

ON AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE SYMMETRIZED BIDISC

MAREK JARNICKI AND PETER PFLUG

ABSTRACT. We characterize the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ for the symmetrized bidisc $\mathbb{G}_2 := \{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \lambda_1 \lambda_2) : |\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

Let E be the unit disc. Put

$$\begin{aligned}\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, & \pi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) &:= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \lambda_1 \lambda_2), \\ \mathbb{G}_2 &:= \pi(E^2) = \{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \lambda_1 \lambda_2) : \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in E\}, \\ \Sigma_2 &:= \{\pi(\lambda, \lambda) : \lambda \in E\} = \{(2\lambda, \lambda^2) : \lambda \in E\}, \\ h_a(\lambda) &:= \frac{\lambda - a}{1 - \bar{a}\lambda}, & a \in E, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1/\bar{a}\}.\end{aligned}$$

The domain \mathbb{G}_2 is called the *symmetrized bidisc*. It has been recently studied by many authors, e.g. [Agl-You 2001], [Agl-You 2003], [Cos 2003], [Pfl-Zwo 2003]. The domain \mathbb{G}_2 is the first known bounded pseudoconvex domain for which the Carathéodory and Lempert functions coincide, but which cannot be exhausted by domains biholomorphic to convex domains; cf. [Cos 2003], see also [Jar-Pfl 2004] for details.

Remark 1. If $f \in \mathcal{O}(E^2)$ is symmetric, then the relation $F(\pi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ defines a function $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_2)$. In particular, if $h \in \mathcal{O}(E, E)$, then the relation $H_h(\pi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = \pi(h(\lambda_1), h(\lambda_2))$ defines a holomorphic mapping $H_h : \mathbb{G}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_2$ with $H_h(\Sigma_2) \subset \Sigma_2$.

If $h \in \text{Aut}(E)$, then $H_h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$, $H_h^{-1} = H_{h^{-1}}$, and $H_h(\Sigma_2) = \Sigma_2$. In particular, if $h(\lambda) := \tau\lambda$ for some $\tau \in \partial E$, then we get the “rotation” $R_\tau(s, p) := H_h(s, p) = (\tau s, \tau^2 p)$.

Remark 2. For any point $(s_0, p_0) = (2a, a^2) \in \Sigma_2$ we get $H_{h_a}(s_0, p_0) = (0, 0)$. Consequently, the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ acts transitively on Σ_2 .

Theorem.

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2) = \{H_h : h \in \text{Aut}(E)\}.$$

A description of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ is also announced in [Agl-You 2003] for a future paper. Since they write “we shall identify the automorphisms of \mathbb{G}_2 and this will enable us to show that \mathbb{G}_2 is inhomogeneous”, it seems that their method will be different from the one in this note.

Proof. First observe that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ does not act transitively on \mathbb{G}_2 .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32M17.

Key words and phrases. symmetrized bidisc, automorphism.

Both authors were supported in part by KBN grant no. 5 P03A 033 21 and by DFG grant no. 227/8-1.

Otherwise, by the Cartan classification theorem (cf. [Akh 1990], [Fuks 1965]), \mathbb{G}_2 would be biholomorphic to the unit Euclidean ball \mathbb{B}_2 or to the unit bidisc E^2 . In the case where $\mathbb{G}_2 \simeq \mathbb{B}_2$ we get a contradiction with the Remmert–Stein theorem (cf. [Nar 1971], p. 71) saying that there are no proper holomorphic mappings $E^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2$. In the case where $\mathbb{G}_2 \simeq E^2$ we get a contradiction with the characterization of proper holomorphic mappings $F : E^2 \rightarrow E^2$ (cf. [Nar 1971], p. 76), which says that any such a mapping has the form $F(z_1, z_2) = (F_1(z_1), F_2(z_2))$ (up to a permutation of the variables). See also [Cos 2003].

Next observe that $F(\Sigma_2) = \Sigma_2$ for every $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$.

Indeed, let $V := \{F(0, 0) : F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)\}$. By W. Kaup’s theorem, V is a connected complex submanifold of \mathbb{G}_2 (cf. [KaupW 1970]). We already know that $\Sigma_2 \subset V$ (Remark 2). Since $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ does not act transitively, we have $V \subsetneq \mathbb{G}_2$. Thus $V = \Sigma_2$.

Take a point $(s_0, p_0) = H_h(0, 0) \in \Sigma_2$ with $h \in \text{Aut}(E)$ (Remark 2). Then for every $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$, we get $F(s_0, p_0) = (F \circ H_h)(0, 0) \in V = \Sigma_2$.

By Remark 2, we only need to show that every automorphism $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ with $F(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ is equal to a “rotation” R_τ . Fix such an $F = (S, P)$.

First observe that $F|_{\Sigma_2} \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma_2)$. Hence $F(2\lambda, \lambda^2) = (2\alpha\lambda, \alpha^2\lambda^2)$ for some $\alpha \in \partial E$. Taking $R_{1/\alpha} \circ F$ instead of F , we may assume that $\alpha = 1$. In particular,

$$F'(0, 0) \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and, therefore, } F'(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $\tau \in \partial E$ put $G_\tau := F^{-1} \circ R_{1/\tau} \circ F \circ R_\tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_2)$. Obviously, $G_\tau(0, 0) = (0, 0)$. Moreover, $G'_\tau(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b(\tau - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $G_\tau^n : \mathbb{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_2$ be the n -th iterate of G_τ . We have $(G_\tau^n)'(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & nb(\tau - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Using the Cauchy inequalities, we get

$$|nb(\tau - 1)| \leq \text{const}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tau \in \partial E,$$

which implies that $b = 0$, i.e. $F'(0, 0)$ is diagonal.

We have $G'_\tau(0, 0) = \text{id}$. Hence, by the Cartan theorem (cf. [Nar 1971], p. 66), $G_\tau = \text{id}$. Consequently, $R_\tau \circ F = F \circ R_\tau$, i.e.

$$(\tau S(s, p), \tau^2 P(s, p)) = (S(\tau s, \tau^2 p), P(\tau s, \tau^2 p)), \quad (s, p) \in \mathbb{G}_2, \tau \in \partial E.$$

Hence $F(s, p) = (s, p + Cs^2)$. Since $F(2\lambda, \lambda^2) = (2\lambda, \lambda^2)$, we have $(2\lambda, \lambda^2 + 4C\lambda^2) = (2\lambda, \lambda^2)$, which immediately implies that $C = 0$, i.e. $F = \text{id}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Agl-You 2001] J. Agler, N. J. Young, *A Schwarz lemma for the symmetrized bidisc*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001), 175–186.
- [Agl-You 2003] J. Agler, N. J. Young, *The hyperbolic geometry of the symmetrized bidisc*, preprint.
- [Akh 1990] D. N. Akhiezer, *Homogeneous complex manifolds*, Several complex variables, IV, Algebraic aspects of complex analysis, Encycl. Math. Sci. 10, 1990, 195–244.
- [Cos 2003] C. Costara, *The symmetrized bidisc as a counterexample to the converse of Lempert’s theorem*, Bull. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [Fuks 1965] B. A. Fuks, *Special chapters in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables*, AMS, Providence, R. I., 1965.

- [Jar-Pfl 2004] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *Invariant distances and metrics in complex analysis — revisited*, to appear.
- [KaupW 1970] W. Kaup, *Über das Randverhalten von holomorphen Automorphismen beschränkter Gebiete*, Manuscripta Math. 3 (1970) 257–270.
- [Pfl-Zwo 2003] P. Pflug, W. Zwonek, *Description of all complex geodesics in the symmetrized bidisc*, preprint.
- [Nar 1971] R. Narasimhan, *Several Complex Variables*, The University of Chicago Press 1971.

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REYMONTA 4, 30-059 KRAKÓW,
POLAND

E-mail address: jarnicki@im.uj.edu.pl

CARL VON OSSIETZKY UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, POSTFACH 2503,
D-26111 OLDENBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: pflug@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de