

HIGHER STRING TOPOLOGY ON GENERAL SPACES

PO HU

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], Chas and Sullivan considered the free loop space $LM = Maps(S^1, M)$ for a smooth orientable compact manifold M . They used geometric methods to show that H_*LM has, among other things, the structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. More precisely, C_*LM has an action by the operad of chain complexes $C_*\mathcal{D}_2$, where \mathcal{D}_2 is the framed 2-dimensional little disk operad. Each element of $\mathcal{D}_2(n)$ is an ordered configuration of n disjoint 2-dimensional little disks d_1, \dots, d_n inside the 2-dimensional disk D^2 , together with a linear parametrization $\lambda_i : S^1 \rightarrow c_i$, where c_i is the boundary of d_i , for each i . Also, for such an M , there is a chain homotopy equivalence

$$(1.1) \quad C_*(LM) \simeq HC^*(C^*M)$$

the Hochschild cohomology complex of the cochain complex of M .

In [4], Cohen and Jones gave a homotopy theoretical realization of the result of Chas and Sullivan. Namely, let ν_M be the stable normal bundle of the manifold M . One can pull back ν_M along the evaluation map $LM \rightarrow M$, and consider the stable bundle ν_M over LM . Then the Thom complex

$$LM^{\nu_M}$$

is an algebra over the (unframed) 2-dimensional little disk operad in the category of spectra (using the modern theory of strictly commutative, associative and unital ring spectra).

In this note, we give a generalized analogue of the results of Chas and Sullivan, and of Cohen and Jones. One direction of the generalization is that we consider higher dimensional unframed little disk operads \mathcal{C}_k for all k . Also, rather than considering smooth orientable manifolds, we consider general finite-dimensional simplicial complexes. In Section 2 below, we shall construct for each finite CW-complex X a spectrum $Maps(S^k, X)^{S(X)}$, and prove the following result:

Theorem 1.2. *For $k \geq 1$ and X as above,*

$$C_*Maps(S^k, X)^{S(X)}$$

is naturally homotopy equivalent to a \mathcal{C}_{k+1} -algebra in the category of chain complexes, where C_ denotes chain complexes with coefficients in a field K .*

The method is by showing that $C_*Maps(S^k, X)^{S(X)}$ is naturally equivalent to a Hochschild cohomology complex in the category of \mathcal{C}_k -algebras, and use the result of [9] that Hochschild cohomology complexes in the category of \mathcal{C}_k -algebras are

\mathcal{C}_{k+1} -algebras. There is a subtle reason why the present paper is not a direct generalization of the Batalin-Vilkovisky structure of [2]: although it is natural to conjecture that the Batalin-Vilkovisky structure of [2] is the same as the one obtained from Hochschild cohomology, as far as I know, at present this has not been proved. It should be mentioned that Kallel and Salvatore [10] and Chataur [3] use different, more geometrical, approaches to higher-dimensional string topology. Results in this direction were also announced by Sasha Voronov and Dennis Sullivan.

In Section 3, we shall discuss some algebraic aspects of this story. Namely, we shall introduce the concept of Koszul duality on general categories outlined in [9], and related this to the Ginzburg-Kapranov Koszul duality for operad [7]. Using this technique, we shall prove Kontsevich's conjecture that Quillen cohomology in based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras is a shift of their Hochschild cohomology (Theorem 3.4). We shall also prove that the operad \mathcal{C}_k is derived-Koszul dual to itself up to a shift (Theorem 3.7). Therefore, one has a natural notion of homotopy Koszul dual \mathcal{C}_k -algebras.

Recall that for any X , the k -fold loop space $\Omega^k X$ has the structure of a \mathcal{C}_k -algebra (see [13]). Namely, suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_k(n)$, so α is a configuration of n k -dimensional little disks inside D^k . Then for $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n : S^k \rightarrow X$,

$$\alpha(f_1, \dots, f_n) : S^k \rightarrow X$$

is given by sending the i -th little disk in the configuration α to X via f_i , and sending the rest of D^k to the basepoint of X . Hence, $C_*\Omega^k X$ has the structure of a $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ -algebra. Now one has the following

Proposition 1.3. *For a space X , C^*X and $C_*\Omega^k X$ are Koszul dual to each other in the homotopy category of \mathcal{C}_k -algebras.*

For $k = 1$, this is a part of a conjecture made by R. Cohen at the 2002 Aarhus conference.

Finally, in Section 4, we shall prove that Koszul-dual \mathcal{C}_k -algebras have the same (unbased) Hochschild cohomologies (Theorem 4.1). One should note that both based and unbased Hochschild cohomologies of \mathcal{C}_k -algebras are non-trivial notions rigorously introduced in [9]. Returning to spaces, this gives the following

Corollary 1.4. *For a finite-dimensional $k + 1$ -connected simplicial complex X ,*

$$\begin{aligned} C_*\text{Maps}(S^k, X)^{S(X)} &\simeq HC_{C_*\mathcal{C}_k}^*(C^*X) \\ &\simeq HC_{C_*\mathcal{C}_k}^*(C_*\Omega^k X). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equivalence is from Theorem 2.6 below. The second equivalence follows from Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 1.3. \square

For $k = 1$, this was proved by Y. Félix, L. Menichi and J. Thomas [6].

Note that Corollary 1.4 implies Theorem 1.2.

Remark: It is not necessary to work with chain complexes. The proof of Kontsevich's conjecture and all the major results of this paper have analogues in the category of spectra, which, however, are substantially more technically difficult.

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Ralph Cohen, Igor Kriz and Sasha Voronov for important comments.

2. THE TOPOLOGICAL STORY

Let X be a finite-dimensional simplicial complex, with a simplicial embedding in a sphere S^N for some N (for some triangulation of S^N). Instead of the normal bundle, we can construct a parametrized spectrum $S(X)$ over X , similarly as the construction of the Spivak bundle for Poincare duality spaces. For each simplex s of X , recall that $st(s)$, the star of s , is defined to be the union of all open simplices t , such that s is a face of t (including s itself). For each simplex s , consider the construction

$$(2.1) \quad (S^N \setminus (X \setminus st(s)))/(S^N \setminus X).$$

Here, by the symbol $/$, we mean the attachment of a cone on $S^N \setminus X$. Note that the star is contravariantly functorial with respect to the simplicial structure: if s_1 and s_2 are simplices, and s_1 is a face of s_2 , then $st(s_2) \subseteq st(s_1)$. Hence, the construction (2.1) is also contravariantly functorial with respect to the simplices of X . We define a simplicial space $S(X)_\bullet$ by

$$S(X)_n = \coprod_{\dim(s)=n} (S^N \setminus (X \setminus (st(s)))/(S^N \setminus X)$$

and define the parametrized spectrum $S(X)$ over X to be the simplicial realization of $S(X)_\bullet$. To see that $S(X)$ is a parametrized spectrum over X , note that for each n -dimensional simplex s of X , we have a map

$$(2.2) \quad ((S^N \setminus (X \setminus st(s)))/(S^N \setminus X)) \times \Delta_n \rightarrow s$$

by barycentric coordinates on Δ_n . The sources of (2.2) for all n -dimensional simplices s make up $S(X)_n$, so taking disjoint unions and passing to the simplicial realization, we get a structure map

$$S(X) \rightarrow X.$$

Also, each map (2.2) is split naturally with respect to the simplicial structure, by going to the basepoint of $(S^N \setminus (X \setminus st(s)))/(S^N \setminus X)$ in the first coordinate, and taking the inverse of the canonical parametrization $\Delta_n \rightarrow s$ in the second coordinate. So taking disjoint unions and passing to the simplicial realization, we get a basepoint map

$$X \rightarrow S(X).$$

The parametrized spectrum $S(X)$ has the following property. Let $i : X \rightarrow *$ be the collapse map. There is a functor $i_\#$ from spectra parametrized over X to spectra, which is the left adjoint to the pullback functor i^* . Specifically, $i_\#$ is obtained by collapsing the basepoint copy of X to a single point (see [8]).

Proposition 2.3.

$$i_\# S(X) \simeq \Sigma^N DX_+$$

where DX_+ is the Spanier-Whitehead dual of X_+ .

In particular, if X is an orientable Poincare space, the $S(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to the Spivak bundle of X . However, for general X , $S(X)$ is not a spherical fibration over X .

Proof. For each simplex s of X , we have an inclusion map

$$S^N \setminus (X \setminus st(s)) \rightarrow S^N.$$

Taking cones on $S^N \setminus X$ on both sides, and then applying simplicial realization, we get a map

$$(2.4) \quad i_{\sharp} S(X) \rightarrow S^N / (S^N \setminus X).$$

We will show that this is an equivalence. Note that simplicial realization and the cone are both colimits, so they commute with each other. Hence, it suffices to consider the simplicial realization $|S^N \setminus (X \setminus st(s))|$, and show that the map

$$|S^N \setminus (X \setminus st(s))| \rightarrow S^N$$

is an equivalence. This is true since $(S^N \setminus (X \setminus st(s)))_s$ is an open cover of S^N , and the simplicial realization is its homotopy colimit. However, projection from the homotopy colimit of an open cover of a simplicial complex by open sets which are complements of subcomplexes to the original simplicial complex is an equivalence. Hence, (2.4) is an equivalence. But by Spanier-Whitehead duality, we also have that

$$S^N / (S^N \setminus X) \simeq \Sigma^N DX_+.$$

□

Consider the space $Maps(S^k, X)$ of all unbased continuous maps $S^k \rightarrow X$. This is the higher dimensional analogue of the free loop space LX . Taking the evaluation map at a chosen basepoint of S^k

$$ev : Maps(S^k, X) \rightarrow X$$

and pulling back $S(X)$, we can consider $S(X)$ as a parametrized spectrum over $Maps(S^k, X)$. Also, there is the collapse map $j : Maps(S^k, X) \rightarrow *$. Pushing forward $S(X)$ along j from parametrized spectra over $Maps(S^k, X)$ to spectra (i. e. applying the functor j_{\sharp}), we get a spectrum $Maps(S^k, X)^{S(X)}$. This is the generalization of the stable Thom complex LM^{ν_M} .

Now Deligne's conjecture, proven by McClure and Smith [14], states that the Hochschild cohomology complex of an associative algebra R (i. e. an algebra over $C_*\mathcal{C}_1$) has a natural structure of an algebra over $C_*\mathcal{C}_2$. Kontsevich's conjecture calls for an analogue of this for algebras over $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ for general k . In [9], we proved a version of Kontsevich's conjecture. Recall that if R is an algebra over $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$, a $(C_*\mathcal{C}_k, R)$ module M is given by structure maps

$$C_*\mathcal{C}_k(n+1) \otimes R^{\otimes n} \otimes M \rightarrow M$$

for each n , which satisfies the obvious associativity, equivariance, and unitality axioms. In [9], we defined closed model category structures on the category $C_*\mathcal{C}_k - Alg$ of $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ -algebras, as well as on $(C_*\mathcal{C}_k, R) - Mod$, the category of modules over a $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ -algebra R . Namely, the fibrations and weak equivalences are carried over from the category of chain complexes. If R is a $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ -algebra, we defined the Hochschild cohomology complex of R as

$$HC_{C_*\mathcal{C}_k}^*(R) = RHom_{(C_*\mathcal{C}_k, R)}(R, R).$$

Theorem 2.5 ([9]). *For a C_*C_k -algebra R , $HC_{C_*C_k}^*(R)$ has a natural structure as a C_{k+1} -algebra.*

The analogue of Theorem 1.2 on chain complexes now follows from the following result.

Theorem 2.6. *For a $k + 1$ -connected simplicial complex X , there is a natural homotopy equivalence*

$$C_*(Maps(S^k, X)^{S(X)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} HC_{C_*C_k}^*(C^*X).$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of [4]. We consider S_{\bullet}^k , which is S^k thought of as a simplicial set. Then $Maps(S_{\bullet}^k, X)$ is a cosimplicial model for $Maps(S^k, X)$, whose n -th stage is given by $Maps(S_n^k, X)$. This induces in turn a cosimplicial decomposition of $Maps(S^k, X)^{S(X)}$. On the other hand, we have the following construction due to Kelly [11]. Let R be an E_{∞} -algebra. We shall use the commutative, associative and unital product constructed in [12]. With respect to this product \square , the E_{∞} -structure map of R is just

$$R \square R \rightarrow R$$

and it is strictly commutative, associative and unital. Then $*$ is the categorical coproduct of E_{∞} -algebras in this language. Now following Kelly [11], for a simplicial set Z_{\bullet} , we can define the tensor product of Z_{\bullet} and R to be the simplicial realization

$$Z_{\bullet} \otimes R = |\amalg_{Z_{\bullet}} R|.$$

Now note that the bar construction $B(R, R, R)$ can be thought of as $I_{\bullet} \otimes R$, where I_{\bullet} is the unit interval with the standard simplicial structure. Analogously, for $k \geq 1$, consider a simplicial triangulation of D^k , with S^k as a subcomplex. Let M be a based R -module, (i. e. we have a basepoint $K \rightarrow M$ to make \square defined, and a structure map

$$R \square M \rightarrow M$$

with the usual commutative diagrams.) Then we have the higher bar construction

$$B^{(k)}(R, M) = (D_{\bullet}^k \otimes R) \square_{S_{\bullet}^{k-1} \otimes R} M.$$

(Here, M is an $(S_{\bullet}^{k-1} \otimes R)$ -module via the collapse map $S_{\bullet}^{k-1} \otimes R \rightarrow R$.) We also have the higher cobar construction

$$C^{(k)}(R, M) = Hom_{S_{\bullet}^{k-1} \otimes R}(D_{\bullet}^k \otimes R, M)$$

where $Hom_{S_{\bullet}^{k-1} \otimes R}$ is the right adjoint to $\square_{S_{\bullet}^{k-1} \otimes R}$. Now we have

Proposition 2.7. *For an E_{∞} -algebra R ,*

$$HC_{C_*C_k}^*(R) \simeq C^{(k)}(R, R).$$

Now to prove the theorem, note that evaluation gives a map

$$\Delta_n \times Maps(S_n^k, X)^{S(X)} \rightarrow (DX_+)^{\wedge S_n^k}.$$

Passing to (appropriately rigidified) chains, and applying cosimplicial realization, we get a map

$$C_*(\text{Maps}(S^k, X)^{S(X)}) \rightarrow HC_{C_*C_k}^*(C^*X).$$

An Eilenberg-Moore type spectral sequence then shows that this is an equivalence. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.7. For an E_∞ -algebra R , we have that $C^{(k)}(R, R)$ is defined to be $\text{Hom}_{S^{\bullet k-1} \otimes R}(D^{\bullet k} \otimes R, R)$. So it suffices to show that

$$S^{\bullet k-1} \otimes R \xrightarrow{\simeq} A_1^R$$

as algebras, such that the identity map $R \rightarrow R$ takes, up to quasi-isomorphism, the module structure on R over $S^{\bullet k-1} \otimes R$ to the module structure over A_1^R . We will show that this, in fact, follows from Poincare duality.

For an k -dimensional submanifold (possibly with boundary) M of \mathbb{R}^k and a space X , we take

$$C_k^M(X)$$

to be the space of configurations of finitely many unordered little cubes in M , with faces orthogonal to the \mathbb{R}^k -coordinates, disjoint with the boundary of M , and labelled by elements of X . In particular, if $M = D^k$, then $C_k^{D^k}$ is quasi-isomorphic, as a right C_k -algebra, to C_k , the monad associated with the usual k -dimensional little cubes operad. For $M = S^{k-1} \times I$, the functor $C_k^{S^{k-1} \times I}$ is a left functor over C_k , and for an C_∞ -algebra R (considered as a C_k -algebra by pullback),

$$(2.8) \quad A_1^R \simeq B(C_k^{S^{k-1} \times I}, C_k, R).$$

We claim that

$$(2.9) \quad B(C_k^{S^{k-1} \times I}, C_k, R) \simeq B(C_\infty^{S^{k-1} \times I \times I^\infty}, C_\infty, R).$$

(Here, $(-)^\infty$ refers to $\text{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-)^n$.) To see this, one considers the double bar complex

$$(2.10) \quad B((C_k^{S^{k-1} \times I}, C_k, C_\infty, C_\infty, R).$$

which is equivalent to $B(C_k^{S^{k-1} \times I}, C_k, R)$, and show that

$$B(C_k^{S^{k-1} \times I}, C_k, C_\infty) \simeq C_\infty^{S^{k-1} \times I \times I^\infty}.$$

Hence, (2.10) is also $B(C_\infty^{S^{k-1} \times I \times I^\infty}, C_\infty, R)$.

Now it suffices to consider the case when $R = C_\infty X$ for a based space X . (Then

$$\begin{aligned} B(C_\infty^{S^{k-1} \times I \times I^\infty}, C_\infty, R) &= B(C_\infty^{S^{k-1} \times I \times I^\infty}, C_\infty, C_\infty X) \\ &\simeq C_\infty^{S^{k-1} \times I \times I^\infty} X. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that this is equivalent to

$$(S^{k-1} \times I)_\bullet \otimes C_\infty X.$$

For M as above, we also define $C_k^{\prime M} X$ to be the space of unordered tuples of little cubes in M with faces orthogonal to the \mathbb{R}^k -coordinates, labelled by elements of X , but differing from $C_k^M X$ in that little cubes are allowed to intersect with ∂M , but where we identify each configuration with the one obtained by deleting the little cubes which have nonempty intersections with ∂M . Let $M = \bigcup U_i$ be a

covering of M by open neighborhoods U_i , where all intersections are either empty or diffeomorphic to a convex set in \mathbb{R}^k . Then we have for i_1, \dots, i_n a natural equivalence

$$C_k^{U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n}} X \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Map}(U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n}, \Sigma^k X).$$

Here, the suspension coordinate Σ^k is equivalent to the restriction of the tangent bundle τ_M of M to $U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n}$. Passing to inverse limits (in the Čech sense), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} C_k^M X &\cong \lim_{\leftarrow} C_k^{U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n}} X \\ &\simeq \Gamma_M(S^{\tau_M} \wedge X). \end{aligned}$$

Here, S^{τ_M} is the sphere bundle of the tangent bundle of M , so $S^{\tau_M} \wedge X$ is a parametrized space over M , and Γ_M denotes the space of global sections of this. Similarly, we also get that

$$C_k^M X \simeq \Gamma_{(M, \partial M)}(S^{\tau_M} \wedge X)$$

where the right hand side denotes sections in which the boundary ∂M goes to the basepoint. Applying this to $M \times I^r$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k+r}^{M \times I^r} X &\simeq \Gamma_{(M \times D^r, M \times S^{r-1})}(S^{r-1} \wedge S^{\tau_M} \wedge X) \\ &\simeq \Gamma_M(\Omega^{r-1}(S^{r-1} \wedge S^{\tau_M} \wedge X)). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_\infty^{M \times I^\infty} X &\simeq \Gamma_M(\Omega^\infty(\Sigma^\infty S^{\tau_M} \wedge X)) \\ &\simeq \Omega^\infty \text{Map}(M^\nu, \Sigma^\infty X) \\ &\simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(M_+ \wedge X). \end{aligned}$$

Here, ν denotes the stable normal bundle of M . The last equivalence is by Poincaré duality. But this is also

$$\text{hocolim}_{E_\infty} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty((U_i)_+ \wedge X)$$

where the homotopy colimit is taken in the category of \mathcal{C}_∞ -algebras. But we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty((U_i)_+ \wedge X) &\simeq U_i \otimes \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X \\ &\simeq U_i \otimes C_\infty X. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the symbol \otimes on the right hand side denotes simplicial realization of coproducts of \mathcal{C}_∞ -algebras in the sense of Kelly [11]. The homotopy colimit is a Čech description of

$$M \otimes \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X \simeq M \otimes C_\infty X.$$

Hence, we have an equivalence

$$(2.11) \quad M \otimes C_\infty X \xrightarrow{\cong} C_\infty^{M \times I^\infty} X.$$

This is, by definition, a map of right C_∞ -algebras (considering X as a variable). Now take M to be a $(k-1)$ -dimensional submanifold (without boundary) of \mathbb{R}^k , and looking at $C_{k+r}^{M \times I \times I^r} X$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k+r}^{M \times I \times I^r} X &\simeq \Gamma_{(M \times D^r, M \times S^{r-1}) \times (I, \delta I)}(S^{r-1} \wedge S^1 \wedge S^{\tau_M} \wedge X) \\ &\simeq \Gamma_M(\Omega^r(S^r \wedge S^{\tau_M} \wedge X)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $M = S^{k-1}$ and applying the above argument as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get a map of right C_∞ -algebras (with X as a variable)

$$C_\infty^{S^{k-1} \times I \times I^\infty} X \simeq S^{k-1} \otimes C_\infty X.$$

Together with (2.9) and (2.8), we then have

$$(2.12) \quad S^{k-1} \otimes R \xrightarrow{\simeq} B(C_k^{S^{k-1} \times I}, C_k, R) \simeq A_1^R$$

as desired. (It is known that the C_∞ Kelly \otimes -product corresponds to the coproduct of structured E_∞ -algebras as described above; we omit the details here.)

To show that (2.12) preserves the algebra structure, note that for A_1^R , the algebra structure is given by the gluing

$$(S^{k-1} \times I) \amalg (S^{k-1} \times I) \rightarrow S^{k-1} \times I.$$

Passing to the Poincaré dual, this commutes with the E_∞ -structure coming from the E_∞ -structure of R , since $C_1 \square C_\infty \simeq C_\infty$, so an A_∞ -structure in the category of E_∞ -algebras is absorbed into the E_∞ -structure.

To show that the module structure on R is preserved, note that $R = C_\infty X$ is a module over $A_1^R \simeq C_\infty^{S^{k-1} \times I \times I^\infty} X$ via the gluing

$$D^k \amalg (S^{k-1} \times I) \rightarrow D^k$$

using an equivalence

$$C_\infty X \simeq C_\infty^{D^k \times I^\infty} X$$

which is obtained similarly as above. This has the same naturality as the module structure of $R = C_\infty X$ over $S_\bullet^{k-1} \otimes C_\infty X$. \square

3. THE ALGEBRAIC STORY

In [9], we outlined the following notion of Koszul dual derived categories, using Quillen (co)homology [15]. For a model category C with products, let $Ab(C)$ be the full subcategory of abelian group objects (with respect to the categorical product) in C , and let $R : Ab(C) \rightarrow C$ be the forgetful functor. (Given some set-theoretic conditions), this has a left adjoint $L : C \rightarrow Ab(C)$, which is the abelianization functor. Let F be the functorial cofibrant replacement in C , and let $L' = LF$. Then L' has a right adjoint R' . We define the (derived) Koszul transform $C_!$ of C to be the category of coalgebras over the comonad $L'R'$ on $Ab(C)$, and the Koszul dual category of C to be $C^! = (C_!)^{op}$. For our purpose, what we will be interested in are the corresponding homotopy categories. To that end, we must define equivalences: equivalences in $Ab(C)$ are the maps φ such that $R(\varphi)$ is an equivalence, and equivalences in $C_!$ are those morphisms which are equivalences in $Ab(C)$. As noted in [1], set-theoretical difficulties can arise in inverting equivalences in a given category. These difficulties can be overcome by introducing a closed model structure or another machinery. We do not know how to do this in the full generality, but in the particular cases considered below, one easily sees that no set-theoretical difficulty arises by small object arguments.

We write $C^! = C_Q^!$ for this description of the Koszul dual category, to distinguish it from other descriptions of the Koszul dual. Here Q stands for Quillen. Note that for an object X of C , $L'X$ is in $(C_!)_Q$ via the unit of the adjunction $L'X \rightarrow$

$L'R'L'X$. We can therefore define the Koszul dual functor $(-)_Q^! : C \rightarrow C_Q^!$ as the functor L' .

Ginzburg and Kapranov [7] studied Koszul duality for based operads of chain complexes over a field k of characteristic 0 (based just means that $\mathcal{C}(0) = K$). Their definitions apply to that context only, but can be translated to say that the Koszul dual category of operads is itself, and for an operad \mathcal{C} , the Ginzburg-Kapranov Koszul dual operad $\mathcal{C}_{GK}^!$ is the free operad generated by the sequence of chain complexes given by

$$\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(n), K)$$

with a suitable differential. In particular, an element of $\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee$ can be thought of as a directed tree, where each vertex has at most one incoming edge, and there is exactly one vertex with no incoming edge (called the root); each vertex with one incoming edge and $n > 0$ outgoing edges is decorated by an element of $\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee$, the vertices with no outgoing edges (called leaves) and the root are undecorated. Then the differential is obtained by co-contraction of edges, i. e. the operation dual to contracting a single edge in a tree. This is an analogue of the cobar construction for (co)-algebras.

Note that the differential must have degree 1, so one gives each tree a degree equal to its number of edges (the tree consisting of the root only has -1 edges, and the root is not counted as a leaf). For an \mathcal{C} -algebra R , one defines the Koszul dual $\mathcal{C}_{GK}^!$ -algebra $R_{GK}^!$ as the free $\mathcal{C}_{GK}^!$ -algebra on $\Sigma^{-1}R^\vee$, together with a differential. Again, an element of $R_{GK}^!$ can be thought of as a directed tree with each vertex having at most one incoming edge, and exactly one root, where the inner vertices are decorated by elements of $\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee$, the root is undecorated, and the leaves are decorated by elements of $\Sigma^{-1}R^\vee$. The differential (of degree 1) is obtained by co-contracting a single edge where both vertices are decorated by elements of \mathcal{C}^\vee , or by co-contracting a “bush” where the root of the bush is decorated by an element of $\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee$ and the n leaves are decorated by elements of $\Sigma^{-1}R^\vee$ (see [7]).

We remark that the reason for the shift in [7] is that one wants to consider *non-derived* Koszul duality, where one starts with an ungraded \mathcal{C} -algebra (where \mathcal{C} is an operad in the category of K -modules), and obtain an ungraded non-derived Koszul dual algebra over the non-derived Koszul dual operad. This works for operads which [7] call *Koszul* (e. g. the operad defining associative algebras is Koszul dual to itself; the operad defining commutative algebras is Koszul, and the non-derived Koszul dual operad defines Lie algebras). Now in order for the ungraded non-derived Koszul duality to match the grading of the derived Koszul duality, the shift is needed.

For an operad \mathcal{C} over chain complexes, recall that we denoted by $\mathcal{C} - Alg$ the category of algebras over \mathcal{C} , and that we defined a closed model structure on $\mathcal{C} - Alg$. We denote the corresponding homotopy category by $h(\mathcal{C} - Alg)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a based operad in the category of chain complexes of K -modules. Then the homotopy category of abelian objects in \mathcal{C} -algebras is equivalent to the homotopy category of chain complexes of K -modules. Also, there is an operad $\mathcal{C}_{cobar}^!$ such that the monad associated to $\mathcal{C}_{cobar}^!$ is equivalent to $(L'R')^{op}$. Moreover, the monad associated with the operad $\mathcal{C}_{GK}^!$ is, via that equivalence and duality of*

chain complexes of K -modules, equivalent to the monad $(L'R')^{op}[1]$, obtained by first suspending an object, applying $L'R'$, then desuspending.

Also,

$$(3.2) \quad h(\mathcal{C}_{cobar}^! - Alg) \simeq h(\mathcal{C} - Alg)_Q^!.$$

Proof. To prove the first statement, note that if X is an abelian \mathcal{C}_k -algebra, then $X \simeq K \oplus M$, where the \mathcal{C}_k -structure of M is trivial. Therefore, the category of based abelian \mathcal{C}_k -algebras is equivalent to the category of chain complexes, and this passes to the homotopy categories.

We define $\mathcal{C}_{cobar}^!$ to be the free operad on $\{\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee\}$, together with a differential. Namely, an element of $\mathcal{C}_{cobar}^!$ can be thought of as a directed tree, where each vertex has at most one incoming edge, and there is exactly one vertex with no incoming edge (the root); each vertex with one incoming edge and $n > 0$ outgoing edges is decorated by an element of $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee$, and the vertices with no outgoing edges (the leaves) and the root are undecorated. The differential has degree 1, and is obtained by co-contraction, i. e. taking the dual of contracting a single edge of the tree.

We can also consider $R_{cobar}^!$, the free $\mathcal{C}_{cobar}^!$ -algebra on R^\vee , together with a differential. An element of $R_{cobar}^!$ can be thought of as a directed tree where each vertex has at most one incoming edge, and where there is exact one root, where the vertices with one incoming edge and $n > 0$ outgoing edges are decorated by elements of $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee$, the root is undecorated, and the leaves are decorated by elements of R^\vee . The differential (of degree 1) is obtained by co-contracting a single edge where both vertices are decorated by elements of $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}^\vee$, or by co-contracting a “bush”, where the root of the bush is decorated by an element of $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(n)^\vee$, and the n leaves are decorated by elements of R^\vee . In particular, this is $\Sigma R_{GK}^!$, and we get that

$$(3.3) \quad h(\Sigma(\mathcal{C}_{GK}^! - Alg)) \simeq h(\mathcal{C}_{cobar}^! - Alg).$$

In fact,

$$\Sigma\mathcal{C}_{cobar}^! \simeq \mathcal{C}_{GK}^!.$$

To prove (3.2), for a \mathcal{C} -algebra R , we need to compare $R_Q^!$ and $R_{cobar}^!$. By a small object argument, we can assume that $R = CX$ for some X , where C is the monad associated with \mathcal{C} . Then $R_Q^! \simeq HQ^*(R)$, the Quillen cohomology of R . This is known to be X^\vee . On the other hand, $R_{cobar}^!$ has the same shifts as in the cobar construction of monads $B(C, C, X)^\vee$ (by -1 on the vertices of the tree with outgoing edges, no shift on the copies of R^\vee decorating the leaves), so it is equivalent to $B(C, C, X)^\vee \simeq X^\vee$. Hence, $R_Q^! \simeq R_{cobar}^!$, and we get the equivalence (3.2). This uses the fact that an equivalence of monads induces an equivalence of homotopy categories of algebras where equivalences are induced by the forgetful functor; this can be proved by a small object argument. \square

Remark: As noted by the authors of [7], the reason Koszul duality for operads works is that the category of operads is Koszul dual to itself (with the machinery

we set up, one can only state that for homotopy categories, but a non-derived statement is needed also for [7]).

Now this may be a puzzle, since operads involve symmetric group actions, and the operation M/Σ_n is not self-dual. The explanation is that the derived category of abelian operads actually is not equivalent to the derived category of sequences of chain complexes, but rather sequences $\{M_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, where M_n comes with a Σ_n -action.

Now recall briefly Quillen cohomology of associative algebras. In the category of based (i. e. augmented) associative algebras R over a field K , abelian objects are just algebras of the form $K \oplus M$, where for $m_1, m_2 \in M$, $m_1 m_2 = 0$. It follows that Quillen homology in this category is just the derived functor of modules of indecomposables, which turns out to be the same as “based Hochschild homology” $Tor_R(K, K)$ with a shift of degrees by 1. Dualizing, we obtain a similar statement for cohomology.

Kontsevich conjectured that analogously, Quillen and Hochschild cohomologies of based (i. e. augmented) $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ -algebras differ only by a shift of degrees by k . (By abuse of notation, we shall write \mathcal{C}_k instead of $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ in the rest of the paper where there is no danger of confusion.) We prove this conjecture here. By based Hochschild cohomology of a \mathcal{C}_k -algebra R , we mean

$$HC_{based}^*(R) = RHom_{(\mathcal{C}_k, R)}(R, K).$$

We grade the Hochschild and Quillen cohomology complexes homologically, i. e. with the higher cohomologies in negative degrees.

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a \mathcal{C}_k -algebra. Then for the Quillen and Hochschild cohomology complexes of R with coefficients in K satisfy*

$$HC_{based}^*(R) \simeq K \oplus HQ_{based}^*(R)[-k].$$

(Here, the cohomology complexes are graded homologically, and $[-k]$ denotes shift down in dimension by k .)

We also recall briefly the suspension of operads. If \mathcal{C} is an operad (in the category of chain complexes of K -modules), then the suspension $\Sigma\mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$(\Sigma\mathcal{C})(n) = \Sigma^{n-1}\mathcal{C}(n).$$

One can check that this has the obvious operad structure. If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are operads, an equivalence of operads (with the usual model category structure where fibrations and equivalences are created by the forgetful functor to chain complexes)

$$(3.5) \quad \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}$$

corresponds to a equivalence of homotopy categories

$$(3.6) \quad h(\mathcal{D} - Alg) \simeq h(\Sigma(\mathcal{C} - Alg)).$$

Here, $\Sigma(\mathcal{C} - Alg)$ denotes the category whose objects are of the form ΣX , where X is a \mathcal{C} -algebra, and whose morphisms are suspensions of morphisms of \mathcal{C} -algebras, and h denotes the homotopy category. Namely, for any \mathcal{C} -algebra X , ΣX has an obvious $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ -action

$$\Sigma^{1-n}\mathcal{C}(n) \otimes (\Sigma X)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \Sigma X.$$

This gives the equivalence (3.6) given (3.5). Conversely, given (3.6), comparing the \mathcal{C} -action on X

$$\mathcal{C}(n) \otimes X^{\otimes n} \rightarrow X$$

with the \mathcal{D} -action on ΣX

$$\mathcal{D}(n) \otimes (\Sigma X)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \Sigma X$$

we get

$$\Sigma^{n-1} \mathcal{D}(n) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n)$$

for all n by Proposition 3.22 below.

We also consider Koszul duality (in the Quillen sense) for \mathcal{C}_k -algebras.

Theorem 3.7. *There is an equivalence of derived (= homotopy) categories*

$$h(\mathcal{C}_k - Alg)_Q^! \simeq h(\Sigma^k(\mathcal{C}_k - Alg)).$$

We also have the following result.

Theorem 3.8. *In the (derived) category of operads,*

$$(\mathcal{C}_k)_{GK}^! \simeq \Sigma^{k-1} \mathcal{C}_k.$$

We will use results of [9] in the proofs of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.7. However, [9] was written in terms of simplicial categories, in particular the category of simplicial abelian groups. To reinterpret the results to the category of chain complexes, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *There is a natural equivalence between the homotopy categories of \mathcal{C}_k -algebras in simplicial abelian groups and \mathcal{C}_k -algebras in connected chain complexes.*

Before proving the lemma, recall that for an operad \mathcal{C} in a closed symmetric monoidal category Cat , there is a monad and a comonad associated with it. Namely, let $\mathcal{C} - Alg$ be the subcategory of \mathcal{C} -algebras in Cat , and let $U : \mathcal{C} - Alg \rightarrow Cat$ be the forgetful functor. Then U has a left adjoint $L : Cat \rightarrow \mathcal{C} - Alg$, and the monad C associated with \mathcal{C} is RL . Specifically, in the case when the category is that of topological spaces,

$$CX = \coprod_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{C}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n).$$

A similar construction holds for chain complexes with the tensor product, or for spectra with the smash product of spectra (more precisely S -modules [5]).

Similarly, one can consider the category of coalgebras over an operad \mathcal{C} . For an object X of a closed symmetric monoidal category Cat , we can define the coendomorphism operad $\overline{End}(X)$ of X by

$$\overline{End}(X)(n) = \underline{Hom}(X, X^n).$$

The operad structure maps are by symmetric monoidal products and compositions of internal Hom objects. Then X is a coalgebra over \mathcal{C} if there is a map of operads

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{End}(X).$$

Equivalently, X is a \mathcal{C} -coalgebra if there are maps

$$\mathcal{C}(n) \times X \rightarrow X^n$$

or equivalently

$$X \rightarrow \underline{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(n), X^n)$$

(in the language of spaces/simplicial sets) satisfying coassociativity, counitality, and equivariance axioms. Let $\mathcal{C} - \mathit{Coalg}$ denote the category of coalgebras over \mathcal{C} , and let $U : \mathcal{C} - \mathit{Coalg} \rightarrow \mathit{Cat}$ denote the forgetful functor. Then U has a right adjoint R , and the comonad $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ associated with \mathcal{C} is UR . Specifically, if Cat is the category of spaces, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}X = \prod_{n \geq 0} (\underline{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(n), X^n)^{\Sigma_n})$$

which is the dual construction to CX .

Proof of Lemma 3.9. The normalization functor from the category of simplicial abelian groups to the category of connected chain complexes is an equivalence of categories, which does not preserve the tensor product structure. We will compare the monad C_k^{simp} of the operad \mathcal{C}_k in simplicial abelian groups with the monad C_k^{ch} of \mathcal{C}_k in the category of connected chain complexes, and show that the equivalence of categories induces an equivalence between the derived categories of algebras over the monads in the two categories.

First, the shuffle map gives a map of monads

$$C_k^{ch} \rightarrow C_k^{simp}$$

so any C_k^{simp} -algebra is a C_k^{ch} -algebra by pullback. On the other hand, for a C_k^{ch} -algebra R , the 2-sided bar construction of monads gives a simplicial C_k^{simp} -algebra $B(C_k^{simp}, C_k^{ch}, R)$. But by Milnor's theorem, (the diagonal simplicial realization of) a simplicial C_k^{simp} -algebra is a C_k^{simp} -algebra. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. We think of \mathcal{C}_k as a based operad, and consider the category of based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras. Let R be a based \mathcal{C}_k -algebra. In [9], we defined a monoid A_1^R , and showed that the homotopy category of (\mathcal{C}_k, R) -modules is equivalent to the homotopy category of A_1^R -modules. The based Hochschild cohomology of R with coefficients in K is $RHom_{(\mathcal{C}_k, R)}(R, K)$, so it can be calculated as $RHom_{A_1^R-mod}(R, K)$. The based Hochschild homology of R with coefficients in K is its dual. In particular, it can be calculated as the bar construction $B(K, A_1^R, R)$.

On the other hand, there is also a suspension functor Σ^k in the category of based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras. Namely, for a based \mathcal{C}_k -algebra R , $\Sigma^k R$ is defined to be the the abelian \mathcal{C}_k -algebra

$$\Sigma^k R = (R \otimes C_* S^k) \oplus_{K \otimes C_* S^k} K.$$

(This functor is in fact defined for any chain complex, not just for a \mathcal{C}_k -algebra.) Here C_k is the monad associated with the operad \mathcal{C}_k . The functor Σ^k is a right algebra over the monad C_k , so we can also consider the bar construction of monads $B(\Sigma^k, C_k, R)$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *There is a natural equivalence of right C_k -algebras*

$$B(K, A_1^{C_k}, C_k) \simeq \Sigma^k.$$

Given Lemma 3.10, we consider the double bar complex

$$B(K, A_1^{C_k}, C_k, C_k, R).$$

Looking at this as $B(B(K, A_1^R, C_k), C_k, R)$ and using Lemma 3.10, we see that it is $B(\Sigma^k, C_k, R)$. On the other hand, looking at it as $B(K, A_1^R, B(C_k, C_k, R))$, we get that it is also equivalent to $B(K, A_1^R, R)$. Hence, we get an equivalence of (C_k, R) -modules

$$(3.11) \quad B(\Sigma^k, C_k, R) \simeq B(K, A_1^R, R)$$

which is also the based Hochschild homology of R with coefficients in k .

Now $B(\Sigma^k, C_k, R)$ is an abelian object in the category of C_k -algebras. There is also a desuspension functor Ω^k from abelian C_k -algebras to C_k -algebras. Note that we have a natural map (not of algebras) in the derived category

$$(3.12) \quad R \rightarrow \Omega^k \Sigma^k R$$

(since $\Sigma^k R$ is equivalent to $K \oplus J[k]$, where J is the augmentation idea of R , and $[k]$ denotes shift by k). Then (3.12) induces a map of C_k -algebras

$$(3.13) \quad C_k R \rightarrow \Omega^k \Sigma^k R.$$

In turn, (3.13) induces a map of C_k -algebras

$$R \simeq B(C_k, C_k, R) \rightarrow B(\Omega^k \Sigma^k, C_k, R).$$

The target is the Hochschild homology of R shifted by k , and is abelian. But now let $HQ_*(R)$ be the Quillen homology of R . As the derived functor of abelianization, $HQ_*(-)$ is an universal functor from C_k -algebras to abelian C_k -algebras. Thus, we get a map of abelian C_k -algebras

$$(3.14) \quad HQ_*(R) \rightarrow B(\Omega^k \Sigma^k, C_k, R).$$

This is an equivalence when $R = C_k X$ is a free C_k -algebra. Hence, it is an equivalence for all R . Dualizing gives the equivalence between the Hochschild and Quillen cohomologies of R , up to a shift by k . □

Proof of Lemma 3.10. We first consider the case when $X = C_* Y$ for a topological space Y . Then

$$B(K, A_1^{C_k X}, C_k X) \simeq C_* B(*, A_1^{C_k Y}, C_k Y)$$

and $\Sigma^k X \simeq C_* \Sigma^k Y$. So it suffices to show that

$$(3.15) \quad B(*, A_1^{C_k Y}, C_k Y) \simeq \Sigma^k Y$$

on the level of spaces.

Now $B(*, A_1^{C_k Y}, C_k Y)$ can be thought of (at least up to homotopy) as the homotopy colimit of the spaces of configurations of $n \geq 1$ nested concentric copies of S^{k-1} in the interior of D^k , together with finitely many (unordered) points in D^k , which are decorated by elements of Y , and are not allowed to be on the copies of S^{k-1} , nor outside of the sphere with the largest radius. The homotopy colimit

is formed by taking the nerve of the topological category whose object space is the disjoint union of the said configuration spaces, and morphisms are obtained by omitting spheres. (When the sphere with the largest radius is omitted, any points falling outside the largest remaining sphere are deleted.)

On the other hand, let

$$(3.16) \quad B'(*, A_1^{C_k Y}, C_k Y)$$

be the homotopy colimit of configuration spaces defined in the same way, with the only difference that this time we allow Y -decorated points in D^k outside of the sphere with the largest radius, but a configuration is identified with the configuration obtained by deleting any points on ∂D^k . Then we have a forgetful map

$$(3.17) \quad p : B'(*, A_1^{C_k Y}, C_k Y) \rightarrow B(*, A_1^{C_k Y}, C_k Y)$$

by deleting the points outside the sphere with the largest radius. Clearly, p is a quasifibration and a homotopy equivalence.

But now let the space $C'_k Y$ be the space of configurations of finitely many (un-ordered) points in D^k , where a point on the boundary of D^k disappears. It is known that

$$C'_k Y \simeq \text{Map}(D^k, \Sigma^k Y) \simeq \Sigma^k Y.$$

We can define a map

$$(3.18) \quad f : B'(*, A_1^{C_k Y}, C_k Y) \rightarrow C'_k Y$$

by forgetting all the concentric copies of S^{k-1} inside D^k . We will show that (3.18) is an equivalence.

For each $r < 1$, let $U_r \subset C'_k Y$ be the subspace of configurations of points in $C'_k Y$ such that there are no points on the copy of S^{k-1} of radius r (and the same center as D^k) inside D^k . The $\{U_r\}_{r < 1}$ form an open covering of $C'_k Y$, and we have

$$(3.19) \quad C'_k Y \simeq \text{hocolim}(U_{r_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{r_n}).$$

where the homotopy colimit is formed in the usual Čech way (this is a general fact). But now note that the right hand side of (3.19) is homeomorphic to $B'(*, A_1^{C_k Y}, C_k Y)$.

The above construction can be mimicked for general chain complex X to produce a map of right C_k -algebras. More precisely, $B'(*, A_1^{C_k X}, C_k X)$ can be defined by starting with (3.16) for $Y = *$, forming the chain complex, tensoring each singular simplex with the appropriate number of copies of $X \oplus K$ (where K is the base field), and identifying with respect to permutations and deleting of points in the configurations. In this way, we obtain maps of right C_k -algebras

$$(3.20) \quad B(*, A_1^{C_k X}, C_k X) \simeq B'(*, A_1^{C_k X}, C_k X) \rightarrow \Sigma^k X$$

where X is the variable. Now we know from the above discussion that these maps are equivalences when $X = C_k Y$. Since the constructions of (3.20) clearly preserve equivalences, we know that this remains valid when X is connected. To treat the general case, since we are dealing with modules over a field, we may assume the differential of X is trivial. Then we may use the following trick: introduce an additional grading on X where the second degree is always even. Then (3.20) is bigraded. By choosing the second degree suitably, we may assume that the total

naturally an operad. One way to do this is as follows: we shall define, by induction, a canonical summand $C'(nK)$ of $C(nK)$ such that

$$(3.24) \quad C(nK) = \oplus_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} C'(|S|K).$$

where (3.24) is given by the retraction

$$(3.25) \quad C'(|S|K) \subseteq C(|S|K) \subseteq C(nK) \rightarrow C(|S|K) \rightarrow C'(|S|K)$$

for each S . (Here, the two middle maps are induced by the inclusion $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the projection $nK \rightarrow |S|K$ which sends $\{i\}K \rightarrow \{i\}K$ by the identity for $i \in S$, and $\{i\}K \rightarrow 0$ for $i \notin S$.)

Suppose (3.24) holds with n replaced by $n - 1$. Then consider the maps

$$(3.26) \quad \oplus_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} C'(|S|K) \rightarrow C(nK) \rightarrow \oplus_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} C'(|S|K)$$

defined by (3.25). Then the composition (3.26) is the identity by the induction hypothesis. Let $C'(nK)$ be the canonical direct complement of the retraction (3.26). The induction hypothesis follows.

Then C' is the cokernel of (3.23), and the monad properties of C translate under the splitting (3.24) to saying that $C(nK)$ is an operad. Thus, the statement follows from the fact that a direct summand of an equivalence is an equivalence. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Recall that in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we established (see (3.11)) that the based Quillen cohomology $HQ_{based}^*(R)$ is naturally equivalent to the bar construction $B(\Sigma^k, C_k, R)$.

However, the operad \mathcal{C}_k coacts on the k -dimensional sphere. Concretely, given an element x of $\mathcal{C}_k(n)$, we have a map

$$f_x : S^k \rightarrow (S^k)^n$$

as follows. The element x is a configuration of n k -dimensional disks inside a single k -dimensional disk. Let $f_x = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. The map $f_i : S^k \rightarrow S^k$ is defined by letting the i -th little disk in the domain map to the target by scaling, and letting the rest of the domain go to the basepoint. The maps f_x specify a map

$$\mathcal{C}_k(n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(S^k, (S^k)^n)$$

which is a coaction of \mathcal{C}_k on S^k . This gives rise to a coaction of the comonad $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_k$ associated with \mathcal{C}_k on the functor Σ^k , so \mathcal{C}_k coacts on the Quillen cohomology $B(\Sigma^k, C_k, R)$.

Denote by L' the functor $B(\Omega^k \Sigma^k, C_k, -)$ from based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras to abelian based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras (recall that the category of abelian based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras is equivalent to the category of chain complexes over K). This functor has a right adjoint R' . Then R' is equivalent to the forgetful functor by (3.14). Moreover, (3.14) shows that the comonad $L'R'$ is equivalent to $L \cdot F \cdot R$ where R is the forgetful functor from abelian based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras to based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras, L is its left adjoint, and F is cofibrant replacement. Therefore, the Koszul transform of the derived category of based \mathcal{C}_k -algebras is equivalent to the derived category of $L'R'$ -algebras. But the arguments made earlier in this proof show that the comonad $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_k$ associated with \mathcal{C}_k coacts on the k -suspension of every $L'R'$ -algebra. By Lemma 3.21, we obtain a map of comonads

$$(3.27) \quad \overline{\alpha} : L'R' \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_k[-k]$$

where $\overline{C}_k[-k]$ means the comonad obtained by suspending an object by k , applying \overline{C}_k , and desuspending by k .

It suffices to prove that the map $\overline{\alpha}$ is an equivalence. Now by construction, the map $\overline{\alpha}$ is

$$(3.28) \quad B(S^k, C_k, X) \rightarrow \overline{C}_k B(S^k, C_k, X) \rightarrow \overline{C}_k \Sigma^k X.$$

We would like to have control over the cohomology of (3.28). We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.29. *Let Y be an 1-connected space. Then the C_k -algebra $C^* \Sigma^k Y$ is equivalent to an abelian C_k -algebra.*

Given Lemma 3.29, we assume that $X = C^* \Sigma^k Y$ for some 1-connected space Y . Then by passing to the Poincare-dual description of Proposition 2.7, we get that

$$(3.30) \quad H^*(B(S^k, C_k, X)) = H^* \Omega^k \Sigma^k Y$$

and on cohomology, the first map of (3.28) is the dual to the Dyer-Lashof operations. The point is that iterating the bar construction on an abelian C_k -algebra $K \oplus M$ gives objects whose cohomologies are free graded-commutative algebras on Steenrod operations, which, if M is in negative homological degrees, correspond precisely to Dyer-Lashof operations. We also have that

$$H^*(\Sigma^k X) \simeq H^*(Y)$$

and the second map of (3.28) in cohomology is projection to the characteristic class

$$H^* \Omega^k \Sigma^k Y \rightarrow H^* Y$$

induced by the unit of the adjunction

$$Y \rightarrow \Omega^k \Sigma^k Y.$$

Passing to the dual formulations, one gets that the composition (3.28), using the identification (3.30), induces the identity on cohomology. So it is a quasi-isomorphism. Now we can show that (3.28) is an equivalence for a general X by the same double grading trick as applied at the end of the proof of Lemma 3.10. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.29. We first consider the case when $k = 1$. The C_1 -algebra (i. e. A_∞ -algebra) structure on $C^* S^1$ is induced by the diagonal map

$$\Delta : S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1.$$

Let (S^1, Δ) denote S^1 with the A_∞ -coalgebra structure given by Δ , and let $(S^1, 0)$ denote S^1 with the trivial A_∞ -coalgebra structure. Recall also that the loop of the space of A_∞ -coalgebra structures on X is $End_{A_\infty}(X, X)$ (with the chosen A_∞ -structure on X as the basepoint). We would like to construct a map

$$(3.31) \quad (S^1, \Delta) \rightarrow (S^1, 0).$$

For this, we have a spectral sequence

$$(3.32) \quad RHom_{A_\infty\text{-alg}}(\Lambda[x], \Lambda[x]) \Rightarrow RHom_{A_\infty\text{-alg}}((C^* S^1, \Delta), (C^* S^1, 0))$$

where x is a generator in (topological) dimension 1, and the second $\Lambda[x]$ is abelian as an A_∞ -algebra (i. e. has trivial multiplication). But we also have that

$$RHom_{A_\infty\text{-alg}}(\Lambda[x], \Lambda[x]) \cong HQ_{A_{\text{ssso}}}^*(\Lambda[x], K).$$

By the grading of the spectral sequence, the differentials of (3.32) have no nontrivial targets, so the elements of $HQ_{A_{\text{ssso}}}^*(\Lambda[x], K)$ survive and we get that for S^1 , C^*S^1 is an abelian A_∞ -algebra.

For general k , the \mathcal{C}_k -algebra structure on C^*S^k is induced by the diagonal

$$\Delta : S^k \rightarrow S^k \wedge \cdots \wedge S^k.$$

But this is the smash product of k copies of

$$\Delta : S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1$$

and the \mathcal{C}_k -coaction on S^k breaks up as the \square of k copies of the coaction of \mathcal{C}_1 on S^1 , since $\mathcal{C}_k \simeq \mathcal{C}_1 \square \cdots \square \mathcal{C}_1$. Hence, the $k = 1$ case gives that the \mathcal{C}_k -action on C^*S^k is equivalent to the trivial one. \square

Finally, we prove Theorem 3.8.

Proof of Theorem 3.8. By (3.27) and Proposition 3.1, the corresponding monads are equivalent. Now use Proposition 3.22. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.3. We use Theorems 3.4 and 3.7 and their proofs. The Koszul dual of an object is its Quillen cohomology, which in this case is the shift of the dual of its based Hochschild homology. Therefore, the Koszul dual of $C_*\Omega^k X$ is the dual of

$$B(\Sigma^k, \mathcal{C}_k, C_*\Omega^k X) \simeq C_*B(\Sigma^k, \mathcal{C}_k, \Omega^k X) \simeq C_*X.$$

This is C^*X . (Recall the shift at the end of the proof of Theorem 3.7; that proof also gives control over the \mathcal{C}_k -algebra structure, and one can show that the \mathcal{C}_k -algebra structure of C^*X one gets coincides with the E_∞ -structure one gets by the diagonal. Namely, by naturality, the \mathcal{C}_k -algebra structure commutes with the E_∞ -structure; therefore, both structures coincide by Lemma 4.14 below.) \square

4. A DUALITY FOR HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY

Given Theorem 3.7, we can compare the Hochschild cohomology complex of a $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ algebra R with that of its Koszul dual $R^!$. By [9], both are algebras over $C_*\mathcal{C}_{k+1}$.

Theorem 4.1. *For a connected (with respect to the homological grading) $C_*\mathcal{C}_k$ -algebra R , such that $H_*(R^!)$ is a finite-dimensional K -module, there is a natural equivalence of chain complexes*

$$HC_{C_*\mathcal{C}_k}^*(R) \simeq HC_{C_*\mathcal{C}_k}^*(R^!).$$

Remark: One may conjecture that (4.1) is true as \mathcal{C}_{k+1} -algebras, but I do not have a proof at this point.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $F^n C$, $n \geq 0$ be a complete decreasing filtration of a chain complex C , so that $gr_F C$ is acyclic. Then C is acyclic. (By “complete”, we mean that $\bigcap_n F^n C = 0$, and if (x_n) is a sequence of elements in C , such that $x_n - x_{n-1} \in F^n C$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists an element x such that $x_n - x \in F^m C$ for some m , and $m \rightarrow \infty$.)*

Proof. Suppose that $dx = 0$. Then this is true in $C/F^1 C$. Then there exists an element $y_0 \in C$, such that

$$x_1 = dy_0 - x \in F^1 C.$$

Hence, $dx_1 = 0$, so $dx_1 = 0 \in F^1 C/F^2 C$. So there exists $y_1 \in F^1 C$, such that

$$x_2 = dy_1 - x_1 \in F^2 C.$$

Analogously, we construct x_n, y_n such that

$$x_n = dy_{n-1} - x_{n-1} \in F^n C.$$

Let $y = \sum y_i$. Then $dy = x$. □

We shall also need the following lemma, which is proved analogously. (Note that cosimplicial realization is a limit, so the filtration by degrees is complete.)

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\varphi : C_{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow D_{\bullet\bullet}$ be a map of double cosimplicial complexes, which induces an equivalence*

$$\varphi_n : |C_{\bullet}|_n \rightarrow |D_{\bullet}|_n$$

for each $n \geq 0$. Then

$$\varphi : |C_{\bullet\bullet}| \rightarrow |D_{\bullet\bullet}|$$

is an equivalence.

We shall first give a complete proof of Theorem 4.1 in the case $k = 1$. This case is in some sense classical and the proof in this case is more direct, and it motivates the methods used in the general case. A reader not interested in this case can skip directly to the case of general k .

Proof of Theorem 4.1 for the case $k = 1$: In the case of $k = 1$, we can assume R is an associative algebra. A model of the Koszul transform $R^!$ in this case is given by the bar construction $B(R)$. Thus, in this case, $R^!$ can also be described as the dual of the bar construction $B(K, R, K)^\vee$, where K is the base field. Here, as above, $(-)^\vee$ denotes $Hom_K(-, K)$. We will compute both $HC^*(R)$ and $HC^*(R^!)$ by the following construction. We construct the double cosimplicial chain complex whose (m, n) -th term is

$$(4.4) \quad Hom_K(R^{\otimes m}, B(R, R, K) \otimes B(K, R, K)^{\otimes n} \otimes B(K, R, R)).$$

The m -variable cosimplicial structure coincides with

$$(4.5) \quad Hom_{R \otimes R^{op}}(B(R, R, R), M_n)$$

where M_n is the $(R \otimes R^{op})$ -module

$$B(R, R, K) \otimes B(K, R, K)^{\otimes n} \otimes B(K, R, R).$$

On the other hand, the n -cosimplicial structure is induced from the cosimplicial structure of

$$M_\bullet = C(B(R, R, K), B(K, R, K), B(K, R, R)).$$

It is easy to check that both cosimplicial structures commute.

First, we shall compare (4.4) with $HC^*(R^!)$. To this end, note that $HC^*(R^!)$ is equivalent to the cobar complex

$$(4.6) \quad Hom_{(B(R) \otimes B(R)^{op})\text{-comodules}}(B(R), C(B(R), B(R), B(R)))$$

by the hypothesis that $R^! \simeq B(R)^\vee$ is homologically finite. Now recalling (4.5), the cosimplicial $(B(R) \otimes B(R)^{op})$ -comodule

$$Hom_{R \otimes R^{op}}(B(R, R, R), M_0)$$

is equivalent to the $(B(R) \otimes B(R)^{op})$ -comodule

$$Hom_{(B(R) \otimes B(R)^{op})\text{-comodules}}(B(R), B(R) \otimes B(R)^{op}).$$

(Both are models of the dual of $B(R)$ in the category of comodules over the coalgebra $B(R) \otimes B(R)^{op}$.) But then by Lemma 4.3, the bicosimplicial realization of (4.4) and (4.6) are equivalent, i. e. to

$$HC^*(R^!).$$

To show that (4.4) is equivalent to $HC^*(R)$, by Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that we have an equivalence of $(R \otimes R^{op})$ -modules

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & C(B(R, R, K), B(K, R, K), B(K, R, R)) \\ &= |B(R, R, K) \otimes B(K, R, K)^{\otimes \bullet} \otimes B(K, R, R)| \\ &\simeq R. \end{aligned}$$

But consider the canonical map

$$(4.8) \quad B(R, R, K) \square_{B(K, R, K)} B(K, R, R) \rightarrow C(B(R, R, K), B(K, R, K), B(K, R, R)).$$

Then the left hand side is isomorphic to $B(R, R, R)$, so it suffices to prove that (4.8) is an equivalence. But consider the double decreasing (bounded below) filtration of (4.8) obtained by filtering the first and last copies of R on both sides by dimension. (The subset $R_{\geq n} \subset R$ is a submodule, so $B(R_{\geq n}, R, K)$ is a sub- $B(R)$ -comodule of $B(R, R, K)$.) The filtration is complete, because in a fixed total degree a sequence of elements of increasing dimensions must have increasing cohomological degrees. Then the associated graded map is of the form

$$M \square_{B(R)} N \rightarrow C(M, B(R), N)$$

where M, N are extended $B(R)$ -comodules, which is always an equivalence. Since the filtration is complete and bounded below, the map (4.8) is an equivalence by Lemma 4.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1 for general k : We will make use of the monoid $A_1 = A_1^R$ constructed in [9]. For a \mathcal{C}_k -algebra R , the derived category of (\mathcal{C}_k, R) -modules is equivalent to the derived category of A_1^R -modules. A_1^R is constructed as the free

(\mathcal{C}_k, R) -module on one generator. Now the analogue of (4.4) will be the double cosimplicial object whose (m, n) -th term is

$$(4.9) \quad \text{Hom}_K((A_1^R)^{\otimes m} \otimes R, B(A_1^R, A_1^R, K) \otimes B(K, A_1^R, K)^{\otimes n} \otimes B(K, A_1^R, R)).$$

The m -cosimplicial structure is described as the cosimplicial structure of

$$\text{Hom}_{A_1^R}(B(A_1^R, A_1^R, R), M_n)$$

where

$$M_n = B(A_1^R, A_1^R, K) \otimes B(K, A_1^R, K)^{\otimes n} \otimes B(K, A_1^R, R).$$

The n -cosimplicial structure of (4.9) is described as

$$\text{Hom}_K((A_1^R)^{\otimes m} \otimes R, C(B(A_1^R, A_1^R, K), B(K, A_1^R, K), B(K, A_1^R, R))).$$

By arguments similar to that of the case when $k = 1$, we have that

$$C(B(A_1^R, A_1^R, K), B(K, A_1^R, K), B(K, A_1^R, R)) \simeq B(A_1^R, A_1^R, R) \simeq R$$

as A_1^R -modules. Hence, (4.9) is equivalent to

$$\text{Hom}_{A_1^R}(B(A_1^R, A_1^R, R), R)$$

which is $HC_{\mathcal{C}_k}^*(R)$, the Hochschild cohomology complex of R in the category of \mathcal{C}_k -algebras, analogously to the second part of the proof for $k = 1$. By the proof of Theorem 3.4, we have that $B(K, A_1^R, R) \simeq B(\Sigma^k, C_k, R)$, which is a description for the k -fold internal suspension of R in the category of \mathcal{C}_k -algebras. This is the Koszul transform $R_!$ of R in \mathcal{C}_k -algebras, so we can describe the Koszul dual $R^!$ as $B(K, A_1^R, R)^\vee$.

To show that (4.9) also gives the Hochschild cohomology complex of $R^!$, we will show that

$$(4.10) \quad B(K, A_1^R, K) \simeq (A_1^{R^!})^\vee$$

as coalgebras over \mathcal{C}_k , and that the canonical coaction of $(A_1^{R^!})^\vee$ on $R_!$ under (4.10) corresponds to the canonical coaction of $B(K, A_1^R, K)$ on $B(K, A_1^R, R)$. Then, analogously to the first part of the proof of the $k = 1$ case, (4.9) is equivalent to

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{B(K, A_1^R, K)\text{-comodules}}(B(K, A_1^R, R), \\ & C(B(K, A_1^R, K), B(K, A_1^R, K), B(K, A_1^R, R))) \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\text{Hom}_{B(K, A_1^R, K)\text{-comodules}}(B(K, A_1^R, R), B(K, A_1^R, K))$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{A_1^R}(B(A_1^R, A_1^R, R), B(A_1^R, A_1^R, K))$$

are equivalent (right) $B(K, A_1^R, K)$ -comodules. Now (4.11) is a model of $HC_{\mathcal{C}_k}^*(R^!)$ by (4.10) (convergence discussions are the same as in the $k = 1$ case.)

To prove (4.10) (with its addendum about the coaction on $B(K, A_1^R, R)$), it suffices to show it when R is a free \mathcal{C}_k -algebra, i. e. when $R = C_k(Y)$ for some chain complex Y . In this case, by Lemma 3.10, we have that

$$B(K, A_1^{C_k(Y)}, C_k(Y)) \simeq B(\Sigma^k, C_k, C_k(Y)) \simeq \Sigma^k Y.$$

To control the $B(K, A_1^R, K)$ -comodule structure, by the double-grading trick in the proof of Lemma 3.10, we can assume that $Y \simeq C_*(X)$ for some $(k + 1)$ -connected

topological space X . Now dually to the notion of a (\mathcal{C}_k, R) -module for a \mathcal{C}_k -algebra R , for a coalgebra R' over \mathcal{C}_k , we have the notion of a (\mathcal{C}_k, R') -comodule N , whose structure maps are of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_k(n+1) \otimes N \rightarrow (R')^{\otimes n} \otimes N$$

with the obvious axioms. Applying $(-)^{\vee}$ takes a \mathcal{C}_k -algebra to a \mathcal{C}_k -coalgebra, and vice versa. It also takes a (\mathcal{C}_k, R) -module to a comodule over $(\mathcal{C}_k, R^{\vee})$, and vice versa. Dual to the free module description of A_1^R , we have that given a coalgebra S over \mathcal{C}_k , $(A_1^{S^{\vee}})^{\vee}$ is the cofree (\mathcal{C}_k, S) -comodule on one generator. So the right hand side of (4.10) can be described as the cofree $(\mathcal{C}_k, \Sigma^k Y)$ -comodule on one generator (because $\mathcal{C}_k^1 \simeq \mathcal{C}_k$). We will show that the left hand side of (4.10) is a configuration space model of the same. We have that $B(K, A_1^{C_k Y}, K)$ is equivalent to the chain complex of a semi-simplicial (without degeneracies) topological space Z , whose n -th stage is the space of all configurations of $n+1$ distinct $(k-1)$ -spheres s_0, \dots, s_n in \mathbb{R}^k with center 0, where the radius of s_i is less the radius of s_{i+1} , and the k -dimensional annulus between s_i and s_{i+1} contains an undetermined number of (unordered) disjoint k -disks decorated by elements of X .

However, now Z is equivalent to the configuration space of unordered tuples of finitely many disjoint points in $S^{k-1} \times I$ which are decorated by elements of X , and are not allowed to collide, but a point disappears when on the boundary. But this is in turn a configuration space model for

$$(4.12) \quad \text{Maps}(S^{k-1} \times I, \Sigma^k X).$$

But now let $\mathcal{D}(n)$ be the space of all ordered n -tuples of disjoint k -dimensional disks in $S^{k-1} \times I$, and for a space X let

$$\underline{\mathcal{D}}_0(X) = \{(f_n)_n \in \prod_n \text{Maps}(\mathcal{D}(n), X^n)^{\Sigma_n} \mid$$

$$\text{for the degeneracies } \epsilon_i : \mathcal{D}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(n-1), e_i : X^{n-1} \rightarrow X^n, f_n \epsilon_i = e_i f_n\}.$$

Similarly, define

$$\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{k,0}(X) = \{(f_n)_n \in \prod_n \text{Maps}(\mathcal{C}_k(n), X^n)^{\Sigma_n} \mid$$

$$\text{for the degeneracies } \epsilon_i : \mathcal{D}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(n-1), e_i : X^{n-1} \rightarrow X^n, f_n \epsilon_i = e_i f_n\}.$$

Then one can show that (4.12) is equivalent to the cobar construction of spaces

$$(4.13) \quad \text{Cobar}(\underline{\mathcal{D}}_0, \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{k,0}, \Sigma^k X).$$

An Eilenberg-Moore type spectral sequence can further be used to commute C_* past the cobar construction, to show that the chain complex of (4.13) is equivalent to the cofree $(\mathcal{C}_k, \Sigma^k C_* X)$ -comodule on one generator. \square

Finally, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.14. *For every operad \mathcal{D} where $\mathcal{D}(1) \simeq *$, $\mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_\infty$ is an E_∞ -operad.*

Proof. We need to verify that each $(\mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_\infty)(n)$ is contractible. We will first prove a weaker statement, constructing a homotopy between the inclusion

$$(4.15) \quad \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_\infty$$

and a constant map. Choose $n \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(n)$, and let h_i be paths between 1 and $\gamma(*, \dots, 1, \dots, *)$ where 1 is in the i -th place, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now let $x \in \mathcal{D}(n)$. Consider the homotopy which on x acts as the path

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} x = x(1, \dots, 1) &\simeq x(\gamma(1, *, \dots, *), \dots, \gamma(*, \dots, *, 1)) \\ &= \gamma(x(1, *, \dots, *), \dots, x(*, \dots, *, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the first equivalence is via $x(h_1, \dots, h_n)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(1)$ is contractible, the right hand side of this is homotopic to $\gamma(1, \dots, 1) = \gamma$.

Now consider any map

$$(4.17) \quad \alpha : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_\infty$$

where K is compact. Then by the definition of \mathcal{C}_∞ (with the weak topology), (4.17) factors through

$$K \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} \mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_k \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_\infty$$

for some k . Here, the second map ι is the inclusion map. However, note that then by [9], we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_k & \xrightarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & \mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_\infty \\ & \searrow \subseteq & \nearrow Id_{\mathcal{D}} \square \pi \\ & & \mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_k \square \mathcal{C}_\infty \end{array}$$

where π is the composition map $\mathcal{C}_k \square \mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty$. By the previous argument (applied to $\mathcal{D} \square \mathcal{C}_k$ in place of \mathcal{D}), the map \subseteq of the diagram is null-homotopic. Hence, so is $\subseteq \cdot \alpha_k$, and so is $\iota \cdot \alpha_k = Id_{\mathcal{D}} \square (\subseteq \cdot \alpha_k)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams. *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. Univ. Chicago Press, 1974.
- [2] M. Chas and D. Sullivan. String topology. math.GT/9911159.
- [3] D. Chataur. A bordism approach to string topology. math.AT/0306080.
- [4] R. Cohen and J. Jones. A homotopy theoretic realization of string topology. math.GT/0107187.
- [5] A. D. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M. A. Mandell and J. P. May. *Rings, modules and algebras in stable homotopy theory*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 47, 1997.
- [6] Y. Félix, L. Menichi and J. Thomas. Duality in Gerstenhaber algebras. math.AT/0211229.
- [7] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov. Koszul duality for operads. *Duke Math. J.* 76 (1994) no. 1, 203-272.
- [8] P. Hu. Duality for equivariant smooth families. *Revue Astérisque* 285, 2003.
- [9] P. Hu, I. Kriz and A. A. Voronov. On Kontsevich's Hochschild cohomology conjecture. To appear.
- [10] S. Kallel and P. Salvatore. Rational maps and string topology. math.AT/0309137.
- [11] G. M. Kelly. *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*. London Math. Soc. Lec. Notes 64, 1982.
- [12] I. Kriz and J. P. May. Operads, algebras, modules and motives. *Revue Astérisque* 233, 1995.
- [13] J. P. May. *Geometry of iterated loop spaces*. LNM 271, Springer-Verlag.

- [14] J. E. McClure and J. H. Smith. A solution of Deligne's Hochschild cohomology conjecture. math.QA/9910126.
- [15] D. Quillen. On the (co-)homology of commutative rings. 1970 *Applications of Categorical Algebra (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. XVII, New York 1968)* 65-87.