

Measurable Categories

D. N. Yetter
 Department of Mathematics
 Kansas State University
 Manhattan, KS 66506

Abstract: We develop the theory of categories of measurable fields of Hilbert spaces and bounded fields of bounded operators. We examine classes of functors and natural transformations with good measure theoretic properties, providing in the end a rigorous construction for the bicategory used in [CY03] and [CS03] as the basis for a representation theory of (Lie) 2-groups. Several important technical results are established along the way: First it is shown that all invertible additive functors between categories of measurable fields of Hilbert spaces are induced by invertible measurable transformations between the underlying Borel spaces. Second the distributivity of Hilbert space tensor product over direct integrals over Lusin spaces with respect to σ -finite measures is established. The paper concludes with a general definition of measurable bicategories.

1 Introduction

One of the vexing problems in the algebraic approach to quantum topology and related work on algebraic models for quantum gravity has been a lack of suitable examples of the algebraic structures. Just as classical examples of tensor categories were “too commutative” to have any but the most trivial relation to classical knots and three manifolds, so known examples of algebraic structures of the type expected at a formal level to be related to the structure of 4-manifolds appear to be “too commutative” to detect anything other than homeomorphism type.

The desire to find sufficiently non-commutative examples of such structures (for example, monoidal bicategories with appropriate dualities) has been one motivation for the work in higher dimensional algebra done in during the past decade. Another has been the suspicion that symmetry groups may be inadequate expressions of the symmetries needed to formulate a quantum theory of gravity.

The present work is intended to address difficulties in one line of development in this direction: the representation theory of categorical groups (or, as they are called when considered as a type of bicategory with one object, 2-groups¹). This representation theory is not developed in the present paper beyond stating the definition to give an example of a general notion of measurable bicategory, but is the subject of [CY03] and [CS03].

¹Not to be confused with the use of “2-groups” in reference to 2-torsion in a finite group

The difficulties in representing 2-groups with infinite sets of objects in any of the versions of 2-VECT considered by Kapranov and Voevodsky [KV94] (cf. also [Bae02], [BM02]) also are analogous to the difficulties in representing non-compact groups in the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces. The natural way to overcome them is the same: move to a setting built out of measurable spaces rather than finite sets.

Just as in 2-VECT one has families of vector-spaces indexed over finite sets, so we need a setting where we have families of Hilbert spaces indexed over measure spaces.

The appropriate ideas have already been considered in the context of functional analysis and the (unitary) representation theory of non-compact groups. There the generalization of direct sum decomposition theorems required the introduction of a measure-theoretic analogue: the direct integral.

Although the constructions in Sections 2 through 4 are perfectly general and will work for any measurable space, beginning with section 5, where we will need to invoke results of Maraham [Mar50] (cf. also [GM89]) on disintegrations of measures, we will require the hypothesis that the measurable spaces in question are the Borel space associated to a Lusin space (a continuous injective image of a complete separable metric space). Beginning in Section 4, although the definitions are applicable to general measures, our results will the use of the Radon-Nikodym Theorem and the Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem. Thus we will assume throughout that all measures are totally σ -finite.

2 Categories of Measurable Fields of Hilbert Spaces

The rich structures associated to the direct integral construction do not appear to have ever been examined from a categorical point of view, although all the necessary ingredients are there. Indeed the first two definitions are variations of notions found in Takesaki [Tak02]

Definition 1 *A measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} on a Borel space (X, S) is a pair $(\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}})$, where \mathcal{H}_x is an X -indexed family of Hilbert spaces, and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{M}$ is a linear subspace of $\prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{H}_x$ (the product as vector-spaces) satisfying*

1. $\forall \xi \in \mathcal{M} \ x \mapsto \|\xi(x)\|_x$ is measurable
2. $\forall \eta \in \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{H}_x \ x \mapsto \langle \eta(x) | \xi(x) \rangle_x$ is measurable for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ implies $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$
3. $\exists \{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $\{\xi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_x for all $x \in X$

An almost measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} on a Borel space (X, S) is a pair $(\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}})$ as above, satisfying conditions 1 and 2, but not necessarily condition 3.

One thing which should be noted immediately is that condition 1, together with a polarization argument, shows that condition 2 is really bidirectional: $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}$, $x \mapsto \langle \xi(x) | \zeta(x) \rangle_x$ is measurable.

In what follows, we will assume w.l.o.g. that the dense set $\{\xi_i(x)\}$ includes the 0 section. This assumption simplifies some constructions.

It might seem logical to use “measurable field” to refer to what we have called an almost measurable field. There is, however, reason beyond adherence to established terminology not to do so. Example 4 shows that although the measurability conditions are maintained in the definition of an almost measurable field, the lack of the countability or separability condition allows non-measurable pathologies.

Definition 2 A measurable field of bounded operators ϕ from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} , for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} (almost) measurable fields of Hilbert spaces is an X -indexed family of bounded operators $\phi_x \in B(\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{K}_x)$ such that $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$ implies $\phi(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}}$, where $\phi(\xi)_x = \phi_x(\xi_x)$.

A measurable field of bounded operators is bounded if the real valued function $x \mapsto \|\phi_x\|_x$ is bounded.

A measurable field of bounded operators is essentially bounded with respect to a measure μ on (X, S) if $x \mapsto \|\phi_x\|_x$ is in $L^\infty(X, \mu)$. (Here $\|\cdot\|_x$ denotes the operator norm on $B(\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{K}_x)$.)

Classically where measure spaces are considered, it is more natural to work with essentially bounded fields, as two field of operators which differ on a set of measure zero will induce the same operator between direct integrals. We, however, are working in a setting where different measures will be considered on the same Borel space, and are thus obliged to work with bounded fields, “measure zero” having no fixed meaning when one changes measures.

We can then organize these into a category:

Definition 3 The category of measurable fields of Hilbert spaces on (X, S) has as objects all measurable fields of Hilbert spaces on (X, S) and as arrows all bounded fields of bounded operators on X . Source, target, identity arrow and composition are obvious. We denote this category by $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$.

Similarly, the category of almost measurable fields of Hilbert spaces on (X, S) has as objects all almost measurable fields of Hilbert spaces on (X, S) and as arrows all bounded fields of bounded operator between them. We denote this category by $\mathbf{AlMeas}(X, S)$.

It will be important in what follows to organize these families of categories into 2-categories by introducing suitable functors and natural transformations between them.

Before we do this, however, we consider an example which explains why the name “measurable field” is a properly applied to the classical notion rather than to the more general notion, and derive some elementary properties of the categories themselves.

Example 4 Consider the almost measurable field of Hilbert spaces on (\mathbb{R}, S) , the real line with the Borel structure of all Borel measurable sets, defined as follows: Let X be a non-measurable subset of \mathbb{R} , and let $\{A_\lambda\}$ be a (necessarily

uncountable) set of measurable sets such that $\cup_\lambda A_\lambda = X$. Now, consider the field of Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_x = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } x \in X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with \mathcal{M} given by $\{\zeta|x \mapsto \langle \zeta|\xi_\lambda \rangle \text{ is measurable for all } \lambda\}$, where ξ_λ is the section which is 1 on each non-zero fiber of the field.

Note the pathology present in the example just given: although the sections in \mathcal{M} all have measurable fiberwise norms, and give measurable functions when their fiberwise scalar products are taken, the support of the field is non-measurable. This is so even though the fibers are all separable.

Proposition 5 *For any Borel space (X, S) , the categories $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ and $\mathbf{AlMeas}(X, S)$ are \mathbb{C} -linear additive categories.*

proof: It is easy to see that the hom-set, equipped with fiberwise addition, and multiplication by scalars are modules over the algebra of bounded functions on X , and thus, *a fortiori* vectorspaces over \mathbb{C} .

The field with constant fiber 0 is plainly a zero object. It thus remains to show that the category admits biproducts.

As observed in the Appendix, \mathbf{Hilb} is an additive category. It follows that \mathbf{Hilb}^X is as well. Now observe that there are forgetful functors from $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ and $\mathbf{AlMeas}(X, S)$ to \mathbf{Hilb}^X . We claim that this functor creates biproducts, in the sense that the biproduct $\{\mathcal{H}_x \oplus \mathcal{K}_x\}$ of the underlying X -indexed families of Hilbert spaces $\{\mathcal{H}_x\}$ and $\{\mathcal{K}_x\}$ has the structure of a(n almost) measurable field of Hilbert spaces, and that the projections and inclusions are measurable fields of operators.

The fibers of the biproduct are the direct sum $\mathcal{H}_x \oplus \mathcal{K}_x$ of the underlying vectorspaces, with the sum of the scalar products on the summands as scalar product (see the Appendix). We can then form $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}}$ by taking the closure of the set $G = \{(\eta, 0) | \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}\} \cup \{(0, \kappa) | \kappa \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}}\}$ under condition 2. of the definition of (almost) measurable fields (cf. [Tak02]).

Now, observe that if $\{\eta_i\}$ and $\{\kappa_i\}$ are fundamental sequences for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively, then the sequence $\{(\eta_i, \kappa_j)\}$ satisfies the required condition that $\{(\eta_i(x), \kappa_j(x))\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_x \oplus \mathcal{K}_x$, and moreover is in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}}$. since the scalar products of elements with elements of G are plainly measurable

Now it is easy to see that the inclusions preserve measurable sections: for example, for the first inclusion $\langle (\zeta, 0) | (\eta, 0) \rangle = \langle \zeta | \eta \rangle$, while $\langle (\zeta, 0) | (0, \kappa) \rangle = 0$ and thus $(\zeta, 0)$ is measurable whenever ζ is.

For the projections, consider a section (ζ, λ) . By construction it is measurable whenever the fiberwise scalar products with each of the $(\eta, 0)$'s and each of the $(0, \kappa)$'s are measurable functions. But taking scalar products with these reduces to taking scalar products of one of the summands alone, thus implying that each of the summands ζ and λ are measurable. •

To further develop the theory, we need some notions native to categories of the form $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ and $\mathbf{AlMeas}(X, S)$.

Definition 6 The support of (an almost) measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} on a Borel space (X, S) is the set $\text{supp}(\mathcal{H}) = \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{H}_x \not\cong 0\}$.

The support of a measurable section $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the set $\text{supp}(\xi) = \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \neq 0\}$. Note by taking norms that it is necessarily a measurable set.

The support of a field of bounded operators B is the set $\text{supp}(B) = \{x \in X \mid B(x) \neq 0\}$.

Definition 7 If \mathcal{H} (resp. ξ) is a(n almost) measurable field of Hilbert spaces (resp. a measurable section, a measurable field of operators) on (X, S) , and $A \in S$, then the restriction of \mathcal{H} (resp. ξ) to A , denoted $\mathcal{H}|_A$ (resp. $\xi|_A$), is given by

$$\mathcal{H}|_{Ax} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_x & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}|_A} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \prod_x \mathcal{H}_{Ax}$, where we identify \mathcal{H}_{Ax} with a subspace of \mathcal{H}_x , either the entire Hilbert space or 0 (resp.

$$\xi|_A(x) = \begin{cases} \xi(x) & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} ,$$

$$B_A(x) = \begin{cases} B(x) & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

In the measurable case, we need to see that if $\{\xi_i\}$ is a fundamental sequence for \mathcal{H} , then $\{\xi_i|_A\}$ is a fundamental sequence for $\mathcal{H}|_A$. This will follow directly from

Lemma 8 For any measurable set A and any (almost) measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} , $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$ implies $\xi|_A \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

proof: We show that $f(x) = \langle \xi|_A \mid \zeta \rangle$ is measurable for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $g(x) = \langle \xi \mid \zeta \rangle$. Recall that a real-valued function ϕ is measurable if for any measurable set $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ the set $N(\phi) \cap \phi^{-1}(M)$ is measurable, where $N(\phi) = \{x \mid \phi(x) \neq 0\}$. Observing that f and g agree on A , but that f is constant 0 on $\neg A$, we have that $N(f) = N(g) \cup A$, while $f^{-1}(M) = g^{-1}(M) \cap A$ if $0 \notin M$ and $f^{-1}(M) = g^{-1}(M) \cup \neg A$ if $0 \in M$.

In either event the intersection $N(f) \cap f^{-1}(M)$ is $N(g) \cap g^{-1}(M) \cap A$, which is measurable since both A and $N(g) \cap g^{-1}(M)$ are. •

Restrictions in general provide most objects in a category of the form $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ with direct sum decompositions:

Theorem 9 If \mathcal{H} is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces on (X, S) and $A \in S$, then

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}|_A \oplus \mathcal{H}|_{\neg A}$$

proof: This follows almost immediately from three observations about $|_A$ on sections: first $|_A$ is idempotent, second $|_A|_{-A} = 0$, and third for any section ξ , we have $\xi = \xi|_A + \xi|_{-A}$. •

Definition 10 A measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} on (X, S) is a partial measurable line bundle if for all $x \in X$ either $\mathcal{H}_x \cong \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathcal{H}_x \cong 0$.

We have already seen a pathology which can occur when the corresponding notion is considered in the almost measurable setting. We now establish that it does not occur for partial measurable line bundles. Indeed we have

Theorem 11 $\text{supp}(-)$ induces a canonical bijection between the isomorphism classes of partial measurable line bundles on (X, S) and the collection S of measurable sets.

proof: We proceed in two stages: first we show that $\text{supp}(-)$ takes values in the measurable sets, and then we construct an inverse.

Consider a partial measurable line bundle $\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{M}, \{\xi_i\}$. Now it is clear that the union of the supports of any family of measurable sections of \mathcal{H} is contained in the support of \mathcal{H} , as fibers outside the support are 0. It thus suffices to see that there is a set of sections whose support contains that of \mathcal{H} and is measurable.

Consider the fundamental sequence $\{\xi_i\}$. By the density condition, given any non-zero element v of a fiber of \mathcal{H} , say at x , there is a ξ_i such that $\|v - \xi_i(x)\| < \frac{1}{2}\|v\|$, and thus such that $\xi_i(x) \neq 0$. From this it follows immediately that $\bigcup_i \text{supp}(\xi_i) = \text{supp}(\mathcal{H})$.

But, the $\text{supp}(\xi_i)$'s are a countable set of measurable sets in a Borel space, and thus their union is measurable.

To construct an inverse to $\text{supp}(-)$, we proceed as follows: Given a measurable set $A \subset X$, we may form a partial measurable line bundle with support A by taking the restriction of the constant measurable field \mathbb{C} .

It thus suffices to show that any partial measurable line \mathcal{H} bundle with support A is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}|_A$.

To construct the isomorphism, we first construct a measurable section of \mathcal{H} whose support coincides with that of \mathcal{H} :

$$\xi_{total} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i|_{-\bigcup_{j<i} \text{supp}(\xi_j)}$$

As usual, to see that this sum lies in \mathcal{M} , we consider the fiberwise scalar product with a test section $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}$. As the supports are disjoint, it is easy to see that

$$\langle \xi_{total} | \zeta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle \xi_i|_{-\bigcup_{j<i} \text{supp}(\xi_j)} | \zeta \rangle$$

By Lemma 8 each of the summands is measurable, however, the it is quite easy to construct examples in which the convergence of the sequence of partial sums is not uniform a.e.

To see that the limit is, in fact, measurable, observe that the disjointness of the supports of the summands implies that for any Borel set M , the sets $N(f_i) \cap f_i^{-1}(M)$ are disjoint, where f_i is the i^{th} summand in the series of scalar products, and moreover that $N(f) \cap f^{-1}(M)$, where $f(x) = \langle \xi_{total}(x) | \zeta(x) \rangle_x$ is their (disjoint) union. Note that the $N(f_i) \cap f_i^{-1}(M)$ are measurable by Lemma 8, and thus, their union is.

Having established that ξ_{total} is a measurable section of \mathcal{H} with support equal to that of \mathcal{H} , observe that if \mathcal{H}_x is non-zero, then the singleton $\{\xi_{total}(x)\}$ is a basis, and we have an isomorphism from \mathcal{H} to $\mathbb{C}|_A$ given by the field of operators ϕ which maps $\xi_{total}(x)$ to $1|_A$. It is immediate that the inverse of this field of operators is measurable, since the fundamental sequence for $\mathbb{C}|_A$, the constant sections corresponding to algebraic numbers, is mapped to multiples of ξ_{total} .

Now, to see that the field of operators ϕ itself is measurable, note that since the fundamental sequence of $\mathbb{C}|_A$ consists of constant multiples of $1|_A$, it suffices to show that for any measurable section ζ of \mathcal{H} , the image (as a scalar valued function on A) is measurable. At each fiber over A , $\zeta(x)$ is simply a scalar multiple of $\xi_{total}(x)$, since the fibers over A are 1-dimensional. It then follows that

$$\phi(\zeta)(x) = \frac{\langle \zeta(x) | \xi_{total}(x) \rangle}{\langle \xi_{total}(x) | \xi_{total}(x) \rangle}$$

for each $x \in A$. But as ratios of measurable functions are measurable, and both numerator and denominator are measurable by conditions 1 and 2 in Definition 1, we are done. •

Applied to any measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} the construction just given shows that there is a measurable section ξ_{total} with the same support as \mathcal{H} .

This observation, together with Proposition 15 will show

Theorem 12 *For every measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} , there exists a partial measurable line bundle \mathcal{L} which is a direct summand of \mathcal{H} and has the same support as \mathcal{H} .*

First observe

Lemma 13 *If ξ is a measurable vector field for some measurable field \mathcal{H} on (X, S) and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function, then $\phi\xi$, the section given at x by $\phi(x)\xi(x) \in \mathcal{H}_x$ is measurable.*

proof: Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then

$$\langle \phi(x)\xi(x) | \zeta \rangle_x = \phi(x) \langle \xi(x) | \zeta \rangle_x$$

by linearity in each fiber. But as products of measurable functions are measurable, the latter defines a measurable function on X , and by condition 2, $\phi\xi$ is a measurable vector field. •

From this, together with the observation that sums, products and reciprocals of measurable real-valued functions are measurable, we see

Proposition 14 *If the Gram-Schmidt process is applied (fiberwise) to a set of measurable vector fields, the result is a set of measurable vector fields.*

Proposition 15 *If $\{\xi^{(i)}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ is a finite set of measurable vector fields in \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{G}_x = \text{span}\{\xi^{(i)}\}$ then $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}|_x, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \prod_x \mathcal{G}|_x)$ is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces, and moreover a direct summand of \mathcal{H} with complementary summand given by $\mathcal{G}^\perp = (\mathcal{G}^\perp|_x, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \prod_x \mathcal{G}^\perp|_x)$.*

proof: Now, by Proposition 14 it follows that the fiberwise orthogonal projections onto \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}^\perp preserve measurable sections. Now, observe in either case that the image of the fundamental sequence of \mathcal{H} under the orthogonal projections may be taken as the fundamental sequence for the subfield. Observe also that in either case, since the projection is idempotent, it follows that the image of the measurable sections is precisely $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \prod_x \mathcal{G}_x$ or $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \prod_x \mathcal{G}_x^\perp$ respectively.

We have thus established that conditions 1 and 3 hold in either case, and that once condition 2 is shown, that the orthogonal projections and inclusions are measurable fields of operators. The required equational condition for the direct sum decomposition follows from fiberwise condition in **Hilb**.

To establish condition 2, observe that any measurable vector field ζ decomposes as a sum of its projections onto measurable vector fields $\zeta_{\parallel} \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\zeta_{\perp} \in \mathcal{G}^\perp$. By orthogonality, it follows that for a section ξ of \mathcal{G} (resp. \mathcal{G}^\perp) the scalar product $\langle \xi | \zeta \rangle$ is equal to $\langle \xi | \zeta_{\parallel} \rangle$ (resp. $\langle \xi | \zeta_{\perp} \rangle$). From this and condition 2 for \mathcal{H} , condition 2 for the subfields follows immediately. •

3 Invertible Additive Functors

Since the primary motivation for this work is the construction of a suitable setting for the representation theory of 2-groups, we begin considering a family of functors sufficient for the construction of the representations themselves. In Section 5 we will construct the larger family of functors which will be needed for the intertwiners in the theory developed in [CY03].

Definition 16 *An invertible additive functor is a functor F between two additive categories, which admits an inverse functor (up to natural isomorphism) G such that both F and G preserve the addition of parallel maps, the zero object (up to canonical isomorphism) and the biproducts (up to canonical isomorphism).*

Our goal in this section is to characterize these in the case where the categories are categories of measurable fields of Hilbert spaces in terms of the Borel

space structures. In particular, any invertible additive functor between categories of the form $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ is induced by an invertible measurable transformation between the underlying Borel spaces.

The primary tool in showing this are partial measurable line bundles. We begin by showing

Theorem 17 *If $F : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$ is an invertible additive functor with inverse $\Phi : \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$, and \mathcal{H} is a partial measurable line bundle on X , the $F(\mathcal{H})$ is a partial measurable line bundle on Y .*

proof: Suppose not. Now by Theorem 12, there exists a partial measurable line bundle $\mathcal{L} \subset F(\mathcal{H})$ with $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{supp}(F(\mathcal{H}))$. Since $F(\mathcal{H})$ is not itself a partial measurable line bundle, \mathcal{L}^\perp has non-empty (measurable) support $A \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{H})$, and we there exists a partial measurable line bundle $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{L}^\perp$ with support A . Now by Theorems 15 and 9 we have a decomposition of $F(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$F(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{L}|_A \oplus \mathcal{L}|_{-A} \oplus \mathcal{K}|_A \oplus \mathcal{K}|_{-A} \oplus (\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{K})^\perp$$

(Note the last summand is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{K} in \mathcal{L}^\perp .) But $\mathcal{L}|_A \cong \mathcal{K}|_A$, as they are both partial measurable line bundles with support A . Now applying Φ to this decomposition gives

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \Phi(F(\mathcal{H})) \cong \Phi(\mathcal{L}|_A) \oplus \Phi(\mathcal{L}|_{-A}) \oplus \Phi(\mathcal{K}|_A) \oplus \Phi(\mathcal{K}|_{-A}) \oplus \Phi((\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{K})^\perp),$$

but since $\Phi(\mathcal{L}|_A) \cong \Phi(\mathcal{K}|_A)$ contains a partial measurable line bundle with the same support, the fibers of \mathcal{H} at points of A have dimension greater than one, but this implies that $\text{supp}(\Phi(\mathcal{L}|_A)) = \emptyset$, i.e. $\Phi(\mathcal{L}|_A) = 0$. But then, we have $\mathcal{L}|_A \cong F(\Phi(\mathcal{L}|_A)) \cong F(0) \cong 0$, the last by the additivity of F . But this is a contradiction, since $A \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{H})$ was non-empty. •

We also have

Proposition 18 *If $F : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$ is an invertible additive functor with inverse $\Phi : \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$, and \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are partial measurable line bundles on X , then*

1. $\text{supp}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{K})$ implies $\text{supp}(F(\mathcal{H})) \subset \text{supp}(F(\mathcal{K}))$
2. $\text{supp}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$ implies $\text{supp}(F(\mathcal{H})) \cap \text{supp}(F(\mathcal{K})) = \emptyset$

proof: For the first statement, use Theorem 9 to decompose \mathcal{K} into a direct summand isomorphic to \mathcal{H} and a direct summand supported on $\neg \text{supp}(\mathcal{H})$. Apply F , and observe that the support of a direct summand is necessarily contained in the support of the direct sum. For the second statement, suppose not, decompose the direct sum of the images using Theorem 9 along the non-empty measurable set $A = \text{supp}(F(\mathcal{H})) \cap \text{supp}(F(\mathcal{K}))$. Taking images under Φ yields the same sort of contradiction as in the proof of Theorem 17. •

In the case of invertible additive functors, this result essentially determines their structure:

Corollary 19 *If $F : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$ is an invertible additive functor, then F induces an isomorphism of σ -algebras by $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}) \mapsto \text{supp}(F(\mathcal{L}))$, which is induced by pullback along an invertible measurable function $\widehat{F} : Y \rightarrow X$*

Of course, it is easy to establish the converse:

Proposition 20 *If $f : (Y, T) \rightarrow (X, S)$ is a measurable function with measurable inverse, then it induces an additive equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ and $\mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$ by pullback along f and its inverse.*

The two previous results taken together suggest, and almost suffice to show

Theorem 21 *Any additive equivalence between categories of the form $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ is naturally isomorphic to one induced by an inverse pair of measurable functions.*

proof: It is easy to see that the result holds if we restrict our attention to the full subcategories of partial measurable line bundles. The result as stated then follows from the fact that equivalences of categories preserve colimits and

Proposition 22 *Every object in $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ is canonically the colimit of the diagram of all its subfields which are also partial measurable line bundles together with their inclusion maps. The inclusions of the subfields form the colimiting cone.*

proof: Fix an object \mathcal{H} . Now, since the inclusions form a cocone, it is immediate by definition that the colimit has a canonical map to \mathcal{H} . To see that this map has an inverse, we show that \mathcal{H} is a colimit of a particular subdiagram, indeed a coproduct:

We proceed by transfinite induction, noting, however, that condition 3 implies that the induction will end at some countable ordinal. Let $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}$. Now for each i let \mathcal{L}_i be a partial measurable line bundle, which is a subobject of \mathcal{H}_i and has the same support, and let $\mathcal{H}_{i+1} = \mathcal{L}_i^\perp$ where the orthogonal complement is taken in \mathcal{H}_i . For limit ordinals, α , let $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \bigcup_{i < \alpha} \mathcal{H}_i$.

Now, for some countable ordinal β we have $\mathcal{H}_\beta = 0$. It is clear that

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{i \leq \beta, i \text{ not a limit ordinal}} \mathcal{L}_i.$$

•

This last result also allows us to characterize the natural transformations between invertible additive functors.

First, to describe natural endomorphisms of such functors, observe that by 1-composition with the inverse, it suffices to describe the natural endomorphisms of the identity functor:

Theorem 23 *Any natural endomorphism of $\text{Id} : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ is given by multiplication by a bounded measurable function $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.*

proof: By the previous theorem, any natural transformation is determined by its components at partial measurable line bundles. But by Theorem 9, these are determined by the component at the total measurable line bundle \mathbb{C} . Any map from \mathbb{C} to itself is given by multiplication by a measurable function ϕ , and it is easy to see that multiplication by any such function induces a natural endomorphism of Id . •

More generally the fact that the components on partial measurable line bundles determine natural transformations allows us to show

Theorem 24 *The natural transformations from $F : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$ to $G : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$, where both are invertible additive functors are given by bounded measurable functions $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where E is the equalizer of \widehat{F}^{-1} and \widehat{G}^{-1} .*

proof: Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}|_A$ be a partial measurable line bundle with support A . Then $F(\mathcal{H})$ (resp. $G(\mathcal{H})$) is a partial measurable line bundle on Y with support $\widehat{F}^{-1}(A)$ (resp. $\widehat{G}^{-1}(A)$). Thus maps between them are given by multiplication by measurable functions on $\widehat{F}^{-1}(A) \cap \widehat{G}^{-1}(A)$ (and zero on other fibers).

Thus, in particular a natural transformation must induce (and be induced by) a family of measurable functions $\phi_A : \widehat{F}^{-1}(A) \cap \widehat{G}^{-1}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. However, not every such family induces a natural transformation, as naturality squares impose consistency conditions. In particular, if we have a measurable set $B \subset A$, the direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{C}|_A \cong \mathbb{C}|_B \oplus \mathbb{C}|_{A \setminus B}$ provides naturality squares for the inclusions and projections. From these it follows that ϕ_A can be non-zero only on $(\widehat{F}^{-1}(B) \cap \widehat{G}^{-1}(B)) \cup (\widehat{F}^{-1}(A \setminus B) \cap \widehat{G}^{-1}(A \setminus B))$.

Letting $B \subset A$ range over all containments of measurable subsets, we find, that ϕ_A can only be non-zero on the points of A which are actually in the equalizer of \widehat{F}^{-1} and \widehat{G}^{-1} .

It is easy to see that multiplication by any such function induces a natural transformation. •

4 Direct Integrals

Classically the point of defining measurable fields of Hilbert spaces was to define a measure theoretic analogue of direct sums for the purpose of decomposing vonNeumann algebras and representations of non-compact groups.

In this section we investigate the functorial properties of this construction, and extend it to families of objects in $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$.

Recall

Definition 25 *Given a(n almost) measurable field \mathcal{H} of Hilbert spaces on a Borel space (X, S) and a measure μ on (X, S) , the direct integral*

$$\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)$$

is the Hilbert space of all measurable sections $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\|\xi\| = \left\{ \int_x \|\xi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty$$

modulo the identification of measurable sections which are equal μ -a.e. and equipped with the scalar product

$$\langle \xi | \zeta \rangle = \int \langle \xi(x) | \zeta(x) \rangle d\mu(x)$$

Similarly given a(n essentially) bounded field of operators $\alpha : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, the direct integral $\int_X^{\oplus} \alpha(x) d\mu(x)$ is the map which takes an element ξ of $\int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)$ to the element of $\int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{K}_x d\mu(x)$ given at each point x by $\alpha(x)(\xi(x))$.

Now, it is easy to see that composition of bounded fields of operators is carried to composition of operators, and that the identity field is carried to the identity operator. Thus, $\int_X^{\oplus} - d\mu(x)$ is a functor from $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ to \mathbf{Hilb} for any measure μ on (X, S) .

It is also easy to see that two fields of operators which agree μ -a.e. are mapped to the same operator between the definite integrals.

Several categorical properties of this construction will be important

Theorem 26 $\int_X^{\oplus} - d\mu(x)$ is a functor from $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ to $\mathbf{Hilb} \cong \mathbf{Meas}(\{*\}, \{\{*\}, \emptyset\})$. It is, moreover, \mathbb{C} -linear and additive.

proof: Following Takesaki [Tak02], we recall that $\int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)$ is the Hilbert space of a.e.-equality classes of elements of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$ which satisfy

$$\|\xi\| = \left\{ \int_X \|\xi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty$$

We call such a section "an L^2 -section, and denote its a.e.-equality class by $\int_X^{\oplus} \xi(x) d\mu(x)$.

Likewise for a bounded field of operators $\alpha(x)$, we denote the map taking $\int_X^{\oplus} \xi(x) d\mu(x)$ to the a.e.-equality class of the section $x \mapsto \alpha(x)(\xi(x))$ by $\int_X^{\oplus} \alpha(x) d\mu(x)$. It is immediate by construction that this construction preserves identity maps and carries the composition of bounded fields of operators to the composition of operators.

For \mathbb{C} -linearity, first note that once it is observed that the sum of two bounded fields of operators is a bounded field of operators, it is immediate that it acts on L^2 -sections to give the sum of the action of its summands. Likewise, multiplication of all fibers by a complex scalar is a bounded field of operators, and induces the scalar multiplication on the a.e.-equality classes of L^2 sections.

For additivity, note that the constant 0 field of Hilbert spaces is mapped to the Hilbert space 0. If we consider a biproduct in the category $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$, the

equational conditions required for the direct integral to be a biproduct follow from functoriality and linearity. •

One result which will be quite useful, as it will allow us to reduce most proofs to the case of probability measures is the following:

Theorem 27 *If $\mu \ll \nu$ then there is a natural transformation from $\int_X^\oplus - d\nu(x)$ to $\int_X^\oplus - d\mu(x)$ induced by multiplication by $\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}}(x)$, the square root of the Radon-Nikodym derivative.*

If $\mu \equiv \nu$, then this natural transformation is a natural isomorphism with inverse induced by multiplication by $\sqrt{\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}}(x)$.

proof: Recall that the Radon-Nikodym derivative is always a measurable function, and that square-root of non-negative functions preserves measurability. Thus multiplication by $\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}}(x)$ takes measurable vector fields for \mathcal{H} to measurable vector fields by Lemma 13.

Thus the map on measurable fields of Hilbert spaces takes a measurable vector field $\xi(x)$ to $\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}}(x)\xi(x)$.

But

$$\int \left\| \sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}}(x)\xi(x) \right\|_x^2 d\nu(x) = \int \|\xi(x)\|^2 \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}(x) d\nu(x) = \int \|\xi(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x)$$

and thus the map induces a map on direct integrals as desired.

Naturality follows from the fact that the map is induced by a central endomorphism of the measurable field of Hilbert spaces.

The second statement follows from the chain rule for Radon-Nikodym derivatives. •

Since any σ -finite measure is equivalent to a probability measure, we have

Corollary 28 *Any direct integral functor $\int_X^\oplus (-) d\mu(x)$ is naturally equivalent to a direct integral functor $\int_X^\oplus (-) d\nu(x)$ for a probability measure ν on X .*

We can generalize the direct integral construction to give rise to an important family of functors between categories of the form $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$. In fact, these functors will allow us to decompose many objects in categories of measurable fields as direct integrals of simpler objects.

Definition 29 *For a measurable function $\Phi : (X, S) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ between Borel spaces, a Φ -fibered measure on X is uniformly σ -finite conditional measure distribution μ_y , that is a Y -indexed family of measures on X such that*

1. $\mu_y(X \setminus \Phi^{-1}(y)) = 0$
2. For all $A \in X$ the function $y \mapsto \mu_y(A)$ is measurable

3. There exist a sequence of measurable sets A_n such that for all $y \in Y$ and all n $\mu_y(A_n) < \infty$

We then have

Proposition 30 *If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}) is measurable and μ_y is a Φ -fibered measure on X for a measurable function $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$, then the function $y \mapsto \int f d\mu_y(x)$ is a measurable function on Y*

proof: It suffices to consider the case of f real-valued and non-negative. Now, let f_n be a sequence of simple functions approximating f from below.

The functions $y \mapsto \int f_n d\mu_y(x)$ are all measurable, being real linear combinations of functions of the form $y \mapsto \mu_y(A)$ (for the A 's on which f_n is constant). But $[y \mapsto \int f d\mu_y(x)] = \limsup [y \mapsto \int f_n d\mu_y(x)]$, and thus is measurable, as the lim sup of a sequence of measurable functions is again measurable. •

This last result is useful to us because in generalizing direct integrals to give functors between categories of the form $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$, as it will let us show that our constructions preserve measurable sections.

We also have a fibered analog of our earlier reduction to probability measures:

Theorem 31 *If μ_y is a Φ -fibered measure for a measurable $\Phi : (X, S) \rightarrow (Y, T)$, there exists a Φ -fibered measure ν_y such that for all y $\mu_y \equiv \nu_y$ and each ν_y is a probability measure on X .*

proof: The only catch in simply applying the standard construction which shows that any σ -finite measure is equivalent to a probability measure in each fiber separately is the need to ensure that all the maps $y \mapsto \nu_y(A)$ for $A \in S$ will be measurable.

Let A_n be a sequence of disjoint measurable sets in X such that $X = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and all the $\mu_y(A_n)$'s are finite. Define $h : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(x, y) = \{2^n \mu_y(A_n)\}^{-1} \quad x \in A_n.$$

We can then let $\nu_y(A) = \int_A h(x, y) d\mu_y(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{2^n \mu_y(A_n)\}^{-1} \mu_y(A_n \cap A)$.

But this is the lim sup of its partial sums, addition, multiplication, multiplication by constants and reciprocation preserve measurability, and the functions $y \mapsto \mu_y(A_n)$ and $y \mapsto \mu_y(A_n \cap A)$ are both measurable, and thus we are done.

•

We can then make

Definition 32 *Let $\Phi : (X, S) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ be a measurable function between Borel spaces. Let $\mu_y(x)$ be a Φ -fibered measure on X .*

For an almost measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} on (X, S) , let

$$\int_{\Phi}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_x d\mu_y(x)$$

denote the almost measurable field of Hilbert spaces with fiber at y given by $\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu_y(x)$, and measurable sections given by the closure under condition 2 of the image of the set of measurable sections of \mathcal{H} .

Observe that this definition makes sense, since the fiberwise scalar product on $\int_\Phi^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu_y(x)$ is given by

$$\left\langle \int^\oplus \xi(x) d\mu_y(x) \middle| \int^\oplus \zeta(x) d\mu_y(x) \right\rangle_y = \int \langle \xi(x) | \zeta(x) \rangle d\mu_y(x)$$

which is the fiberwise integral of a measurable function whenever ξ and ζ are measurable sections of a(n almost) measurable field of Hilbert spaces, and thus is measurable by the definition of a Φ -fibered measure.

At this point, we should point out why the preservation of measurability under fiberwise integration is a non-vacuous condition, and provide some useful examples of fibered measures.

We begin with an example of a family of measures on the inverse images under a measurable map which is not a fibered measure:

Example 33 Consider the second projection map $p_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Now, let $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative non-measurable function. Consider then the family of measures μ_y given by Borel measure in the first coördinate x on the subsets of $[0, \beta(y)] \times \{y\} \subset p_2^{-1}(y) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with sets lying in $p_2^{-1} \setminus [0, \beta(y)] \times \{y\}$ having measure zero.

The fiberwise integral of the (obviously measurable) constant function 1 on \mathbb{R}^2 , which could arise, for instance, as the scalar product of the constant section 1 in the constant field of Hilbert spaces \mathbb{C} on \mathbb{R}^2 with itself, is then the non-measurable function β .

However, it is easy to give an examples of fibered measures:

The following is essentially Fubini's Theorem for measurability:

Example 34 Let (X, S) and (Y, S) be any Borel spaces, and let μ be a measure on X , the family of measures given by $\mu_y(A) = \mu(p_1(A \cap (X \times y)))$ is a p_2 -fibered measure. Condition 1 is true by construction, condition 2 is immediate since the functions $y \mapsto \mu_y(A)$ are all constant functions, while for condition 3, if $A_n \subset X$ are a sequence of sets which witnesses to the σ -finiteness of μ , then $A_n \times X$ provide the necessary sequence for the uniform σ -finiteness of μ_y .

Another example, which is the simplest example of a useful family we will introduce later is:

Example 35 Let (X, S) be any Borel space. Given $p_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$, the family of measures μ_y on $X \times X$ given by

$$\mu_y(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (y, y) \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Again condition 1 is immediate, while any countable sequence of measurable sets exhausting $X \times X$ will suffice for condition 3. For condition 2, observe that the projection functions are measurable, the diagonal Δ is measurable and the function $y \mapsto \mu_y(A)$ in this case is simply the characteristic function of $\Delta \cap A$.

It is easy to see that this family is a fibered measure: integration with respect to it is simply restriction to the diagonal, which is a measurable subset of (X, S) .

Similarly, given any section s of the projection with a measurable image, the family of measures concentrated on $(s(y), y)$ giving each of these points measure 1 in its fiber is a fibered measure.

Φ -fibered measures are closed under multiplication by measurable functions on the target, under addition, and suitable adaptations of limiting processes which preserve measurable functions.

5 Measurable Functors

Having seen that \mathbb{C} -linear invertible additive functors with are induced by invertible measurable functions between the underlying Borel space—and are thus *a fortiori* equivalences of categories—we now turn to the question of what class of functors including these are most appropriate to consider when forming a 2-category of categories of (almost) measurable fields of Hilbert spaces.

One obvious approach is to consider those \mathbb{C} -linear functors which respect the norm structure in the sense that the map induced on hom-sets is fiberwise continuous with respect to the operator norm.

We will prefer a structural rather than an axiomatic approach—though we conjecture that the class of functors just described is in fact the same as that we are about to define.

Now, given an almost measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{K} on $(X \times Y, S \star T)$, and a p_2 -fibered measure μ_y on $X \times Y$, we may construct a \mathbb{C} -linear additive functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{K}, \mu_y}$:

Let

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{K}, \mu_y}(\mathcal{H})_y = \int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\langle x, y \rangle} d\mu_y(x)$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi(\mathcal{H})}$ given as the closure under condition 2 of the set

$$\left\{ \int_X^{\oplus} \eta(x) \otimes \kappa(x, y) d\mu_y(x) \mid \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}; \kappa \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}} \right\}.$$

Definition 36 A functor from $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ to $\mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$ is measurable if it \mathbb{C} -linear equivalent to one of the form $\Phi_{\mathcal{K}, \mu_y}$.

We can then show the functors we considered earlier all belong to this new class:

Theorem 37 Any invertible additive functor between categories of the form $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ is a measurable functor.

proof: Let $F : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$ be an invertible additive functor. By Corollary 19 it is induced by pullback along an invertible measurable function $\widehat{F} : (Y, T) \rightarrow (X, S)$. If we then consider the constant field of Hilbert spaces \mathbb{C} on $X \times Y$ and the p_2 -fibered measure

$$\mu_y(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\widehat{F}(y), y) \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

it is easy to see that the pullback functor is naturally isomorphic to the functor $\Phi_{\mathbb{C}, \mu_y}$. •

Theorem 38 *If $\phi : (X, S) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is a measurable function between Borel spaces and μ_y is a ϕ -fibered measure on X , then the functor $\int_{\phi}^{\oplus}(-) d\mu_y(x)$ is a measurable functor.*

proof: Define a p_2 -fibered measure on $X \times Y$ by

$$\tilde{\mu}_y(A) = \mu_y(\{x | (x, \phi(x)) \in A\})$$

It is easy to see that $\int_{\phi}^{\oplus}(-) d\mu_y(x)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\Phi_{\mathbb{C}, \tilde{\mu}_y}$, and thus measurable. •

One vexing thing about measurable functors is the fact that it is not immediate that the composition of measurable functors is measurable.

To show this, we will need to invoke Maraham's result [Mar50] on disintegration of measures, and several results relating tensor products and direct integrals.

Proposition 39 *If \mathcal{H} is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces on X and \mathcal{K} is a separable Hilbert space, then there is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with fiber at x given by $\mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with all algebraic-coefficient finite linear combinations of tensor products of elements in the fundamental sequence of \mathcal{H} with elements of a countable dense set in \mathcal{K} as fundamental sequence, and the closure under condition 2 of this sequence as $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}}$.*

proof: By [Tak02] IV §8 Lemma 8.10, it suffices to show that the proposed fundamental sequence is fiberwise dense and that all of the pairwise scalar product functions are measurable. Density is clear from the construction of Hilbert space tensor products.

For the other condition observe that

$$\left\langle \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \xi_j(x) \otimes \zeta_i \mid \sum_{k,l} b_{k,l} \phi_l(x) \otimes \omega_k \right\rangle_x = \sum_{i,j,k,l} a_{i,j} \overline{b_{k,l}} \langle \zeta_i \mid \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \langle \xi_j(x) \mid \phi_l(x) \rangle_x,$$

is measurable as a function of x since all of the $x \mapsto \langle \xi_j(x) \mid \phi_l(x) \rangle_x$ are measurable, and linear combinations of measurable functions are measurable (all other expressions occurring in the last are constant in x). •

Moreover we have

Theorem 40 *There is a canonical natural isomorphism*

$$\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x d\mu(x) \cong \left(\int_x^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x) \right) \otimes \mathcal{K}$$

where K is any separable Hilbert space and \mathcal{H} is any measurable field of Hilbert spaces on a Borel space X , and $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is as in the previous proposition.

proof: By Corollary 28 we may assume without loss of generality that μ is a probability measure.

We proceed by first constructing a canonical map from $\int_x^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to $\int_x^\oplus \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x d\mu(x)$, then showing that it is an isomorphism and natural in both variables.

Now, since any element in $\int_x^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is the limit of a Cauchy sequence of elements in the algebraic tensor product, to specify a bounded linear operator from $\int_x^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to any other Hilbert space, it suffices to specify its behavior on elements of the form $\int_x^\oplus \xi(x) d\mu(x) \otimes \zeta$ for $\zeta \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\xi(x)$ a L^2 -section of H .

Rather obviously we wish to map $\int_x^\oplus \xi(x) d\mu(x) \otimes \zeta$ to $\int_x^\oplus \xi(x) \otimes \zeta d\mu(x)$.

To see that this in fact defines a bounded operator, we will need to verify

1. $\xi(x) \otimes \zeta$ is a measurable vector field in $H \otimes K$,
2. $\left\{ \int \|\xi(x) \otimes \zeta\|_x^2 d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$,
3. that there exists and M such that for all $\xi(x)$ and ζ

$$\left\{ \int \|\xi(x) \otimes \zeta\|_x^2 d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M \left\{ \int \|\xi(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{K}}$$

and

4. that the image of each element is independent of the choice of μ -a.e. equality class representative $\xi(x)$.

For the first consider an element of the fundamental sequence, $\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \xi_i(x) \otimes \zeta_j$, and form the function of x given by scalar product with $\xi(x) \otimes \zeta$. By sesquilinearity this reduces to a linear combination of the functions $x \mapsto \langle \xi_i(x) | \xi(x) \rangle$, and is thus measurable.

For the second and third, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int \|\xi(x) \otimes \zeta\|^2 d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left\{ \int \|\xi(x)\|_x^2 \|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|\zeta\| \left\{ \int \|\xi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

This is finite since the integral in the last right-hand side is the norm of the L^2 -section $\xi(x)$ of \mathcal{H} , that is the norm in the direct integral $\int_x^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)$.

Moreover, notice that the right-hand side as a whole is the norm of the preimage $\int \xi(x)d\mu(x) \otimes \zeta$, and thus $M = 1$ suffices.

For the independence of the choice of μ -a.e. equality representative, observe that if $\xi = \xi'$ μ -a.e., then $\xi \otimes \zeta = \xi' \otimes \zeta$ μ -a.e.

We have already shown more than that this map simply exists. In showing that it was bounded, we actually showed that it preserved the norm on a dense set, and thus is an isometry onto its image.

It thus remains only to show that it is surjective. But, by completeness, it suffices to show that its image is dense in $\int^\oplus \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}d\mu(x)$.

Carrying out the proof of [Tak02] IV §8 Lemma 8.12 (simultaneous fiberwise Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization) with the fundamental sequence for $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ gives the same result with one added feature:

Lemma 41 *There is a sequence of measurable vectorfields ψ_i in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that*

1. $\{\psi_i(x) | 1 \leq i \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_x\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_x ,
2. for $i > \dim \mathcal{H}_x$ $\psi_i(x) = 0$
3. $\psi_i = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l}^i \xi_l \otimes \zeta_k$ for a finite set of measurable functions $a_{k,l}^i(x)$, that is, ψ_i lies in the $Meas(X)$ -linear span of the fundamental sequence of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Now, observe that the fundamental sequence of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ lies in the image of the map. It also follows from the bilinearity of \otimes and Lemma 13 that all elements of the $Meas(X)$ -linear span are in the image of the map.

We can thus form a sequence of measurable subfields $\mathcal{L}^n = Span_{Meas(X)}\{\psi_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$, and fields of bounded operators p_n and p_n^\perp projecting onto these and their orthogonal complements. Moreover each of the \mathcal{L}^n lies in the image of the map.

Now, observe that $\psi = p_n(\psi) + p_n^\perp(\psi)$, and that the summands are orthogonal in each fiber.

It thus follows that

$$\|p_n(\psi)(x)\|^2 \leq \|\psi(x)\|^2$$

and

$$\|p_n^\perp(\psi)(x)\|^2 \leq \|\psi(x)\|^2$$

Now by Proposition 39 $x \mapsto \|p_n(\psi)(x)\|^2$ is measurable, and since it is majorized by the integrable function $x \mapsto \|\psi(x)\|^2$ it is integrable. Thus $x \mapsto \|p_n^\perp(\psi)(x)\|^2$ is also integrable, being the difference of two integrable functions.

Each of $p_n(\psi)$ and $p_n^\perp(\psi)$ thus represents an element in $\int^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{K}d\mu(x)$, and as noted above the $p_n(\psi)$ lie in the image of the map.

It thus suffices to show that the sequence $\{p_n(\psi)\}$ converge to ψ , not merely pointwise in each fiber, but with respect to the norm on the direct integral.

Now, for any $\epsilon > 0$ let $E_n^\epsilon = \{x \mid \|\psi(x) - p_n(\psi)(x)\|_x^2 = \|p_n^\perp(\psi)(x)\|_x^2 < \epsilon\}$.

Now observe that $m > n$ implies $E_m^\epsilon \supseteq E_n^\epsilon$, that all of the E_n^ϵ are measurable (being the union of the sets $N(f) \cap f^{-1}((-\infty, \epsilon))$ and $X \setminus (N(f) \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$, for $f(x) = \|p_n^\perp(\psi)(x)\|_x^2$), and that $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n^\epsilon = X$, since for all x $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(\psi)(x) = \psi(x)$.

Thus we also have for any $\epsilon > 0$ that

$\int_{E_n^\epsilon} \|\psi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x)$ is an increasing sequence with limit $M = \int_X \|\psi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x)$.

Now, fix $\epsilon > 0$. By the foregoing discussion, we can choose an N such that

$$M - \int_{E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \|\psi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

For any $n \geq N$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi - p_n(\psi)\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}}^2 &= \int_X \|\psi(x) - p_n(\psi)(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \|\psi(x) - p_n(\psi)(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x) + \int_{X \setminus E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \|\psi(x) - p_n(\psi)(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \|\psi(x) - p_n(\psi)(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x) &< \int_{E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

the first inequality by the construction of $E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$, and the fact that $E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \subset E_n^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$, the second because we are integrating over a probability space, while

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \setminus E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \|\psi(x) - p_n(\psi)(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x) &= \int_{X \setminus E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \|p_n^\perp(\psi)(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int_{X \setminus E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \|\psi(x)\|_x^2 d\mu(x) \\ &= M - \int_{E_N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \|\psi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x) \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the image is dense, and the map is an isomorphism.

Naturality in both variables follows easily by chasing the images of elements of the form $\int^\oplus \xi(x) d\mu(x) \otimes \zeta$. •

Theorem 42 *The composition of two measurable functors is a measurable functor.*

proof: Consider the functors $F = \Phi_{\mathcal{F}, \mu_y}$ and $G = \Phi_{\mathcal{G}, \nu_z}$, for \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{G}) a measurable field of Hilbert spaces on $X \times Y$ (resp. $Y \times Z$) and μ_y (resp. ν_z) a p_2 -fibered measure on $X \times Y$ (resp. $Y \times Z$). Without loss of generality we may assume that all of the μ_y and ν_z are probability measures.

We then have

$$G(F(\mathcal{H}))_z = \int_Y^\oplus \left\{ \int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{F}_{(x,y)} d\mu_y(x) \right\} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{(y,z)} d\nu_z(y)$$

By the functoriality of the outer direct integral and the previous theorem, this is then naturally isomorphic to

$$\int_Y^\oplus \int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{F}_{(x,y)} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{(y,z)} d\mu_y(x) d\nu_z(y)$$

Now, for each $z \in Z$ $\int \mu_y d\nu_z(y)$ is a probability measure on $X \times Y$. Define a z -indexed family of measures on X by $\lambda_z(A) = \int \mu_y d\nu_z(y)(A \times Y)$. By abuse of notation, we also denote by λ_z the family of measures on $X \times Z$ given by $\lambda_z(B) = \lambda_z(p_1(B \cap (X \times \{z\})))$, where λ_z on the right-hand side is the measure just defined.

Lemma 43 *The family of measures λ_z on $X \times Z$ is a p_2 -fibered measure.*

proof: By construction the first condition of Definition 29 is satisfied. The second follows from the corresponding condition for μ_y and ν_z , while the third is immediate once it is observed that the λ_z are all probability measures. •

Observe also that by construction $\int \mu_y d\nu_z(y)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the following theorem of Maraham [Mar50] (cf. also [GM89]) with respect to the projection p_1 onto X and each of the λ_z :

Theorem 44 (Maraham) *Let L be a Lusin space and S a non-empty Suslin space, p a measurable map from L to S each equipped with the usual Borel structure. A σ -finite measure μ on the Borel sets of L has a uniformly σ -finite disintegration with respect to p and ν a measure on S if and only for all measurable B in S $\nu(B) = 0$ implies $\mu(p^{-1}(B)) = 0$*

We thus have a Z -indexed family of p_1 -fibered measures $\kappa_{x,z}$ such that

$$\int \kappa_{x,z} d\lambda_z(x) = \int \mu_y d\nu_z(y)$$

Thus the object

$$\int_Y^\oplus \int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{F}_{(x,y)} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{(y,z)} d\mu_y(x) d\nu_z(y)$$

can also be described as

$$\int_Y^\oplus \int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{F}_{(x,y)} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{(y,z)} d\kappa_{x,z}(y) d\lambda_z(x)$$

Thus, applying the previous theorem and the functoriality of the outer direct integral we see that this is naturally isomorphic to

$$\int_Y^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x \otimes \left[\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{F}_{(x,y)} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{(y,z)} d\kappa_{x,z} \right] d\lambda_z(x)$$

Thus the composition is measurable, being naturally isomorphic to the functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{K}, \lambda_z}$ where

$$\mathcal{K}_{(x,z)} = \int_Y^\oplus \mathcal{F}_{(x,y)} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{(y,z)} d\kappa_{x,z} = \int_{p_{1,3}}^\oplus p_{1,2}^* \mathcal{F}_{(x,y)} \otimes p_{2,3}^* \mathcal{G}_{(y,z)} d\kappa_{x,z}$$

is a measurable field by the construction of Definition 32. •

In the same way, we can isolate an interesting class of natural transformations between measurable functors:

Consider two parallel measurable functors $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}, \mu_y}, \Phi_{\mathcal{G}, \nu_y} : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$.

Now if the fibered measures μ_y and ν_y are equal, it is clear that any measurable field of operators on $X \times Y$ from $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, which is essentially bounded with respect to ν_y on each $X \times \{y\}$ will induce a natural transformation with components given by

$$\Phi_{\phi, \nu_y, \mathcal{H}} = \int^\oplus Id_{\mathcal{H}_x} \otimes \phi_{(x,y)} d\nu_y(x).$$

If we restrict our attention to measures with μ_y is absolutely continuous with respect to ν_y for every $y \in Y$, we can also use any essentially bounded field of operators to induce a natural transformation, but only after we normalize by multiplying by $\sqrt{\frac{d\mu_y}{d\nu_y}}$, the square root of the fiberwise Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ_y with respect to ν_y .

Even for pairs of totally σ -finite measures, for which the measure defining the source is not absolutely continuous, we can apply the Lebesgue decomposition theorem to construct a natural transformation induced by any ν_y essentially bounded field of operators: Decompose μ_y as $\tilde{\mu}_y + \hat{\mu}_y$, where $\tilde{\mu}_y$ is dominated by μ_y and is absolutely continuous with respect to ν_y and $\hat{\mu}_y$ and ν_y are singular. Now observe that for any section $\zeta_{(x,y)}$ of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, the condition that $\{\int^\oplus \|\zeta_{x,y}\|^2 d\mu_y(x)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be finite implies the same condition with μ_y replaced with $\tilde{\mu}_y$. We can thus apply the construction of the previous paragraph to use any ν_y -essentially bounded field of operators to induce a natural transformation. (Note: there is in general a non-trivial kernel (in the algebraic sense) in passing from the direct integral with respect to μ_y to that with respect to $\tilde{\mu}_y$.)

Definition 45 A measurable natural transformation *between two measurable functors*

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}, \mu_y}, \Phi_{\mathcal{G}, \nu_y} : \mathbf{Meas}(X, S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y, T)$$

is a natural transformation with component at \mathcal{H} given by

$$\zeta_y \mapsto \int^{\oplus} \sqrt{\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_y}{d\nu_y}} Id_{\mathcal{H}_x} \otimes B_{(x,y)}(\zeta_{x,y}) d\nu_y(x)$$

where $\zeta_y = \int^{\oplus} \zeta_{x,y} d\mu_y(x)$, for some field of operators $B : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ which is ν_y -essentially bounded for all y .

It is easy to see that identity natural transformations are measurable, and that the both compositions of measurable natural transformations is again measurable (this latter needing the chain rule for Radon-Nikodym derivatives).

We denote the bicategory whose objects are categories of the form $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$, 1-arrows are all measurable functors, and 2-arrows are all measurable natural transformations by \mathbf{Meas} .

6 Tensor Products

We have already considered a number of constructions involving tensor products, all of which have been quite well-behaved. The following result is thus not surprising:

Theorem 46 *For any Borel space (X, S) the category $\mathbf{Meas}(X, S)$ is a monoidal category when equipped with*

$$[\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}]_x = \mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{K}_x$$

with fundamental sequence given by all algebraic linear combinations of elements of the form $\eta_i \otimes \kappa_j$, where $\{\eta_i\}$ and $\{\kappa_j\}$ are the fundamental sequences for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} respectively, the measurable sections are the closure of this fundamental sequence under condition 2, and the total measurable line bundle as I . Structure maps are given fiberwise by the corresponding structure maps for Hilbert-space tensor product.

proof: The condition from [Tak02] IV §8 Lemma 8.10 follows from the same argument as in Proposition 39. Coherence follows from the coherence for the structure maps in each fiber. •

What is perhaps a little more surprising is that these tensor products and the cartesian product of Borel spaces induce a monoidal bicategory structure on the 2-category \mathbf{Meas} :

Theorem 47 *\mathbf{Meas} is a monoidal bicategory when equipped with the monoidal bifunctor \odot given on objects by $\mathbf{Meas}(X) \odot \mathbf{Meas}(Y) = \mathbf{Meas}(X \times Y)$, and induced on 1- and 2-arrows by the functors $\odot = p_X^*(p_1) \otimes p_Y^*(p_2) : \mathbf{Meas}(X) \times \mathbf{Meas}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(X \times Y)$, where p_X and p_Y are the projection maps from $X \times Y$ onto its factors and p_1 and p_2 are the projection functors from $\mathbf{Meas}(X) \times \mathbf{Meas}(Y)$ onto its factors, and the object $\mathbf{1}$ as identity.*

sketch of proof: The structural 1-arrows are induced by the corresponding structural arrows for cartesian product of Borel spaces and tensor product of fields of Hilbert spaces. The structural 2-arrows in turn are all identities either by the coherence for the two products inducing the structural 1-arrows, or by virtue of the functoriality in each variable of the operation inducing \odot . •

7 Direct Integrals in $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$

The construction of measurable functors given above may be used to construct direct integrals of Y -indexed families of objects (for a Borel space Y) in any $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$.

Definition 48 A measurable field of $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$ -objects on a Borel space Y is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces on $X \times Y$. Similarly a (bounded) field of $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$ -arrows on Y is a (bounded) field of bounded operators on $X \times Y$.

We can then define a direct integral of such a measurable field by

Definition 49 Given a measurable field \mathcal{K} of $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$ -objects on Y , and a measure ν on Y , the direct integral

$$\int^{\oplus} \mathcal{K}_{\langle x, y \rangle} d\nu(y)$$

is the image of the total measurable line bundle \mathbb{C} on Y under the measurable functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{K}, \nu}$, where ν is interpreted as the p_1 fibered measure for which

$$\nu_x(A) = \nu(p_2(A \cap p_1^{-1}(x)))$$

Observe that here we have switched the role of first and second projection, however the fact that this defines a measurable field follows from the work done in the section on measurable functors.

This definition, together with the fibered measure of Example 34 allows us to give a version of Fubini's Theorem for direct integrals.

Theorem 50 If μ (resp. ν) is a measure on the Borel space X (resp. Y), then for any measurable field of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} on $X \times Y$

$$\int_{X \times Y}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{(x, y)} d\mu(x) \times d\nu(y) \cong \int_X^{\oplus} \left\{ \int_Y^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{(x, y)} d\nu(y) \right\} d\mu(x)$$

proof: The result follows from the classical Fubini's Theorem applied to the integrals in the defining conditions for measurability and L^2 -ness of sections.

•

8 Measurable Categories and Measurable Bicat- egories

As observed in the introduction, our purpose in examining in detail the structure of categories of measurable fields of Hilbert spaces and of organizing them into a (monoidal) bicategory was to provide a setting for a representation theory for categorical groups.

That theory is developed in [CY03] and [CS03], it will turn out to be the first example of what should be a general theory of measurable bicategories. Our purpose in this final section is to suggest the outline of general theories of measurable categories over a measure space X and of measurable bicategories which are analogues of Tannakian categories, but with \mathbf{VECT} replaced by $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$ and \mathbf{Meas} respectively.

Definition 51 A measurable category over X is a monoidal category \mathcal{C} equipped with monoidal functor $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(X)$ and a monoidal functor $T : \mathbf{Meas}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that there is a natural isomorphism $U(T) \cong \text{Id}_{\mathbf{Meas}(X)}$. Objects of \mathcal{C} isomorphic to object of the form $T(\mathcal{H})$ are called trivial objects.

Example 52 $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$ with both structural functors being the identity functor.

Example 53 Fix a Lie group G , let $\mathbf{Rep}(G)/X$ be the category whose objects are measurable fields of Hilbert spaces on X such that each fiber is equipped with a unitary representation of G , and moreover for each $g \in G$ g acts by a bounded field of bounded operators. Then the forgetful functor to underlying measurable fields and the inclusion on measurable fields with a trivial G -action on each fiber make $\mathbf{Rep}(G)/X$ into a measurable category over X .

Of more interest is the general setting in which the motivating construction fits:

Definition 54 A measurable bicategory \mathcal{C} is a monoidal bicategory \mathcal{C} equipped with monoidal bifunctors $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}$ and $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}$ such that $U(T)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{Meas}}$

Of course \mathbf{Meas} itself gives a tautological example.

The subject of [CY03] gives others:

Example 55 Let \mathcal{G} be a categorical group. Regard \mathcal{G} as a bicategory with one object, the functor bicategory $\mathbf{Meas}^{\mathcal{G}}$ (with bifunctors as objects, pseudonatural transformations as 1-arrows, and modifications as 2-arrows) is a measurable bicategory (with monoidal structure induced by \odot in an obvious way).

The subcategories considered in [CY03] provide more examples.

Somewhat curiously, letting the underlying Borel space vary, measurable categories themselves can be organized into a measurable bicategory:

Example 56 MeasCat is a measurable bicategory whose objects are all measurable categories, ‘1-arrows between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are pairs of monoidal functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $\Phi : \mathbf{Meas}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}(Y)$ such that the squares formed by them and the underlying functors and by them and the inclusions of trivial subcategories both commute, and Φ is a measurable functor, and 2-arrows are pairs of 2-arrows between the parallel functors so that the two obvious pillows commute.

The underlying functor simply assigns $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$ to the measurable category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Meas}(X), U, T)$, while the inclusion of trivial objects assigns to $\mathbf{Meas}(X)$, the tautological $(\mathbf{Meas}(X), \mathbf{Meas}(X), Id, Id)$.

The monoidal structure on **MeasCat** is given by letting $\mathcal{C} \odot \mathcal{D}$, for \mathcal{C} (resp. \mathcal{D}) a measurable category over X (resp. Y), be the measured category over $X \times Y$ with objects given by a pair of a Y -indexed family of \mathcal{C} objects whose underlying Y -indexed family of fields of Hilbert spaces on X forms a measurable field of Hilbert spaces on $X \times Y$ and an X -indexed family of \mathcal{D} objects whose underlying X -indexed family of fields of Hilbert spaces on Y forms a measurable field of Hilbert spaces on $X \times Y$. The underlying field of Hilbert spaces for an object (C_y, D_x) is the tensor product of the two underlying fields of the entries. The arrows are generated by the family similarly defined, and by formally adjoined isomorphisms between $(C_y \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}^Y(\mathcal{H}_{<x, y>}), D_x)$ and $(C_y, T_{\mathcal{D}}^X(\mathcal{H}_{<x, y>} \otimes D_x))$, where $T_{\mathcal{C}}^Y$ (resp. $T_{\mathcal{D}}^X$) is the trivial functor for \mathcal{C} (resp. \mathcal{D}) applied in each of fiber over Y (resp. X).

A Elementary results on Hilb

One problem in approaching this work is the paucity of references on the categorical structure of the category of Hilbert spaces and bounded operators. The results in this appendix are elementary and, in non-categorical guise, classical. We include them for completeness of exposition, but in an appendix so as not to interrupt the flow of the new results.

Definition 57 The category of separable Hilbert spaces **Hilb** has as objects all separable Hilbert spaces and as arrows all bounded operators. Source, target, identities and composition are obvious.

Many facts about **Hilb** are set forth in [GLR85].

Of these, the most important for us is the fact that **Hilb** is a C^* -category, and *a fortiori* is equivalent to its opposite category (with adjoint-operator being the functor in the equivalence in either direction)

Ghez, Lima and Roberts [GLR85], do not, however address most of the elementary category-theoretic properties we will need. We summarize these in the following proposition

Proposition 58 Hilb is a \mathbb{C} -linear additive category with all countable colimit and countable limits.

proof: \mathbb{C} -linearity is obvious. To see that **Hilb** is additive, observe that the 0-dimensional vectorspace is a Hilbert space and is a zero (initial and terminal) object for **Hilb**, likewise the vector space direct sum of two Hilbert spaces, equipped with the scalar product $\langle (\xi, \phi) | (\zeta, \psi) \rangle = \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle + \langle \phi, \psi \rangle$ is a Hilbert space, and all of the structural maps for it as a vector-space biproduct are bounded operators. Thus it is a biproduct in **Hilb**.

By self-duality, it suffices to show that **Hilb** has all countable colimits, and by standard results, it suffices to show that it has cokernels and countable coproducts. Again by self-duality we may consider kernels instead. Kernels are easy: the vector-space kernel will again be a Hilbert space with the scalar product inherited from the source of the operator. (Note: since bounded operators are continuous, the kernel will be closed, and thus complete.)

Finite coproducts follow from biproducts. For countable coproducts, give the vector-space coproduct a scalar product as follows: Index the summands by \mathbb{N} . Give the vector-space coproduct a scalar product by letting

$$\langle \{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty | \{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \langle \xi_i | \zeta_i \rangle_i$$

where $\langle | \rangle_i$ is the scalar product in the i -th summand. Notice that this sum is actually finite for any pair of elements of the direct sum. The resulting scalar product space is not complete, so form its completion. It is easy to see that the completion inherits the universal property from vector-spaces once all the vector-spaces in the diagrams are required to be Hilbert spaces. •

One curious thing about this construction is the fact that the countable products and countable coproducts coincide, since the anti-involutory functor $*$ fixes objects.

References

- [Bae02] J. Baez. Higher Yang-Mills theory. e-print, abstract and full-text links at www.arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0206130, 2002.
- [BM02] J.W. Barrett and M. Mackaay. Categorical representations of categorical groups. privately communicated draft manuscript, 2002.
- [CS03] L. Crane and M.D. Sheppeard. 2-categorical Poincare representations and state sum applications. e-preprint, abstract and full-text links at <http://www.arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0306440>, 2003.
- [CY03] L. Crane and D.N. Yetter. Measurable categories and 2-groups. e-preprint, abstract and full-text links at <http://www.arXiv.org/abs/math.QA/0305176>, 2003.
- [GLR85] P. Ghez, R. Lima, and J.E. Roberts. W^* categories. *Pac. J. of Math.*, 120(1):79–109, 1985.

- [GM89] S. Graf and R.D. Mauldin. A classification of disintegrations of measures. In *Measure and Measurable Dynamics*, pages 147–158. American Mathematical Society, 1989. AMS Contemp. Math, vol. 94.
- [KV94] M. Kapranov and V. Voevodsky. 2-categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations. In *Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 56, Part 2*, pages 177–260. American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [Mar50] D. Maraham. Decompositions of measure algebras and spaces. *Trans. AMS*, 69:142–160, 1950.
- [Tak02] Masamichi Takesaki. *Theory of Operator Algebras, I*. Springer-Verlag, 2002. Springer Encyclopedia. of Mathematical Sciences, vol 124.