

VANISHING AND CODIMENSION THEOREMS FOR COMPLETE TORIC VARIETIES

DAVID COX AND ALICIA DICKENSTEIN

ABSTRACT. In this article, we prove a vanishing theorem for $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D))$ when D is a nef Cartier divisor on a complete toric variety X . The answer depends on the dimension of the polytope associated to D . We then apply this to the homogeneous coordinate ring of X , where we study the codimension in the critical degree of ideals generated by $\dim(X) + 1$ homogeneous elements which don't vanish simultaneously on X .

INTRODUCTION

In this paper, X will denote a complete toric variety of dimension n . We will work over \mathbb{C} , so that the torus of X is $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. The dual lattices will be denoted M and N as usual, and the minimal edge generators of the fan of X will be denoted $n_1, \dots, n_r \in N$. Corresponding to each n_i we have the irreducible torus-invariant divisor D_i and the variable x_i in the homogeneous coordinate ring $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$, which is graded by the Chow group $A_{n-1}(X)$.

In Section 1, we study the case of a torus-invariant Cartier divisor $D = \sum_i a_i D_i$ such that $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is generated by global sections. As is well-known, this implies that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0, \quad i > 0$$

and that the polytope

$$\Delta_D = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, n_i \rangle \geq -a_i\}$$

is the convex hull of its lattice points. Furthermore, we also have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in M \cap \Delta_D} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^m,$$

where χ^m is the character associated to $m \in M$ and the isomorphism is equivariant with respect to the natural $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ action on each side.

Our goal is instead to compute

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)).$$

We will show in Theorem 1.3 that these cohomology groups are determined by the polytope Δ_D . In particular, the dimension of Δ_D plays an important role. We will then use Theorem 1.3 to study

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + K)),$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14M25; Secondary 14F17.

Key words and phrases. toric variety, vanishing theorem.

D. C. would like to thank the Mathematics Department of the University of Buenos Aires for their hospitality during his visits there in 2001 and 2003.

A. D. was supported by Anpcyt 03-06568, Ubacyt X-052 and Conicet, Argentina.

where K is the canonical class. We will also explain how our results relate to the Ehrhart polynomial.

In Section 2, we consider homogeneous polynomials $f_0, \dots, f_n \in S$ of degrees $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in A_{n-1}(X)$ which satisfy the following two properties: first, the f_i don't vanish simultaneously on X , and second, each α_i lies in $\text{Pic}(X)$ and the corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_i)$ is generated by global sections. By abuse of terminology, we will say that α_i is *globally generated* in this situation.

Following [2], we define the *critical degree* to be

$$(0.1) \quad \rho = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i - \beta_0$$

where $\beta_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r \deg(x_j)$, and we let

$$I = \langle f_0, \dots, f_n \rangle \subset S$$

be the homogeneous ideal generated by the f_i . Then the *Codimension Question* asks what is the dimension of $(S/I)_\rho$, i.e., what is the codimension of I_ρ in S_ρ ?

The main result of Section 2 computes upper and lower bounds for this codimension, when the associated family of polytopes is *essential*. In particular, it is always non zero. The assumption of having an essential family is natural since it is necessary for the existence of the corresponding sparse resultant (see [10]). We also give further geometric conditions on the polytopes under which the codimension at the critical degree attains the upper bound that we present. When the divisors involved are big and nef, our results imply that the codimension is 1. This has useful consequences in the theory of toric residues [2, 3, 5].

1. THE VANISHING THEOREM

Before stating our main result, we prove the following useful lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$ be a equivariant map between complete toric varieties induced by a map of fans $\widehat{\varphi} : (N, \Sigma) \rightarrow (N', \Sigma')$. If $\widehat{\varphi} : N \rightarrow N'$ is onto, then for any line bundle \mathcal{L} on X' , the natural map*

$$H^i(X', \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^i(X, \varphi^* \mathcal{L})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We will use the spectral sequence

$$H^i(X', R^j \varphi_* \varphi^* \mathcal{L}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \varphi^* \mathcal{L})$$

of $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$. Let τ be a cone in the fan Σ' of X' . This determines an affine toric variety $U_\tau \subset X'$. Then the group of sections of $R^j \varphi_* \varphi^* \mathcal{L}$ over U_τ is the cohomology group

$$H^j(\varphi^{-1}(U_\tau), \varphi^* \mathcal{L}).$$

However, since $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$ is proper, the properness criterion of [9, Thm. 1.15] shows that $\varphi^{-1}(U_\tau)$ is the toric variety determined by those σ 's in the fan Σ of X whose image under $\widehat{\varphi}_\mathbb{R} : N_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow N'_\mathbb{R}$ is contained in τ . Furthermore, since \mathcal{L} is trivial on U_τ , it follows that $\varphi^* \mathcal{L}$ is trivial on $\varphi^{-1}(U_\tau)$. Thus

$$H^j(\varphi^{-1}(U_\tau), \varphi^* \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^j(\varphi^{-1}(U_\tau), \mathcal{O}_{\varphi^{-1}(U_\tau)}),$$

which vanishes for $j > 0$ since $\varphi^{-1}(U_\tau)$ is a toric variety. This implies that

$$R^j \varphi_* \varphi^* \mathcal{L} = 0, \quad j > 0,$$

so that the above spectral sequence gives an isomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad H^i(X', \varphi_* \varphi^* \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^i(X, \varphi^* \mathcal{L}), \quad i \geq 0.$$

It remains to prove that the natural map

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi_* \varphi^* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}$$

is an isomorphism. Since \mathcal{L} is locally trivial, it suffices to show that $\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Using the surjectivity of $N \rightarrow N'$, we see that the extension of function fields $\mathbb{C}(X') \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$ is purely transcendental. Then $\mathbb{C}(X')$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}(X)$, which implies that $\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ by [6, Thm. 2.29].

The lemma now follows immediately from (1.1) and (1.2). \square

Remark 1.2. The hypothesis that $\widehat{\varphi} : N \rightarrow N'$ is onto in Lemma 1.1 is equivalent to assuming that $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$ has connected fibers. \square

Now let D be a torus-invariant Cartier divisor on a complete toric variety X . We define the polytope Δ_D as in the introduction and we use $\text{int}(\Delta_D)$ to denote the relative interior of Δ_D . Here is our vanishing theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let D be a torus-invariant Cartier divisor on a complete toric variety X and let Δ_D be the polytope defined above. If $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is generated by global sections, then:*

- (1) $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = 0$ for $i \neq \dim(\Delta_D)$.
- (2) *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^{\dim(\Delta_D)}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in M \cap \text{int}(\Delta_D)} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^{-m}$$

which is equivariant with respect to the natural $(\mathbb{C}^)^n$ action on each side.*

Proof. After translating by an element of M , we may assume that $0 \in \Delta_D$. Then let $(M_D)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the smallest subspace of $M_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ containing Δ_D . This is a subspace of dimension $\ell = \dim(\Delta_D)$. If we set $M_D = (M_D)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap M$, then Δ_D is a lattice polytope (relative to M_D) of dimension ℓ in $(M_D)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$. By standard constructions, we get an ℓ -dimensional toric variety $X_D = X_{\Delta_D}$ with an ample divisor $\widetilde{D} = D_{\Delta_D}$.

Since the sublattice $M_D \subset M$ is saturated, the dual map $N \rightarrow N_D$ is onto. As shown in [7, Theorem 2.4], this map induces a toric morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow X_D$ such that D is the pull-back of \widetilde{D} . By Lemma 1.1, we get an isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad H^i(X_D, \mathcal{O}_{X_D}(-\widetilde{D})) \simeq H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)).$$

Also note that since \widetilde{D} is ample on X_D , the Bott-Danilov-Steenbrink Vanishing Theorem and Serre Duality imply that

$$H^i(X_D, \mathcal{O}_{X_D}(-\widetilde{D})) \simeq H^{\ell-i}(X_D, \omega_{X_D}(\widetilde{D}))^* \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq \ell \\ \left(\bigoplus_{m \in M \cap \text{int}(\Delta_D)} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^m \right)^* & i = \ell, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega_{X_D} = \mathcal{O}_{X_D}(K_{X_D})$ is the dualizing sheaf of X_D . Combining this with (1.3), the theorem follows immediately. \square

Remark 1.4. We should note that for a toric variety X , the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is generated by its global sections if and only if D is a nef divisor (this observation appears in [7] and [8]). Also, in the terminology of [7], such a divisor D is called ℓ -semiample, where $\ell = \dim(\Delta_D)$. \square

Using Serre Duality, we get the following corollary of Theorem 1.3. As usual, $K = K_X$ denotes the canonical divisor of X .

Corollary 1.5. *Under the same hypotheses as Theorem 1.3, we have:*

- (1) $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + K)) = 0$ for $i \neq n - \dim(\Delta_D)$.
- (2) *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^{n-\dim(\Delta_D)}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + K)) \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in M \cap \text{int}(\Delta_D)} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^m$$

which is equivariant with respect to the natural $(\mathbb{C}^)^n$ action on each side.*

Proof. We know that K is given by the Weil divisor $-\sum_{j=1}^r D_j$. By Serre Duality,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(K)) \simeq H^{n-i}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D))^*.$$

However, given any Weil divisors E and F on X , the natural map

$$\mathcal{O}_X(E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(E + F)$$

is easily seen to be an isomorphism when E or F is Cartier. Since D is Cartier by assumption, the above duality may be written

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + K)) \simeq H^{n-i}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D))^*.$$

By functorality, this is compatible with the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ action on everything. From here, the corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.3. \square

Remark 1.6. When D is big and nef, we have $\dim(\Delta_D) = n$. Then Corollary 1.5 implies that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + K)) = 0, \quad i > 0.$$

This is the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem from [8]. \square

We also explain how Theorem 1.3 relates to the Ehrhart polynomial $E(\nu)$ of the polytope Δ_D . Recall that this polynomial has the remarkable property that for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$E(\nu) = \begin{cases} \#(M \cap \nu \Delta_D) & \nu \geq 0 \\ (-1)^\ell \#(M \cap \text{int}(-\nu \Delta_D)) & \nu < 0, \end{cases}$$

where as usual $\ell = \dim(\Delta_D)$. When $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is generated by global sections, the vanishing theorem discussed in the introduction implies that

$$E(\nu) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(\nu D)), \quad \nu \geq 0.$$

However, since both sides are polynomials in ν , this also implies that

$$(-1)^\ell \#(M \cap \text{int}(\Delta_D)) = E(-1) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)).$$

Notice how Theorem 1.3 is consistent with this result.

2. CODIMENSION IN THE CRITICAL DEGREE

As in the introduction, fix $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in \text{Pic}(X) \subset A_{n-1}(X)$ such that each α_i is globally generated. This implies that each α_i determines a lattice polytope Δ_i which is well-defined up to translation by an element of M .

Given polynomials $f_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$ (so that $\deg(f_i) = \alpha_i$), we obtain the homogeneous ideal $I = \langle f_0, \dots, f_n \rangle \subset S$. We want to study the codimension of I_ρ in S_ρ , where $\rho = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i - \beta_0$ is the critical degree (0.1).

Before stating our main result we need a definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Delta_i, i \in I$, be polytopes in \mathbb{R}^n . Given $J \subset I$, set

$$\Delta_J = \sum_{j \in J} \Delta_j.$$

The family $\{\Delta_i, i \in I\}$ is called **essential** if for every $J \subset I$ with $|J| \leq n$, we have

$$\dim(\Delta_J) \geq |J|.$$

We will also use the standard notation

$$(2.1) \quad l^*(\Delta) = \#(M \cap \text{int}(\Delta))$$

to denote the number of lattice points of a polytope Δ which lie in the relative interior of Δ .

Here is our codimension theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that X is a complete toric variety of dimension n . Let $\alpha_i \in \text{Pic}(X)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, be globally generated and assume that the corresponding family of polytopes $\{\Delta_i, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ is essential. If $f_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, don't vanish simultaneously on X , then:

- (1) The codimension of I_ρ in S_ρ satisfies the inequalities

$$1 + \sum_{\dim(\Delta_\ell)=1} l^*(\Delta_\ell) \leq \dim((S/I)_\rho) \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\dim(\Delta_J)=|J|=k} l^*(\Delta_J),$$

where in the right-most sum we always assume that $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$.

- (2) The codimension of I_ρ in S_ρ is given by

$$\dim((S/I)_\rho) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\dim(\Delta_J)=|J|=k} l^*(\Delta_J)$$

if one of the following is satisfied for every J with $1 \leq |J| \leq n-2$:

- (a) $\dim(\Delta_J) \neq |J| + 1$.
- (b) $\dim(\Delta_J) = |J| + 1$ but Δ_J has no interior lattice points.
- (c) $\dim(\Delta_J) = |J| + 1$ but $\dim(\Delta_{J \cup I}) > |J| + |I|$ for nonempty subsets $I \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $|J| + |I| < n$.

Proof. Since f_i is a global section of $\mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_i)$, we get a Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum_i \alpha_i) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_X(-\alpha_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

which is exact since each $\mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_i)$ is locally free and the f_i have no common zeros.

By hypothesis, each sheaf in the Koszul complex is locally free. Since the sheaf $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ vanishes whenever $i > 0$ and \mathcal{E} or \mathcal{F} is locally free, it follows that the

Koszul sequence remains exact after tensoring with $\mathcal{O}(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_n - \beta_0)$ (which need not be locally free). This gives the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum_i \alpha_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(\rho) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_X(-\alpha_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\rho) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the α_i all come from Cartier divisors, the reasoning used in the proof of Corollary 1.5 implies that we can write this exact sequence as

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-\beta_0) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_i - \beta_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_n - \beta_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using $\rho = \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_n - \beta_0$, this becomes

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{O}_X(-\beta_0)}_{\mathcal{F}^0} \rightarrow \underbrace{\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_i - \beta_0)}_{\mathcal{F}^1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \underbrace{\bigoplus_k \mathcal{O}_X(\rho - \alpha_k)}_{\mathcal{F}^n} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{O}_X(\rho)}_{\mathcal{F}^{n+1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

We will now study the hypercohomology of the complex \mathcal{F}^\bullet .

Since (2.2) is exact, the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ vanishes identically. Hence we get a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \mathcal{F}^p) \Rightarrow 0$$

where the differential $d_1^{p,q} : E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}$ is induced by the map $\mathcal{F}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p+1}$ of the Koszul complex (2.2).

We compute $E_1^{p,q}$ as follows. When $p = 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad \dim(E_1^{0,q}) = \dim(H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-\beta_0))) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < n \\ 1 & q = n, \end{cases}$$

where $q = n$ uses the isomorphism $H^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-\beta_0)) = H^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K)) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ given by the trace map.

When $p > 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^p = \bigoplus_{|J|=p} \mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_J - \beta_0), \quad \alpha_J = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j.$$

Then Corollary 1.5 and (2.1) imply that

$$(2.4) \quad \dim(E_1^{p,q}) = \sum_{|J|=p} \dim(H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_J - \beta_0))) = \sum_{|J|=p, \dim(\Delta_J)=n-q} l^*(\Delta_J).$$

Our assumption that $\{\Delta_i, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ is essential implies that $\dim(\Delta_J) \geq |J|$ when $|J| \leq n$. Combining this with $|J| = p$, $\dim(\Delta_J) = n - q$ from (2.4), we see that $n - q \geq p$ when $p \leq n$ in the last summation of (2.4). Thus

$$(2.5) \quad E_1^{p,q} = 0 \text{ when } p + q > n, \text{ except for } E_1^{n+1,0} = S_\rho.$$


When $n = 4$, (2.3) and (2.5) give the picture shown in FIGURE 1 on the next page of all possible nonzero differentials in the spectral sequence

Now consider $E_r^{n+1,0}$. By (2.2), $E_1^{n,0} \rightarrow E_1^{n+1,0} \rightarrow E_1^{n+2,0}$ is

$$\bigoplus_k S_{\rho - \alpha_k} \longrightarrow S_\rho \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since this comes from the Koszul complex of the f_i , the image of the first map is I_ρ . It follows that

$$E_2^{n+1,0} = (S/I)_\rho.$$

FIGURE 1. Possible nonzero differentials when $n = 4$


All differentials starting from $E_2^{n+1,0}$ obviously vanish, and the only differentials which can map to this position are those on the “diagonal” $p+q = n$ as in FIGURE 1. Furthermore, on the diagonal, the only nonzero differentials $d_r^{n-q,q}$ are $d_{q+1}^{n-q,q} : E_{q+1}^{n-q,q} \rightarrow E_{q+1}^{n+1,0}$. Since the spectral sequence converges to zero, it follows easily that

$$\dim((S/I)_\rho) \leq \sum_{q=1}^n \dim(E_1^{n-q,q}).$$

By (2.3) and (2.4), we get the upper bound of part (1) of the theorem. As for the lower bound, note that the differentials

$$d_{n+1}^{0,n} : E_{n+1}^{0,n} \rightarrow E_{q+1}^{n+1,0} \quad \text{and} \quad d_n^{1,n-1} : E_n^{1,n-1} \rightarrow E_{q+1}^{n+1,0}$$

must be injective since the spectral sequence converges to 0 and nothing can map to these positions. (In FIGURE 1, these correspond to the differentials d_5 and d_4 .) Using (2.3) and (2.4) again, we get the lower bound of part (1).

Turning to part (2) of the theorem, suppose that every differential d_r mapping to $E_r^{p,q}$ is zero for $p+q = n$ and $q > 0$. Since the spectral sequence converges to zero, this implies that

$$\dim((S/I)_\rho) = \sum_{q=1}^n \dim(E_1^{n-q,q}).$$

From this, we easily get the desired formula for the codimension. Hence it suffices to prove that these differentials vanish when condition (a), (b) or (c) is satisfied by any J with $1 \leq |J| \leq n-2$. A differential mapping to the diagonal $p+q = n$ originates from the “sub-diagonal” $p+q = n-1$. Thus we need to prove that all differentials

$$d_r^{p,q} : E_r^{p,q} \longrightarrow E_r^{p+r, q-r+1}$$

vanish when $p+q = n-1$ and $q-r+1 > 0$ (i.e., $r \leq q$).

To analyze this, first note that for arbitrary (p, q) , Corollary 1.5 implies that

$$(2.6) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{|J|=p, \dim(\Delta_J)=n-q} H_J,$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad H_J = H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_J - \beta_0)) = \bigoplus_{m \in M \cap \text{int}(\Delta_J)} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^m.$$

In particular, when $p + q = n - 1$, the description of $E_1^{p,q}$ becomes

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\dim(\Delta_J) = |J| + 1 = n - q} H_J.$$

The subsets $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ in this direct sum satisfy:

$$(2.8) \quad |J| = p = n - q - 1 \leq n - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\Delta_J) = n - q = |J| + 1.$$

Every J in the discussion which follows will satisfy (2.8).

Now consider $d_1^{p,q} : E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}$ for $p + q = n - 1$ and $q \geq 1$. By (2.7) and (2.8), we see that $H_J = 0$ when J satisfies conditions (a) or (b) of part (2) of the theorem. Now suppose J satisfies condition (c). Since d_1 comes from the Koszul complex, (2.6) for $(p + 1, q)$ shows that $d_1^{p,q}$ restricted to H_J is a map

$$d_1^{p,q} : H_J \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \notin J, \dim(\Delta_{J \cup \{i\}}) = n - q} H_{J \cup \{i\}}.$$

By condition (c), we know that $\dim(\Delta_{J \cup \{i\}}) > |J| + 1$ for $i \notin J$. But $|J| = n - q - 1$ by (2.8), so that $\dim(\Delta_{J \cup \{i\}}) > n - q$. Comparing this to the above description of $d_1^{p,q}$ shows that $d_1^{p,q} = 0$ when $p + q = n - 1$ and $q \geq 1$, as claimed.

Next consider $d_2^{p,q} : E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow E_2^{p+2,q-1}$, where $p + q = n - 1$ and $q \geq 2$. To understand this map, let \mathcal{U} be a Leray covering of X , so that the cohomology groups $H^q(X, \mathcal{F}^p)$ can be identified with the Čech cohomology of X with respect to this covering. As usual, we call δ the maps between cochain groups. Also let

$$Z^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^p) \subset C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^p)$$

denote the corresponding Čech group and its subgroup of cocycles. Then we compute d_2 using the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & C^{q-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^{p+1}) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C^{q-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^{p+2}) \\ & & & & \delta \downarrow & & \\ & & Z^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^p) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & Z^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^{p+1}) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \ker(d_1) & \rightarrow & H^q(X, \mathcal{F}^p) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & H^q(X, \mathcal{F}^{p+1}) & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & E_2^{p,q} & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & \downarrow & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & & \end{array}$$

In this diagram, $s \in E_2^{p,q}$ lifts to an element of $E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \mathcal{F}^p)$ represented by $s' \in Z^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^p)$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad d_1(s') \in Z^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^{p+1})$$

represents $0 \in H^q(X, \mathcal{F}^{p+1})$. Thus $d_1(s')$ lifts to $Z^{q-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^{p+1})$, i.e., $d_1(s') = \delta(s'')$ for some $s'' \in Z^{q-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^{p+1})$. It is then easy to see that

$$(2.10) \quad d_1(s'') \in Z^{q-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^{p+2}),$$

so that $d_1(s'')$ represents an element of $E_1^{p+2,q-1} = H^{q-1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{p+2})$. This is killed by d_1 and represents $d_2^{p,q}(s) \in E_2^{p+2,q-1}$. It follows that d_2 is constructed by applying d_1 twice to suitable liftings.

Since $d_1^{p,q}$ vanishes, $E_2^{p,q}$ is a quotient of $E_1^{p,q}$. So we may assume that $s \in E_2^{p,q}$ comes from H_J for some J satisfying (2.8). As before, this vanishes if J satisfies conditions (a) or (b) of part (2). Now assume J satisfies condition (c). Then as above s gives $s' \in Z^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ where we only use the summand of \mathcal{F}^p corresponding to J . Since d_1 comes from the Koszul complex, we see from (2.9) that $d_1(s')$ only involves summands of \mathcal{F}^{p+1} corresponding to $J \cup \{i\}$ for $i \notin J$. Then the same is true for s'' , so that in (2.10), $d_1(s'')$ only involves summands of \mathcal{F}^{p+1} corresponding to $J \cup \{i, j\}$ for $i, j \notin J$ and $i \neq j$.

This shows that $d_2^{p,q}(s) \in E_2^{p+2,q-1}$ is represented by an element of $E_1^{p+2,q-1}$ involving only summands $H_{J \cup \{i,j\}}$ for $i, j \notin J$ and $i \neq j$. Yet to actually appear in $E_1^{p+2,q-1}$, the summand must satisfy $n - (q - 1) = \dim(\Delta_{J \cup \{i,j\}})$ by (2.6). This is impossible since condition (c) implies that

$$\dim(\Delta_{J \cup \{i,j\}}) > |J| + 2 = (n - q - 1) + 2 = n - (q - 1).$$

It follows that $d_2^{p,q} = 0$ when $p + q = n - 1$ and $q \geq 2$.

The argument for general r is similar. Here, $p + q = n - 1$, $q \geq r$, and $d_1^{p,q}, \dots, d_{r-1}^{p,q}$ vanish. Then $E_r^{p,q}$ is a quotient of $E_1^{p,q}$, so we may assume that $s \in E_r^{p,q}$ comes from H_J for J as in (2.8). Since d_1 comes from the Koszul complex and d_r is obtained by applying d_1 r times to suitable liftings, we see that $d_r^{p,q}(s) \in E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$ is represented by an element of $E_1^{p+r,q-r+1}$ involving only summands $H_{J \cup I}$ for $|I| = r$ and $I \cap J = \emptyset$. In order appear in $E_1^{p+r,q-r+1}$, the summand must satisfy $n - (q - r + 1) = \dim(\Delta_{J \cup I})$ by (2.6). This is impossible since condition (c) implies that

$$\dim(\Delta_{J \cup I}) > |J| + |I| = (n - q - 1) + r = n - (q - r + 1).$$

It follows that $d_r^{p,q} = 0$ when $p + q = n - 1$ and $q \geq r$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.2 has the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that X is a complete toric variety of dimension n . Let $\alpha_i \in \text{Pic}(X)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, be globally generated and assume that $f_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ don't vanish simultaneously on X . Then:*

- (1) *If the polytopes Δ_i all have dimension n , then*

$$\dim((S/I)_\rho) = 1.$$

- (2) *If $n = 2$ and $\{\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$ is essential, then*

$$\dim((S/I)_\rho) = 1 + \sum_{\dim(\Delta_i)=1} l^*(\Delta_i).$$

Remark 2.4. In part (1) of Corollary 2.3, the hypothesis that α_i is globally generated and Δ_i has dimension n is equivalent to assuming that α_i the class of a big and nef divisor on X . \square

The first toric codimension one theorem, proved in [4] using ideas from [1], assumed that the α_i were all equal to the class of a single ample divisor. This was extended in [3] to the case when the α_i were positive integer multiples of a single

ample class. In the general case when the α_i are all ample, a codimension one theorem was proved in [2] provided that X was simplicial. All of these results now follow from part (1) of Corollary 2.3.

When $n = 3$, conditions (a), (b) and (c) of part (2) of Theorem 2.2 are equivalent to the assumption that Δ_i has non interior lattice points whenever $\dim(\Delta_i) = 2$. It follows that Theorem 2.2 computes the codimension in the critical degree for many but not all cases of essential supports when X has dimension 3.

There is one case where further general results are possible.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that X is a complete toric variety of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let $\alpha_i \in \text{Pic}(X)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, be globally generated and assume that the corresponding family of polytopes $\{\Delta_i, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ is essential and satisfies*

$$(2.11) \quad \dim(\Delta_i) \in \{1, n-1, n\}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n.$$

Let $f_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ and assume the following two conditions:

- (1) *The f_i don't vanish simultaneously on X .*
- (2) *For every $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ with $\dim(\Delta_J) = |J| = n-1$ and $\dim(\Delta_i) = n-1$ for at least one $i \in J$, the equations on X_{Δ_J} given by $f_j = 0$ for $j \in J$ have only finitely many solutions, all of which lie in the torus of X_{Δ_J} .*

Then the codimension of I_ρ in S_ρ is given by

$$(2.12) \quad \dim((S/I)_\rho) = 1 + \sum_{\dim(\Delta_J)=|J|<n} \left(\sum_{\mathcal{J} \subset J} (-1)^{|J|-|\mathcal{J}|} l_{|\mathcal{J}|}^*(\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} P_\ell) \right),$$

where, for a lattice polytope Δ and integer $k \geq 0$,

$$l_k^*(\Delta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \dim(\Delta) \neq k \\ l^*(\Delta) & \dim(\Delta) = k. \end{cases}$$

The condition (2.11) is still rather restrictive, yet examples with $n = 4$ show that the formula (2.12) can fail when we omit (2.11). Furthermore, when we do assume (2.11), condition (2) on the f_i is probably unnecessary, yet we can't figure out how to prove the theorem without using this hypothesis. For these reasons, we omit the proof of Theorem 2.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Batyrev, *Variations of the mixed Hodge structure of affine hypersurfaces in algebraic tori*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 349–409.
- [2] E. Cattani, D. Cox and A. Dickenstein, *Residues in toric varieties*, Compositio Math. **108** (1997), 35–76.
- [3] E. Cattani and A. Dickenstein, *A global view of residues in the torus*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **117/118** (1996), 119–144.
- [4] D. Cox, *Toric residues*, Ark. Mat. **34** (1996), 73–96.
- [5] C. D'Andrea and A. Khetan, *Macaulay style formulas for toric residues*, preprint, 2003.
- [6] S. Itaka, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982.
- [7] A. Mavlyutov, *On the chiral ring of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, math.AG/0010318.
- [8] M. Mustață, *Vanishing theorems on toric varieties*, Tohoku Math. J. **54** (2002), 451–470.
- [9] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1988.
- [10] B. Sturmfels, *On the Newton polytope of the resultant*, J. Algebraic Combin. **3** (1994), 207–236.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, AMHERST COLLEGE, AMHERST, MA
01002-5000

E-mail address: `dac@cs.amherst.edu`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, F.C.E. Y N., UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD
UNIVERSITARIA-PABELLÓN I, 1428 BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

E-mail address: `alidick@dm.uba.ar`