

# HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF CROSSED PRODUCTS \*

Shouchuan Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, 210008

## 0 Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $k$  is a field,  $R$  is an algebra over  $k$ , and  $H$  is a Hopf algebra over  $k$ . We say that  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is the crossed product of  $R$  and  $H$  if  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  becomes an algebra over  $k$  by multiplication:

$$(a\#h)(b\#g) = \sum_{h_1, g_1} a(h_1 \cdot b)\sigma(h_2, g_1)\#h_3g_2$$

for any  $a, b \in R, h, g \in H$ , where  $\Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2$  ( see, [2, Definition 7.1.1].)

Let  $lpd({}_R M)$ ,  $lid({}_R M)$  and  $lfd({}_R M)$  denote the left projective dimension, left injective dimension and left flat dimension of left  $R$ -module  $M$ , respectively. Let  $lgD(R)$  and  $wD(R)$  denote the left global dimension and weak dimension of algebra  $R$ , respectively.

Crossed products are very important algebraic structures. The relation between homological dimensions of algebra  $R$  and crossed product  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is often studied. J.C.McConnell and J.C.Robson in [4, Theorem 7.5.6] obtained that

$$rgD(R) = rgD(R * G)$$

for any finite group  $G$  with  $|G|^{-1} \in k$ , where  $R * G$  is skew group ring. It is clear that every skew group ring  $R * G$  is a crossed product  $R\#_{\sigma}kG$  with trivial  $\sigma$ . Zhong

---

\*This work is supported by National Science Foundation

Yi in [9] obtained that the global dimension of crossed product  $R * G$  is finite when the global dimension of  $R$  is finite and some other conditions hold.

In this paper, we obtain that the global dimensions of  $R$  and the crossed product  $R \#_{\sigma} H$  are the same; meantime, their weak dimensions are also the same, when  $H$  is finite-dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra.

## 1 The homological dimensions of modules over crossed products

In this section, we give the relation between homological dimensions of modules over  $R$  and  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ .

If  $M$  is a left (right)  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module, then  $M$  is also a left (right)  $R$ -module since we can view  $R$  as a subalgebra of  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ .

**Lemma 1.1** *Let  $R$  be a subalgebra of algebra  $A$ .*

- (i) *If  $M$  is a free  $A$ -module and  $A$  is a free  $R$ -module, then  $M$  is a free  $R$ -module;*
- (ii) *If  $P$  is a projective left  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module, then  $P$  is a projective left  $R$ -module;*
- (iii) *If  $P$  is a projective right  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module and  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then  $P$  is a projective right  $R$ -module;*

(iv) *If*

$$\mathcal{P}_M : \cdots P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

*is a projective resolution of left  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module  $M$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_M$  is a projective resolution of left  $R$ -module  $M$ ;*

(v) *If*

$$\mathcal{P}_M : \cdots P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

*is a projective resolution of right  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module  $M$  and  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then  $\mathcal{P}_M$  is a projective resolution of right  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

**Proof.** (i) It is obvious.

(ii) Since  $P$  is a projective  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module, we have that there exists a free  $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module  $F$  such that  $P$  is a summand of  $F$ . It is clear that  $R \#_{\sigma} H \cong R \otimes H$  as left  $R$ -module, which implies that  $R \#_{\sigma} H$  is a free  $R$ -module. Thus it follows

from part (i) that  $F$  is a free  $R$ -module and  $P$  is a summand of  $F$  as  $R$ -module. Consequently,  $P$  is a projective  $R$ -module.

(iii) By [2, Corollary 7.2.11],  $R\#_{\sigma}H \cong H \otimes R$  as right  $R$ -module. Thus  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is a free right  $R$ -module. Using the method in the proof of part (i), we have that  $P$  is a projective right  $R$ -module.

(iv) and (v) can be obtained by part (ii) and (iii).  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2** (i) *Let  $R$  be a subalgebra of  $A$ . If  $M$  is a flat right ( left )  $A$ -module and  $A$  is a flat right ( left )  $R$ -module, then  $M$  is a flat right ( left )  $R$ -module;*

(ii) *If  $F$  is a flat left  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module, then  $F$  is a flat left  $R$ -module;*

(iii) *If  $F$  is a flat right  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module and  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then  $F$  is a flat right  $R$ -module;*

(iv) *If*

$$\mathcal{F}_M : \quad \cdots F_n \xrightarrow{d_n} F_{n-1} \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

*is a flat resolution of left  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module  $M$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_M$  is a flat resolution of left  $R$ -module  $M$ ;*

(v) *If*

$$\mathcal{F}_M : \quad \cdots F_n \xrightarrow{d_n} F_{n-1} \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

*is a flat resolution of right  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module  $M$  and  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then  $\mathcal{F}_M$  is a flat resolution of  $M$ ;*

**Proof.** (i) We only show part (i) in the case which  $M$  is a right  $A$ -module and  $A$  is a right  $R$ -module; the other cases can similarly be shown. Let

$$0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

be an exact left  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module sequence. By assumptions,

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes_R X \xrightarrow{A \otimes f} A \otimes_R Y$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow M \otimes_A (A \otimes_R X) \xrightarrow{M \otimes (A \otimes f)} M \otimes_A (A \otimes_R Y)$$

are exact sequences. Obviously,

$$M \otimes_A (A \otimes_R X) \cong M \otimes_R X \quad \text{and} \quad M \otimes_A (A \otimes_R Y) \cong M \otimes_R Y$$

as additive groups. Thus

$$0 \rightarrow M \otimes_R X \xrightarrow{M \otimes f} M \otimes_R Y$$

is an exact sequence, which implies  $M$  is a flat  $R$ -module.

(ii)-(v) are immediate consequence of part (i)  $\square$

The following is a immediate consequence of Lemma 1.1 and 1.2.

**Proposition 1.3** (i) *If  $M$  is a left  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module, then*

$$lpd({}_R M) \leq lpd({}_{R\#_\sigma H} M);$$

(ii) *If  $M$  is a right  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module and  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then*

$$rpd(M_R) \leq rpd(M_{R\#_\sigma H})$$

(iii) *If  $M$  is a left  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module, then*

$$lfd({}_R M) \leq lfd({}_{R\#_\sigma H} M);$$

(iv) *If  $M$  is a right  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module and  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then*

$$rfd(M_R) \leq rfd(M_{R\#_\sigma H}).$$

**Lemma 1.4** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra, and let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $R\#_\sigma H$ -modules. If  $f$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ , and*

$$\bar{f}(m) = \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1) f(\gamma(t_2)m)$$

*for any  $m \in M$ , then  $\bar{f}$  is an  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ , where  $t \in \int_H^r$  with  $\epsilon(t) = 1$ , and  $\gamma$  is a map from  $H$  to  $R\#_\sigma H$  sending  $h$  to  $1\#h$ .*

**Proof.** (see, the proof of [2, Theorem 7.4.2]) For any  $a \in R, h \in H, m \in M$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(am) &= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1) f(\gamma(t_2)am) \\ &= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1) f((t_2 \cdot a)\gamma(t_3)m) \\ &= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1) (t_2 \cdot a) f(\gamma(t_3)m) \\ &= \sum a \gamma^{-1}(t_1) f(\gamma(t_2)m) \\ &= a \bar{f}(m) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}(\gamma(h)m) &= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)f(\gamma(t_2)\gamma(h)m) \\
&= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)f(\sigma(t_2, h_1)\gamma(t_3h_2)m) \quad \text{by [2, Definition 7.1.1]} \\
&= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)\sigma(t_2, h_1)f(\gamma(t_3h_2)m) \\
&= \sum \gamma(h_1)\gamma^{-1}(t_1h_2)f(\gamma(t_2h_3)m) \\
&= \sum \gamma(h)\gamma^{-1}(t_1)f(\gamma(t_2)m) \quad \text{since } \sum h_1 \otimes t_1h_2 \otimes t_2h_3 = \sum h \otimes t_1 \otimes t_2 \\
&= \gamma(h)\bar{f}(m)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\bar{f}$  is an  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module homomorphism.  $\square$

In fact, we can obtain a functor by Lemma 1.4. Let  ${}_{R\#_\sigma H}\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  denote the full subcategory of  ${}_{R\mathcal{M}}$ ; its objects are all of left  $R\#_\sigma H$ -modules and its morphisms from  $M$  to  $N$  are all of  $R$ -module homomorphisms from  $M$  to  $N$ . For any  $M, N \in {}_{R\#_\sigma H}\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $R$ -module homomorphism  $f$  from  $M$  to  $N$ , we define that

$$F : {}_{R\#_\sigma H}\overline{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow {}_{R\#_\sigma H}\mathcal{M}$$

such that

$$F(M) = M \quad \text{and} \quad F(f) = \bar{f},$$

where  $\bar{f}$  is defined in Lemma 1.4. It is clear that  $F$  is a functor.

**Lemma 1.5** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra, and let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R\#_\sigma H$ -modules. If  $f$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ , and*

$$\bar{f}(m) = \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2)$$

for any  $m \in M$ , then  $\bar{f}$  is an  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ , where  $t \in \int_H^r$  with  $\epsilon(t) = 1$ ,  $\gamma$  is a map from  $H$  to  $R\#_\sigma H$  sending  $h$  to  $1\#h$ .

**Proof.** (see, the proof of [2, Theorem 7.4.2]) For any  $a \in R, h \in H, m \in M$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(ma) &= \sum f(ma\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2) \\ &= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1)(t_2 \cdot a))\gamma(t_3) \\ &= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))(t_2 \cdot a)\gamma(t_3) \\ &= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2)a \\ &= \bar{f}(m)a\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(m\gamma(h)) &= \sum f(m\gamma(h)\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2) \\ &= \sum f(m\gamma(h_1)\gamma^{-1}(t_1h_2))\gamma(t_2h_3) \quad \text{since } \sum h_1 \otimes t_1h_2 \otimes t_2h_3 = \sum h \otimes t_1 \otimes t_2 \\ &= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1)\sigma(t_2, h_1))\gamma(t_3h_2) \quad \text{by [2, Definition 7.1.1]} \\ &= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\sigma(t_2, h_1)\gamma(t_3h_2) \\ &= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2)\gamma(h) \\ &= \bar{f}(m)\gamma(h)\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\bar{f}$  is an  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module homomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.6** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra.*

(i) *If  $P$  is a left (right)  $R\#_\sigma H$ -modules and a projective left (right)  $R$ -module, then  $P$  is a projective left (right)  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module;*

(ii) *If  $E$  is a left (right)  $R\#_\sigma H$ -modules and an injective left (right)  $R$ -module, then  $E$  is an injective left (right)  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module;*

(iii) *If  $F$  is a left (right)  $R\#_\sigma H$ -modules and a flat left (right)  $R$ -module, then  $F$  is a flat left (right)  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module.*

**Proof.** (i) Let

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of left (right )  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules and  $g$  be a  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module homomorphism from  $P$  to  $Y$ . Since  $P$  is a projective left (right)  $R$ -module, we have that there exists a  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $P$  to  $X$ , such that

$$f\varphi = g.$$

By Lemma 1.4 and 1.5, there exists a  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module homomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  from  $P$  to  $X$  such that

$$f\bar{\varphi} = g.$$

Thus  $P$  is a projective left (right)  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module.

Similarly, we can obtain the proof of part (ii).

(iii) Since  $F$  is a flat left (right)  $R$ -module, we have the character module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(F, \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z})$  of  $F$  is a injective left (right)  $R$ -module by [8, Theorem 2.3.6]. Obviously,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(F, \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z})$  is a left (right)  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module. By part (ii),  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(F, \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z})$  is a injective left (right)  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module. Thus  $F$  is a flat left (right)  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.7** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra. Then for left (right)  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,*

$$\text{Ext}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}^n(M, N) \subseteq \text{Ext}_R^n(M, N),$$

where  $n$  is any natural number.

**Proof.** We view the  $\text{Ext}^n(M, N)$  as the equivalent classes of  $n$ -extension of  $M$  and  $N$  (see, [8, Definition 3.3.7]). We only prove this result for  $n = 1$ . For other cases, we can similarly prove. We denote the equivalent classes in  $\text{Ext}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}^1(M, N)$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  by  $[E]$  and  $[F]'$ , respectively, where  $E$  is an extension of  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , and  $F$  is an extension of  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ . We define a map

$$\Psi : \text{Ext}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}^1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N), \quad \text{by sending } [E] \text{ to } [E]'$$

Obviously,  $\Psi$  is a map. Now we show that  $\Psi$  is injective. Let

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f'} E' \xrightarrow{g'} M \rightarrow 0$$

are two extensions of  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , and they are equivalent in  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ . Thus there exists  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $E$  to  $E'$  such that

$$\varphi f = f' \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi g = g'.$$

By lemma 1.4, there exists  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module homomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  from  $E$  to  $E'$  such that

$$\bar{\varphi} f = f' \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\varphi} g = g'.$$

Thus  $E$  and  $E'$  is equivalent in  $\text{Ext}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}^1(M, N)$ , which implies that  $\Psi$  is injective.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.8** For any  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{R\#_\sigma H}$  and  $N \in {}_{R\#_\sigma H}\mathcal{M}$ , there exists an additive group homomorphism

$$\xi : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M \otimes_{R\#_\sigma H} N$$

by sending  $(m \otimes n)$  to  $m \otimes n$ , where  $m \in M, n \in N$ .

**Proof.** It is trivial.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.9** If  $M$  is a right  $R\#_\sigma H$ -modules and  $N$  is a left  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module, then there exists additive group homomorphism

$$\xi_* : \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_n^{R\#_\sigma H}(M, N)$$

such that  $\xi_*([z_n]) = [\xi(z_n)]$ , where  $\xi$  is the same as in Lemma 1.8.

**Proof.** Let

$$\mathcal{P}_M : \quad \cdots P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of right  $R\#_\sigma H$ -module  $M$ , and set

$$T = - \otimes_{R\#_\sigma H} N \quad \text{and} \quad T^R = - \otimes_R N.$$

We have that

$$T\mathcal{P}_{\hat{M}} : \quad \cdots T(P_n) \xrightarrow{Td_n} T(P_{n-1}) \cdots \rightarrow T(P_1) \xrightarrow{Td_1} TP_0 \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$T^R\mathcal{P}_{\hat{M}} : \quad \cdots T^R(P_n) \xrightarrow{T^R d_n} T^R(P_{n-1}) \cdots \rightarrow T^R(P_1) \xrightarrow{T^R d_1} T^R(P_0) \rightarrow 0$$

are complexes . Thus  $\xi$  is a complex homomorphism from  $T^R\mathcal{P}_{\hat{M}}$  to  $T\mathcal{P}_{\hat{M}}$ , which implies that  $\xi_*$  is an additive group homomorphism.  $\square$

## 2 The global dimensions and weak dimensions of crossed products

In this section we give the relation between homological dimensions of  $R$  and  $R\#_\sigma H$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $R$  and  $R'$  are Morita equivalent rings, then*

- (i)  $rgD(R) = rgD(R')$ ;
- (ii)  $lgD(R) = lgD(R')$ ;
- (iii)  $wD(R) = wD(R')$ .

**Proof.** It is an immediate consequence of [1, Proposition 21.6, Exercise 22.12]

□

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra,*

- (i)  $rgD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \leq rgD(R)$ ;
- (ii)  $lgD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \leq lgD(R)$ ;
- (iii)  $wD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \leq wD(R)$ .

**Proof.** (i) When  $lgD(R)$  is infinite, obviously part (i) holds. Now we assume  $lgD(R) = n$ . For any left  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module  $M$ , and a projective resolution of left  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module  $M$  :

$$\mathcal{P}_M : \quad \cdots P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0,$$

we have that  $\mathcal{P}_M$  is also a projective resolution of left  $R$ -module  $M$  by Lemma 1.1. Let  $K_n = \ker d_n$  be syzygy  $n$  of  $\mathcal{P}_M$ . Since  $lgD(R) = n$ ,  $Ext_R^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$  for any left  $R$ -module  $N$  by [8, Corollary 3.3.6]. Thus  $Ext_R^1(K_n, N) = 0$ , which implies  $K_n$  is a projective  $R$ -module. By Lemma 1.6 (i),  $K_n$  is a projective  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module and  $Ext_{R\#_{\sigma}H}^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$  for any  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module  $N$ . Consequently,

$$lgD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \leq n = lgD(R) \quad \text{by [8, Corollary 3.3.6]} .$$

We complete the proof of part (i).

We can similarly show part (ii) and part (iii). □

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra. Then*

- (i)  $rgD(R) = rgD(R\#_{\sigma}H)$ ;
- (ii)  $lgD(R) = lgD(R\#_{\sigma}H)$ ;
- (iii)  $wD(R) = wD(R\#_{\sigma}H)$ .

**Proof.** (i) By dual theorem (see, [2, Corollary 9.4.17]), we have  $(R\#_{\sigma}H)\#H^*$  and  $R$  are Morita equivalent algebras. Thus  $lgD(R) = lgD((R\#_{\sigma}H)\#H^*)$  by Lemma 2.1 (i). Considering Theorem 2.2 (i), we have that

$$lgD((R\#_{\sigma}H)\#H^*) \leq lgD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \leq lgD(R).$$

Consequently,

$$lgD(R) = lgD(R\#_{\sigma}H).$$

Similarly, we can prove (ii) and (iii) .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra.*

- (i) *If  $R$  left (right ) semi-hereditary, then so is  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $R$  is von Neumann regular, then so is  $R\#_{\sigma}H$ .*

**Proof.** (i) It follows from Theorem 2.2 and [8, Theorem 2.2.9] .

(ii) It follows from Theorem 2.2 and [8, Theorem 3.4.13].  $\square$

By the way, part (ii) of Corollary 2.4 give one case about the semiprime question in [2, Question 7.4.9]. That is, If  $H$  is a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra and  $R$  is a von Neumann regular algebra (notice that every von Neumann regular algebra is semiprime ), then  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is semiprime.

**Corollary 2.5** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra. Then*

- (i)  *$R$  is semisimple artinian iff  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is semisimple artinian;*
- (ii)  *$R$  is left (right ) semi-hereditary iff  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is left (right ) semi-hereditary;*
- (iii)  *$R$  is von Neumann regular iff  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is von Neumann regular.*

**Proof.** (i) It follows from Theorem 2.3 and [8, Theorem 2.2.9].

(ii) It follows from Theorem 2.3 and [8, Theorem 2.2.9].

(iii) It follows from Theorem 2.3 and [8, Theorem 3.4.13].  $\square$

If  $H$  is commutative or cocommutative, then  $S^2 = id_H$  by [7]. Consequently, by [6, Proposition 2 (c)],  $H$  is semisimple and cosemisimple iff the character *chark* of  $k$  does not divides  $dimH$ . Considering Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5, we have:

**Corollary 2.6** *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional commutative or cocommutative Hopf algebra. If the character  $\text{char}_k$  of  $k$  does not divide  $\dim H$ , then*

- (i)  $rgD(R) = rgD(R\#_{\sigma}H)$ ;
- (ii)  $rgD(R) = rgD(R\#_{\sigma}H)$ ;
- (iii)  $wD(R) = wD(R\#_{\sigma}H)$ ;
- (iv)  $R$  is semisimple artinian iff  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is semisimple artinian;
- (v)  $R$  is left (right) semi-hereditary iff  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is left (right) semi-hereditary;
- (vi)  $R$  is von Neumann regular iff  $R\#_{\sigma}H$  is von Neumann regular.

Since group algebra  $kG$  is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, we have that

$$rgD(R) = rgD(R * G).$$

Thus Corollary 2.6 implies in [4, Theorem 7.5.6].

## References

- [1] F.W.Anderson and K.P.Fuller, Rings and categories of modules, Springer-Verlag , New York, 1974
- [2] Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, CBMS Number 82, Published by AMS, 1992.
- [3] J.J.Rotman, An introduction to homological algebras, Academic press, New York, 1979.
- [4] J.C.McCommell and J.C.Robson, Noncommutative Noetherian rings, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.
- [5] J.J.Rotman, An introduction to homological algebras, Academic press, New York, 1979.
- [6] D.E.Radford, The trace function and Hopf algebras, J. algebra **163** (1994), 583-622.

- [7] M.E.Sweedler, Hopf algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [8] Wenting Tong, An introduction to homological algebras, Chinese education press, 1998.
- [9] Zhong Yi, Homological dimension of skew group rings and crossed products, Journal of algebra, **164** 1984, 101-123.