1, p_2) g_1 = \\ &= \sigma_K(p_1) g_1, \quad (p_1, g_1) \in P_1 \times_{\varepsilon_1} \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

The property of σ_K being fibre-preserving follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(\sigma_K(p_1)) &= \pi_2(p_2 K(p_1, p_2)) = \\ &= \pi_2(p_2) = \\ &= \pi_1(p_1), \quad p_1 \in P_1, \end{aligned}$$

since the pair (p_1, p_2) belongs to $P_1 \odot P_2$.

One has to show that the assignments

$$\sigma \rightsquigarrow K_{\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad K \rightsquigarrow \sigma_K$$

are inverse to each other. A direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{K_{\sigma}}(p_1) &= p_2 K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= p_2 \phi_{P_2}(p_2, \sigma(p_1)) = \\ &= \sigma(p_1), \quad \forall p_1 \in P_1; \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\sigma_K}(p_1, p_2) &= \phi_{P_2}(p_2, \sigma_K(p_1)) = \\ &= \phi_{P_2}(p_2, p_2 K(p_1, p_2)) = \\ &= \phi_{P_2}(p_2, p_2) K(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_2(p_2)) K(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= K(p_1, p_2), \quad \forall (p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

It was proved in Lemma 5.2 of Subsection 5.1 that any bundle morphism between any two \mathcal{G} -principal bundles P_1 and P_2 is bijective. Moreover, every bundle morphism σ between P_1 and P_2 is invertible: namely, consider the generalized gauge transformation K_{σ} , canonically associated to σ by Theorem 5.6.

Lemma 5.7. *For any bundle morphism σ between P_1 and P_2 , the map*

$$P_2 \odot P_1 \ni (p_2, p_1) \xrightarrow{K_{\sigma}^{-1}} K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2)^{-1} \in \mathcal{G},$$

defines a generalized gauge transformation between P_2 and P_1 .

Proof. First of all, notice that the definition makes sense, since

$$(p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2 \Leftrightarrow (p_2, p_1) \in P_2 \odot P_1.$$

It remains to show the commutativity of the two diagrams in Definition 3.8. To show the commutativity of the first one, one computes

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{J}_c^R(K_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_2, p_1)) &= \overline{J}_c^R(K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2)^{-1}) = \\ &= (s_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2)^{-1}), t_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2)^{-1})) = \\ &= (t_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2)), s_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2))) = \\ &= (\varepsilon_2(p_2), \varepsilon_1(p_1)) = \\ &= (\varepsilon_2 \times \varepsilon_1)(p_2, p_1), \quad \forall (p_2, p_1) \in P_2 \odot P_1. \end{aligned}$$

The commutativity of the second diagram follows from

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_2 g_2, p_1 g_1) &= K_{\sigma}(p_1 g_1, p_2 g_2)^{-1} = \\ &= (g_2^{-1} K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2) g_1) = \\ &= g_1^{-1} K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2) g_2 = \\ &= g_1^{-1} K_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_2, p_1) g_2, \quad \forall (p_2, p_1; g_2, g_1) \in (P_2 \odot P_1) \times_{\varepsilon_2 \times \varepsilon_1} (\mathcal{G}^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Hence, to any bundle morphism σ between P_1 and P_2 one associates in a canonical way two generalized gauge transformations, K_{σ} between P_1 and P_2 , and $K_{\sigma^{-1}}$ between P_2 and P_1 .

The next lemma shows their relationship explicitly.

Lemma 5.8. *The unique bundle morphism τ associated to the generalized gauge transformation $K_{\sigma^{-1}}$, for any bundle morphism σ from P_1 to P_2 , by Theorem 5.6, is the inverse map to σ .*

Proof. By definition the bundle morphism τ satisfies the equation

$$\tau(p_2) = p_1 K_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_2, p_1), \quad \forall p_2 \in P_2,$$

and the pair (p_2, p_1) belongs to $P_2 \odot P_1$. Then, by a direct computation one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\tau(p_2)) &= \sigma(p_1 K_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_2, p_1)) = \\ &= \sigma(p_1) K_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_2, p_1) = \\ &= \sigma(p_1) K_{\sigma}(p_1, p_2)^{-1} = \\ &= \sigma(p_1) \phi_{P_2}(p_2, \sigma(p_1))^{-1} = \\ &= \sigma(p_1) \phi_{P_2}(\sigma(p_1), p_2) = \\ &= p_2, \quad \forall p_2 \in P_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the pair (p_2, p_1) belongs to $P_2 \odot P_1$.

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\sigma(p_1)) &= p_1 K_{\sigma^{-1}}(\sigma(p_1), p_1) = \\
&= p_1 K_{\sigma}(p_1, \sigma(p_1))^{-1} = \\
&= p_1 \phi_{P_2}(\sigma(p_1), \sigma(p_1))^{-1} = \\
&= p_1 \phi_{P_2}(\sigma(p_1), \sigma(p_1)) = \\
&= p_1 \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_2(\sigma(p_1))) = \\
&= p_1 \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_1(p_1)) = \\
&= p_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where was used the fact that the pair $(p_1, \sigma(p_1))$ belongs to $P_1 \odot P_2$. \square

5.2.1. Gauge transformations. In this Subsubsection, I want to study the notion of gauge transformation of a principal \mathcal{G} -bundle P . First of all, I consider a bundle morphism σ from P to itself in the sense of Definition 5.1. By Theorem 5.6, there is a unique generalized gauge transformation K_{σ} on P , i.e. a \mathcal{G}^2 -equivariant map from the fibred product of P with itself, defined via

$$K_{\sigma}(p, q) := \phi_P(q, \sigma(p)),$$

where ϕ_P is the canonical map associated to the bundle P , thoroughly discussed in Subsection 4.3. On the other hand, since σ is fibre-preserving, one has

$$\sigma(p) = pG_{\sigma}(p), \quad \forall p \in P,$$

for a unique element $G_{\sigma}(p)$, depending smoothly on P and belonging to the groupoid \mathcal{G} . By the freeness of the action of \mathcal{G} on P , it follows

$$G_{\sigma}(p) = \phi_P(p, \sigma(p)) = K_{\sigma}(p, p),$$

i.e. G_{σ} is the restriction to the diagonal of $P \odot P$ of the unique generalized gauge transformation associated to σ .

Moreover, by the properties of generalized gauge transformations,

$$\begin{cases} s_{\mathcal{G}}(G_{\sigma}(p)) &= s_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{\sigma}(p, p)) = \varepsilon(p), \\ t_{\mathcal{G}}(G_{\sigma}(p)) &= t_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{\sigma}(p, p)) = \varepsilon(p), \end{cases}$$

i.e. $G_{\sigma}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon(p), \varepsilon(p)}$, for any $p \in P$. Furthermore, the following equivariance property of G_{σ} holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\sigma}(pg) &= \phi_P(pg, \sigma(pg)) = \\
&= \phi_P(pg, \sigma(p)g) = \\
&= g^{-1} \phi_P(p, \sigma(p)) g = \\
&= g^{-1} G_{\sigma}(p) g, \quad \forall (p, g) \in P \times_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if we have a smooth map from P to \mathcal{G} , satisfying

$$(5.1) \quad G(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon(p), \varepsilon(p)}, \quad \forall p \in P,$$

$$(5.2) \quad G(pg) = g^{-1} G(p) g, \quad (p, g) \in P \times_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G},$$

the well-defined assignment

$$p \xrightarrow{\sigma_K} pG(p), \quad \forall p \in P,$$

defines in an obvious way a bundle morphism on P , which I denote by σ_G . Therefore, by Theorem 5.6, σ_G defines a unique generalized gauge transformation K_G by the rule

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad K_G(p, q) &:= \phi_P(q, \sigma_G(p)) = \\
 &= \phi_P(q, pG(p)) = \\
 &= \phi_P(q, p) G(p) = \\
 &= \phi_P(p, q)^{-1} G(p), \quad \forall (p, q) \in P \odot P.
 \end{aligned}$$

Computations similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 5.6 imply that the assignments

$$G \rightsquigarrow K_G \quad K \rightsquigarrow \iota_\Delta^* K,$$

are inverse to each other, where G is any map from P to \mathcal{G} , satisfying both Equations (5.1) and (5.2), and K is a generalized gauge transformation of P ; the map ι_Δ denotes here the imbedding of the diagonal of $P \odot P$.

By Equation (5.1), one can define on the set $C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$ of maps from P to \mathcal{G} satisfying Equations (5.1) and (5.2), a product structure: in fact,

$$(G_1 G_2)(p) := G_1(p) G_2(p), \quad \forall p \in P.$$

It is clear that the map $G_1 G_2$ enjoys again Equations (5.1) and (5.2). Moreover, the product is associative, since, for any $p \in P$, the set $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon(p), \varepsilon(p)}$ is a group.

Furthermore, the map

$$\iota_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \varepsilon: P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

satisfies both Equations (5.1) and (5.2): in fact, e.g. Equation (5.2) holds because:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon(pg)) &= \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(s_{\mathcal{G}}(g)) = \\
 &= g^{-1} g = \\
 &= g^{-1} \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon(p)) g, \quad \forall (p, g) \in P \times_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to prove that $\iota_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \varepsilon$ is the unit for the product in $C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$. Analogously, to any G in $C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$, the map

$$G^{-1}(p) := G(p)^{-1}, \quad \forall p \in P,$$

belongs again to $C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$, and a direct computation shows that

$$GG^{-1} = G^{-1}G = \iota_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \varepsilon.$$

Hence, it is possible to summarize all the computations so far in the following

Proposition 5.9. *For any principal \mathcal{G} -bundle, the set $C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$ of maps from P to \mathcal{G} , satisfying Equations (5.1) and (5.2), is in one-to-one correspondence via the maps*

$$C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}} \ni G \rightsquigarrow K_G \in C^\infty(P \odot P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \ni K \rightsquigarrow \iota_\Delta^* K \in C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$$

with the set of generalized gauge transformations $C^\infty(P \odot P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$; here, K_G denotes the map defined by Equation (5.3). Moreover, the set $C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a group, called the gauge group of P ; thus, the set of bundle automorphisms of P , being in one-to-one correspondence with the gauge group $C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$, inherits a group structure via composition, and the map $\sigma \mapsto G_\sigma$, for any bundle automorphism σ of P , is an isomorphism of groups.

Remark 5.10. The previous Proposition implies readily that the gauge group of a principal \mathcal{G} -bundle P may be viewed as the isotropy group at P of the groupoid of generalized gauge transformations, which I will introduce and discuss in the Subsection 5.4.

5.3. Invariance of the division map w.r.t. bundle isomorphisms. In this short subsection, I will display a trivial, but important property of the division map, namely its invariance w.r.t. bundle morphisms. In other words: one already knows that bundle morphisms between right principal \mathcal{G} -bundles over the same base space are isomorphisms. Therefore, considering isomorphism classes of \mathcal{G} -bundles over the same base space, one may consider one representative P , and consider subsequently its division map ϕ_P : the latter is an invariant of the isomorphism class, i.e. it does not depend on the choice of the representative.

More formally, the content of the previous discussion may be restated in the following

Theorem 5.11. *Let σ be a bundle morphism from the right principal \mathcal{G} -bundle P_1 to the right principal \mathcal{G} -bundle P_2 , both over the same base space.*

Then, the following identity holds:

$$(5.4) \quad \phi_{P_2} \circ (\sigma \times \sigma) = \phi_{P_1} \quad \text{on } P_1 \odot P_1.$$

Proof. First of all, let us check that the map on the left-hand side is well-defined. This is not difficult: in fact, considering a pair (p_1, \bar{p}_1) in $P_1 \odot P_1$, it follows immediately that the pair $(\sigma(p_1), \sigma(\bar{p}_1))$ belongs to $P_2 \odot P_2$, since σ is fibre-preserving.

Second, the identity follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p_1)\phi_{P_2}(\sigma(p_1), \sigma(\bar{p}_1)) &= \sigma(\bar{p}_1) = \\ &= \sigma(p_1)\phi_{P_1}(p_1, \bar{p}_1) = \\ &= \sigma(p_1)\phi_{P_1}(p_1, \bar{p}_1), \quad \forall (p_1, \bar{p}_1) \in P_1 \odot P_1, \end{aligned}$$

by the definitions of the division maps ϕ_{P_1} and ϕ_{P_2} , and by the \mathcal{G} -equivariance of σ . Since the action of \mathcal{G} is free, Identity (5.4) follows immediately. \square

As a simple consequence, the assignment to a bundle morphism σ between P_1 and P_2 , right principal \mathcal{G} -bundles over the same base space, of a generalized gauge transformation of Theorem 5.6 may be also rewritten as follows:

$$\sigma \rightsquigarrow K_\sigma(p_1, p_2) = \phi_{P_1}(\sigma^{-1}(p_2), p_1), \quad (p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2.$$

5.4. The groupoid of generalized gauge transformations. As shown in Theorem 5.6 in Subsection 5.2, any bundle morphism between two principal \mathcal{G} -bundles is invertible, thus, by definition, every morphism of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$ is invertible, making $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$ to an abstract groupoid. I want to discuss this groupoid from the point of view of generalized gauge transformations. Let me begin with a notational remark:

A bundle morphism from an object P_i to another object P_j of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$ will be denoted by σ_{ij}

I consider now a triple (P_1, P_2, P_3) of objects of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, and corresponding bundle morphisms σ_{12} and σ_{23} . Since $\sigma_{23} \circ \sigma_{12}$ is obviously G -equivariant and fibre-preserving from P_1 to P_3 in the sense of Definition 5.1, there is a unique generalized gauge transformation in $C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_3, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$ by Theorem 5.6, given explicitly by:

$$(\sigma_{23} \circ \sigma_{12}) \rightsquigarrow K_{\sigma_{23} \circ \sigma_{12}}(p_1, p_3) = \phi_{P_3}(p_3, (\sigma_{23} \circ \sigma_{12})(p_1)), \quad \pi_1(p_1) = \pi_3(p_3).$$

A direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{23} \circ \sigma_{12})(p_1) &= \sigma_{23}(p_2 K_{\sigma_{12}}(p_1, p_2)) = \\ &= \sigma_{23}(p_2) K_{\sigma_{12}}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= p_3 K_{\sigma_{23}}(p_2, p_3) K_{\sigma_{12}}(p_1, p_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $p_2 \in P_2$ such that $\pi_2(p_2) = \pi_1(p_1) = \pi_3(p_3)$. The freeness of the action of \mathcal{G} on P_3 implies finally

$$K_{13}(p_1, p_3) := K_{\sigma_{23} \circ \sigma_{12}}(p_1, p_3) = K_{\sigma_{23}}(p_2, p_3) K_{\sigma_{12}}(p_1, p_2).$$

(In order to avoid cumbersome notations, I simply abbreviate $K_{\sigma_{12}}$ by K_{12} and so on.)

Now, for any triple (P_1, P_2, P_3) of objects of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, consider the product operation $C^\infty(P_2 \odot P_3, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \times C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \ni (K_{23}, K_{12}) \mapsto (K_{23} \star K_{12})(p_1, p_3) :=$

$$= K_{23}(p_2, p_3) K_{12}(p_1, p_2),$$

for any pair (p_1, p_3) in $P_1 \odot P_3$, and p_2 in P_2 satisfying

$$(p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \odot P_2 \Rightarrow (p_2, p_3) \in P_2 \odot P_3.$$

First of all, the operation \star is well-defined, since

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{23}(p_2, p_3)) = \varepsilon_2(p_2) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{12}(p_1, p_2)).$$

Moreover, since K_{12} and K_{23} are both generalized gauge transformations, their product $K_{23} \star K_{12}$ does not depend on the choice of $p_2 \in P_2$, as long as $\pi_1(p_1) = \pi_2(p_2) = \pi_3(p_3)$ holds: namely, for another representative $q_2 = p_2 \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2)$ in the same fibre of π_2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_{13}(p_1, p_3) &= K_{23}(p_2 \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2), p_3) K_{12}(p_1, p_2 \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2)) = \\ &= K_{23}(p_2, p_3) \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2) \phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2)^{-1} K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= K_{23}(p_2, p_3) \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(t_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_{P_2}(p_2, q_2))) K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= K_{23}(p_2, p_3) \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_2(p_2)) K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= K_{23}(p_2, p_3) K_{12}(p_1, p_2), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{23}(p_2, p_3)) = \varepsilon_2(p_2).$$

On the other hand, $K_{23} \star K_{12}$ is $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ -equivariant:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{13}(p_1 g, p_3 h) &= K_{23}(p_2, p_3 h) K_{12}(p_1 g, p_2) = \\ &= h^{-1} K_{23}(p_2, p_3) K_{12}(p_1, p_2) g = \\ &= h^{-1} K_{13}(p_1, p_3) g, \quad \forall g, h \in \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now a 4-tuple (P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4) of objects of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, and the three respective sets of generalized gauge transformations:

$$C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}, \quad C^\infty(P_2 \odot P_3, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \quad \text{and} \quad C^\infty(P_3 \odot P_4, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}.$$

It makes sense to consider the following iterated operations of the map \star :

$$K_{34} \star (K_{23} \star K_{12}) \quad \text{and} \quad (K_{34} \star K_{23}) \star K_{12},$$

for any $K_{12} \in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$, $K_{23} \in C^\infty(P_2 \odot P_3, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$ and $K_{34} \in C^\infty(P_3 \odot P_4, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$. Explicit computations give

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{34} \star (K_{23} \star K_{12}))(p_1, p_4) &= K_{34}(p_3, p_4) (K_{23} \star K_{12})(p_1, p_3) = (\pi_3(p_3) = \pi_1(p_1)) \\ &= K_{34}(p_3, p_4) K_{23}(p_2, p_3) K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = (\pi_2(p_2) = \pi_1(p_1)) \\ &= (K_{34} \star K_{23})(p_2, p_4) K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= ((K_{34} \star K_{23}) \star K_{12})(p_1, p_4), \end{aligned}$$

which proves associativity of the operation \star , whenever it makes sense.

On the other hand, considering a pair (P_1, P_2) of objects of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, by what was proved in Proposition 5.9 of Subsubsection 5.2.1, any bundle automorphism of P_1 , hence a gauge transformation, corresponds uniquely to an element of $C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_1, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$. In particular, the unique element associated to the identity map on P_1 is simply $\phi_{P_1}^{-1}$; namely

$$K_{\text{id}_{P_1}}(p_1, q_1) = \phi_{P_1}(q_1, p_1) = \phi_{P_1}(p, q_1)^{-1}.$$

I want to compute an explicit expression for $K_{12} \star \phi_{P_1}^{-1}$, for any $K_{12} \in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{12} \star \phi_{P_1}^{-1})(p_1, p_2) &= K_{12}(q_1, p_2) \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1)^{-1} = \\ &\stackrel{\text{by independence of the choice of } q_1}{=} K_{12}(p_1, p_2) \phi_{P_1}(p_1, p_1) = \\ &= K_{12}(p_1, p_2) \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_1(p_1)) = \\ &= K_{12}(p_1, p_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_1(p_1) = \pi_1(q_1)$ and since

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{12}(p_1, p_2)) = \varepsilon_1(p_1).$$

On the other hand, using the same notations as before, I compute explicitly $\phi_{P_2}^{-1} \star K_{12}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{P_2}^{-1} \star K_{12})(p_1, p_2) &= \phi_{P_2}(q_2, p_2)^{-1} K_{12}(p_1, q_2) = \\ &\stackrel{\text{by independence of the choice of } q_2}{=} \phi_{P_2}(p_2, p_2)^{-1} K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_2(p_2)) K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= K_{12}(p_1, p_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_2(p_2) = \pi_2(q_2)$, and by

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{12}(p_1, p_2)) = \varepsilon_2(p_2).$$

Hence, for any object P_1 of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, there is an element $\phi_{P_1}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the identity for the operation \star .

At last, for any pair (P_1, P_2) of objects of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$ and any morphism between them represented by $K_{12} \in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$, Lemma 5.7 of Subsection 5.2 implies that there is another generalized gauge transformation, whose associated bundle morphism is the inverse of the bundle morphism represented by K_{12} ; I denote this generalized gauge transformation by \tilde{K}_{12} . Let me compute explicitly the product $\tilde{K}_{12} \star K_{12}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{K}_{12} \star K_{12})(p_1, q_1) &= \tilde{K}_{12}(p_2, q_1) K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= K_{12}(q_1, p_2)^{-1} K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &\stackrel{\text{by definition of } \phi_{P_1}}{=} K_{12}(p_1 \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1), p_2)^{-1} K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &\stackrel{\text{by } \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}\text{-equivariance of } K_{12}}{=} \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1)^{-1} K_{12}(p_1, p_2)^{-1} K_{12}(p_1, p_2) = \\ &= \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1)^{-1} \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(s_{\mathcal{G}}(K_{12}(p_1, p_2))) = \\ &= \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1)^{-1} \iota_{\mathcal{G}}(\varepsilon_1(p_1)) = \\ &= \phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_2 \in P_2$ is such that $\pi_2(p_2) = \pi_1(p_1)$, and since

$$s_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1)^{-1}) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_{P_1}(p_1, q_1)) = \varepsilon_1(p_1).$$

On the other hand, similar computations yield

$$K_{12} \star \tilde{K}_{12} = \phi_{P_2},$$

whence the assignment

$$K_{12} \in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \rightsquigarrow C^\infty(P_2 \odot P_1, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \ni \tilde{K}_{12}$$

gives an inverse for the operation \star .

Putting all these computations together, one sees that the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$ with principal \mathcal{G} -bundles over M as objects and bundle morphisms in the sense of Definition 5.1 between them as morphisms is a *groupoid*. Namely, to any pair of objects (P_1, P_2) of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, I associate the set

$$(P_1, P_2) \rightsquigarrow C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$$

of generalized gauge transformations between P_1 and P_2 . There are maps s, t (the source and target map respectively) from the set of all sets of the form $C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$, for any two objects P_1, P_2 of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, to the objects of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$; ι , the so-called *identity*, from the objects of the category $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, to the set $C^\infty(P \odot P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$, for some object P of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, defined respectively via

$$\begin{aligned} s(K_{12}) &:= P_1, & K_{12} &\in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}, \\ t(K_{12}) &:= P_2, & K_{12} &\in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}, \\ i(P) &:= \phi_P^{-1} \in C^\infty(P \odot P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \cong C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}. \end{aligned}$$

There is a partially defined, associative product of the set of sets of the form $C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \star : C^\infty(P_2 \odot P_3, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \times C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} &\rightarrow C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_3, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \\ (K_{23}, K_{12}) &\mapsto K_{23} \star K_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

(5.5)

$$\begin{aligned} s(K_{23} \star K_{12}) &= P_1 = s(K_{12}), \\ t(K_{23} \star K_{12}) &= P_3 = t(K_{23}), \\ s(i(P)) &= P = t(i(P)); \end{aligned}$$

$$i(t(K_{12})) \star K_{12} = K_{12}, \quad K_{12} \star i(s(K_{12})) = K_{12}, \quad \forall K_{12} \in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}.$$

It was also proved that there exists, for any $K_{12} \in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$, a unique element, which was previously denoted by $\tilde{K}_{12} \in C^\infty(P_2 \odot P_1, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$, which satisfies the property

$$K_{12} \star \tilde{K}_{12} = \phi_{P_2} = i(t(K_{12})), \quad \tilde{K}_{12} \star K_{12} = \phi_{P_1} = i(s(K_{12})).$$

Hence, it makes sense to define the inversion map

$$j(K_{12}) := \tilde{K}_{12}, \quad \forall K_{12} \in C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}.$$

The *groupoid of generalized gauge transformations* is denoted by $C^{\infty, \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$, so that

$$(5.6) \quad C_{P_1, P_2}^{\infty, \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} := C^\infty(P_1 \odot P_2, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}, \quad C_P^{\infty, \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}} \cong C^\infty(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}},$$

the latter being, as was said before, a consequence of Proposition 5.9 of Subsubsection 5.2.1; the source, target and identity map of $C^{\infty, \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$ are defined in (5.5).

Summarizing all the computations so far, I can finally state the following

Theorem 5.12. *The 6-tuple $(C^{\infty, \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}, \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}, s, t, i, j)$, for any manifold M and any groupoid \mathcal{G} , is an abstract groupoid in the sense of Definition 2.1, where the source, target and identity map are defined in (5.5), and the inversion map is defined in (5.6); it is obviously isomorphic to the abstract groupoid of bundle morphisms with set of objects $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$ by Theorem 5.6 of Subsection 5.2. For any object P of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}, M}$, the isotropy group $C_P^{\infty, \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}$ is isomorphic to the gauge group of P , which is denoted $C^{\infty}(P, \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{G}}$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] “Higher-dimensional BF theories in the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism: the BV action and generalized Wilson loops,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **221** (2001), no. 3, 591–657
- [2] A. Connes, “A survey of foliations and operator algebras,” *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **38**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982
- [3] A. Hæfliger, “Groupoides d’holonomie et classifiants,” (Toulouse, 1982), *Astérisque* **116** (1984), 70–97
- [4] M. Hilsun and G. Skandalis, “Morphismes K -orientés d’espaces de feuilles et functorialité en théorie de Kasparov (d’après une conjecture d’A. Connes),” *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **20** (1987), no. 3, 325–390
- [5] K. MacKenzie, *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **124**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987
- [6] I. Mœrdijk, “Classifying toposes and foliations,” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **41** (1991), no. 1, 189–209
- [7] I. Mœrdijk and J. Mrcun, *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, Cambridge University Press (in press)
- [8] J. Mrcun, “Functoriality of the bimodule associated to a Hilsun-Skandalis map,” *K-Theory* **18** (1999), no. 3, 235–253
- [9] C. A. Rossi, “The groupoid of generalized gauge transformations: holonomy, parallel transport and generalized Wilson loop,” (in preparation)
- [10] C. A. Rossi, “Gauge theory for principal bundles with structure groupoids: local triviality, Čech-Étale cohomology, local generalized morphisms and local Morita equivalences,” (in preparation)

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS—TECHNION—32000, HAIFA—ISRAEL
E-mail address: crossi@techunix.technion.ac.il