

THE HOMOLOGY OF INVARIANT GROUP CHAINS

KEVIN P. KNUDSON

INTRODUCTION

Let Q be a finite group acting on a group G as a group of automorphisms. This action induces an action of Q on the standard bar complex $C_\bullet(G)$ for computing the homology of G ; denote the subcomplex of invariants by $C_\bullet(G)^Q$. In this paper we study the homology of the complex $C_\bullet(G)^Q$. The resulting homology groups are denoted $H_\bullet^Q(G)$.

Before summarizing the results, a brief history is in order. A quick literature search and consultation with experts in equivariant topology revealed nothing. Indeed, everyone I asked was more or less alarmed that I wanted to know about invariants of a *chain* complex (instead of a cochain complex). So I forged ahead with the cumbersome calculations using the bar complex and obtained a few results. For example, if A is an abelian group with trivial G - and Q -actions, then we can define homology groups with coefficients $H_\bullet^Q(G; A)$. The inclusion of complexes $C_\bullet(G; A)^Q \rightarrow C_\bullet(G; A)$ induces a homomorphism $H_\bullet^Q(G; A) \rightarrow H_\bullet(G; A)$ whose image clearly lies in the subgroup $H_\bullet(G; A)^Q$ of Q -invariant homology classes. The following is easily proved.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that $|Q|$ is invertible in A . Then the natural map*

$$H_\bullet^Q(G; A) \longrightarrow H_\bullet(G; A)^Q$$

is an isomorphism.

In certain cases, we can construct transfer maps

$$H_\bullet^Q(G; A) \longrightarrow H_\bullet^Q(K; A)$$

for Q -stable subgroups K , satisfying the usual properties. One then obtains the following expected result.

Proposition 4.1. *If G is finite, then $H_i^Q(G; A)$ is annihilated by $|G|$ for all $i > 0$.*

The existence of transfers also allows the calculation of $H_\bullet^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ for certain n , where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on \mathbb{Z}/n by $x \mapsto -x$.

Date: September 15, 2003.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20J06; Secondary 55N91.

Partially supported by NSF grant no. DMS-0242906.

Theorem 5.1. *If $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

The case $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ presented difficulty, however. None of the techniques used to prove Theorem 5.1 work in this case. Moreover, it is easy to show that $H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ in this case, and computer calculations of the low-dimensional groups for $n = 4$ indicated that something fairly complicated was going on. So I put this project on the back burner in hopes that a new idea would present itself.

The primary motivation for studying the $H_{\bullet}^Q(G)$ arose from joint work with Mark Walker [3]. There, we were confronted with calculating the groups $H_{\bullet}(BG(\overline{R})/\Gamma)$, where R is a nice affine domain over a field k , \overline{R} its absolute integral closure, G an algebraic group over k , and Γ the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{k(R)}/k(R))$. The calculation is practically impossible in general, but we were able to handle $R = \mathbb{R}$, $\overline{R} = \mathbb{C}$, $G = \mathbb{G}_m$, and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. For some time, I worked under the mistaken assumption that the complex $C_{\bullet}(G(\overline{R}))^{\Gamma}$ computed this homology (there is an isomorphism of the groups of chains in each degree, but it does not commute with the boundary map). However, the work in [3] did provide some insight into the problem considered here.

Consider the norm map $N : C_{\bullet}(BG/Q; A) \longrightarrow C_{\bullet}(G; A)^Q$ defined by

$$\sigma \otimes a \xrightarrow{N} \sum_{q \in Q} q\sigma \otimes a.$$

This provides a map

$$H_{\bullet}(BG/Q; A) \longrightarrow H_{\bullet}^Q(G; A)$$

whose composition with the obvious map

$$H_{\bullet}^Q(G; A) \longrightarrow H_{\bullet}(G; A)^Q$$

is the transfer map encountered in the study of the homology of quotient spaces. The homology of the quotient complex

$$D_{\bullet} = C_{\bullet}(G; A)^Q / C_{\bullet}(BG/Q; A)$$

is computable in some cases. We have the following results.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\mathbb{Z}/2$ act on \mathbb{Z} via $n \mapsto -n$. Then for $i > 0$, we have*

$$H_i^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 & i \text{ odd} \\ 0 & i \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

One might have thought that $H_i^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$ would vanish for $i \geq 2$ since $B\mathbb{Z} \simeq S^1$. The problem arises, however, because S^1 is not a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant model for $B\mathbb{Z}$.

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, the calculation of $H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ is possible using techniques in equivariant topology. One first reduces to $n = 2^s$, $s \geq 2$.

Theorem 5.7. *Assume $s \geq 2$. Then for all $\ell > 0$,*

$$H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2^s; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2)^k & \ell = 2k \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2k} & \ell = 4k - 3 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^s \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2k} & \ell = 4k - 1. \end{cases}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we develop the basic properties of $H_{\bullet}^Q(G; A)$ and the cohomological version $H_Q^{\bullet}(G; A)$. Section 2 relates our construction to the homology of quotient spaces. In Section 3 we make some basic calculations. Section 4 is devoted to the transfer maps and their application. Finally, in Section 5 we perform the above-mentioned calculations. The approach to calculations is to be as low-tech as possible for as long as possible; that is, we try to work with chains directly, resorting to more sophisticated techniques only when absolutely necessary.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Paul Goerss, John Greenlees, and Mark Walker for valuable discussions about this material. I also thank those who have listened to my talks about this for their suggestions; these include Eric Friedlander, Rick Jardine, and Stewart Priddy.

1. BASIC CONSTRUCTIONS

Denote by $C_{\bullet}(G)$ the bar complex for computing the homology of the group G :

$$C_i(G) = \mathbb{Z}\{[g_1] \cdots [g_i] : g_j \in G\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d([g_1] \cdots [g_i]) &= \\ &= [g_2] \cdots [g_i] + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^k [g_1] \cdots [g_k g_{k+1}] \cdots [g_i] + (-1)^i [g_1] \cdots [g_{i-1}] \end{aligned}$$

(that is, $C_{\bullet}(G) = B_{\bullet} \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$, where B_{\bullet} is the bar resolution). If A is an abelian group, set $C_{\bullet}(G; A) = C_{\bullet}(G) \otimes A$ with boundary $d \otimes 1$. Let Q be a finite group acting as a group of automorphisms of G . The action of Q on G induces an action on $C_{\bullet}(G; A)$ by

$$q([g_1] \cdots [g_i] \otimes a) = [q(g_1)] \cdots [q(g_i)] \otimes a.$$

Denote the subcomplex of invariant chains by $C_{\bullet}(G; A)^Q$.

Definition 1.1.

$$H_{\bullet}^Q(G; A) = h_{\bullet}(C_{\bullet}(G; A)^Q)$$

$$H_Q^{\bullet}(G; A) = h^{\bullet}(\text{Hom}(C_{\bullet}(G)^Q, A)).$$

First note the following basic fact.

Lemma 1.2. *Denote by G^Q the subgroup of Q -invariant elements of G . Then there are natural maps*

$$H_\bullet(G^Q; A) \longrightarrow H_\bullet^Q(G; A) \longrightarrow H_\bullet(G; A)^Q.$$

Proof. Note that there are inclusions of complexes

$$C_\bullet(G^Q; A) \longrightarrow C_\bullet(G; A)^Q \longrightarrow C_\bullet(G; A)$$

and these induce maps

$$H_\bullet(G^Q; A) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_\bullet^Q(G; A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_\bullet(G; A).$$

Note that the image of i_* lies in the subgroup $H_\bullet(G; A)^Q$ of Q -invariants since a Q -invariant cycle $z \in C_\bullet(G; A)^Q$ gives rise to an invariant homology class $[z] \in H_\bullet(G; A)^Q$. \square

2. HOMOLOGY OF QUOTIENT SPACES

Consider the CW-complex BG whose chain complex is $C_\bullet(G)$. The Q -action on G induces a cellular action on BG with the property that if an element of Q fixes a cell, then it fixes it pointwise. This gives BG the structure of a Q -CW-complex and the quotient space BG/Q is also a CW-complex.

Observe that we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(BG/Q) &= \mathbb{Z}\{[g_1] \cdots [g_n] : g_i \in G\} / \langle [q(g_1)] \cdots [q(g_n)] = [g_1] \cdots [g_n] \rangle \\ &= C_n(BG)_Q \end{aligned}$$

with the boundary map being induced by the boundary map in $C_\bullet(G)$. Recall that for any Q -module M , there is a norm map $M_Q \rightarrow M^Q$ whose kernel and cokernel are annihilated by $|Q|$. This map is natural for maps $M \rightarrow M'$ of Q -modules. In the situation at hand, we have

$$N : C_n(BG/Q) \longrightarrow C_n(G)^Q$$

of the form

$$[g_1] \cdots [g_n] \mapsto \sum_{q \in Q} [q(g_1)] \cdots [q(g_n)].$$

Since the domain is free abelian, N is injective, but it is not surjective. Denote the quotient complex $\text{coker}(N)$ by D_\bullet .

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $Q = \mathbb{Z}/p$, where p is a prime. Then $D_0 = 0$, and for $n > 0$, $D_n = C_n(G^Q; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Consequently, there is an isomorphism*

$$h_n(D_\bullet) \cong \tilde{H}_n(G^Q; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Proof. The map $N : C_0(BG/Q) \rightarrow C_0(G)^Q$ is simply the identity map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, whence the assertion for D_0 . If $n > 0$, then since p is prime, the orbit of any $g \in G$ has length 1 or p , and hence the same is true of any $[g_1] \cdots [g_n]$. The basis elements of $C_n(G)^Q$ are the various

$$\sum_{q \in Q} [q(g_1)] \cdots [q(g_n)]$$

together with the elements $[g_1 | \cdots | g_n]$ for $g_i \in G^Q$. If $[g_1 | \cdots | g_n]$ is a generator of $C_n(BG/Q)$, then

$$N : [g_1 | \cdots | g_n] \mapsto \sum_{q \in Q} [q(g_1) | \cdots | q(g_n)].$$

If the orbit length of $[g_1 | \cdots | g_n]$ is p , then we obtain the corresponding basis element of $C_n(G)^Q$. If, however, each $g_i \in G^Q$, then

$$N : [g_1 | \cdots | g_n] \mapsto p[g_1 | \cdots | g_n].$$

Thus,

$$D_n = \frac{\mathbb{Z}\{[g_1 | \cdots | g_n] : g_i \in G^Q\}}{p[g_1 | \cdots | g_n] = 0} \cong C_n(G^Q; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

The boundary map in D_\bullet is clearly that of $C_\bullet(G^Q; \mathbb{Z}/p)$; this proves the final assertion. \square

Corollary 2.2. *If $Q = \mathbb{Z}/p$, then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\rightarrow H_n(BG/Q; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n^Q(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(G^Q; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(BG/Q; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow .$$

\square

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that $Q = \mathbb{Z}/p$ and that $G^Q = \{e\}$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$H_\bullet(BG/Q; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_\bullet^Q(G; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. In this case, we have $\tilde{H}_\bullet(G^Q; \mathbb{Z}/p) = 0$. \square

For arbitrary Q , we also have the following.

Proposition 2.4. *If $|Q|$ is invertible in A , then the map*

$$H_\bullet(BG/Q; A) \longrightarrow H_\bullet^Q(G; A)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The kernel and cokernel of the map

$$N : C_n(BG/Q; A) \longrightarrow C_n(G; A)^Q$$

are both annihilated by $|Q|$. Since $|Q|$ is invertible in A , we see that N is an isomorphism. \square

3. BASIC CALCULATIONS

We begin our low-tech approach to calculation with a few bare-hands examples.

Proposition 3.1. $H_0^Q(G; A) = A$.

Proof. We have $C_0(G; A)^Q = A$ and the boundary map

$$C_1(G; A)^Q \rightarrow C_0(G; A)^Q$$

is the zero map. \square

The major difficulty in computing $H_\bullet^Q(G; A)$ is that the chain complex $C_\bullet(G; A)$ is so large (even if G is finite). Unfortunately, there is not much to be done about this. Here is an explicit example.

Let $\mathbb{Z}/2$ act on \mathbb{Z} via $n \mapsto -n$. Then

$$C_i(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = \mathbb{Z}\{[n_1 | \cdots | n_i] + [-n_1 | \cdots | -n_i], [0 | \cdots | 0]\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. $H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Proof. We have

$$H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}\{[n] + [-n], [0] : n > 0\}}{\text{im}\{d : C_2(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow C_1(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\}}.$$

Define $f : C_1(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ by $f([n] + [-n]) = n \bmod 2$ and $f([0]) = 0$. Then f is surjective. Moreover, if $[n_1 | n_2] + [-n_1 | -n_2] \in C_2(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(d([n_1 | n_2] + [-n_1 | -n_2])) &= f((([n_1] + [-n_1]) + ([n_2] + [-n_2]) - \\ &\quad ([n_1 + n_2] + [-(n_1 + n_2)]))) \\ &= n_1 - (n_1 + n_2) + n_2 \bmod 2 \\ &= 0 \bmod 2 \end{aligned}$$

and $f(d([0 | 0])) = f([0] - [0] + [0]) = 0$. Thus, f induces a surjection $\bar{f} : H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. It remains to show that \bar{f} is injective. For this it suffices to show

- (1) $[2k] + [-2k] = [0]$ in $H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$, and
- (2) $[2k + 1] + [-(2k + 1)] = [1] + [-1]$ in $H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Observe that $[0]$ is the identity element in $H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$ as $[0] = d([0 | 0])$.

Consider the relations

$$\begin{aligned} d([m | m] + [-m | -m]) &= 2([m] + [-m]) - ([2m] + [-2m]) \\ d([m | -m] + [-m | m]) &= 2([m] + [-m]) - 2[0]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second equation implies that $H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is 2-torsion. Subtracting these shows that $[2m] + [-2m] = 2[0]$ and hence $[2m] + [-2m] = 0$ in $H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

We also have the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} d([m | m + 3] + [-m | -(m + 3)]) &= \\ &= ([m] + [-m]) + ([m + 3] + [-(m + 3)]) - ([2m + 3] + [-(2m + 3)]) \\ d([m + 1 | m + 2] + [-(m + 1) | -(m + 2)]) &= \\ &= ([m + 1] + [-(m + 1)]) + ([m + 2] + [-(m + 2)]) \\ &\quad - ([2m + 3] + [-(2m + 3)]) \end{aligned}$$

so that in $H_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$ we have (by subtracting these)

$$\begin{aligned} ([m] + [-m]) + ([m + 3] + [-(m + 3)]) &= \\ &= ([m + 1] + [-(m + 1)]) + ([m + 2] + [-(m + 2)]). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $m = 0, 2, 4, \dots$ we obtain (since $[m] + [-m] = 0 = [m+2] + [-(m+2)]$)

$$[1] + [-1] = [3] + [-3] = \dots = [2m+1] + [-(2m+1)] = \dots$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now consider the resolution $F_\bullet \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}$ obtained from $S^1 \simeq B\mathbb{Z}$:

$$F_\bullet : 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{t-1} \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

To compute the complex $(F_\bullet \otimes_G \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariants, we need an augmentation preserving chain map $\alpha_* : F_\bullet \rightarrow F_\bullet$ commuting with the action. The map $\alpha_0 : t^n \mapsto t^{-n}$ and $\alpha_1 : t^n \mapsto -t^{-(n+1)}$ does the job and one finds that the complex $(F_\bullet \otimes_G \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

The first homology of this complex vanishes, of course, and so we cannot replace $C_\bullet(\mathbb{Z})$ with $F_\bullet \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ to calculate $H_\bullet^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

A moment's reflection reveals why this happens: the invariants functor is left exact, but not right exact, so there is no reason to believe that we can switch complexes at will. From the point of view of equivariant topology, there is a clearer answer: the homotopy equivalence $B\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S^1$ cannot be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant equivalence. Indeed, a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of Q -spaces is a Q -homotopy equivalence if the induced map $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a homotopy equivalence for each $H \leq Q$. In the case of $B\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S^1$, $Q = \mathbb{Z}/2$, the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -fixed points are $(B\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \simeq *$ while $(S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = S^0$.

Let us proceed with some general calculations.

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a group and let A be an abelian group with trivial G - and Q -actions. Assume that $|Q|$ is invertible in A . Then the natural map*

$$i_* : H_\bullet^Q(G; A) \longrightarrow H_\bullet(G; A)^Q$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This is a standard fact in equivariant topology, proved using the transfer map. We work directly with chains, however. Let $[z] \in H_\ell^Q(G; A)$ and suppose $i_*[z] = 0$. Then $z = d\tau$ for some $\tau \in C_{\ell+1}(G; A)$. Since $z \in C_\ell(G; A)^Q$, we have $qz = z$ for any $q \in Q$. Thus, if the Q -orbit of τ has length n , we have

$$d\left(\sum_{q \in Q} q\tau\right) = \sum_{q \in Q} q(d\tau) = \sum_{q \in Q} qz = nz.$$

Write $\sigma = \sum_{q \in Q} q\tau$. Then $q\sigma = \sigma$ for all $q \in Q$. Since n is invertible in A by assumption (note $n \mid |Q|$), we have $\frac{1}{n}\sigma \in C_{\ell+1}(G; A)^Q$ and $d(\frac{1}{n}\sigma) = z$. So $[z] = 0$ and i_* is injective.

To show that i_* is surjective, let $[z] \in H_\ell(G; A)^Q$. Then for each $q \in Q$, $qz = z + d\tau_q$ for some $\tau_q \in C_{\ell+1}(G; A)$. Write $w = \sum_{q \in Q} qz$. Then $w \in$

$C_\ell(G; A)^Q$ and $dw = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} i_*[w] &= \sum_{q \in Q} [qz] \\ &= \sum_{q \in Q} [z] \\ &= nz \end{aligned}$$

for some n invertible in A . So $i_*(\frac{1}{n}[w]) = [z]$ and i_* is surjective. \square

Note that as a corollary of the proof we have the following.

Proposition 3.4. *The subgroup $\ker(i_*)$ is annihilated by $|Q|$.* \square

In particular, if $H_\ell(G; A)^Q = 0$ for some ℓ , we see that $H_\ell^Q(G; A)$ is annihilated by the order of Q . Thus, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.5. *For each $i \geq 1$, the group $H_i^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is 2-torsion.* \square

Calculating $H_i^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$ by hand from the bar resolution is essentially impossible, however. We will complete the computation in Section 5.

Proposition 3.6. *If G is a divisible abelian group, then*

$$H_1^Q(G; \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(G; \mathbb{Z})^Q = G^Q.$$

Proof. We have the natural map

$$f_* : H_1(G^Q) \longrightarrow H_1^Q(G).$$

This map has a splitting $\tau : H_1^Q(G) \rightarrow H_1(G^Q)$ defined by $\tau([z_1] + \cdots [z_n]) = [z_1 \cdots z_n]$, where z_1, \dots, z_n is a Q -orbit. This is well-defined since

$$q(z_1 \cdots z_n) = q(z_1) \cdots q(z_n) = z_1 \cdots z_n$$

so that $z_1 \cdots z_n \in G^Q$.

We now need the following relation in $H_1^Q(G)$:

$$(1) \quad [z_1^n] + \cdots + [z_n^n] = n[z_1 \cdots z_n].$$

Assuming this, we show that f_* is surjective by noting that if $[w_1] + \cdots + [w_m]$ is an orbit, write $w_1 = z_1^m, \dots, w_m = z_m^m$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} [w_1] + \cdots [w_m] &= [z_1^m] + \cdots + [z_m^m] \\ &= m[z_1 \cdots z_m] \\ &= f_*(m[z_1 \cdots z_m]). \end{aligned}$$

But f_* is injective since $\tau f_*([z]) = \tau[z] = [z]$.

It remains to show (1). If $[z_1] + \cdots + [z_n] \in G_1(G)^Q$, set $z_i = q_i(z_1)$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. Consider the two relations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} ([z_1^j, z_i] + \cdots [z_m^j, z_m]) \xrightarrow{d} m([z_1] + \cdots + [z_m]) - ([z_1^m] + \cdots [z_m^m])$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} ([z_1 \cdots z_j, z_{j+1}] + [q_2(z_1 \cdots z_j), q_2(z_{j+1})] + \cdots + [q_m(z_1 \cdots z_j), q_m(z_{j+1})]) \\ \xrightarrow{d} m([z_1] + \cdots + [z_m]) - m[z_1 \cdots z_m].$$

Subtracting these yields (1). \square

For example, if $G = \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C})$ with $Q = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$, then $H_1^Q(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R})$.

4. TRANSFER

Before we can make more calculations, we need to discuss transfer maps. Recall that if K is a subgroup of finite index in G , then there is a transfer map

$$\text{tr} : H_\bullet(G; A) \longrightarrow H_\bullet(K; A).$$

If $j_* : H_\bullet(K; A) \rightarrow H_\bullet(G; A)$ is the map induced by the inclusion $j : K \rightarrow G$, then the composite $j_* \circ \text{tr}$ is $(G : K)\text{id}$. There is a double coset formula for the composite $\text{tr} \circ j_*$; we shall need only a special case of it in Section 5. It will be recalled there.

We want to know that we have transfer maps in our setting. To this end, assume that K is a Q -stable subgroup of finite index in G (that is, $qK = K$ for each $q \in Q$, but it is not necessarily the case that $K \subseteq G^Q$). For arbitrary K and G , the transfer map is induced by a chain map $\tau : C_\bullet(G) \rightarrow C_\bullet(K)$ defined by choosing a set E of right coset representatives of K and setting

$$\tau[g_1 | \cdots | g_n] = \sum_{x_j \in E} [x_j g_1 \overline{x_j g_1}^{-1} | \overline{x_j g_1} g_2 \overline{x_j g_1 g_2}^{-1} | \cdots | \overline{x_j g_1 \cdots g_{n-1} g_n} x_j g_1 \cdots g_n^{-1}],$$

where \bar{a} is the representative of Ka in E .

In order for this to commute with the Q -action, we need a set of representatives E such that $q(\bar{g}) = \overline{q(g)}$ for every $q \in Q$. If we can do this, then since the homotopy from $j_* \circ \text{tr}$ to $(G : K)\text{id}$ maps orbits to orbits, the formula $j_* \circ \text{tr} = (G : K)\text{id}$ will hold in this setting. In the case $K = \{e\}$, we can do this since the coset representative of $g \in G$ is just g itself and hence the transfer map exists on the chain level $C_\bullet(G; A)^Q \rightarrow C_\bullet(\{e\}; A)^Q$. We therefore obtain the following.

Proposition 4.1. *If G is finite, then $H_i^Q(G; A)$ is annihilated by $|G|$ for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. Let $j : \{e\} \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion. Then $j_* \circ \text{tr} = |G|\text{id}$, and $H_i^Q(\{e\}; A) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. \square

Another example is the following. Let $Q = \mathbb{Z}/2$ act on $\mathbb{Z}/2^s k$, k odd, by $n \mapsto -n$. Let K be the subgroup $\langle k \rangle$. The set

$$E = \left\{ 0, 1, 2k-1, 2, 2k-2, \dots, \frac{k-1}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2} \right\}$$

is a set of coset representatives of K in $\mathbb{Z}/2^s k$ and if g denotes the nonidentity element of $\mathbb{Z}/2$, then for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$, $g(\bar{i}) = g(i) = 2k-i$ and $\overline{g(i)} = \overline{2k-i} = 2k-i$. Moreover, $g(\overline{2k-i}) = g(2k-i) = i$ and $\overline{g(2k-i)} = \bar{i} = i$. So we get a transfer map on the level of chains in this case as well:

$$\text{tr} : H_\bullet^Q(\mathbb{Z}/2^s k; A) \longrightarrow H_\bullet^Q(K; A)$$

and this satisfies $j_* \circ \text{tr} = \text{kid}$, where $j : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^s k$ is the inclusion.

5. COMPUTATIONS

In this section we carry out some calculations. We begin with the case $Q = \mathbb{Z}/2$ acting on $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$ by $x \mapsto -x$. In keeping with our desire to remain as low-tech as possible for as long as possible, we break this into cases.

5.1. $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting on \mathbb{Z}/n , n odd. We claim the following:

$$H_\ell^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \ell = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/n & \ell = 4k-1, k \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The case $\ell = 0$ is clear. If ℓ is even, then $H_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n) = 0$. By Proposition 3.4, we see that $H_\ell^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ is 2-torsion. On the other hand, $H_\ell^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ is annihilated by n (Proposition 4.1) and since n is odd, $H_\ell^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ must vanish for ℓ even.

Now suppose that ℓ is odd and consider the map $i_* : H_\ell^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow H_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. The kernel of this is 2-torsion, but it is also n -torsion and hence i_* is injective.

If $\ell = 4k-3$, then the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on $H_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n) = \mathbb{Z}/n$ is nontrivial: $x \mapsto -x$ (this is an easy exercise in group cohomology). Since n is odd, we have $H_{4k-3}(\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = 0$ and since i_* is injective, $H_{4k-3}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n) = 0$.

It remains to treat the case $\ell = 4k-1$. Here the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on $H_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n) = \mathbb{Z}/n$ is trivial so that $H_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = \mathbb{Z}/n$. We must show that i_* is surjective. Let z be a generating cycle of $H_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n)$. Denote by \bar{z} the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -translate of z . Since $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts trivially on $H_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n)$, we have $\bar{z} = z + d\sigma$ for some $(\ell+1)$ -chain σ . Now, $z + \bar{z}$ is an element of $C_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ and

$$i_*([z + \bar{z}]) = [z] + [\bar{z}] = 2[z].$$

Since n is odd, $2[z]$ also generates $H_\ell(\mathbb{Z}/n)$, and thus i_* is an isomorphism.

To summarize, then, we have proved that if n is odd,

$$i_* : H_\bullet^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_\bullet(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

5.2. $\mathbb{Z}/2$ **acting on** $\mathbb{Z}/2k$, k **odd**. Write $k = p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_m^{i_m}$. By Proposition 3.3, the map

$$i_* : H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/p^i) \longrightarrow H_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/p^i)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

is an isomorphism for each $p = p_j$, $i = i_j$. The groups $H_{\ell}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/p^i)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ are easily calculated: they vanish if $p \neq p_j$ and

$$H_{\ell}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/p_j^{i_j})^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p_j^{i_j} & \ell = 4k - 1, 4k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It remains to calculate $H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Let $K = \langle k \rangle$ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariants. Note that $(\mathbb{Z}/2k : K) = k$. Let $j : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2k$ be the inclusion. We claim that

$$j_* : H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(K; \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, since $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts trivially on K , we have

$$H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(K; \mathbb{Z}/2) = H_{\bullet}(K; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Consider the transfer map

$$\text{tr} : H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H_{\bullet}(K; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

We have $j_* \circ \text{tr} = \text{id} = \text{tr} \circ j_*$. Thus, j_* is surjective. To see that j_* is injective, consider the composite

$$H_{\bullet}(K; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

(note that the last is simply $H_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ since $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts trivially on the mod 2 homology). We claim that $i_* \circ j_*$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, $i_* \circ j_*$ is the map induced by the inclusion $K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2k$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{2m+2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & H_{2m+1}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\times 2} & H_{2m+1}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_{2m+1}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_{2m+2}(K; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & H_{2m+1}(K; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\times 2} & H_{2m+1}(K; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_{2m+1}(K; \mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

where the rows are the long exact sequences associated to the short exact coefficient sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

This diagram takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2k & \xrightarrow{\times 2} & \mathbb{Z}/2k & \xrightarrow{k \mapsto 1} & \mathbb{Z}/2 \\ \uparrow i_* \circ j_* & & \uparrow 1 \mapsto k & & \uparrow 1 \mapsto k & & \uparrow i_* \circ j_* \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{Z}/2 \end{array}$$

An easy diagram chase shows that $i_* \circ j_*$ is an isomorphism. Thus, j_* is injective and hence an isomorphism.

We can now piece this together to get a calculation of $H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z})$. Consider the diagram of universal coefficient sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\ell}(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & H_{\ell}(K; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(H_{\ell-1}(K), \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(H_{\ell-1}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k), \mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

Note that $j_* : H_{\ell}(K) \rightarrow H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k)$ satisfies

$$j_* \circ \text{tr} = \text{kid}_{H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k)}.$$

If $\ell \geq 2$ is even, then $H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z})$ is 2-torsion since $H_{\ell}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. But then k is invertible in $H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z})$ so that $j_* \circ \text{tr}$ is an isomorphism. But $H_{\ell}(K; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and hence $j_* \circ \text{tr}$ is the zero map. This forces $H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The above diagram then shows that the map

$$H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(H_{\ell-1}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k), \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is an isomorphism and so if r is odd, $H_r^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z})$ contains a single copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. If $r = 4s - 3$, this is all $H_r^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z})$ contains (see the calculation above). If $r = 4s - 1$, then $H_r^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z})$ also contains a copy of \mathbb{Z}/p^i . Thus

$$H_{4s-3}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$$

and

$$H_{4s-1}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_m^{i_m} = \mathbb{Z}/2k.$$

Note that $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts trivially on $H_{4s-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2k)$ and nontrivially on $H_{4s-3}(\mathbb{Z}/2k)$; the invariant subgroup of the latter is precisely $H_{4s-3}(K) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. In summary, then, in the last two subsections we have proved the following.

Theorem 5.1. *If $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$i_* : H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

is an isomorphism. □

5.3. $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting on \mathbb{Z}/n , $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. The technique used in the previous section breaks down when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ because the subgroup of invariants has even index. This then tells us that certain maps are zero, but not that they are isomorphisms. Again, away from $p = 2$, we have

$$i_* : H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z}/p^i) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z}/p^i)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

$(p^i \mid n)$ and this is easily calculated. Therefore we shall confine our attention to the calculation of $H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Write $n = 2^s m$, where $s \geq 2$ and m is odd. Denote by M the subgroup $\langle m \rangle$. Then $M \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^s$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/n : M) = m$. Let $\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$ be the inclusion. Then $\alpha_* \circ \text{tr}$ is mid. Taking $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients, we see that

$$\alpha_* : H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective. We claim that α_* is injective as well. For this we need a special case of the transfer double coset formula: If H is a normal subgroup of G , and $\varphi : H \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion, then

$$(\text{tr} \circ \alpha_*)(z) = \sum_{g \in G/H} gz,$$

where gz is obtained from z via the conjugation action of G on H . In our case, everything in sight is abelian and so conjugation is trivial. It follows that

$$(\text{tr} \circ \alpha_*)(z) = \sum_{g \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)/M} gz = mz.$$

Since m is odd, we have $\text{tr} \circ \alpha_* = \text{id}$. Thus, α_* is injective as well.

Thus, it remains to calculate $H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2^s; \mathbb{Z})$, and for this we need heavier machinery. To this end, let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $X = B(\mathbb{Z}/2^s)$, $Y = X^{\Gamma} = B(\langle 2^{s-1} \rangle)$. We first calculate the homology of X/Γ . If $p \neq 2$, we have $H_{\bullet}(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/p) = H_{\bullet}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = 0$. We therefore devote our attention to the case of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients, and since homology and cohomology are dual over a field, we calculate cohomology instead. In what follows, unless otherwise specified, cohomology is with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients.

Denote by π the quotient map $X \rightarrow X/\Gamma$. Let $\beta : Y \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion and note that $\pi \circ \beta$ maps Y homeomorphically into X/Γ as a closed subspace. We first note the following fact: $\beta^* : H^r(X) \rightarrow H^r(Y)$ is the zero map for r odd and the identity for r even. This is easily seen via a diagram chase using the long exact coefficient sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{2k-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\times 2} & H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \downarrow \beta^* & & \downarrow \beta^* & & \downarrow \beta^* & & \downarrow \beta^* \\ H^{2k-1}(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2k}(Y; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^{2k}(Y; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2k}(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

Denote by γ the inclusion $\pi \circ \beta : Y \rightarrow X/\Gamma$. We need the following result.

Lemma 5.2. $\gamma^* : H^q(X/\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^q(Y)$ is the zero map for all $q > 0$.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram obtained from the long exact sequences of the pairs $(X/\Gamma, Y)$ and (X, Y) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} & H^{2k+1}(Y) & \longrightarrow & H^{2k+2}(X/\Gamma, Y) \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ H^{2k+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^{2k+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2k+2}(X, Y) \end{array}$$

A diagram chase shows that $\gamma^* : H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{2k+1}(Y)$ is the zero map.

To show $\gamma^* : H^{2k}(X/\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{2k}(Y)$ is zero, we work directly with chains. Since homology and cohomology are dual with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients, it suffices to show that $\gamma_* : H_{2k}(Y) \rightarrow H_{2k}(X/\Gamma)$ is zero. By constructing a chain homotopy from the usual periodic resolution of \mathbb{Z} over $\mathbb{Z}/2$ to the bar resolution, it is easy to see that

$$z = \sum_{i_k=0}^1 \sum_{i_{k-1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{i_1=0}^1 [i_k \cdot 2^{s-1} | 2^{s-1} | i_{k-1} \cdot 2^{s-1} | \cdots | i_1 \cdot 2^{s-1} | 2^{s-1}]$$

is a generator for $H_{2k}(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Let $\sigma_0 = 0 | 2^{s-1}$ and $\sigma_1 = 2^{s-1} | 2^{s-1}$ and consider the $(2k+1)$ -chain

$$w = \sum_{i_{k-1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{i_1=0}^1 ([2^{s-2} | 2^{s-2} | 2^{s-1} | \sigma_{i_{k-1}} | \cdots | \sigma_{i_1}] + [2^{s-2} | -2^{s-2} | 2^{s-1} | \sigma_{i_{k-1}} | \cdots | \sigma_{i_1}])$$

in $C_\bullet(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. A straightforward (if tedious) verification shows that $z = dw$ in $C_\bullet(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ (one must keep in mind that, for example, $[2^{s-2} | 2^{s-1}] = [-2^{s-2} | 2^{s-1}]$ in $C_\bullet(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2)$). Thus, $\gamma_*([z]) = 0$. \square

Now, the lemma implies that the long exact sequence of the pair $(X/\Gamma, Y)$ breaks into short exact sequences

$$(2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^{q-1}(Y) \longrightarrow H^q(X/\Gamma, Y) \longrightarrow H^q(X/\Gamma) \longrightarrow 0.$$

According to [4], p. 34, there is a long exact sequence (with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients)

$$(3) \quad \tilde{H}^n((X/Y)/\Gamma) \rightarrow H^n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n((X/Y)/\Gamma) \oplus H^n(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{n+1}((X/Y)/\Gamma).$$

Note, however, that

$$(X/Y)/\Gamma = (X/\Gamma)/Y$$

and

$$\tilde{H}^\bullet((X/\Gamma)/Y) \cong H^\bullet(X/\Gamma, Y).$$

Thus, this sequence becomes

$$H^n(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(X/\Gamma, Y) \oplus H^n(Y) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X/\Gamma, Y).$$

In this sequence, the map $H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(Y)$ is easily seen to be the usual restriction map.

Since the map $H^{2k}(X) \rightarrow H^{2k}(Y)$ is an isomorphism, the map

$$H^{2k}(X) \longrightarrow H^{2k}(X/\Gamma, Y) \oplus H^{2k}(Y)$$

is injective. We claim that the map $H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow H^{2k+1}(X)$ is surjective. Indeed, consider the long exact sequences of the pairs $(X/\Gamma, Y)$ and

(X, Y) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
H^{2k}(Y) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma, Y) & \longrightarrow & H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & H^{2k+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^{2k+2}(X/\Gamma, Y) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi^* \\
H^{2k}(Y) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^{2k+1}(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2k+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^{2k+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2k+2}(X, Y)
\end{array}$$

The map $H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow H^{2k+1}(X)$ is the composite

$$H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma, Y) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^{2k+1}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2k+1}(X).$$

The generator of $H^{2k+1}(X)$ is the function $f \in \text{Hom}(C_{2k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z}/2)$ given by $f(z) = 1$, $f(w) = 0$, $w \neq z$, where z is the generating cycle

$$z = \sum_{i_k=0}^{2^s-1} \sum_{i_{k-1}=0}^{2^s-1} \cdots \sum_{i_1=0}^{2^s-1} [1|i_k|1] \cdots [i_1|1]$$

of $H_{2k+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Define $h : C_{2k+1}(X/\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ by $h(\{z\}) = 1$ and $h(\{w\}) = 0$ for $\{w\} \neq \{z\}$, where $\{z\}$ is the Γ -orbit of z . Then $\pi^*(h) = f$ and so π^* is surjective.

From this, we conclude via (3) that we have the following exact sequences for all $k \geq 0$

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2k}(X) \rightarrow H^{2k}(X/\Gamma, Y) \oplus H^{2k}(Y) \rightarrow H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow H^{2k+1}(X) \rightarrow 0;$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma, Y) \oplus H^{2k+1}(Y) \rightarrow H^{2k+1}(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $H^0(X/\Gamma, Y) = 0$, $H^1(X/\Gamma, Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, $H^2(X/\Gamma, Y) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2, \dots$, $H^q(X/\Gamma, Y) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^q$. Substituting this into (2) yields the following result.

Theorem 5.3. *If $s \geq 2$, then $H_1(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and for all $i \geq 2$*

$$H_i(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{i-1}.$$

□

Now, we need to compute the integral homology $H_\bullet(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$. We first note the following fact.

Proposition 5.4. *If $\ell \neq 4k - 1$, then $H_\ell(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ is 2-torsion.*

Proof. Note that we have a transfer map

$$\mu : H_\bullet(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

satisfying the following

$$\pi_* \circ \mu(\alpha) = 2\alpha \quad \mu \circ \pi_*(\beta) = \beta + \bar{\beta}$$

where $\bar{\beta}$ is the image of β under the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (see [1], p. 37). If ℓ is even, then since $H_\ell(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, we see that $2 \cdot H_\ell(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

If $\ell = 4k - 3$, then $\mu([z]) = [z] + [\bar{z}] = 0$ (since $\bar{\beta} = -\beta$ in $H_\ell(X; \mathbb{Z})$), but $\pi_*(\mu([z])) = 2[z]$ so that $2[z] = 0$, unless z is actually a chain over $Y = X^\Gamma$. In that case, $\mu([z]) = [z]$, but $2[z] = 0$ anyway since $[z]$ lies in the image of $H_\ell(Y; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. □

Proposition 5.5. $H_{4k-1}(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ contains a single copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2^s$.

Proof. Let $[z]$ be any generator of $H_{4k-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^s$. Let $[w]$ be a generator of $H_{4k-1}(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Then $2^{s-1}[z] = [w]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \circ \pi_*(2^{s-1}[z]) &= \mu \circ \pi_*([w]) \\ &= \mu([w]) \\ &= [w] \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\pi_*(2^{s-1}[z]) \neq 0$ and hence $2^{s-1}[z] \neq 0$ in $H_{4k-1}(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^s[z] &= \pi_* \circ \mu(2^{s-1}[z]) \\ &= \pi_*(2^{s-1}[z] + 2^{s-1}[\bar{z}]) \\ &= \pi_*(2^s[z]) \text{ (since } [\bar{z}] = [z]) \\ &= \pi_*(0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, every generator of $H_{4k-1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ maps to an element of order 2^s in $H_{4k-1}(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ and since these generators are all multiples of each other in $H_{4k-1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$, the same is true in $H_{4k-1}(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$. \square

Using the previous two propositions and the universal coefficient theorem, we then obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.6. For all $\ell > 0$,

$$H_\ell(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{k-1} & \ell = 2k \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2k-1} & \ell = 4k - 3 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^s \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2k-1} & \ell = 4k - 1. \end{cases}$$

\square

Now to complete the calculation of $H_\bullet^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2^s; \mathbb{Z})$, we use Corollary 2.2. There is a long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H_n(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2^s; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p_*} \tilde{H}_n(\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow .$$

We claim that the map p_* is surjective. Indeed, let z be a generating cycle for $H_n(\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. If n is odd, then z is an integral cycle and $z \in Z_n(\mathbb{Z}/2^s; \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ so that $p_*[z] = [z]$. If n is even, then $dz = 2w$ where w generates $H_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z})$. But $2[w] = 0$ in $H_{n-1}(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ (since $2[w] = 0$ in $H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$) and so $\partial[z] = [2w] = 0$. It follows that p_* is surjective in this case as well.

Thus, for each $q \geq 1$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_q(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_q^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2^s; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_q(\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow 0.$$

This clearly splits for $q \neq 4k-1$ since each term is 2-torsion. When $q = 4k-1$ this still splits since $H_{4k-1}(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2^s$ together with

some copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$, while Proposition 4.1 implies that $H_{4k-1}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2^s; \mathbb{Z})$ is annihilated by 2^s and hence there are no copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2^{s+1}$ to provide a nonsplit quotient. We therefore obtain the following calculation.

Theorem 5.7. *For all $\ell > 0$,*

$$H_{\ell}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/2^s; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2)^k & \ell = 2k \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2k} & \ell = 4k - 3 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^s \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2k} & \ell = 4k - 1. \end{cases}$$

□

The interested reader may now use this to calculate $H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}/n; \mathbb{Z})$ for any $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

5.4. $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting on \mathbb{Z} via $n \mapsto -n$. We are now able to calculate $H_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z})$. We know this is all 2-torsion in positive degrees (Proposition 3.5). Set $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $X = B\mathbb{Z}$, $Y = X^{\Gamma} \simeq *$. The long exact sequence of the pair $(X/\Gamma, Y)$ (with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients)

$$H^q(X/\Gamma, Y) \longrightarrow H^q(X/\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^q(Y) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}(X/\Gamma, Y)$$

shows that $H^q(X/\Gamma, Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^q(X/\Gamma)$ for all $q > 0$. Again, using [4], p. 34, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$H^q(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow H^q(X) \rightarrow H^q(X/\Gamma, Y) \oplus H^q(Y) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(X/\Gamma, Y).$$

Since $H^q(X) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$ and $H^q(Y) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$, we see that for $q \geq 2$

$$H^q(X/\Gamma, Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{q+1}(X/\Gamma, Y).$$

Also, $H^0(X/\Gamma, Y) = 0$ and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow H^1(X) \rightarrow H^1(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow H^2(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

If $H^1(X/\Gamma, Y) = 0$, then we would have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is absurd. So $H^1(X/\Gamma, Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and the map

$$H^1(X/\Gamma, Y) \rightarrow H^2(X/\Gamma, Y)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, $H^q(X/\Gamma, Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for all $q \geq 1$ and so

$$H^q(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$$

for all $q \geq 1$.

Theorem 5.8.

$$\tilde{H}_i^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 & i \text{ odd} \\ 0 & i \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We know that $H_i^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$ is 2-torsion for $i \geq 1$. According to Corollary 2.3, there is an isomorphism

$$H_i(X/\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_i^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

The universal coefficient theorem applied to the homology of X/Γ then yields the desired result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, et. al., *Seminar on transformation groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **46**, Princeton University Press, 1960.
- [2] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Springer-Verlag Graduate Texts in Mathematics **87**, 1982.
- [3] K. Knudson, M. Walker, *Homology of linear groups via cycles in $BG \times X$* , preprint, 2003.
- [4] J. P. May, *Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory* (with contributions by M. Cole, G. Comenazña, S. Costenoble, A. D. Elmendorf, J. P. C. Greenlees, L. G. Lewis, R. J. Piacenza, G. Triantafillou, and S. Waner), CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **91**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY,
P. O. DRAWER MA, MISSISSIPPI STATE, MS 39762

E-mail address: `knudson@math.msstate.edu`