

# A cabling formula for the 2-loop polynomial of knots

Tomotada Ohtsuki

## Abstract

The 2-loop polynomial is a polynomial presenting the 2-loop part of the Kontsevich invariant of knots. We show a cabling formula for the 2-loop polynomial of knots. In particular, we calculate the 2-loop polynomial for torus knots.

The Kontsevich invariant is a very strong invariant of knots (which dominates all quantum invariants and all Vassiliev invariants) and it is expected that the Kontsevich invariant will classify knots. A problem when we study the Kontsevich invariant is that it is difficult to calculate the Kontsevich invariant of an arbitrarily given knot concretely. It has recently been shown [17, 7, 5]<sup>1</sup> that the infinite sum of the terms of the Kontsevich invariant with a fixed loop number is presented by using polynomials (after appropriate normalization by the Alexander polynomial). In particular, it is known<sup>2</sup> that the 1-loop part is presented by the Alexander polynomial. The polynomial giving the 2-loop part is called the 2-loop polynomial. The values of the 2-loop polynomial has been calculated so far only for particular<sup>3</sup> classes of knots.

In this paper, we give a cabling formula for the 2-loop polynomial (Theorem 3.1), which presents the 2-loop polynomial of a cable knot (see Figure 1) of a knot  $K$  in terms of the 2-loop polynomial of  $K$ . In particular, we calculate a formula of the 2-loop polynomial for torus knots (Theorem 2.1). This formula and the cabling formula are also obtained independently by Marché [12, 13].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we review the definition of the 2-loop polynomial. In Section 2 we calculate the 2-loop polynomial of torus knots as the 2-loop part of the cabling formula of the Kontsevich invariant of the trivial knot. In Section 3 we give a cabling formula for the 2-loop polynomial. In Section 4 we show relations to some Vassiliev invariants.

The author would like to thank Andrew Kricker, Thang Le, Lev Rozansky, Julien Marché, Stavros Garoufalidis, Dror Bar-Natan for valuable discussions and comments.

<sup>1</sup>It was conjectured by Rozansky [17]. The existence of such rational presentations has been proved by Kricker [7] (though such a rational presentation itself is not necessarily a knot invariant in a general loop degree). Further, Garoufalidis and Kricker [5] defined a knot invariant in any loop degree, from which such a rational presentation can be deduced.

<sup>2</sup>This follows from the theory of [2] on the MMR conjecture. See also [7, 5] and references therein.

<sup>3</sup>A table of the 2-loop polynomial for knots with up to 7 crossings is given by Rozansky [18]. The 2-loop polynomial of knots with the trivial Alexander polynomial can often be calculated by surgery formulas [5, 8].




Figure 1: A cable knot of a knot

## 1 The Kontsevich invariant and the 2-loop polynomial

The 2-loop polynomial is a polynomial presenting the 2-loop part of the Kontsevich invariant. In this section, we review its definition and a cabling formula of the Kontsevich invariant.

An *open Jacobi diagram* is a uni-trivalent graph such that a cyclic order of the three edges around each trivalent vertex of the graph is fixed. Let  $\mathcal{A}(\ast)$  be the vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  spanned by open Jacobi diagrams subject to the AS and IHX relations; see Figure 2 for the relations.




Figure 2: The AS and IHX relations

The *Kontsevich invariant*  $Z^\sigma(K)$  of a framed knot  $K$  is defined in  $\mathcal{A}(\ast)$ ; for a definition<sup>4</sup> see *e.g.* [14]. It is known [10] that the value of the Kontsevich invariant for each knot is group-like, which implies that it is presented by the exponential of some primitive element. That is,  $Z^\sigma(K)$  is presented by the exponential of a primitive element, where a *primitive element* of  $\mathcal{A}(\ast)$  is a linear sum of connected open Jacobi diagrams.

For example, it is shown [4] that the Kontsevich invariant of the trivial knot, denoted by  $\Omega$ , is presented by

$$Z^\sigma(\text{the trivial knot}) = \Omega = \exp_{\sqcup}(\omega),$$

where  $\exp_{\sqcup}$  denotes the exponential with respect to the disjoint-union product, and  $\omega$  is

<sup>4</sup>In literatures, the Kontsevich invariant is often defined by  $Z(K)$  in the space  $\mathcal{A}(S^1)$ . The version  $Z^\sigma(K)$  is defined to be the image of  $Z(K)$  by the inverse map  $\sigma$  of the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt isomorphism  $\mathcal{A}(\ast) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S^1)$ .

defined by

$$\omega = \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sinh(x/2)}{x/2} \right).$$

Here, a label of a power seires  $f(x) = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3 + \dots$  implies

$$\left. \vphantom{\int} \right) \begin{matrix} f(x) \\ = c_0 \end{matrix} \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) + c_1 \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) + c_2 \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) + c_3 \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) + \dots,$$

where a label is put on either of the sides of an edge, and the corresponding legs are written in the same side of the edge.<sup>5</sup> Note that  $\left. \vphantom{\int} \right) \begin{matrix} f(x) \\ \end{matrix} \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) = \left. \vphantom{\int} \right) \begin{matrix} f(-x) \\ \end{matrix} \left. \vphantom{\int} \right)$  by the AS relation, in the notation of this paper.

Let  $K$  be a framed knot with 0 framing. (Throughout this paper, we often mean a framed knot with 0 framing also by a knot, abusing terminology.) The *loop expansion* of the Kontsevich invariant is given by

$$\log_{\sqcup} Z^{\sigma}(K) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sinh(x/2)}{x/2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \Delta_K(e^x) \right) + \sum_i^{\text{finite}} \left( \frac{p_{i,1}(e^x)/\Delta_K(e^x)}{p_{i,2}(e^x)/\Delta_K(e^x)} \right) + \left( \text{terms of } (\geq 3)\text{-loop} \right),$$

where  $\log_{\sqcup}$  denotes the logarithm with respect to the disjoint-union product, and  $\Delta_K(t)$  is the normalized<sup>6</sup> Alexander polynomial of  $K$ , and  $p_{i,j}(e^x)$  is a polynomial in  $e^x$ . The 2-loop part is characterized by the polynomial,

$$\Theta'_K(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \sum_i p_{i,1}(t_1)p_{i,2}(t_2)p_{i,3}(t_3).$$

We call its symmetrization,<sup>7</sup>

$$\Theta_K(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon=\pm 1 \\ \{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\}}} \Theta'_K(t_i^{\varepsilon}, t_j^{\varepsilon}, t_k^{\varepsilon}) \in \mathbb{Q}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, t_3^{\pm 1}]/(t_1 t_2 t_3 = 1),$$

<sup>5</sup>Our notation is different from the notation in [5, 8] where a label of an edge is defined by setting a local orientation of the edge that determines the side in which we write the corresponding legs.

<sup>6</sup>We suppose that  $\Delta_K(t)$  is normalized, satisfying that  $\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_K(t^{-1})$  and  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ .

<sup>7</sup>With respect to the symmetry of the theta graph, of order 12.






Figure 3: The  $(5, 3)$  torus knot

The *torus knot*  $T(p, q)$  of type  $(p, q)$  is the  $(p, q)$  cable knot of the trivial knot (which is isotopic to  $T(q, p)$ ); for example see Figure 3. It is known, see *e.g.* [11], that the Alexander polynomial of a torus knot is given by

$$\Delta_{T(p,q)}(t) = \frac{(t^{pq/2} - t^{-pq/2})(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})}{(t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2})(t^{q/2} - t^{-q/2})}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *The 2-loop polynomial of the torus knot  $T(p, q)$  of type  $(p, q)$  is given by<sup>11</sup>*

$$\Theta_{T(p,q)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\}} \psi_{p,q}(t_i) \psi_{q,p}(t_j) \Delta_{T(p,q)}(t_k) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, t_3^{\pm 1}]/(t_1 t_2 t_3 = 1),$$

where  $\psi_{p,q}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{p,q}(t) &= \Delta_{T(p,q)}(t) \cdot \left( \frac{t^{p/2} + t^{-p/2}}{t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2}} - q \cdot \frac{t^{pq/2} + t^{-pq/2}}{t^{pq/2} - t^{-pq/2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{(t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2})(t^{q/2} - t^{-q/2})} \left( (t^{p/2} + t^{-p/2}) \cdot \frac{t^{pq/2} - t^{-pq/2}}{t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2}} - q(t^{pq/2} + t^{-pq/2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\Theta_{T(p,q)}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  is a polynomial in  $t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, t_3^{\pm 1}$  with integer coefficients of degree $_{t_1}(\Theta_{T(p,q)}(t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1})) = (p-1)(q-1)$ .

**Remark 2.2.**  $\psi_{p,q}(t)$  is not a polynomial, but a rational function, while  $\Theta_{T(p,q)}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  is a polynomial. Rozansky [18] suggests that the 2-loop polynomial is a polynomial with integer coefficients; this holds for torus knots by the theorem. He also suggests a conjectural inequality

$$\text{degree}_{t_1}(\Theta_K(t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1})) \leq 2g(K),$$

where  $g(K)$  denotes the genus of  $K$ . Since the genus of  $T(p, q)$  equals  $(p-1)(q-1)/2$  (see *e.g.* [11]), torus knots give the equality of the above formula.

**Remark 2.3.** The  $sl_2$  reduction of the  $n$ -loop part of the Kontsevich invariant of the Kontsevich invariant is equal to the  $n$ th line in the expansion of the colored Jones polynomial. Rozansky [16] has calculated it for torus knots.

<sup>11</sup>This value coincides with the value in [12, 13]. However, the values of the 2-loop polynomial for some torus knots in Table 2 of [18] have opposite signs to our values. The signs of some values in Table 2 of [18] might not be correct.





where the equality is obtained from Lemma 2.5 below. Hence, the primitive part of the 2-loop part of  $Z^w(T(p, q))$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c} f(px) \quad f(qx) \quad f(pqx) \quad f(pqx) \quad f(px) \quad f(pqx) \quad f(qx) \quad f(pqx) \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array} \\
& + pq \quad - p \quad - q \\
& = \frac{1}{16} \begin{array}{c} \phi_{p,q}(t) \quad \phi_{q,p}(t) \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array} = -\frac{1}{8} \begin{array}{c} \phi_{p,q}(t) \\ \text{---} \\ \phi_{q,p}(t) \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}, \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

where we put  $t = e^x$  and  $\phi_{p,q}$  is defined by  $\phi_{p,q}(e^x) = 4(f(px) - qf(pqx))$ , that is,

$$\phi_{p,q}(t) = \frac{t^{p/2} + t^{-p/2}}{t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2}} - q \cdot \frac{t^{pq/2} + t^{-pq/2}}{t^{pq/2} - t^{-pq/2}}.$$

Therefore, from the definition of the 2-loop polynomial, we obtain the required formula.

By Corollary 2.4 below, the degree of  $\hat{\Theta}_{T(p,q)}(t)$  equals  $(p-1)(q-1) - 1$ . Since  $(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})^2 \hat{\Theta}_{T(p,q)}(t) = \Theta_{T(p,q)}(t, 1, t^{-1})$  by definition,  $\text{degree}_{t_1}(\Theta_{T(p,q)}(t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}))$  is at least  $(p-1)(q-1)$ . We can show that it is exactly  $(p-1)(q-1)$  in the same way as the proof of Example 2.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *The reduced 2-loop polynomial of the torus knot  $T(p, q)$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Theta}_{T(p,q)}(t) &= \frac{1}{2(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})^2} \psi_{p,q}(t) \psi_{q,p}(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2})^2} \cdot \left( (t^{p/2} + t^{-p/2}) \cdot \frac{t^{pq/2} - t^{-pq/2}}{t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2}} - q(t^{pq/2} + t^{-pq/2}) \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{(t^{q/2} - t^{-q/2})^2} \cdot \left( (t^{q/2} + t^{-q/2}) \cdot \frac{t^{pq/2} - t^{-pq/2}}{t^{q/2} - t^{-q/2}} - p(t^{pq/2} + t^{-pq/2}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *For a scalar  $c$ ,*

$$\partial_{\Omega}^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{c}{2} \bigcap\right) \equiv \exp\left(\frac{c}{2} \bigcap\right) \sqcup \Omega_{cx}^{-1} \sqcup \exp_{\sqcup}\left(c \begin{array}{c} f(cx) \quad f(cx) \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}\right).$$

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\partial_{\Omega}$ ,

$$\partial_{\Omega}\left(\exp\left(\frac{c}{2} \bigcap\right) \sqcup \Omega_{cx}^{-1}\right) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array} \tag{5}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the  $(\leq 1)$ -loop part of the right hand side is presented by

$$\partial_\Omega \exp\left(\frac{c}{2} \bigcap\right) \sqcup \Omega_{cx}^{-1} \equiv \exp\left(\frac{c}{2} \bigcap\right).$$

Further, the primitive part of the 2-loop part of the right hand side of (5) is presented by

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \text{---} \circlearrowleft \omega_{cx} \text{---} \circlearrowleft \omega \text{---} \bigcirc \exp\left(\frac{c}{2} \bigcap\right) \text{---} \circlearrowleft \omega \text{---} \circlearrowleft \omega_{cx} \text{---} \\ \vdots \end{array} \equiv -c \begin{array}{c} f(cx) \\ \bigcirc \end{array} \text{---} \begin{array}{c} f(cx) \\ \bigcirc \end{array}.$$

This implies that  $\partial_\Omega$  takes the right hand side of the formula of the lemma to  $\exp\left(\frac{c}{2} \bigcap\right)$ . □

**Example 2.6.** For the  $(p, 2)$  torus knot, Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{T(p,2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) &= \frac{1}{(t_1 + 1)(t_2 + 1)(t_3 + 1)} \\ &\times \left( \frac{p-1}{2} (t_1^p + t_1^{-p} + t_2^p + t_2^{-p} + t_3^p + t_3^{-p}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{t_1^{p-1} - t_1^{-(p-1)}}{t_1 - t_1^{-1}} - \frac{t_2^{p-1} - t_2^{-(p-1)}}{t_2 - t_2^{-1}} - \frac{t_3^{p-1} - t_3^{-(p-1)}}{t_3 - t_3^{-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For example, the coefficients of  $\Theta_{T(7,2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  are as shown in Table 1. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Theta}_{T(p,2)}(t) &= \frac{t^2}{(t^2 - 1)^2} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} (t^p + t^{-p}) - \frac{t^{p-1} - t^{-(p-1)}}{t - t^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{t^3}{(t^2 - 1)^3} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} (t^{p+1} - t^{-p-1}) - \frac{p+1}{2} (t^{p-1} - t^{-p+1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$n$	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$m=6$	.	.	.	.	.	.	3	-3	3	-3	3	-3	3
$m=5$	.	.	.	.	.	-3	.	.	.	.	.	.	-3
$m=4$	.	.	.	.	3	.	2	-2	2	-2	2	.	3
$m=3$	.	.	.	-3	.	-2	.	.	.	.	-2	.	-3
$m=2$	.	.	3	.	2	.	1	-1	1	.	2	.	3
$m=1$	.	-3	.	-2	.	-1	.	.	-1	.	-2	.	-3
$m=0$	3	.	2	.	1	.	.	.	1	.	2	.	3
$m=-1$	-3	.	-2	.	-1	.	.	-1	.	-2	.	-3	.
$m=-2$	3	.	2	.	1	-1	1	.	2	.	3	.	.
$m=-3$	-3	.	-2	.	.	.	.	-2	.	-3	.	.	.
$m=-4$	3	.	2	-2	2	-2	2	.	3	.	.	.	.
$m=-5$	-3	.	.	.	.	.	.	-3	.	.	.	.	.
$m=-6$	3	-3	3	-3	3	-3	3	.	.	.	.	.	.

Table 1: The non-zero coefficients of  $t_1^n t_2^m$  in  $\Theta_{T(7,2)}(t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1})$

*Proof.* By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{T(p,2)}(t) &= \frac{t^{p/2} + t^{-p/2}}{t^{1/2} + t^{-1/2}}, \quad \psi_{p,2}(t) = -\frac{t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2}}{t^{1/2} + t^{-1/2}}, \\ \psi_{2,p}(t) &= \frac{1}{(t^{1/2} + t^{-1/2})(t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2})} \cdot \left( (t + t^{-1}) \cdot \frac{t^p - t^{-p}}{t - t^{-1}} - p(t^p + t^{-p}) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, when  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we have that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \psi_{p,2}(t_i) \Delta_{T(p,2)}(t_k) + \psi_{p,2}(t_k) \Delta_{T(p,2)}(t_i) \right) = \frac{t_j^{p/2} - t_j^{-p/2}}{(t_i^{1/2} + t_i^{-1/2})(t_k^{1/2} + t_k^{-1/2})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}& -\frac{1}{4} \psi_{2,p}(t_j) \cdot \left( \psi_{p,2}(t_i) \Delta_{T(p,2)}(t_k) + \psi_{p,2}(t_k) \Delta_{T(p,2)}(t_i) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(t_i^{1/2} + t_i^{-1/2})(t_j^{1/2} + t_j^{-1/2})(t_k^{1/2} + t_k^{-1/2})} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( p(t_j^p + t_j^{-p}) - (t_j + t_j^{-1}) \cdot \frac{t_j^p - t_j^{-p}}{t_j - t_j^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(t_i^{1/2} + t_i^{-1/2})(t_j^{1/2} + t_j^{-1/2})(t_k^{1/2} + t_k^{-1/2})} \cdot \left( \frac{p-1}{2} (t_j^p + t_j^{-p}) - \frac{t_j^{p-1} - t_j^{-(p-1)}}{t_j - t_j^{-1}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1, we obtain  $\Theta_{T(p,2)}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  as the sum of the above formula over  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)$ , which gives the required formula.  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** In a similar way as the previous example, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{T(p,3)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) &= \frac{(t_1 - 1)(t_2 - 1)(t_3 - 1)}{(t_1^3 - 1)(t_2^3 - 1)(t_3^3 - 1)} \\ &\quad \times \left( (p-1)(t_1^p + t_1^{-p} + t_2^p + t_2^{-p} + t_3^p + t_3^{-p} \right. \\ &\quad \quad \quad + t_1^{2p} + t_1^{-2p} + t_2^{2p} + t_2^{-2p} + t_3^{2p} + t_3^{-2p} \\ &\quad \quad \quad + t_1^{2p}t_2^p + t_1^{-2p}t_2^{-p} + t_1^p t_2^{2p} + t_1^{-p}t_2^{-2p} + t_1^p t_2^{-p} + t_1^{-p}t_2^p) \\ &\quad - \frac{t_1^{3(p-1)/2} - t_1^{-3(p-1)/2}}{t_1^{3/2} - t_1^{-3/2}} \cdot (2t_1^{p/2} + 2t_1^{-p/2} + t_2^{p/2}t_3^{-p/2} + t_2^{-p/2}t_3^{p/2}) \\ &\quad - \frac{t_2^{3(p-1)/2} - t_2^{-3(p-1)/2}}{t_2^{3/2} - t_2^{-3/2}} \cdot (2t_2^{p/2} + 2t_2^{-p/2} + t_1^{p/2}t_3^{-p/2} + t_1^{-p/2}t_3^{p/2}) \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{t_3^{3(p-1)/2} - t_3^{-3(p-1)/2}}{t_3^{3/2} - t_3^{-3/2}} \cdot (2t_3^{p/2} + 2t_3^{-p/2} + t_1^{p/2}t_2^{-p/2} + t_1^{-p/2}t_2^{p/2}) \right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Theta}_{T(p,3)}(t) &= \frac{t^3(t^{p/2} + t^{-p/2})}{(t^3 - 1)^2} \cdot \left( (p-1)(t^{3p/2} + t^{-3p/2}) - 2 \cdot \frac{t^{3(p-1)/2} - t^{-3(p-1)/2}}{t^{3/2} - t^{-3/2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{t^{p/2} + t^{-p/2}}{(t^{3/2} - t^{-3/2})^3} \cdot \left( (p-1)(t^{3(p+1)/2} - t^{-3(p+1)/2}) - (p+1)(t^{3(p-1)/2} - t^{-3(p-1)/2}) \right).\end{aligned}$$

See also Tables 2 and 3 for the values of  $\Theta_{T(p,q)}$  and  $\hat{\Theta}_{T(p,q)}$  for some  $(p, q)$ .

### 3 A cabling formula for the 2-loop polynomial

In this section, we give a cabling formula for the 2-loop polynomial. We show the formula by picking up the 2-loop part of the cabling formula of the Kontsevich invariant, modifying the proof of Theorem 2.1. This cabling formula is also obtained independently by Marché [13].

It is known, see *e.g.* [11], that a cabling formula for the Alexander polynomial is given by

$$\Delta_{K^{(p,q)}}(t) = \Delta_{T(p,q)}(t) \Delta_K(t^p).$$

A cabling formula for the 2-loop polynomial is given by

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $K$  be a knot, and let  $K^{(p,q)}$  be the  $(p, q)$  cable knot of  $K$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{K^{(p,q)}}(t_1, t_2, t_3) &= \Theta_{T(p,q)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) + \Theta_K(t_1^p, t_2^p, t_3^p) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{T(p,q)}(t_1) \Delta_{T(p,q)}(t_2) \Delta_{T(p,q)}(t_3) \sum_{\{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\}} \Delta'_K(t_i^p) \cdot t_i^p \cdot \phi_{q,p}(t_j) \Delta_K(t_j^p) \Delta_K(t_k^p). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We show the theorem, modifying the proof of Theorem 2.1. By Proposition 1.1, we have that

$$Z^w(K^{(p,q)}) \equiv \partial_{\Omega}^{-1} \Psi^{(p)} \partial_{\Omega} \left( Z^w(K) \sqcup \exp_{\square} \left( \frac{q}{2p} \bigcap \right) \right) \sqcup \exp_{\square} \left( -\frac{pq}{2} \bigcap \right),$$

where  $Z^w(K)$  is presented by

$$Z^w(K) = \Omega \sqcup \exp_{\square} \left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} \log \Delta_K(e^x) \\ \bigcirc \end{array} \right) + (\text{terms of } (\geq 2)\text{-loop}).$$

The 2-loop part of  $Z^w(K)$  contributes to the required formula by  $\Theta_K(t_1^p, t_2^p, t_3^p)$ . We calculate the contribution of the 1-loop part in the following of this proof.

In a similar way as (2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\Omega} \left( Z^w(K) \sqcup \exp_{\square} \left( \frac{q}{2p} \bigcap \right) \right) \\ &\equiv \exp \left( \frac{q}{2p} \bigcap \right) \sqcup \Omega \sqcup \Omega_{\frac{q}{p}x} \sqcup \exp_{\square} \left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} \log \Delta_K(e^x) \\ \bigcirc \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} f(x)+g(x) \quad f(\frac{q}{p}x) \\ \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(x)$  is given by

$$g(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \log \Delta_K(e^x) \right) = -\frac{\Delta'_K(e^x) \cdot e^x}{2\Delta_K(e^x)}.$$

$(p, q)$  : The non-zero coefficients of  $t_1^n t_2^m$  in  $\Theta_{T(p,q)}(t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1})$  in the fundamental domain

$(3, 2)$ :	$\begin{matrix} & & -1 \\ & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & 1 \end{matrix}$
$(5, 2)$ :	$\begin{matrix} & & & & 2 \\ & & -1 & \cdot & -2 \\ & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & 2 \end{matrix}$
$(7, 2)$ :	$\begin{matrix} & & & & & & -3 \\ & & & & 2 & \cdot & 3 \\ & & -1 & \cdot & -2 & \cdot & -3 \\ & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & 2 & \cdot & 3 \end{matrix}$
$(4, 3)$ :	$\begin{matrix} & & & & & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 & -3 & \cdot & \\ & & 1 & -2 & \cdot & 3 & -3 & \\ \cdot & \cdot & -1 & 4 & -3 & \cdot & 3 & \end{matrix}$
$(5, 3)$ :	$\begin{matrix} & & & & & & & & -4 \\ & & & & & & -4 & \cdot & 4 \\ & & & & -6 & 3 & 4 & -4 & \cdot \\ & & -2 & 1 & 3 & -6 & \cdot & 4 & -4 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 2 & -2 & \cdot & 6 & -4 & \cdot & 4 \end{matrix}$
$(7, 3)$ :	$\begin{matrix} & & & & & & & & & & 6 \\ & & & & & & & & & 6 & -6 & \cdot \\ & & & & & & 10 & -5 & \cdot & 6 & -6 \\ & & & & & 12 & -5 & -5 & 10 & -6 & \cdot & 6 \\ & & & & 6 & -5 & -4 & 10 & -10 & \cdot & 6 & -6 & \cdot \\ & & 2 & -3 & -1 & 6 & -8 & \cdot & 10 & -10 & \cdot & 6 & -6 \\ \cdot & \cdot & -2 & 6 & -4 & -2 & 12 & -10 & \cdot & 10 & -6 & \cdot & 6 \end{matrix}$
$(5, 4)$ :	$\begin{matrix} & & & & & & & & & & & & & -6 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & -6 & 6 & \cdot \\ & & & & & & 9 & \cdot & \cdot & -6 & 6 & \cdot \\ & & & & & 1 & -5 & \cdot & \cdot & 6 & -6 & \cdot \\ & & & -5 & 4 & -4 & 5 & -5 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 1 & 1 & -2 & \cdot & 3 & 1 & -4 & \cdot & \cdot & 6 & -6 \\ \cdot & \cdot & -1 & -2 & 9 & -8 & 1 & -2 & 9 & \cdot & -6 & \cdot & 6 \end{matrix}$
$(7, 4)$ :	$\begin{matrix} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & -9 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & -9 & 9 & \cdot \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 15 & \cdot & \cdot & -9 & 9 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 15 & -15 & \cdot & \cdot & 9 & -9 & \cdot \\ & & & & & & & & & -6 & -6 & \cdot & 15 & -15 & \cdot & \cdot & 9 & -9 \\ & & & & & & -18 & 7 & -1 & 12 & -15 & 8 & 7 & \cdot & -9 & \cdot & 9 \\ & & & & & -8 & 10 & 5 & -6 & 1 & \cdot & 7 & -15 & 8 & \cdot & 9 & -9 & \cdot \\ & & & & 5 & -5 & 4 & -11 & 13 & -13 & 7 & -7 & 8 & -8 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 2 & -4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & -9 & \cdot & 11 & -6 & -5 & \cdot & 15 & -15 & \cdot & \cdot & 9 & -9 \\ \cdot & \cdot & -2 & 8 & -9 & 2 & -4 & 20 & -18 & 2 & 4 & 12 & -15 & \cdot & 15 & \cdot & -9 & \cdot & 9 \end{matrix}$

Table 2: The non-zero coefficients of  $t_1^n t_2^m$  in  $\Theta_{T(p,q)}(t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1})$  in a fundamental domain  $\{0 \leq 2m \leq n\}$  (see [18]) for  $(p, q)$  with  $p \leq 7, q \leq 4$ . The array for each  $(p, q)$  is a subset of the full array such as shown in Table 1 and the most left dot is at  $(n, m) = (0, 0)$ . We can recover the other coefficients for each  $(p, q)$  from the presented coefficients by the symmetry of  $\Theta_K(t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1})$ .

$(p, q)$ :	The part of non-negative powers in $\hat{\Theta}_{T(p,q)}(t)$
$(3, 2)$ :	$t$
$(5, 2)$ :	$3t + 2t^3$
$(7, 2)$ :	$6t + 5t^3 + 3t^5$
$(9, 2)$ :	$10t + 9t^3 + 7t^5 + 4t^7$
$(4, 3)$ :	$3t + 4t^2 + 3t^5$
$(5, 3)$ :	$6t + 4t^2 + 6t^4 + 4t^7$
$(7, 3)$ :	$10t + 12t^2 + 6t^4 + 12t^5 + 10t^8 + 6t^{11}$
$(8, 3)$ :	$15t + 12t^2 + 16t^4 + 7t^5 + 15t^7 + 12t^{10} + 7t^{13}$
$(10, 3)$ :	$21t + 24t^2 + 16t^4 + 25t^5 + 9t^7 + 24t^8 + 21t^{11} + 16t^{14} + 9t^{17}$
$(5, 4)$ :	$6t + 12t^2 + 9t^3 + 8t^6 + 9t^7 + 6t^{11}$
$(7, 4)$ :	$15t + 24t^2 + 9t^3 + 18t^5 + 20t^6 + 18t^9 + 12t^{10} + 15t^{13} + 9t^{17}$
$(9, 4)$ :	$21t + 40t^2 + 27t^3 + 12t^5 + 36t^6 + 30t^7 + 28t^{10} + 30t^{11} + 16t^{14} + 27t^{15} + 21t^{19} + 12t^{23}$
$(6, 5)$ :	$10t + 24t^2 + 27t^3 + 16t^4 + 15t^7 + 24t^8 + 18t^9 + 15t^{13} + 16t^{14} + 10t^{19}$
$(7, 5)$ :	$36t + 12t^2 + 20t^3 + 30t^4 + 36t^6 + 24t^8 + 18t^9 + 30t^{11} + 24t^{13} + 18t^{16} + 20t^{18} + 12t^{23}$
$(8, 5)$ :	$45t + 24t^2 + 14t^3 + 48t^4 + 36t^6 + 30t^7 + 45t^9 + 21t^{11} + 32t^{12} + 36t^{14} + 30t^{17} + 21t^{19} + 24t^{22} + 14t^{27}$
$(9, 5)$ :	$28t + 60t^2 + 54t^3 + 16t^4 + 36t^6 + 60t^7 + 42t^8 + 40t^{11} + 54t^{12} + 24t^{13} + 40t^{16} + 42t^{17} + 36t^{21} + 24t^{22} + 28t^{26} + 16t^{31}$

Table 3: The parts of non-negative powers in  $\hat{\Theta}_{T(p,q)}(t)$  for  $(p, q)$  with  $p \leq 10$ ,  $q \leq 5$ . The remaining part for each  $(p, q)$  can recover from the presented part by replacing  $t$  with  $t^{-1}$ .

The map  $\Psi^{(p)}$  sends this to

$$\exp\left(\frac{pq}{2} \bigcap\right) \sqcup \Omega_{px} \sqcup \Omega_{qx} \sqcup \exp_{\sqcup} \left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} \log \Delta_K(e^{px}) \\ \text{f}(px) + \text{g}(px) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{f}(qx) \\ \text{f}(qx) \end{array} \right).$$

Calculating its image by  $\partial_{\Omega}^{-1}$  in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the error term corresponding to the formula (4) is as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text{g}(px) \quad \text{f}(qx) \\ \text{---} \end{array} - p \begin{array}{c} \text{g}(px) \quad \text{f}(pqx) \\ \text{---} \end{array} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{c} \text{g}(px) \quad \phi_{q,p}(t) \\ \text{---} \end{array} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \text{g}(px) \\ \phi_{q,p}(t) \\ \text{---} \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

This contributes to the required formula by

$$\sum_{\{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\}} \frac{\Delta'_K(t_i^p) \cdot t_i^p}{2\Delta_K(t_i^p)} \cdot \Delta_{K(p,q)}(t_i) \phi_{q,p}(t_j) \Delta_{K(p,q)}(t_j) \Delta_{K(p,q)}(t_k).$$

Noting that  $\Delta_{K(p,q)}(t) = \Delta_{T(p,q)}(t) \Delta_K(t^p)$ , we obtain the required formula.  $\square$

A cabling formula for the reduced 2-loop polynomial is given by

**Corollary 3.2.** *For the notation in Theorem 3.1,*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Theta}_{K(p,q)}(t) &= \hat{\Theta}_{T(p,q)}(t) + \frac{(t^{p/2} - t^{-p/2})^2}{(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})^2} \cdot \hat{\Theta}_K(t^p) \\ &\quad - \frac{t^p}{(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})^2} \cdot \Delta_{T(p,q)}(t) \Delta_K(t^p) \Delta'_K(t^p) \psi_{q,p}(t).\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The required formula is obtained from the formula of Theorem 3.1 by putting  $t_1 = t$ ,  $t_2 = 1/t$ , and  $t_3 = 1$ .  $\square$

## 4 Relations to Vassiliev invariants

In this section we show some relations to Vassiliev invariants of degree 2, 3.

A leading part of the Kontsevich invariant is presented by

$$\log_{\square} Z^{\sigma}(K) - \omega = \frac{v_2(K)}{2} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{v_3(K)}{4} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 4),$$

where the *degree* of a Jacobi diagram is half the number of univalent and trivalent vertices of the diagram, and  $v_2, v_3$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued primitive Vassiliev invariants of degree 2, 3 respectively (see [14]). Since  $\text{---}\bigcirc\text{---}$  has 1-loop,  $v_2(K)$  can be presented by the Alexander polynomial; in fact, from the formula of the loop expansion,

$$\begin{aligned}v_2(K) &= -(\text{the coefficient of } x^2 \text{ in the expansion of } \Delta_K(e^x)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_K''(1).\end{aligned}$$

Further, since  $\text{---}\bigcirc\text{---}\bigcirc\text{---}$  has 2-loop,  $v_3(K)$  can be presented by the 2-loop polynomial; in fact, we have

**Proposition 4.1.**

$$v_3(K) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Theta}_K(1).$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{array}{c} f_i(x) \\ \bigcirc \\ f_2(x) \\ \bigcirc \\ f_3(x) \end{array} &\longmapsto f_3(0) \begin{array}{c} f_j(x) \\ \text{---}\bigcap\text{---} \\ f_2(x) \end{array} + f_2(0) \begin{array}{c} f_j(x) \\ \bigcirc \\ f_3(x) \end{array} + f_1(0) \begin{array}{c} f_2(x) \\ \text{---}\bigcup\text{---} \\ f_3(x) \end{array} \\ &\longmapsto \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\}} f_i(x) f_j(-x) f_k(0).\end{aligned}$$

This map takes the 2-loop part of  $\log_{\square} Z^{\sigma}(K)$  to  $\frac{1}{12}(e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2})^2 \hat{\Theta}_K(e^x)$ , whose coefficient

of  $x^2$  equals  $\frac{1}{12} \hat{\Theta}_K(1)$ . Since  $\text{---}\bigcirc\text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---}\bigcap\text{---} \\ \bigcirc \\ \text{---}\bigcup\text{---} \end{array}$  by the AS and IHX relations, the above

maps takes this diagram to  $\frac{2}{3}x^2$ . Hence,  $\frac{1}{6}v_3(K) = \frac{1}{12}\hat{\Theta}_K(1)$ , which implies the required formula.  $\square$

**Example 4.2.** A cabling formula for  $v_3$  is given by

$$v_3(K^{(p,q)}) = p^2 \cdot v_3(K) + \frac{1}{12}p(p^2 - 1)q \cdot \Delta_K''(1) + \frac{1}{144}p(p^2 - 1)q(q^2 - 1).$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 3.2 putting  $t = 1$ , we have that

$$v_3(K^{(p,q)}) = v_3(T(p, q)) + p^2 \cdot v_3(K) - \frac{p}{2}\Delta_K''(1)\phi'_{q,p}(1).$$

The required formula follows from it, by using

$$v_3(T(p, q)) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Theta}_{T(p,q)}(1) = \frac{1}{144}p(p^2 - 1)q(q^2 - 1),$$

$$\phi'_{q,p}(1) = \frac{1}{6}q(1 - p^2).$$

For the value of the first formula, see also [19].  $\square$

## References

- [1] Bar-Natan, D., *On the Vassiliev knot invariants*, Topology **34** (1995) 423–472.
- [2] Bar-Natan, D., Garoufalidis, S., *On the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky conjecture*, Invent. Math. **125** (1996) 103–133.
- [3] Bar-Natan, D., Lawrence, R., *A rational surgery formula for the LMO Invariant*, math.GT/0007045, to appear in Israel J. Math.
- [4] Bar-Natan, D., Le, T.T.Q., Thurston, D.P., *Two applications of elementary knot theory to Lie algebras and Vassiliev invariants*, Geometry and Topology **7** (2003) 1–31.
- [5] Garoufalidis, S., Kricker, A., *A rational noncommutative invariant of boundary links*, math.GT/0105028.
- [6] ———, *A surgery view of boundary links*, math.GT/0205328, to appear in Math. Annalen.
- [7] Kricker, A., *The lines of the Kontsevich integral and Rozansky’s rationality conjecture*, math.GT/0005284.
- [8] ———, *A surgery formula for the 2-loop piece of the LMO invariant of a pair*, Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds (Kyoto 2001), 161–181, Geom. Topol. Monogr. **4**, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2002.
- [9] Kricker, A., Spence, B., Aitchison, I., *Cabling the Vassiliev invariants*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **6** (1997) 327–358.
- [10] Le, T.T.Q., Murakami, J., Ohtsuki, T., *On a universal perturbative invariant of 3-manifolds*, Topology **37** (1998) 539–574.
- [11] Lickorish, W.B.R., *An introduction to knot theory*, Graduate Texts in Math. **175**, Springer-Verlag, 1997.

- [12] Marché, J., *On Kontsevich invariant of torus knots*, math.GT/0310111.
- [13] ———, *Cablages et intégrale de Kontsevich rationnelle en bas degré*, Ph.D. Thesis (in preparation).
- [14] Ohtsuki, T., *Quantum invariants, — A study of knots, 3-manifolds, and their sets*, Series on Knots and Everything **29**. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., 2002.
- [15] ———, *On the 2-loop polynomial of knots*, in preparation.
- [16] Rozansky, L., *Higher order terms in the Melvin-Morton expansion of the colored Jones polynomial*, Comm. Math. Phys. **183** (1997) 291–306.
- [17] ———, *A rational structure of generating functions for Vassiliev invariants*, Notes accompanying lectures at the summer school on quantum invariants of knots and three-manifolds, Joseph Fourier Institute, University of Grenoble, org. C. Lescop, June, 1999.
- [18] ———, *A rationality conjecture about Kontsevich integral of knots and its implications to the structure of the colored Jones polynomial*, Proceedings of the Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences Workshop “Invariants of Three-Manifolds” (Calgary, AB, 1999). Topology Appl. **127** (2003) 47–76.
- [19] Willerton, S., *The Kontsevich integral and algebraic structures on the space of diagrams*, Knots in Hellas '98 (Delphi), 530–546, Ser. Knots Everything **24**, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2000.

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan  
 E-mail address: tomotada@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp